

# Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May\_2023)



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND** 

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report \*

2024-01-24

**2024-01-24**

Name and Title of Person submitting the report \*

**Ellie Shillito, Senior Programme Quality and Assurance Advisor**

Name and Title of Person who approved the report \*

**Elizabeth Gbah Johnson, Country Director, ActionAid Liberia**

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

- yes  
 no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? \*

*If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.*

- yes  
 no  
 Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

- yes  no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific  Central & Southern Africa  East Africa  
 Europe and Central Asia  Global  Latin America and the Caribbean  
 Middle East and North Africa  West Africa

Country of project implementation \*

- Benin  Burkina Faso  Cote D'Ivoire  
 Gambia  Guinea  Guinea-Bissau  
 Liberia  Mali  Mauritania  
 Niger  Nigeria  Senegal  
 Sierra Leone  Togo  Other, Specify

Project Title

\*

- 00118934: Advancing implementation of UNSCRs on Women Peace and Security (WPS) through strengthening accountability frameworks, innovative financing and Gender Responsive Budgeting (GRB)
- 00129168: Delivering Peace Dividends in Liberia: Consolidating National, Regional and Local Reconciliation and Social Cohesion Opportunities
- 00128904: Promoting Inclusive Political Participation and Elimination of Violence Against Women in Politics
- 00125938: Protection and Support of Enabling Environment for Women Human Rights Defenders and LGBTQI Rights Defenders in Liberia
- 00113699: Support to LMPTF-PBF Joint Secretariat: UNDP
- 00119682: Sustaining peace & reconciliation through strengthening land governance & dispute resolution mechanisms
- 00113990: Sustaining peace and improving social cohesion through the promotion of rural employment opportunities for youth in conflict-prone areas
- 00131553: Strengthening the agency of young women in peacebuilding processes and land tenure in Liberia
- 00133452: Promoting Peaceful Electoral Environment and Community Security in Liberia
- 00140121: Girls and Young Women Take Action for Peace
- 00140293: Enhancing Social Cohesion through Rehabilitation and Empowerment of Marginalized Youth in Liberia
- Other, Specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

\*

2022-04-25

**2022-04-25**

---

Project end Date

\*

2023-10-24

**2023-10-24**

---

Has this project received an extension?

\*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? \*

- yes
- no

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- UN entity
- Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- Action Aid  The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)  Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium  Avocats sans frontières Canada  Christian Aid Ireland
- CARE International UK  The Carter Center, Inc.
- Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF  COIPRODEN
- Concern Worldwide  CORDAID  CORD Burundi
- DanChurchAid  Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)  Fund for Congolese Women
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)  Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)  HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)  Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- International Alert  Interpeace  Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps  MSIS-TATAO  Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ONG AZHAR  OXFAM  Peace Direct
- PNG UN Country Fund  Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)  Saferworld
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)  SismaMujer  Tearfund
- Trocaire  World Vision International  World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA  blank\_placeholder  Other, Please specify

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

4

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Local non governmental organization**

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Community Sustainable Development Organization (COSDO)**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date \*

185806

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 175 words*

**COSDO conducted the following activities in the geographic location of Gbarpolu:**

- **Delivery of technical training for CSOs/CBOs/Networks on land rights and related policies at county level**
- **Refresher workshop on skills development on advocacy, outreach and campaigning for CSOs/CBOs/Networks & training for CBOs/CSOs/networks on Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms**
- **Training in Security & Risk Management & the provision of Sub grants to 3 CBOs/CSOs/network**
- **Reflection action and county dialogue in project communities**
- **IEC Materials roll out**
- **Support to national youth organization for advocacy action**
- **Women Caravan on Land & Peace**
- **Digital Engagement/ Mobilization/Community Talk shows**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Local non governmental organization**

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Liberia Women Empowerment Network (LIWEN)**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date \*

185806

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 175 words*

**LIWEN conducted the following activities in the geographic location of Sinoe:**

- **Delivery of technical training for CSOs/CBOs/Networks on land rights and related policies at county level**
- **Refresher workshop on skills development on advocacy, outreach and campaigning for CSOs/CBOs/Networks & training for CBOs/CSOs/networks on Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms**
- **Training in Security & Risk Management & the provision of Sub grants to 3 CBOs/CSOs/network**
- **Reflection action and county dialogue in project communities**
- **IEC Materials roll out**
- **Support to national youth organization for advocacy action**
- **Women Caravan on Land & Peace**
- **Digital Engagement/ Mobilization/Community Talk shows**

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Local non governmental organization**

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Women Education Development Organization Of Liberia Inc. (WEDOL)**



What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date \*

185806

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 175 words*

**WEDOL conducted the following activities in the geographic location of Margibi:**

- **Delivery of technical training for CSOs/CBOs/Networks on land rights and related policies at county level**
- **Refresher workshop on skills development on advocacy, outreach and campaigning for CSOs/CBOs/Networks & training for CBOs/CSOs/networks on Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms**
- **Training in Security & Risk Management & the provision of Sub grants to 3 CBOs/CSOs/network**
- **Reflection action and county dialogue in project communities**
- **IEC Materials roll out**
- **Support to national youth organization for advocacy action**
- **Women Caravan on Land & Peace**
- **Digital Engagement/ Mobilization/Community Talk shows**

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Local non governmental organization**

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Women and Children Development Association of Liberia (WOCDAL)**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date \*

185806

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 175 words*

**WOC DAL conducted the following activities in the geographic location of Bong:**

- Delivery of technical training for CSOs/CBOs/Networks on land rights and related policies at county level
- Refresher workshop on skills development on advocacy, outreach and campaigning for CSOs/CBOs/Networks & training for CBOs/CSOs/networks on Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms
- Training in Security & Risk Management & the provision of Sub grants to 3 CBOs/CSOs/network
- Reflection action and county dialogue in project communities
- IEC Materials roll out
- Support to national youth organization for advocacy action
- Women Caravan on Land & Peace
- Digital Engagement/ Mobilization/Community Talk shows

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in US Dollars*

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
<b>Action Aid</b>	1200000 *	1200000 *	1200375 *	100.03 %
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1200000</b>	<b>1200000</b>	<b>1200375</b>	<b>100.03%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **100.03%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

### » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? \*

86.53

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1038360**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1038684.49**. Is this correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

UNBPF Final Financial Report 240124-15\_32\_46.xlsx



### **Project Markers**

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? \*

- yes
- no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

**Twice**

---

\*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

**In the last half-year of implementation, the project fostered collaboration with government agencies at the national, subnational, and community levels. The Ministry of Internal Affairs maintained regular interactions with ActionAid and the other partners working on the project, through county-level meetings where updates were shared on project activities. At the community level the project staff also worked with the clan chiefs as representatives of the Ministry of Internal Affairs.**

**Within this timeframe, the project was actively involved in validating the 'Alternative Dispute Resolution Legal Research and Baseline Survey Report', commissioned by the Ministry of Justice. The primary goal of this initiative was to present the report to the Technical Working Group, which was comprised of organizations working in peace initiatives and land mitigation, to validate the report.**

**As a member of the Land Rights Actors' Network, Action Aid Liberia also participated in a policy dialogue regarding the localization of the ADR legislation, spearheaded by the Ministry of Justice. This participation has contributed significantly to the awareness campaign, confidence-building among community-based organizations, and the creation of a platform for dialogue and peace-building. In December 2023, ActionAid Liberia also facilitated a policy dialogue with other government stakeholders to discuss key issues affecting women's access to land and natural resources in Liberia.**

**At the local level, the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Protection regularly visited the communities. The Ministry's local office collaborated with implementing partners on the project to conduct peer mediation activities, bringing women together to discuss peacebuilding, with a particular focus on girls' education and women's empowerment within the community.**

**The Liberia Land Authority, an autonomous agency of the Government of Liberia with a comprehensive mandate for land policy, land administration, and oversight of land management regulations and functions, received support from the project. This support included the reproduction of five hundred (500) copies of the Land Rights Act, distributed to stakeholders in all 15 counties of Liberia, with a particular emphasis on the project counties (Bong, Gbarpolu, Margibi, and Sinoe Counties).**

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

\*

- |  |                                      |   |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Not Started          | <input type="radio"/> Initiated      | <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed | <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable |   |

Staff Recruitment

\*

Not Started

Initiated

Partially Completed

Completed

Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

\*

Not Started

Initiated

Partially Completed

Completed

Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

\*

Not Started

Initiated

Partially Completed

Completed

Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

**As of January 2024, the project has been successfully completed. Final project activities, including youth trainings, a policy dialogue and the distribution of policy briefs, were concluded in December, as agreed in advance with UNPBF. All project activities have now been fully implemented and the project is currently undergoing an independent financial audit, which we expect to be finalised by 25th February 2024.**

Comprehensive training has been successfully delivered to Community-Based Organizations (CBOs), Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), and Networks to enhance their proficiency in the Reflect-Action methodology. This methodology employs participatory tools and processes to empower individuals to analyse their circumstances, identify rights violations, address power imbalances, and drive positive change. Women are now proficient in using Reflect-Action tools to engage with different groups within the community, raising awareness on key issues affecting the community and facilitating peer mediation at the grassroots level.

Furthermore, training encompassed the Human Rights-Based Approach, digital engagement, security risk management, and advocacy actions. These initiatives have empowered CBOs to undertake outreach activities within their communities alongside women and female-led youth groups. A total of 8 CBOs and 4 rural women networks have benefited from this capacity-building endeavour.

Strategic partners, such as the Ministry of Justice and the Land Authority, have provided invaluable support in bolstering the beneficiaries' knowledge of local land rights laws, the Alternative Dispute Resolution processes, and the Land Rights Act. This support equips the beneficiaries with practical information and techniques to facilitate community engagements effectively.

To ensure effective project implementation and meet predetermined targets, a monitoring framework was established. In an effort to enhance program quality and reporting mechanisms, the team organized regular monthly catch-up meetings, ongoing Project Management Team (PMT) sessions, a midterm review conducted in May 2023, and a final internal review conducted in October, 2023. The midterm review critically assessed project implementation quality, approaches, timelines, and focused on adjusting the project workplan to ensure the timely execution of project activities. As part of the ongoing commitment to improving reporting quality, a capacity-building training program was conducted for partners, emphasizing their capacity in monitoring, project management, narrative and financial reporting, proposal development, and outcome harvesting. The final review gathered data for all outcome and output indicators, and also examined the relevance, efficiency, effectiveness, coherence, impact and sustainability of the intervention. Lessons learnt from this assessment have been communicated in the AAL program unit and will also be used by AAL for future planning.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

*FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)*

**Project activities have strengthened the human resource, financial, and M&E capacities of the implementing partners including the CBOs, Rural Women Networks and advocacy networks to lead on land related issues that have hindered the economic progress of women, especially young women, in the project communities. Through the project, 20 Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) groups have been established across the project communities to handle land related conflicts and ensure that land matters did not create lasting animosity among families and community members. These ADR groups received support from the traditional leaders who in turn were trained on women's rights to own land, and have become the ambassadors of peace at the community level through the resolution of land related conflicts. Many longstanding cases that brought many years of animosity among families and community members are being resolved through the ADR and these parties are now reunited in their communities. For example, in Gbarmu, Bong County, Joseph P. Flomo, a man living with disability was denied his farmland by his brothers. He invited the ADR group in his community, and the ADR group brought Mr. Flomo and his brother together and facilitated a peaceful settlement of the matter. In the end, Mr. Flomo was given his farmland. The ADR ensured that the family came back to live together happily. See the story here: <https://liberia.actionaid.org/stories/2023/alternative-dispute-resolution-provides-peace-disabled-persons>**

**The CBOs and Rural Women Networks that were trained by the project are now leading on Participatory Vulnerability Analysis and REFLECT Circles to promote community dialogues and help communities analyse drivers of conflict, their gendered impact, and prompt community actions on addressing these vulnerabilities. For example, in Gibi, Margibi County, the CBO conducted a REFLECT Circle with women, youth and community leaders to identify the root cause of perpetual land conflict and high rate of poverty among women, especially single mothers in the community. The analysis pointed to lack of women's land ownership in the community due to tradition which demands that family land be shared only among boys' children and not girls, moreover; a widow is not supposed to claim her dead husband's land even if legitimately owned by them before his death, the land is given to the brothers of her dead husband if he did not leave a male child behind. To solve this long-standing problem in the Gibi Community in Margibi County, the CBO conducted a mass community awareness on women's rights using the Land Rights Act (LRA), adopted in September 2018. This has resulted to more women claiming land ownership with full community support. The women peace caravans, community radio talk shows and different visibility materials, including flyers, art mural drawings in communities and social media promotion are beginning to stimulate public interest in supporting youth and young women's participation and leadership in conflict mediation even beyond the project communities. Partners have noted an increase in the number of people visiting their offices to enquire about issues around land and other peace initiatives.**



## PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1    2     3    4    5    more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: \*

**Women and youth CSOs and CBOs effectively engaging in land rights governance and conflict mitigation**

Outcome 2: \*

**3000 youth, especially young women, have increased agency on land governance and hold community leaders, government and concessions accountable to their land rights**

Outcome 3: \*

**Youth, especially young women, have increased advocacy space at national level on land governance and peace building**

**Outcome 1: Women and youth CSOs and CBOs effectively engaging in land rights governance and conflict mitigation**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track     2. On Track     3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**Community-Based Organizations (CBOs) play a pivotal role in driving transformative change. This initiative focused on the Training of Trainers, which enriched the knowledge of CBOs and CSOs and empowered them to spearhead land governance efforts. The TOT reached a total of 120 participants who, in turn, conducted community-level training sessions, organizing dialogue meetings with various stakeholders, including women's groups and youth, fostering inclusive and constructive community discourse.**

**Trainings including Reflection Action, Participatory Vulnerability Analysis (PVA), Leadership and Organizational Development; Human Rights Based Approach (HRBA), Feminist Analysis and Leadership and Training of Trainers (TOTs) were provided to CSO partners and CBOs. As a result of the capacity and system strengthening support, young people, especially young women now have increased knowledge and awareness of Land Rights and related gender and natural resource policies and are now effectively engaging in land rights governance and conflict mitigation. As indicated below, the proportion of women-led CSOs engaged in community dialogue has increased from 10% to 28% over the lifetime of the project.**

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**Throughout the project's design, implementation, and monitoring phases, a commitment to gender mainstreaming has been evident. For example, the ADR groups are led by women. This has paved the way for significant and positive transformations in women's participation within the peacebuilding process. 85% of the 2,370 youth engaged in land dispute, participating in alternative dispute resolution structures at community level are females. Women, who have historically been underrepresented in peacebuilding initiatives, have a more substantial platform to contribute their voices and perspectives, resulting in enhanced community involvement and more robust, sustainable peace efforts.**

**Additionally, the project's outreach extended to the youth demographic. The CSOs/CBOs have been raising awareness amongst young people in informal spaces such as motorcycle parking lots, tea centres and video centres where large numbers of young people gather to socialise, and this has been very effective in reaching young people with messaging around land rights and peacebuilding. The project recognized the importance of youth engagement in fostering positive change and ensuring a future where their contributions are acknowledged and valued.**

**Crucially, the project's success in youth engagement has been facilitated by the proactive support and leadership of senior community figures. Their willingness to provide the youth with the necessary space and encouragement has enabled these young individuals to assume more active and impactful roles in shaping their communities and participating in decision-making processes. This intergenerational collaboration is pivotal in driving positive community development and maintaining sustained peace efforts. For example, following training for traditional leaders on the HRBA, the community leadership in Farwenta, Gbarpolu County offered a farmland of about 50 acres to the youth for cassava production. This has almost tripled the youth cassava production.**

**Outcome 2:** 3000 youth, especially young women, have increased agency on land governance and hold community leaders, government and concessions accountable to their land rights

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

\*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

The project supported young women and youth-led conflict mediation through the provision of easily-understandable versions of key documents such as the Land Rights Act and related policy documents, which were produced in collaboration with the authorities and disseminated to implementing partners and youth networks to enhance their understanding on land and natural resource governance as well as their critical role in mitigating conflicts at community, sub-national and national levels.

As a result of the supports to women and youth led conflict mediation such as the ADR groups, youth, especially young women, have increased agency on land governance and are holding community leaders, government and concessions accountable to their land rights. See the story of how the ADR group in Gbarmue, Bong County helped Gomah Paye to reclaim her land: <https://youtu.be/BF-x2VUfAYo>.

A standout feature of the project was the "land and peace caravan," a mobile platform adorned with project banners and equipped with peace-building jingles with messages centered on land rights. This innovative approach raised awareness at the subnational level, for example informing communities about the different types of land ownership (Public land, Private land, Customary land, and Government); about a woman's right to buy land without a man's consent and get land title in her name only; about the reasons for the land rights act passed in 2018 in Liberia; about the importance of sharing domestic duties with a woman to help her to stay healthy, strong and to make a happy family; and about the inheritance law of Liberia which states that two thirds of the properties left by a husband goes to his biological children, and one third goes to his married wife, not a woman he was staying with. To further community engagement, awareness campaigns utilized local radio stations for continuous jingle broadcasts, shedding light on crucial topics like land ownership and youth involvement in conflict resolution and Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) processes. The project also distributed ADR tools and Information, Education, and Communication (IEC) materials to various stakeholders, along with organizing "One-Day" ADR Dialogues and Learning Exercises.

Notably, youth engagement thrived within informal spaces such as market centers, motorcycle stations, video centers, social media platforms, town halls, washing creeks, entertainment centers, tea shops, playgrounds, hand pumps, rubber weighing stations, fishing spots, etc. where CSOs and CBOs reached up to 600 young people, 65% of whom were females, who may not have previously engaged with these issues.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

The project has empowered women and youth in building their capacities on gender awareness activities, advocacy actions that have a wide range of effects. Under this outcome we focused particularly on the dissemination of information on the rights of women to land, and how these can be defended in law. Some of the messages disseminated as part of the land and peace caravan also looked specifically to counter patriarchal norms around unpaid care work, and the value placed on women's time.

The project specifically made sure to reach out to young people through informal spaces. For example it was possible to reach a large number of young people in video centres, where young people normally gather to watch football and films, and to engage them in discussions on land rights and related issues.

**Outcome 3:** Youth, especially young women, have increased advocacy space at national level on land governance and peace building

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

## Progress summary

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**At national level, AAL completed the training for 4 national organizations, Federation of Liberia Youth (FLY), Liberia National Student Union (LINSU), Liberia Initiative for the Promotion of Rights, Identity and Equality (LIPRIDE), Activista Youth Network, and the Women NGO Secretariat of Liberia (WONGOSOL), on advocacy and campaign action. Sixty participants in total were trained; 40 females, 20 males between the ages 16-35. Training and tools provided focused on land conflict mitigation, women's land rights and economic and climate justice issues. These partners have begun to lead advocacy around land rights using their social media pages and national level engagement forums.**

**CBOs conducted town hall meetings in 20 communities discussing land related issues and, in some cases, settling or discussing land disputes. In a total of 20 communities, 336 females attended the town hall meetings and 176 males. Meeting attendees above the age of 35 were 186; 58 males 128 females. Stakeholders attending these meetings were from the Land Authority, the Ministry of Justice and Internal Affairs.**

**Policy dialogues on climate change were conducted with national stakeholders, including a panel of climate change experts from the Environmental Protection Agency. This process enhanced the capacity of partners on economic and climate justice and strengthen the partnership with environmental CSOs. The issue of land rights and climate justice is intertwined; as it is important to not only advocate for women's land rights but also to support women to be able to manage land well. Some issues raised by women were around how they should cultivate their farmland and how to generate economic returns. Climate change has been on the front burner of global conversations and the project has worked to train youth organisations on these issues, so that in turn they can raise awareness amongst their peers.**

**AAL also facilitated a policy dialogue on the key issues affecting women's participation and access to land tenure in Liberia, which was attended by 30 attendees, encompassing government stakeholders, civil society, academics, media and the private sector. The discussion covered the legal frameworks that are used to acquire land, the relative lack of information that women have on their land rights, and the role of different forms of marriages in creating loopholes and doubts in terms of women's land and property rights. Following the dialogue, policy briefs were disseminated in order to encourage advocacy around these key issues.**

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**During the implementation of the project, staff and partners made use of existing safe spaces for women and girls, such as women's farming cooperatives and VSLAs, so that women and girls could have confidence to express themselves freely and raise any concerns. Some issues raised included issues of climate change and how it affects their farming initiatives. Symposiums and trainings were therefore organized for young women to attend and increase their knowledge in climate adaptation processes.**

**Youth organizations like the federation of Liberian Youth, Liberia National Student Union along with CBOs at the county level have been linked to Government agencies that are responsible for the regulation of land issues; the Liberia Land Authority; Ministry of Lands and Mines and the Ministry of internal affairs.**

**The CBOs also led campaigns and advocacy on issues identified by young people, giving them the opportunity to make their voices heard on issues that are important to them, including land rights, SGBV, involving of young people in land matters and matters of governance. AAL has also facilitated a social and digital media training for 40 young people, in order to encourage young people to use create digital content and use social media in their advocacy activities.**

## INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

### » Outcome 1: Women and youth CSOs and CBOs effectively engaging in land rights governance and conflict mitigation

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Functional land administration services and dispute resolution mechanisms are in place at the community and county levels	35%	75%	100%	This indicator exceeded target by 25%. The survey at community level revealed that all the project communities have functional land dispute resolution mechanism (ADR) linked to county level authority along with MOU between Liberia Land Authority and the communities

1.2	Proportion of women led CSOs engaged in community dialogue as mitigation to land related conflicts	10%	30%	28%	The variance of 2% is due to the fact that majority of the CSOs in the project counties are men led CSOs.
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1  2  3  4  5  more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

**Training conducted for 8 CBOs and 4 Rural Women networks**

Output 1.2

**Small Grants provided to 8 CBOs and 4 Rural Women networks**

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

### » Output 1.1

Output	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
Output 1.1: Training conducted for 8 CBOs and 4 Rural Women networks	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the indicator	State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant

1.1.1	Number of CBOs and Networks trained (sex disaggregated)	0	8 CBOs and 4 Rural Women Networks (2 CBOs and 1 network per county, with 15 young women per structure)	12 (8 CBOs and 4 Rural Women Networks)	12 (8 CBOs and 4 Rural Women Networks)	n/a
1.1.2						
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Small Grants provided to 8 CBOs and 4 Rural Women networks	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Number of CBOs and networks that received small grants	0	8 CBOs and 4 rural women networks	12 (8 CBOs and 4 Rural Women Networks)	12 (8 CBOs and 4 Rural Women Networks)	n/a
1.2.2						
1.2.3						

» Outcome 2: 3000 youth, especially young women, have increased agency on land governance and hold community leaders, government and concessions accountable to their land rights

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Percentage of young people participating in reflect circle activities	0	60% (10% male, 50% female)	60% Males: 32.7% Females: 67.3%	n/a
2.2	Percentage of young people accessing land administration services	25%	35% of young people in project communities/counties (60% female, 15% male)	35% Males: 22.2% Females: 77.8%	n/a
2.3	Percentage of youth in project communities actively engaged in county level dialogue meetings on land conflict mitigation	0	50% of targeted youth of which 90% female, 10% male	58% Females= 91% Males= 9%	This indicator exceeded target by 8%. Due to increased knowledge on land related dialogue, more young people are demanding their full participation in land related dialogues

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1    2    3    **4**    5    more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Customized tools on land rights, concessions and related policies developed



Output 2.2

**Dialogues Held**

Output 2.3

**Informal community spaces (savings and lending groups) utilized**

Output 2.4

**Visibility materials developed and disseminated**

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

**» Output 2.1**

Output 2.1: Customized tools on land rights, concessions and related policies developed	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	<b>Number of customized tools on land rights, concessions and related policies developed and disseminated to implementing partners and youth networks</b>	0	4	4	4	n/a

2.1.2						
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Dialogues Held	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
----------------------------	---	--	---	---	---	---

2.2.1	<b>Number of dialogues held</b>	0	80	80	80	
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Informal community spaces (savings and lending groups) utilized	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1	<b>Number of informal community spaces (savings and lending groups) utilized</b>	0	36	39	39	This indicator exceeded target by 3 because of the CBOs and Networks increased engagements in project counties based on the supports such as provision of flyers, stickers and other campaign materials.
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4: Visibility materials developed and disseminated	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1	Number of visibility materials developed and disseminated	0	17,221 pieces (incl. fliers, banners, posters, stickers e.t.c)	17221	17221	n/a
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Outcome 3: Youth, especially young women, have increased advocacy space at national level on land governance and peace building

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)

3.1	<p>Number of new spaces defended by young people especially young women to widen civic spaces to hold duty bearers to account on land ownership and natural resource management</p>	0	50%	44%	<p>This indicator fell below target by 6% because most of the new spaces created were not utilized by young people. Many young people felt comfortable using the social media which according to them is less time consuming . New spaces as defined by this indicator include town halls and market places not traditionally used by young people for civic engagements. 17 out of 39 new spaces used were defended by young people.</p>
3.2	<p>Proportion of youth engaged in land dispute, participating in alternative dispute resolution structures at community level</p>	32%	at least 75%	79%	<p>This indicator exceeded target by 4% because most of the communities have ADR structures and community leaderships are now aware of the rights of young people in land dispute resolution.</p>
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1    2    **3**    4    5    more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

---

Output 3.1  
**National partners supported with training on campaign, networking and advocacy tools**

---

Output 3.2  
**Advocacy and campaign initiatives conducted at national level**

---

Output 3.3  
**CBO and Rural Women Networks receive mentorship, technical support and links to other networks to enable them to engage on national issues**

---

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

---

» **Output 3.1**

Output 3.1: National partners supported with training on campaign, networking and advocacy tools	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

3.1.1	Number of national partner organisations (inclusive of networks for youth and women) supported through training and technical surge support	0	5	5	5	n/a
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Advocacy and campaign initiatives conducted at national level	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1	Advocacy and campaign initiatives conducted at national level	0	25	25	25	n/a
3.2.2						

3.2.3						
-------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3: CBO and Rural Women Networks receive mentorship, technical support and links to other networks to enable them to engage on national issues	<b>Performance Indicators</b> <i>Describe the indicator</i>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b> <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	<b>End of Project Indicator Target</b> <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	<b>Indicator progress for reporting period</b> <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	<b>Indicator progress to date</b> <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	<b>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</b> <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1	<b>The proportion of CBOs and Rural Women Networks that received mentorship, technical support, and linked to other networks</b>	0	4 (33%)	4 (33%)	4 (33%)	n/a
3.3.2						



3.3.3						
-------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1    2    3    4    5    more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

### PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1					

<b>Event 2</b>					
<b>Event 3</b>					
<b>Event 4</b>					

## Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

<b>Human Impact</b>	<b>Key Stakeholder</b>	<b>What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)</b>	<b>What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)</b>	<b>Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)</b>

<p><b>1</b></p>	<p><b>Youth and young women</b></p>	<p>Prior to the project implementation, the organizations led by young women were not involved in any form of decision-making processes at the community level. These organizations were not invited to meetings of any kind. They did not understand their rights and responsibilities as such there were opportunities that came to the communities that they did not benefit from at all. Women were not allowed to play leadership roles in the communities. Women did not have the opportunity to own land and to rent a property without the woman being married and her husband taking the lead role.</p>	<p>Youth and young women's voices are now being heard in the communities. Youths are part of decision-making processes and are invited to sit on dispute resolution panels and are invited to mitigate land cases. Women can now purchase land and own property and rent a house without the intervention of a male counterpart.</p>	<p>Denine Flomo-Chairlady Women Network in Garmu, Bong County "when we are conducting workshops we include town chief, and other community leaders to raise awareness on land issues and gender equality. We discuss how important it is for women to be involved in leadership and for them to be a part of decision making that affect them. We were trained by members of ActionAid Liberia with sponsorship from UNPBF.</p>
-----------------	-------------------------------------	--	--	---

<p>2</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>CBOs/CSOs</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>CBOs at project locations did not have knowledge and skills on peace mitigation and issues of gender equality and Human Rights based approach to development and had no support to conduct awareness raising at the community level.</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>After the project intervened in these communities, the CBOs are recognized by the community leaders and stakeholders along with community members. They have been trained in Peace mitigation processes, gender issues and now have the knowledge to create awareness in other communities. CBOs now have a greater sense of belonging, and have increased their formal policies and structures, which will put them in a good position to continue other project activities and partnerships in the future</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>“Before the inception of the project, when there was a town meeting, women and youth especially young girls were never invited to be a part of these meetings. But since the project taught us how to engage stakeholders and to be a part of decision making, we have engaged community leaders requesting our involvement in meetings where decisions are made that effect the community as a whole. As such, women are now front runners in decision making processes at the community level and are currently involved with mitigating conflicts amongst different parties.”Mamakor Siryon, Eyangoon CBO, Zuo Community, Gbarpolu County</p> <p>.....</p>
<p>3</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>.....</p>	<p>.....</p>	<p>.....</p>	<p>.....</p>
<p>4</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>.....</p>	<p>.....</p>	<p>.....</p>	<p>.....</p>

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

---

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

---

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

Project photos-16\_3\_24.docx



File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

---

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

---

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

---

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

---

How we worked:

\*

*Please select up to 3.*

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

---

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

---

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

---

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) \*

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

---

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

---

Leave No one Behind

---

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

*Mandatory*

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

**Partners and partners activities monitoring during the implementation was robust technically prudent to the project's quality delivery.**

**We took into account training quality and adequate training content and effectiveness of methodology. One on one feedback sessions were conducted to provide technical support to partners.**

**There has been data collection 3 times during the implementation period.**

**There was also a midterm review in May 2023 and final MEL review in October 2023 in 20 communities with CBOs, stakeholders, direct and indirect beneficiaries government line ministries and national youth structures.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

yes

no

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

**Outcome Indicator 1.1 Functional land administration services and dispute resolution mechanisms are in place at community and county levels. Baseline is set at 35%. Outcome Indicator 1.2 Proportion of women led CSOs engaged in community dialogue as mitigation to land related conflicts. Baseline is set at 10%. Outcome Indicator 2.1: Percentage of young people participating in reflect circle activities. Baseline is set at zero (0) Outcome Indicator 2.2: Percentage of young people accessing land administration services. Baseline is set at 25%. Outcome Indicator 2.3: Percentage of youth in project communities actively engaged in county level dialogue meetings on land conflict mitigation. Baseline is set at 0. Outcome Indicator 3.1: Number of new spaces defended by young people especially young women to widen civic spaces to hold duty bearers to account on land ownership and natural resource management. Baseline is set at 0. Outcome Indicator 3.2: Proportion of youth engaged in land dispute, participating in alternative dispute resolution structures at community level. Baseline is set at 32%.**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

**• Partners reports • Training attendance sheets • Flyers, stickers and murals • Survey data • Grant contracts**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys \*

yes

no



Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? \*

- yes  
 no

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

**The feedback mechanisms used are the forms developed by the ADR unit for peace building issues around land conflict. The CBOs have been trained to use these forms. Also, the M & E team regularly conduct feed back on the project implementation using questionnaires developed using the kobo collect.**

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? \*

- yes  
 no  
 Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): \*

*Response required*

0

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

## » Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) \*

- yes  
 no

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? \*

- No catalytic effect
- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect
- Very Significant catalytic effect
- Don't Know
- Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

**The project has had sessions on peace initiatives at the community level, and palava hut meetings educating local dwellers on the processes of land conflict mitigation. These activities have been organized by the county representatives from the Land Authority and the Ministry of Lands and mines. The institutions work closely with the partners and CBOs.**

**The project has created a close collaboration between the partners and the government agencies, and has also encouraged the government to have a closer relationship with the communities. These government line ministries are interested in disseminating land policies but do not have the resources, as such they are working closely with partners to support the process.**

## Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

**At the start of the project, there were different capacities for the implementing partners, however the project built the capacity of the recipient and community members in the focused areas of land governance including the Alternative Disputes Resolution mechanisms. Specifically, partners have gained skills in leadership and advocacy initiatives, Human Right based approach to development and the Land Rights Act.**

**The contracts signed between implementing partners and CBOs or women networks will look at cross referral issues and sharing partners capacity and resources, to hold the CBOs accountable for what they do. These CBOs and women groups have been trained to conduct awareness activities at the community level and are also trained in the ADR processes to mitigate cases after the end of the project. These groups are made up of community young women and girls who as such will take leadership and ownership of the gains the project has had.**

**AAL is looking for further funding opportunities in order to offer more support to the ADR groups to further enhance their capacity. CBOs also used some of the grant funding to support agricultural activities, or to produce locally made washing soap, to sell at the local market, so that they could get additional funds to carry on activities after the end of the project.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 350 words.*

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
<b>Event 1</b>	Steering Committee meetings	This meeting is conducted by Action Aid UK and Liberia to critically look at project progress and breach gaps of unexpected deviations.	

<b>Event 2</b> .....	<b>Project Catch up meeting</b> .....	These meetings are designed to bring all members of the team up to speed with the day to day implementation of the project .....	
<b>Event 3</b> .....	<b>Final Internal MEL review</b> .....	This review was conducted at the closure of the project to assess the progress made. .....	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relevance of the intervention to the stakeholders were established</li> <li>• The coherence of the intervention is established</li> <li>• Level of efficiency and effectiveness determined</li> <li>• Percentage of young people participating in reflect circle activities further verified and linked to the output of the intervention</li> <li>• Percentage of youth in project communities actively engaged in county level dialogue meetings on land conflict mitigation further verified and linked to the intervention</li> <li>• Final data for all other outcome and output indicators established</li> </ul> .....
<b>Event 4</b> .....			
<b>Event 5</b> .....			
<b>Event 6</b> .....			
<b>Event 7</b> .....			
<b>Event 8</b> .....			

## Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

*If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)*

---

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.

---