

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report

☒ Semi-annual

☐ Annual

☐ Final

☐ Other

Date of submission of report

2024-06-17

2024-06-17

Name and Title of Person submitting the report

Ana Gabriela Texeira - Spatial Planning Specialist - Consultant

Name and Title of Person who approved the report

Edinilson Augusto da Silva - UN-Habitat Programme Management Officer Guinea Bissau

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Bénin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambie | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinée |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Guinée-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritanie | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Sénégal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Autre, précisez | | |

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ☐ 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions
- ☐ 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue
- ☐ 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ 00134097: Prevention of Natural Resources Conflicts related to Pastoralism and Transhumance in Bafata and Gabu Regions
- ☐ 00140008: Strengthening social cohesion through promoting inclusive and effective public health sector governance, management, and administration
- ☐ 00140108: Inclusive policies and institutions for a peaceful society: strengthening the social fabric and fostering youth meaningful participation in decision-making in Guinea Bissau
- ☒ 00140581: Peaceful Natural Resources Management in the Koliba-Corubal Basin
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

18/06/24, 09:50

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific

☐ Central & Southern Africa

☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia

☐ Global

☐ Latin America and the Caribbean

☐ Middle East and North Africa

☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented

Other, Please specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

2024-01-29

2024-01-29

Project End Date

2026-01-25

2026-01-25

Has this project received an extension?

☐ YES, Cost Extension

☐ YES, No Cost Extension

☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

☐ YES, Cost Extension

☐ YES, No Cost Extension

☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

☒ NO, No Extensions

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/OThU55gZ

4/53

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which *

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☐ PNUD : Programme des Nations unies pour le développement
- ☐ OIM : Organisation internationale pour les migrations ☐ UNICEF : Fonds des Nations Unies pour l'enfance
- ☐ BHCDH : Haut Commissariat des Nations Unies aux droits de l'homme
- ☐ UNWOMEN : Entité des Nations Unies pour l'égalité des sexes et l'autonomisation des femmes
- ☐ UNHCR : Haut Commissariat des Nations Unies pour les réfugiés
- ☐ UNFPA : Fonds des Nations unies pour la population ☐ FAO : Organisation pour l'alimentation et l'agriculture
- ☐ PAM : Programme alimentaire mondial
- ☒ UNHABITAT : Programme des Nations Unies pour les établissements humains
- ☐ UNESCO : Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'éducation, la science et la culture
- ☐ PNUE : Programme des Nations Unies pour l'environnement ☐ OIT : Organisation internationale du travail
- ☐ OMS : Organisation mondiale de la santé ☐ OPS/OMS
- ☐ FENU : Fonds d'équipement des Nations Unies
- ☐ UNODC : Office des Nations Unies contre la drogue et le crime
- ☐ UNOPS : Bureau des Nations Unies pour les services d'appui aux projets
- ☐ ONUDI : Organisation des Nations Unies pour le développement industriel
- ☐ ITC : Centre du commerce international ☐ Département des opérations de paix de l'ONU
- ☐ Autre, Précisez

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

☐

No other recipients

☒

Yes, other UN recipients only

☐

Yes, other non-UN recipients only

☐

Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

☐

PNUD : Programme des Nations unies pour le développement

☐

OIM : Organisation internationale pour les migrations

☐

UNICEF : Fonds des Nations Unies pour l'enfance

☐

BHCDH : Haut Commissariat des Nations Unies aux droits de l'homme

☐

UNWOMEN : Entité des Nations Unies pour l'égalité des sexes et l'autonomisation des femmes

☐

UNHCR : Haut Commissariat des Nations Unies pour les réfugiés

☐

UNFPA : Fonds des Nations unies pour la population

☒

FAO : Organisation pour l'alimentation et l'agriculture

☐

PAM : Programme alimentaire mondial

☐

UNHABITAT : Programme des Nations Unies pour les établissements humains

☐

UNESCO : Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'éducation, la science et la culture

☐

PNUE : Programme des Nations Unies pour l'environnement

☐

OIT : Organisation internationale du travail

☐

OMS : Organisation mondiale de la santé

☐

OPS/OMS

☒

FENU : Fonds d'équipement des Nations Unies

☐

UNODC : Office des Nations Unies contre la drogue et le crime

☐

UNOPS : Bureau des Nations Unies pour les services d'appui aux projets

☐

ONUDI : Organisation des Nations Unies pour le développement industriel

☐

ITC : Centre du commerce international

☐

Département des opérations de paix de l'ONU

☐

Autre, Précisez

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ☐ ACTED
- ☐ Action Aid
- ☐ AEDE
- ☐ African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- ☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- ☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada
- ☐ CARE International UK
- ☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- ☐ Christian Aid Ireland
- ☐ COIPRODEN
- ☐ Concern Worldwide
- ☐ Conexion Guatemala
- ☐ COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- ☐ CORD Burundi
- ☐ CORDAID
- ☐ Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- ☐ CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- ☐ DanChurchAid
- ☐ Fund for Congolese Women
- ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- ☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- ☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- ☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ☐ ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- ☐ Integrity Watch
- ☐ International Alert
- ☐ International Rescue Committee
- ☐ Interpeace
- ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- ☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- ☐ MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- ☐ Mercy Corps
- ☐ MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- ☐ MSIS-TATAO
- ☐ NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ☐ ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- ☐ ONG AZHAR
- ☐ OXFAM
- ☐ Peace Direct
- ☐ Plan International
- ☐ PNG UN Country Fund
- ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ☐ ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- ☐ Saferworld
- ☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- ☐ Save the Children
- ☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- ☐ SismaMujer
- ☐ SOS Sahel Sudan
- ☐ Stichting Impunity Watch
- ☐ Tearfund
- ☐ The Carter Center, Inc.
- ☐ Trocaire
- ☐ War Child
- ☐ World Vision International
- ☐ World Vision Myanmar
- ☐ ZOA
- ☐ blank_placeholder
- ☒ Autre, Précisez

Other, Please specify

UNCDF and FAO

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify
National NGO

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *
ECAS-D

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *
48,864

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *
Please limit your response to 1500 characters
The partner's main activities involve identifying 20 target communities in the Gabu region, specifically within the Pitche and Madina de Boé sectors. This process is guided by criteria communicated by according to criteria communicated by FAO, ensuring the selection is based on objective quantitative and qualitative needs of the population.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

National NGO

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ANCOPF

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

49,742

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The partner's main activities involve identifying 20 target communities in the Gabu region, specifically within the Pitche and Madina de Boé sectors. This process is guided by criteria communicated by according to criteria communicated by FAO, ensuring the selection is based on objective quantitative and qualitative needs of the population.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNHABITAT : Programme des Nations Unies pour les établissements humains	787,729	551,411	74,88	9.51%
				%
FAO : Organisatio n pour l'alimentati on et l'agriculture	522,021	365,414	101,498	19.44%

FENU : Fonds d'équipeme nt des Nations Unies	590,25	413,175	14,167	2.4%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
Autre, Precisez				NaN%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%

UNCDF and FAO	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	1900	1330	190.55	10.03%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **10.03%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?

7,5315

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 143.1**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 14.35**. Is this correct?

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

143,1

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

3_pbf_project_document_template_2020_annex_d_project_budget_english-15_38_21.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☐ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☒ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Over the past six months, the Project Steering Committee (PSC) has met once. Its establishment and first meeting took place during the project's launch event at the Karsa Hotel in Gabú, Gabú Region, on February 27, 2024 - the location was chosen exactly to allow the participation of more local actors.

The agenda for this inaugural meeting included defining PSC members and discussing the project's terms of reference, marking a crucial milestone in the project's governance structure and operational framework. It should be noted that the PSC was set up with the aim of being a political strategic oversight body for sharing experiences and strengthening coordination with other structures with regard to the activities and actions resulting from the implementation of the project.

The PSC will gather every 6 months for comprehensive reviews of project progress, challenges, and achievements. Additionally, a new meeting is planned to take place between the end of August and the beginning of September.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.
Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Over the past six months, the project has actively collaborated with governmental authorities across various administrative levels.

At the national level, significant involvement was evident during the project's launch event and the subsequent establishment of the PSC. Notable attendees included an inspector from the Ministry representing the Minister of Water Resources, as well as the President of the National Land Commission and IBAP (National Institute for Biodiversity and Protected Areas). These engagements at the national level underscore the project's alignment with overarching governmental strategies and its integration into broader initiatives for sustainable resource management.

Additionally, at the government level, there is a strong desire to work directly with the Water Resources General Direction. To this end, the possibility of UNCDF entering into a partnership agreement with a strong technical component with this Directorate is being evaluated. The objective of this agreement would be not only to directly contribute to the project but also to strengthen ties with the government. This possibility is being discussed directly with the PBF Secretariat. Furthermore, technicians from this Directorate are directly supporting UN-Habitat in the inventory of water points in the 30 selected communities.

Moreover, the project maintained consistent engagement at the regional level, with participation of governors of the targeted Regions in the PSC, through dialogues during project missions, ensuring alignment with regional priorities and strategies under the leadership of the regional governor. These interactions highlight the project's commitment to harmonising efforts within the regional development framework and leveraging regional resources and expertise.

Futhermore, the project emphasised the importance of local involvement by ongoing presence of sector administrators in project activities.

In summary, the project's comprehensive engagement with government entities at the national, regional, and local levels underscores its holistic approach to governance and partnership-building. Such collaboration is indispensable for the effective implementation and long-term success of initiatives aimed at promoting peaceful management of natural resources, ensuring that interventions are tailored to address both community needs and broader governance structures.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ☐ Ne pas commencé
 ☐ Commencé
 ☒ Partiellement commencé
 ☐ Complété
 ☐ Pas Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Ne pas commencé
 ☐ Commencé
 ☒ Partiellement commencé
 ☐ Complété
 ☐ Pas Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☐ Ne pas commencé
 ☐ Commencé
 ☒ Partiellement commencé
 ☐ Complété
 ☐ Pas Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ☐ Ne pas commencé
 ☐ Commencé
 ☐ Partiellement commencé
 ☒ Complété
 ☐ Pas Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

After the project launch and the first meeting of the PSC in February, the partner agencies convened and jointly defined the selection criteria for the 30 beneficiary communities, along with technical analyses to determine the project's target area. Focusing on the Coliba-Korubal river basin and the regions of Gabú and Tombali, they identified three sectors impacted by the basin: Pitche, Boé, and Quebo.

In between April and May, the agencies consulted the communities and conducted field missions to validate the selected tabancas, as well as to initiate the installation of listening clubs. Additionally, participatory workshops were held in collaboration with technicians from the Water Resources General Direction and local representatives, such as regional sector delegates and regional governors, as well as local NGOs involved with gender issues, to adapt the framework methodology to the local context. Local focal points were trained to carry out data collection.

In the meantime, UN-Habitat is in the process of contracting the NGO REMPESECAO to coordinate gender-sensitive actions on the ground, while UNCDF evaluating the possibility of partnership with the Water Resources General Direction. It is also planned that FAO will hire IBAP in the future to assist with field activities. The agencies are set to start data collection for the spatial development framework and the inventory on water wells in the selected communities. The application of questionnaires is expected to take place in June, followed by data analysis and the prioritization of interventions for contracting technical service providers. Thus, the project is progressing according to the previously established schedule, considering that the preliminary activities are nearly completed and implementation activities are being conducted simultaneously to maintain the timeline.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Output 1.1: Women and youth at community level are empowered through constitution of listening clubs for increase role in natural resource management and water infrastructure management

The partner agencies convened and collectively determined the criteria for pre-selecting communities. Existing data on water points and communities in the MWaterPortal region, along with the 2009 Census data (INE, 2009) and hydrographic basin data, were mapped and compiled into a technical report shared with the Water Resources Directorate technicians. Based on this data, pre-selection criteria for communities were established, considering the highest population concentration in Gabú and sectors simultaneously affected by the Coliba-Korubal river basin. The 30 communities were divided among the Boé, Pitche, and Quebo sectors, with 10 communities allocated to each sector.

The proposal was endorsed by local government representatives who provided a list of communities eligible for the project. UN-Habitat then mapped these communities and identified some that did not meet the established criteria. Subsequently, UN-Habitat conducted a field mission with the pre-selected list and defined the villages in the three sectors that could be included in the project.

Simultaneously, FAO contracted Local NGO ECAS and ANCOPF and trained their staff in the listening club methodology. With these NGOs, FAO and the pre-selected communities FAO conducted 3 field missions in the targeted sectors to confirm the identification of 30 communities responding to selection criteria (10 in each sector). These field missions were realised with ECAS for Gabu region and ANCOPF for Tombali region. Then ECAS and ANCOPF were confirmed to work with the communities for the formation of the listening clubs according to the methodology provided by FAO.

Output 1.4 Reviewed and updated water point inventory in downstream Koliba-Corubal Basin focused on gender-based conflict prevention.

UN-Habitat proposed the adaptation of the Spatial Development Framework (SDF) methodology which aids in prioritizing interventions through qualitative and quantitative data. This approach is more fitting for the foundational spatial structure of the 30 communities, rather than an entire region, as would be the case with the function matrix, previously used in other PBF projects. Consequently, the construction of a questionnaire for community diagnosis commenced. This methodology and diagnosis are undergoing participatory refinement with local representatives, the Water Resources Direction, and the NGO REMPSECAO, which is under procurement process and shall assist in gender-related and data collection activities.

A mission was conducted in the first week of June in the Gabú region, with another scheduled for the Tombali region in the second week. Additionally, UN-Habitat trained REMPSECAO focal points responsible for collecting data on spatial development framework diagnostic surveys. Surveys will be conducted in the 30 communities, using the listening clubs established by FAO, starting from the third week of June.

Simultaneously, UN-Habitat is collaborating with Water Resources General Direction appointed technicians to develop surveys and the agenda for water point inventories, which will also commence in June. Following data collection, the UN-Habitat team will analyze the information and propose priority interventions, which will be validated with listening clubs in the communities. Furthermore, the process of contracting technicians and companies for physical interventions will start after the data analysis and intervention prioritisation.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Building on previous interventions, the project employs a differential approach to cater to the specific conditions of young and older women. This method acknowledges the unique challenges and needs of different age groups among women, thereby promoting inclusivity and preventing the perpetuation of exclusionary practices. By fostering cooperation between women of various generations, the project aims to enhance solidarity and mutual support, crucial for sustainable empowerment. This transgenerational mechanism is designed to endure over time, ensuring ongoing benefits and continuity.

The project focuses on creating specific entry points for women to access decision-making processes, especially in resource management and conflict resolution. This includes:

- **Listening Clubs (Output 1.1):** These clubs facilitate dialogue and interaction among women, allowing them to voice their concerns and contribute to community decisions.
- **Community-Based Organizations (Output 1.3):** Women's participation in these organisations ensures they have a platform to influence community decisions.
- **Water Resource Management (Output 2.3):** Involving women in the management of water resources not only empowers them but also integrates their perspectives in crucial resource management tasks.

To date, the activities have ensured a 45% female presence, aligning well with the project proposal's target of 30-50% women, thus promoting fair media representation and active participation.

Regarding age distribution:

- **Youth (15 to 24 years):** 11%, with 71% being female
- **Adults (25 to 59 years):** 77%, with 42% being female
- **Elders (60 to 100 years):** 12%, with 8% being female

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☐ Yes

☒ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Communities in the Koliba-Corubal Basin, in particular women, hold context-specific and accessible strategic and institutional instruments to plan and use water resources in an inclusive and sustainable manner, avoiding the degradation of local ecosystems and affecting the self-sufficiency of families.

Outcome 2:

Resources are mobilized and applied to strengthen women's socio-economic activities and improve sustainable management of local water resources

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

18/06/24, 09:50

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report

Outcome 8:

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Communities in the Koliba-Corubal Basin, in particular women, hold context-specific and accessible strategic and institutional instruments to plan and use water resources in an inclusive and sustainable manner, avoiding the degradation of local ecosystems and affecting the self-sufficiency of families.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	% of institutional representatives at the national, regional and local level who feel more conscious of conflict dynamics related to water resources and gender-responsive water management.	TBD	at least 80% of the total number, disaggregated by gender and age	0	Data collection in progress
1.2	Number of women in target communities with a leadership role in the planning and management of water resources in an inclusive and sustainable manner.	TBD	60 (at least two per community)	0	Data collection in progress
1.3	Number of gender-responsive community instruments and sustainable plans for water use avoiding ecosystem and livelihood degradation documented.	TBD	30	0	Data collection in progress

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Women at community level are empowered through the constitution of listening clubs for increased role in water resources management

Output 1.2

Enhanced women capacities to develop sustainable participation in decision-making processes around natural resources and water management.

Output 1.3

Underrepresented women-led community-based organizations play an increased active role to represent their communities in water resource management at the regional level in the Koliba-Corubal Basin regions

Output 1.4

Reviewed and updated water point inventory in downstream Koliba-Corubal Basin focused on gender-based conflict prevention.

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Women at community level are empowered through the constitution of listening clubs for increased role in water resources management	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	Number of communities with created listening clubs for women and girls that are recognised as forums for women's engagement in water management by their respective communities leaders.	TBD	30		0	Activities in progress after communities confirmation

1.1.2	Number of young women organised and registered in listening groups.	TBD	600	0	0	
1.1.3	Number of listening clubs for women and girls with self-defined rules, objectives and water sustainable action plans, adopted by their communities.	TBD	55	0	0	

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Enhanced women capacities to develop sustainable participation in decision-making processes around natural resources and water management.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Number of women actively participation to debate.	TBD	100	0	0	
1.2.2	Number of women with increased capacities in management of communities' natural resources and water	TBD	100			Activities in progress after communities confirmation
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Underrepresented women-led community-based organizations play an increased active role to represent their communities in water resource management at the regional level in the Koliba-Corubal Basin regions	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	Number of female representatives of women listening clubs represented and actively participating in regional fora related to environment and water management.	TBD	55	0	0	

1.3.2	Number of regional fora related to environment and water management with representation of women leaders from women listening clubs.	TBD	6	0	0	
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4: Reviewed and updated water point inventory in downstream Koliba-Corubal Basin focused on gender-based conflict prevention.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1	Water point inventory updated in a gender responsive way in downstream Koliba-Corubal Basin.	1 (outdated)	1 (reviewed)	0	0	Activities in progress
1.4.2	Number of women in the target communities who participated in analysis and planning process.	0	50, at least 50% women and youth	0	0	Activities in progress
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Resources are mobilized and applied to strengthen women's socio-economic activities and improve sustainable management of local water resources

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of women members of target communities who think the management of water resources is more inclusive and reduces potential for conflict.	TBD	at least 80%, disaggregated by gender and age		
2.2	% of women beneficiaries reporting objectively water resource management in the basin improved	TBD	at least 80%, disaggregated by gender and age		
2.3					
How many outputs does outcome 2 have?					
12345more than 5.					
Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2					
Output 2.1 Feasibility studies on gender-responsive interventions for improved water resources management at the local level					
Output 2.2 Financing mechanisms to sustain water resources management led by women including critical gender-inclusive and sensitive water facilities.					

Output 2.3

Physical interventions implemented and managed with a focus on economic activities developed by women.

.....

Output 2.4

.....

Output 2.5

.....

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

.....

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Feasibility studies on gender-responsive interventions for improved water resources management at the local level	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Number of feasibility studies developed in collaboration with and approved by women in the target communities through the listening clubs.	TBD	6			
2.1.2	Number of women local communities consulted in feasibility studies.	TBD	6, with at least 50% of women and youth.			
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Financing mechanisms to sustain water resources management led by women including critical gender-inclusive and sensitive water facilities.	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Number of women project leader/owner sourced within the stream of projects.	TBD	6			
2.2.2	Number of pilot projects selected for financing mechanism structuration. Number of criteria defined for projects' prioritization.	TBD	3			

2.2.3	Number of projects for which financing mechanism is set out of the pilot ones. Internal Rate Return of the selected projects.	TBD	3			
-------	---	-----	---	--	--	--

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Physical interventions implemented and managed with a focus on economic activities developed by women.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1	Number of women that actively and meaningfully participate in the management of the implemented interventions.	TBD	60 (at least two per community)			
2.3.2	Number of women who will directly benefit from the selected projects.	TBD	90 (at least three from each community)			
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	National Steering Committee Meeting	August/September	Gabú	PSC members	Update and review of project progress. Validate upcoming activities.
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

Please select up to 3.

☐ Enhanced digitization

☐ Innovative ways of working

☐ Mobilized additional resources

☒ Improved or initiated policy frameworks

☒ Strengthened capacities

☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations

☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will

☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs

☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

*

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Improved or initiated policy frameworks

The implementation of the Spatial Development Framework (SDF), albeit not a policy per se, provided the opportunity for the development of a political decision-making instrument to support interventions concerning natural resources in the regions.

Furthermore, the SDF can serve as a platform for stakeholder engagement, women primordially, and consensus-building, facilitating dialogue among government agencies, local communities, civil society organizations, and other relevant stakeholders. Through this collaborative process, policymakers can develop more inclusive and context-specific policy frameworks that take into account the diverse needs and perspectives of all stakeholders involved. Overall, the implementation of the SDF not only contributes to spatial planning efforts but also provides a valuable foundation for the development of policy frameworks that support sustainable interventions concerning transhumance in the regions.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened capacities

The activities implemented by FAO and UN-Habitat through the local NGOs such as ECAS-D, ANCOPF and REMPSECAO consist in constructing gender sensitive and empowering actions within the communities and even in the NGOs itself.

The training session in the Land Law, in the natural resources management and even in financial e feasibility studies and mechanism are scheduled to start in the second semester.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies:

Throughout the project implementation process, UN-Habitat has fostered enhanced collaboration and coordination with the UN agencies implementing partners. Monthly meetings are being held with the partners and all the decisions, documentation and process are being developed through a participatory approach. The same is valid for the activities, for instance, the definition of the communities and the criteria for selection was constructed in a collaborative way along with UNCDF and FAO. This strengthened partnership allows for a more comprehensive approach to addressing water resource management issues in the region.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)

*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☒ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Partnered with sub-national entities:

UN-Habitat, UNCDF and FAO has forged partnerships with sub-national entities, such as local government bodies or regional authorities, to ensure that project activities are aligned with regional development priorities and strategies. These partnerships enable the project to tap into local knowledge and resources, facilitating smoother project implementation and enhancing the sustainability of interventions. For example, the validation of proposed communities and the coordination of field missions involved close collaboration with sub-national entities to ensure local buy-in and support.

Partnered with national entities:

Nationally, the project is parenting with the Water Resources General Direction and its technicians to support the water points inventory. Furthermore, the Natural Resources Ministry representatives are part of the PCSs.

Partnered with local volunteers:

Engaging local volunteers is integral to ensuring community ownership and sustainability of project interventions. For instance, the establishment of listening clubs by FAO, with the assistance of local volunteers, demonstrates a collaborative approach to community engagement, where local volunteers play a key role in facilitating dialogue and information sharing within communities. The same happens in the data collection to the SDF methodology.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative

*

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☒ People living in and around border areas
- ☒ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The monitoring plan is under development.

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

*

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Not applicable.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Not applicable.

18/06/24, 09:50

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required

0

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

18/06/24, 09:50

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				
---	--	--	--	--

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date?

☒ Yes

☐ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from?

0

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below:

☐ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project is still in its implementation phase. However, sustainability will be ensured through the participatory development of the project, involving 30 communities from data collection to identifying the primary actions to be taken. Additionally, the project includes training listening clubs and developing leaders, especially women, to participate in the development of feasibility studies on gender-responsive interventions for improved water resources management at the local level.

Furthermore, financing mechanisms will be established to sustain water resources management led by women, including critical gender-inclusive and sensitive water facilities and physical interventions. These will be implemented and managed with a focus on economic activities developed by women.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			

Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.