

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-21

2023-11-21

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Masayuki Yokota, Head of Country Programme, UN-Habitat

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

☒ yes

☐ no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report?

*

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

☒ yes

☐ no

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

☐ yes ☒ no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia | <input type="radio"/> Kenya | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar |
| <input type="radio"/> Somalia | <input type="radio"/> South Sudan | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Sudan |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ☐ 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur
- ☐ 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.
- ☐ 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding
- ☐ 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur
- ☐ 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence
- ☐ 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- ☐ 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur
- ☐ 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- ☐ 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition
- ☐ 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- ☐ 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods
- ☐ 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur
- ☐ 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur
- ☒ 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur
- ☐ 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan
- ☐ 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan
- ☐ 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders
- ☐ 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-04-29

2022-04-29

Project end Date *

2025-04-29

2025-04-29

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

If yes, please select which *

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☒ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☒ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients

<input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid	<input type="checkbox"/> The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
<input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)	
<input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)	<input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières
<input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium	<input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada
<input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK	<input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland
<input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF	<input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN
<input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide	<input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID
<input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid	<input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi
<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)	<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Estudios Superior (FESU)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
<input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation	
<input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)	<input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
<input type="checkbox"/> International Alert	<input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace
<input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI)	<input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
<input type="checkbox"/> (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar	
<input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps	<input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO
<input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR	<input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
<input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund	<input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM
<input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)	<input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct
<input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)	<input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
<input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer	<input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld
<input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire	<input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International
<input type="checkbox"/> ZDA	<input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify	

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☒ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Rural Community Development Organization (RCDO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

175000.00

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

RCDO contributes to facilitating the discussions and decision-making on the three options, supports trainers on Stabilized Soil Block (SSB) production (Training of Trainer alumni) in providing training to broader groups of male and female youth and piloting of six (6) units self-help housing construction for the most vulnerable returnee and Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs), and conducts awareness campaigns for dissemination of SSB technology among communities in target areas in West Darfur.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Triangle Génération Humanitaire (TGH)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

238480.59

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

TGH is establishing community reconciliation committees, facilitating youth-led dialogues, and microprojects & supporting inter-communal dialogues. They will also implement 3 community support projects.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Norwegian Refugee Commission (NRC)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

261602.85

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

NRC will implement outcome 4 in 2023, working toward the enhancement of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights and improved access to civil documentation. They will also implement 2 community support projects

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

[illegible]

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	4000000	2800000	1105210.55	27.6 3%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **27.63%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

33.77

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1350800**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 373229.6**. Is this correct?

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

289500

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF RAH WD Financial Report November 2023 1115-14_33_22.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☒ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Government steering committee was declared by Wali as a response to a request by the Director General of the Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure in February 2023, and it acted as a coordinating body of stakeholders for the land and housing component of the Project. It consists of 12 members chaired by the Sultan and deputy-chaired by the Supreme Council advisor, members of the line ministries, and community representatives for potential relocation sites.

- Sultan, Native Administration
- Wali office: Wali Peace Advisor (Deputy of the Technical Committee)
- Secretary General of (WD administration government) Wali office
- Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure
- Ministry of Finance
- Ministry of Agriculture
- Ministry of Health
- Ministry of Education
- Humanitarian Affairs Commission HAC
- Fursha from Native Administration, responsible for land, representing a potential return area (Tabareek). The committee had met twice, to orient members and agree on expected roles.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Until the outbreak of the conflict in April 2023, UN-Habitat and UNHCR actively engaged with various government representatives. UN-Habitat coordinated closely with the above-mentioned government steering committee at the state level, through which it engaged with the West Darfur Governor's office, Native Administration, Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Education, Humanitarian Affairs Commission and Locality Authorities of El Geneina, Kerenik and Beida. UN-Habitat also worked with the Land Registration Authority under the State Judiciary Administration. Alongside UN-Habitat, the West Darfur Inter-Sectoral Coordination Group (ISCG), Area-Humanitarian Country Team (A-HCT), and implementing partners TGH and NRC, UNHCR primarily interacted at state and locality levels. They liaised with personnel from the Civil Registry, Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure, the Wali's office, specifically the Supreme Council for Peace, Humanitarian Aid Commission, and Ministry of Finance, concerning project-related matters, notably the government-led initiative supporting returns to Krinding areas.

As part of larger efforts supporting durable solutions and returns/relocations, UN-Habitat and UNHCR held discussions with government counterparts, via UNHCR's leadership roles in the Protection Working Group (PWG) and UN-Habitat as a co-chair of the Housing, Land and Property (HLP) Sub Working Group, and through the Durable Solutions Working Group (DSWG). Following on the Minimum Standards for Return and Relocation position paper outlined in the previous report, UNHCR continued its sustained engagement with the wide range of government authorities and official native administration leaders and structures, to ensure that the principles outlined would be upheld.

Since April 2023, government counterparts have largely fled the State, and efforts to maintain the contact have met with difficulty.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

- ☒ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Both UN-Habitat and UNHCR finalised staff recruitment and the contracting of partners: a national NGO, RCDO, and international NGO's, TGH and NRC. RCDO was selected to facilitate the discussions on return, relocation and local integration and capacity building on SSB. TGH was selected to achieve greater implementation synergy with other currently ongoing PBF project implementation, while NRC was selected to complement and to leverage their global expertise on housing, land and property, and for their information, counselling and legal assistance programming. The program manager, gender/community mobilization officer, and M&E officer, low-cost housing construction engineer, GIS/settlement planner and SSB trainer were brought on board for UN-Habitat, as well as the Assistant Legal Office was recruited for UNHCR. Locations (5) for the implementation of the program were selected and a range of activities had commenced.

Due to the outbreak of the conflict on 15th April, all activities have been put on hold as the prevailing security environment became untenable, resulting in UN security and program criticality restrictions. Furthermore, there have been significant changes in West Darfur with regard to the feasibility of implementing activities related to durable solutions and housing land and property, given the sensitivity of the ongoing conflict and profile of those individuals and families displaced. As such, the program is under review. The project team is currently working on developing an amended project document with the proposed reprogramming of activities, to be shared with the UN PBF in the coming weeks.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

N/A (the project does not end within 6 months).

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

<p>Outcome 1:</p> <p>Peaceful coexistence is enhanced among IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in conflict affected areas, including areas of displacement and return</p>	*
<p>Outcome 2:</p> <p>Peaceful and sustainable relocation, return and security of tenure, enhanced by access to effective mechanisms that clarify housing, land and property (HLP) rights in compliance with relevant international human rights standards.</p>	*
<p>Outcome 3:</p> <p>Self-reliance of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities, particularly male and female youth, enhanced through capacity development on self-help reconstruction using ISSB, which will inform the national and state housing policy framework.</p>	*
<p>Outcome 4:</p> <p>Durable solutions for IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in West Darfur advanced through enhancement of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights and improved access to civil documentation.</p>	*
<p>Outcome 5:</p>	*
<p>Outcome 6:</p>	*
<p>Outcome 7:</p>	*
<p>Outcome 8:</p>	*
<p>Additional Outcomes</p> <p><i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i></p>	*

Outcome 1: Peaceful coexistence is enhanced among IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in conflict affected areas, including areas of displacement and return

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

- ☒ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

★

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Prior to the outbreak of the conflict in Sudan on the 15th of April, and since the last reporting period, UNHCR and its implementing partners, NRC and TGH had made some initial progress with a range of activities. Locations for the programming were selected based on their displacement profiles and the potential opportunities for supporting social cohesion, returns and/or integration of IDPs. Community reconciliation committees were established in 4 locations, Majemarei, Mulli, Gokar and Mornei, with the 5th location put on hold as a precautionary measure due to a government-led relocation initiative of IDPs from the El Geneina gathering sites to Krinding that was ongoing at the time. The formation of the committees consisted of multiple day visits in which the communities were sensitized to the purpose and terms of reference, and went through a selection process, including considerations of age, gender and diversity. The capacity building of the selected members included key pillars of conflict and dispute resolution, dialogue, social cohesion, and utilized interactive techniques and approaches from the Alternatives to Violence Program, which seeks to introduce concepts of affirmation, respect, cooperation and trust to support the strengthening of feelings of community amongst its participants. Community, youth, and high-level dialogues were also held in each of the selected locations to continue to support broader efforts at improving social cohesion and overall community trust, while also aiming to inform later project outcomes such as the shared public facilities. High-level dialogues were attended by local government representatives such as Locality Executive Directors, HAC, and various line Ministries, to ensure continued local government buy-in and overall sustainability of programming.

Furthermore, following extensive engagement and planning with UNITAMS Police Advisory Unit in West Darfur, the first Community Oriented Policing training was conducted in Krinding, which saw community members and local police forces trained on a range of concepts to support better community-oriented dispute resolution. Further trainings were planned to occur on a monthly basis, however were interrupted due to the conflict.

As a result of the conflict, and given the the significant changes in the operational environment in West Darfur, and the potential impacts that sustained conflict will have all activities under this output have been put on hold and are under review.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Gender equality and women's empowerment has been considered throughout the implementation of the outcome, however considerable challenges were faced in the initial activities. With regard to youth inclusion, the activities saw much better outcomes. In establishing the community reconciliation committee's attention was given to the age, gender and diversity of the selected members to ensure that there would be appropriate representation to adequately and fairly deal with conflict and dispute resolution. While there was generally very good youth representation in each location, reflecting their willingness to participate in civic structures, there was generally poor female participation in the committees themselves, with the lowest percentage of involvement being 25% and the highest 46%. While women do generally tend to attend the information sessions, and take part in the voting sessions to elect the committee members, there is a lack of interest in taking up the actual representation position. Therefore, implementing partners reported a difficulty in achieving gender parity. This reluctance from female participants may be due to cultural barriers, as well as the dominance of men in more prominent community leadership positions. It was also expressed by the project teams that domestic responsibilities create a further barrier to participation. The project teams continued to express the importance of gender equality in their briefings on the roles and responsibilities of the committees and had conducted awareness through youth civil society organisations, however the representation of women remained relatively low.

During the dialogues, women's participation was supported through the active facilitation of the CRC's and the organisations supporting (PORD and Sustainable Dialogue Centre), however challenges persist due to the public nature of the forum. Women have expressed that they are sometimes uncomfortable speaking publicly. Despite the cultural barriers, female participation at the events was quite high.

With regard to the COP trainings, female participation was again relatively low despite repeated requests by UNHCR with UNITAMS Police Advisory Unit and the local police unit. Police participants had to be selected in collaboration with the local Police Commissioner, who put forward just 1 low-ranking female participant out of 15 participants. Guarantees were sought that the remaining four sessions would include greater women's participation, however all activities have remained on hold and thus has not been able to be rectified. The community participation was higher at 5/15, however still below what was aimed and for the same reasons articulated on the community reconciliation outcomes.

Outcome 2: Peaceful and sustainable relocation, return and security of tenure, enhanced by access to effective mechanisms that clarify housing, land and property (HLP) rights in compliance with relevant international human rights standards.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☒ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

An Urban Observatory Centre was established in December 2022 with a series of practical training for the technical staff of the State Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure:

- **Over 1-month of on-the-job training (OJT) and follow-up technical mentoring support on survey and mapping tools (Real Time Kinematic, AutoCad) for 24 technical staff**
- **4 sequenced training on land administration, spatial planning, mapping and surveying techniques for 15 key staffs forming a core technical team**
- **development of guiding materials for participatory data collection by community members.**

Mapping and surveying tools and equipment were also provided. Some tangible results from the OJT included:

- **installation of 16 geodetic control points (permanent reference markers placed in the ground as coordinates for mapping)**
- **delineation of neighbourhoods' boundaries for production of an administrative map**
- **digitization of old city cadastral maps (large-scale maps showing the boundaries and subdivisions of land)**
- **production of a unified cadastral map (first of its kind) of El Geneina city.**

The exercise provided planners, engineers, and surveyors with abilities to manage land administration, aiming to improve the security of land tenure and to contribute to the sustainable and voluntary return, relocation and local integration of IDPs. These 24 trained staff were to train additional 25 technical staff representing other localities in future, using the Urban Observatory Centre as a technical hub to ensure knowledge sharing for sustainability.

The project supported land department, survey department and urban design department of the Ministry with necessary IT equipment and above-mentioned capacity building to replace paper-based land information system with digital system, enabling effective maintenance and update of land information.

The project helped identify potential return and relocation site that meets criteria of free of competing land claims, with clear ownership, access to urban centres and basic services, low flood risk. The project supported one such site, Tabareek village, through redesigning and planning to change the land use designation of the area to allow settlement development, which was reviewed and endorsed by the State Planning Committee, offering possibility of accommodating around 3,600 plots for returnees and 2,400 plots for IDPs who wish to relocate.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Capacity building on mapping and surveying techniques involving OJT had 8 females (33%) among 24 participants, and further training for the core technical team had 6 (40%) females among 15 participants. Training modules included women's HLP rights, importance of women's participation in village replanning and sketch mapping, identifying their roles in resource distribution and management, and rights of vulnerable women on housing and land title. The training highlighted the major role played by women in providing to families in Darfur communities. The project engaged women participating in the data collection and mapping exercises at the community level through sensitization in the communities on the importance of women's participation.

Outcome 3: Self-reliance of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities, particularly male and female youth, enhanced through capacity development on self-help reconstruction using ISSB, which will inform the national and state housing policy framework.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

- ☒ 1. Off Track
- ☐ 2. On Track
- ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Capacity building on the production and construction techniques using stabilized soil block (SSB) was undertaken, through Training of Trainers (ToT) targeting male and female youth, including:

- IDP youth
- Nomads and non-displaced youth
- Non-displaced youth representatives
- West Darfur High Technical School
- Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure
- Public Housing Fund
- Construction Contractor Union

A series of consultation was made with relevant stakeholders in preparation for the launch of training on SSB to agree on the selection criteria of trainees.

Two rounds of 17-days SSB training were completed, addressing 104 youth participants. 59 male and 35 female youth learned SSB production and construction techniques, including production of ferrocement roofing module. Additional two-day training on SSB production was done for 10 technical staff (5 male, 5 female) from Ministry of Infrastructure, Contractor's Union and National Fund Housing.

The project conducted a 3-days exhibition to disseminate technologies of soil stabilize block (SSB) to wider community of El-Geneina as a low cost, environmentally friendly construction technique. Approximately 650 people attended the exhibition that comprised of information sessions, block-making demonstration, mini drama and quiz.

The ToT received broad support and interest from local actors involved in construction and housing, but especially from the West Darfur High Technical School, which later approached UN-Habitat, soliciting its support for integrating SSB production and construction techniques in their curriculum.

UNHCR had planned to implement the construction of five (5) shared public facilities in each of the selected locations under outcome 1. NRC had commenced initial community engagements and consultations in Krinding area of El Geneina to determine the community needs and preferences. Unfortunately, due to the outbreak of the conflict, no further progress was made, and the activity remains on hold. TGH was also preparing for specific consultations regarding the shared public facilities, however, did not manage to complete any.

As a result of the conflict, and given the the significant changes in the operational environment in West Darfur, and the potential impacts that sustained conflict will have all activities under this output have been put on hold and are under review.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The pilot SSB training (ToT) assured 38% of the participants female among the SSB trainees in the entire training sessions of 15 days. In the process of the participants selection with local stakeholders, the project team emphasized the importance of having female youth in SSB production and construction for self-help housing. These participants became SSB trainers to further disseminate the skills to male and female youth. Construction and block production sectors are largely considered male occupations locally. It is expected that the presence of female SSB trainers may encourage the entry of female work force into the sector.

As part of the planning and consultation process for the construction of shared public facilities, UNHCR and its implementing partners had planned to ensure that the preferences and needs of women were incorporated into the selection of facilities, and the subsequent mainstreaming of gender in design. This may have taken the form of specific infrastructure to serve female community members, such as a women's centre, or may have more likely taken the shape a gender mainstreamed approach that might, for example, include a female only space in a community gender, gender separated bathrooms and additional lighting at night. Unfortunately, the activity was never able to reach this stage of planning.

Outcome 4: Durable solutions for IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in West Darfur advanced through enhancement of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights and improved access to civil documentation.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☒ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Similar to the above outcomes, the activities under outcome 4 commenced after the previous reporting period and were underway up until April 15, when the conflict broke out in Sudan. UNHCR's implementing partner NRC had commenced their information, counselling, and legal assistance program in both El Geneina and Kerenik, which included the hiring and capacity development of a program team, and initial engagements with local authorities, including the civil registry and the ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure. They were also able to complete one (1) training for the civil registry, one (1) awareness session for community members, and had commenced individual ICLA counselling and support. With regard to the individual counselling, 346 males and 127 females received support on civil documentation, while 61 males received support on housing, land and property issues. Following the outbreak of the conflict, all activities have been put on hold due to both UNHCR and NRC's security and program criticality restrictions. The HLP related research that was planned to be implemented by NRC was also put on hold due to the uncertainty around the current environment in West Darfur, which will not allow for field work and will inevitably result in important changes to the HLP landscape over the immediate to medium term in West Darfur. This is particularly relevant following the scale of targeted attacks against the Masalit over the past months, the dominant ethnicity of the state, who also make up the majority of displaced persons from the state.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Under this outcome, specific parity targets were set to ensure that women were targeted equally with information, counselling and legal assistance component for both civil documentation and housing, land and property documentation. This was done to ensure equality of opportunity for women and particularly to support female headed households in need of HLP and civil documentation support, given the large barriers for women that had been identified in previous studies on the issue. Since all activities are now on hold, those targets have not yet been met.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Peaceful coexistence is enhanced among IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in conflict affected areas, including areas of displacement and return**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	% of women (disaggregated by age and tribe) in target areas who report increased participation in community-based structures contributing to peaceful coexistence	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting baseline
1.2	% of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report positive interactions with individuals from disputing communities	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting baseline
1.3	% of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report positive engagement with police	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting baseline

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Dialogue and collaborative problem solving between IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities enhanced through community-based structures and reconciliation events

Output 1.2

Social cohesion and inter-communal tolerance promoted through youth-led community art and recreational micro-projects.

Output 1.3

Relations between police and local communities improved through increased engagement of community members (i.e., IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced) using community-oriented policing approach.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Dialogue and collaborative problem solving between IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities enhanced through community-based structures and reconciliation events	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	# of inclusive CRCs, including with representation of women and youth, established and supported	0	5	4	4	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
1.1.2	# of disputes resolved by CRCs	0	TBD	10	10	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

1.1.3	# of community-level dialogue and reconciliation events implemented, including with the involvement of women and youth	0	10	10	10	N/A
-------	--	---	----	----	----	-----

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Social cohesion and inter-communal tolerance promoted through youth-led community art and recreational micro-projects.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	# of youth-led consultations with target communities, including with the active leadership and participation of young women	0	5	1	1	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
1.2.2	# of youth-led community art and/or recreational micro-projects implemented	0	8	0	0	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Relations between police and local communities improved through increased engagement of community members (i.e., IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced) using community-oriented policing approach.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	# of gender-sensitive capacity building trainings on Community-Oriented Policing (COP) delivered	0	5	1 session held in Krinding, El Geneina. 16 police participants (15 M, 1 F); 15 community members (10 M, 5 F)	1 session held in Krinding, El Geneina. 16 police participants (15 M, 1 F); 15 community members (10 M, 5 F)	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

1.3.2	# of COP committees, including with representation of women and youth, established and supported	0	5	0	0	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Peaceful and sustainable relocation, return and security of tenure, enhanced by access to effective mechanisms that clarify housing, land and property (HLP) rights in compliance with relevant international human rights standards.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of returnees and IDPs (disaggregated by gender and age) with secured tenure who have confidence for the prospect of return or peaceful integration.	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting baseline
2.2	Percentage (%) of IDP's, returnees, non-displaced communities and nomads (disaggregated by gender and age) with positive perceptions of the way the use and access to the land has been clarified, as well as the appropriateness of the selected sites for relocation.	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting baseline
2.3					
How many outputs does outcome 2 have?					
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.					
Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2					

Output 2.1

Guiding principles and policy on IDPs return, reintegration and resettlements developed and adopted among key stakeholders, clarifying Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights for Krinding IDP camp population

Output 2.2

Geo-spatial mapping of El Geneina, Krenik and Beida Localities is conducted and incorporated in the land management system and processes of the local authorities to help identify appropriate sites, in support of sustainable return, relocation and integration of IDPs

Output 2.3

Return, relocation and reintegration of IDPs supported, through settlement profiling in agreed relocation sites in El Geneina, Kreinik and Beida Localities and rural return areas, with public services and infrastructure planning

Output 2.4

Land tenure is clarified in a non-traditional method, and recognized in the demarcation of villages, sites and plots in the agreed relocation sites and rural return areas, to be recorded in a digital form in the government Land Information System (LIS)

Output 2.5

HLP rights practices in Krinding contributed to the national HLP frameworks

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Guiding principles and policy on IDPs return, reintegration and resettlements developed and adopted among key stakeholders, clarifying Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights for Krinding IDP camp population	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	Guiding principles and policy on IDPs reintegration and resettlement developed and adopted by the State and Locality government	0	1	0	0	Activity was in progress. Guiding principles were drafted and discussed with the counterpart for further development and finalization. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---

2.1.2	# State and Locality authorities personnel trained on HLP issues	0	40	24	24	<p>Activity was in progress. 24 personnel of the State and locality authorities received five training and capacity building sessions on HLP, including extended on-the-job training. A draft scope of work was developed on land management and conflict resolution mechanism for Dimlijes (native administrators in the Massalit Native Administration who are responsible of land management under customary purview and act as mediators to solve land related conflicts between farmers and pastoralist at the local level. In other tribes, they are identified as Omda). All procurement was completed for capacity building workshop for Native Administration (a workshop for 49 Dimlijes representing different</p>
-------	--	---	----	----	----	---

						<p>ethnicities and 8 locality administrative personnel). Supplier and facilitator were identified for a package of IT equipment to upgrade the land recordation/registration system and capacity-building of 25 personnel of Land Registration Authority under the State Judiciary Administration to promote land title registration. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.</p>
2.1.3	% of IDP's, returnees, non-displaced communities and nomads (disaggregated by gender and age) who report improved understandings on HLP rights	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A	<p>Sample surveys were to be done, as part of the baseline study, which was not made possible due to the security situation. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.</p>

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Geo-spatial mapping of El Geneina, Krenik and Beida Localities is conducted and incorporated in the land management system and processes of the local authorities to help identify appropriate sites, in support of sustainable return, relocation and integration of IDPs	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	# of Locality-level geo-spatial mappings done	0	4	N/A	N/A	
Activity was in progress. The scope of town profiling exercise was drafted. External consultants and Peace Studies Center of the Geneina University were identified to implement the town profiling exercise, in additional to the project counterpart and other youth volunteers from target areas. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.						

2.2.2	# of sustainable relocation action plans developed with relocation sites selected	0	3	N/A	N/A	Activity was in progress. A number of capacity-building measures for stakeholders was prepared to improve skills related to participatory planning methods. This was meant to enable interaction between communities and institutional actors (Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure, Land Registration Authorities) and the technical committee and facilitate the development of sustainable relocation action plans. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
-------	---	---	---	-----	-----	--

2.2.3	<p># of land and urban planning stakeholders trained on spatial mapping techniques</p> <p>2.2.4.# of local authorities with the geo-spatial mapping incorporated in the planning system and procedures</p>	0 2.2.4. 0	30 2.2.4. 4	15 2.2.4.N/A	15 2.2.4.N/A	<p>Activity was in progress. Extensive training was given to the technical staff of the Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure as described in the Progress Summary under the Outcome 2. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.</p> <p>2.2.4. Activity was in progress. A series of meetings engaged with government authorities (legislative and localities) to capacitate and incorporate STDN where applicable, to improve and safeguard spatial planning and land management system. A plan was agreed to capacitate onwards. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.</p>
-------	--	---------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	---

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Return, relocation and reintegration of IDPs supported, through settlement profiling in agreed relocation sites in EI Geneina, Kreinik and Beida Localities and rural return areas, with public services and infrastructure planning	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.3.1	# of settlement profiles completed and validated	0	3	N/A	N/A	Activity was in preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Preparation included the provision of surveying and mapping tools and equipment. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.3.2	# of community action plans for public services and infrastructure developed and validated	0	3	N/A	N/A	Activity was in preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4: Land tenure is clarified in a non-traditional method, and recognized in the demarcation of villages, sites and plots in the agreed relocation sites and rural return areas, to be recorded in a digital form in the government Land Information System (LIS)	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.4.1	# of sites and villages where STDM is applied	0	5	N/A	N/A	Activity was in progress. Consultation was done in three bilateral meetings with executive directors of El Geneina, Kerenik and Beida to identify potential villages for this exercise. Willingness of the communities for peaceful coexistence with different communities (groups) was a key determinant. The selection of potential villages was due to an additional joint assessment. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.4.2	# of sketch mapping and plot demarcation digitized and documented in Land Information System	0	5	N/A	N/A	Activity was in preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.4.3	# of personnel trained on the application of STDM, sketch mapping, and spatial data collection techniques with software installed for further use	0	30	N/A	N/A	Activity was in preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5: HLP rights practices in Krinding contributed to the national HLP frameworks	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1	HLP practices of Krinding documented and shared with relevant stakeholders	0	1	N/A	N/A	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» **Outcome 3: Self-reliance of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities, particularly male and female youth, enhanced through capacity development on self-help reconstruction using ISSB, which will inform the national and state housing policy framework.**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	% of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced households considering ISSB self-help construction as a viable option for their housing	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting Baseline
3.2	# of trained IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth (male and female) who put in practice the acquired ISSB skills in the construction of pilot housing and other structures	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting Baseline
3.3	% of targeted community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) who indicate improved social cohesion due to utilization of shared public facilities by different communities.	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting Baseline

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

IDP, returnee, nomadic, and non-displaced male and female youth acquired skills for Interlocking Stabilized Soil Block (ISSB) production and construction technique for the self-help housing (re)construction

Output 3.2

Trained male and female youth engaged in (re)construction of self-help housing - using ISSB technology - for the most vulnerable IDP, returnee, nomadic and non-displaced households

Output 3.3

Diverse community members (IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities) in target areas of return and/or local integration brought together through the identification and construction of shared public facilities.

Output 3.4

Self-help housing construction by ISSB practices reflected to the national housing frameworks

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: IDP, returnee, nomadic, and non- displace d male and female youth acquired skills for Interlock ing Stabilize d Soil Block (ISSB) producti on and construc tion techniqu e for the self-help housing (re)const ruction	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.1.1	# of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth (disaggregated by gender and age) trained as trainers of ISSB production techniques	0	308	104	104	8-day TOT on ISSB production was conducted. The ToT sessions trained 104 young men and women (59 men and 35 women from community members, 10 participants from technical institutions) to be trainers. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.1.2	# of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth (disaggregated by gender and age) trained as trainers on ISSB construction techniques including ferro-cement channel for roofing	0	154	35	35	7-day TOT on ISSB construction and ferro-cement casting and installation was conducted. The ToT sessions trained 35 male and female youth (22 male and 13 female) to be trainers. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

3.1.3	# of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth (disaggregated by gender and age) trained on ISSB production and construction techniques and ferro-cement channel for roofing	0	600	N/A	N/A	Activity was in preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
-------	---	---	-----	-----	-----	---

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Trained male and female youth engaged in (re)construction of self-help housing - using ISSB technology - for the most vulnerable IDP, returnee, nomadic and non-displaced households	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.2.1	# of self-help pilot housing units (re)constructed and handed over to most vulnerable households (beneficiaries disaggregated by gender, age, and vulnerability factors (female-headed households, disability, etc)	0	45	N/A	N/A	SSB techniques were disseminated among the communities and plans for pilot housing in support of vulnerable families were discussed. Preparation for self-help housing was completed with the provision of 50 SSB manual machines and 2 Hydro-form machines. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.2.2	Small scale community-based enterprise for ISSB production and construction established	0	5	N/A	N/A	Two community committees were formed out of SSB trainees and obtained registration as entities, with plans to further train youth, assist in rehabilitation of community infrastructure and pilot self-help construction. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3: Diverse community members (IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities) in target areas of return and/or local integration brought together through the identification and construction of shared public facilities.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1	# of shared, public facilities identified and constructed	0	5	0	0	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4: Self-help housing construction by ISSB practices reflected to the national housing frameworks	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1	Lessons learned from the ISSB self-help housing construction documented and shared with relevant stakeholders	0	1	N/A	N/A	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4: Durable solutions for IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in West Darfur advanced through enhancement of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights and improved access to civil documentation.

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1	% of national, humanitarian, and development actors (disaggregated by gender, sector, and organisation) who indicate improved awareness and understanding of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) issues in Darfur	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting Baseline
4.2	% of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report improved awareness of HLP rights and/or civil documentation	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting Baseline
4.3	% of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report improved access to HLP and/or civil documentation	TBD	TBD	n/a	Awaiting Baseline

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 ☒ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

National, humanitarian, and development policies on Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights in Darfur enhanced through thematic studies and policy roundtables on HLP restitution and compensation regularisation of IDP camps/sites; and women's HLP rights.

Output 4.2

Realisation of individual HLP rights, as well as provision of civil documentation, supported through information, counselling, and legal assistance.

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1: National, humanitarian, and development policies on Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights in Darfur enhanced through thematic studies and policy roundtables on HLP restitution and compensation regularisation of IDP camps/sites; and women's HLP rights.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

4.1.1	# of HLP-related reports published and disseminated	0	3	0	0	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
4.1.2	# of HLP-related roundtable discussions held, including with participation of women and youth	0	3	0	0	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2: Realisation of individual HLP rights, as well as provision of civil documentation, supported through information, counselling, and legal assistance.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1	# of trainings for the West Darfur Civil Registry	0	2	1	1	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
4.2.2	# of individuals, disaggregated by age and gender, who receive counselling and legal assistance on civil documentation	0	1200	473 (346 M, 127 F)	473 (346 M, 127 F)	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
4.2.3	# of individuals, disaggregated by age and gender, who receive counselling and legal assistance on HLP	0	1200	61 (61 M, 0 F)	61 (61 M, 0 F)	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3;	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4;	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes.						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1					
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)

1	Government representative stakeholders	Local and state governments in Darfur are burdened with a multitude of competing objectives and activities. These include the provision of basic services, education, employment, and addressing displacement issues, among various other deficiencies. The struggle to manage these competing priorities and limited budgets, compounded by intricate political dynamics in regions marked by persistent intercommunal violence and a history of conflict, often leads to the neglect of youth-related concerns. This neglect extends to aspects such as youth participation in civic spaces, public policy, and decision-making processes, which are not accorded high priority in these challenging environments	Through sustained engagement by participating UN agencies, NGO implementing partners and by youth themselves, there appears to be a significant shift in the importance placed on youth related issues by the government. This was inextricably tied to their increased focus on peacebuilding and the search for durable solutions across the two states. Youth populations in Darfur make them hard to ignore as a constituency, and there is increasing pressure on political representatives through emerging technologies such as social media. Through sustained aligned rhetoric on the importance of grassroots and widespread inclusion in peacebuilding processes, the government structures and institutions began to reflect and be more open to youth inclusion and their related issues.	“The representative of the Supreme Council for Peace in West Darfur, Mr. Ahmed Sharif stated that local mediations should be carried out through community dialogue, as peace cannot be achieved when there is no space for dialogues. He encouraged the newly formed Reconciliation Committee to provide space for dialogue in all places to understand the local realities and to listen to everyone. There are insiders who have intimate knowledge of local situations and can gain the trust of the local populations and leaders more easily than the external actors. By giving them the skills and tools, will position them uniquely to mediate conflicts and address issues that have plagued relations among communities for years.” – A 2nd hand quote from state government official attending a youth-led dialogue jointly organised by a community reconciliation committee and TGH.
---	--	---	--	---


2	Female community member participant of COP training Om Alhassan	<p>Many areas in West Darfur have experienced multiple bouts of intercommunal violence and conflict which has severely eroded the trust of regular citizens in the police, other armed forces and justice institutions. This lack of trust has led to an overall lack of accountability for victims and has continued to fuel unresolved grievances leading to new conflicts and disputes.</p>	<p>In partnership with UNITAMS, UNHCR supported trainings amongst both local police units and some key community members. The trainings served as a forum to bring together local communities and police in order to address this trust deficit and to develop skills allowing authorities to (i) consult with communities; (ii) respond to communities; and (iii) solve recurring problems. In doing so, the trainings were to support justice and accountability and communities to have their say in how policing is carried out in their communities.</p>	<p>As a participant in the training, Om Alhassan discovered a newfound understanding of community-oriented policing and its pivotal role in safeguarding women's rights and enhancing the safety of their neighborhoods. She wholeheartedly embraced the principles of community policing, realizing that it could be a powerful tool for crime prevention and fostering a safer environment for her community. During the workshop, she expressed her gratitude to those who made this training possible. She emphasized the importance of community involvement in crime prevention and the empowerment of women, highlighting the need for collaborative efforts. Om Alhassan appreciated the opportunity given to her and her fellow participants to provide recommendations and actively engage in discussions related to community-oriented policing and various associated matters. Direct quote, translated by UNHCR staff: "In fact, we discussed many topics in the community policing training and their impact on society. We also addressed how we interact with the general police,</p>
---	---	--	---	---

				women, and children. We understood women's rights and conveyed our message and recommendations through the workshop, while also balancing the relationship between the community and the police.”
--	--	--	--	---

3	<p>Female Police Officer Participant of COP training - Insaf Ismail Yousif Bara</p>	<p>Many areas in West Darfur have experienced multiple bouts of intercommunal violence and conflict which has severely eroded the trust of regular citizens in the police, other armed forces and justice institutions. This lack of trust has led to an overall lack of accountability for victims and has continued to fuel unresolved grievances leading to new conflicts and disputes.</p>	<p>In partnership with UNITAMS, UNHCR supported trainings amongst both local police units and some key community members. The trainings served as a forum to bring together local communities and police in order to address this trust deficit and to develop skills allowing authorities to (i) consult with communities; (ii) respond to communities; and (iii) solve recurring problems. In doing so, the trainings were to support justice and accountability and communities to have their say in how policing is carried out in their communities.</p>	<p>Among the dedicated participants was Insaf Ismail Yousif Bara, a courageous policewoman from the Department of Protection of Civilian Force. As the workshop unfolded, it became evident to her that the knowledge and skills being imparted have transformative potential. The workshop served as a beacon of empowerment for Insaf, equipping her with the tools and understanding needed to forge stronger bonds between the police force and the communities they served. This newfound knowledge opened her eyes to the profound impact that community-oriented policing could have on fostering trust, safety, and harmony in the region. In the aftermath of the workshop, Insaf returned to her duty post in West Darfur with renewed determination and a deeper sense of purpose. She was now not just a policewoman but an advocate for change, a bridge-builder between the force and the community. Insaf's commitment to implementing the principles of community-oriented policing began to yield visible results. In the words of Insaf Ismail Yousif Bara, translated by UNHCR staff: "The workshop opened my eyes to a</p>
---	---	--	---	--

				<p>new way of policing, one that values the community's needs and concerns. It's a journey I'm proud to be on, and I'm grateful for the opportunities that UNHCR and UNITAMS have given us to create a safer and more secure environment for everyone in West Darfur."</p>
--	--	--	--	--

4	Community Leader - Masalit tribe participant of COP training Sheck Ibrahim Mohammed Adam	<p>Many areas in West Darfur have experienced multiple bouts of intercommunal violence and conflict which has severely eroded the trust of regular citizens in the police, other armed forces and justice institutions. This lack of trust has led to an overall lack of accountability for victims and has continued to fuel unresolved grievances leading to new conflicts and disputes.</p>	<p>In partnership with UNITAMS, UNHCR supported trainings amongst both local police units and some key community members. The trainings served as a forum to bring together local communities and police in order to address this trust deficit and to develop skills allowing authorities to (i) consult with communities; (ii) respond to communities; and (iii) solve recurring problems. In doing so, the trainings were to support justice and accountability and communities to have their say in how policing is carried out in their communities</p>	<p>Sheck Ibrahim Mohammed Adam, the head of the Krinding Camp in El Geneina, was a participant in UNHCR's Community Oriented Policing Training program in cooperation with the UNITAMS. Hailing from the Masalit Tribe, Sheck represented his community as a participant in the program Sheck enthusiastically shared his experience, expressing how invaluable the workshop was for him. He underscored the immense benefits he gained from it, particularly in learning how to collaborate effectively with the police to prevent crimes within the camp. From tackling issues such as theft and drug-related problems to addressing a myriad of other safety concerns for the internally displaced persons (IDPs) in the Krinding Camp, Sheck recognized the profound impact this training had on enhancing the security and well-being of the camp's residents. Direct quote translated by UNHCR staff: "We are very pleased to have attended the Community Policing Workshop in West Darfur State at the center, and we benefited from many issues on how to interact with the Unified Police and</p>
---	--	--	--	--

				<p>how to deal with them in the current unresolved issues in the camps, such as rape and drug-related cases, as well as all the issues present in the camp. We must interact with the police”</p>
<p>In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)</p> <p>Key stakeholder: SSB trainers from Arab and Massalit communities</p> <p>What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation?: Relations between Arab and Massalit communities are marked by intercommunal conflict.</p> <p>What has been the impact of the project on their lives?: In SSB training conducted by UN-Habitat, Arab youth and Massalit youth were trained together over 15 days. The training included some extracurricular activities, including exchange visits to Krinding camp and to Al Salam Nomad’s village, health center built with SSB technology in El Jabal area, visits to the raw material sites and picnics. Learning and working together has created impact beyond the skills they learned, as the two groups of youth started to interact with each other in a friendly manner, which was taken as a seed for social cohesion by other institutional participants.</p> <p>Testimonial: “I participated in the training on production of SSB and roofing construction, I am proud that I can use the machine to produce blocks all by myself, and I am confident and aware of safety measures, thanks to UNHABITAT on offering us this opportunity, I will reflect to my community in Abu Zar camp”. Fatma Gomaa Mohamed, participant, Abu Zar IDP camp, West Darfur.</p> <p>Testimonial on HLP components: “I have been receiving training with UNHABITAT and applying the approaches of participatory mapping and land administration since 2016, we started by using manual data collection and mapping tools, in this new PBF project we received advanced training on utilizing mobile data collection and digital mapping applications, it is a huge step to ensure the security of land management system and secure the rights of local communities to land”. Omaina Adam Ibrahim, Land inspector, Department of Urban Planning, Ministry of Infrastructure.</p>				
<p>You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p>				
<p>File 1</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>Photos testimonials-15_24_3.docx </p>				
<p>File 2</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>Haga clic aquí para subir el archivo. (<10MB)</p>				
<p>File 3</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>Haga clic aquí para subir el archivo. (<10MB)</p>				

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project worked through strengthening of capacity of local authorities and communities, including: capacity building of community reconciliation committee members on conflict and dispute resolution, dialogue, social cohesion; of community members and local police forces on community oriented policing; of technical staff of the State Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure on land administration for durable solutions; and of local communities and housing actors on environmentally sensitive and affordable construction techniques.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project partnered with local civil society organizations, including:

- **Support for Affordable Reconstruction Association: youth-led CSO formed to disseminate SSB**
- **Sustainable Dialogue Centre: youth led CSO to conduct dialogues and reconciliation events**
- **PORD: local CSO to support implementation of dialogues and the establishment of community reconciliation committees**
- **Local groups of Youth Peace Ambassadors (a collection of youth from Geneina, Kerenik and around Geneina) for dialogue**

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)

*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project partnered with local civil society organizations, including:

- **Support for Affordable Reconstruction Association: youth-led CSO formed to disseminate SSB**
- **Sustainable Dialogue Centre: youth led CSO to conduct dialogues and reconciliation events**
- **PORD: local CSO to support implementation of dialogues and the establishment of community reconciliation committees**
- **Local groups of Youth Peace Ambassadors (a collection of youth from Geneina, Kerenik and around Geneina) for dialogue**

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☒ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Onsite project implementation monitoring visits – these were conducted in the initial phases of the establishment of the community reconciliation committees in Majemari, Mulli & Gokar. The purpose was to ensure full understanding of participants and ensure quality implementation. A number of recommendations and shortcomings were identified, such as gender representation and inclusion, and flagged to the implementing partner, to be rectified in the following community consultation/visit. Onsite monitoring was also conducted during the COP trainings, and recommendations were developed for future UNITMAS PAU-led trainings, particularly with regard to female participation.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Implementing partner activity reports, monitoring reports, photos, project documentation (such as attendance sheets, agendas etc.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- ☐ yes
- ☐ no
- ☒ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

Response required

110000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

N/A

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

Mutaz Mustafa Ali Nugud (mutaz.nugud@un.org)

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- ☒ No catalytic effect
- ☐ Some catalytic effect
- ☐ Significant catalytic effect
- ☐ Very Significant catalytic effect
- ☐ Don't Know
- ☐ Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Much of the sustainability goals of the project were centred around creating conducive conditions for durable solutions in areas that had been previously affected by conflict, as well as supporting government coordination efforts and technical capacities for housing, land and property issues. Given the outbreak of conflict since April 2023, and the scale of displacement and ethnic nature of the violence that has occurred in West Darfur since then, the prevailing conditions have fundamentally changed since the project was originally conceived. This has meant that many of the activities are not feasible to resume in the short to medium term, and there is significant uncertainty around the continuity of government staff and institutions.

As a result of the conflict, and given the significant changes in the operational environment in West Darfur, and the potential impacts that sustained conflict will have all activities have been put on hold and are under review. UN-Habitat and UNHCR are currently in the processes of developing a reprogramming request to be submitted to the UN-PBF for their review and comments shortly.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

N/A

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.