Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report   *

◯ Semi-annual

🔘 Annual

◯ Final

◯ Other

Date of submission of report   *

2023-11-15

2023-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report   *

Alizee Pellen, Programme Analyst Peacebuilding and Conflict Prevention, UNDP Nigeria

Name and Title of Person who approved the report   *

Kimairis Toogood, Peace and Development Advisor, UN RCO, Nigeria

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?   *

- (•) yes
- ( ) no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report?   *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- (•) yes
- ( ) no
- ( ) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?   *

- ( ) yes   (•) no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

○ Asia and the Pacific ○ Central & Southern Africa ○ East Africa

○ Europe and Central Asia ○ Global ○ Latin America and the Caribean

○ Middle East and North Africa ● West Africa

Country of project implementation *

○ Benin ○ Burkina Faso ○ Cote D'Ivoire

○ Gambia ○ Guinea ○ Guinea-Bissau

○ Liberia ○ Mali ○ Mauritania

○ Niger ● Nigeria ○ Senegal

○ Sierra Leone ○ Togo ○ Other, Specify

*

Other, please specify

Project Title *

○ 00113473: Integrated approach to building peace in Nigeria's farmer-herder crisis

● 00132748: Strengthening Local and State Level Peace Architectures for Peacebuilding and Prevention in Katsina and Kaduna States of North West Nigeria

○ 00134088: Reducing grievances through strengthening the conflict and gender sensitive oversight mechanisms of the Nigerian Police Force

○ 00140123: Strengthening reconciliation and reintegration pathways for persons associated with non-state armed groups and communities of reintegration including women and children in Northeast of Nigeria

○ Other, Specify

*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- [ ] Asia and the Pacific
- [ ] Central & Southern Africa
- [ ] East Africa
- [ ] Europe and Central Asia
- [ ] Global
- [ ] Latin America and the Caribean
- [ ] Middle East and North Africa
- [ ] West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-07-29

2022-07-29

Project end Date *

2024-07-28

2024-07-28

Has this project received an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- (•) NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- (•) NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

○ yes

◉ no

If yes, please select which *

○ National Trust Fund

○ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

◉ UN entity

○ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

◉ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme　　○ IOM: International Organization for Migration

○ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

○ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

○ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

○ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees　　○ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

○ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization　　○ WFP: World Food Programme

○ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

○ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

○ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme　　○ ILO: International Labour Organization

○ WHO: World Health Organization　　○ PAHO/WHO

○ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund　　○ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

○ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

○ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization　　○ ITC: International Trade Centre

○ UNDPO　　　　　　　　　　○ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project?   *

◯   No other recipients

◉   Yes, other UN recipients only

◯   Yes, other non-UN recipients only

◯   Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients   *

Select all that apply

☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ☑ IOM: International Organization for Migration

☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

☑ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ☐ WFP: World Food Programme

☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization

☐ WHO: World Health Organization   ☐ PAHO/WHO

☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre

☐ UN Department of Peace Operations   ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify   *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] Action Aid
- [ ] The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ● Other

Other, Please specify

UN entity

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

OHCHR by UNDP

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

200000.12

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Improving Human Rights Monitoring Capacities for the implementing partners working with Recipient UN organizations on the different components of the project.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ● Governmental entity
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Kaduna State Peace Commission by UNDP

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

50180.00

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Improving the capacities of Kaduna State Government and Local Stakeholders to design and implement peace building and prevention responses to conflict risks in a collaborative, coordinated and inclusive manner.

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

◉ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Katsina State Department of Security by UNDP

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

60597.00

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Improving the capacities of Katsina State Government and Local Stakeholders to design and implement peace building and prevention responses to conflict risks in a collaborative, coordinated and inclusive manner. Upscaling the capacity of Katsina State Government to roll out the implementation of Katsina Action Plan for Community-Based Peace building and Security Enhancement Strategy.

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

◉ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

PWAN by UN WOMEN

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

62873

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

-To support a gender-sensitive and risk-informed 2023-2027 Strategic Plan for the Kaduna State Peace Commission and an operational plan for Katsina's Peace and Security Strategic Framework, respectively as requested by State level authorities.

-To support the implementation of the State Action Plan (SAP) on Women, Peace and Security in Kaduna state and support the implementation of the SAP in Katsina state.

-To support the design and adoption of State Action Plan on Youth Peace and Security for Kaduna and Katsina State as provided for under Nigeria's National Action Plan on UNSCR 2250.

-To build/expand a platform of women leaders to be equipped with mediation, dialogue facilitation and reconciliation skills and competencies to lead and support local-level peacebuilding interventions at community levels.

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ⦿ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

BTG by UN WOMEN

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

73940

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

To support the establishment of safe spaces for victims of violence, including the provision of psychosocial support through women's empowerment hubs (one per target state), to facilitate access to GBV response services and to enhance referral for victims/survivors of GBV, whilst also providing livelihood opportunities for women and young women affected by GBV.

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner   *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

⦿ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

NEEM Foundation by UN

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date   *

55000.00

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner   *

Please limit your response to 175 words

To support the establishment of safe spaces and provide psychosocial support and livelihood opportunities through women's empowerment hubs (one per target state) for victims of violence in Kaduna and Katsina States.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1278650.00 *	895055.00 *	570085.42 *	44.58%
	*	*	*	%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	423078.00 *	296154.60 *	180017.49 *	42.55%

UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerme nt of Women	* 428000.00	* 299600.00	* 23796.00 5.56%
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %

	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
TOTAL	**2129728**	**1490809.6**	**773898.91**	**36.3 4%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **36.34%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

🔘 Correct   ⚪ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

38.07

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 810787.45**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

🔘 Correct   ⚪ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 294623.32**. Is this correct?   *

(●) Correct   (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars   *

123

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.   *
The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Budget PBF NW Peace Architecture-14_52_31.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project   *

(○) Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

(●) Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

(○) Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project   *

(○) Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

(●) Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

(○) Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

○ (2.2) Democratic Governance

● (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

☑ Gender promotion initiative

☑ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☑ Cross-border or regional project

☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

● yes

○ no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

The 1st Inaugural meeting of the Project Steering Committee was held on the 19th of October 2023 at the UN House in Abuja and scheduled to hold two times in a year while, the Technical-Level Project Committee meets on a quarterly basis, and thereby has met three times so far.

*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

The project has actively engaged with both high-level political leadership and technical staff within the governments of Kaduna and Katsina States. This engagement takes place through two key committees: the Project Steering Committee, which includes the Executive Governors of Kaduna and Katsina, and the Federal Ministry of Budget and Planning. Additionally, the Technical Level Committee comprises essential government agencies and UN implementing partners. These committees serve the purpose of not only securing commitment to peace and security but also sharing and discussing strategic guidance and updates for the project's ongoing implementation.

Furthermore, at the field coordination level in both Kaduna and Katsina States, the project has played a pivotal role in establishing the State Working Group (SWG). This group brings together technical staff and heads of various government agencies, fostering synergy and collaboration among them. This collaboration has led to the development and implementation of government policies and programmes aimed at strengthening peace and security in both states, using a robust and joint strategic approach.

Among the agencies engaged are the Kaduna State Ministry of Health, Kaduna State Ministry of Human Services and Social Development (MHSSD), Kaduna State Peace Commission, Federal Security Actors, Kaduna State Emergency Management Agency, Katsina state Ministry of local Government and Chieftaincy Affairs, Katsina state Department of Youths Development, etc.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners   *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed
● Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment   *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed
● Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines   *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ● Partially Completed
○ Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ● Partially Completed

○ Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

The project's full implementation has begun, with all UN agencies completing staff recruitment and partner contracts for Kaduna and Katsina States. The project involves various entities: Kaduna State Peace Commission, Katsina State Judiciary, Kaduna State Ministry of Human Services and Social Development (the coordinating ministry for Women and Youths), Katsina State Department of Youths, Office of the Security Adviser to the Katsina State Government (now Katsina State Ministry of Internal Security and Home Affairs), NEEM Foundation, Partners West Africa Nigeria (PWAN), Bridge that Gap Initiative, and the West African Network for Peace (WANEP).

Furthermore, research baseline assessments for Outcomes 1 and 2, along with transhumance corridor mapping, are complete. The review of the Inception Report and livelihood assessment methodology is done, with final assessments expected by end-November 2023. These assessments will serve as the foundation for identifying beneficiaries and specific activities under Outcome 3. UN agencies and government partners in Kaduna and Katsina State have streamlined project activities, focusing on two communities in each of the four Local Government Areas (LGAs): Kaura and Kachia LGAs in Kaduna State, and Kaita and Faskari LGAs in Katsina State to ensure optimal impact.

UN WOMEN appointed a dedicated National Programme Officer for PBF project management. OHCHR signed a UN-to-UN agreement with UNDP, deploying a project coordinator and two human rights monitors to assess human rights and advise on changes for effective protection by local government and the UN, alongside providing expertise and technical support on human rights. They also developed templates for monitoring human rights violations and supported state and UN partner activities.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. *
This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested
FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)
N/A

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration).

Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have   *

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:   *

Improved capacities of Kaduna and Katsina State Government and Local Stakeholders to design and deploy peace building and prevention responses to conflict risks in a collaborative, coordinated and inclusive manner

Outcome 2:   *

Community-wide trauma-healing and psychosocial support provided to victims of violence in affected LGAs in Kaduna and Katsina States

Outcome 3:   *

Affected communities in sampled LGAs have increased access to livelihoods opportunities

Outcome 4:   *

Outcome 5:   *

Outcome 6:   *

Outcome 7:   *

Outcome 8:   *

Additional Outcomes   *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1: Improved capacities of Kaduna and Katsina State Government and Local Stakeholders to design and deploy peace building and prevention responses to conflict risks in a collaborative, coordinated and inclusive manner

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ⬤ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

*

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Through the collective efforts of UN implementing agencies, the project continues to bolster trust and accountability between the government and citizens, facilitating dialogue sessions with diverse stakeholders, including women, youth, traditional and religious leaders, Persons Living with Disabilities (PLWD), security agencies, and civil society organizations. This led to the creation of practical strategies like the Kaduna State Peace Commission (2023-2027) Strategic Framework and the State Action Plan on Youth, Peace, and Security, promoting harmony among diverse ethnic groups. The project strengthened the government's capacity to engage stakeholders and review the Strategic Plan of the Kaduna State Peace Commission and the Youth, Peace, and Security (YPS) agenda. Additionally, it aided in shaping the State Action Plan for Kaduna State, now under government review. The project also reinforced existing peacebuilding structures, establishing the Kaduna State Early Warning and Early Response System (EWERS) Network, uniting security agencies, government officials, and CSOs to respond to early warnings, reducing conflict and security incidents. It enhanced the capabilities of Local Government Peace Committees, the media, and youth groups in Early Warning and Early Response, empowering them to monitor conflicts and advocate for peace.

In Katsina, UNDP, UNWOMEN, and OHCHR collaborated on the development of the State Action Plan (SAP) on Youth, Peace, and Security, ensuring inclusivity, particularly regarding women and human rights. They supported the capacity building of 520 members of the Peace and Security Committee, community policing, early warning, early response, and alternative dispute resolution (ADR). This contributed to launching the State Security Joint Command and Control Center, enhancing judges' ADR capabilities, and establishing gender-sensitive frameworks for Community Conflict and Dispute Resolution Centers.

UN Women established a Women Peace and Security (WPS) SAP Implementation Committee in Katsina State while OHCHR enhanced capacities in human rights, community policing, and partnered with the NHRC to enhance community protection and early warning systems. UN Women also collaborated with Partners West Africa Nigeria (PWAN), a women-led NGO, to develop gender-sensitive plans, implement the SAP on Women, Peace, and Security, and design the SAP on Youth Peace and Security in alignment with Nigeria's UNSCR 2250 National Action Plan.

Government partners noted that these initiatives have significantly contributed to strengthening the peacebuilding knowledge, skills and abilities in both Katsina and Kaduna, including the strengthened coordination capacity of government officials.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

A female-led civil society organization (CSO) is at the forefront of SAP-YPS development in Katsina state, collaborating with the Katsina Ministry of Women Affairs on gender equality and women's empowerment (GEWE) while being a part of the SAP-YPS working group. UNDP has played a role in crafting gender-sensitive frameworks and enabling the establishment of community dispute resolution centers with female judges. So far, among the six centers functioning, there is one female mediator, namely the Director of the Multidoor Courthouse.

Simultaneously, UN Women has established strategic partnerships with two female-led NGOs, Partners West Africa Nigeria and Bridge that Gap Initiative, as responsible partners (RPs). They will closely coordinate with UN implementing agencies, relevant government MDAs in Kaduna and Katsina states, and other key stakeholders. Their primary aim is advancing gender equality and women's empowerment in conflict prevention, resolution, and peacebuilding initiatives. UN Women also collaborates with the Kaduna Ministry of Human Services and Social Development, facilitating multi-stakeholder consultations to address emerging Women, Peace, and Security (WPS) issues and enhance existing capacities on GEWE and youth empowerment.

In Kaduna, UNDP integrated gender and human rights into the ToRs for the Strategic Plan and SAP-YPS consultants' engagement. They collaborate with KSPC to create training modules for Local Government Peace Committees on EWER, incorporating UN Women's gender-sensitive EWER and SOP manual for Nigeria's peace architecture. Implementing partners prioritize diverse gender and age group inclusion in project activities. A consultant leads the 2nd SAP-WPS in Kaduna state, employing a gender-sensitive methodology for meaningful participation and women's representation in policy reviews at state and LGAs levels.

OHCHR monitors human rights (HR) adherence, engaging with communities in the LGAs, including women groups and youth leaders, addressing the impact of conflict on HR, livelihoods, and social cohesion while emphasizing gender issues and sustainable conflict mitigation. OHCHR has trained the Kaduna State Gender and Human Rights Response Team and CSOs, including the Federation of Female Lawyers in the state. They utilize EWERS for reporting HR violations specific to women and girls, promoting prompt responses and greater inclusion of women's organizations in decision-making processes.

Outcome 2: Community-wide trauma-healing and psychosocial support provided to victims of violence in affected LGAs in Kaduna and Katsina States

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ● 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

UNDP has finalized its Responsible Partner Agreement (RPA) with NEEM Foundation, a Nigerian NGO with deep experience in providing mental, trauma healing and psychosocial support in conflict contexts. NEEM's role is to undertake a mapping of the cycle of trauma in the two project states, assess the existing capacities for providing support, and define approaches for ensuring localized and sustainable support to facilitate access to GBV response services, enhance referrals, and expand livelihood opportunities for victims/survivors of GBV. NEEM Foundation finalised a research baseline assessment that led to the identification and selection of two communities each from the four LGAs. The outcome of the assessment informed the choice of Counselling and Expressive Therapy services that was provided to 544 beneficiaries. Also, NEEM conducted capacity-building training for frontline responders and community stakeholders from two LGAs i.e one in Kaduna and one in Katsina while the remaining ones will be conducted by the end of November 2023. A similar RPA has also been recently finalized by UN WOMEN with Bridge that Gap Initiative, a female led CSO with experience in providing similar services at the Community level. Bridge that Gap Initiative will support the establishment of safe spaces and provide psychosocial support and livelihood opportunities through women's empowerment hubs (one per target state) for victims of violence.

In Kaduna, the project fosters collaboration among key stakeholders to design a framework addressing mental health and psychosocial support (MHPSS) needs. While the Ministry of Human Services has resources, there's no holistic MHPSS structure, and the project aims to fill this gap. Advocacy visits to state agencies have confirmed the need for a comprehensive framework. NEEM, in collaboration with UNDP, will strengthen the coordination structure involving government, CSOs, faith-based institutions, and other entities. Engagement with the Kaduna State Ministry of Health has identified existing mental health support infrastructures for the project to enhance, providing conflict and gender-sensitive psychosocial support services based on trauma experiences.

OHCHR engages with CSOs, including FIDA (International Federation of Women Lawyers), to enhance reporting of HR violations and strengthen responses, as well as with NEEM foundation to conduct assessment on the HR gaps in the communities, nurture mediators and facilitators from the communities, and offer psychosocial support to victims of conflict. OHCHR also works with the NHRC (Nigerian Human Rights Commission) to provide support and enhance protection for the affected communities in the states.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Overall, UNDP and UN Women emphasised the inclusion of women and girls in the entire project activities. The selection of NEEM Foundation as an implementing partner reflects the focus on considering gender in trauma healing and psychosocial support. NEEM strives for gender sensitivity in its practices and is a women-led organisation. NEEM Foundation provided Counselling and Expressive Therapy service to 544 beneficiaries comprising of 292 females and 252 males and also capacity building training for 30 community stakeholders and frontline responders comprising of 11 females and 19 males. UNDP conducted sensitisation and advocacy to ensure that GEWE and youth inclusion is given serious consideration. The technical proposal for implementation received from KSPC has provision for 50% women and 30% youths participating in and benefiting from the project.

In Katsina, the Ministry of women affairs working through the technical working group on the youth peace and security action plan is sitting as the advisory body ensuring adequate representation, inclusivity of women on the project also offering sustainable solution to have more women included in decision making.

UN Women has also continued to provide technical guidance to promote the adoption of a gender-sensitive approach at multiple levels. The call for proposal advertised by UN Women included a requirement for RPs to adopt a gender-sensitive approach and utilise both policy and programmatic entry points to enhance meaningful leadership by women and youth and participation in all phases of the project implementation.

Outcome 3: Affected communities in sampled LGAs have increased access to livelihoods opportunities

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◯ 1. Off Track   ⦿ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

During the reporting period, IOM strengthened community resilience in Kaita and Faskari (Katsina state) and Kaura and Kachia (Kaduna state) through community-based social cohesion programs, enhancing community knowledge, and building capacities on data management and early warning systems. HR monitors supported IOM in integrating human rights into data assessment in selected LGAs, assisting enumerators in the project site.

In June, IOM released its first Transhumance Tracking Tool (TTT) baseline report, mapping mobility patterns and infrastructure needs for Kachia and Kaura. The report informed authorities about existing infrastructure needs and transhumance corridors. Collaborating with local authorities, 16 communities (8 per LGAs in Kaduna and Katsina) were selected to strengthen social cohesion and enhance local capacity on early warning systems, considering community criteria such as conflict history, bandit attacks, and overall vulnerability. Selected communities received targeted capacity building support to share information on transhumance movements, especially in potential threat areas.

By August 2023, IOM established 24 Community Response Networks (CRNs) at Ward and LGA levels in Kaduna and Katsina, forming gendered committees involving various community groups. CRNs transmit timely information on transhumance, supporting Early Warning Response (EWR) alerts and resolving disputes within communities. In September, IOM, in collaboration with Pastoral Resolve (PARE), trained 92 CRN members and community key informants, emphasizing early warning and response systems, data management, and the Transhumance Tracking Tool. CRN Key Informants were trained to collect data digitally through the Kobo Collect tool, following ethical principles and IOM guidelines.

Participants included Community Leaders, Women Groups, Youth Groups, Local Government administration, Religious Leaders, Traditional Leaders, IDPs, Security Operatives, Herders' Associations, forest guards, hunters, people living with disabilities (PWD), etc., contributing to community social cohesion and peacebuilding.

IOM conducted consultations with partners, LGA administration, Traditional Leaders, and stakeholders to promote collaboration. This involved discussions with community members on training approaches, meetings with stakeholders from the Ministry of Agriculture, Miyetti Allah Cattle Breeders Association of Nigeria (MACBAN), Pastoral Resolve, and Farmers Association of Nigeria (AFAN).

Following capacity building efforts, IOM, with community KIs, initiated data collection on Early Warning Early Response in Kaita and Faskari (Katsina) and Kachia and Kaura (Kaduna), activating the early warning alert mechanism and launching the first Early Warning Report for August 2023.

UNDP finalized contracting WANEP to implement Livelihood Component activities, expected to be based on outcomes from Livelihood assessments scheduled for completion by the end of November 2023.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

IOM has encouraged 50/50 gender balance of participants in project activities, by ensuring that all gender groups are targeted through gender inclusive invitation letters. While challenges in this regard remain, IOM continues to encourage gender parity in all its programmes by engaging all gender groups to participate in the CRNs. In both Kaduna and Katsina states, IOM was able to have an Equitable representation of women, numbering 40, in the Community Response Networks (CRNs) established across the two states. During the field data collection and mapping session, several focus groups discussion (45 FGDs in Kaita and Faskari and 51 FDGs in Kaura and Kachia) were rolled out targeting different groups (men, women, youths) and vulnerable populations to ensure their inputs are recorded.

UN WOMEN supported the review of the Household Survey Tools deployed by UNDP, for livelihoods assessment in selected LGAs in Kaduna and Katsina States, to ensure that gender considerations are particularly mainstreamed into the tools. OHCHR undertakes focus group discussion with women and girls in the LGAs and hold key interviews to gain insight on the gendered impact of conflict in the communities and these also documented.

Outcome 4:

....

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

....

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

....

Outcome 5:

....

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

....

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

....

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

 ◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

 ◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome *

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments-provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Improved capacities of Kaduna and Katsina State Government and Local Stakeholders to design and deploy peace building and prevention responses to conflict risks in a collaborative, coordinated and inclusive manner

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....

| 1.1 | **Indicator 1.1**

Number of key State level institutions mechanisms effectively responding to conflict risks in Kaduna and Katsina | **1 (Kaduna)** | **2 (across the two states)** | **Facilitated capacity-building trainings for both state and local actors on peacebuilding and conflict prevention initiatives in Kaduna and Katsina State.**

Supported the Kaduna State Peace Commission to train security operatives, youths, and women to identify, monitor, report and respond to early warnings during the 2023 General Elections.

Supported the Katsina High court, the multidoor courthouse to develop a gender sensitive framework that establishes the creation of the community conflict dispute resolution centers. | |
| 1.2 | **Indicator 1.2**

Percentage of local level conflicts being resolved through community dialogue and mediation | **TBD** | **10** | **An RPA has been signed with NEEM Foundation to conduct both peacebuilding and MHPSS assessment.** | |

| 1.3 | **Indicator 1.3**

% increase local populations in selected LGAs – especially women, youth that perceive key peacebuilding institutions as being effective | **50%** | **At least 20% increase confidence by citizens especially women and youth in peacebuilding institutions.** | **An RPA has been signed with NEEM Foundation to conduct both peacebuilding assessment.** | |

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Capacity of the Kaduna State Peace Commission and key stakeholders is enhanced to promote cohesion and prevention efforts

Output 1.2

Capacity of Katsina State Government to roll out the implementation of Katsina Action Plan for Community-Based Peace building and Security Enhancement Strategy strengthened

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Capacity of the Kaduna State Peace Commission and key stakeholders is enhanced to promote cohesion and prevention efforts	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

| 1.1.1 | Indicator 1.1.1

Number of strategies and frameworks developed to enable key peacebuilding mechanisms and processes. | (1 strategic plan ending in 2022) | 1 strategic plan (gendered with specific components on WPS and YPS) | 1 | As a direct result of the project, the Kaduna State Peace Commission capacity was strengthened to develop tools for the evaluation of expression of interest and selection of expert consultant to facilitate the review and redesign of the KSPC Strategic Plan The project facilitated the signing of Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between KSPC and the expert consultant engaged to provide technical support of the review and redesign of the KSPC Strategic Plan.

UN WOMEN supported the development of the KADSAP 2, which is currently being validated and will be published and launched this year.

UN Women | |

					and UNDP supported Kaduna and Katsina State governments to develop a SAP-YPS currently undergoing internal review. (A SAP on WPS has also been launched in Katsina State supported by Mercy Corps, UN WOMEN did not support the development of the WPS SAP in Katsina State but have committed to support the establishment of mechanisms to enhance its implementation, monitoring and reporting)	

| 1.1.2 | Indicator 1.1.2 Number of representatives from Peace commission, Local Government, Civil Society; Women and Youth Groups, Faith institutions with capacity to support mediation and dialogue facilitation efforts. | 0 | 200 (with 50% being women; 30% Youth) | 0 | The project supported the KSPC to develop a ToR for training module development consultancy services. | |

| 1.1.3 | Indicator 1.1.3

Number of conflict warning reports submitted for multi-stakeholder and collaborative action. | 0 | 36 reports (1 report per month from each of the States for the duration of the project) | 0 | The programme supported the KSPC to inaugurate the SWG on EWERS supported KSPC to develop a concept note for the Stakeholders Consultative and Sensitization Meeting on EWERS supported KSPC to organize a Stakeholders Consultative and Sensitization Meeting on EWERS in Kaduna State supported KSPC to establish the Kaduna State EWERS Network comprising of government, security and CSOs Supported KSPC to operationalized and strengthen the capacity of the LGAs Peace Committees on EWERs across the three senatorial districts The project supported the | |

					strengthening the capacity of 40 media practitioners on EWERS and Conflict Sensitive Reporting.	
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Capacity of Katsina State Government to roll out the implementation of Katsina Action Plan for Community-Based Peace building and Security Enhancement Strategy strengthened	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Indicator 1.2.1** **Capacity development plan for the key actors within the three-tier Peace and Security Committees**	**0**	**1 (incl. a strategy for increasing the participation of women and youth)**	**1**	**Finalized the capacity building training for the 3-tier peace and security committee across the three senatorial districts of the state.**	

1.2.2	**Indicator 1.2.2** **Gender-sensitive and rights-based framework for establishing traditional conflict resolution courts and Strategic Negotiation Units**	**0**	**1 (incl. one targeting female victims)**	**1**	**UNDP provided technical support that facilitated in the development of the framework document for the Community Dispute Resolution Centers. The framework mainstreams gender and awaiting the endorsement of the Chief Judge of the State.**	
1.2.3	**Indicator 1.2.3** **Number of the members of the three-tier peace and security committees trained**	**0**	**At least 200 (30% being female; 40% being youth)**	**520**	**Finalized the training of 520 members of the three-tier peace and security committee on early warning, alternative dispute resolution and strategies for engaging agitators.**	

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Community-wide trauma-healing and psychosocial support provided to victims of violence in affected LGAs in Kaduna and Katsina States

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	**Indicator 2.1** **Systems and structures for providing MHPSS services accessible to victims in supported LGAs**	**3**	**At least 20 (10 in each community incl. those providing services to women and girls)**	**UNDP signed an RPA with NEEM Foundation to carry out the assessment and mapping of MHPSS structures and mechanisms.**	
2.2	**Indicator 2.2** **Number of community members in selected LGAs receiving MHPSS services**	**566**	**1000 (with 60% being women and girls)**	**N/A**	
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Community-wide programme to mental health, trauma healing and psychosocial support to victims of violence especially women and youth developed and piloted

Output 2.2

Mechanisms and structures activated to provide mental health, psychosocial and trauma healing services to victims of violence

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Community-wide programme to mental health, trauma healing and psychosocial support to victims of violence especially women and youth developed and piloted	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

| 2.1.1 | Indicator 2.1.1 Framework for community-wide mental health, psychosocial Support | 0 | 2 (one for each State; with a specific component that focuses on female victims) | 0 | UNDP signed an RPA with NEEM Foundation. -NEEM foundation finalized the research baseline assessments and commenced the service provision aspect of the implementation in Kaduna and Katsina States. The outcome of the assessment will inform the selection criteria for the selection of 2 communities in each of the LGAs in Kaduna and Katsina States where the MHPSS component was conducted. | |

| 2.1.2 | Indicator 2.1.2

Database of key institutions (including women and youth groups) with capacity to provide MHPSS services | 0 | 1 database (incl. with organisations providing tailored services to women and girls directly affected by violence) | 0 | NEEM Foundation conducted capacity building training on MHPSS for 30 (i.e 11 females and 19 males) frontline responders comprising of beneficiaries from government and local communities stakeholders in Kachia LGA and Kaita LGA of Kaduna and Katsina State respectively. | |
| 2.1.3 | | | | | | |

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Mechanisms and structures activated to provide mental health, psychosocial and trauma healing services to victims of violence	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**Indicator 2.2.1** **Referral system with profiles of victims of violence (including women, girls, youth)**	**There are existing referral systems in Kaduna state but no clear referral pathway in Katsina.**	**2 (one in each state incl. a referral system for female victims of sexual and physical violence).**	**0**		**NEEM Foundation finalized the research baseline assessment which the outcome will help in identifying existing systems and areas for capacity strengthening.**

| 2.2.2 | **Indicator 2.2.2**

Number of victims of violence receiving MHPSS services (with 50% being women) | **544 (with 55% being women and girls)** | **1000 (with 60% being women and girls)** | **544 (292 female & 252 Male)** | **NEEM foundation worked with 260 victims in Kaita LGA, women and Children using arts and expressive therapy and with the adults using Physico drama therapy.** | |
| 2.2.3 | **Indicator 2.2.3**

Perceptions of transformation from victims of armed violence following MPHSS services and inter-general dialogues | **TBD** | **20% increase based on the baseline perception survey at project inception.** | **0** | **This will be reported in June 2024 after the monitoring and evaluation of the impact of the MHPSS service that was administered.** | |

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.5.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.5.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.5.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 3: Affected communities in sampled LGAs have increased access to livelihoods opportunities

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....

3.1	Indicator 3.1 Reduction in the number of disputes recorded by conflict mitigation mechanisms in grazing reserves	TBD	50% reduction	IOM - For both Kaduna and Katsina states. Engaged with different actors linked to transhumance component (Farmers, herders, CBOs, Local Government, and government ministries). Selected communities with history of herders and farmers' conflict and presence of transhumance corridors for Transhumance Flow Monitoring. Published the first (1) Transhumance Tracking Tool baseline mobility and infrastructure mapping report. Publish the first (1) Early warning report for the month of August. Completed the formation of 24 CRNs committees at ward and LGA level. Facilitated two (2) capacity-building trainings for Community Response	

				Network and Community Key informants on the Transhumance Tracking Tool (TTT).	
3.2	Indicator 3.2 Framework for integrating community-wide livelihoods programme as a peacebuilding strategy	TBD	2 (one Gender-sensitive and rights-based framework, one in each state)	UNDP has commissioned a livelihood assessment which is currently at first draft of results state to provide information on existing framework if available in the states. The assessment tools included input by UNWOMEN for gender considerations.	
3.3	Indicator 3.3 Policy recommendations submitted to State Government in management of transhumance related challenges	0	4 (2 from each state factoring needs and priorities of women and youth)	N/A	

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

    1   [2]   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Integrated mechanism for collecting data and generating analysis on transhumance patterns in Kaduna and Katsina States is developed and adopted

Output 3.2

Framework for model economic livelihoods programme designed and piloted in two selected LGAs in Katsina and Kaduna States

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Integrated mechanism for collecting data and generating analysis on transhumance patterns in Kaduna and Katsina States is developed and adopted	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

| 3.1.1 | Indicator 3.1.1

Number of communities with improved access to early warning signals and trends | Early warning signals are usually reported when they result in violence and do not include systematic data on transhumance. | 4 LGAs | 4 LGAs two in each state and having a total of 40 communities that were formed. | IOM activated the Early Warning and Early Response (EWER) alert mechanism in the 4 LGAs of Implementation. This is to help prevent the occurrence of a potential conflict during the movement of transhumance along the corridors and to facilitate early response to a conflict that has occurred (event alert). | |
| .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... |

| 3.1.2 | Indicator 3.1.2

Number of data analyses reports developed | 0 | 15 | 2 | One (1) Baseline Mapping — Kachia and Kaura LGAs, Kaduna State and Faskari and Kaita LGAs, Katsina State published June 2023 – see

https://dtm.iom.int/reports/nigeria-transhumance-tracking-tool-report-baseline-mapping-kachia-and-kaura-lgas-kaduna

One (1) Early Warning Dashboard 1 — Kachia and Kaura LGAs, Kaduna State and Faskari and Kaita LGAs, Katsina State published (August 2023) - See https://dtm.iom.int/reports/nigeria-transhumance-tracking-tool-report-early-warning-dashboard-1-kachia-and-kaura-lgas | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

| 3.1.3 | Indicator 3.1.3

Number of community response networks established | 0 | 40 | 40 | 40 CRNs were formed, 20 in Katsina and 20 in Kaduna state. | |
| | Indicator 3.1.4

Stakeholders have increased knowledge and capacity on transhumance patterns, early warning and response mechanisms | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 training have been done to inform stakeholders on TTT related information including how to monitor and report on early warning and response | |

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Framework for model economic livelihoods programme designed and piloted in two selected LGAs in Katsina and Kaduna States	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1	**Indicator 3.2.1** **Comprehensive livelihoods assessment and market survey reports produced**	**0**	**At least 2 (Report with a gendered analysis of livelihoods initiatives and factors affecting the women's ability to access markets)**	**0**	**The livelihood and market assessments are ongoing with data collection completed and presentation of the first draft on the 17th of November and finalization expected at the end of November.**	

| 3.2.2 | Indicator 3.2.2

Number of community-based cooperatives capacitated to strengthen livelihoods linkages | 0 | At least 4 (with 2 (50%) focused supporting women to better access livelihoods | 0 | The livelihood assessment will provide information on cooperatives that require additional support which will be provided by UNDP's Responsible party, WANEP. | |
| 3.2.3 | Indicator 3.2.3

Number of households accessing livelihoods in the affected LGAs | TBD | 1,000 households (30% being female headed households; 40% being youth-led) | 0 | The ongoing livelihood assessment will provide information strategic livelihood to be supported which have greater potential for reducing conflict and increasing the economic activities in the communities, especially for women and youth. The support will also be provided through UNDP's engaged party, WANEP. | |

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performanc e Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

     1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

> If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1	Data collection of Transhumance Flow monitoring (TFM)	October-December	Kaduna	CRN, Traditional Leaders, Herders and Farmers.	To collect data on transhumance trends along the stock routes
Event 2	Human rights education in secondary schools	December	Kaduna and Katsina	Teenagers in 4 schools within the LGAs	Promote knowledge on human rights

Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)

| 1 | Dr. Saleh Momale, Executive Vice Chairman of the Kaduna State Peace Commission | Lack of capacity and funding support to moblize critical stakeholders to implement collective actions and initiatives that will foster peace and security in Kaduna State. | The project has helped to strengthen partnership and collaboration between actors in the peace and security eco-system in Kaduna State. It has also empowered the public sector institutions with a lot of capacity to mobilize civil society organisations (CSOs) | The Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) project has significantly transformed the landscape in Kaduna. A pivotal outcome of the project is the reinforced collaboration among stakeholders, particularly within the public sector. The PBF has empowered public sector stakeholders both financially and operationally, enabling them to actively engage with various actors in a more impactful manner. Traditionally, a predominant share of ODA funding has been directed towards non-governmental organizations/civil society organizations. These entities, equipped with their resources, have consistently demonstrated effective coordination amongst themselves, actively involving the public sector. However, the shift brought about by the PBF project is noteworthy. Rather than passively participating in external initiatives, public sector institutions now possess the capacity and autonomy to take the lead. This includes not only responding to |

invitations from civil society organizations but also proactively inviting them, along with other relevant agencies within the state government. In Kaduna, the tangible impact of the PBF project is evident in the integration of five key Ministries, Departments, and Agencies (MDAs) into the commission's activities. These include the Ministries of Human Services and Social Development, Internal Security and Home Affairs, Local Government (encompassing traditional institutions and local government administration), Budget and Planning (responsible for the state's strategic framework), and Agriculture (addressing rural issues such as agriculture). Additionally, there are other critical entities like the Ministries of Environment and Sport, along with supporting agencies such as the Kaduna Bureau of Interfaith, Bureauvice, and Emergency Management Agency. This collaborative effort has fostered a harmonious and synergistic working relationship among these diverse

				entities. The impact is akin to a cohesive family, collectively contributing to and coordinating the overarching goals of the project. Indeed, the PBF project has facilitated the convergence of various government organs, encouraging them to collaborate more effectively. This enhanced collaboration has resulted in better planning and resource-sharing among these entities. Consequently, state resources are now optimized for the broader goal of promoting peacebuilding, marking a substantial positive shift in the utilization of resources for the collective benefit of Kaduna's peacebuilding initiatives.
2				
3				
4				

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

The Director of the Multidoor Courthouse at the High Court in Katsina shared a compelling human-interest story highlighting the positive impact of UNDP's partnership. This story centered around the successful resolution of a complex conflict involving land and religious differences through the Alternative Dispute Resolution approach. She recounted how a case had been referred to the Multidoor Courthouse by one of the High Court Judges.

In this case, two parties were in dispute over a piece of land. One party argued that the land's owner couldn't rightfully claim ownership because he was neither an indigene of the area nor shared the same faith. After careful and empathetic interactions, the magistrate discovered that the landowner had deep roots in the community; his ancestors had settled there generations ago and had purchased the land as part of their history.

The Multidoor Courthouse unequivocally clarified that landownership is not contingent upon being an indigene or adhering to a particular faith. The magistrate, in a remarkable display of dispute resolution, facilitated an agreement in which both parties, along with community leaders, pledged to live harmoniously, free from any form of religious comments or provocations.

This story serves as a testament to the positive impact of UNDP's collaborative efforts in fostering peace and understanding within the community.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *
Please select up to 3.

☐ Enhanced digitization

☐ Innovative ways of working

☐ Mobilized additional resources

☑ Improved or initiated policy frameworks

☑ Strengthened capacities

☑ Partnered with Civil Society Organizations

☑ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will

☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs

☑ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain
Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain
Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain
Please limit your response to 350 words.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☑ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☑ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☑ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☑ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☑ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period   *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

OHCHR has biweekly human rights monitoring and reporting activities in Kaura and Kachia LGAs in Kaduna State and Kaita and Faskri LGAs in Katsina State.

IOM was able to have a joint baseline mapping with the support from local guides who have good knowledge of transhumance corridor. A team from the relevant government ministries were involved in the monitoring of the exercise and also to support with relevant information and guidance.

UNDP has biweekly monitoring of Project implementation in Katsina state, partners progress in implementation on the Capacity of Katsina State Government to roll out the implementation of Katsina Action Plan for Community-Based Peace building and Security Enhancement Strategy strengthened.

UN WOMEN paid a monitoring visit to Kaduna State to meet with key project Stakeholders, including KSPC, MHSSD, UNDP, IOM and implementing partners, in a bid to accelerate project implementation.

Do outcome indicators have baselines?   *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

○ yes

● no

Please provide a brief description   *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)   *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

N/A

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys   *

○ yes

● no

Please provide a brief description   *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?   *

○ yes

◉ no

Please provide a brief description   *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?   *

○ yes

○ no

◉ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD):   *

Response required

0

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n)   *

○ yes

◉ no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged?   *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- ⦿ No catalytic effect
- ○ Some catalytic effect
- ○ Significant catalytic effect
- ○ Very Significant catalytic effect
- ○ Don't Know
- ○ Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The State Governments of Kaduna and Katsina are in an instrumental position in project implementation, which signifies their commitment and local ownership, thereby establishing a pillar for sustainability through the incorporation and consideration of their priorities.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

Event 1	UNDP Updated the project Workplan	The Updated Project workplan reflected the realistic timelines on activities conducted	
Event 2	UNDP Developed data collection tools for the purpose of monitoring activities	Data collection tools developed, enhance the effectiveness and reliability of data collected for the programs field monitoring activities	
Event 3	UNDP Conducted MHPSS Baseline Assessment	MHPSS assessment was conducted in Kaduna and Katsina states. Data collected were analysed and reported.	
Event 4	Ongoing Livelihood Baseline Assessment in Kaduna and Katsina state	Through its partners, UNDP is currently conducting the baseline assessment ongoing in Kaduna and Katsina state. Key outputs will be reported next quarter.	
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.