

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)



PEACEBUILDING
FUND



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-01-19

2024-01-19

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Mario TEDO Operations Expert FAO

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Mario TEDO Operation Expert FAO

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- yes
- no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- yes
- no
- Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

yes no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific
- Europe and Central Asia
- Middle East and North Africa
- Central & Southern Africa
- Global
- West Africa
- East Africa
- Latin America and the Caribbean

Country of project implementation

*

- Benin
- Gambia
- Liberia
- Niger
- Sierra Leone
- Burkina Faso
- Guinea
- Mali
- Nigeria
- Togo
- Cote D'Ivoire
- Guinea-Bissau
- Mauritania
- Senegal
- Other, Specify

Other, please specify

*

Project Title *

- 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions
- 00125914: No landa Djuntu- Drawing the pathway together: new leadership for meaningful participation, peace and stability in Guinea Bissau
- 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue
- 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau
- 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau
- 00134097: Prevention of Natural Resources Conflicts related to Pastoralism and Transhumance in Bafata and Gabu Regions
- 00140008: Strengthening social cohesion through promoting inclusive and effective public health sector governance, management, and administration
- 00140108: Inclusive policies and institutions for a peaceful society: strengthening the social fabric and fostering youth meaningful participation in decision-making in Guinea Bissau
- Other, Specify

*
Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- Asia and the Pacific
- Europe and Central Asia
- Middle East and North Africa
- Central & Southern Africa
- Global
- West Africa
- East Africa
- Latin America and the Caribbean

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2021-12-16

2021-12-16

Project end Date *

2023-10-16

2023-10-16

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- yes
- no

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- Action Aid
 The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
 Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
 Avocats sans frontières Canada
 Christian Aid Ireland
- CARE International UK
 The Carter Center, Inc.
- Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
 COIPRODEN
- Concern Worldwide
 CORDAID
 CORD Burundi
- DanChurchAid
 Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
 Fund for Congolese Women
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
 Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
 HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
 Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- International Alert
 Interpeace
 Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
 MSIS-TATAO
 Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ONG AZHAR
 OXFAM
 Peace Direct
- PNG UN Country Fund
 Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
 Saferworld
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
 SismaMujer
 Tearfund
- Trocaire
 World Vision International
 World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
 blank_placeholder
 Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

INTERPEACE

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

414910

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Dialogue sessions and production of recommendations (Outcome 1); Trainings on conflict mediation to youth, Sectoral Land Commissions and Traditional Leaders; Technical support and monitoring of the Sectoral Land Commissions work (Outcome 2)

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

KAFO - Farmers Federation

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

50880

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Support and training 40 youth listening groups in Oio and Biombo regions (Outcome 3)

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

AD - Action and Development

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

26925

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Support and training of 20 youth listening groups in Cacheu region (Outcome 3)

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization	* 1073926	* 1073926	* 1035871	96.46%
	*	*	*	%
UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme	* 426074	* 426074	* 414910	97.38%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	1500000	1500000	1450781	96.7 2%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **96.72%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *


» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

30.22

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 453300**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the <i>budget amount</i> allocated to GEWE in US Dollars	*
443341	
Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is US \$ 438426.02 . Is this correct?	*
<input type="radio"/> Correct <input checked="" type="radio"/> Incorrect	
If it is incorrect, please enter the <i>expenditure to date</i> on GEWE in US dollars	*
492689	
ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. The templates for the budget are available here	*
ANNEX Budget Land Mngt FAO -UN HAB Final Report 15012024-9_20_25.xlsx	

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project	*
<input type="radio"/> Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE	
<input type="radio"/> Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)	
Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project	*
<input type="radio"/> Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes	
<input type="radio"/> Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes	

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

yes

no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

The project has continuously engaged with the Land Commission both at the National level (equivalent to Minister) and at the Sectoral level (Local administration) in the three regions. The National Land Commission was engaged via regular meetings and participation in events as guests, while the Sectoral Land Commissions have been capacitated in conflict mediation and reporting, accompanied remotely, and invited to participate in a 2-day exchange session among them, as well as in exchanges with their counterparts in the five sectors not included in the project. The 21st September 2023 an advocacy meeting was organised with multiple institutional actores, including the President of the National Land Commission and representatives from the Ministry of Public Infrastructure and Urbanism (General Directorate of Geography and Cadastre), Ministry of Territorial Administration and Local Development, National Youth Institute (under the Ministry of Culture, Youth and Sports), Women and Children Institute (under the Ministry of Social Action, Family and Women's Promotion).

The project has engaged with the Director General of Decentralization (one level below the Minister of Territorial Administration and Local Power), and the Director General of Territorial Planning, to support their mediation and implement the participatory planning approach. They were also present in the spatial plans validation processes in Biombo and Cacheu, held in October 2023.

Also, the Guide for Collaborative Delimitation and Planning of Communities in Guinea-Bissau was validated with government technicians from the Directory General of Geography and Cadaster and Territorial Planning in July 2023.

Finally, the project liaised with the Director General of Geography and Cadastre (one level below the Ministry of Public Works, Housing and Urbanism) for the establishment of the GIS (Geographical Information System) cell and their technicians received a continued training on this matter (3x per week for four months (August until November)).

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- Not Started
 Completed
 Initiated
 Not Applicable
 Partially Completed

Staff Recruitment *

- Not Started
 Completed
 Initiated
 Not Applicable
 Partially Completed

Collection of baselines *

- Not Started
 Completed
 Initiated
 Not Applicable
 Partially Completed

Identification of beneficiaries *

- Not Started
 Completed
 Initiated
 Not Applicable
 Partially Completed

*

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

all preliminary activities completed

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

For outcome 1, the project is contributing to consolidating the legal framework around land use planning and management in a way that is relevant to the context and the experience of people and sensitive to peace and conflict dynamics. A set of participatory recommendations on how to render land management more peaceful and inclusive in Guinea-Bissau has been developed and disseminated together with the National Land Commission to national and international institutions. The regulation of the Land and Urban Planning Law has been discussed with the government and the academia as a process of being publicised and incorporated into the legal framework. Traditional power of Pelundo and Quicet sections, sector administrators of Prabris and Canchungo and National Land Commission are aware of the importance of the participatory territorial plan and acknowledge it as (1) a guideline for future land development and (2) as a reference for land conflict mitigation in the areas of implementation. 18 communities have been delimited and received by the government a title of recognition of their right to explore that land. The Ministry of Public Works, Housing and Urbanism now has technical capacity and equipment to make, edit and analyse spatial data - a must when dealing with land issues. Finally, a guide on collaborative village/community land delimitation and planning has been developed and validated with government stakeholders.

For outcome 2, the project contributed to reactivating the 15 Land Commission structures at the sectoral level in the three regions and rendering them more effective. Through capacity building in conflict mediation and 7-months of technical and financial support, the project created the conditions for these structures to work on prevention and management of conflict, with at least 43 land-related conflicts solved out of 157 reported, and catalysed a dialogue on how to improve their action in a sustainable and youth-inclusive way. A set of recommendations on how to improve the Land Law implementation at the local level were also developed, detailing ways of improving the Sectoral Land Commissions work. Additionally, the project also engaged members of different Sectoral Land Commissions of the five regions not covered by the project and which face the same difficulties. Also, following planning activities of all communities in the section of Quicet, its Land Commission was officially established. With the goal of improving the management of land-related conflict at the local level, 57 traditional leaders participated in training sessions on conflict mediation.

For outcome 3, the project contributed to the empowerment of youth in 30 communities through 60 listening clubs involved in community conflicts resolution, and agro entrepreneurship activities with a group based rotative loan and credit system.

Finally, following ongoing field evaluation with implementing partners, the project sensitised Clubs members for administrative identity registration, for the clubs to be registered as Community Based Organisations to access the banking system. The project has strengthened the clubs financial capacities by providing them additional capital before the end of the project through the implementing partner (KAFO) in Oio and Biombo regions and through Orange Money in Cacheu region

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Formal, informal institutions and citizens share a common vision of problems linked with land governance and cooperate in the implementation of possible solutions enhancing the implementation of the Land Law

Outcome 2: *

Prevention and management of conflict related to land governance is more effective thanks to the collaboration of formal and traditional institutions and communities and actively involved youth in conflict prevention and management

Outcome 3: Underrepresented young men and young women are empowered to act as a cohesive group, agree on common and shared fundamentals, and play an active role in land management in their communities	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

Outcome 1: Formal, informal institutions and citizens share a common vision of problems linked with land governance and cooperate in the implementation of possible solutions enhancing the implementation of the Land Law

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Between April and May 2022, 8 dialogue sessions were carried out to identify obstacles and possible solutions for a more inclusive land management, and to help select the communities to be targeted in the project activities. In June 2022 internal workshops were held to analyse the material collected during the dialogue sessions and the final participatory recommendations on how to render land governance in Guinea-Bissau more peaceful and inclusive were produced. Radio emissions started to be broadcasted in September 2022 until the end of the project through national and community radios to disseminate key content from the dialogue sessions, raising awareness on issues related to land governance inclusivity. An additional activity was carried out together with the National Land Commission in September 2023 to jointly advocate for the implementation of the participatory recommendations identified with national institutions and international partners. (Output 1.1) As a result of the dialogues and the content dissemination, the general population and key institutions are now more aware of the conflict dynamics around land management and of what would be the possible solutions to increase the peacefulness and inclusiveness of land management in Guinea-Bissau. Key institutions are also more aware of the need to ensure the correct implementation of the Land Law and informed about the actions suggested by different participants to that end.

Guidelines on collaborative settlement profiling and planning at the local level have been developed with the participation of the demarcation brigades and based on the team's field experience, and validated with the Directorates of Geography and Cadastre and Territorial Planning. This guide now can be used for similar demarcation and planning exercises in other communities across the country. At the local level, 18 communities have learned about the importance of collaborative land demarcation and planning, and had their community delimited and registered by the Directorate General of Geography and Cadastre, as advocated by the Land Law. Now these communities have their rights guaranteed and the country has a stronger institutional land governance.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

While participation of youth and of women representatives of institutions at the activity level has been ensured, participation of young women was limited, confirming the marginalisation young women suffered in all what is land-related and the data collected with the baseline. The project team assumes this can be due to multiple factors but is, in particular, a consequence of their roles and responsibilities at the house or in family chores (young women are often the ones responsible for daily market purchases, cleaning, food preparation, etc). Throughout the project implementation, efforts were made to foster young women's participation by adapting the preparation and facilitation of some activities.

During the second collaborative territorial planning, carried out from January to May 2023, a focal point trained in this project liaised with the community to arrange a list of two participants per village (or "tabanca") with youth and women's representation in mind. Youth inclusion was achieved - with the average age of the participants being 30,6 years (median 27) - but gender parity was not, only reached during the workshops in the community of Pantufa, but never in Pelundo and Quicet (an average of 33,2% of women's participation in total). Reiterated requests and sensibilization were made, but women's representation hardly increased. To mitigate the underrepresentation, group activities had all women in one group so that they would be heard, and they were interviewed by female members of the team to avoid any possible constraint during the individual questionnaires .

Outcome 2: Prevention and management of conflict related to land governance is more effective thanks to the collaboration of formal and traditional institutions and communities and actively involved youth in conflict prevention and management

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track
 2. On Track
 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Based on the Sectoral Land Commission (SLC) assessment the team expanded the training, initially youth-centred, also to SLC members. 15 2-day training sessions were organised between September and December 2022 and focused on conflict mediation and awareness raising. Participants highlighted its importance and showed interest and commitment to their role in local communities. In May and September 2023, following a request from them, the team organised three 2-day additional capacity building sessions on conflict prevention and management, targeting 57 traditional leaders active in three regions. In January 2023 the project started accompanying the SLC in their actions, with a “learning by doing” approach . A remote monitoring tool was developed, and a monthly allowance was made available to the 15 SLCs, enabling the organisation of monthly meetings within each Commission. To monitor progress and identify challenges, good practices and lessons learned, an additional activity was organised the 25th and 26th April 2023 in Bissau. The SLC reported solving 43 conflicts during the monitoring period. These experiences, accompanied by a video clip detailing the work undertaken by the project, were the basis of the 5 sessions of experience exchanges organised with other SLC in July 2023 in the regions not covered by the project . In September 2023, 5 radio debates were held between institutional representatives and youth, discussing land management at the local level As a result of the thorough technical and financial support work with SLC, these structures, inactive since their creation 2 years ago, were activated and worked for 7 months. Selected youth and community members are also now more capable of understanding land conflict dynamics and identifying peaceful ways of dealing with them. The land and conflict management at the local level were thus improved, and the seeds for continued work by the Sectoral Land Commission members were planted in the 3 target regions.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

During the training sessions, it was noted that although women members of Sectoral Land Commissions were active participants, young women leaders didn't participate as much in the plenary, expressing their views only in the groups section of the activity. This was taken into consideration in the organisation and configuration of following activities, to allow young women to express themselves and participate more actively.

Outcome 3: Underrepresented young men and young women are empowered to act as a cohesive group, agree on common and shared fundamentals, and play an active role in land management in their communities

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

A total of 60 Youth listening Clubs were established in the regions of Oio, Cacheu and Biombo, among them, 25 clubs of Boys, 26 of Girls and 9 mixed clubs made up of boys and girls. Each club has its own management structure made up of five members: a president, vice-president, secretary and spokesperson whose task is to conduct the clubs weekly meetings. In addition to the board of directors, the clubs have a supervisory board with 3 members and board of general assembly also with 3 members according to their juridic status drafted and approved during their board meetings.

The youth clubs work in identifying the existing land conflicts, gathering information on their history and intervening in their mediation in close collaboration with chiefs of villages and elders.

The clubs have identified more than 250 cases of conflicts linked to the land ownership and cattle breeders and farmers. Among these cases (Oio - 56 cases identified - 37 were mediated by youth clubs and 36 were fully resolved). Biombo - 46 cases identified and only 27 were fully resolved. In the Cacheu region also the youth clubs have been doing their efforts in mediation of many cases of land conflicts and livestock theft.

Regarding the Income-Generating Activities, the club's members make weekly contributions of 1000 XOF per member and take out revolving loans. The clubs manage about 4 800 000 XOF on a monthly basis.

A found of 800 000 XOF has been added to 58 clubs to strengthen their financial systems on the logic that instead of receiving the 20 000 XOF weekly as usual the member can turn to the club found to ask for another 20 000 XOF to be returned within a maximum of 1 month with interest set by club.

The members can borrow up to 50 000 XOF from the club fund based on the presentation of the guarantee accepted by the club.

With this approach, many young people have been able to identify and develop the income-generating activities that helped to completely change their living conditions. The clubs have identified more than 250 cases of conflicts linked to the land ownership and cattle breeders and farmers. Among these cases (Oio - 56 cases identified - 37 were mediated by youth clubs and 36 were fully resolved). Biombo - 46 cases identified and only 27 were fully resolved.

The Youth Listening clubs also work in the field of agricultural production where each club has its own field where they grow peanuts, beans, rice, corn, cassava, sweet potatoes etc... The project has contributed also to developing the collective spirit among the members that allows them to provide service to the community members like cleaning farms, cashew plantation etc... and generate income for their clubs.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

With this approach, many young people in particular girls have been able to identify and develop the income-generating activities that helped to completely change their living conditions , thus develop their social empowerment and recognition in their communities.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome *

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Formal, informal institutions and citizens share a common vision of problems linked with land governance and cooperate in the implementation of possible solutions enhancing the implementation of the Land Law

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Percentage of institutional participants who develop a better understanding on barriers for youth participation in land governance and possible solutions	52% of institutional participants identify tradition and age stigma as the main barrier for youth participation, followed by youth's lack of interest (21%	50% of the institutions' representatives participating in the activities under outcome 1 affirm they are more aware	0	not measured: target value similar baseline value
1.2	Number of institutions with improved knowledge and capacity to carry out collaborative land use and management processes at the community level	n/a	20 (including 10 community associations + sector and national level government institutions)	26	

1.3	Percentage of youth participants who believe decision-making is inclusive and responsive regarding land management	36% of youth participants rate youth participation level in land management as "A little" (3 on a 5 scale), with only 7% rating it as "A lot"	50% of the youth participating in the project affirm they feel more included	0	End of project data not collected by implementing partner. Qualitative data from the Sectoral Land Commissions monitoring show youth participated in their decision-making on conflict management
-----	--	---	--	---	---

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1
Regional dialogues on obstacle and possible solution for a more inclusive land governance are carried out involving young men and young women leaders and representatives of key government and traditional institutions

Output 1.2
Participatory collaborative territory planning is carried out with the participation of traditional, formal institutions and women and youth leaders

Output 1.3
Policy recommendations to improve land governance and land law implementation are developed and disseminated to relevant regional and national institutions and stakeholders responsible for land governance

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 1.1**

Output 1.1: Regional dialogue s on obstacle and possible solution for a more inclusive land governa nce are carried out involving young men and young women leaders and represen tatives of key governm ent and tradition al institutio ns	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	Number of participants in the dialogue sessions (disaggregated by gender, age, locality and institutional belonging)	N/A	100 youth (of those 35% with less than 30 years and 50% women) and 100 representatives of institutions (35% women)		Total of 257 participants. 127 youth (of those 59% under 30 years old and 41% women) and 130 institutional representatives (33% women)	Despite being invited, young women participation is lower and less meaningful than young men's mainly due to social and cultural obstacles. The team debriefed along the activities and a change was included in the facilitation, to encourage young girls to speak and participate more actively in the sessions.
1.1.2	Existence of a programmatic document for youth inclusion in land governance	N/A	1 public document		1 public document available in portuguese with 8 recommendations	

1.1.3	Number of radio programmes resuming the content of the dialogue sessions	N/A	8 radio programs broadcasted in national and community radios		8 radio programs broadcasted in 2 national radios and 30 community radios	
-------	---	------------	--	--	--	--

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Participatory collaborative territory planning is carried out with the participation of traditional, formal institutions and women and youth leaders	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.2.1	Number of communities with collaboratively established land use plans	N/A	10		19 14 in Biombo and 5 in Cacheu	It made sense to include more communities in certain contexts to plan a group of communities as a whole
-------	--	------------	-----------	--	--	--

<p>1.2.2</p>	<p>Number of participants in land use planning workshops</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>10 per community, with at least 50% youth and women</p>		<p>Average of 43 participants per land use planning workshop. Average of 8 per community</p> <p>58% of youth attend during the second participatory process. No age tracking during the first process.</p> <p>Women were inadequately represented in the two planning processes: 46% in Pelundo and only 13% in Quicet</p>	<p>Where there were a lot of communities which got along well, workshops concentrated a group of them, but we made sure all communities had focal points representing them. Where communities could not be in the presence of others, they had exclusive workshops, being overrepresented in those activities.</p> <p>During the process of the first plan in Pelundo section, ages of the participants were not tracked.</p> <p>The activities in Pelundo happened in two places</p>
--------------	--	------------	--	--	--	---

						<p>two places and one of them, Pantufa, women reached an average of 56% representation. The other, 27%. In Quicet there was never a high number of female participants, despite the team's effort and intermediation from our focal points to the community.</p>
1.2.3						

» **Output 1.3**

Output 1.3: Policy recomm endation s to improve land governa nce and land law impleme ntation are develope d and dissemin ated to relevant regional and national institutio ns and stakehol ders responsi ble for land governa nce	Perform ance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.3.1	Existence of evidence-based guidelines on collaborative settlement profiling and planning at the local level					
1.3.2	Number of session of the Land National Commission where are discussed inputs provided by the project	N/A	3		3	

<p>1.3.3</p>	<p>Number and quality of government representatives who participate in the National Land Commission meetings</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>5 representatives of government (political level) for each Meeting</p>		<p>8 representatives: on Feb 6th 2023 (Prime minister cabinet representative; Primatura counsellor, National Land Commission President, communication focal point and 3 assistants, director general of geography and cadastre); 6 representatives on Dec 13th 2022 (Ministry of foreign business, international cooperation and communities jurist, National Commission for Refugees and Displaced people focal point, National Land Commission president and assistant</p>	
--------------	---	-------------------	--	--	---	--

assistant,
**director
 general of
 geography
 and cadastre);**

» **Output 1.4**

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Prevention and management of conflict related to land governance is more effective thanks to the collaboration of formal and traditional institutions and communities and actively involved youth in conflict prevention and management

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Percentage of youth trained in by the project that carry out and participate in conflict prevention and management activities at the community, sector and regional level	43% of youth participants affirm they "never" participated in conflict prevention in management in the past year, 16% did it "rarely" and 32% "sometimes"	At least 50% disaggregated by age, gender and region	0	<p>End of project data not collected by implementing partner</p> <p>Qualitative data from the Sectoral Land Commissions monitoring show that they work with youth 43% of the times and that these Commissions qualify youth participation in conflict management as "very high" in 79% of the occasions.</p>

2.2	Percentage of members of sectoral land commissions who think the commission is capable to respond to people needs in terms of land related conflict management and resolution	62% of land commission members think their Land Commission is functional	An increase of 30%	0	End of the project data not collected by implementing partner The follow up carried out shows that Sectoral Land Commission members recognize their capacity of conflict management consistently improved through the process.
2.3	Percentage of youth who think that land commission mechanism significantly improved at the end of the project	18% of youth who had land problems had the Land Commission intervene, the majority of those classified the Commission's usefulness as "Average"	An increase of 30%	0	End of project data not collected by implementing partner. Monitoring at the activity level shows that all Sectoral Land Commissions met regularly and contributed to prevent and management conflicts in their area.

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Young leaders, men and women, are capacitated and their role in prevention and management of land related conflict is strengthened

Output 2.2

Land commissions in the area of intervention are more effective, and actively integrate traditional, formal institutions, and youth men and women leaders

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Young leaders, men and women, are capacitated and their role in prevention and management of land related conflict is strengthened	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	Number of land commissions members disaggregated by gender, age and institution	N/A	100 youth (40% women and 70% with less than 35 years)		288 participants, of which 120 (42%) youth under 35 years old (of which 51% women)	Due to the identified needs of the Sectoral Land Commissions, there was an increase in the number of training sessions and in the scope of the training participants, including SLC members. Expected number of youth was achieved.
2.1.2	Number of radio debates involving youth and representatives from traditional and local authorities on the role of youth in conflict management and resolution.	N/A	At least 5 debates		5 radio debates held in Cacheu, São Domingos, Mansôa, Djalicunda and Ondame	

2.1.3	Number of youth participating in the training who feel more confident in participating in conflict management and resolution disaggregated by age, gender and locality	62 youth (46% women) feel "very confident" in participation, 42 feel "reasonably confident", 13 feel "little" confidence and 2 feel "not at all confident"	70 youth (40% women and 70% with less than 35 years)		0	End of the project data not still collected by implementing partner
-------	--	--	--	--	---	---

» **Output 2.2**

<p>Output 2.2: Land commissions in the area of intervention are more effective, and actively integrate traditional, formal institutions, and youth men and women leaders</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>

2.2.1	Number of land commissions members disaggregated by gender, age and institution	N/A	130 participants (15% youth male with less than 35 years, 15% young women with less than 35 years and 15% women)	140 participants in the diagnostic meetings (21% youth male under 35 years, 6% young women under 35 years and 26% women)		
2.2.2	Number of video clip resuming the land commission experience	N/A	At least 10			To allow capturing all the different experiences from the different sectors, the team decided to produce one 15min video clip compiling the whole experience and work undertaken, instead of 10 mini-videos.
2.2.3					1	

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3: Underrepresented young men and young women are empowered to act as a cohesive group, agree on common and shared fundamentals, and play an active role in land management in their communities

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Number of young men and young women organised	N/A	600	1160	
3.2	Number of groups active in land management in their community	N/A	60	59	2 groups merged
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

youth and women are supported and connected in their efforts to improve inclusive land governance at the community level and communities are aware of their role and activities in this field.

Output 3.2

access to information and mass media for youth and women listening groups developed

Output 3.3

Access to sustainable market oriented agro entrepreneurship opportunities developed for group members

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: youth and women are supported and connected in their efforts to improve inclusive land governance at the community level and communities are aware of their role and activities in this field.	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.1.1	Number of targeted communities with women and youth groups	N/A	30 communities by the end of the project		31 communities	
3.1.2	Number of listening groups (youth and women) with self defined rules, objectives and action plans on land management adopted by their communities and land committees	N/A	20 youth and 30 women groups by the end of the project		24 youth, 25 women and 9 mixed groups made by both	For some specific reason in some villages there are a mixed clubs made up by 12 girls and 8 boys
3.1.3	Number of youth / women reporters using solar radio set to be informed	30 young and 30 women members by the end of the project	28 young and 30 women members by the end of the project		A total of 58 members, 28 young and 30 women received and used the solar radio during their meetings to follow the different radio programs as well as recorded their debates.	

» **Output 3.2**

Output 3.2: access to information and mass media for youth and women listening groups developed	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1	Number of youth / women reporters using solar radio set to be informed	N/A	30 young and 30 women members by the end of the project		28 young and 30 women	The boy's club of the village of Mbassine has given up. The club of the village of Mon has been transformed into a mixed.

3.2.2	Number of debates registered and broadcasted	N/A	30		60 debates with different subjects	The implementings partners namely NGO's KAFO and AD have their own radios and during the visits in field they collected the debates among the clubs members and broadcasted.
3.2.3	Number of active reporters (youth and women) nominated by listening groups	N/A	60		58	All 58 clubs established appointed their own reporter who were part of the club management structures made up by 5 persons

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3: Access to sustainable market oriented entrepreneurship opportunities developed for group members	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.3.1	Number of listening group members (youth and women) trained for marked oriented agro entrepreneurship initiatives	N/A	150		240	6 meetings of training of 2 days were conducted gathering the Clubs by Administratives Sectors (Farim, Bissorã, Ingoré, Bigene, Cacheu, Prabis and Quinhamel). Each club had 4 representatives from both boys and girls.
-------	---	-----	-----	--	-----	--

3.3.2	Number of functional community saving & loan systems (CSLS) servicing agro entrepreneurs in their communities	N/A	45		58	After a monitoring and evaluation conducted to all clubs before receiving the support of 800 000 XOF each, we realised that a separate club of the village of MON should be transformed into a mixed club to ensure its dynamic. The clubs of boys of Mbassine have given up.
3.3.3	Community resilience index					indicator cancelled

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

<p>If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)</p>	<p>Event Description</p>	<p>Tentative Date</p>	<p>Location</p>	<p>Target Audience</p>	<p>Event Objectives</p>
<p>Event 1</p>					
<p>Event 2</p>					
<p>Event 3</p>					

Event 4					
----------------	--	--	--	--	--

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementati on? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representativ e of each stakeholder group (350 words)

1	National and Sectoral Land Commission member	<p>Although the country counts with a Land Law published in 1998 and then integrated in 2018, its implementation is not homogeneous on the national territory. Land Commissions that should be established to cooperatively manage land and land related issues at the national, regional, sector and section level are not yet a fully functioning reality at the sector and section level, contributing to poor land management at the community level. The project identified many unmet needs at the institutional and organisational level. These gaps in the legal framework and in its implementation, combined with weak local governance capacity contribute to perpetrate a series of conflict dynamics and exclusion patterns</p>	<p>At the sectoral level, some of the participants were initially slightly confused as to what their role was in the Commissions. The work by the project has helped not only to bring orientation in that regard, but also to bring a sense of responsibility and more personal (and organisational) capacity to actually carry out the land management and conflict resolution work.</p>	<p>Mr. Mário Martins, President of the National Land Commission, when speaking at the 25th April event: "This was the first time the Sectoral Land Commissions had the opportunity to meet each other, and the first time I have the opportunity to be in the same room with all of the local administrators together".</p>
---	--	--	--	---

		patterns.		
2	Traditional leaders of the three regions	Although frequently engaged in conflict management at the local level, many recognize not having the mediation skills necessary for carrying out that type of work.	Through dedicated training sessions (not initially foreseen in the project but requested by them) on conflict mediation and awareness raising, the project built the capacity of 57 traditional leaders to peacefully manage conflict, allowing them to have a more positive impact and recognition while contributing to peace in their communities	The traditional leader from São Domingos participated in the SLC training, recognized its value and asked Voz di Paz to train the leaders in the region. Multiple participants in the training thanked Voz di Paz for the knowledge and capacities they now had

<p>3</p>	<p>two family members</p>	<p>Dispute for a piece of land</p>	<p>When the listening club was set up the families in reference were represented. After the two boys knew very well their role and role of the listening club they belong to, they decided to approach their family on an individual basis. After convincing their parents, they decided to go together in the field to propose the collective and durable solution for the peace of land in dispute. They proposed their parents to divide the space in the middle to end the hate and danger that may result from the dispute. The solution was accepted by all parties and the dispute was finished thank to the intervention of the listening clubs.</p>	
-----------------	---------------------------	------------------------------------	--	--

4	Community members	<p>Different contexts had different reasons behind the land disputes. One of them was were disagreement related to borders between tabancas (villages) or communities (groups of tabancas). Another case seen during the participatory planning phase was the disagreement about future use and belonging of an area.</p>	<p>When local leaders agree on land boundaries and it is officially registered, the possibility of future conflicts related to the matter are highly minimised, and much more easily solved there is no future discussion in this matter. Planning, on the other hand, helps to avoid future conflicts and safeguard communal lands and certain types of exploration that may be detrimental to the community</p>	<p>Adriano Nhamo Nanque, from the village Bitafit, in Quicet section: "I learned that we have to manage our land well and that there are also spaces that we can reserve and prioritise for development.[...] I realised that this is actually very important, and that we should have done it a long time ago." and "[.] today each tabanca knows its limits (where it starts and where it ends). So these discussions no longer exist, I think that for later we will not have problems of land conflict because you will know where your limits begin and where they end, and the limits of others as well"</p>
---	-------------------	---	---	--

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

Ministry of Public Works, Housing and Urbanism

Throughout different sections of the ministry, there is a lack of a technical body to generate, manipulate and analyse geospatial data.

This was making hard to take some actions such as consolidating land demarcation of communities, mapping things like existing tensions and conflicts, issuing land titles, etc

This project helped to fund a new GIS (Geographic Information System) cell within the Ministry. The general directorates prepared a room to host the cell, while the project team equipped the room and offered continued training (3 times per week during fourtwo months) to the technicians

Land demarcation and titling of 18 communities in Cacheu and Biombo Region. Each of these communities received a title of recognition of their communal rights to their lands.

Elaboration of draft Regulation of the Land and Urban Planning Law along with Directorate General of Territorial Planning, with support from CSOs and University. The Regulation supports more collaborative, inclusive and sustainable land use planning across the country.

Creation of Sectional Land Commision of Quicet, which will address land issues within that area. With trained personnel and recognized authorities, it will be the first mediator in land conflicts and will orient its practice according to the land law.

Effectivating the role of 15 Sector Land Commissions in the project contributing to solving at least 43 conflicts indirectly contributed to the improved well-being of the parties in conflict and their families, diffusing tensions and increasing their feeling of peace and safety.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Cliquez ici pour téléverser un fichier. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Cliquez ici pour téléverser un fichier. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Cliquez ici pour téléverser un fichier. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Innovative way of workink was empowering youth through the listening groups methodology to give them enough strength and recognition to adress the conflicts in their communities.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

improved or initiated policy framework as well as stranghten capacity was realized through the application of the land law through sectoral land commissions as well as demarcation of villages areas.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Partnering with national CSO was the opportunity for them to implement the new approach of listening clubs

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Partnership between FAO and UN-Habitat within all the project process , allow to reach eff ective results

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

A monitoring system based on KoBo Toolbox was used to accompany and support the conflict management work of the 15 Sectoral Land Commissions of the project's area of intervention from January to July 2023. Interpeace /Voz di Paz team undertook some field missions to accompany the work carried out by Sectoral Land Commissions on the ground. Missions were also done to accompany two of the exchange sessions with Land Commissions of the 5 regions not covered by the project.

The monthly monitoring mission allowed to find some weaknesses and strengthen different listening clubs as well as the presence of the NGO in the field.

The monitoring brings the specific recommendation for each stakeholder for immediate actions for the correction before the next field visit. The monitoring team realised that the approach "Listening Clubs" it's more adequate in the rural villages than the urban areas. In the rural area, the youth are more committed compared to the urban areas where some urban villages were replaced to the rural area where the project may have more commitment to the approach of the youth

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

for some outcomes indicators baseline assessment was possible : for indicator 1.1, 1.3, 21.1, 2.2 and 2.3. however endline data collection was not completed

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

sources of evidences are reports, attendance sheets, photographs

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

yes

no

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

Response required

0

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

yes

no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- No catalytic effect
- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect
- Very Significant catalytic effect
- Don't Know
- Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The collaborative approach to community land demarcation and planning was proven to be a successful approach, and, along with development of guidelines and the draft legislation of land use planning, provide a set of mechanisms that will allow similar initiatives to be carried out in the future. In fact, some of the actors involved in the process, from community members to governmental officials, have expressed their interest in informing other communities to replicate the same approach elsewhere. The same applies to the establishment of the GIS cell within the Ministry of Public Works, Housing and Urbanism, which will allow a much more structure and streamlined service for land use planning in the future.

In addition at the end of the project the project results were presented to stakeholders for consideration and definition of main challenges and recommendations linked to involvement of women and girls in peacebuilding:

- Plan specific activities for groups of women and girls
- Adapt the facilitation of training in relation to women's needs
- Develop functional literacy program
- Develop national identification program

Implementing partner KAFO contribution supported the capacity building process of the listening clubs in Oio and Biombo region as well as distributed the cash to the clubs. It also contributed to the selection of a showcase of beneficiaries' successes and brought some of them to the final event of the project to expose their successes due to the project.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

he intervention strategy has been built towards and around the concept of sustainability. The project aims to contribute to a long-lasting institutional change strengthening the legitimacy of existing policies through a series of activities that aims to ensure that these policies reflect local realities and benefit from local knowledge. To do so, the project engaged closely with key institutions in the last months, such as the National Land Commission and the Directorate of Geography and Cadaster for the finalisation and validation of different policy recommendations and regulation documents.

At the national level, the project has been carrying out specific activities that contribute to the institutionalisation of the processes, tools and structures piloted and developed along the project implementation, in particular through the very close collaboration with the National Land Commission and other national institutions, capacity building and through the elaboration of policy recommendations, draft legislative instruments and validated guidelines to improve land governance and land law implementation.

At the regional and local level, the project aims to stepstone towards the full implementation of the Lland Llaw and set the basis for durable change in this sense adopting a trust building approach. By investing in and fostering the relationship among different stakeholders, especially around the Sectoral Land Commissions, the project is working to create the conditions for the bottom-up operationalization of collaborative structures and procedures foresaw by the current legislation, notably by strengthening local stakeholders capacities.

At the community level, the project exit strategy is based on the facilitation and strengthening capacities approaches, also mobilising local human resources (youth and women) as well as promoting market based agro-entrepreneurship to generate income from land access. Local communities have ownership of the collaborative planning they made as the plans were validated in multiple stages and in one case a sectional land commission was established to deal with land issues at that scale.

Most stakeholders recognised the impact of conflict mitigation approaches used by the project in particular with land demarcation and listening clubs, as well as strengthening land commission with the necessity of vulgarisation of the Land Law at the local levels. Their commitments to use these approaches have good chance to be replicated in the future, depending on resource mobilisation.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	RUNO and partners coordination meetings	regular meetings occur to monitor the project process , plan activities	necessities to ajust planning, warning forreports and meeting
Event 2	Regular field visits	o find some weaknesses and strengthen different clubs as well as the presence of the NGO in the field.	The monitoring brings the specific recommendation for each stakeholder for immediate actions for the correction before the next field visit
Event 3			
Event 4			

Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			
<p>Final Steps</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the <i>Printer</i> icon on the top right corner of the page. • A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation. • Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below) • Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway. <p><i>If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</i></p>			
<p>Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.</p>			