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**PBF PROJECT progress report**

*Updated May 2023*

**COUNTRY:** Malawi

**TYPE OF REPORT: semi-annual, annual OR FINAL: ANNUAL**

**YEAR of report:** 2023

**Project overview**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Title:** Strengthening Malawi’s Peace Infrastructure for conflict prevention and sustaining  peace in borderland districts  **Project Number from MPTF-O Gateway:** | |
| **If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund:**  Country Trust Fund  Regional Trust Fund  **Name of Recipient Fund:** | **Type and name of recipient organizations:**  **UNDP (Convening Agency)**  **UNFPA**  **UN Women** |
| **Date of first transfer:**  **Project end date:** December 2024  **Has this project received a cost or no cost extension? No**  **Will this project be requesting a cost or no-cost extension? No**  **Is the current project end date within 6 months?** | |
| **Check if the project falls under one or more PBF priority windows:**  Gender promotion initiative  Youth promotion initiative  Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions  Cross-border or regional project | |
| **Total PBF approved project budget (by recipient organization):**   * *Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization* * *Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.* * *For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even where transfers are made to different country offices. You can provide the detail in the attached budget.*  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Recipient organisation** | **Budget Allocated ($)** | **Amount Transferred to date ($)** | **Amount spent / committed to date ($)** | | **UNDP** | **1,800,000** | **900,000** | **397,932.17** | | **UNFPA** | **600,000** | **300,000** | **118,016.42** | | **UN Women** | **600,000** | **300,000** | **66,500** | | **TOTAL** | **3,000,000** | **1,500,000** | **581,908** |   Approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget: 19.4%  \*ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE\*  **The budget templates are available** [**here**](https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/application-guidelines)  **Implementing partners**  To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date? 2 (Public Affairs Committee and Foundation for Civic Education and Social Enhancement (FOCESE))  Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | ***Name of Implementing Partner*** | ***Type of Organisation (ex. Govt, civil society, etc.)*** | ***What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date*** | ***Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner (175 mots)*** | | Public Affairs Committee (PAC) | Civil Society | 135,249.94 | PAC conducted a conflict prevention and mediation training for mediators, youth, and women and organized a meeting with Muslim Association for the Popularization of MoU on hijab. | | Foundation for Civic Education and Social Enhancement (FOCESE) | Civil Society | 100,000.00 | The activities are ongoing. The IP has sub-granted to local led Female CSOs resident in Karonga and Machinga to fast-track implementation at community level. The activities include strengthening SGBV prevention mechanisms, capacity and SRHR referral systems for women and adolescent girls and women, including vulnerable survivors of sexual and gender-based violence, to enable access to requisite services at border crossings; strengthening coordination of and linkages amongst existing women-led organizations and groups, structures to facilitate consolidated agency, collaborative advocacy and voice of women in resilience building, human rights protection and SGBV. | |  |  |  |  |   **Gender-responsive Budgeting:**  Indicate what percentage (%) of the budget contributes gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? 50.67%  Indicate dollar amount from the project document to contribute to gender equality or women’s empowerment: USD 1,520,156.22  Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women’s empowerment:  UN Women: USD 33,000 -UN Women supported youth and women led engagements including community dialogues in conflict prevention, management and peace building. The youth and women led groups were also supported in producing and sharing evidence of their impact especially through the women movements for peace that were established.  UNFPA: USD 118,000 - The activities included the validation of the Youth security resolution 2250 NAP, advance to local female led organisations for the strengthening SGBV prevention mechanisms, capacity and SRHR referral systems for women and adolescent girls and women, including vulnerable survivors of sexual and gender-based violence, to enable access to requisite services at border crossings.) | |
| **Project Gender Marker:**  **Project Risk Marker:**  **Project PBF focus area:** | |
| **Steering Committee and Government engagement**  Does the project have an active steering committee?  Yes  If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?  0 times – the Steering Committee will be organized on 15 December 2023 (TBC: Subject to change depending on the availability of the Minister). The initial consultation meeting at the technical level was held with the Minsitry of Local Government, Unity and Culture and 14 February 2023 and the technical committee/ national coordination meeting was held on 5 October 2022 with the Ministry of Local Government, Unity and Culture, the Ministry of Youth and the Ministry of Gender.  Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months? Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with? (275 words max.)  **The project worked with the Ministry of Local Government, Unity and Culture, Ministry of Homeland Security and Malawi Police Service to implement the training sessions for border security, conflict prevention and mediation in three target districts: Karonga, Machinga and Mangochi. The UN also engaged civil society organisations including the Public Affairs Committee (PAC), Human Rights Commission and the Independent (Police) Complaints Commission.**  **The project engages with the government throughout the implementation. The project organized District Executive Committee (DEC) meeting to receive project endorsement in Karonga and Machinga in May 2023. Principal Secretary for National Unity and Director for National Unity from Ministry of Local Government, Unity and Culture (MoLGUC) participated in the meeting. Technical team from MoLGUC participates in the border security and community policing training session.** | |
| **Report preparation:**  Project report prepared by: Mizuki Watanabe, Project Coordinator- Conflict Prevention and Peacebuilding  Project report approved by: James Manibah, Governance Portfolio Manager  Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report: | |

***NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:***

*- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.*

*- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.*

*- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.*

*- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.*

*- In the results table, please be concise, you will have 3000 characters, including blank spaces to provide your responses*

**PART 1: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS**

**Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities** *(Not Started, Initiated, partially Completed, Completed, Not Applicable):*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Contracting of Partners | **Partially Completed** |
| Staff Recruitment | **Initiated partially** |
| Collection of baselines | **Initiated partially** |
| Identification of beneficiaries | **Completed** |

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) (250 word limit):

Staff recruitment: The project Coordinator was recruited to implement the project. The PBF project is going to recruit M&E Specialist, Communication Specialist and Border Security Officer. The ToRs for the positions were approved but the creations of the positions are waiting for approval at the UNDP headquarters. The advertisement of the positions is expected to start by the end of November. As the recruitment process is taking longer than we expected, UNDP communication unit and M&E unit are backstopping the project implementation to make sure the operations are in order. A baseline survey will be done by the international consultant and national consultant that will start working in mid-November.

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION: summarize **the main structural, institutionalor societal level change the project has contributed to**. This is not anecdotal or a list of individual outputs or activities, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence[[1]](#footnote-2) of contribution to outcomes is available if requested. (550 word limit):

N/A

**PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME**

*Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.*

* *“On track” refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.*
* *“On track with peacebuilding results” refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.*

**How many outcomes does the project have?2**

**Outcome 1: Strengthen Malawi’s Infrastructure for Peace (National Peace Architecture) to support peacebuilding and human rights protection in borderland communities.**

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress:**

**Progress summary:** *(350 word limit)*

* + 1. The project supported the establishment of Malawi Peace and Unity Commission (MPUC) through advocacy and procurement of office furniture and equipment. The commissioners for MPUC were selected on 2 November 2023. The ToR for the local consultant to review the National Peace Policy has been developed and to be advertised by the end of November 2023.
    2. The project also supported the establishment of District Peace and Unity Committee (DPUC) in Machinga by organizing sensitization meeting with district stakeholders and training sessions with the DPUC candidates. Training session on mediation for youth and women in faith groups were conducted by Public Affairs Committee (PAC).
    3. Eight enumerators were being recruited to conduct flow monitoring and Displacement Tracking Matric (DTM) exercise. The orientation and mapping exercise will be conducted in December 2023 in two target districts: Karonga and Mangochi
    4. Community policing training session was organized in Karonga in November 2023 to increase dialogues and early warning on potential community-level conflict. 54 district officers (12 female and 42 male) were trained in Karonga.
    5. Task team was formed to develop the community policing policy, training sessions on border security, human rights projection and conflict prevention for border security officials were organized in conjunction with the community policing training.
    6. ToRs for consultants for mapping exercise were developed. An international consultant was hired from the UNDP roster and a national consultant will be hired.
    7. Discussion with the UNDP headquarters to develop an app for the conflict prevention platform is ongoing.
    8. The Draft National Action Plan (NAP) of United Nations Security Council Resolution 2250 on Youth , Peace and security for Malawi has been validated. The consultant is finalising the comments and a small team shall validate again for finalisation. It is hoped that it will be possible to launch it this year or early next year.
    9. The project has strengthened the capacity safe space facilitators /mentors in the target districts especially in Mangochi , Machinga and Karonga are ongoing and detailed results shall be shared from the December reports from the Implementing partner; The key district stakeholders are being proactive in provision of Sexual and Gender Based services at a district level, following the mapping of the key stakeholders in the districts and this will strengthen collaboration in provision of Sexual and gender Based Violence as well as inclusion of other key Peace building partners in the referral pathways which are being updated as part of the project implementation in the target districts amongst stakeholders. Improved coordination of provision of gender based violence services for survivors has been reported in Mangochi. UNFPA has also incorporated Mental Health and Psychosocial support (MHPSS) in the activities as a result of the MHPSS joint mission to the districts. The Mission revealed that GBV results in conflicts and also affects mental and psychosocial well being of women and girls. The safe spaces have been linked to trained counsellors as part of the conflict and peace building activities.

**Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome:** *(350 word limit)*

The project deliberately targets women, girls and youth during the capacity building sessions to ensure improved capacity of women groups and youth networks in preventing and protecting their fellow women and youth in gender-based violence and demanding sexual reproductive health rights services. Women and youth are now drivers of change in demanding sexual reproductive health services and reducing gender-based violence’s.

Youth and young women, women village headmen are deliberately targeted to take a leading role in fighting gender based violence. Youth and young women in Mangochi are being capacitated to sensitize their fellow community members on sexual reproductive health rights and gender-based violence’s, for example Youth in Traditional Authority Lulanga reported referring 30 clients of Sexual Reproductive Health to health centers.

**Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for Outcome 1 in the table below.**

* If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
* Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (3000 characters max per entry)

| **Outcome Indicators** | **Indicator Baseline** | **End of project Indicator Target** | **Indicator progress to Date** | **Reasons for Variance/ Delay**  **(if any)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indicator 1.1  Malawi Peace and Unity Commission (40% women and Youth representation) established and providing mediation services by December 2023 | **0** | **1** | **0** | **Malawi Peace and Unity Commission has been established on 2 November** |
| Indicator 1.2  % of disputes/conflicts referred to the Malawi Peace and Unity Commission and District Committees satisfactorily resolved through mediation services | **0** | **80%** | **9%** | **1 dispute at TA Makanjira was reported to Mangochi DPUC and intervened with sensitization meeting with the stakeholders in October 2023. Karonga DPUC identified 10 cases to address but none of them is yet to be resolved as of November 2023. The project will support the sensitization meeting to resolve 5 cases at the end of November.** |
| Indicator 1.3  Improved human rights protection in border communities based on perception of communities (especially women) | **0** | 80%+ of community members served feel human rights protection has improved | **0** | **A monitoring mission is yet to be done to measure the progress.** |
| Indicator 1.4  Perception of local peace networks and organisations on DPUCs effectiveness in conflict monitoring and prevention capacities | **0** | 80% | **0** | **A monitoring mission is yet to be done to measure the progress** |

**How many Outputs does Outcome 1 have? 4**

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1 and for each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

**Output 1.1: Malawi’s national peace architecture is operationalized, with focus on borderland communities, and existing capacities are strengthened.**

| **Output Indicators** | **Indicator Baseline** | **End of project Indicator Target** | **Indicator progress for reporting period** | **Indicator progress to Date** | **Reasons for Variance/ Delay**  **(if any)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indicator 1.1.1  District Peace and Unity Committees in the 3 target districts established/strengthened to  coordinate and lead conflict prevention and early warning and response systems (with 40% representation of women and  youth). | **1** | **3** | **2** | **2** | DPUCs in two distircts are establsihed and strengtehned their capacities through training sessions. A DPUC in Machinga is yet to be established, but the candidates were selected and will be trained in November 2023. |
| Indicator 1.1.2  SoPs and guidelines for MPUC and DPUCs ensuring adherence to gender and PwD quotas at national, district and community levels per the provisions of the Peace and Unity Act adopted. | **0** | **4  (2 SoPs and 2 guidelines for MPUC and DPUC)** | **0** | **0** | Commission is yet to be established as of 31 October 2023. The SoPs and guidelines will be developed in 2024. |
| Indicator 1.1.3  Percentage of MPUC and DPUC members trained on conflict management and resolution and actively leading mediation and education on conflict prevention | **0** | **100%** | **47%** | **47%** | 18 DPUC members (8 of them are female) from Karonga and Mangochi were trained. As of 31 October, MPUC and Machinga DPUC are yet to be established and the candidates (20 of them) will be trained by early 2024. |

**Output 1.2: Enhanced professional and operational capacity of law enforcement actors in border security in targeted borderland districts.**

| **Output Indicators** | **Indicator Baseline** | **End of project Indicator Target** | **Indicator progress for reporting period** | **Indicator progress to Date** | **Reasons for Variance/ Delay**  **(if any)** | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indicator 1.2.1  Number of security actors receiving training on border control measures. | **0** | **300** | **84** | **4**ToT session with national and district facilitators were done on 13 October and 30 officers (10 female) were trained.54 district officers (12 female and 42 male) were trained in Karonga. | |
| Indicator 1.2.2 a  Number of police-community liaison platforms established and functioning | **0** | **3** | **0** | **0** | To be established after the community policing policy is formulated | |
| Indicator 1.2.2 b: Number of regular joint initiatives established by police-community liaison platforms to address crime and conflict. | **0** | **3** | **0** | **0** | To be established after the community policing policy is formulated | |

**Output 1.3: Infrastructures for peace and resilience in borderland communities and districts are strengthened.**

| **Output Indicators** | **Indicator Baseline** | **End of project Indicator Target** | **Indicator progress for reporting period** | **Indicator progress to Date** | **Reasons for Variance/ Delay**  **(if any)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indicator 1.3.1: Number of local peace and resilience infrastructures identified and capacitated to monitor and report on socio-economy risks at community level. | **0** | **15** | **0** | **0** | To be done after the mapping exercise is completed |
| Indicator 1.3.2: % of local peace and resilience infrastructures capacitated to monitor and provide monthly reports on socio-economy risks at community level following. | **0** | **100%** | **0** | **0** | To be measured during the mapping excercise |
| Indicator 1.3.3  Percentage of local peace and resilience networks and DPUCs using new data collection tools to monitor and report on socio-economic and conflict risks at community level. | **0** | **100%** | **0** | **0** | To be measured during the mapping exercise |
| Indicator 1.3.4: Number of coordination sessions within and across the targeted borderland districts and communities. | **0** | **27** | **5** | **5** | 2 sensitization meetings (Karonga and Machinga) and 3 training sessions (Karonga and Lilongwe were organized in three target districts. |

**Output 1.4: Women and youth participation and representation in peace infrastructure enhanced.**

| **Output Indicators** | **Indicator Baseline** | **End of project Indicator Target** | **Indicator progress for reporting period** | **Indicator progress to Date** | **Reasons for Variance/ Delay**  **(if any)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indicator 1.4.1  National Action Plan for UNSCR 2250 adopted by December of 2023 | **0** | **1** | **0** | **0** | Validation has been done. Adoption takes place early next year |
| Indicator 1.4.2  Number of Youth Ambassadors engaged and working actively to support the NAPs. | **0** | **40** | **0** | **0** | To be reported after the launch of the NAP |

**Outcome 2:** Women and youth in target districts are activated as drivers of peace at the district level.

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress:**

**Progress summary:** *(350 word limit)*

The project is working with existing youth and women networks in the area. The trained women and youth are creating demand on sexual and reproductive health rights services in the target area. Over 100 villages were sensitized by trained youth and women on access to sexual reproductive service and prevention of gender based violence’s in Mangochi. As the result of sensitizations more women and youth are accessing quality services from service providers. In Mangochi the project has strengthened the existing 18 safe spaces that were established through monitoring sessions. One youth group in Traditional Authority Bwananyambi reported an increase of uptake of SR services from 34 to 52 representing over 50%.

UN Women supported in identifying and capacitating youth and women members of the DPUCs as peace ambassadors in Machinga and Mangochi districts. They were also oriented on their work in the District Peace Unity Committee’s, as a means to galvanize youth representation and participation in conflict prevention and peace processes. In total, 80 women and 70 youths (40F, 30 M) were oriented. This has contributed to the building of capacity of the women and the youth to engage in conflict identification, mitigation, and prevention with a gender lens in Machinga and Mangochi districts. Further, a women movement for peace building and conflict prevention has been established in Mangochi for the enhancement of women involvement in the peace architecture. The women are now able to identify, track, mediate over and report various incidences of conflict in their respective areas.

**Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome:** *(350 word limit)*

During the project implementation process the project deliberately targeted more women, girls and youth in order to empower them to participate in project activities. Some sessions were conducted targeting youth, women and adolescent girls to provide them platform to openly express their views on some social and cultural issues. Women and youth were selected to be facilitators for some activities to empower them to take a lead in conflict prevention and peace building activities.

**Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for Outcome 2 in the table below**

* If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
* Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (3000 characters max per entry)

| **Outcome Indicators** | **Indicator Baseline** | **End of project Indicator Target** | **Indicator progress to Date** | **Reasons for Variance/ Delay**  **(if any)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indicator 2.1  % of women representation at MPUC and DPUCs | MPUC: 0%; 2 existing DPUC: 20% | 40% as provided for in the Peace and Unity Act and the Gender Equality Act | **42.3%** | In the existing DPUCs in Mangochi and Karonga, 8 out of 18 members are female. MPUC commissioners were selected and 3 out of 8 members is female. Machinga does not have DPUC yet. |
| Indicator 2.2  Number of women organizations using improved conflict analysis and mediation skills in their conflict prevention work | **0** | 5 organisations in each of the three target districts | **0** | To be measured during the monitoring mission |

**How many Outputs does Outcome 2 have? 2**

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2 and for each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

**Output 2.1:** Mechanisms to prevent sexual and gender-based violence strengthened and women and girls’ access to safe spaces increased in target districts.

| **Output Indicators** | **Indicator Baseline** | **End of project Indicator Target** | **Indicator progress for reporting period** | **Indicator progress to Date** | **Reasons for Variance/ Delay**  **(if any)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indicator 2.1.1  % of women and men with increased knowledge of SGBV, its prevention and reporting mechanisms | **TBD** | **80%** | **40%** | **20%** | Most SGBV activities have been conducted in Mangochi as in the other districts selection of local female led CSOs to implement the activities has just been completed |
| Indicator 2.1.2  Number of security personnel with improved understanding and application of SGBV prevention and safe space needs for women in border crossing points | **0** | **60** |  | **0** | Training of 90 security personel has been planned to take place mid November |
| Indicator 2.1.3  % of women and human rights organisations who believe that SGBV has reduced by the end of the projec**t** | **0** | **80%** |  | **10%** | To be reported in the next report as now only 2 women led organisations have been engaged |

**Output 2.2:** Youth and women-led organisations and networks in the target districts mobilised and capacitated to support their active participation and representation in decision-making processes and socio-economic opportunities.

| **Output Indicators** | **Indicator Baseline** | **End of project Indicator Target** | **Indicator progress for reporting period** | **Indicator progress to Date** | **Reasons for Variance/ Delay**  **(if any)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indicator 2.2.1  % of beneficiaries who can show that their lives have improved as a result of the project’s interventions (e.g., are more active in decision-making, have improved their business or taken advantage of opportunities) | **0** | **80%** | 10% | 20% | **The project has just been introduced in some areas** |
| Indicator 2.2.2  number of women successfully enrolled in livelihood programmes under the project by December 2023 | **0** | **60** | **0** | **0** | Presently finalizing the recruitment of Implementing Partners who will be key in working with the women for the livelihood programmes. |

**Please repeat the outcome level and output level reporting for each outcome and its respective outputs**

**PART III: CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES**

Is the project planning any significant events in the next 6 months (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.) Yes

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Event Description*** | | ***Tentative Date*** | | ***Location*** | ***Target Audience*** | ***Event Objectives (150 word limit)*** |
| Project Steering Committee | | December | | Lilongwe | PSC | The objective of the PSC is to provide updates on the project activities and present the AWP in 2024 |
|  |  | |  | |  |
| Launch of the UNSCR 2250 NAPBTBD | Lilongwe | | All peace and Unity stakeholders and wider audience | | To Launch the Malawi Youth NAP and get commitments from allpartners on its implementation |
|  | |  | |  |  |  |

**Human Impact**

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries etc) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

1. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
2. The impact of the project on their lives
3. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Key stakeholder | What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation? (350 words max) | What has been the impact of the project on their lives (350 words max) | Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words max) |
| Gender | Limited Coordination on supporting GBV survivors | Improved coordination for supporting GBV survivors |  |
| Youth | Limited access to Sexual Reproductive Health Services. | Improved access to SRHR services | “I used to spend more money for transport, only to find out that the services were not available at the service centre, with the use of mobile technology, I only go to health centre after being assured that I the health facility has the required service) |

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had. (650 word limit):

You can also upload up to 3 ﬁles in various formats (picture ﬁles, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project and 3 links to online resources (OPTIONAL)

**Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.**

**How We Worked: (please select up to 3)**

Enhanced digitization:

Innovative ways of working[[2]](#footnote-3) [please explain]

Mobilized additional resources [please explain]

Improved or initiated policy frameworks [please explain]

Strengthened capacities [The capacities of the District Peace and Unity Committee, Police and immigration officers were strengthened through the training sessions.]

Partnered with local civil society organizations

Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will [please explain]

Strengthened partnerships with IFIs [please explain]

Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies [please explain]

Who are we working with (in addition to the Implementing Partners):

☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs [please explain]

Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies [please explain]

Partnered with local civil society organizations

**☐** Partnered with local academia

Partnered with sub-national entities

Partnered with national entities

**☐** Partnered with local volunteers

**LNOB – Leaving No one Behind:** Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative?

[mandatory]

Unemployed persons

Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)

Indigenous communities

Persons with Disabilities

Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)

Women

Youth

Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression

People living in and around border areas

Persons affected by natural disasters

Persons affected by armed conflicts

Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

**PART IV: MONITORING, EVALUATION AND COMPLIANCE**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Monitoring:** Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period (*350 word limit)*  *No monitoring activity has been undertaken. The project plans to conduct a monitoring mission in the week of 11 December.* | Do outcome indicators have baselines?  If yes, please provide a brief description  Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request):  Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives e.g. perception surveys  Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?  If yes, please provide a brief description (350 word limit) Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Evaluation:** Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?  Yes / No / Not Relevant | Evaluation budget (response required):  If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track: preparations *(350 word limit)*:  Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF: *Name* *Email* |
| **Catalytic effects (financial):** Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. | Name of funder: Amount:  The Government of Japan  USD 1,000,000  (UNDP)  UNDP TRAC fund  for social cohesion USD 20,000.  (UNDP)  Ireland through USD 367,444  SDG Accelerator Fund (UNDP, UNFPA, UNW, IOM)  PDA catalytic  (RCO)  the United Nations  USD 1,000,000  Women’s Peace and  Humanitarian Fund (UNW) |
| **Catalytic Eﬀect (non-ﬁnancial):** Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer‐term peacebuilding change to occur?  ***Please select***  No catalytic eﬀect  Some catalytic eﬀect  Signiﬁcant catalytic eﬀect  Very Signiﬁcant catalytic eﬀect  Don't Know  Too early to tell | If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-ﬁnancial) catalytic eﬀect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system (*Please limit your response to 350 words)* |
| **Sustainability:** Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project (350 word limit)  The project’s approach towards sustainability is focused on ensuring national and local level  ownership by the Malawi Peace and Unity Commission, Districts Peace Committees, borderland  community women and youth networks to ensure that the national capacities of the Peace and  Unity Commission, Local Government Authorities and community-based stakeholders on conflict  prevention and human rights protection are revitalized and/or built. The project will place a  significant focus on developing and strengthening sustainable community level structures that will  be able to survive even after the intervention has finished, and will complement theoretical  trainings, such as community policing, with on-the-job mentoring and guidance to increase  sustainability. | |
| **Other:** Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? *(350 word limit)* | |

**Monitoring and Oversight Activities[[3]](#footnote-4) (Select only as relevant)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Key Monitoring and Oversight Event** | **Findings and Summary** |
| Monitoring progress of safe space centres | Adolescent girls are to demand for SRHR commodities and services from service providers . |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

1. Evidence can include evidentiary support for results, including but not limited to: Surveys and other data collection initiatives, field mission reports, community based monitoring or other monitoring reports, third party monitoring, pre- and post surveys, photographs, policy and other documents, meeting minutes, evaluations, communication materials etc. PBF may request these documents if needed. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Where innovation is defined as **a product, service, or strategy that's both novel and useful.** Innovations don't have to be major breakthroughs in technology or digital solutions but includes here a new and/or creative approach to solving development challenges. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. These include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)