

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
 Annual
 Final
 Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-15

2023-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

oulaye yedea emilie

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

OIM PSU

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- yes
 no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- yes
 no
 Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- yes no

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

- 00122834/5: Creating peace dividends for women and youth through increased cross-border trade and strengthened food security
- 00124132/3/4: Les jeunes, tisserands de la paix dans les régions transfrontalières du Gabon, Cameroun et Tchad
- 00125232/3: Lutte contre la traite des personnes et les trafics illicites et la criminalité organisée qui y sont liées entre la RCA et le Cameroun
- 00130007/8: Promoting community stabilization in border communities through durable solutions for mixed migration flows and effective border management between Angola and the DRC
- Other, Specify

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

- Angola
- Burundi
- Cameroon
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Congo, The Democratic Republic
- Gabon
- Lesotho
- Malawi
- Rwanda
- Zimbabwe
- Other, Specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-01-06

2022-01-06

Project end Date *

2024-01-06

2024-01-06

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- yes
- no

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

15

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Action for peace and social cohesion

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

150000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Activités communautaires

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

voir rapport joint 15 partenaires en tout

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

3944000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

activités communautaires

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Charité sans frontières

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

150000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

activités communautaires

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

fondation kadima

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

303000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

activités communautaires

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Association of Volunteers for International Service Foundation (AVSI)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

554000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

protection enfants frontières

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Let's Live Healthy (VIBOSA)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

180000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

protection veille communautaire frontière

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

CNR National Commission for Refugees

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

120000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

plaidoyer rapatriement volontaire

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Actions and Interventions for Development and Social Supervision (AIDES)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

842000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

shelters

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Association for Social Development and Environmental Protection (ADSSE)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

659000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

shelters

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Transcultural Psychosocial Organization (TPO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

120000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

protection enfant

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

African Initiatives for Relief and Development (AIRD)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

530000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

rapatriement

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Jesuit Refugee Services (JRS)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

157000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

border protection

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

World Vision International (WVI)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

73000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

rapatriement logistic

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Igreja Evangelica dos Irmaos de Angola

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

20500

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

intervention santé

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Ajuda de Desenvolvimento de Povo para Povo (ADPP)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

54000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

vocational training

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
IOM: International Organization for Migration	2600000 *	1300000 *	1080000 *	41.54 %
UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees	2500000 *	1250000 *	1233000 *	49.32 %
TOTAL	5100000	2550000	2313000	45.35%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **45.35%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

31.2

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1591200**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 721656**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

RapportConsumT1-consolide-CB-17_26_12.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

- yes
- no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

An annual meeting of the steering committee was held on 5 October 2023 at the Hilton Hotel in Kinshasa. The meeting was attended by several authorities and stakeholders from the DRC and Angola. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the progress of the project in the two countries, identify challenges and draw up recommendations for an implementation strategy. At the end of the meeting, several recommendations were made by the participants, including a cost-free extension for a large number of activities yet to be carried out. This meeting is in addition to the two other provincial technical committee meetings organized simultaneously in the towns of Tshikapa (Kasaï) and Kananga (Kasaï central) in May 2023.

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

To date, all the staff needed to implement the project in the agencies have been recruited and deployed in the field, including the staff of the implementing partners. All the preparatory activities have been completed (baseline study, beneficiary targeting, focal points within government departments) and the contracts with the implementing partners have been signed. The results of the baseline study were used to update certain project targets in the results monitoring framework. Following this baseline study, and the results of the mid-term survey of perceptions of the project's impact, the consortium submitted a request to modify the project's logical framework to the local PBF secretariat in the DRC.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

1. Improved border management and protection framework for vulnerable people

Border and Government officials in Angola and the DRC have benefited from a range of training courses

Since these actions, several advances have been noted: (i) fewer abuses have been reported by civil society at the Kamako border post; (ii) 80% of officers feel ready to manage migratory flows thanks to the various training courses they have received; (iii) there has also been a 7.9% reduction in unregulated movements. The communities living along the border strip are satisfied with the treatment of migration officers at the various border posts in the DRC and Angola. Furthermore, by mutual agreement between the two parties, the frequency of expulsions has been reduced to once a week on a known date.

2. Reopening dialogue on border management between the DRC and Angola at technical level

As part of the mechanisms for collaboration and information exchange, several meetings have been organized between the Angolan and Congolese border services: Dundo in April 2023, which brought together officers from the 3 provinces, as well as Chissanda, Chikolondo and Kalamba Mbuji in February 2023. These meetings enabled technical recommendations to be made. Since April 2023, the national authorities have organised several meetings (3) between their departments in Dundo and Luanda in particular, on their own initiative and without the support of the consortium, which demonstrates a real ownership of the project and its objectives. At the last bi-national steering committee meeting, the authorities expressed their wish to see the creation of a technical secretariat to support the existing joint security and defence committee between the two countries.

3. Voluntary return and successful reintegration with improved social cohesion

This project facilitated the voluntary repatriation and reintegration of 1,212 Congolese refugees from Angola between 2022 and 2023. Communities have become aware of the importance of supporting the integration of returnees, whether voluntary (VolRep) or involuntary (Kamako expulsions). Local peace and development committees (CLPD) have also been set up, reflecting the commitment of stakeholders and communities to preserving peace in their territories. Thus, 61% of people agree that local dialogue and conflict resolution mechanisms have improved inter-community relations: 98% of people in the DRC project areas have good relations with other members of communities living in the area. In addition, the basic social infrastructure built in the project areas has improved the living conditions of neighbouring populations: 34% of people agree that the general living conditions of people living in border areas have improved, in contrast to the baseline study where only 6.4% agreed.

4. Catalytic effect and complementarity for greater impact

The project has been able to strengthen its links and complementarity with other regional and continental programmes, in particular the programme financed by the United States and implemented in Angola as part of the African Regional Migration Programme (ARMP) and the US State Department's JTIP fund for strengthening cooperation at borders and the Migration Dialogue for Southern Africa (MIDSA). Several joint meetings have been organised with the cross-border project in Angola.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document *

Outcome 1: *

Irregular cross-border movements decrease.

Outcome 2: *

Existing and emerging drivers of conflict are reduced and conditions for voluntary return and reintegration are in place in priority areas of return in the DRC.

Outcome 1: Irregular cross-border movements decrease.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Several activities have been carried out to achieve this objective, including analysing the dynamics, capacities and needs via joint missions with state partners. This was followed by training and capacity-building sessions for border police and Government officials in both countries on issues as document fraud, the mechanisms for identifying and responding to gender-based violence, child protection and human trafficking , refugee reception mechanisms, international protection, emergency planning for refugee influxes, etc. Data was also collected on migratory flows . As a result, 100% of Angolan heads of post confirm that they have the necessary knowledge to deal with migratory flows thanks to the training they have received.

Finally, several collaboration mechanisms between Angolan and Congolese services have been reactivated, and an assessment is underway to find the right method for reactivating and making them operational. The project has also made it possible to publicize the agreement on the free movement of people in force between the DRC and Angola, signed in September 2020 and running until 2025, which was not known to the border services who were still working on the tripartite agreement of 1999.

In Angola, UNHCR, IOM and partners also conducted awareness-raising sessions with border communities to establish early warnings of mixed migration influxes. Thanks to awareness-raising campaigns, 19% of people living on the border strip in Angola are aware of the referral channels, according to the perception survey. Compared with the baseline study, this represents a significant increase of 14%.

UNHCR Angola also prepared structures to facilitate VolRep in 2023. The Departure Centre in the Lôvua settlement was rehabilitated and a new way station, located between the settlement and the Dundo airport, was constructed. This year, 2023, UNHCR DRC has opted for the air transport modality to facilitate the voluntary return of Congolese refugees from Angola, with the aim of reinforcing the dignified and safe nature of repatriation, but also of being able to continue the repatriation process as and when the refugees express the wish to enter the DRC without having to suspend the operation, as has been the case in previous years, at the start of the rainy season, aggravating the poor state of the roads in the Greater Kasai region.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The participation of women was strongly encouraged at cross-border meetings. The report on the study of migratory flows in Kasai and Kasai Central refers to specific issues relating to women's movements. In addition,, during awareness-raising sessions, themes relating to the fight against rape and sexual violence against women and the fight against degrading practices are regularly addressed to encourage a change in behavior.

The Angola Child Protection Institute (INAC) and the Provincial Office for Social Welfare (MASFAMU) in Lunda Norte joined border missions to help evaluate the differentiated reception and response mechanisms when identifying persons at heightened risks during migration influxes, such as unaccompanied and separated children, women heads of family, pregnant women, and the elderly at risk.

Outcome 2: Existing and emerging drivers of conflict are reduced and conditions for voluntary return and reintegration are in place in priority areas of return in the DRC.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Communities have become aware of the importance of working towards the integration of returnees, whether voluntary (VolRep) or involuntary (Kamako expulsions). There is a commitment to preserving peace in local areas, reflected in the creation of the Kamako Local Committee for Peace and Development (CLPD), which has already set up several committees that have produced reports after less than a month in existence. For example, 61% of people agree that local dialogue and conflict resolution mechanisms have improved inter-community relations: 98% of people in the project areas in the DRC have good relations with other members of communities living in the area, which is 60% better than at the start of the project. In addition, the basic social infrastructure built in the project areas has improved the living conditions of neighbouring populations: 34% of people agree that the general living conditions of people in border areas have improved, in contrast to the baseline study where only 6.4% agreed, and almost 17% of people who have not used negative coping mechanisms in the last six months according to the perception survey.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Women are among the minorities most affected by the lack of shelter and problems related to access to land. Advocacy with provincial and traditional authorities resulted in the drafting and submission to the Provincial Assembly of a provincial decree setting out the fundamental principles relating to women's rights of access to land and forest ownership in Kasai Central province. Steps are therefore being taken to have it published in the Official Gazette, which is also recommended by the authorities.

Gender has been considered in our interventions to mitigate these risks and to prioritize female-headed households. In the DRC, of the 674 shelters already completed, 174 belong to female-headed households.

Negotiations with the local authorities resulted in the issuance of a collective land title to the beneficiaries. Eventually, individual land titles will be issued. In addition, a gender-sensitive border management guide for law enforcement officials is being developed with the support of AMAP experts. This guide is expected to be ready in September 2023 and then translated into Portuguese and local languages for wider use.

Voluntary Repatriation addressed the needs of the youth and children. During the reporting period, 60 refugee children received birth certificates, after UNHCR and the Government organized brigades to guarantee that all the repatriated children born in Angola would have access to registration. The Lôvua settlement school also 65 issued school certificates for returning children. The voluntary repatriation of separated children was made possible through best interest procedures (BIA & BID panels).

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Irregular cross-border movements decrease.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)

1.1	<p>Percentage of border officers who agree or strongly agree that they are better equipped to perform their duties over the past 6 months.</p>	0	70	104	<p>82% of border agents in the DRC and Angola say they are well prepared to manage migration flows. That's an increase of 72% compared to the baseline value. This represents a 360% increase over the target. This change is due to a series of training courses conducted in both countries for border officers.</p>
1.2	<p>Number of studies carried out on migration flows along borders (DRC-Angola)</p>	0	1	100	<p>1 study was carried out on migration flows along the DRC-Angola borders. That's a 100% increase over target. It should be noted that this study focused on the dynamics of returns and not irregular border crossings.</p>

1.3	Number of early warning systems in place for refugee influxes, implemented by border authorities and local communities	0	12	100	Planned in the current half-year planning- On the Angolan side, the Lunda Norte Provincial Government set up an emergency committee to respond to a new influx, with the support of UNHCR and IOM. The Provincial Delegation of Ministry of Foreign Affairs leads the Committee and Provincial Officer for Social Welfare, Family and Gender Equality (MASFAMU) is the vice-coordinator. The committee is also composed of departments of the Provincial Government, including Health, Education and Justice.
-----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	----	-----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

The capacity of Congolese and Angolan border management authorities to effectively manage mixed movement flows is improved.

Output 1.2

Gender- and protection-sensitive cross-border coordination mechanisms are in place to strengthen border security in mixed migration management

Output 1.3

Border communities have strengthened their capacity to welcome new arrivals and are ready to alert and respond to mass influxes

Output 1.4

Local authorities, armed forces, national police and border guards are better prepared and supported to manage influxes of refugees or returnees

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: The capacity of Congolese and Angolan border management authorities to effectively manage mixed movement flows is improved.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	Number of fully equipped and operational border crossing points on both sides of the border.	0	3		0	<p>On the DRC side, the Kalamba Mbuji crossing point was unable to receive the support envisaged following a request from our state partner (DGM) to redirect our support to the Kamako crossing (Kasai). Refurbishment work is underway, starting in September and lasting 2.5 months. Equipment needs have been assessed and pooled with other support for the post provided by the Belgian MIDAS IV project. The equipment will be in place by December 2023.</p> <p>Three crossing points were equipped before the implementation of the cross-border project.</p> <p>IOM Angola conducted assessment in the selected borders in order to determine the needs</p>
-------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	---	--	---	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

needs. Immigration office in Lunda Norte suggested the needs that consist in construction of one water point in Itanda, rehabilitation of existing infrastructures in Chicolondo and Furi 3. Contractual process has been finalized with service provide for water point.

1.1.2	Percentage of border officers who agree or strongly agree that they are better equipped to perform their duties over the past 6 months.	0	70		104	73% of border officers agree that they are better equipped to perform their duties. That's an increase of 73% compared to the baseline. This represents a 104% increase over the target. This change is the result of the first allocation at the three crossing points on the Angolan side goal
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

<p>Output 1.2: Gender- and protection-sensitive cross-border coordination mechanisms are in place to strengthen border security in mixed migration management</p>	<p>Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>1.2.1</p>	<p>1.2.a Number of common manuals on mixed migration flows and humanitarian border management for law enforcement officers, migration officials and border guards, together.</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>2</p>	<p></p>	<p>0</p>	<p>The production of the textbooks is underway in collaboration between the two countries. These manuals will require an extension to the project to be finalised.</p>

1.2.2	Number of studies carried out on migration flows along borders (DRC-Angola)	0	1		100	1 study was carried out on migration flows along the DRC-Angola borders. That's a 100% increase over target. It should be noted that this study focused on the dynamics of returns and not irregular border crossings.
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Border communities have strengthened their capacity to welcome new arrivals and are ready to alert and respond to mass influxes	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1.3.1	<p>Number of early warning systems in place for refugee influxes, implemented by border authorities and local communities</p>	0	12		100	<p>Planned in the current half-year planning- On the Angolan side, the Lunda Norte Provincial Government set up an emergency committee to respond to a new influx, with the support of UNHCR and IOM. The Provincial Delegation of Ministry of Foreign Affairs leads the Committee and Provincial Officer for Social Welfare, Family and Gender Equality (MASFAMU) is the vice-coordinator. The committee is also composed of departments of the Provincial Government, including Health, Education and Justice.</p>
-------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	----	--	-----	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1.3.2	Number of Irregular Migrant Centers for Due Process and Testing in Lunda Norte	0	1		0	<p>This activity is currently being revised following consultation with the state partner and the realities on the ground. After a discussion, government of Angola at provincial level of Lunda Norte, discarded this initiative. The reason presented is being the province to have a consulate as well immigration center. IOM has been working closely with consulate for migrant screening and processing. The process always is shared with immigration office and MASFAM department at provincial level.</p>
-------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	---	--	---	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1.3.3	Extent to which referral pathways are known and implemented for the reception and assistance of arrivals in need of international protection	0	100		15	Reasons for delays or changes (if any) 19% of people attest to knowing and implementing the orientation pathways for reception and assistance to arrivals needing international protection. This change can be explained by the various awareness-raising activities carried out in the project area in Angola
-------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	-----	--	----	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

» Output 1.4

<p>Output 1.4: Local authorities, armed forces, national police and border guards are better prepared and supported to manage influxes of refugees or returnees</p>	<p>Performance Indicator</p> <p>Describe the indicator</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p>State the baseline value of the indicator</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period</p> <p>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</p>	<p>Indicator progress to date</p> <p>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</p> <p>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1.4.1	Number of local authorities, armed forces, national police and border guards, local communities and civil society trained in planification urgency et à la emergency preparedness in the event of an influx of refugees.	0	300		110%	<p>DRC side: 150 people, mainly local authorities, armed forces, national police and border guards, local communities, and civil society, were trained in contingency planning and emergency preparedness for refugee influxes.</p> <p>Angola side: 400 officials trained, six border communities with more than 100 residents in total</p>
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Outcome 2: Existing and emerging drivers of conflict are reduced and conditions for voluntary return and reintegration are in place in priority areas of return in the DRC.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)

2.1	Percentage of the population in the DRC border areas who have "good" or "very good" relations with community members belonging to other community/ethnic groups	82	90	194%	98% of people in DRC border areas have good relations with community members belonging to other community groups. This is an increase of 8% compared to the base value. This represents an increase of 194% compared to the target. The change is explained by the revitalization of advisory groups and social cohesion activities such as HIMO approaches in infrastructure construction.
-----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----	----	------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

2.2					
-----	--	--	--	--	--

2.3					
-----	--	--	--	--	--

.....

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 **5** more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

.....

Output 2.1
Inclusive and participatory peacebuilding and local dialogue mechanisms contribute to social cohesion in communities of return and reintegration.

.....

Output 2.2
Access to land and shelter in areas of return is improved.

.....

Output 2.3
Internally displaced populations, refugees, internally displaced persons, and returnees are provided with sustainable support for return and reintegration and the absorptive capacity of host communities is strengthened.

.....

Output 2.4

Border communities in DRC have better access to mental health and psychosocial support services.

Output 2.5

Refugees and vulnerable migrants are supported for their safe, voluntary, and dignified return from Angola to the DRC.

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Inclusive and participatory peacebuilding and local dialogue mechanisms contribute to social cohesion in communities of return and reintegration.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	<p>Percentage of the population in the border areas of the DRC who are aware of the local peace committees and their mandates.</p>	32	82	+15	47%	<p>39% of the population in the DRC's border areas are aware of local peace committees and their mandate. This represents an increase of 7% compared to the baseline value. This represents an increase of 15% compared to the target. The change is due to the revitalization of advisory groups and awareness-raising.</p>
2.1.2	<p>Pourcentage de femmes et de jeunes participant in Community dialogue and social cohesion events</p>	0	40		53%	<p>21% of women and youth participate in community dialogue and social cohesion events. That's an increase of 40% comparativement à la base value. This represents a 53% increase over the target. The change is linked to the revitalization of advisory groups and the involvement of youth and women in community dialogue and social cohesion events</p>

2.1.3	Nombre de plans d'action locaux pour la paix et la sécurité élaborés	0	6		0	It is expected that the plans will be developed during this semester. This activity will require an extension to the duration of the project.
-------	----------------------------------------------------------------------	---	---	--	---	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	<i>Describe the indicator</i>	<i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	<i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	<i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	<i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	<i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Number of missions and/or advocacy meetings undertaken to improve access to land.	0	10	100	More than 10 advocacy meetings have been held to improve access to land, but legislative processes take time.	
2.2.2	Number of awareness-raising campaigns undertaken on the regularization of property rights and land tenure.	0	6	67	2 workshops and 2 awareness-raising sessions are already organized in the context of the regularization of property rights and land tenure.	

2.2.3	<p>Nombre d'abris durables construits, y compris l'utilisation de transferts d'argent.</p>	0	850		70	<p>- 700 abris durables ont déjà été construits et des travaux sont en cours pour en construire plus de 300 autres.</p>
-------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	-----	--	----	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

» Output 2.3

<p>Output 2.3: Internally displaced populations, refugees, internally displaced persons, and returnees are provided with sustainable support for return and reintegration and the absorptive capacity of host communities is strengthened.</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>

2.3.1	Number of rehabilitated community infrastructure built	0	6		100%	6 community infrastructures already built in Kasai and Kasai Central. 2 infrastructures under construction at Kamako and Kalamba Mbuji (the cross-border market and the water borehole respectively).
2.3.2	Number of beneficiaries receiving vocational training and support for the start-up of an income-generating activity	400	600		90%	375 people have already benefited from the funds as part of the community infrastructure construction activities. I would add to the 400 people trained through the previous project. The partner NGOs have launched a new phase of IGA support in Kasai and Kasai Central, providing support for around 120 additional people.
2.3.3	Number of women trained in the EASE module to carry out cross-border trade and economic activities	0	500		36%	180 women already trained in the EASE module to carry out their business activities normally

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4: Border communities in DRC have better access to mental health and psychosocial support services.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1	Number of MHPSS cases in need of serious help or psychological support referred to identified and trained service providers.	0	80		102%	82 adults benefited from psychotherapeutic sessions accompanied by physical exercises by the clinical psychologist.
2.4.2	Number of local focal points in cross-border situations and areas of return trained in MHPSS	0	400		25%	100 local focal points from Kamako, Luwambo, Tshikapa and Kananga have been trained in MHPSS
2.4.3	Number of GBV survivors receiving support juridique, psychosocial and medical (including HIV).	0	500		264%	1,321 survivors of GBV received legal, psychosocial and medical support (including for HIV).

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5: Refugees and vulnerable migrants are supported for their safe, voluntary, and dignified return from Angola to the DRC.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1	Number of voluntary return evaluations and information campaigns conducted in Angola	1	4		67%	2 other evaluations of voluntary return and information campaigns are already carried out in Angola in addition to another evaluation carried out previously.
2.5.2	Support for the return of refugees to the DRC when conditions are confirmed to be favorable	0	1000		121%	1,212 Congolese refugees from Angola have received support to return to the DRC.

2.5.3	Number of protection surveillance carried out on both sides of the border and in areas of return	0	30		80%	More than 10 protection monitoring operations have already been carried out by UNHCR DRC in the areas of return and at the borders between DRC and Angola. 20 protection checks carried out on the Angolan side . IOM angola has conducted border monitoring in the month of September with 5 borders visited.
-------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	----	--	-----	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

.....

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

.....

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

.....

<p>If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)</p>	<p>Event Description</p>	<p>Tentative Date</p>	<p>Location</p>	<p>Target Audience</p>	<p>Event Objectives</p>
<p>Event 1</p>	<p>If activities extend beyond January 2024, two meetings of the Committee Provincial technical technique could be organize</p>	<p>january 2024</p>	<p>Luanda</p>	<p>government officials</p>	<p>take decision on the project implementation</p>
<p>Event 2</p>	<p>Follow-up mission with the national side (If activities go beyond January 2024)</p>	<p>march 2024</p>	<p>DRC</p>	<p>technical focal points administration</p>	<p>Immerse yourself in the project's achievements in both provinces.</p>

Event 3	Training with Government Officials	december 2023	Luanda Dundo	government officials	Information and awareness raising about refugee rights and legislation, identification of asylum seekers and refugees is an ongoing activity carried out by UNHCR/JRS with the local authorities. We are planning to carry out a training in seven municipalities in December. In five of these municipalities we will train 100 officials and in another two other we plan to train 150 in total. In total our target is for 800 municipality officials, from different department, to attend these sessions.
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)
1	Directorate-General for Migration	<p>1. 1. Se equip yourself with computer equipment for the proper management of migration flows</p> <p>2. Building Viability and Solar Power Plant Capacity</p> <p>3. Officer training (most officers had not completed mandatory training)</p>	<p>1. Improvement of the working conditions of border officers through:</p> <p>1. Support in computer equipment and office furniture</p> <p>2. Rehabilitation of the integrated building</p> <p>3. Capacity building for staff and managers.</p>	<p>KANANGA MUNUNGA Sylvie, DGM officer, says: "Since I became an agent of the Directorate General of Migration, I have never followed any training, this is my very first time. I'm happy for the opportunity the project has given me."</p>
2	Provincial Government of Lunda Norte	<p>3. 1. Need to update and strengthen knowledge of frontline staff about international and national refugee law, identification and adequate response to people in need of international protection</p> <p>4. 2. Insufficient platforms to discuss asylum and migration issues with the DRC authorities</p>	<p>1. attending training sessions</p> <p>2. increased contacts with Government counterparts from Kasai and Kwilu provinces</p>	
3				
4				

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

The project strengthens the willingness from to governments partners to reinforce the dialogue with the border services of each country. Since the Dundo meeting in April 2023, the two chiefs of border post, Kamako and Chissanda, have met regularly to discuss border issues. DGM has expressed its desire to continue to train its staff itself, and to this end has asked IOM to assist it in organizing a major training session for trainers. This demonstrates a real ownership of the project by government stakeholders and a willingness to maintain the achievements of the project after its end, or even to go even further by extending the training.

We can also note awareness of the importance of fighting against degrading practices in the provinces of Kasai and Kasai Central by local authorities, illustrated by the support of the two provincial governments in the promulgation of edicts. After the signing of the first Act in Kananga-Kasai Central in February 2021, a second Act was signed in Tshikapa-Kasai in April 2022 (also supported by UNHCR) and the third Act was signed in Mbuji-Mayi/Kasai Oriental in October 2022 (supported by UNFPA), following the same process and with the technical support and facilitation of the FMMDI. In Kananga, following the Act of Commitment, a decree abolishing degrading practices and customs was adopted by the parliament and promulgated by the governor, while in Tshikapa, the decree is almost finalized and awaits action by the parliament and the provincial executive.

Refugees from the Lôvua Settlement were greatly impacted by this PBF project. Throughout these two years they have benefited from livelihoods and vocational training (farming, sewing and information technology). The objective was to support both those who wanted to return to the DRC and those who wanted to remain in Angola with adequate skills for an economic and social integration.

PBF has been life-changing for refugees who joined voluntary repatriation, after seeking protection in Angola in 2017. They showed their ambivalence about leaving when they said farewell to their friends at the settlement, while hoping to start a new life in their home country. "We have waited for a long time for this and now the day has finally come, and I am very happy", said refugee Roger Beya, who returned to the DRC on the first repatriation flight of 2023.

Lastly the project also impacted the border communities. Many these villagers are the first responders in case of an influx of refugees, even before the Government authorities and the humanitarian organizations. The objective of the campaigns in the borders was to create a community early warning system. But the campaign also contributed to raise solidarity and nondiscrimination attitudes within these communities which might lead them to respond positively in the alleviation of the suffering of the persons in need of international protection.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Crossborder_CR copil octobre 23-18_5_43.pdf



File 2

OPTIONAL

Final_report_Mapping_SMSPS_Kasai_2023-18_5_47.doc



File 3

OPTIONAL

Rapport Enquête de perception RDC Angola-18_5_51.docx



You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Support for the knowledge, dissemination, and popularization of the agreement on the free movement of persons in force between the DRC and Angola from September 2020 to September 2025.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Training on document fraud, on the fight against transnational crimes or on border management that was given to officers who had never received it in 10 years of service.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Establishing a dialogue with the Border Management Policy Advisor to the Congolese Minister of the Interior. The Ministry of the Interior wants to use the project to organize a meeting with the Angolan authorities. The aim is to revitalize the migration task force between the two countries. The Angolans also twice invited the Congolese border authorities to Luanda and Dundo.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

NGO/CSO implementing partners for community-related activities.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

As part of the vocational training, partnership with the INPP in the DRC.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

**Conducting the midline perception survey;
-- Organization of the steering committee meeting**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- yes
- no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

baseline study in nov 2022

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

1. - Baseline study; 2. - Perception survey; 3. - Activity reports; 4. - Attendance lists; 5. - Selection report.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The aim here is to measure the level of performance of the project and the satisfaction of the beneficiaries with the current state of implementation. The aim is to collect the opinions of the beneficiaries in relation to the activities carried out to inform the project team and stakeholders on the performance of the project. The survey is being carried out in the territories of the three provinces, including Kasai and Kasai Central in the DRC and Lunda Norte Province in Angola, in the border area between the DRC and Angola. It will apply qualitative and quantitative research approaches in order to collect beneficiaries' impressions of the modes of movement between the two borders, capacity building and equipping of border posts, improvement of the living conditions of returnees and the general population, knowledge and use of referral channels for international protection, the effectiveness of local dialogues and conflict resolution mechanisms, cohesion between communities, knowledge of peace structures and their mandates.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

mécanisme gestion des plaintes

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

yes

no

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

Response required

50000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

- yes
 no

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- No catalytic effect
 Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect
 Very Significant catalytic effect
 Don't Know
 Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project has been able to strengthen its links and complementarity with other regional and continental programmes, in particular the programme financed by the United States and implemented in Angola as part of the African Regional Migration Programme (ARMP) and the US State Department's JTIP fund for strengthening cooperation at borders and the Migration Dialogue for Southern Africa (MIDSA) . Several joint meetings have been organised with the cross-border project in Angola. Internally, the IOM is also capitalising on the MIDAS IV migration data information and analysis system project, which complements the support provided to the Kamako cross-border post, enabling the complete digitisation of registration procedures and all administrative acts by the post's integrated services, as well as on infrastructure with the capacity-building project for agents of the GAVI national border programme "The Vaccine Alliance and Unicef".

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The training series offered to cross-border agents aim to improve cross-border services in the long term. Community structures such as advisory groups are also being revitalized with the aim of managing local issues of pacification and stabilization within the respective communities in the long term. Coordination meetings between the two countries within the framework of the project will make it possible to diversify the permanent mechanism of bilateral collaboration on migration issues in the long run.

In addition, the infrastructure built as part of the project is designed to improve the living conditions of the communities on the border strip between the two countries for as long as possible.

To go further in the results of the project, government from both countries expressed during the steering committee in Kinshasa in October 2023, their wish to see the creation of a technical secretariat which will support the joint defense and security commission and specifically the migration task force.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

non

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.
