



IOM Final Result Framework

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Endline	Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
Outcome 1 Youth formerly associated with AS are socially accepted into the community	Indicator 1.1 Percentage of youth formerly associated with AS reporting inclusion in community life (disaggregated by sex and age)	Source: Endline individual reintegrati on assessmen t	68.5% (65% female and 72% male) (all between 18-25 years old)	70%	232 (146 female and 86 male). Youth formerly associated with AS are enrolled and actively participating in the project by the endline.	Endline: 85% (82% Female and 87% male), all are under 18 – 25 age group.	Kindly note beneficiaries supported by IOM do not include minors (Children), hence the data provided for the 18 – 25 age group.
	Indicator 1.2 Percentage of community members reporting inclusion of youth formerly associated with AS in community life (disaggregated by sex and age)	Source : Communit y Member Social Cohésion End line Questionn aires	76.5% (80% female and 73% male) (all between 18-25 years old)	30%	Endline Social Cohesion questionnaires were applied to 75 Community members by project.	77% (Female 75% male 78%).	The community members are accepting of YFAWAS extending help and forgiveness to them as evidenced by their base line score of 76.5%. This is did not change significantly as their end line was 77%. On the question of community members extending social invitations to YFAWAS, allowing their children to engage with them, community members were highly positive (scores of over 70%) hence the + 47 variance.
Output 1.1 Youth formerly associated with AS have improved mental health and resilience to support reintegrati on at the	Indicator 1.1.1 Percentage of youth formerly associated with AS that demonstrate improvements across social and psychosocial indicators (WHO five wellbeing index) (disaggregated by sex and age)	Source: Individual Reintegrati on Endline	67.5% (65% female and 70% male) (all between 18-25 years old)	70%	232 (146 female and 86 male). Youth formerly associated with AS are enrolled and actively participating in the project by the endline.	91% (89% Female and 93% male). all are under the 18 – 25 age group.	The baseline score was 68%: Improved ratings contributing to a high score were noted in the beneficiary's responses to the questions on they being filled with interest about their lives, being active and vigorous as well as fresh and rested.
individual level	Indicator 1.1.2 Percentage of youth	Source: Individual	63% (60% female and 66% male) (all	70%	232 (146 female and 86 male).	72% (78% Female and	N/A.

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Endline	Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	formerly associated with AS demonstrating a reduction in drivers to joining armed and violent groups (IOM Transitional Recovery Unit (TRU) index) (disaggregated by sex and age)	reintegrati on Endline	between 18-25 years old)		Youth formerly associated with AS are enrolled and actively participating in the project by the endline.	66% Male), all under 17- 25 age group.	
	Indicator 1.1.3: Number of youth formerly associated with AS receiving case management and social work services (disaggregated by sex and age)	Source: Reintegrati on Intake Forms Reintegrati on Case Notes	0	600 (350 over 18s; 250 under 18s 400 male;200 female)		321 (124 male and 197 female) (all between 18-25 years old)	
Output 1.2 Community members are more accepting of youth formerly associated	Indicator 1.2.1 Percentage of community members reporting changes in knowledge, attitudes, and practices around youth formerly associated with AS (disaggregated by sex and age)	Source: Communit y Member Social Cohesion Base line and End line Questionn aire	58.5% (58% female and 59% male) (all between 18-25 years old)	30%	Endline Social Cohesion Questionnaire applied to 75 community members by project end line.	66% (66% female and 66% Male).	The baseline score was 59 % already above the target with an end line of 66%. Seemingly the questions applied to measure this indicator were not quite specific to the target group. (This is has been noted as a learning point – the need to test run some of these questions whose response may be seen by respondents as a reflection of their short coming on practising the Islamic and cultural virtues which expect them to be more accepting of people without passing judgment).
with AS reintegrati ng in their communiti es	Indicator 1.2.2 Number of youth-led community development projects implemented.	Source: Activity Reports.	0	24	12 Community Development projects implemented mid-way.	20 (10 in Baidoa and 10 in Kismayo)	
Outcome 2 Marginalize d	Indicator 2.1 Percentage of community members	Source: Communit y Member	60% (60% female and 60% male)	30%	Endline Social Cohesion Questionnaire	65% (68% female and 62% Male).	Respondents were overly positive that youth have a lot to contribute to society and they were not

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Endline	Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
community youth have increased access to opportuniti es to meaningful ly contribute	reporting positive contributions of youth to the community wellbeing and social cohesion (disaggregated by sex and age)	Social Endline and End line Questionn aire.			applied to 75 members by project endline.		fearful of them, hence the positive variance on this indicator which points to inclusivity.
to their communiti es.	Indicator 2.2 % of marginalized community youth reported improved access to opportunities compared to a control group not included in the program.	Source: Baseline and End line Individual Assessmen t; Baseline and End line Control Group Assessmen t.	31% (29% female and 32% Male)	70%	222 marginalized community youth (132 female and 90 Male) have completed the Endline assessment at the end of the project cycle.	Endline scores: 63% (65% of Males and 61% of females). Marginalized youth have access to opportunities.	N/A (it is withing the - + 10% thus no need for explanation).
Output 2.1 Marginalize d community youth have improved mental health and resilience outcomes at the	Indicator 2.1.1 Percentage of marginalized community youth demonstrating improvements across social and psychosocial indicators (WHO wellbeing index) (disaggregated by sex and age).	Source: Baseline and End line Individual Assessmen t.	31% (30% female and 31% Male) (14_17 age group 26% and 18-25 is 36%)	70%	222 marginalized community youth (132 female and 90 Male) have completed the Endline assessment at the end of the project cycle.	14 – 18 age group (51%). 18 -25 age group (32%) Endline: 83% (Female: 81% and Male 85%)	N/A (it is withing the - + 10% thus no need for explanation).
individual	Indicator 2.1.2	Source:	44% (51% female	70%	222 marginalized	14 – 18 age	Based on the data analyzed, it has been found that
level	Percentage of	Base line	and 37% Male) (48%		community youth	group (33%)	a mere 53% of youth belonging to marginalized

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Endline	Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	marginalized community youth demonstrating a reduction in drivers to join armed and violent groups (IOM TRU index) (disaggregated by sex and age)	and End line Individual Assessmen t.	14_17 age group and 42% 18-25 age group)		(132 female and 90 Male) have completed the Endline assessment at the end of the project cycle.	18 -25 age group (20%). Endline:53 (Female= 55% and Male= 52%).	communities were successful in reducing their engagement with armed and violent groups, thereby falling short of the project's intended goal. The report delves into various intricate and multifaceted issues, including the government's understanding, pardon, resentment, and utilization of force. These themes maybe evoke diverse reactions, and respondents are not necessarily adopting a firm stance, leading to lower percentages in the categories of solid agreement or disagreement.
	Indicator 2.1.3 Number of marginalized community youth receiving case management and social work services (disaggregated by sex and age)	Source: Reintegrati on Intake Forms Reintegrati on Case Notes	0	600		510 (215 male and 295 female) (241 = under 18 years old, 269 = 18 years and above)	
Output 2.2 Community members have a more positive perspective on the contributio ns of youth in the community	Indicator 2.2.1 Percentage of community members reporting changes in knowledge, attitudes, and practices around marginalized community youth	Source: Communit y Member Social Cohésion Questionn aire	58.5% (58% female and 59% male)	30%	End line Social Cohesion Questionnaire applied to 75 community members by project midline(9 months).	Endline 59% (Female 58% and Male 59%).	