Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

　　○ Semi-annual

　　● Annual

　　○ Final

　　○ Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-15

2023-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Nikola Petrovski, Human Rights Officer/Project Coordinator

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Bea Ferenci, Human Rights Adviser (OHCHR)

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?   *

- ◉ yes
- ◯ no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report?   *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- ◉ yes
- ◯ no
- ◯ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?   *

- ◯ yes   ◉ no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ◯ Asia and the Pacific
- ◯ Central & Southern Africa
- ◯ East Africa
- ◉ Europe and Central Asia
- ◯ Global
- ◯ Latin America and the Caribean
- ◯ Middle East and North Africa
- ◯ West Africa

Country of project implementation   *

- ◯ Albania
- ◯ Bosnia and Herzegovina
- ◯ Kosovo (As per UNSCR 1244)
- ◯ Kyrgyzstan
- ◉ Moldova
- ◯ North Macedonia
- ◯ Serbia
- ◯ Tajikistan
- ◯ Ukraine
- ◯ Uzbekistan
- ◯ Other, Specify

Other, please specify   *

Project Title   *

- ◉ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document   *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

00133100: Building sustainable and inclusive peace, strengthening trust and social cohesion in Moldova

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- [ ] Asia and the Pacific
- [ ] Central & Southern Africa
- [ ] East Africa
- [ ] Europe and Central Asia
- [ ] Global
- [ ] Latin America and the Caribean
- [ ] Middle East and North Africa
- [ ] West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-08-30

2022-08-30

Project end Date *

2024-08-31

2024-08-31

Has this project received an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- (•) NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- (•) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ( ) NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

○ yes

● no

If yes, please select which *

○ National Trust Fund

○ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

● UN entity

○ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

○ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ○ IOM: International Organization for Migration

○ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

● OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

○ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

○ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ○ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

○ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ○ WFP: World Food Programme

○ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

○ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

○ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ○ ILO: International Labour Organization

○ WHO: World Health Organization   ○ PAHO/WHO

○ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ○ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

○ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

○ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ○ ITC: International Trade Centre

○ UNDPO   ○ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

○ No other recipients

● Yes, other UN recipients only

○ Yes, other non-UN recipients only

○ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

☑ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration

☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

☑ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ☐ WFP: World Food Programme

☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization

☐ WHO: World Health Organization   ☐ PAHO/WHO

☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre

☐ UN Department of Peace Operations   ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] Action Aid
- [ ] The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

8

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

● Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Centrul de Justitie Sociala "Echitate" (NGO Social Justice Centre "Equity")

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

10000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Objective: Increased knowledge, development of gender-sensitive policies, increased participation of women in the Security Zone

Main activities: Development of organizational policy, development of a strategic communication concept with an emphasis on the gender dimension. Development of a local plan in the Security Zone, Criuleni and Dubasari districts regarding the implementation of the National Program for the implementation of UN Security Council Resolution 1325 on women, peace and security for 2023-2027.

To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional programme. .

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- 🔘 National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

NGO "Femeia Conteaza"

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

10000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Objective: Mentoring program "peer-to-peer" for the Roma community on the left bank of the Nistru/Dniester river.
Main activities: Capacity building in the field of promoting gender equality and institutionalizing the rules of conduct in work with Roma communities, methods of socialization and integration of Roma refugees into society, increasing organizational resilience to the consequences of a conflict situation.
To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ● Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

"Academia de Creatie si Inovatii Mediatice (ACIM)" (NGO of Creativity and Media Innovation" (ACIM)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

10000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Objective: Safe environment for media platforms in risk areas.
Main Activities: Development of a gender-responsive communication strategy and a visibility plan based on the themes and areas covered. Development of an official page in social networks. Development of internal safety instructions for team members in risk areas based on existing domestic and international experience. Strengthening the mission of the organization in terms of security, human rights and gender in the field of security. To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- (●) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Centrul de dezvoltare sociala si economica "SocioHub" (NGO Social and economic Development "Socio Hub")

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

9812.63

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Objective: Gender-sensitive policies as a factor in increasing the institutional capacity of organizations on the left bank of the Nistru/Dniester

Main activities: To train the team in the development of project proposals, financial management, improvement of relevant systems, tools and organizational processes, in order to dynamically mobilize in activities to promote gender equality and non-discrimination on both banks of the Dniester.

To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner   *

○ National youth CSO

⦿ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

Clubul Femeilor Inspiratie (NGO "Women's Club Inspiration")

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date   *

9223.23

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner   *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Objective: Sustainable organization capable of developing inclusive social services in the communities of the Security Zone.
Main activities: Development of a gender-sensitive strategy and action plan for the organization for the period 2023-2028. Training of personnel in the field of transparent financial management and accounting. Further training of women and men employees to obtain the qualification of "home assistant". Development of a package of documents for obtaining the status of a public utility.
To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- (●) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Centrul de informare si resurse "PRO BONO" (NGO Information and resource centre PRO BONO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

8142.4

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Objective: Increased institutional capacity for more active involvement of young women and men in the development of communities on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester
Main activities: Development and adaptation of internal policies to the perspective of gender equality. Training and accreditation of the team in project management, including the purchase of equipment to ensure the smooth functioning of the organization's processes.
To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ⦿ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Initiatives for Peace

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

8750.8

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

CSO "Initiatives4Peace" was contracted to implement the programme "Youth for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester river"(Activities 1.3.2 - 1.3.3). which includes Trainings in tech/STEM for young women, Peace Accelerator Lab/Hackathon, Young Women for Peace Leadership School, Summer Camp for Young Peacebuilders,. The methodology and detailed work plan were developed, and two events (Training in IT/STEM for young women and Hackathon) were organized. (Additional USD 161,586.30 will be allocated to the CSO in 2024)

8

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- 🔘 Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Association for Independent Press

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

3095.66

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

CSO "API" was contracted for the implementation of "Media for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester river" programme which covers Activities 2.2.1 – 2.2.2 and envisages trainings and tools on gender-sensitive and conflict-sensitive reporting, institutional support for media outlets, competition of media materials. The methodology and detailed work plan were developed, the first networking event was organized and the open competition of media materials was launched. The work on knowledge products is started. (Additional USD 75,596.62 will be allocated to the CSO in 2024)

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	* 850000	* 595000	* 320550	37.71%
	*	*	*	%
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 802500	* 561750	* 47000	5.86%

UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerme nt of Women	* 800000	* 560000	* 567000	70.88%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2452500	1716750	934550	**38.1 1%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **38.11%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?   *

(•) Correct   ( ) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %   *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)?   *

47.2

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1157580**. Can you confirm that this is correct?   *

( ) Correct   (•) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars   *

1157649

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 441107.6**. Is this correct?   *

( ) Correct   (•) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars   *

119133

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. The templates for the budget are available here   *

PBF - expense calculator-0_5_36.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project   *

○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

● Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

○ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project   *

○ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

● Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project   *

○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

○ (2.2) Democratic Governance

● (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?   *
Select all that apply

☑ Gender promotion initiative

☐ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☐ Cross-border or regional project

☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee?   *

(•) yes

( ) no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

2

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.   *

Regular meetings were conducted by the Project Team with members of the Bureau for Reintegration Policy (in July and September 2023), mainly to discuss activities of cross-river interaction among representatives of duty-bearers and Human Rights Actors (Ombudsperson). Additionally, on 4 July UN Women PBF project and an expert team met representatives of the Bureau to discuss further collaboration on project activities with the focus on Women, Peace and Security agenda promotion.

On 25 September 2023 Representatives of RUNOs jointly met members of the Special Parliamentary Monitoring and Control Committee on the Reintegration Policy of the Republic of Moldova.

On 9 October 2023 PBF Team representatives met Moldovan Members of Parliament, presented the PBF Project and engaged in a dialogue on efforts in the field of peacebuilding in the framework of the project – in terms of enhancing social cohesion and cooperation between the two banks of the Nistru/Dniester River.

Meetings with representatives of de-facto structures in the Transnistrian region were also held to ensure access to relevant stakeholders such as school staff, representatives of the Office of the Focal Point for Human Rights on the Left Bank. Written communication and exchange also took place in connection to organising activities involving settlement actors.

Meetings with the General Prosecution Office, General Police Inspectorate and the National Judicial Institute took place on several occasions, presenting the project and its aims, and facilitating cooperation in the field of countering hate speech and hate crimes in Moldova in line with Activity 2.1.1.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners   *

( ) Not Started   ( ) Initiated   (•) Partially Completed

( ) Completed   ( ) Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

● Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

● Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

● Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

The Project finally managed to recruit all foreseen staff by August 2023, which resulted in increased implementation as of September. In the meantime, UN Women's project associate left the position, and a process for replacement is in progress, while the admin functions are performed by other UNW staff. Work remains unaffected.

The recruitment of project staff has noticeably contributed to the implementation of activities which will be explained in more detail below.

The long-awaited Baseline study data collection took place in October 2023, and the preliminary report was issued in early November. In the course of the summer the team worked on developing the methodology and based on recommendations by consultants and the recruited survey company, four of the five indicators were tweaked to make them more accessible to the target audiences. The essence of the indicators was unchanged.

UN Women continued with a solid implementation rate, while OHCHR boosted implementation compared to the previous reporting period. UNDP also noted progress by finalising essential preparations to start implementation and recruit implementing partners/grantees for some of the activities. UNDP have completed negotiations with potential partner organisatons – upon which slight budget revisions were sought and approved by PBSO to enable a smooth realisation of the Outcomes, without affecting their substance or expected results.

With the delayed start of actual implementation and political challenges in implementing some activities, as well as administrative hurdles given UNDPs transition to a new administrative platform, it is likely that the project will require a non-cost extension of at least six months.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested *

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

N/A

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration).
Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have   *

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:   *

Strengthened cross-river engagement and productive interaction through the advancement of human rights, the Women, Peace and Security agenda and improved access to social services.

Outcome 2:   *

Strengthened responses to divisive narratives and misinformation thereby reducing inter-community tensions.

Outcome 3:   *

Outcome 4:   *

Outcome 5:   *

Outcome 6:   *

Outcome 7:   *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1: Strengthened cross-river engagement and productive interaction through the advancement of human rights, the Women, Peace and Security agenda and improved access to social services.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◉ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The established CSO Network of both banks was kept involved in training, capacity-building and preparations for advocacy. Discussions are taking place on future joint advocacy and awareness actions to be undertaken by CSOs and supported by the Project. Some initial plans have been proposed and are elaborated with the aim to roll them out.

Exchange between members of the MD Ombudsperson and Human Rights Focal Point of the LB has been initiated – currently developing joint monitoring tools on rights of persons with disabilities. Two virtual joint meetings took place, and work continues in smaller working groups. The political climate did not allow for in-person events to take place, as concerns for the safety of participants are raised. Currently, there are initial signals that both sides are prepared to meet in the Security Zone, considered a more neutral ground.

UN Women contracted "Initiatives4Peace" in consortium with Public Association Center for Civic Consultancy and Education to implement an innovative capacity-building programme, with a strong component centered on youth empowerment and participation, with the potential for peacebuilding outcomes, bringing together young women and men from both banks of Nistru/Dniester River.

UN Women selected a partner for the implementation of a capacity-development program to support local community actors along the Security Zone to access external funding, manage development projects and resources, and design locally-led peace initiatives.

The six grantees of UN Women's initiative to strengthen CSOs institutional capacity and to advocate for gender equality have successfully completed their projects.

Difficulties with simultaneously involving settlement actors from both banks in activities continued, mainly in connection to the 'separatism' criminal law provisions introduced in the Moldovan Criminal Code. During the reporting period working groups started meeting in venues in the Security Zone and in Transnistrian region, opening the possibility for PBF events to be organised in the same locations. An invitation to hold the first workshop by UNW and OHCHR in January 2024 was extended to both parties.

UNDP has succeeded in identifying two local NGOs (IPIS and CDPD) as implementing partners/grantees. IPIS will focus on meetings with representatives from NGO's and experts in order to promote the subjects of human's rights, women's rights in the discussions of the working group of the settlement process.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

GEWE and YIR were ensured by project activities planning and implementation in strict adherence to the project results framework indicators. Throughout the selection or partners, clear requirements were defined. Namely, the small grants application review process was focused on grantees' profile assessment of compliance to requirements ranging from being women-lead, gender equality promotor, to the extent of the commitment to advocate for women's meaningful participation in decision-making and peacebuilding at all levels, Women, Peace and Security Agenda commitments localization in their communities, and beyond, as well as their preparedness to incorporate gender-sensitive aspects in their internal policies. CSOs specialized in youth engagement and the media were also supported with institutional grants, recognizing their significant role as drivers of peace.
In addition, the launched programme "Youth for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester river" is centred on youth empowerment and participation and includes, among other, activities focused on women's human rights to education and equal opportunities in carrier development, to encourage and attract girls and women in tech/STEM.

Through work with CSOs, the Project provides capacity-building on applying HRBA and gender equality in advocacy initiatives. Partners are equipped with knowledge to consider relevant HRBA and gender mainstreaming principles in their upcoming plans and activities.

UNDP implements activities of this project in partnerships with the partners/members of the Sustainable (Community) Development Platform, which represents the vulnerable groups from the Transnistrian region, including women, survivors of the domestic violence, and vulnerable youth, including Persons with Disabilities and Roma. These activities will empower the rights- holders to have access to better services, available on the right bank of the Nistru/Dniester River.

Outcome 2: Strengthened responses to divisive narratives and misinformation thereby reducing inter-community tensions.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ◉ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

OHCHR has conducted activities with law enforcement institutions from the Right Bank, resulting in 24 trained trainers on Hate Speech in line with the latest legal standards in Moldova. Six judges, six prosecutors and six police officers, as well as six lawyers - members of the Bar were trained and co-certified by the National Institute of Justice. OHCHR also supported civil society to advocate and raise awareness on the need to countering hate speech in their own communities. On behalf of the International Day on Countering Hate Speech, OHCHR published an Op-ed in a local bilingual newspaper, and partnered with three CSOs to conduct awareness activities.

OHCHR has initiated cooperation with nine Russian Language curriculum and Romanian Language curriculum schools from both banks of Nistru/Dniester River. A training and approach methodology was developed with the support of two national consultants, and an initial three-day workshop with school staff was implemented. Activities will continue to encourage action by schools in their immediate communities to meet indicator 2.3.2.

UNDP has identified and worked with Transparency International and The Federation of Families for Unity and Peace in Moldova (FFUPLM) to complete the application dossier for the direct granting method, in order to engage in the implementation of project activities in November 2023.

Transparency International Moldova will be organising a Hackaton on misinformation and hate speech entitled "Nistru TruthBridge" in the context of which also media and online literacy will be covered with activists, journalists and bloggers from the left bank of the Nistru/Dniester River.
The FFUPLM will be organising, starting November 2023, deep listening exercise with the host communities and refugees to improve the social cohesion and reduce tensions in the region between the participants.

On 26 October UN Women in partnership with the Association for Independent Press launched the programme "Media for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester river" to build the capacities of media professionals on gender and conflict-sensitive reporting, including supporting joint media initiatives and institutional development of media outlets.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The capacity-building programme under this Outcome is meant to contribute to the strengthening of the media proficiency on non-stereotyped reporting, fighting prejudices, and gendered misinformation in reporting in general and around the conflict and broader peacebuilding context, in specific (i.e. view of women as victims of conflict, rather than agents of peace) and build the capacity of media professionals to report in a well-researched, factual, and non-biased way to avoid narrow perspectives on the root-causes of conflict.

UNDP will ensure the sustainability of its previous initiatives on developing the vulnerable groups' capacities in the use of non-traditional media and will involve young Roma boys and girls in the activities under this output, as well as women representatives of traditional and non-traditional media.

Outcome 3:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments-provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Strengthened cross-river engagement and productive interaction through the advancement of human rights, the Women, Peace and Security agenda and improved access to social services.

| 1.1 | **Perception of settlement actors, CSOs and target communities from the left bank and right bank on the level of cross-river engagement on human rights and women's role in the peacebuilding process.**

Adapted for Baseline data collection:
The level of awareness on cross-river initiatives of stakeholders regarding human rights and women's role in the peacebuilding process. | **On a scale 1-5 where 5.00 is highest level, and 1.00 is 'no awareness'.**

LB Communities = 2.30
RB Communities = 2.88
CSOs (both banks) = 4.21 | **Increase in the level of perceived engagement/Awareness** | **Progress to be showed through baseline data.** | |

1.2	**Number of priorities advancing human rights including women's rights brought to the attention of settlement process actors by organizations engaged in the project and the communities they represent.** **Adapted for Baseline data collection: Percentage/ number of initiatives advancing human rights including women's rights brought to the attention of settlement process actors by CSOs.**	**13 initiatives/priorities submitted to settlement actors (out of 22 initiatives developed)**	**increase by 50% from baseline**	**Progress to be showed through endline data.**	
1.3	**Indicator 1.3 % of direct beneficiaries (disaggregated by sex, age, disability, ethnicity) from the left bank feeling enabled to access public services on the right bank of Nistru river.**	**Total - 58.8% (3.35)** **Men 61.0% (3.44)** **Women 57.2 % (3.29)** **Ethnic minorities – 51.5% (3.06)** **Persons with disabilities -49.0% (2.96)** **18-39y – 60.2% (3.41)** **40-59y – 61.5% (3.46)** **60+y -50.0% (3.0)**	**increase by 50% from baseline**	**Progress to be showed through endline data.**	

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Improved capacities of the conflict settlement process actors to integrate human rights and gender equality perspectives at all levels of the negotiation agenda, including a focus on balanced and meaningful participation of women in the process at all levels

Output 1.2

Civil society organizations from both banks, People's Advocate and human rights focal point from the left bank, and local community actors from the Security Zone have increased capacities to JOINTLY engage in advancing human rights and WPS Agenda and foster effective cross-river dialogue and partnerships

Output 1.3

People from both banks, including women actors and community leaders have increased knowledge and understanding of human rights, gender equality and the WPS agenda and are increasingly enabled to access available public services and are empowered to engage in cross-river interaction

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

1.1.1	Number of settlement process actors enabled to advance and mainstream human rights-based approach and gender in the negotiation process .	16 women	50 persons (at least 25 women)	No progress. Process stalled due to local policies, political will. Hoping to continue it in January 2024.	No actual settlement actors were trained /included in activities, as joint activities were not possible to date. Some hopes to have activities in January 2024 exist. 1 study visit - 1 women negotiator from the Reintegration Policies Bureau participated at Geneva Peace Week "Building Trust, Building Peace: An agenda for the future".	Related Project actions not yet initiated due to political tensions and lack of engagement by settlement actors.

| 1.1.2 | Number of demonstrated uses by the settlement process actors of advocacy tools/initiatives on human rights, human security, women's role in peacebuilding and conflict settlement produced by the project | 0 | Nine (9) products (advocacy and dialogue events; policy papers). In the proposal, the target included only 9 advocacy and dialogue events and not policy papers, because the political context may not make it possible to write policy papers. | Moderate progress.

UN Women hired national and international consultancies for development of a series of knowledge products, advocacy papers and regulatory policy recommendations to integrate Gender Equality commitments in peace processes. | 1 dialogue event - Parliament members (8 MPs, 4 Parliament Secretariat members, 1 President Bureau member) got involved in a dialogue session on efforts in the field of peacebuilding in Moldova with a focus on new National Program for the implementation of UN Security Council Resolution 1325 on Women, Peace and Security for the years 2023-2027 and the Action Plan regarding its implementation, supporting female leadership in the process of peacebuilding and conflict resolution by increasing the number of women who participate as negotiators, political representatives, mediators, peacemakers, ambassadors, and signatories. 3 UN Women consultants engaged and working on four (4) knowledge products. | |

1. Taking stock of gender equality commitments awareness and existing gaps in negotiation agenda: Transnistrian conflict overview Conduct mapping, identify and generate evidence on integration of gender equality commitments awareness and existing gaps in negotiation agenda and overall peace process-related regulatory system.

2. Women, Peace and Security Road Map in Moldova: acknowledgement of women's meaningful participation WPS in Moldova Road Map

3. Women as Agents of Peace: from official negotiations to community leaders in times of frozen peace and conflict An analysis of women's inclusion into Moldova's settlement process to date,

4. Women's Inclusion in

					Peace Processes: global lessons for Moldova.	
1.1.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

» Output 1.2

| 1.2.1 | **Number of CSOs on both banks with increased capacities to advocate on human rights and gender equality in the peacebuilding context** | **18 CSOs (16 OHCHR and 2 UN Women)** | **51 CSO (35 OHCHR and 8 UN Women, including the already existing ones)** | **Good progress.**

47 CSOs involved in an advocacy Platform/Network

6 CSO from both banks successfully completed institutional capacity development programme.

24 CSOs partners of UN Women | **Good progress. CSOs who are a part of the OHCHR-established network are continuously engaged in training on human rights and peacebuilding social cohesion practices. All events thus far were attended by partner NGOs from both banks of Nistru/Dniester River. The network is gradually increasing their capacities to advocate on a variety of rights and human rights concerns and is building an understanding on the type of advocacy they can implement with the Project's support. Notably, the limited civic space in the Transnistrian region brings its tool – and only advocacy initiatives on specific 'non-sensitive' topics are discussed. E.g. two NGO's will partner up to conduct an assessment on accessibility of conferencing venues on the** | |

				left bank and right bank . **24 participants from CSOs from both banks of the Nistru/Dniester river, PBF project partners, grantees, Women Advisory Board members got involved in a comprehensive workshop on conflict sensitivity and analysis, with practical exercises on gender-responsive programming, lessons learned and cautionary tales from different conflict contexts.**	

| 1.2.2 | Number of initiatives advancing human rights, promoting human security and women's role in peacebuilding processes implemented by CSOs and local community actors from both banks, including towards duty bearers | 0 | 15 initiatives | 3 CSOs from the left bank have implemented three outreach and awareness activities marking the International Day for Countering Hate Speech. | Moderate progress. Activities to promote human rights by CSOs members of the Network will be rolled out in 2024 – preparations are being made. In the meantime, there were actions taken on countering hate speech on the Left Bank: Discussion (debate club) on the topic of hate speech in Dubăsari (Klub 19) with journalists, psychologists, local activists in the panel aimed at developing an attitude of zero tolerance for the use of hate speech, and the dissemination of knowledge on the use of correct terminology when communicating with population groups at risk of discrimination.

Info-reportage (Vox Pop) on the topic of Hate Speech which was aired on the internet TV (Dnestr TV) A seminar + a workshop for youth in Rîbnita with a | |

					video story covering the events broadcasted on LIK-TV. The two events consist of a theoretical input by an invited expert who delivered a presentation on the topics: "The language of hatred and enmity. What is it, signs, where does it occur" and "Combating hate speech, measures taken". They were followed by practical group exercises and an assessment exercise about how often the participants of the training encounter hate speech in daily interactions.	

1.2.3	**Number of human rights monitoring, reporting and advocacy initiatives, including joint ones, conducted by People's Advocate and Human Rights focal point on the left bank**	**0**	**at least 4 initiatives**	**No initiatives conducted yet, these are expected to happen by the end of the Project. Work is in progress. OHCHR will support PA and co-organise an annual Human Rights Forum.**	**Good progress. A concept of activities has been agreed with both parties in the first phase of the project. Plans where however impeded due to the political climate and the inability to organise joint in-person meetings. Therefore, the work continued through virtual meetings – joint and individual ones. Monitoring tools relevant to rights of persons with disabilities are developed. Joint actions are on the agenda, pending a positive response by stakeholders from both banks.**	

» Output 1.3

| 1.3.1 | Number of people reached through awareness raising campaigns on social cohesion, inclusive cross-river interaction and dialogue. | 56,419 | 150,000 (with possible overlapping) | 8000+ | 1500 views of the live streaming of the Awarding ceremony of Small Grants; 2 press-releases published. https://bit.ly/3oCN0G0

6,500 views of zonadesecuritate.md videospot as a result a study visit to Georgia, leading to the development of an internal operational guide for journalists in conflict-affected settings, as part of Small Grant programme.link

Murals celebrating human rights on both banks of Nistru/Dniester River. Two murals with identical messages and very similar and interconnected content – one in Chisinau, and the other in Dubasari (Transnistrian Region) were painted by renown local artists. The promotion/inauguration of the murals will take place in late November, and it will be boosted through social media. | Several awareness actions are in design phase. these should boost the numbers significantly. |

| 1.3.2 | **Number of innovative peace acceleration solutions addressing women's role and contribution in peacebuilding jointly generated and implemented by youth (women and men) from both banks** | **0** | **5 solutions identified, at least 2 solutions implemented** | **8 Solutions proposed – selection pending.** | **Lab/Hackathon for young adults aged 18-35 took place bringing together young 26 (9 women and 17 men) participants from both banks of the Dniester River. Eight teams developed digital solutions promoting peace and social cohesion - 3 solutions were awarded the winning places and 2 got distinction diplomas. Further at least two solutions will receive financial support of up to $2000 for the implementation. Among the participating teams was "Stereotype Breakers Map" (Tiraspol, LB), the 1st place winner with its concept of a guide app promoting participatory tourism on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River. The 2nd place team, "#3", (Tirapol, LB) proposed the app "Tell Me", aimed at providing support to women in risk** | |

				situations of various forms of violence. The 3rd place was awarded to the "News Watch Dog" team, (Chisinau, RB) which intends to monitor the media outlets by using Artificial Intelligence to detect attempts to manipulate public opinion and identify trustworthy sources of information.	
1.3.3	Number of advocacy initiatives oriented at promoting legal empowerment services on the left bank of Nistru river.	0	10	No progress.	No progress. The project team has successfully completed the negotiations and project documentation with CDPD. Starting November 2023, UNDP aims to provide a grant to CDPD and start the implementation of the activities. Furthermore, in 2024, the CDPD will pilot and empower the network of paralegals and will support the promotion of legal services on the left bank of the Nistru/Dniester River.	

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Strengthened responses to divisive narratives and misinformation thereby reducing inter-community tensions.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	**Increase in number of media outlets and CSOs generating products fighting misinformation** **Adapted for baseline study: Increase in % of CSOs and media outlets generating products tackling misinformation**	**69.3% of CSOs and 83.3% of media outlets have reported to have elaborated products tackling misinformation (including discrimination, hate speech) till the end of 2022.** **Only 15% of the products were tackling Misinformation and Fake News.**	**number/percentage increase**	**Progress to be showed through endline data.**	
2.2	**Number of social media influencers, NGOs, students, school professionals and persons belonging to vulnerable groups actively engaged in tackling misinformation and hate speech. Adapted for baseline study: % of rights-holders (actively or passively) engaged in tackling misinformation and hate speech.**	**Total -43.2% (2.73) very active- 14.4% LB- 24.0% (1.96) RB -45.25 (2.81) CSO and media – 60.7% (3.43) PwD- 52.7% (3.1) Ethnic minorities- 52% (3.0)**	**number/percentage increase**	**Progress to be showed through endline data.**	

| 2.3 | Number of law enforcement institutions that enact specific institutional responses to misinformation and hate speech. | 0 | 3 | (3) It is safe to assume that at least three institutions will have tangible results. | Solid progress. A cross-institutional cooperation between the General Prosecution, Council of Magistrates, General Police Inspectorate and the National Judicial Institute commenced to draft a joint Guide on how to investigate and prosecute hate crimes and hate speech. |

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

CSOs, judges, and law enforcement agents have strengthened capacities and duty bearers of the left bank have increased awareness to effectively implement international standards on tackling hate speech.

Output 2.2

Moldovan new and traditional media ecosystems are empowered to produce evidence-based, human rights, gender- and conflict-sensitive media products conducive to promoting tolerance, non-discrimination, and pluralism

Output 2.3

Community-level prevention and response in addressing and countering hate speech and discrimination in the areas with large refugee populations are strengthened

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: CSOs, judges, and law enforcement agents have strengthened capacities and duty bearers of the left bank have increased awareness to effectively implement international standards on tackling hate speech.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

| 2.1.1 | **Number of judges and law enforcement agents enabled to implement the newly adopted national legal framework on hate speech aligned with international standards** | **0** | **40 professionals (30% young professionals; 40% women)** | **59 Law enforcement were trained on HS)** | **6 Judges, 6 Prosecutors, 6 Police Officers (9 men and 9 women) and Lawyers received a training of Trainers on Hate Speech. National Judicial Institute recognised the ToT as official credits. Additionally, in cooperation with 41 police officers (13 women, 28 men)– first responders were trained on how to identify hate speech. Additionally, OHCHR managed to establish a working group of prosecutors, judges and police representatives to work on an inter-institutional Guide for prosecuting and investigating hate crimes (including hate speech). The first working meeting took place in October 2023, and it is expected that by next summer the Guide will be developed and published with the support of OHCHR.** | |

					Finally, plans were made with the General Police inspectorate to develop and roll-out a self-paced learning tool for police officers on how to identify hate speech. This product will be realised depending on available budget and time.	

| 2.1.2 | Number of CSO's initiatives, including joint ones, in monitoring and countering hate speech | 0 | 5 initiatives, including min 2 joint initiatives | 3 initiatives on the left bank were implemented in June 2023. | **Good progress. There were actions taken by CSOs on countering hate speech on the Left Bank: Discussion (debate club) on the topic of HS in Dubăsari (Klub 19) with journalists, psychologists, local activists in the panel aimed at developing an attitude of zero tolerance for the use of hate speech, and the dissemination of knowledge on the use of correct terminology when communicating with population groups at risk of discrimination.**

Info-reportage (Vox Pop) on the topic of Hate Speech which was aired on the internet TV (Dnestr TV) A seminar + a workshop for youth in Rîbnița with a video story covering the events broadcasted on LIK-TV. The two events consist of a theoretical input by an invited expert who delivered presentation | |

					on the topics: "The language of hatred and enmity. What is it, signs, where does it occur" and "Combating hate speech, measures taken". They were followed by practical group exercises and an assessment exercise about how often the participants of the training encounter hate speech in daily interactions.	
2.1.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Moldovan new and traditional media ecosystems are empowered to produce evidence-based, human rights, gender- and conflict-sensitive media products conducive to promoting tolerance, non-discrimination, and pluralism	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

2.2.1	**Number of media professionals, social media influencers and other non-traditional media representatives from both banks that have enhanced knowledge and skills to produce human-rights, gender, conflict-sensitive and social cohesion compliant media materials**	30	**70 (40% young people; 50% women)**	**Moderate progress.** **Partnership has been established with a local NGO skilled in media policy and sensitive reporting.** **Plans are made to organise a side event during a key Media Event of the year – Media Forum. PBF plans to contribute with a seminar/workshop on "Peace journalism: principles and applications".** **UN Women launched the "Media for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester river" forum. On 26 October the first networking event took place, bringing together over 35 media professionals from both banks. Media professionals will follow a course of 3 training on gender-sensitive, conflict- sensitive reporting in November 2023, January 2024, April 2024.** **At the end of the forum, an open competition of media materials was announced - "The contribution of media professionals to strengthening peace and social**	

| | | | | cohesion", which will run from 1 November 2023 to 10 June 2024. The competition on institutional development of media outlets will be launched in December 2023, the results will be announced in January 2024 and the implementation phase will last from February to May 2024. UNDP has initiated a double-track approach for the implementation of the 2.1.4 activity. First, UNDP has initiated a call for an Individual Contractor that will help with the implementation of project's activities. Second, UNDP has readied the grants dossier with Transparency International Moldova that will organise a hackathon entitled Nistru Truth Bridge, within which the subsequent indicators will be met. | |

2.2.2	Number of tools developed on prevention of hate-speech, discrimination, promotion of social cohesion and gender-responsive reporting in conflict and post-conflict settings	0	10	Moderate progress.	Moderate progress. UN Women in partnership with API works on 2 tools on gender-sensitive and conflict-sensitive reporting, 3 experts were selected by API to support this activity.	Activity in the inception phase.
2.2.3	Number of joint media materials developed by media professionals from both banks on human rights, gender, conflict-sensitive and social cohesion and combating hate speech	0	7	No progress.	No Progress. Relevant activities commenced implementation in October 2023. Actual progress will be noted in 2024	Activity linked to 2.2.2 and still in the inception phase.

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Community-level prevention and response in addressing and countering hate speech and discrimination in the areas with large refugee populations are strengthened	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1	**Number of civil servants and service providers in the areas with large refugee populations enabled to counter hate speech and discrimination and contribute to social cohesion**	**0**	**100 (25% young people; 40% women)**	**Moderate progress. No actual civil servants targeted yet.**	**Moderate progress. Two consultants were selected to engage with civil servants. A programme for approaching civil servants is being prepared.**	

| 2.3.2 | Number of awareness raising activities implemented by schools from both banks of Nistru river on non-discrimination, social tolerance and countering hate speech, including at community level | 0 | 8 | Good progress. Nine schools involved in the activity. Some progress noted, with initial induction and training for school staff conducted. | School staff showed interest and are committed to work with OHCHR to produce awareness raising initiatives in their schools, and even do joint ones. | |
| 2.3.3 | Number of communities benefiting from social cohesion focused interventions. | 0 | 4 | No data available at this stage. | This indicators progress will be available by the end of the project. Preparations are made for one intervention to help cross-river cooperation among Roma communities. The FFUPLM will start implementing deep listening exercises in November 2023. | |

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?
1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?
1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2
Output 4.3
Output 4.4
Output 4.5
Other Outputs *If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*
For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1	**Human Rights Forum**	**Dec 2023**	**Moldova**	**NHRIs, CSOs, Gov**	**Support the People's Advocate Office to Organise the annual Human Rights Forum, include a session with left bank and right bank HRDs – promote the PBF Project purpose.**

Event 2	Hackaton Nistru Truth Bridge	December 2023 - January 2024	Moldova	Activists and journalists from both banks.	This event aims at consolidating knowledge in the field of security, combating disinformation, promoting human rights, etc. and increasing the professional level of journalists, bloggers, influencers and activists on the two banks of the Nistru River, as well as generate relevant joint materials as a subsequent result of the implemented activities.
Event 3	Young Women for Peace Leadership School	March 2024	Moldova	Young women from both banks	Support young women, in reaching their full potential, recognizing their agency, resilience and positive contributions as agents of change in their communities and beyond.
Event 4	Workshop on HRBA and WPS for Settlement actors	24 January 2024	Moldova	Settlement WGs – actors from both banks.	Initial workshop to bring a group of settlement actors introduce them with HRBA and involvement of women in peacebuilding processes.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementati on? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representativ e of each stakeholder group (350 words)
1	**CSO (Human rights NGOs)**	**Insufficient Networking among civil society and lack of exchange of data relevant for Human Rights advocacy. No synchronised actions.**	**The initial impact is that a pool of 40+ NGOs have agreed to work together to promote human rights and social cohesion, and mutually support each-other.**	**not available at this stage**

2	Women-led CSOs	Women led CSOs in Moldova contribute significantly to promoting social inclusion, community confidence restoration, building sustainable peace and strengthen community resilience. However, their weak institutional capacity and/or insufficient financial resources can serve as a reason for their limited involvement in delivering community projects or peace initiatives, including the advancement of the Women Peace and Security agenda. As a result, the population is not fully aware of CSOs' potential, the activities they implement, and the services they provide.	As a result of a Small Grants programme six women-led CSOs from both banks of Nistru/Dniester river (2 CSOs from the left bank, 2 CSOs from the right bank and 2 CSOs from the Security Zone) strengthened institutional capacities, improved operational frameworks, enhanced technological capabilities, and skilled staff. These transformations underscore the success in empowering these organizations to address the nuanced needs of their communities as well as to promote women's meaningful participation in peacebuilding and decision-making at all levels.	"I am very glad that special attention is also paid to women's organizations in the rural area. Our organization is a member of the local action group "The Charm of the Dniester", which includes 8 localities on both banks of the Nistru river (5 from the right bank of the 3 from the left bank). Together we develop this territory by encouraging women from rural areas to be part of the social and economic life of the communities they belong to. The experience of the project "Let's get reacquainted" implemented in 2014-2015 on both banks of the Nistru river, demonstrated that it is easier to start building these confidence bridges from the grassroots level and then to extend initiatives at a higher level." Rodica Buzila, founder of CSO Women's Club "Inspiration". "Roma community activists who work in the CSOs do not receive sufficient financial support, they have salaries of 50-80 dollars per month during the project implementation, and they have to look for one more job to support their families and think about finding solutions on how to earn money when projects' funding opportunities are not available." Tatiana Sergheeva, founder of the CSO "Femeia

				Conteaza"
3				
4				

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL
**https://www.facebook.com/story.php?
story_fbid=pfbid0BZGDThByThun4AR7c3aphGnjGrN4hyuopNsjunrzyH5qetDRTN9czZ1MhMJ4pX99l&id=100090483791523&mibextid=**

Link 2
OPTIONAL
https://www.facebook.com/unwomenmoldova/posts/pfbid035Hvab2hmq39RqFo9XxKS53eJcv991YiqXEK7ZGJ7WMCNWBZwM2WX9I

Link 3
OPTIONAL
https://www.facebook.com/unitednationshumanrightsmoldova/posts/pfbid02UqpLEFiJDeJKZvQwrX3LH8r7bx4JvaCNSCJ5DeAGEb3I

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

....

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

☐ Enhanced digitization

☐ Innovative ways of working

☐ Mobilized additional resources

☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks

☑ Strengthened capacities

☑ Partnered with Civil Society Organizations

☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will

☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs

☑ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

....

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

....

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

....

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)   *

☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs

☑ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies

☑ Partnered with local civil society organizations

☐ Partnered with local academia

☐ Partnered with sub-national entities

☑ Partnered with national entities

☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

....

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

....

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative   *
Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☑ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☑ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☑ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☑ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period   *
Please limit your response to 350 words.

The implementation of six grants allocated by UNW to CSOs was closely monitored and appropriate guidance was provided to partners. Informative Session for the grants recipient CSOs on implementation and reporting aspects, facilitated by the M&E consultant and PBF project Officer. Individual consulting for grantees on budget planning, documentation, and all necessary guidance on grants' implementation-related issues provided by the M&E consultant and PBF team.

OHCHR follows the developments with human rights in the left bank, including limited civic space. Significant cases and reports of hate speech in the context of the conflict are also observed.

A desk assessment of existing initiatives in the area of paralegals on the left bank of the Nistru/Dniester River was conducted by UNDP as part of the Project's initiation activities was conducted.

Do outcome indicators have baselines?   *
If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ⦿ yes
- ◯ no

Please provide a brief description   *
Please limit your response to 350 words.

Baseline study completed in October 2023, first draft report still missing data relevant for duty-bearers and settlement actors of the left bank. Indicators are mentioned at the Outcome level in the logframe. Final report will be available by end of Nov 2023.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Survey conducted by sociological data collection company on five of the six Outcome indicators.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

◉ yes

○ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Baseline study was procured and conducted by a local sociological data gathering and analysis company.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

○ yes

◉ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

○ yes

◉ no

○ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

Response required

60000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Project not ending in the next 6 months and asking for non-cost extension up to February 2025.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

N/A

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

○ yes

● no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

○ No catalytic effect

● Some catalytic effect

○ Significant catalytic effect

○ Very Significant catalytic effect

○ Don't Know

○ Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The second-generation National Program and Action Plan (NAP) for the implementation of UNSCR 1325 on Women, Peace, and Security (WPS) for the period 2023-2027 developed in Moldova with UN Women support and financial support from Sweden was approved by Government Decision of 22 March 2023. The new NAP provisions, among other aspects, are aimed at enhancing women's meaningful participation in the Security and Defence sector, including in the conflict settlement processes and peacebuilding efforts, primarily from a human security perspective and includes intervention at the local level to decentralize the WPS commitments.

As part of peacebuilding efforts complementary to the PBF project, in October 2022, UN Women has established the Women's Advisory Board for the Sustainable Peacebuilding (WAB) which consists of 14 subject-matter experts and CSOs representatives (7 from the right bank and 7 from the left bank). The WAB is a complementary informal structure mostly focused on making connections between grassroots and high-level talks and support in outreach to actors at tracks 1 and 2.

In addition, UN Women will continue to support three Women's Dialogue Platforms on the left bank of the Nistru/Dniester River ("Friendship" from Rogi village; "Alisa" from Ribnitsa town; "Equal to Equal" from Bender town), to strengthen confidence building, social cohesion and implementation of initiatives around Humanitarian and Peace Development Action

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Arrangements and plans of implementation within the project frame are designed to address issues in a systematic manner, looking at a long-term impact and creating a culture of dialogue, social cohesion and diversity. Activities aim to have a joint cross-river component, bringing people and institutions together for an exchange and increased networking, which are aimed to contribute to long-term relationships.

Additionally, sustainability will be achieved through increased capacities of the settlement process actors, duty-bearers, media outlets and CSOs, to efficiently engage and promote cross-river relations, dialogue and social cohesion. It is expected that CSOs will establish a long-lasting practice to cooperate and engage in joint actions, promoting social cohesion, human rights and gender equality. Institutions and CSOs, including media will be more responsive to seek accountability for spreading misinformation, hate speech and divisive narratives. The project will attempt to build a culture where such phenomena are not tolerated.

All training and capacity building tools, knowledge products and solutions developed under the project will be with the stakeholders engaged, and some will be in the public domain for wider access to other interested actors beyond those immediately covered in the project. The Project will deliver an inter-institutional Guide on investigating and prosecuting hate crimes/hate speech, to be integrated in the formal training programme of the National Judicial Institute.

The project will also tackle public awareness, as an essential component to go hand in hand with the work with institutions and CSOs. Through such actions, communities will be enabled to participate and engage in policy development processes, seek access to services, or be more resilient to divisive narratives and inequality.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Note on Budget expenditure:

Please note that the project expenditure reported in this form includes commitments (signed contracts). The amount of commitments is significantly high with UNWomen, bringing their total expenditures above the allocated first transfer amount. This situation may require the transfer of the second tranche to UNW only, so implementation can continue unimpeded.

Also, worth mentioning is that the GEWE inputs were measured only through the activity costs, and not the non-activity costs.

Other implementation issues:

The Project will continue its efforts in overcoming the current stall of joint activities between left bank and right bank settlement actors. RUNOs are actively engaged in the advocacy, including throughout the UNCT and other key international stakeholders.

In the course of project-related meetings with the Bureau for Reintegration Policies, the need of local community actors from the Security Zone to gain skills and knowledge on how to develop, design, and manage projects and initiatives, write coherent proposals, engage with potential donors to secure funding was identified.

The project implementation has also been difficult and has faced some delays given UNDP's transfer to a new administrative platform (QUANTUM), given which OHCHR and UNDP have not been able to conduct any procurement activities for close to five months, and a backlog (consultancies to be advertised and low value acquisitions to be implemented) remained until beginning of June.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.