## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the **print** icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to **gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org**

Click Next below to start

### Report Submission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Type of report</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semi-annual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Date of submission of report</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2023-11-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Name and Title of Person submitting the report</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandor Madar, Peacebuilding Fund Coordinator, UNDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Name and Title of Person who approved the report</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Anodam, Regional Coordinator, East Sudan, UNDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Project Information and Geographical Scope

#### Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?
- [ ] yes
- [ ] no

#### Did PBF Secretariat review the report?
*If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.*
- [ ] yes
- [ ] no
- [ ] Not Applicable

#### Is this a cross-border project?
- [ ] yes
- [ ] no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented:
- [ ] Asia and the Pacific
- [ ] Central & Southern Africa
- [ ] East Africa
- [ ] Europe and Central Asia
- [ ] Global
- [ ] Latin America and the Caribbean
- [ ] Middle East and North Africa
- [ ] West Africa

#### Country of project implementation:
- [ ] Ethiopia
- [ ] Kenya
- [ ] Madagascar
- [ ] Somalia
- [ ] South Sudan
- [ ] Sudan
- [ ] Other, Specify

#### Other, please specify

---

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/pHAF9RW4
Project Title

- 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur
- 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.
- 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding
- 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur
- 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence
- 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur
- 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition
- 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods
- 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur
- 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur
- 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur
- 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan
- 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan
- 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders
- 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities
Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa.

- Asia and the Pacific
- Central & Southern Africa
- East Africa
- Europe and Central Asia
- Global
- Latin America and the Caribbean
- Middle East and North Africa
- West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document.

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented

Other, Please specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

2022-11-18

Project end Date

2025-11-21

Has this project received an extension?

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions
Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

- yes
- no

If yes, please select which

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?

- UN entity
- Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UNDPO
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify
Are there other recipients for this project?
- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients
Select all that apply
- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify
Please select other non-UN recipients

- Action Aid
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- Christian Aid Ireland
- CARE International UK
- Centre d’étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Concern Worldwide
- DanChurchAid
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- International Alert
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
- ONG AZHAR
- PNG UN Country Fund
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- Trocaire
- ZOA

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date...
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- [ ] National youth CSO
- [ ] National women's CSO
- [ ] Other National CSO
- [ ] Subnational youth CSO
- [ ] Subnational women's CSO
- [ ] Other subnational CSO
- [ ] Regional CSO
- [ ] Regional Organisation
- [ ] International NGO
- [ ] Governmental entity
- [ ] Other

Other, Please specify

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is the name of the Implementing Partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Resilience Development Organization - BRDO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

* Please limit your response to 175 words

Training workshop on community-based mechanism and networks for effective strengthening of social cohesion

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Sudanese Red Crescent Society – SRCS

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date

505960

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

*Please limit your response to 175 words*

Implementing vocational trainings in all 5 localities and livelihood activities in Kassala and in Port Sudan Localities

---

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Sudanese Organization for Research and Development - SORD

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date

149980

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

*Please limit your response to 175 words*

Implementing all UNDP activities in Aroma Locality

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Port Sudan Association for Small Enterprise Development Organization - PASED

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date

149980

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

*Please limit your response to 175 words*

Implementing all UNDP activities in Sawakin Locality

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

JASMAR Human Security Organization

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date

149980

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

Implementing all UNDP activities in New Halfa Locality

Financial Reporting

Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in US Dollars.
For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipients</th>
<th>Total Project Budget (in US $)</th>
<th>Transfers to date (in US $)</th>
<th>Expenditure to date (in US $)</th>
<th>Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNDP: United Nations Development Programme</td>
<td>2844168*</td>
<td>1137667*</td>
<td>1073701.54*</td>
<td>37.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF: United Nations Children’s Fund</td>
<td>2155832*</td>
<td>862333*</td>
<td>567182*</td>
<td>26.31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **32.82%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

- Correct
- Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

**Gender-responsive Budgeting**

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)?

36.63

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1831500**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

- Correct
- Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the **budget amount** allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

1831726.68
Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 601055.64**. Is this correct?

- [ ] Correct
- [x] Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars: 596662.48

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. The templates for the budget are available [here](#).

UNDP UNICEF_Sudan_PBF Project Budget_Strengthening Capacities in Kassala and Red Sea States_19102022 Modified

---

**Project Markers**

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project

- [ ] Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- [x] Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- [ ] Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project

- [ ] Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- [x] Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- [ ] Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes
Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

* Select all that apply
- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee?

- yes
- no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?
Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

A State-level coordination mechanism with the government has been agreed upon in Kassala and Red Sea states but they have not been operationalized yet. The body is set to meet on a quarterly basis. Furthermore, a locality-level coordination mechanism with the participation of the communities and beneficiaries has also been agreed upon in all 5 localities but they have not been operationalized yet. The locality-level coordination meetings will be conducted on a bi-monthly basis.

The project team had extensive contact on a technical and planning level with state-level authorities in Kassala and the Red Sea State including in both states: Wali, Ministry of Finance (key Government counterpart for coordination), Ministry of Health Department of Social Welfare (key technical Government counterpart for Peacebuilding), relevant line ministries, Humanitarian Aid Commission, Locality Executive Managers.

The engagements were consultations regarding the project design and planning as well as the inception to collect information on the needs, guide the localization of project activities and the selection of communities and beneficiaries, inform counterparts of the project details, ensure transparency, agree upon a coordination structure, raise a sense of ownership of the project and ensure sustainability by securing strong partnership with relevant state-level authorities. Following the consultations, the project team also engaged the above-mentioned entities at the project inception workshop in both states.

Following the outbreak of the armed conflict between the Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) and the paramilitary Rapid Support Forces (RSF) on the 15 April 2023, the level of engagement with the government has decreased. However, the project team continues to actively engage on a technical level for joint planning with relevant line ministries (e.g., State Water Corporation; Water, Environment and Sanitation departments) to build ownership and sustainability of the systems strengthening interventions under this programme.

---

**PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS**

**NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:**

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general/common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contracting of partners</th>
<th>Not Started</th>
<th>Initiated</th>
<th>Partially Completed</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff Recruitment</th>
<th>Collection of baselines</th>
<th>Identification of beneficiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Started</td>
<td>Not Started</td>
<td>Not Started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiated</td>
<td>Initiated</td>
<td>Initiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially Completed</td>
<td>Partially Completed</td>
<td>Partially Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

To date, preparatory activities took place including state and locality-level consultations with authorities and communities, contracting, onboarding, and training of implementing partners are completed. However, the project was impacted by delays (delay in fund transfer, communal tensions) including the eruption of armed conflict between SAF and RSF on the 15 April 2023.

The outbreak of the conflict altered Sudan's political, peace and security dynamics. International Personnel were evacuated and most National Personnel relocated, temporarily reducing access and operational capacity. Both agencies have undergone significant operational recalibration, and the Eastern States area offices continue to function with some constraints. Successful re-prioritization of programming within PBF guidelines on budget amendments in May/June was done to strengthen programming around WASH and livelihood interventions considering the additional stresses communities are facing as approximately 232,000 IDPs are now in Kassala and Red Sea state.

Regular coordination structures have been established, the inception phase PBF Secretariat checklist is completed, and the agencies held a strategic planning retreat between 16-20 October 2022. RUNOs jointly delivered field visits to Kassala, Aroma, New Halfa, Port Sudan and Sawakin in January and February, held consultations with state and locality level authorities and organized a project inception and community consultation workshops in Port Sudan on 20 February and in Kassala on 1 March.

The project team prepared terms of reference for the baseline survey and an assessment of peacebuilding capacities of institutions and community structures which was reviewed and cleared by the PBF Secretariat. Due to the conflict, procurement could not take place; but processes are now available again.
Summarize the main structural, institutional or societal level change the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested.

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

n/a

---

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:
Capacity of community-based peace structures and institutions strengthened, and their vertical and horizontal linkages strengthened, including with state-level peace structures.

Outcome 2:
Trust, confidence, and reconciliation among conflict-ting tribes improved through effective dialogue, social justice and inclusive engagement of all tribes and groups, particularly women and youth in the Red Sea and Kassala State.

Outcome 3:
Cooperation and relations among conflicting tribes enhanced through cross-ethnic shared basic services, livelihoods assets and income generating opportunities that reduce competition over natural resource and enhance social interaction in the Kassala and Red Sea States.

Outcome 4:
Outcome 5:


Outcome 6:


Outcome 7:


Outcome 8:


Additional Outcomes

* If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

**Outcome 1:** Capacity of community-based peace structures and institutions strengthened, and their vertical and horizontal linkages strengthened, including with state-level peace structures

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

* 1. Off Track  ☐  2. On Track  ☐  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results
Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The influx of IDPs to the East is imposing pressures on pre-existing tensions and grievances. Therefore, to prevent the escalation of conflicts, UNDP initiated the mapping of existing peace structures and the assessment of their capacities in both Kassala and the Red Sea states. This exercise is still ongoing during the report writing and will inform the subsequent activities of outcome I.

Following community consultations and to respond to the immediate conflict prevention needs, UNDP established and reactivated 13 CBRMs in total. In Kassala State, in partnership with JASMAR, 5 CBRMs were established in Block 1, 2, 3, 25, 65 of Hai al-Thawra, New Halfa Locality to address the conflicts that are arising from socio-economic marginalization, and the lack of equitable access to basic services and livelihood options. Each of the 5 mechanisms consist of 5 community members (3 men, 2 women). In the Red Sea State, in partnership with PASED, UNDP established 2 CBRMs in Almasheel and Alsafa and re-activated 6 (4 female, 4 male members on each CBRMs) in Al-Faroug, Al-Mananshia, Tobein and Waratab in Sawakin Locality. 27 members (15 female, 12 male) of the CBRMs in Sawakin Locality were trained on conflict resolution, mediation, negotiation skills and incident monitoring and documentation. The training helped CBRM members to mitigate the additional pressures arising between host and IDP communities.

On the 2-3 October, the project team held a conflict sensitive programming training in Port Sudan for 2 male project staff, 12 civil society actors and Peace Centre staff (4 female, 8 male), and in Kassala for 3 male project staff and 11 civil society actors and Peace Centre staff (4 female, 7 male). The training enabled participants to understand the key conflict sensitivity concepts and tools, delved into the changes in the peace and conflict dynamics in East Sudan, discussed how the project is responding to and interacting with the context, and what are the options that actors can generate. In a post-training survey, the participants reported a high degree of confidence in applying tools and gained knowledge in delivering localized peacebuilding interventions in a conflict sensitive manner for sustaining peace.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Cognizant of the religious and cultural impediments facing women participation in the East Sudan, the project is making conscious efforts to implement deliberate actions to ensure women are given opportunity to participate in every component of the project. So far, of all the membership of the 13 CBRMs established/reactivated, up to about 47% are female.

The project is making sure female members of CBRMs have ample opportunities to receive training. Recent training on conflict resolution, mediation and negotiation skills delivered by PASED targeted 56% female among the 27 participants and the training emphasized women participation in peacebuilding processes. Based on community feedback received from the implementing partner, the training resulted in improved attitudes toward women inclusion into meetings, conflict resolution and decision-making processes. Women were formerly excluded from similar platforms but now, they can participate and raise their concerns more freely without fear of repercussion.
Outcome 2: Trust, confidence, and reconciliation among conflicting tribes improved through effective dialogue, social justice and inclusive engagement of all tribes and groups, particularly women and youth in the Red Sea and Kassala State

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

- 1. Off Track
- 2. On Track
- 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

The State of Kassala and Red Sea have been affected by widespread hate speech and hate crimes over the past decades due to growing inter-tribal tensions resulting from socio-economic and political marginalisation of the Eastern region as well as the discrimination of certain tribes such as some sub-tribes of the Beja not originating from Sudan and tribes that migrated to the area like the Nuba, Halfaween and Zaghawa. In order to build trust, confidence and reconciliation among different tribes, UNDP and its implementing partner PASED started developing messaging, information, education and communication (IEC) materials on peace and reconciliation countering hate speech. Peace ambassadors are also currently being identified with the help of the local community leaders who will be trained and spread these IEC materials.

Based on an initial analysis on ground, UNDP identified a latent conflict between the Halfaween tribe of Village 10 and the neighbouring settler Zaghawa tribe members of Kambo 10. The unresolved conflict stems from historic tribal grievances between the two villages related to disputes over land. The longstanding conflict has led to the loss of lives and polarized relations between the two communities with continued escalating tensions since 2019. UNDP has initiated steps to mediate and resolve the conflict. Two initial consultation meetings have been held with the locality authorities and the community leaders to develop a roadmap for the initiation of mediation. UNDP is currently working with Jasmar, the newly established CBRMs in the area as well as the Kassala Peace Centre to agree on the timelines, select a group of inside mediators to implement a mediation event. The expected outcome will be the signature of a peace agreement and the eventual restoration of peaceful relations between the communities.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

N/A, implementation is in progress, hence it is too early to establish such results. However, the project team expects to report GEWE and Youth Inclusion results during the next reporting period.

Outcome 3: Cooperation and relations among conflicting tribes enhanced through cross-ethnic shared basic services, livelihoods assets and income generating opportunities that reduce competition over natural resource and enhances social interaction in the Kassala and Red Sea States

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

- 1. Off Track
- 2. On Track
- 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results
Progress summary

The Eastern states are facing socio-economic consequences of the war. The 230,000 IDPs seeking refuge in Kassala and Red Sea States are putting pressure on existing services which has the potential to ignite inter-communal tensions between IDP and host communities.

To minimize this risk, UNICEF initiated the establishment and rehabilitation of 3 water infrastructures which cover 2 pipeline extensions to a water yards in Hilat Hassan and Degain communities, Aroma locality, and the expansion of water network in Al-Thawra, New Halfa locality, Kassala State. The latter location was identified during community consultations because of the tribal conflict between the Beni Amir and Nuba, originating from the inaccessibility of basic services, notably water. The intervention will benefit approximately 25,000 people. UNICEF also initiated implementing Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) activities in partnership with SRCS in Sadagat, Kassala, in November 2023.

UNICEF and UNDP, jointly made preparations and a technical assessment in November 2023 for the rehabilitation, upgrade and solarization of a central urban water supply system, serving approximately 25,000 people in Sawakin town, Red Sea State. The site selection is critical as Sawakin town was impacted by the inter-tribal conflict between Beni Amir and Nuba in 2022, and now hosts IDPs who fled the armed conflict. ---

Under the livelihood support interventions, UNDP initiated a market and livelihood assessment, and supported food security and livelihoods of conflict-affected communities through distribution of seeds and tools to 23,585 people including IDPs, Refugees and vulnerable host community members, of which 11,457 (49%) were female and 12,128 (51%) were male in Aroma, New Halfa, Port Sudan, Girba, Gunub Oleib localities. Due to the armed conflict triggered needs, the project team, with the approval of the PBF Secretariat, broadened the geographic scope of this intervention to the key breadbaskets of the East: Gadaref and Al Jazeera States. The initiative responds to the influx of IDPs during an acute food insecurity crisis, aiming to improve the livelihoods of target communities thereby reducing competition over scarce resources, contribute to transitional and durable solutions. It will also enhance interactions among IDPs and other groups as they work together in agricultural activities.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Under Output 3.1, to ensure the participation of women and youth, 20 participants (12 women, 8 men) from Kassala, New Halfa and Aroma localities participated in a consultation workshop to strengthen and promote inter-tribal social cohesion and dialogue among youth. Topics discussed were conflict analysis, conflict resolution, deconfliction and the importance of promoting social cohesion.
### Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

- [ ] 1. Off Track
- [ ] 2. On Track
- [ ] 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

**Progress summary**

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

---

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

---

### Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

- [ ] 1. Off Track
- [ ] 2. On Track
- [ ] 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

**Progress summary**

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

---

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

---
### Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

- [ ] 1. Off Track
- [ ] 2. On Track
- [ ] 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

**Progress summary**

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

---

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

### Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

- [ ] 1. Off Track
- [ ] 2. On Track
- [ ] 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

**Progress summary**

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

---

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*
Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

☐ 1. Off Track  ☐ 2. On Track  ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the status of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments-provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the outcome level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

Outcome 1: Capacity of community-based peace structures and institutions strengthened, and their vertical and horizontal linkages strengthened, including with state-level peace structures
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome 1 Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Current Indicator progress</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
1.1 % of sampled community members reporting a perceived decrease in levels of violence within and between communities and groups, disaggregated by sex and age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

This indicator will be measured at the end of the second year after significant implementation has been achieved. Following the outbreak of conflict in Sudan, a number of activities under the outcome have been temporally suspended and undergone budgetary adjustments. Outcome 1 is de-prioritized from the first tranche and the budget was reduced by 15% and redirected toward outcome 3 activities. This was mainly due to the heightened political conflict, the shrunken civic space for public events.

1.2 TBD 60% 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1
Community-based Peace and Reconciliation Mechanisms in place and functional

Output 1.2
Local-level institutions, local authorities, Civil Society peace actors have requisite capacities to support peace and conflict re:

Output 1.3
Linkages between community-based peace structures and state level Peace and Rule of Law institutions established

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1: Community-based Peace and Reconciliation Mechanisms in place and functional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.1 Number of Community Based Conflict Resolution Mechanisms (CBRMs) established/reactivated that are functional</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>At least 30 with members drawn from</td>
<td>Total: 13 CBRMs established/reactivated. 71 pax total membership 47% of all members are women and 49% of all members are youth under the age of 35 (21% young women, 42% young men) Breakdown: Established 5 new CBRMs in block 1,2,3,25,65 of Hai al Thawra town, New Halfa Locality, Kassala State. Each CBRMs have 5 members out of which 2 are women &amp; 1 is youth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any):**

Administrative issues delayed the baseline.
women (1 is young women) and 3 are men (2 are young men) on each panel.

Established 2 CBRMs in Almasheel and Alsafa and re-activated 6 CBRMs (4 female, 4 male members on each CBRMs) in Al-Faroug, Al-Mananshia, Tobein and Waratab in Sawakin Locality, Red Sea State.

Total membership in Sawakin Locality: 46 people in total: 23 female (10 youth women) and 23 male (10 youth men)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1.2</th>
<th>% of sampled community members</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementation started during the period this report covers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3</td>
<td>% increase in number of women participating</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The social, religious, and cultural impediments have precluded women in taking part in public activities especially peacebuilding and political decision making. This project has so far ensured 47% of all members of all CBRMs are female.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
» Output 1.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Progress</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local-level institutions, local authorities, Civil Society peace actors have requisite capacities to support peace and conflict resolution</td>
<td>State the baseline value of the indicator</td>
<td>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</td>
<td>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</td>
<td>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2.1 % beneficiaries trained reporting TBD 85%

In total, 28 participants benefitted from a conflict sensitive programming training in October 2023. The training participants included in Port Sudan 2 UNDP staff (2 male), 12 civil society actors (4 female, 8 male), and in Kassala 3 UNDP staff (3 male) and 11 civil society actors (4 female, 7 male).

Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementatio n started during the period this report covers.

Administrative issues delayed the baseline.

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/pHAF9RW4
Initial feedback from participants through a post training survey indicates that 100% of respondents have improved their understanding and application of conflict-sensitivity principles and tools. Follow-up surveys will be conducted in due course.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.2.2</th>
<th>Number of local institutions receiving</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>At least 3 per State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

So far, Two Peace Centres embedded at the University of Kassala and Red Sea States have received training on Conflict Sensitivity. Due to the political conflict in the country, direct engagement with the Government will be restricted to the Peace Centres until the situation improves. Additional training and materials support shall be provided to the Peace Centres within the year.

Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementation started during the period this report covers.

Due to the political situation in Sudan, ...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.2.3</th>
<th>Number of people trained on TBD</th>
<th>At least 32 of which 30% are</th>
<th>So far, 27 people from 6 reactivated and newly established CBRMs (15 pax or 56% female) have been trained on conflict resolution, mediation, negotiation skills and incident monitoring and documentation in the Sawakin Locality. The training was intended to help CBRM members to mitigate the additional pressures arising between host and IDP communities in Sawakin</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementation started during the period this report covers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Output 1.3**

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/pHAF9RW4
### Output 1.3: Linkages between community-based peace structures and state level Peace and Rule of Law institutions established

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Progress</th>
<th>Indicator Progress to Date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Describe the indicator</td>
<td>State the baseline value of the indicator</td>
<td>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the reporting period</td>
<td>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</td>
<td>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| | | | | |
| | | | | |

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/pHAF9RW4
| 1.3.1 | Number of Crisis Risk Dashboard (CRD) reports generated and shared | TBD | 1 CRD system and monthly reports | CRD system established and delivered 4 monthly reports. |

The project is on course towards achieving this indicator. The Crisis Dashboard is currently in place, with dedicated technical expert. All indicators in the CRD have been reviewed. Four (4) monthly Crisis Dashboard Reports has so far been generated and disseminated providing insights on the conflict and security situation in the country including the target studies. | Indicator progress for reporting | Whilst the system contains |

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/pHAF9RW4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.3.2</th>
<th>Number of Community Dialogue and Townhall Meetings between State and Local authorities and Local communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementation started during the period this report covers.

Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementatio n of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporarily suspended and undergone adjustments. During the past months, public events were banned in many parts of the East Sudan as part of a State of emergency declared by State Governments due to mounting tensions and the impact of spread of the conflict. However, local authorities have now permitted these to go on contingent on their approval. 10 dialogue forums have been planned to be implemented before the end...
before the end of 2023.
1.3.3  Number and type of communication  TBD  2  0  

Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementation started during the period this report covers. Activities related to this indicator delayed due to administrative hurdles and political conflict in the country. So far, the establishment and reactivation of CBRM is ongoing and the establishment of communication and referral systems are on the way.
### Output 1.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 1.4:</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State the baseline value of the indicator</td>
<td>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</td>
<td>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</td>
<td>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</td>
<td>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Output 1.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Outcome 2:** Trust, confidence, and reconciliation among conflicting tribes improved through effective dialogue, social justice and inclusive engagement of all tribes and groups, particularly women and youth in the Red Sea and Kassala State
2.1 % of sampled community members reporting perceived improvement in tolerance and social relations among conflicting tribes

| % of sampled community members reporting perceived improvement in tolerance and social relations among conflicting tribes | TBD | TBD | 0 | Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporally suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 2 is de-prioritized from the first tranche and the budget was reduced by 15% and redirected toward outcome 3 activities. |
| 2.2 | % Community members, particularly women and youth reporting increase in participation in peace processes and decision making at the state and locality levels | TBD | TBD | 0 | Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporally suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 2 is de-prioritized from the first tranche and the budget was reduced by 15% and redirected toward outcome 3 activities. |
| 2.3 | % of sampled community members expressing decrease in the incidence of tribal mobilization for violent attack | TBD | TBD | 0 | Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporarily suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 2 is deprioritized from the first tranche and the budget was reduced by 15% and redirected toward outcome 3 activities. |

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1
Platforms and avenues for dialogue and inclusive engagement among different tribes and groups established and strengthened that reinforce a culture of peace and social cohesion.
**Output 2.2**

Participation of women and youth groups from local communities in peacebuilding and decision making increased in Red Sea and Kassala states.

**Output 2.3**

**Output 2.4**

**Output 2.5**

**Other Outputs**

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

« **Output 2.1**
### Output

#### 2.1: Platforms and avenues for dialogue and inclusive engagement among different tribes and groups established and strengthened that reinforce a culture of peace and social cohesion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Describe the indicator</td>
<td>State the baseline value of the indicator</td>
<td>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</td>
<td>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</td>
<td>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.1.1 Number of youth and women groups trained on peacebuilding and social cohesion disaggregated by gender and age.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementatio n started during the period this report covers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Training materials are currently under development by the implementing partner. Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementatio n of the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporarily suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 2 is de-prioritized from the first tranche and the budget was reduced by 15% and redirected toward outcome 3 activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1.2</th>
<th>Number of community dialogue, townhalls and confidence building forums and awareness creation events organized</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementation started during the period this report covers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementation has been temporarily suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 2 is de-prioritized from the first tranche and the budget was reduced by 15% and re-directed toward outcome 3 activities. Implementing partners have received funds but relevant activities haven't been delivered up to the reporting date.
| 2.1.3 | Number of inclusive youth-led initiatives designed, and implementation plans developed that incorporate peacebuilding and conflict sensitivity approaches | TBD | TBD | 0 | Indicator progress for reporting period is the Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporally suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 2 is de-prioritized from the first tranche and the budget was reduced by 15% and re-directed toward outcome 3 activities. |

» Output 2.2
Output

2.2: Participation of women and youth groups from local communities in peacebuilding and decision making increased in Red Sea and Kassala states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Youth peace ambassadors trained, equipped, and deployed disaggregated by age and sex</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementation started during the period this report covers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Youth peace ambassadors are currently being identified and assessed by the implementing agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.2.2</th>
<th>Average % of women and youth</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>Total:</th>
<th>Indicator progress for administrative issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/pHAF9RW4
Women and youth in local peacebuilding structures including CBRMs

- 13 CBRMs established/reactivated. 71 pax total membership 47% of all members are women and 49% of all members are youth under the age of 35 (21% young women, 42% young men)

Breakdown:

- Established 5 new CBRMs in block 1,2,3,25,65 of Hai al Thawra town, New Halfa Locality, Kassala State. Each CBRMs have 5 members out of which 2 are women (1 is young women) and 3 are men (2 are young men) on each panel.

- Established 2 CBRMs in Almasheel and Alsafa and re-activated 6 CBRMs (4 female, 4 male members on each CBRMs) in Al-Farouq, Al-Manansha, Tobein and Waratab in Sawakin

Progress for reporting period is the baseline...
Locality, Red Sea State.
Total membership in Sawakin Locality: 46 people in total:
23 female (10 youth women) and 23 male (10 youth men)
| **2.2.3** | Number of social dialogue initiatives developed in consultation with Youth and women | TBD | TBD | 0 | Indicator progress for reporting period is the same as indicator progress to date since the project implementation started during the period this report covers. | Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporarily suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 2 is de-prioritized from the first tranche and the budget was reduced by 15% and redirected toward outcome 3 activities. |

---
## Output 2.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 2.3</th>
<th>Performance Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.3.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.3.2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.3.3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Output 2.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 2.4:</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Describe the indicator</td>
<td>State the baseline value of the indicator</td>
<td>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</td>
<td>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</td>
<td>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Output 2.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 2.5</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Outcome 3: Cooperation and relations among conflicting tribes enhanced through cross-ethnic shared basic services, livelihoods assets and income generating opportunities that reduce competition over natural resource and enhances social interaction in the Kassala and Red Sea States
| 3.1 | % of community members reporting improvement in their livelihoods (using income as a proxy), disaggregated by age and sex. | TBD | 85% | Livelihood activities including agriculture support, small business income generation, cash for work and vocational training activities are ongoing. Their effects will be measured in the next reporting period. |
| 3.2 | % Community members, reporting perceived increase cooperation, social and economic relations among different tribes | TBD | 90% | 0 | Activities contributing towards this indicator are ongoing. Several livelihood activities are currently being implemented across the 5 target localities. This indicator will be measured through a monitoring survey. |
| 3.3 | % of community members reporting reduction in competition over basic social services with particular focus on water resources; disaggregated by gender and age | TBD | TBD | 0 | Activities contributing towards this indicator are on-going. Several livelihood activities are currently being implemented across the 5 target localities. This indicator will be measured through a monitoring survey. |

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1
Peace-oriented water and sanitation services delivered in a conflict-sensitive manner that reduce tensions and augment local peace.

Output 3.2
Collaborative livelihoods support provided that foster greater collaboration and solidarity among different tribes and groups.

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5
Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

### Output 3.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 3.1:</strong> Peace-oriented water and sanitization services delivered in a conflict-sensitive manner that reduce tensions and augment local peace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of water yards and mini water yards built / rehabilitated</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>This activity is in preparatory stage, with bidding documents completed and the contractor expected to begin work in mid-November. Administrative issues delayed the baseline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The bidding process is concluded in Kassala and infrastructure works for the establishment/rehabilitation of three water facilities to begin mid-November.
November, the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporarily suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 3 is now prioritized for implementation from the first tranche and the budget was increased with 13% (sum of 15% deductions from both Outcomes 1 and 2 budget).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1.2</th>
<th>Number of communities declared ODF</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting</th>
<th>This activity is in prepatory stage with the</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

TBD: To be determined
declared ODF
Project
document
nearly
finalized
with SRCS
to implement
Community-led
Total Sanitation
approach.

Project
document
nearly
finalized
with SRCS
to implement
Community-led
Total Sanitation
approach.

reporting
period is the
same as
indicator
progress to
date since the
project
implementation
started
during the
period this
report covers.

stage, with the
project
document with
SRCS nearly
finalized.
Administrative
issues delayed
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data collection
and the
beginning of the
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of the
outcome prior
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outcome
implementation
has been
temporarily
suspended and
undergone
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Outcome 3 is
now prioritized
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implementation
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and 2 budget)
| 3.1.3 | Number of people benefiting from the established / rehabilitated water resources disaggregated by age and gender. | TBD | TBD | 0 | Indicator progress for reporting period is TBD. This activity is in preparatory stage, with bidding documents completed and the contractor expected to begin work in mid-November. Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporarily suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 3 is now prioritized for implementation from the first tranche and the budget was increased with 13% (sum of 15% deductions from both Outcomes 1 and 2 budget). |
**Output 3.2**

Collaborative livelihoods support provided that foster greater collaboration and solidarity among different tribes and groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Indicator</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Progress</th>
<th>Indicator Progress to Date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 3.2:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of community members benefiting from cross-tribal joint livelihood and income generating activities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>At least 10,000 people</td>
<td>UNDP supported the livelihoods of conflict-affected communities through distribution of seeds and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicator progress for reporting period is the activities contributing towards this indicator are...
disaggregated by age and gender

tools to 23,585 people including IDPs, Refugees and vulnerable host community members, of which 11,457 (49%) were female and 12,128 (51%) were male. These covered the following Localities: Al Jazeera State: Um-Algura, Al-Managil and East Al Jazeera Localities, as well as to Gadaref State: West Galabat and Fashaga Localities, Kassala State: Aroma, New Halfa and Girba Localities and in the Red Sea State: Gunub Oleib and Port Sudan

| 3.2.2 | Number of productive and social assets | TBD | TBD | 0 | Indicator progress for reporting | A market and livelihood assessment is TBD |

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/pH4f9RW4
social assets | established/rehabilitated | disaggregated by type

being carried out during the report writing period following which the related activity will be implemented. Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporally suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 3 is now prioritized for implementation from the first tranche and the budget was increased with 13% (sum of 15% deductions from both Outcomes 1 and 2 budget)
| 3.2.3 | Number of youths benefiting from Vocational and entrepreneurship activities disaggregated by age and gender. | TBD | At least 850 at-risk youth of which at least 40% | 0 | Indicator progress for reporting period is the A market and livelihood assessment is being carried out during the report writing period following which the related activity will be implemented. Administrative issues delayed the baseline data collection and the beginning of the implementation of the outcome prior to 15th April. Following the outbreak of fighting, the outcome implementation has been temporally suspended and undergone adjustments. Outcome 3 is now prioritized for implementation from the first tranche and the budget was increased with 13% (sum of 15%
### Output 3.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 3.3</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator Progress for Reporting Period</th>
<th>Indicator Progress to Date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.3.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.3.2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.3.3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Output 3.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 3.4:</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Describe the indicator</td>
<td>State the baseline value of the indicator</td>
<td>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</td>
<td>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</td>
<td>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Output 3.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 3.5:</th>
<th>Performance Indicator (Describe the indicator)</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline (State the baseline value of the indicator)</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target (State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project)</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period (State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period)</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date (State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project)</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) (Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Outcome 4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome 4</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Current Indicator progress</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1  2  3  4  5  more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*
For each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.

### Output 4.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Performance Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Output 4.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 4.2:</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Output 4.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 4.3</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Output 4.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 4.4</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Output 4.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 4.5</th>
<th>Performance Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Indicator Baseline</th>
<th>End of Project Indicator Target</th>
<th>Indicator progress for reporting period</th>
<th>Indicator progress to date</th>
<th>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

---

### PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event 1</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Tentative Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Target Audience</th>
<th>Event Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 community dialogues</td>
<td>December 2023</td>
<td>Sawakin and Port $</td>
<td>Civil Society Organizers</td>
<td>Establish platforms for dialogue and inclusive engagement among different tribal and community groups to strengthen social cohesion, peace building and conflict resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event 2</td>
<td>1 training workshop</td>
<td>December 2023</td>
<td>Kassala, New Halfa</td>
<td>Tribal leaders, Youth</td>
<td>25 participants will receive the training and contribute to prevalence of peaceful coexistence and tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event 3</td>
<td>Organize 6 cross-tribal sports activities</td>
<td>December 2023 - January 2024</td>
<td>Kassala: Kassala, A</td>
<td>Youth from cross-tribal background, IDP, host community, civil society organizations</td>
<td>The objective of the cross-tribal sport activities is to enhance inter-tribal exchanges, interactions to create peaceful coexistence, and to sensitize the youth to be able to counter hate speech within their own communities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Human Impact**

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Impact</th>
<th>Key Stakeholder</th>
<th>What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)</th>
<th>What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)</th>
<th>Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women and youth from Kassal, New Halfa and Aroma Localities</td>
<td>Women and youth are traditionally marginalized groups within the society in East Sudan. They don't enjoy equal rights and participation in decision making processes.</td>
<td>20 participants (12 female and 8 male) who participated in the consultation workshop to promote inter-tribal social harmony. The project will encourage women to participate in peace committees and contribute to the decision-making processes at their level.</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Women and youth from Kassal, New Halfa and Aroma Localities
2. 
3. 
4. 

---

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/pHAF9RW4
In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

The project team held a project inception and community consultation workshop on the 20 February in Port Sudan, Red Sea State with the participation of community representatives from both target localities in the State: Port Sudan and Sawakin. The participants (44 in total: 13 female, 31 male) included state and locality level authorities, academia, native administration, and diverse representatives from the Beni Amir, Hadandawa, Nuba and other Beja tribes such as community leaders, CBRM representatives, women, and youth group representatives of selected neighborhoods from both localities that were considered for the area-based implementation of activities.

During the preparatory process of the workshop, bilateral consultations revealed a significant standoff among the three key tribes, the Beni Amir, Hadandawa and Nuba community members of Sawakin locality, who live in mostly segregated neighborhoods. The disagreement was related to the project’s localization and selection of beneficiaries. Each tribe was concerned that the other might gain some benefits that would lead to the further marginalization and segregation of others especially via the provision of WASH infrastructure and services and livelihood support.

UNDP and UNICEF jointly conducted several field missions to Sawakin and consulted the various stakeholders and managed to bring them to the negotiating table at the inception workshop. The programme of the workshop included a segment for guided focus group discussions which managed to settle some confusions and disagreements that the community members had. This was followed by a community action planning exercise by using a matrix, where the participants were requested to work together and plan the project activity sequencing, identify exact locations, existing capacities, resources, and structures as well as gaps and needs where the project could contribute within its scope.

As a result of dialogue, trust-building and collaborative working, the community members managed to diffuse their tensions and came to an agreement regarding the project implementation. The project now fully enjoys the buy in and support of the Sawakin community and has elevated expectations toward the benefits of the project that it could bring in terms of strengthening the social cohesion and building peaceful coexistence.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

Kassala State Project Inception and Community Consultation Workshop Report Final -compressed-10_11_40.pdf
You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

Link 1

Link 2

Link 3

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies
Please explain

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

The outbreak of the armed conflict forced the Agencies to do adaptive management and adopted creative and innovative ways of working to overcome obstacles and challenges.

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

The Agencies built capacities of implementing partners on conflict sensitive emergency programming to be sensitive in the changed political, peace and security context.

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

With the eruption of the armed conflict, there was a significant shift toward partnering with, enabling, and working through local civil society organizations due to the limited access and capacity of the UN Agencies in the field.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)

- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [ ] Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- [ ] Partnered with local civil society organizations
- [x] Partnered with local academia
- [ ] Partnered with sub-national entities
- [ ] Partnered with national entities
- [ ] Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

Leave No one Behind
Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative

*Mandatory*

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

The joint UNDP - UNICEF project team undertook several field visits (2 to each localities) and consultation meetings with communities and local authorities in all 5 target localities during the inception phase of the project in order to ensure sufficient community consultation and the buy-in of communities and state authorities. In addition to this, the project team visited Ne Halfa Locality, Kassala State more frequently due to the active conflict that was identified during the consultation processes. As a result of the frequent visits and consultations, the project team was able to put in place a roadmap for reconciliation and mediation between the conflicting parties with the involvement of local authorities.

---

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- yes
- no
Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)
Please limit your response to 350 words.
Activity reports, attendance sheets, CBRM tracking sheet

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

- yes
- no

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

- yes
- no

The project established a locality-level coordination mechanism in each of the 5 target locality centres where a selected nun

Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

- yes
- no
- Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD):
Response required
80000
If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

n/a

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

John Anodam, john.anodam@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n)

- yes
- no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged?

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur?

- No catalytic effect
- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect
- Very Significant catalytic effect
- Don't Know
- Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system.

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

Sustainability
Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project.

* Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project has an elaborate sustainability strategy and exit plan that can be shared upon request. The project team took initial steps from the project start date to ensure sustainability including extensive consultations with community members, state and locality-level authorities and other stakeholders through field visits and two project inception and community consultation workshops that allowed the project team to understand the community needs, the sensitivities and dynamics in place as well as to assess the available resources, capacities and existing structures that can be leveraged or if necessary further developed in cooperation with the communities and authorities. This will help the project to be more impactful both programmatically and financially by allowing the more precise targeting of the interventions where there are gaps and the more efficient use of available funds where there are pre-existing structures which can be further strengthened and amplified. These consultations also contributed to secure at an early stage the buy-in of the target communities and authorities and raise a sense of ownership over the project.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

* Please limit your response to 350 words.

Though the Eastern states have not directly been impacted by the armed conflict, the knock-on effects are namely economic. Levels of inflation remain high, particularly in Port Sudan. Strikes in the public sector (teachers, government, and health workers) and demonstrations persisted as above-mentioned groups did not receive salaries over months or did not see any political concession or improvement to socio-economic grievances. Civil society is overwhelmed by the increased needs of the community members and their capacities and operational abilities to respond to these needs decreased significantly. These factors are also impacting the project delivery and the ability of the organizations to implement the activities. The inflation and sharp cost increase might require the Agencies in the future to adjust their operations to account for the increased operational costs for both the implementing partners and the RUNOs.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring and oversight activities</th>
<th>Name of the Event</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Event 1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Event 3**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Event 4**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Event 5**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Event 6**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Event 7**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Event 8**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Final Steps**

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

*If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org*

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.