

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



PEACEBUILDING FUND



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguiimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-06-18

2024-06-18

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Lucas Rocha and Cristina Andrade

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Jose Malam Jassi

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- Yes
- No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- Yes
- No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify

Project Title

- 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions
- 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue
- 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau
- 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau
- 00134097: Prevention of Natural Resources Conflicts related to Pastoralism and Transhumance in Bafata and Gabu Regions
- 00140008: Strengthening social cohesion through promoting inclusive and effective public health sector governance, management, and administration
- 00140108: Inclusive policies and institutions for a peaceful society: strengthening the social fabric and fostering youth meaningful participation in decision-making in Guinea Bissau
- 00140581: Peaceful Natural Resources Management in the Koliba-Corubal Basin
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented

Other, Please specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) * 2020-01-02 2020-01-02
Project End Date * 2025-02-01 2025-02-01
Has this project received an extension? * <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES, Cost Extension <input type="radio"/> YES, No Cost Extension <input type="radio"/> YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions <input type="radio"/> NO, No Extensions
Will this project be requesting an extension? * <input type="radio"/> YES, Cost Extension <input type="radio"/> YES, No Cost Extension <input type="radio"/> YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO, No Extensions
Is the current project end date within 6 months? * <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? * <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
If yes, please select which * <input type="radio"/> National Trust Fund <input type="radio"/> Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? * <input checked="" type="radio"/> UN entity <input type="radio"/> Non-UN Entity
Please select the convening agency recipient * <input checked="" type="radio"/> UNDP: United Nations Development Programme <input type="radio"/> IOM: International Organization for Migration <input type="radio"/> UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund <input type="radio"/> OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights <input type="radio"/> UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women <input type="radio"/> UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees <input type="radio"/> UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund <input type="radio"/> FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization <input type="radio"/> WFP: World Food Programme <input type="radio"/> UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme <input type="radio"/> UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization <input type="radio"/> UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme <input type="radio"/> ILO: International Labour Organization <input type="radio"/> WHO: World Health Organization <input type="radio"/> PAHO/WHO <input type="radio"/> UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund <input type="radio"/> UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime <input type="radio"/> UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services <input type="radio"/> UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization <input type="radio"/> ITC: International Trade Centre <input type="radio"/> UNDPO <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify
Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre UN Department of Peace Operations
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- ACTED Action Aid AEDE
- African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) Avocats Sans Frontières Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- Avocats sans frontières Canada CARE International UK Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Christian Aid Ireland COIPRODEN Concern Worldwide
- Conexion Guatemala COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale CORD Burundi
- CORDAID Corporacion Sisma Mujer CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- DanChurchAid Fund for Congolese Women Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) Integrity Watch
- International Alert International Rescue Committee Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar Mercy Corps
- MLAL - ProgettoMondo MSIS-TATAO NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul ONG AZHAR
- OXFAM Peace Direct Plan International
- PNG UN Country Fund Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- Saferworld Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) Save the Children
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG) SismaMujer SOS Sahel Sudan
- Stichting Impunity Watch Tearfund The Carter Center, Inc.
- Trocaire War Child World Vision International
- World Vision Myanmar ZOA blank_placeholder
- Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in US Dollars

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 2273750	* 1749450	* 1666828.99	73.31%
	*	*	*	%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	* 235400	* 235400	* 235400	100%
UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime	* 1791750	* 1396050	* 1324624.02	73.93%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	4300900	3380900	3226853.01	75.03%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **75.03%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

24.33

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1046408.97**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1046393

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 785093.34**. Is this correct? *


Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

343241.02

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Copy of Copy of PBF CDO Phase II Budget VF at 05 juin 2024 VDF - Cópia-9_54_19.xlsx 

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months? *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

-

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project maintains regular contact with the Ministers of Justice and Human Rights, as well as the Minister of Interior. Additionally, the project maintains constant communication with the National Director of the Judicial Police. Recently, in May 2024, during a project activity, the President of the Republic and the Minister of Justice were involved.

Throughout this period, this engagement extended to supporting law enforcement agencies through various training sessions.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

UNDP - The project manager and the project assistant are already recruited and working on project execution.

UNODC- The project team fully operational

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- Yes
 No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project is progressing well and is on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan. During the reporting period, significant advancements were made in enhancing the institutional capacity of Guinea-Bissau's security and justice sector, particularly in combating drug trafficking and transnational organized crime (DTOC).

A notable achievement was the support provided to the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights for the International Conference "Justice and Contemporary Challenges" held from May 27th to 29th, 2024. This conference, which brought together 150 participants (95 men and 55 women), including high-level officials from CPLP countries and academic institutions, was instrumental in establishing vital institutional relationships and work partnerships, enhancing the country's ability to combat DTOC. Additionally, the event significantly bolstered the country's institutional capacity by engaging with major donors, including the Government of Japan and the European Union.

During this conference, crucial topics affecting criminal justice in the current context were addressed, including corruption, drug trafficking, organized crime, and the impact of new technologies on criminal activity. The conference provided a platform for sharing best practices and innovative solutions to these pressing issues. A comprehensive roadmap for international cooperation was established with participating countries, laying the groundwork for future collaborations. Notably, the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights forged significant partnerships with Brazil's Public Defender's Office. This collaboration aims to bolster the operations of the Justice Access Center within the country. Additionally, the Brazilian Attorney General's Office initiated exchanges designed to strengthen the nation's capacity in combating money laundering, showcasing a commitment to addressing financial crimes through international cooperation. The focus on drug trafficking, organized crime, and the impact of new technologies on criminality, the conference provided a platform for in-depth discussions on the most pressing issues facing the criminal justice system today. These discussions helped in identifying the latest trends, challenges, and best practices in combating these crimes, thereby informing and improving national and international strategies.

Addressing corruption, which often facilitates drug trafficking and organized crime, was a significant focus of the conference. Discussions on anti-corruption measures help to promote transparency, accountability, and integrity within the justice and security sectors, weakening the enablers of organized crime. The President of the Republic and the Minister of Justice delivered compelling speeches highlighting the importance of fighting corruption, drug trafficking, and international organized crime. Their addresses reaffirmed the government's commitment to these critical areas.

Through enhanced collaboration, knowledge exchange, focus on contemporary issues, and the promotion of anti-corruption measures, the conference contributed to a more effective and coordinated effort to reduce insecurity and combat these serious threats.

Furthermore, seven training sessions on economic and financial crimes were conducted, reaching 548 people (393 men and 155 women), to enhance the prevention and investigation capabilities against DTOC.

During the beginning of 2024, construction work was conducted to refurbish two border posts (Cacine and Suzana). We expect the handover of the facilities in the coming months. This development supports the broader goal of promoting regional stability and security, which is essential for sustainable peace and development.

Also, significant progress was made towards operationalizing the national anti-corruption strategy to support integrity, anti-corruption efforts, and combat money laundering.

These activities have positively impacted the broader political and peacebuilding context by strengthening institutional capacities, fostering international collaborations, and promoting regional stability and security, which are essential for sustainable peace and development.

Guinea Bissau's Third National Plan to Prevent and Combat Trafficking in Persons 2024-2028 was validated on 5 March, 2024 at a workshop organised by the Institute for Women and Children, with active participation of representatives of public and private institutions, including civil society organizations for the protection of children and victims. All the participants involved in this relevant initiative agreed that the validated Plan is a fundamental tool that translates in a coordinated and integrated way the efforts of various partners in terms of prevention, protection and assistance to victims, investigation and prosecution. One key recommendation is to work on monitoring and evaluation plan and mobilizing partnerships and resources for its implementation.

The coordination, and monitoring mechanism of the National Integrated Plan to Combat Drug Trafficking, Organized Crime, and Risk Reduction has been strengthened through a workshop on the level of its implementation, held on 21 and 22 March, 2024. This workshop plays a vital role in enhancing the justice and security sector's response to these pressing issues, aiming to reduce insecurity. The workshop provides a platform to assess the progress and effectiveness of the National Integrated Plan. Around 41 participants from the public and private sectors actively participated in this workshop, involving representatives from the justice, security, health and education sectors. This activity conducted during the workshop yielded valuable insights into the multifaceted landscape of PIN 2021-2027 implementation. Important recommendations have been made for greater efficiency and effectiveness in the different components of the fight against drugs and crime, in the areas of health, justice and security. By reviewing what has been accomplished so far, stakeholders can identify strengths, weaknesses, and areas that require improvement and allows for data-driven decision-making, and how to adjust strategies.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

According to the final external evaluation report of the CDOIC 1st phase, the project carried out activities and promoted gender-sensitive training, highlighting the inclusion of a gender office in the Gabú Model Police Station. The training of police forces, as well as of CSO and grassroots networks, involved mainly men due to the majority's masculine composition, a situation outside the project's control. Much work still needs to be done in addressing gender equality and women's access to equal work in police forces, judiciary institutions, or even as grassroots networks in a highly traditional society that is male dominated with masculine norms and values. During the capacity-building activities at the National Judicial Training Center (CENFOJ), awareness sessions on gender-based violence and gender equity were conducted. Similarly, in a collaborative effort with other projects within the UNDP Rule of Law portfolio, the project has been supporting the Gender Audit activities currently being carried out in the Judicial System of Guinea-Bissau. This audit will be crucial in understanding the realities of the judicial system concerning gender equity, identifying gaps, and proposing recommendations for improvement.

The project's efforts to support training sessions for Public Order Police and National Guard agents on DTOC, including aspects of gender sensitivity and inclusion, align with the United Nations' principles on gender issues. By prioritizing gender equality and women's empowerment, the project aims to enhance the capacity of security and justice sector institutions while promoting broader social and political objectives in Guinea-Bissau. This will lead to a more just and equal society and contribute towards achieving the UN's Sustainable Development Goals.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

Yes

No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Yes, the project is demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results. The second phase is consolidating the first phase's results, as stated in the final external evaluation report, with significant progress observed at the societal and structural levels.

During the reporting period, the project enhanced the capacity of Guinea-Bissau's security and justice sector to combat drug trafficking and transnational organized crime (DTC). This included organizing five training seminars on anti-corruption, which effectively facilitated the acquisition of new knowledge among 180 participants (113 men and 67 women) from various judicial and security sectors.

The project, by strengthening the capacities of law enforcement agencies, particularly the judicial police, which has exclusive competence for investigating complex crimes, has enabled significant progress in combating drug trafficking, translated into systematic seizures of drugs with links to Guinea-Bissau and arrests of high-ranking officials in the country. This result represents an important factor in deterring crime and therefore a crucial contribution to consolidating peace in Guinea Bissau.

The international cooperation in matters of justice and particularly criminal justice between Guinea Bissau and the Countries of the Portuguese Language Community has also been reinforced thanks to the relevant contribution of the project in promoting spaces for dialogue and exchange of experiences and practices to combat drug trafficking, corruption and organized crime.


The project's support to the Judicial Police in Guinea-Bissau has significantly enhanced operational capacities, as demonstrated by numerous drug seizures at Bissau airport and successful operations against senior officials in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs for document forgery, including passports. Recently, the selection and training of 90 new agents, has strengthened operational capacities. The recruitment process completed the interview phase, identifying 100 candidates to participate in the training course. This will have a far-reaching impact on the safety and security of the citizens of Guinea-Bissau.

Furthermore, the project has significantly improved community policing mechanisms and fostered collaboration between police and local communities, enhancing trust and cooperation essential for effective law enforcement. In response to a request from the National Commissioner of the Public Order Police, community policing mechanisms were implemented in the regions of Oio and Cacheu, with the strategy beginning in June 2023. The closing ceremony, held on April 15, 2024, marked the successful completion of this training program. Also, cross-border workshops between Guinea-Bissau and Senegal communities, supported by civil society organizations and the project, have addressed land conflicts and other regional issues, contributing to broader political stability and peacebuilding efforts.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Conferência Internacional "A Justiça e os Desafios Contemporâneos" _ Na No Mon-10_19_24.pdf 

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

The Rule of Law and Security Institutions are able to more effectively prevent, investigate and prosecute drug trafficking and transnational organized crime, reducing the country's sources of fragility.

Outcome 2: *

Outcome 3:	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: The Rule of Law and Security Institutions are able to more effectively prevent, investigate and prosecute drug trafficking and transnational organized crime, reducing the country's sources of fragility.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Percentage of Drug trafficking and TOC-related cases investigated, prosecuted and adjudicated, in line with due process principles.	Two majors drug cases investigated, prosecuted and adjudicated in 2020	55% of DTOC cases are investigated, prosecuted, and adjudicated, in line with due process principles	From January to June 2024 - 100% of the drug trafficking cases (10) investigate have been prosecuted and referred to the courts.	
1.2	Perception of national counterparts (m/F) trained and/or mentored under the project, who report increased capacity to prevent and investigate drug trafficking and transnational organized crime.	Limited	75% of beneficiary groups (m/f disaggregated) from the national counterpart, trained and/or mentored under the project, report increased capacity to prevent and investigate DTOC		An evaluation report was carried out after the Training Seminar on Money Laundering, organized by CENFOJ, with the participation of judges, prosecutors, and legal professionals, totaling 30 participants (22 men and 8 women). 100% of the participants indicated that the training contributes to acquiring new knowledge. When asked about the level of knowledge on the subject after training, 64% said they had much knowledge. When asked about the status of knowledge before the seminar on the same topic, against 0% indicated before the training. Following a recent training session on economic and financial crime for Judges, Prosecutors, and Judicial Technicians, all 25 participants (15 men and 10 women) reported feeling significantly more knowledgeable about investigating and preventing DTOC crimes. This underscores the effectiveness of the training program in equipping legal professionals with the skills and knowledge necessary to tackle these complex crimes.
1.3	Indicator 1.c Availability of institutional capacity on resource mobilization for better public service provision on DTOC	Limited	2 roundtables (1 on anti-corruption and 1 on CDTOC) with national partners and donors are organized for resource mobilization	1	

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Coordination capacity of National security agencies and justice sector actors to prevent and respond to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime, is reinforced.

Output 1.2

The Security and justice sector institutions have improved capacity to effectively investigate, prosecute and adjudicate drug trafficking / transnational organized crime cases.

Output 1.3

Civil society organizations and communities' involvement in the oversight of the security practices and institutions responsible to combat drug trafficking and transnational organized crime, is enhanced.

Output 1.4

The national anti-corruption strategy is operationalized to support integrity, anti-corruption, and combat money laundering

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Coordination capacity of National security agencies and justice sector actors to prevent and respond to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime, is reinforced.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	A National Plan to fight DTOC is developed, endorsed and at least partially implemented with UNODC assistance.	Absence of an up-to-date integrated and inclusive National Plan to fight DTOC.	A National Plan is developed with all the national stakeholders including CSO, endorsed by the national authorities and at least partially implemented.	-	-	The monitoring mechanism of the The coordination, and monitoring mechanism of the National Integrated Plan to Combat Drug Trafficking, Organized Crime, and Risk Reduction has been strengthened
1.1.2	One National Strategic Plan to prevent and protect victims of human trafficking finalized and at least partially implemented.	National Emergency Plan to prevent and combat human trafficking adopted on 11 June 2020.	Full-fledged National Strategic Plan to prevent and protect victims of human trafficking drafted		Guinea Bissau's Third National Plan to Prevent and Combat Trafficking in Persons 2024-2028 validated	
1.1.3	Number of cooperation mechanisms for security and justice operationalized at national regional and international level.	At national level, two coordination platforms established - Superior Council for the Coordination of Policing and Internal Security (COSIPOL), and the Transnational Crime Unit (TCU) Management Board - but no longer active since February 2020. At regional level, existing platforms (AIRCOP, WACI, GIABA, and ARINWA) which include Guinea-Bissau.	2 coordination mechanisms at national level by year and 1 at regional level are enhanced	1		Draft MoU for TCU Operation developed

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: The Security and justice sector institutions have improved capacity to effectively investigate, prosecute and adjudicate drug trafficking / transnational organized crime cases.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Indicator 1.2.3 Number of National Model police stations.	There are only two model police stations within the country (in Bairro Militar - Bissau and in Buba - Quinara region).	One additional model police station is created (Gabu)		1	
1.2.2	Indicator 1.2.4 Number of border posts refurbished and equipped.	There are only 4 refurbished border posts (in Djegue. Pirada, Buruntuma and Cuntabane)	4 additional border posts (in Cambadju, Dungal, Cacine and Suzana) are refurbished and equipped		2	
1.2.3	Number of border posts supported through technical assistance, including COVID-19 prevention work	2	Two additional border posts (in Cambadju and Dungal) provided with COVID-19 protective gear, and specialized DT/OC training		2	

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Civil society organizations and communities' involvement in the oversight of the security practices and institutions responsible to combat drug trafficking and transnational organized crime, is enhanced.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	Indicator 1.3.6 Number of organized national communication campaign on the consequences of drug trafficking in the society in consultation with civil society organizations, in particular youth and women groups.	1	6	5 (1 national radio and 4 locals radio)		
1.3.2	Indicator 1.3.10 Number of community policing mechanism in the regions.	3 (1 in Bissau, 1 in Buba and 1 in Gabu)	5 (Two additional: 1 in Quinhamel 1 Cacheu)	2		
1.3.3	Indicator 1.3.8 Number of Djumbais participants, disaggregated by sex, age, region.	0	20 participants per Djumbai.	>20 participants per Djumbai - Bissau region: 12 to 18 years old (55% women and 45% men), 19 to 30 years old (78% women and 22% men), over 30 years old (15% women and 85% men).		

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4: The national anti-corruption strategy is operationalized to support integrity, anti-corruption, and combat money laundering	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1	Output indicator 1.4.1: Percentage of corruption cases treated by the national institutions responsible for money laundering and corruption crimes.	1,5 % (2019-2021)	Target: 10%		Not yet measured	In June 2024, the Attorney General's Office announced that an ongoing investigation into a corruption case involving former high-ranking politicians, which began earlier this year, has led to the recovery of 2 billion XOF out of the 6 billion XOF allegedly involved.
1.4.2	Indicator 1.4.2 Level of law enforcement officials demonstrating appropriate knowledge, commitment and practices on prevention and countering money laundering and corruption issues.	Low	Medium			The demonstration of appropriation in the mentioned themes, during the reporting period, three training were carried out for law enforcement officials, judges, and magistrates of the Prosecutor's Office. The capacity of the National Cell for Financial Intelligence Processing was strengthened through the training of three analysts in Senegal on Anti-Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing and Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction
1.4.3	Indicator 1.4.5 Number of initiatives involving internal and external partners in the fight against corruption	Limited	8	2	5	

» **Output 1.5**

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» **Outcome 2:**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1					
2.2					
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1						
2.1.2						
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1					

Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

<p>1</p>	<p>Ministry of Justice and Human Rights</p>	<p>At the request of CENFOJ, the project has supported in two training seminars relevant to the themes at hand. Furthermore, we contacted the Prosecution Office of Cape Verde, a country that shares historical similarities with the context of Guinea Bissau, to support an expert to facilitate the training. As a result, a comprehensive 5-day training seminar was conducted for 30 judges, public prosecutors, and other judicial system officials. The Director of the Central Department of Prosecution of the Prosecution Office of Cape Verde, who has solid experience in the subject, conducted the training. The national interministerial committee for Drug Control has been strengthened in terms of drug data collection following the participation of its coordinator at the Regional Launch of the World Drug Report and Regional Data Collection workshop organized in Niamey. Guinea Bissau, as a signatory to the UN Drug Conventions, is obliged to report data on drugs annually in accordance with the standard questionnaire entitled ARQ.</p>	<p>The Counselor Judge and Deputy Director of CENFOJ, Pansau Natchare, has noted that the support provided by the project has played a pivotal role in training legal professionals in the country. Regarding the recent money laundering seminar, Mr. Pansau has emphasized the necessity of the course in enabling participating professionals to enhance their knowledge in this domain. Furthermore, he has lauded the presence of the international expert from Cape Verde and her invaluable contribution to a country that shares similar predicaments as Guinea-Bissau but has already made significant strides in preventing and combating money laundering. Lastly, he has underscored the importance to technically analyse with the participants the legal framework that is already established for preventing and repressing these types of crimes in the national legal system. This training is a crucial tool to update participants on the necessary means of applying legal instruments effectively. During National Justice Day, Minister of Justice Abilio Gomes emphasized the pivotal role played by the UNDP in empowering the country's judicial system and enhancing access to justice. On the occasion of the International Day against Drug Abuse and Illicit Trafficking on 26 June 2023, UNODC jointly organized a capacity building workshop and the launch of the World Drug Report 2022 with the National Drug Control Coordination Commission (CNCLD) of Niger. A national focal point of Guinea Bissau focal points in charge of drug-related data collection participated in a regional workshop where was he shared the challenges that the west countries facing regarding the UNODC online platform, Annual Report Questionnaire – Data eXchange Plataform ARQ-DXP. This important regional event brought together 14 Focal Points from the Member States of the region (Benin, Burkina Faso, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, the Gambia, Ghana, Guinea Conakry, Mali, Mauritania, the Niger, Nigeria, Senegal and Togo) and the African Union.</p>
----------	---	---	---

2	Ministry of Interior	<p>Before adopting the Proximity Police strategy at the Model Police Station in Gabu with the Public Order Police, the police officers, community and civil society organizations, reported an environment with a more relevant perception of insecurity in the area. The locality did not have the physical presence of security forces, and local conflicts were taken to mediation and resolution by the community's traditional leaders. Moreover, residents experienced feelings of isolation and vulnerability without a police presence, causing them to hesitate in reporting crimes or suspicious activity.</p>	<p>During a visit to the Model Police Station in Gabu a few months after the inauguration by members of the project, meetings were held with members of the Public Order Police, civil society organizations, and the community. A traditional leader highlighted the importance of the Model Police Station in the region for "sleeping peacefully at night". He informed that before installing the Police in that place, he slept worried about eventual thefts of his cattle or other material goods. However, since the inauguration of the Police Station on the site, he feels much safer. A woman from the community reported that the presence of the Police also makes her feel more secure, especially when she comes and goes at night. A member of civil society highlighted that the interaction between the members of the Police became much better after the implementation of the Proximity Police strategy since they understood that the work of both can complement each other in some areas.</p>
3	Bar Association of Guinea-Bissau (OAGB)	<p>According to the Presidente of the OAGB, the country faced significant challenges in its justice sector, with a focus on corruption and organized crime. These challenges were multi-faceted and hindered the effective functioning of the judicial system. Firstly, there needed to be more investment in the justice sector. This encompassed deficiencies in infrastructure, inadequate professional working conditions, insufficient human resources, and a shortage of necessary equipment and financial resources. Furthermore, the justice system's reach was limited, leaving many rural citizens needing access to a sector-specific court, making justice inaccessible to a significant portion of the population. Corruption was pervasive within judicial institutions, contributing to irresponsibility, dysfunctions, absenteeism, and a lack of quality in legal services provided by magistrates and law enforcement agencies. Corruption and the slow pace of legal proceedings were identified as the major afflictions of the Guinea-Bissau justice system, demanding the adoption of national and judicial strategies for corruption prevention and suppression within the state and the administration of justice. The impact of the project, with crucial support from the UNDP on the justice system and law enforcement agencies has been substantial. It's important to highlight that the significant improvements in the country's justice sector and ongoing legal and judicial reforms have been made possible through essential financial support from partners like the United Nations</p>	<p>During National Justice Day, October 12th, the President of the Guinea-Bissau Bar Association, Dr. Januario Pedro Correia stated: "On this national Justice Day, it's crucial to express gratitude and pay sincere tribute to the UNDP for its continued efforts in mobilizing funds to ensure the functioning of the justice sector. This collaboration has been indispensable in advancing the cause of justice and the rule of law in Guinea-Bissau."</p>
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.
Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

<https://www.odemocratagb.com/?p=48822>

File 2

OPTIONAL

<https://nobalur.com/2024/03/10/sociedade-iii-plano-nacional-de-prevencao-e-combate-ao-trafico-de-seres-humanos-foi-validado/>

File 3

OPTIONAL

Clique aqui para fazer o upload do arquivo. (<10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

<https://www.odemocratagb.com/?p=48035>

Link 2

OPTIONAL

<https://guineabissau.un.org/pt/262530-o-iii-plano-nacional-de-preven%C3%A7%C3%A3o-e-combate-ao-tr%C3%A1fico-de-seres-humanos-2024-2028-da-guin%C3%A9>

Link 3

OPTIONAL

<https://www.pjguineabissau.com/artigos/luso-guineense-detido-com-mais-de-setenta-capsulas-de-droga-no-aeroporto-internacional-osvaldo-vieira/>

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

- **Monitoring and coordination meetings between the UNDP and UNODC** have been held twice a month since the beginning of the project.
- **Meetings of monitoring and for activities implementation with national partners** were held; Various meetings with the senior staff of the Police Public Order, Supreme Court, Prosecutor Office, Ministry of Justice and Human Rights, Ministry of Interior, and the Judicial Police allowed to introduce the following stages of the project and to clarify the expectations and the objectives sought as technical capacities strengthening since the beginning of the project.
- **As part of the preparation for the implementation of the Proximity Police strategy in the Oio and Cacheu regions**, different meetings with the POP senior management, technical staff, local community, civil society organizations and local staff facilitated the comprehension of the challenges and expectations in terms of improving relationships with the population and to better tackle the expected outcome and respond to the needed support in the preparation of the work allowing the development of the strategy. Also, the senior POP staff, project members, and consultants were on a mission to Gabu and Buba to talk with the Police officers, community, and Civil Society members to understand the reality of implementing the Model Police Station and Proximity Police strategy.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- Yes
 No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes; The final external evaluation report of the first phase of the CDTOC project indicated the baselines for the second phase.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Project reports. LEA' and MoJ reports. Press report. CSOs reports. Field visits reports

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

- Yes
 No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

- Yes
 No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

An evaluation report was carried out after the Training Seminar on Money DTOC, organized by CENFOJ, with the participation of judges, public prosecutors, and legal professionals, on April 2024.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

50000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Jose Malam Jassi	UNDP	Governance Head of Team	jose.m.jassi@undp.org

» **Catalytic Effect**

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

Yes
 No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

1

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.
Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

European Union

Amount in USD *

5500000

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

Yes
 No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project supported and created an opportunity for the network of CSOs supporting the fight against illicit markets (ROSCEI) to present at the Justice Thematic Group, with the presence of the Ministry of Justice, the civil society priorities in what regards the implementation of the National Strategic Plan to CDTOC building bridges for close cooperation between state and civil society. The project also stimulated training sessions to promote awareness among law enforcement agents of new drug trafficking trends, namely Fentanil, dark and pink cocaine, and the most recent mechanisms to undercover these illicit drugs on luggage.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

According to the project's PRODOC, the participation of all sectors of society in the planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of activities would facilitate an exit and sustainability strategy for the project. In this sense, the project has been working with local counterparts in all conditioning stages, ensuring the empowerment of local capacities to reproduce autonomously in the future. An important step has been taken in adopting the Proximity Policing Strategy in the Gabu, Oio and Cacheu region. Public Order Police, community, and civil society organizations actively participated in all stages of the activity, including the monitoring visit three months after the handover of the facilities to understand the challenges and lessons learned and take this expertise to other locations in the country. Among the recommendations of the final external evaluation report of project first phase for sustainability in consolidating results, it was suggested the continuing training and monitoring of the establishment of the Model Police Station of Gabú. As mentioned, the project continues to support the Police Officers and Civil Society members of the Model Police Station of Gabu but also to learn from this experience before extending the implementation of the community police model to the other two regions.

Concerning interaction with Civil Society, the final external evaluation report also recommended support for civil society organizations committed to combating DTOC and for associations of Koranic Masters working with Talibé children. So far, in this regard, the project has already established partnerships with the Guineese Observatory on Drugs and Drug Addiction (OGDT) for various activities in the fight against DTOC, as well as training with the Association of Koranic Masters of Guinea-Bissau for awareness about human trafficking, focusing on the problem of Talibés children.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Model Police Station in Gabu	Monitoring visit	Before adopting the Proximity Police strategy at the Model Police Station in Gabu with the Public Order Police, the police officers, community and civil society organizations, reported an environment with a more relevant perception of insecurity in the area. The locality did not have the physical presence of security forces, and local conflicts were taken to mediation and resolution by the community's traditional leaders. Moreover, residents experienced feelings of isolation and vulnerability without a police presence, causing them to hesitate in reporting crimes or suspicious activity. The increased visibility of police personnel and civil society members has instilled a sense of safety and security within the community in Gabu.
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			

Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.