

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



PEACEBUILDING
FUND



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☒ Semi-annual
- ☐ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-06-18

2024-06-18

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Adjombi Te, NOP-Youth and Demographic Dividend Analyst

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Jocelyn Fernard, UNFPA Resident Representative

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ☐ 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions
- ☐ 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue
- ☐ 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00134097: Prevention of Natural Resources Conflicts related to Pastoralism and Transhumance in Bafata and Gabu Regions
- ☐ 00140008: Strengthening social cohesion through promoting inclusive and effective public health sector governance, management, and administration
- ☒ 00140108: Inclusive policies and institutions for a peaceful society: strengthening the social fabric and fostering youth meaningful participation in decision-making in Guinea Bissau
- ☐ 00140581: Peaceful Natural Resources Management in the Koliba-Corubal Basin
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-03-07

2023-03-07

Project End Date *

2025-03-06

2025-03-06

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☒ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which *

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☒ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☐ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☒ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

.....

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid | <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide | <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services | <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | |

Other, Please specify ***INTERPEACE****Implementing Partners**

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

VOZ DI PAZ

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

52288

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Voz di Paz participated in the development and implementation of all the activities reported in the present report as also in all the strategic reflections around the project implementation. Particularly, VdP is playing a crucial role in the development of a methodology as also in the data collection (planned in may and ongoing in June) for the evaluation of the national youth policy (A2.1.1. and 2.1.2). Voz di Paz also played a primary role in the monitoring and supporting of efforts of youth platforms at the local level (A 3.2.2). VdP is also a key actor in the manutention of the relationship with the Youth Institute and the Minister of Youth, Culture and Sport.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	1250000	875000	39380.29	3.15%
				%
				%
				%
				%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
Interpeace	750000*	525000*	294279.01*	39.24%
Other, Please specify	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
INTERPEACE	0*	0*	0*	NaN%

TOTAL	2000000	1400000	333659.3	16.6 8%
--------------	----------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------------

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **16.68%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

53.82

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1076400**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 179575.44**. Is this correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

GYPI 2022 UNFPA IP Guinea Bissau Financial Report June 2024. edited12)-16_24_46.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☒ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

As of now, the project has not established a steering committee, as the process has not been finalised. The legislative elections of June 2023 and then, the dissolution of the National Assembly and the Government in December 2023, delayed the constitution of a project Steering Committee. Both UNFPA and INTERPEACE are coordinating to ensure this meeting occurs within the new timeframe. UNFPA and INTERPEACE have already gathered feedback from the steering committee members regarding the terms of reference drafted for the committee. The upcoming June meeting will be pivotal in finalising the setup process of the steering committee

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☒ Partially Completed
 ☐ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The implementation of project activities has faced significant delays, partly due to the timing of the project's initiation. The lengthy recruitment process for project staff further contributed to these delays. Finding suitable candidates with the necessary skills to manage the project proved challenging. The project assistant started working in March 2024 while UNFPA has recently completed the recruitment of the project Assistant and project Manager. The Project Manager is expecting to commence the work this month (June). The newly appointed team will oversee and ensure that project activities are carried out efficiently and that the project timeline is adhered to. The pace of project implementation will increase.

Interpeace and Voz di Paz teams underwent some changes in the first semester of 2024, with both Interpeace Programme Officer and Voz di Paz Focal Point for this project leaving in March. In May 2024, Voz di Paz hired a new researcher who is going to be dedicated to the project, while Interpeace hired a Senior Programme Officer who will ensure the accompaniment of the implementation.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- ☐ Yes
 ☒ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The delivery rate of this project is quite low. This is due to the significant amount of time spent in the preparatory phase for the launch of the project on one hand. The delay UNFPA encountered in the recruitment of the project manager and project assistant contributed to the low progress in the implementation of the activities under outcome 1 and 3.

On the other hand, the instability and uncertainty that characterised the political context between December 2023 and February 2024 delayed the development of a stable institutional dialogue with the Ministry of Youth, Culture and Sport. This has been overcome but contributed to delay the launching of the process of participatory evaluation of the National Youth Policy (outcome 2): while activities under output 2.1 are now being implemented by Interpeace and Voz di Paz, activities under output 2.2 (dissemination of the results) will be implemented only when the evaluation process will be finalised. The changes in the team of Interpeace and Voz di Paz contributed to a slight delay in the implementation of some activities related to output 2.1. The prompt recruitment of new resources allowed the project team to not accumulate too much delay and activities are now ongoing. The low level of capacity of youth organisations and CSOs at the grassroots and local level contributed to greater accompaniment efforts of Interpeace and Voz di Paz and, consequently, to some delay in the implementation of activities under output 3.2 (ended in February instead December). An evaluation moment will be organised in June 2024 with youth who have been involved in the above mentioned activities to capture their perspective and better identify hidden possible needs. Future activities will benefit from this reflection and a proposal to adapt project activities accordingly with this reflection and to cope with the delay accumulated might be submitted in the coming months

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

UNFPA and INTERPEACE have made some progress in the implementation of project activities under outcome, 2 and 3 of the project. Continued efforts and collaboration with partners and stakeholders will ensure the successful completion of project objectives, contributing to the empowerment and development of youth in Guinea-Bissau. Over the course of the project, UNFPA and INTERPEACE have implemented a series of activities in support of youth empowerment and participation (outcome 3). A youth leadership training has been conducted bringing youth from different regions of the country together. The training equipped youth with skills and knowledge on youth leadership and participation. Furthermore, a fellowship programme has been established to mobilise and train youth on various aspects including leadership, youth development and participation (Output 3.1). In regards to Youth Platforms, the support provided by Interpeace and its partner Voz di Paz continued in the first quarter of 2024. Until January, 11 platforms have been supported in their efforts towards their regional priorities, engaging local and traditional institutions (Output 3.2). In total, 41 activities were realised with mostly sensitization, different types of dialogues and training and capacity building involving around 1367 people including representatives of local and traditional authorities. The engagement of local, traditional and religious authorities was judged to be more or less good depending on the region. The impact on progress towards the defined regional priorities was perceived as at least sufficient everywhere, which is an encouraging sign of progress towards achieving the project's objectives.

With respect to Outcome 2, the project progressed towards the evaluation of the national youth policy and the production of participatory recommendation (Output 2.1). Capacity of different youth NGOs and institutions on public policy cycle and evaluation has been enhanced with support of a dedicated consultant (COATL- Consultancies for Development). This allowed trained institutions to participate actively in the development of the main aspects of methodology for the NYP Participatory Evaluation process. Based on the priorities of partners involved, including the Youth Institute, it was decided to focus on relevance and effectiveness of the National Youth Policy and, based on these criteria, the evaluation questions, indicators, and data collection tools. To ensure greater ownership and appropriation, a public presentation of the NYP evaluation was organised on May 7th 2024 with the participation of 27 people representing youth networks and organisations as also national and international institutions working for and with youth. While the data collection was launched in early June, as it is a participatory process, each stage requires the involvement of several stakeholders and consensual decision-making, which can imply a longer timeframe, setting the finalisation of the whole process in November 2024. Despite the many efforts made by the project team, a certain backlog has accumulated. Thus, a number of activities, notably those under output 2.2, which focus on advocacy, can only be carried out once the evaluation process has been achieved, and therefore cannot be implemented until the conclusions and recommendations to be disseminated have been developed.

Despite the progress achieved in the implementation, some delays have accumulated, particularly in activities under Outcome 1 due to the delays UNFPA encountered in hiring the project team. Despite these challenges a series of activities under outcome 1 have been launched. The creation of the digital civic space for youth advocacy and participation, youth empowerment, the digital library for youth development and desk search review on the dynamics of the youth institutions in the country. These activities aim at strengthening youth participation in the decision making process and youth skill development bringing together different youth networks under the coordination of the Youth Institute.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

This initiative aims to directly contribute to the implementation of the 2015-2031 Youth policy and specifically. Therefore, all the expected results are linked to youth empowerment. In concrete terms, being a participatory process, it was not just a question of implementing an initiative for young people and in their place, but on the contrary of reinforcing the empowerment and agency of young people. So, at every stage, the various youth initiatives and youth-related institutions in the country are actors in their own process, whether it's the evaluation of the NYP, the advocacy that follows, or the implementation of initiatives in the regions.

The project has thus endeavoured to support the creation of links between youth associations, but also with national institutions and political players. During the youth platform activities, over 80% of participants were under the age of 35, which means that the voice and opinions of young people have been valued throughout the project up until now. Interpeace and Voz di Paz have provided support and advice, as well as methodological and financial guidance. Particular attention was given to the inclusion and active participation of young women in the various initiatives, the development of the evaluation methodology and participatory evaluation itself (focus group, interviews) and to achieving a gender balance in activities in general. For example, the baseline questionnaire was administered to 240 young members of youth organisations nationwide, of which 122 were women (51%) and 118 men, 8% of whom had disabilities. Regarding the Youth platforms activities, 38% of participants were women, which indicates a quite strong involvement of women in the various aspects of the initiative.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Youth organisations responsible for youth promotion and youth engagement are more inclusive and better represent the reality and diversity of young women and men in Guinea-Bissau

Outcome 2: *

Young men and women members of youth organisations develop shared policy priorities and organisational ground rules to inform the government activity and work together to bring them forward, valuing diversity

Outcome 3: *

Young men and women play an active and inclusive role in monitoring and advocating for public policy in sectors which are priorities for youth identified under outcome 2, contributing to increase accountability of institutions and trust at the regional and national level

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Youth organisations responsible for youth promotion and youth engagement are more inclusive and better represent the reality and diversity of young women and men in Guinea-Bissau

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Percentage of young leaders who consider institutions to be more inclusive and representative, disaggregated by age, sex and capability	N/A	At least 60% of young participants	No progress as at this moment	There is a delay in the implementation of the project activities
1.2	Percentage of young people who feel well represented by their own youth organisation, broken down by age, gender and capacity	N/A	At least 60% of young participants	No progress as at this moment	This indicator will be collected at the end of the project
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 ☒ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Institutional and organisational impediments and barriers to youth participation are identified with due regard for gender and inclusiveness, and strategies are designed and implemented for more effective youth inclusion.

<p>Output 1.2</p> <p>The IJ's role as a national institution for the promotion and representation of youth, and its ability to interact with, monitor and represent youth organisations active throughout the national territory is reinforced</p> <p>.....</p>
<p>Output 1.3</p> <p>.....</p>
<p>Output 1.4</p> <p>.....</p>
<p>Output 1.5</p> <p>.....</p>
<p>Other Outputs</p> <p><i>If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here</i></p> <p>.....</p>

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Institutional and organisational impediments and barriers to youth participation are identified with due regard for gender and inclusiveness, and strategies are designed and implemented for more effective youth inclusion .	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	Percentage of respondents who feel they better understand barriers and leverage points for increasing youth participation	N/A	At least 60% of participants	There isn't any progress at the this reporting period. This study has just been launched	This indicator will be collected at the end each year	The delay in the implementation of the activities
1.1.2	Percentage of youth organisations that are implementing a gender and inclusion strategy.	N/A	At least 50% of participants	This i no progress in this reporting period	This indicator will be collected at the end each year.	The delay in the implementation of the activities
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: The IJ's role as a national institution for the promotion and representation of youth, and its ability to interact with, monitor and represent youth organisations active throughout the national territory is reinforced	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Percentage traffic increase on IJ website	N/A	At least 70%	There is no progress	There is no progress at the moment	Delays in the implementation of the activities

1.2.2	Increased interest/commitment from IJ participants to include youth and youth-related data in their decision making systems	N/A	An interest increase of at least 50%.	No progress. The process of data collection has not yet started.	No progress. The process of data collection has not yet started.	There are delays in the implementation of the this activity
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Young men and women members of youth organisations develop shared policy priorities and organisational ground rules to inform the government activity and work together to bring them forward, valuing diversity

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of participants in the NYP evaluation process who, at the end of the process, feel that they can better influence decision-making, disaggregated by age, sex, ability	52% of young people feel very or fairly confident in their ability to influence decision-making. While only 46,5% of women, 46% of youth under 25 and 36% of people with disability feel very or fairly confident	At least 80% of youth participants	This indicators will be collected at end of the project	Progress will be measured with endline survey at the end of the project
2.2	Percentage of youth who feel that collaboration among youth organizations increased thanks to the policy evaluation process, disaggregated by age, sex and ability	The average collaboration for each youth organisation is with 4 others youth CSOs and 72% of interviewed rate collaboration as "Good" or "Very Good	At least 70% of youth participants	This indicators will be collected at end of the project	No delay. Progress will be measured with endline survey at the end of the project

2.3	% of beneficiaries who feel youth needs and priorities are better addressed by existing policies disaggregated by age, sex and ability	Only 10,76% of participants feel the National Youth Policy responds "good" or "very. good" to the needs of the Bissau-Guinean youth. 0% of women, youth under 25 yo and people with disability share the same feeling.	At least 50% of youth participants	This indicators will be collected at end of the project	No delay. Progress will be measured with endline survey at the end of the project
-----	--	--	------------------------------------	---	---

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 ☒ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

A participatory and inclusive process to evaluate the contents of the NYP since 2015 and produce recommendations to make the policy more relevant to current and emerging needs of young women and men from different backgrounds, including youth, women and men, with disabilities and other marginalised groups.

Output 2.2

The youth priorities identified under output 2.1 will be disseminated among youth, government institutions and relevant stakeholders to inform current and future policies and programmes at community, regional and national levels.

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: A participatory and inclusive process to evaluate the contents of the NYP since 2015 and produce recommendations to make the policy more relevant to current and emerging needs of young women and men from different backgrounds, including youth, women and men, with disabilities and other marginal	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
---	--	--	---	---	---	---

isea groups.						
2.1.1	% of participants at each step of the participatory process who are satisfied with their engagement in the process, disaggregated by age, sex and ability	0	at least 90%	Activities ongoing	Data are under collection as the activities are being implemented.	This indicator will be collected after all the steps. of the NYP evaluation and the cumulated data will be available in Nov. 2024.
2.1.2	A report with policy recommendations relevant to the needs of youth is produced	0	1			This indicator will be measured in Nov. 2024.
2.1.3	Percentage of youth participating in the validation event who perceive policy recommendations as relevant to current needs of youth.	0	90%			This indicator will be measured after the publication of the report.

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: The youth priorities identified under output 2.1 will be disseminated among youth, government institutions and relevant stakeholders to inform current and future policies and programmes at community, regional and national levels.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.2.1	Number of workshops and meetings held with representatives from political and governmental institutions at the national level and international level and international organisations.	0	6			Progress will be measured as the activities start around Nov. 2024
2.2.2	Number of meetings organised by youth platforms with regional and local authorities	0	22			Progress will be measured as the activities start around Nov. 2024
2.2.3	% of political, government, regional, local or international institutions that take action based on the recommendation produced and disseminated in the project and use them in their work.	0	at least 30% of the institutions targeted by the dissemination activities			Progress will be measured as the activities start around Nov. 2024

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» **Outcome 3: Young men and women play an active and inclusive role in monitoring and advocating for public policy in sectors which are priorities for youth identified under outcome 2, contributing to increase accountability of institutions and trust at the regional and national level**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Percentage of youth leaders who report feeling capable of monitoring and advocating for public policy, disaggregated by age, sex and ability	43.75% of young people feel "quite" or "very" capable of monitoring public policies and 53.33% of advocating for public policies.	At least 50% of youth participants		No delay. Progress will be measured with endline survey at the end of the project
3.2	% of youth participants who believe institutions are accountable to the needs of youth, disaggregated by age, sex and ability	4.58% of respondents feel that state institutions do consider the opinions of young people (yes or yes, very much)	At least 60% of youth participants		No delay. Progress will be measured with endline survey at the end of the project
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 ☒ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Young women and men’s capacities on public policies cycle and evaluation, public spending and advocacy and to influence decision-making and contribute to peacebuilding in Guinea-Bissau are strengthened, including their capacities on disability and on inclusion and gender equality, enabling them to better lobby and advocate for their interest based on existing policies.

Output 3.2

Youth led inclusive monitoring and advocacy mechanisms and platforms are active at the regional level and connected nationally through radio, communication and online tools making the voice of young men and women more audible and giving more visibility to young men and women with disabilities.

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Young women and men's capacities on public policies cycle and evaluation, public spending and advocacy and to influence decision- making and contribute to peacebuilding in Guinea- Bissau are strengthened, including their capacities on disability and on inclusion and gender equality, enabling them to better lobby and advocate	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

for their interest based on existing policies.						
3.1.1	Number of youth platforms, organisations and networks members who participate in the training on how to design and organise an advocacy activity without creating conflict, disaggregated by gender, age and ability	0	at least 220 of those 40% young women and 15% with different abilities		There is no progress	N.B.: A reflection on the revision of indicators that may change the structure of this output and the nature of the data collected has started during the reporting period but has not been finalized yet. This reflection aimed at proposing indicators that would reflect a more relevant measure of the changes induced by the project.
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Youth led inclusive monitoring and advocacy mechanisms and platforms are active at the regional level and connected nationally through radio, communication and online tools making the voice of young men and women more audible and giving more visibility to young men and women with disabilities.	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.2.1	% Number of meetings/workshops where representatives of youth and local/communities authorities work together and exchange around communities and local issues relevant to youth	0	44		11 activities (meetings, dialogue, sensitizations, etc) involving youth and local and traditional authorities	Progress will be measured along the whole project implementation. N.B.: A reflection on the revision of indicators that may change the structure of this output and the nature of the data collected has started during the reporting period but has not been finalised yet. This reflection aimed at proposing indicators that would reflect a more relevant measure of the changes induced by the project.
-------	--	---	----	--	---	---

3.2.2	% of issues raised by youth where local authorities report advancement	0	50%			N.B.: A reflection on the revision of indicators that may change the structure of this output and the nature of the data collected has started during the reporting period but has not been finalised yet. This reflection aimed at proposing indicators that would reflect a more relevant measure of the changes induced by the project
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1	Evaluation of the National Youth Policy - Final workshop	November 2024	Bissau	Representatives from youth national organisations and the Youth Institute	Validation of the preliminary analysis developed with the project team and develop policy recommendation together with representatives from youth national organisations and the Youth Institute. From there an advocacy plan will be developed and dissemination activities at the national and regional level (output 2.2) will be carried out accordingly including the use of small videos.
----------------	---	----------------------	---------------	--	--

Event 2					
---------	--	--	--	--	--

Event 3					
----------------	--	--	--	--	--

Event 4					
---------	--	--	--	--	--

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1 OPTIONAL Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
File 2 OPTIONAL Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
File 3 OPTIONAL Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project OPTIONAL
Link 1 OPTIONAL
Link 2 OPTIONAL
Link 3 OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☒ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Training on the plans and projects information system (SIPP) was provided to Voz di Paz and to young people from regional Youth Platforms. This enabled the various youth platforms to familiarise themselves with this digital tool to monitor initiatives and organisations active in some regions of the country. The SIPP system, created by a EU funded project supporting civil society organisations nationwide aims to capture all the small interventions carried out by communities and civil society organisations contributing to the achievement of local development plan. The initiatives carried out by the youth platforms between August 2023 and February 2024 have been recorded in the system.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

1 meeting have been organised with different youth networks and organizations in Guinea Bissau, to identify synergies and foster their engagement towards the project, strengthen the relationship between them, and encourage more collaboration, notably on the advocacy level to try in obtaining actual change in policies and a higher level of inclusivity from the part of the institutions in regards to youth priorities.

As a result of the collaboration with the Youth Institute, a meeting with the Ministry of Youth, Culture and Sport was organised in February 2024 and an MoU between Interpeace, Voz di Paz and the Ministry is now being developed to frame the collaboration with this key institution especially in light of the work needed for the participatory evaluation of the national youth policy.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

1. Field visit to the Youth Platforms in 11 localities

2. Data Collection on Youth Platform Activities

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes. Some baselines have been defined. However, other baselines need to be collected. To ascertain if any prior work on outcome indicators existed, UNFPA conducted a thorough literature review and consulted with the youth network. The investigation revealed no existing data. Consequently, baseline indicators will be gathered through studies carried out as part of the project's activities. For indicators related to outcome 2 and 3, Interpeace and Voz di Paz developed a questionnaire and collected data to compile a baseline capturing the perception of youth before the project implementation. This questionnaire was administered to 240 young people, including 122 women and 118 men, 8% of whom had disabilities. The respondents represent all the people who will be involved in the activities referred to outcome 3 of the project and a part of those who will participate in the activities referred to outcome 2. Firstly, respondents were asked about their perceived ability to influence their decisions. A little more than half of them feel very or quite confident in their ability and this confidence is more marked in decision-making at the community level than at the institutional level. Despite the confidence shown, their effective participation is limited. The majority of respondents think that young people in general are never called to participate. This was followed by questions on the level of collaboration between youth organizations and their opinion of the relevance of youth policies. The knowledge of the NYP by the youth itself seems quite limited, but young people are overall very confident in their ability to monitor and advocate for public policy but that they are rarely taken into account by state institutions. They also rather often organise advocacy activities, which demonstrates a strong sense of engagement and the possibility to have those moments, but with little to no results in terms of actual changes in the policies. The report will be shared with PBF shortly.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

To monitor progress towards output, the main sources of evidence used are activity reports and attendance lists. A series of monitoring visits have also been organised to the youth platforms to monitor and accompany the implementation of their activities at the local level.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

*

To monitor activities implemented by the youth platforms (A3.2.2) Interpeace and Voz di Paz developed an activity report model which has the double function to collect data on the activities including data on the quality of participation and the level of achievement and, allow the youth platforms to reflect on the activities they carried out and on their own results.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

*

☐ Yes

☒ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*

Response required

90000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				
---	--	--	--	--

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? * <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *
Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? * <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
If yes, please select the relevant option below: * <input type="radio"/> Some catalytic effect <input type="radio"/> Significant catalytic effect
If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i>

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project sustainability is organised across three main objectives: 1) contribute to the institutionalisation of processes and practices to increase inclusion and representativeness of youth organisations through the capacity building work foreseen under outcome 1, 2) provide solid and substantial policy tools under outcome 2 and 3) to catalyse the capacities and create the conditions for youth playing an active role at the local level. In this framework the project aims to work on reinforcing existing institutions, organisations, dynamics and tools. The project established its institutional relationship with the Youth Institute that participated to the project development and is the main beneficiary of the work included under outcome 1 and a key stakeholder to ensure the ownership and legitimacy of the products under outcome 2, and with the Ministry of Youth, Culture and Sports where the Youth Institute is headquartered. 3 meetings were organised with the Youth Institute and 1 with the Ministry of Youth, Culture and Sport between January and June 2024 to discuss at different levels, the implementation of the project and its implications. An MoU is now under development between Interpeace, Voz di Paz and the Ministry. The MoU will allow the smoother implementation of the activities included under outcome 2 and will ensure that the main achievement from the process of evaluation of the NYP will be embedded in the revision of the policy which the Ministry and the Youth Institute are planning for 2025.

To ensure the ownership and legitimacy of the project' products, all main youth organisations and networks as the National Council of Youth (CJNJ), the National Network of Youth Association (RENA J), the National Network of Association of Young Women and the National Forum for Youth and Population (FNJP) were involved in all the activities carried out related to outcome 2. Based on the first achievement of the project an internal reflection will be developed to assess if and how the project, especially in its component of capacity building and engagement of CSOs at the local level) needs to be adapted to ensure greater sustainability of its results.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Field visit to the Youth Platforms in 11 localities	Between September 2023 and February 2024, Voz di Paz staff organised dedicated field visits to the Youth Platforms to support their implementation, evaluate together with them the results achieved and accompany them in the financial justification of their activities.	In general, the project team noticed a certain level of difficulties for platforms to engage with their local authorities. This has been particularly evident for those activities organised between December and January which were affected by the changes occurring nationwide at the level of the local government. Challenges have also been identified in providing financial supporting documents as agreed within the contract.
Event 2	Data Collection on Youth Platform Activities (A small questionnaire was developed by Interpeace and Voz di Paz to collect information on the activities organised by the Youth Platform. Youth Platform were filling the questionnaire after each activities and data were communicated to Voz di Paz for consolidation.	The questionnaire captures the overall impact of these activities and shed light on the perception youth have of how these activities contributed to the achievement of their regional priorities. Based on the result of this monitoring an evaluation moment with the youth platform will be carried out in June 2024.
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			

Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.