

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report	*
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Semi-annual	
<input type="radio"/> Annual	
<input type="radio"/> Final	
<input type="radio"/> Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2024-06-25	
2024-06-25	
Name and Title of Person submitting the report	*
Yiyoung Kim	
Name and Title of Person who approved the report	*
Rustam Pulatov	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Fiji | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Myanmar | <input type="radio"/> Papua New Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Philippines |
| <input type="radio"/> Solomon Islands | <input type="radio"/> Sri Lanka | <input type="radio"/> Tuvalu |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ☒ 00140374: Strengthening Social Cohesion Pathways Human Rights and Women's Civic Participation
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-12-18

2023-12-18

Project End Date *

2025-12-18

2025-12-18

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which

*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?

*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient

*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☒ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☒ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid | <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide | <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services | <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | |

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development t Programme	1240300	744180	112172.63	9.04%
				%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2000000	1200000	183052.96	9.15 %

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **9.15%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

40.55

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 811000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

810967.5

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 74227.98**. Is this correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

0

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Fiji_PBF_Budget_Expenditure_Compiled-21_52_33.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☒ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

An inaugural Project Advisory Board (Steering Committee) meeting was held on the 15th of March 2024 to initiate and provide oversight on the implementation of project activities. The board was co-chaired by the Assistant Minister of Prime Minister Office and the UN Resident Coordinator. The board members also included representatives from each implementing UN agency (OHCHR, UN Women, UNDP) as well as each representative from the Fiji Police Force, the Fiji Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Commission (FHRADC), the Fiji Council of Social Services (FCOSS) and the Fiji Women Rights Movement.

During the meeting, a comprehensive overview of the project was shared with the board members including the project goals, strategies, methodologies, resource mobilization, project result framework, gender considerations, communication plans, project board key deliverables and recommendation from the inception workshop. The board members unanimously endorsed the outlined elements, affirming their alignment with the project's objectives and methodologies.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project engaged with the highest level of government with the Assistant Minister of the Office of the Prime Minister signing the agreement to launch the project on the 18th of October 2023 and the Prime Minister endorsing the project during the official launch on the 26th of April 2024.

As the first step of the project implementation, the inception workshop was held on the 12th of March 2024 with a total of 36 participants including implementing UN agencies, government officials, civil society organizations and university representatives. The objective of the workshop was to bring together key stakeholders to establish a common understanding of strategic rationale, objectives, and an approach for implementation as well as to validate the 2024 Annual Work Plan for submission to the Advisory Board for approval. Some of the recommendations were: to take a consultative approach and to provide more awareness on the project so that stakeholders are aware; to put emphasis in raising awareness and advocating for human rights among citizens, justice institutions, service providers and government departments; to collect and utilize adequate and inclusive data during planning and decision-making process; to take more integrated approach by recognizing that the project should be led by the nation as a whole, engaging all sectors of society.

A planning meeting with the Fiji Police Force brought together all relevant Directors within the Fiji Police Force, including the Police Mobile Unit and the CID as well as the Fiji Police Academy together in one room with implementation partners from the UN to discuss the content, strategies, methodologies as well as the logistics for the police capacity building trainings that will be spanned over the next few months. The Deputy Police Commissioner co-chaired the meeting with OHCHR representative leading the training activities. The training schedule was formalized with the Fiji Police Force, and it was agreed that all trainings will be officiated by the Commissioner of the Fiji Police Force and closing done by the Deputy Police Commissioner. Training dates and duration, partners and other logistics were also confirmed.

A similar planning meeting was held with Fiji Human Rights Anti-Discrimination Commission (FHRADC) on the 11th of April 2024 and with Online Safety Commission on 22 April 2024. The Capacity Assessment Review (CAR) of the FHRADC was held from the 17th to 21st of June 2024, which will be further explained in the project progress summary.

Media coverage:

<https://www.fbcnews.com.fj/news/peace-building-project-aims-for-national-cohesion/>

<https://fijilive.com/un-project-to-foster-peace-and-inclusivity/>

<https://www.undp.org/pacific/press-releases/prime-minister-fiji-launches-un-social-cohesion-programme-peace-and-unity>

<https://www.fbcnews.com.fj/news/pm-champions-peace-building/>

<https://fijilive.com/peace-building-is-a-key-priority-says-rabuka/>

<https://fijionenews.com.fj/fiji-police-undergoes-human-rights-training-under-the-new-fiji-social-cohesion-un-joint-programme/>

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☒ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☒ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☒ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☒ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has made notable progress in overall preliminary activities including the recruitment of the project manager and the contracting of partners for the social cohesion baseline assessment. The project manager is anticipated to be fully on board by early August of 2024 which will further accelerate the project implementation to be fully aligned with the project plan. Preparations for the social cohesion baseline assessment are in progress with the team currently in the process of recruiting international research company to take the lead and the local polling company to support the data collection. Although the team anticipates collaborating with the Centre for Sustainable Peace and Democratic Development (SeeD) using the Social Cohesion and Reconciliation (SCORE) Index, it is to be confirmed upon completion of the contracting process. Once the contract is finalized, initial research activities are expected to commence in July.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Throughout the reporting period, significant progress has been made according to the project work plan, leading to notable advancement at the output level. However, there have not yet been tangible results at the outcome level, as the project is still in its early phase. Achieving the targets for the outcome level indicators requires a long-term approach such as policy and legislative initiatives, change of perception and commitments by various stakeholders. The current activities will serve as a cornerstone to achieve the expected outcomes in the latter phase of the project.

• Social Cohesion Baseline Assessment

Preparations for the social cohesion baseline assessment are in progress with the team currently in the process of recruiting international research company to take the lead and the local polling company to support the data collection. Although the team anticipates collaborating with the Centre for Sustainable Peace and Democratic Development (SeeD) using the Social Cohesion and Reconciliation (SCORE) Index, it is to be confirmed upon completion of the contracting process. Once the contract is finalized, initial research activities are expected to commence in July.

• FHRADC's Capacity Assessment Review (CAR)

The Capacity Assessment Review (CAR) of the Fiji Human Rights Anti-Discrimination Commission (FHRADC) was held from 17th to 21st June. This was facilitated by the CAR team, composed of two representatives from Asia Pacific Forum (APF), one each from OHCHR and UNDP. A total of 12 staff from FHRADC participated in the review, including the Chairperson, Commissioner, and the Director. Other Government officials, including the Attorney General, Minister of Finance and Acting Police Commissioner, NGO/CSO representatives, media, Parliamentarians, and development partners were invited to join. The main objective of the CAR was to review the implementation status of the previous recommendations made in the Capacity Assessment (CA) in 2020 and analyze how far the Commission has come after more than 4 years and to develop strategies to address outstanding gaps and remaining challenges.

Some of the key recommendations were to enhance engagement with CSOs; to update key internal policies and procedures including inclusive policy; to provide capacity building for staff including on emerging issues in relation to migrant workers, human trafficking, refugees and asylum seekers; to conduct nationwide baseline study on human rights; to advocate for increased budget and other funding to improve staffing numbers including the establishment of legal division; and to advocate for updating legislation to be fully compliant to the UN Paris Principles taking into account the Global Alliance of National Human Rights Institutions (GANHRI) recommendations. Based on these findings, the project team will hold a round table meeting with FHRADC to discuss the priorities of the Commission and seek potential area of collaboration under the PBF project.

• Fiji Police training

The first of the human rights training with the Crime and Investigations Department (CID) Section of the Fiji Police Force took place from the 11th to 13th of June 2024 at Nakasi, Fiji with a total of 35 police officers. The training was led by OHCHR and other implementing partners that included UNDP, UN Women, Fiji's Online Safety Commission, Pacific Sexual and Gender Diversity Network and the Fiji Human Rights Anti-Discrimination Commission. Officers were provided with conflict resolution training, including skills to identify hate speech and harmful racist rhetorics and improving communication of police officers to initiate de-escalation of conflict and for enhanced public perception and building trust in the police force. The police officers were also provided training on human rights standards of non-discrimination in enforcement and to sensitize police to the importance of good ethnic/ race relations and dealing with complaints in a fair, non-discriminatory manner that is respectful to the diversity in Fiji's community and a refresher training on the Use of Force and related accountability under the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment and other human rights treaties relevant to law enforcement officials to enhance procedural justice and accountability for crimes committed during conflicts, including sexual violence; to reduce implicit bias during arrests and detention; to heighten transparency and

accountability.

• Inaugural meeting with Fiji Women's Forum

As a preliminary step to designing the community dialogue under output 1.3, the team held an inaugural meeting with the Fiji Women's Forum on the 9th of May 2024. The Fiji Women's Forum coordinated and invited various indigenous women including the Soqosoqo Vakamarama i Taukei, the Fiji Women's Rights Movement, femLINKpacific, and the Fiji Fund for Women. While the main objective of this meeting was to foster partnerships and enhance collaboration under the PBF project, it served as an opportunity for the project team to hear from the grassroots level, their needs and expectations from UN agencies to serve as partners and support bridging gaps between women at all levels.

• Preparatory dialogue with Fiji's indigenous women groups

To design the community dialogue, the team will be holding series of preparatory dialogues with various groups including the indigenous women groups, Indo Fijian community, youth, CSOs and the police. As the first step, the team will be hosting a preparatory dialogue session from the 26th to 27th of June 2024 with around 40 women participants from various regions covering all 14 provinces. The main objective of this preparatory dialogue is to develop the methodology for the main dialogues, ensuring their cultural relevance and sensitivity to Fijian context and fostering ownership among local stakeholders. Furthermore, this session will contribute to building trust and understanding between different community members and facilitators as well as identifying and preparing potential collaborators and participants who can contribute effectively to the success of main dialogues.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

To ensure Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment within the project, the team has placed a strong emphasis on women's involvement across all stages of the implementation plan. During the reporting period, the project team facilitated an inaugural pre-dialogue session with the Fiji Women's Forum, exploring potential collaboration opportunities for planning and facilitating community dialogues in the future.

Building on this initiative, further progress has been made, with plans underway for another preparatory dialogue scheduled from the 26th to 27th of June 2024. This continued engagement underscores the commitment to amplifying women's voices and perspectives within the project framework.

Concurrently, the team is in the process of engaging with the Indo-Fijian women's group nationwide to foster inclusivity within the dialogue process and provide a platform where all women can contribute their perspectives, thus playing a crucial role in advancing peace and reconciliation within the community.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☐ Yes

☒ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Government, CSOs and communities have an understanding of and commitment to religious and communal tolerance, human-rights and gender equality.

Outcome 2: *

Outcome 3: *

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Government, CSOs and communities have an understanding of and commitment to religious and communal tolerance, human-rights and gender equality.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	The extent to which the Fiji Government develops a pathway/ starts to adopt concrete policy and legislative initiatives to address tensions and differences peacefully	The Government has taken several ad hoc actions to promote social cohesion and national reconciliation	Range of policy initiatives and reforms addressing social cohesion and reconciliation priorities and linked to the concrete recommendations from the project supported dialogues with policy makers, communities and among different communities instituted	To be achieved	Social Cohesion baseline survey not conducted to date
1.2	The percentage of people (m/f) who feel positive with Government's initiatives and engagements in social cohesions pathway	To be identified after the baseline survey. As initial adhoc actions have just been taken by the Government, people yet to be surveyed on how they have viewed the Government's effort	To be defined after the baseline survey	To be achieved	Social Cohesion base line survey not conducted to date

1.3	At least 3 measures by the Government, CSOs and/or communities to commit to religious and communal tolerance, human rights and gender equality	No previously existing commitment to religious and communal tolerance, human rights and gender equality taken by Government, CSOs and communities	Government, CSOs and communities have increased their commitment to religious and communal tolerance, human-rights and gender equality	To be achieved	Social Cohesion base line survey not conducted to date and the community dialogue is still in its preparatory stage.
-----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Government and civil society supported to design and implement national reconciliation process and social cohesion agenda inclusive of women, young people, diverse religious and ethnic communities, and marginalized groups

Output 1.2

Human rights legal and institutional frameworks and bodies strengthened to ensure protection of human rights in line with international standards and best practices

Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Inclusive dialogues supported to enable communities to articulate and discuss their priority concerns with policymakers

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Govern ment and civil society supporte d to design and impleme nt national reconcili ation process and social cohesion agenda inclusive of women, young people, diverse religious and ethnic commun ities, and marginal ized groups	Perform ance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	The number of reconciliation initiatives by Government and civil society that promote national reconciliation in post-election Fiji	Zero instituted initiative	2 (1 per year)	To be achieved	To be achieved	Initiatives will be rolled out once the baseline survey is completed
1.1.2	The number of institutions receiving the recommendations regarding policies on social cohesion and/or peacebuilding issues	0	3	To be achieved	To be achieved	Recommendations will be delivered based on the result of the baseline survey
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Human rights legal and institutio nal frameworks and bodies strengthened to ensure protectio n of human rights in line with internati onal standard s and best practices	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.2.1	The level of understanding of FHRADC staff on key human rights concepts, addressing discrimination and hate speech, as well as principles of independence and impartiality.	FHRADC's staff require refresher training on key human rights concepts, addressing discrimination and hate speech and as well as principles of independence and impartiality	At least 70% of the FHRADC staff have increased their knowledge on human rights and hate speech monitoring, and on outreach activities at the community level, as well as on the principles of independence and impartiality in line with the Paris Principles.	25 % of the FHRADC have received refresher trainings	25 % of the FHRADC have received refresher trainings	Activity is on track as dates have been mutually determined by FHRADC
1.2.2	Number of Police Force officers (m/f) have increased their knowledge on human rights standards and on management of cases applicable to law enforcement operations to comply with the due process guarantees.	FPF has variable reputation for abusing human rights in application of the law and management of cases	At least 60 police officers have increased their knowledge on human rights and due process standards applicable to law enforcement operations, and they apply these standards in their duties.	35 police officers in the Crimes and Investigation have received training	35 police officers in the Crimes and Investigation have received training	Activity is on track as dates have been mutually determined with the Fiji Police Commissioner and senior management of the police.

1.2.3	Reforms supported to address national reconciliation efforts, regulation of freedom of speech by law, and OSC to ensure proper regulation in line with human rights.	Legislation such as seditious provisions of the Crimes Act, Public Order Act & OSC Act are restrictively drafted and applied by Police	At least two laws and/or policies addressing freedom of expression have been produced and shared with relevant Government ministry.	To be achieved	To be achieved	To be achieved as the project progress
-------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	----------------	----------------------------------------

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Output 1.3: Inclusive dialogues supported to enable communities to articulate and discuss their priority concerns with policymakers	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	Number of community members and officials peacefully engaging in dialogues on sensitive issues (sex and age disaggregated)	Only ad hoc dialogues being supported by NGOs, but dialogue between people and officials is very limited, especially due to COVID-19	At least 30 community dialogues involving at least 1000 people (including at least 50 officials, at least 200 women, 150 people under 30yo, and at least 50 PWDs represented.)	50 women	50 women	Activity is on track with series of preparatory dialogues and the actual community dialogue in plan

1.3.2	FWRM divisional forums produce dialogue outcomes report which is used to inform national and local advocacy and policy efforts	WRM has previously held national dialogues which were used by NGOs and development partners to influence their own work with women and women's CSOs	At least 3 recommendations and actions taken as a result of dialogues and outcome report by government and other key stakeholders (this will be reported	To be achieved	To be achieved	To be produced after the dialogue
1.3.3	FWRM Young Feminist network produce dialogue outcomes report/s which are used to inform national and local advocacy and policy efforts	There is no current active Fiji wide feminist network compromising of young women with disabilities, rural women, and women from different ethnic/religious communities.	At least 3 recommendations and actions taken as a result of dialogues and outcome report by government and other key stakeholders (this will be reported after completion of the project)	To be achieved	To be achieved	To be produced after the dialogue

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2:

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1					
2.2					
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1						
2.1.2						
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the indicator	State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.2.1						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1	Roll out 4 preparatory dialogues	TBC	TBC	Community members including women, youth, CSOs, police and any other that are necessary	The preparatory dialogues aim to design the methodology for the actual community dialogues ensuring their cultural relevance and sensitivity to Fijian context and fostering ownership among local stakeholders.
Event 2	Roll out Community Dialogues	TBC	TBC	Community members including women, youth, and CSOs and other entities found applicable based on the preparatory dialogues.	The dialogues aims to facilitate inclusive multi-stakeholder dialogues among government officials, civil societies, community group representatives and youth to better understand their needs, priorities and grievances and build knowledge of human rights norms and approaches that promote tolerance and social cohesion.
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Police Officer	Participant's knowledge and capacity were enhanced in the area of human rights	Will be provided in the next reporting period.
2	Fiji's indigenous women groups	Participants were able to raise their concerns and share their opinion on how the dialogues should be framed moving forward.	Will be provided in the next reporting period.
3	Fiji Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Commission	Participants were able to enhance their knowledge and capacity in how to improve their institutions and develop strategies to better promote human rights in Fiji.	Will be provided in the next reporting period.
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

To be reported in the next reporting period since the activities are in early stages.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project aimed to strengthen the capacity of national institutions and law enforcement sector by providing trainings and capacity assessment review. Also, by hosting preparatory dialogues with community members, the project prioritized partnerships with local/grassroots CSOs.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

UN Agencies are part of the project secretariat, government agencies are part of the beneficiaries and CSO are partner as well as beneficiaries.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☒ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☒ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

No monitoring activities were carried out during the reporting period.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

There are three indicators under the project's outcome. Two out of the three indicators have an identified baseline. Indicator 1.1 is 'the extent to which the Fiji Government develops a pathway/ starts to adopt concrete policy and legislative initiatives to address tensions and differences peacefully'. The baseline for this indicator is that the Government has only taken several ad hoc actions to promote social cohesion and national reconciliation. Indicator 1.3 is 'at least 3 measures by the Government, CSOs and/or communities to commit to religious and communal tolerance, human rights and gender equality'. The baseline for this indicator is that there is no previously existing commitment to religious and communal tolerance, human rights and gender equality taken by Government, CSOs and communities. Indicator 1.2 is 'the percentage of people (m/f) who feel positive with Government's initiatives and engagements in social cohesions pathway'. This does not have a baseline yet because it will be decided based on the social cohesion baseline survey.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Evidence is collated from reports, meeting minutes, pictures and presentation slides as well as media coverage on the project related activities.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Via the community dialogue the project team is able to solicit feedback from community members.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*

Response required

130000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Akuila Masi	UNDP	Programme Analyst	akuila.masi@undp.org
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	------	-------------------	----------------------

» Catalytic Effect

<p>Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No </p>
<p>How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *</p>
<p>Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No </p>
<p>If yes, please select the relevant option below: *</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> Some catalytic effect <input type="radio"/> Significant catalytic effect </p>
<p>If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p>

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has commenced with an inception workshop attended by a diverse range of stakeholders including government representatives, CSOs, faith-based organizations, women's groups, and other key participants. With the Prime Minister's Office serving as the lead agency from the government side, the sustainability of the project is well-supported. The involvement of high-level government officials ensures that the project aligns with national priorities and secures long-term commitment. Additionally, the project will conduct provincial dialogues to gather input from the majority indigenous population, fostering their active participation and enhancing community buy-in. This grassroots engagement is crucial for sustaining the project's momentum and ensuring its relevance and impact across different regions.

Furthermore, the project benefits from strategic partnerships among UN agencies which can expand the scope and integrate the project's concepts into their existing and new programs. Key stakeholders, including peace institutions like the Fiji Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Commission, Fiji Police and the Fiji Military Force, emphasize that sustainable development cannot be achieved without peace. These institutions' involvement, along with the government's existing Truth and Reconciliation project, which aims to identify and address instability triggers, reinforces the project's sustainability. Over the initial two-year pilot phase, the project will continuously assess its findings and lessons learned, planning for further extension and broader implementation based on these insights. This iterative approach ensures that the project remains adaptive, resilient, and capable of achieving its long-term goals.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The capacity of the recipient organization will be known once the assessment and dialogues with the organization are in progress. Currently, we anticipate capacity gaps; however, more will be known as the project progresses into the midst of its implementation.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.