

# PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velastegui@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velastegui@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report	*
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Semi-annual	
<input type="radio"/> Annual	
<input type="radio"/> Final	
<input type="radio"/> Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2024-06-15	
2024-06-15	
Name and Title of Person submitting the report	*
Project Manager, UNDP (Convening Agency)	
Name and Title of Person who approved the report	*
Project Management Support Unit, UNDP (Convening Agency)	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.*

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific                    | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia                 | <input type="radio"/> Global                    | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa               |   |

Country of project implementation \*

- |                               |  |                               |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Lebanon | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Libya | <input type="radio"/> Tunisia |
| <input type="radio"/> Yemen   | <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify   |                               |

Other, please specify \*

Project Title \*

- ☒ 00134328: Peacebuilding through Community Stabilization in the Southern Libyan Municipalities of Ghat Sebha & Ubari  
☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

2022-12-16

**2022-12-16**

Project End Date \*

2024-12-15

**2024-12-15**

Has this project received an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

\*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

\*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which

\*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?

\*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient

\*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*



Are there other recipients for this project?

\*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

\*

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☒ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☒ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☒ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

Please select other non-UN recipients

- |  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED   | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid  | <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)                               |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)                               |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières                                   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada                            | <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN   | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide   | <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale   | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi  | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer   | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services                            | <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)                        | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)               |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation   | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)                                 |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace  | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps   | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)                           |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR   | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International  | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez   | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati                            |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld  | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)             |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children   | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)                           | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan   | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch                                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire  | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder   | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify                                     |   |

Other, Please specify

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**INTERSOS**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period \*

227691

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**INTERSOS is working in Sabha, providing child protection, education, youth and women's empowerment services. Through direct implementation and mobile teams.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Terre de Hommes (TDH)**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period \*

322204

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**TDH is working in Ubari and Ghat, providing child protection, education, youth and women's empowerment services. Through direct implementation and mobile teams.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Asarya**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period \*

187946

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Providing human capital enhancement activities. A needs-based assessment and labour market assessment were produced to tailor targeting. The implementing partner was responsible for:**

- Creating a structured training curriculum that covers the necessary skills, knowledge, and resources required for successful project implementation.**
- Delivering training: conducting training sessions to strengthen participants' capacity in skills identified as missing and needed by the current labour market assessment.**
- Monitoring and evaluating the training: assessing the progress and effectiveness of the training program to ensure that it meets its objectives.**
- Reporting and Documentation: maintaining records and documentation of the training activities, progress, and outcomes for accountability and future evidence and best practices generation.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Peaceful Change Initiative**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period \*

188000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Support for establishment and capacity building for local peacebuilding and development committees and the completion of Local Peacebuilding and Development Plans for Sebha, Ghat and Ubari. Support for the creation of a tension monitoring platform.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Fezzan Libya Organization**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period \*

17256

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Completion of Quarterly Conflict Analysis in Sebha, Ghat and Ubari.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☒ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Nesma Aljadidah for Construction Co. Ltd**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period \*

199052

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The Construction Company will be responsible to build the Hajara Market in Sebha.**



# Financial Reporting

## » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**  
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.  
*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved.  
You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1774367	1774367	1403486	79.1%
				%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	1258692	1258692	613535	48.74%

[illegible]

	*	*	*	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5088026</b>	<b>5088026</b>	<b>3550859</b>	<b>69.79%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **69.79%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

### » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

39

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1984330.14**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

2007369

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1384835.01**. Is this correct? \*


☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

1444175

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF South Semi-Annual Report June 15 2024 Budget-11\_49\_58.xlsx 

## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

0

As part of the broader Local Peacebuilding and Resilience Programme in Southern Libya, a project board meeting was held on 10 May 2023 in Tripoli. The Project Board includes the Minister of Local Government (co-chair), Minister of Planning (co-chair), Mayors of Municipalities in the South (including Sebha, Ghat and Ubari), UN Resident Coordinator's Office (RCO) and Development Partners. Planned interventions under the PBF project, such as the PB plans, and progress achieved were discussed. The next project board meeting is expected to take place by the end of Q2, 2024. Progress for the last 6 months and plans for the conclusion of the project would be discussed at this upcoming meeting.

Periodically, the Deputy Representatives (Programme)/Heads of Programmes of the four Recipient UN Organizations (RUNOs) and the RCO meet to review progress, discuss status, and take decisions on project implementation, including approaches to accelerate implementation. The last such meeting took place on 9 June 2024. Plans for the remaining 6 months and the feasibility for the timely conclusion of the project were examined and decisions in relation to the re-programming of the funds earmarked for the Sebha Hub and the final evaluation of the project were taken.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**During the reporting period, key engagements with the Government included:**

1. The convening agency continued to have periodic meetings and provided frequent updates to the Ministry of Local Government (MoLG), the main interlocutor of the project. The most recent meeting with the Minister of MoLG and team took place in May 2024.

2. A joint inter-agency mission to Sebha, by Deputy Special Representative of the Secretary General/Resident Coordinator/Humanitarian Coordinator & Representatives of Agencies/Funds/Programmes, including the four Recipient UN Organizations (RUNOs), took place on 27 February 2024. The delegation engaged with the Mayors and Representatives from the Sebha and Ubari municipalities on the progress in the implementation of the on-going PBF project; and the security stakeholders on the proposed UN hub in Sebha.

3. A delegation, including representatives from the RUNOs, the Resident Coordinator's office (RCO) and the UN Support Mission in Libya (UNSMIL) conducted a technical level mission to the Sebha municipality from 17 to 20 April 2024. The mission met with the Municipal Council of Sebha and the Mayors and Peacebuilding Committees of Sebha, Ubari and Ghat. Visits were also made to events that were on-going under the project, such as the capacity building training for the Women Empowerment Office and the IOM supported Youth Employment One Stop Shop (YESS) centre. The UNSMIL arm of the mission conducted a security scoping of possible locations for the UN Hub.

4. Capacity-building training sessions for members of the Scout Movement of Libya are being undertaken by UNICEF in close coordination with the municipal councils across all three locations, ensuring a comprehensive and inclusive approach to community development.

5. While women empowerment capacity building is undertaken by IOM in partnership with the Women Empowerment Offices (WEO) of the three municipalities and the Ministry of Labour (MoL). MoL was also engaged in identifying the building for the establishment of the Technical and Vocational Education Training (TVET) centre.

6. WFP's main counterparts at the municipal level are the labour offices, the WEOs, and the entrepreneurship offices. Regular meetings were held with these actors throughout the reporting period. A regular relationship is maintained with the MoLG, specifically the WEO and the Agricultural Services department, the Ministry of Agriculture and the National Economic and Social Development unit to ensure that the implemented programme is in line with Government priorities and generate evidence on good practices that can be inherited by the Government counterparts and scaled up.

7. UNDP continued to have on-going engagements with the target municipalities in the finalization of the Local Peacebuilding and Development Plans. These plans were handed over to the municipalities in March/April 2024. The Small & Medium Size (SMEs) departments of the municipalities and the National Economic and Social Development unit were also engaged in the institutionalization of the business incubation process and expansion of the livelihood programme.

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

\*

☐ Not Started

☐ Initiated

☐ Partially Completed

☒ Completed

☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

\*

☐ Not Started

☐ Initiated

☐ Partially Completed

☒ Completed

☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

\*

☐ Not Started

☐ Initiated

☐ Partially Completed

☒ Completed

☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

\*

☐ Not Started

☐ Initiated

☐ Partially Completed

☒ Completed

☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Given that 75% of the project duration has elapsed (18 months) and 70% of the resources have been committed/expended, all preparatory/preliminary activities, including engagement of implementing partners, recruitment of staff, identification of beneficiaries and establishment of baselines, indicators and targets have been completed.**

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

\*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

During the reporting period, the project continued to strengthen local capacities for conflict management and prevention. This was enhanced by two inter-agency missions to the south, which resulted in continued collaboration and engagement with project stakeholders as well as the authorities, including the security actors.

To ensure community ownership, the project continued to empower robust mechanisms for conflict analysis, management, and prevention. The Local Peacebuilding and Development Committees (LPDCs), which comprised of 71 members across the three target municipalities, ensuring fair representation with 40% women (29 persons) and 33% (24 persons) youth, continued to function. Having benefitted from capacity building on the project objectives, social peace, local development, inclusivity, conflict analysis, needs assessments, and the overall process for developing local peacebuilding and development plans (LPDPs), the LPDCs finalized and handed over the Plans to the Municipalities in March/April 2024.

In accordance with the project's objectives, implementation of priorities from the LPDPs commenced. The joint programme partnered with the Youth Councils in Sebha, Ghat and Ubari to enhance the capacity of 32 youths, equipping them to support the implementation of youth-led community initiatives that will contribute to peacebuilding. The capacity of the Women Empowerment Offices (WEOs) were also strengthened, with 45 staff members acquiring skills in conflict resolution, teamwork, presentation and interpersonal relations and gained an understanding of women's role in peacebuilding. The post training assessment data showed that more than 90% of participants believed that the materials/tools provided in the training were adequate for future use. To further promote social cohesion among different groups in the communities and in line with the Peacebuilding plans, the project organized social cohesion activities (including Iftar and sports tournament) during Ramadhan which brought together 1,324 persons (789 males and 535 females), including 108 IDPs and 166 migrants.

An additional 334 youth and children and 147 female caregivers were exposed to awareness raising sessions on social cohesion, social events and related celebration activities. Partnership was established with the General Movement of Scouts and Guides. 18 Scout members in Ubari and 9 in Ghat have been engaged in orientation on social cohesion, life skills and the awareness raising mechanism being implemented by the project.

A variety of livelihoods programmes continued to be implemented across South Libya to enhance the employability and entrepreneurial capabilities of deprived communities particularly women, girls and adolescents.

In support of preparation of adolescents and youth for the licit labour market, 75 (60 females; 15 males) teachers and facilitators were trained. These facilitators in turn reached a total number of 373 (245 females; 128 males) individuals.

The joint programme has been supporting an integrated package of food systems transformative activities consisting of human capital enhancement and asset creation along the soil-water-food-market nexus. The objectives of this integrated package have been the promotion of inclusivity in local food systems; guaranteeing access to water resources to decrease social tension around water scarcity for agricultural uses; encouraging local consumption from local production and creating social cohesion through improved access to water resources and promoting a shared identity across local food chains through local branding. Capacity strengthening training was provided to the Sebha municipality and the market managing committees on applying a conflict sensitive lens to selecting sellers and prioritising businesses that sell local product. In addition to the expansion of the Haljaid market in Sebha, which provides access and market space to 80 local merchants, works commenced on the construction of the Hajara market.

The human capital enhancement programme was initiated to bridge the local skill-labour gap and support women and youths' holistic empowerment and capacity to access formal employment opportunities or starting a business. The first cycle of capacity strengthening activities benefitted 416 participants (mainly women and youth).

Sixty (60) women and youths benefitted from livelihood and business-related skills - 30 Women in Ghat and Ubari received bakery skills training and toolkits to start businesses and 30 Women from Sabha were trained in E-commerce and how to capitalize on online and social media platforms for business. 15 of them received laptops to start their own businesses.



In support of youth-led start-ups and MSMEs, awareness and outreach to attract beneficiaries reached 200 persons, of which 97 youths (46 females) participated in start-up weekends. These persons would be further exposed to hackathons in July to pitch their ideas for possible funds and 30 will receive financial support and further capacity building to support their business needs and implement more innovative ways of doing business. SOPs were developed for the municipal business incubators and capacity building were provided for municipal representatives (8 persons), university innovation centre (2 persons) and business centres (5 persons).

To strengthen private sector engagement and establishing the pathway for the private sector to provide employment and on the job training for youths, 1000 Private Sector companies in Libya were mapped. This allows for an understanding of the landscape and potential companies for engagement. A commitment gathering exercise is being planned exercise to engage these companies to ascertain their capacities & readiness for partnerships.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The project continue to adhere to the budgetary allocation of 39% of the resources being directed at activities that promote gender equality and women empowerment. Peace and social cohesion capacity building for member of the peacebuilding committees, Youth Councils, Women Empowerment Offices, Scout movement all targeted women and youth.

In addition, life skills training, the human capital enhance programme, support for start-ups and MSMEs and creation of private sector employment linkages all targeted youth and women as key beneficiaries.

The overall number of female and youth beneficiaries would be ascertained during the next reporting period.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: \*

**Social cohesion is strengthened in target communities through an inclusive, collaborative peacebuilding process.**

Outcome 2: \*

**Resilient and sustainable livelihoods are created, especially for women & youth, in target municipalities**

Outcome 3: \*

Outcome 4: \*

Outcome 5: \*

Outcome 6: \*

Outcome 7: \*

Outcome 8:

\*

Additional Outcomes

*If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*

\*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Social cohesion is strengthened in target communities through an inclusive, collaborative peacebuilding process.

Outcome 1	Performanc e Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)

1.1	<p>Availability and capacity of Peacebuilding committees (referral systems) to tackle potential threats to peace in supported municipalities.</p>	<p>Existing peacebuilding systems are complex, with formal and informal mechanisms and partners involved that limit their abilities to tackle potential threats to peace.</p>	<p>Peacebuilding committees capable to tackle potential threats to peace are institutionalized in supported municipalities. Increased number and percentage of peace structures' mediation in resolution of peace threats.</p>	<p>Peacebuilding committees have been established in all 3 municipalities (Sebha, Ubari and Ghat) through a consultative and participatory nominations process. Trainings and capacity building were completed in all 3 municipalities. Peacebuilding Local Development Committees continue to function, and the Peacebuilding Local Development Plans have been finalized and handed over to the Municipalities.</p> <p>Measurement of this outcome indicator will take place in Q3 2024. However, there has been early signs of the impact of the project interventions. For example, the trained Peacebuilding Committee of Ubari engaged in joint planning with a similar Committee in a neighbouring municipality (Bint Baya). This allowed the two bodies to examine long</p>	
-----	---	---	--	---	--

				<b>standing resource conflicts and commit to implementing synergistic projects that will ensure that development in one municipality would complement and support the other – a significant shift from competition to cooperation.</b>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--	--	--

1.2	<p>% Peacebuilding committee members who feel they have the capacity and confidence to contribute to peacebuilding and take action to mitigate a threat to peace in their municipality, if needed (disaggregated by gender and age)</p>	<p>Limited skills and confidence of Peacebuilding committee members to proactively contribute to peacebuilding in their municipality</p>	<p>75% increase in capacity and confidence of Peacebuilding committee members to contribute to peacebuilding in their municipality and mitigate a threat to peace in their municipality (disaggregated by age, gender and municipality).</p>	<p>Baseline from pre-start-up workshop / training assessment in the 3 municipalities (average % for 3 municipalities): 45.1% of trainees felt very or fairly confident.</p> <p>Ghat (very 7.7%, fairly 15.4%) Sabha (very 20%, fairly 13.3%) Ubari (Very 5.4%, fairly 73.6%)</p> <p>Post-start up workshop / training assessment from the 3 municipalities (average % for 3 areas): 77.4% of trainees felt very or fairly confident.</p> <p>Ghat (very 19%, fairly 52.4%) Sabha (very 13%, fairly 47.8%) Ubari (Very 64.3%, fairly 35.7%)</p> <p>Post - Capacity building training: training assessment: Average of 92.9% (for 3 areas) of trainees felt very or fairly confident.</p> <p>Sabha Total (very 60.7 %, fairly 39.3%) Woman: Very 40%, fairly 13.3% Youth: Very 6.6%, Fairly 6.6%</p>	
-----	---	--	--	---	--

				<div>Ubari Total (very 53 %, fairly 33.4%) Woman: Very 55.5%, fairly11.11% Youth: Very 33.33%, fairly 22.22%</div> <div>Ghat Total (Very 25%, fairly 53.6%) Woman: Very 25%, fairly 0% Youth: Very 25%, fairly 0%</div>	
--	--	--	--	---	--



1.3	% of Peacebuilding committee members who believe their communities have welcoming environment (disaggregated by gender and age).	Communities have somewhat welcoming environments but opportunities for participation of the most vulnerable groups are limited.	At least 30% increase in Peacebuilding committee members' assessments that their communities have a welcoming environment (40% women, 20% youth across all 3 municipalities)	<p>Baseline from pre-start-up workshop / training assessment Average of 94.9% (for 3 areas) of trainees felt very or fairly confident</p> <p>85.5% of women felt very or fairly confident, and 67% of youth felt very or fairly confident.</p> <p>Ghat (very 38.5%, fairly 46.2 %) Women: (very 16.6% fairly 50%) Youth (very 0 % fairly 0%)</p> <p>Sabha (80%, very, 20%) fairly Women: (very 0% fairly 100%) Youth (very 0% fairly 100%)</p> <p>Ubari (very 52.6%, fairly 47.4%) Women: (very 50% fairly 50%) Youth (very 37.5% fairly 62.5%)</p> <p>Post-start up workshop / training assessment - average 97% (for 3 areas) of trainees felt very or fairly confident 45% of women felt very or fairly confident, and 50% of youth felt very or fairly confident.</p>	Results of the pre-workshop assessments were high, with an average of 94.9%, which explains why results in the post-workshop assessment did not show the target increase.
-----	--	---	--	---	---

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1      2      **3**      4      5      more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

**Local capacities enhanced, and mechanisms established to identify, analyse, manage, and reduce violent conflict.**

Output 1.2

**Inclusive and participatory local gender-sensitive peacebuilding plans developed and implemented as a mechanism for enhancing social cohesion and peacebuilding**

Output 1.3

**Women and girls are empowered to play an active role in the local peacebuilding process**

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Local capacities enhanced, and mechanisms established to identify, analyse, manage, and reduce violent conflict.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	# of gender-sensitive conflict assessments completed that capture the challenges, needs and priorities of excluded populations, especially women and youth, with additional focus on migrants, children/adolescents and linkages between food security and peace.	Existing conflict assessments for supported municipalities are not comprehensive to capture all dynamic factors affecting peace and include diverse perspectives, such as those of women and migrants.	3 (1 per municipality) comprehensive cross-sectoral gender sensitive conflict studies developed.	First of four rounds of quarterly Conflict Assessments completed in March 2024.	<p>In 2023, a review and update of previously completed Conflict Analysis were done to incorporate gender and youth sensitive considerations.</p> <p>First of four rounds of quarterly Conflict Assessments for three municipalities were completed in March 2024.</p> <p>Next round is due by June 2024.</p>	
-------	---	--	--	---	---	--

1.1.2	% of community members included in community structures which benefit from Project's capacity-building interventions and report increased knowledge about the challenges to peace in their municipality and actions to address them (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality)	Community members in community structures have broad knowledge about the challenges to peace in their municipality and potential actions to address them. However, their skills in managing them comprehensively involving all partners are limited.	70% of community members included in community structures who benefitted from the Project's capacity-building interventions report increased knowledge about the challenges to peace in their municipality and actions to address them (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality).	Target achieved in previous reporting period. No additional capacity building interventions took place during this reporting period.	<p>Baseline from pre-start-up workshop / training assessment Average of 52/7% (for 3 areas of trainees felt very or fairly confident)</p> <p>Sabha (very 13.3%, Fairly 40%)</p> <p>Ubari (very 15.8%, fairly 73.7%)</p> <p>Ghat (Very 7.7%, Fairly 7.7%)</p> <p>Post-start up workshop / training assessment - average of 77.8% (for 3 areas) of trainees felt very or fairly confident</p> <p>Sabha (very 8.7%, fairly 65.2%) Ubari (very 7.1%, fairly 85.7%) Ghat (Very 14.3%, Fairly 52.4 %)</p> <p>Post-Capacity building training / training assessment - Average of 92.6% (for 3 areas) of trainees felt very or fairly confident</p>	
-------	---	--	---	--	---	--

					<div>Sabha Total (very 39.8%, fairly 56.4 %)</div> <div>Woman: very 28.6%, fairly 15.1%</div> <div>Youth: Very 6.65%, Fairly 0%</div> <div>Ubari Total (Very 15%, Fairly 71.7 %)</div> <div>Woman: Very 36.1%, Fairly 5.55%</div> <div>Youth: Very 18.3%, Fairly13.3%</div> <div>Ghat Total (Very 50.7%, Fairly 44.3%)</div> <div>Woman: very 15%, fairly 5%</div> <div>Youth: Very 12.5%, Fairly4.16%</div>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

1.1.3	# children and youth covered by peace awareness raising activities, including through peace kiosks and sport and cultural events	Children and youth targeted by Project's peace awareness activities have limited knowledge about PB and conflict resolution structures, peacebuilding plans and their roles in building peace in the community	500 children and youth are covered by peace awareness raising activities, including through peace kiosks and sports and cultural events (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality).	During the reporting period, an additional total of 334 youth and children and 147 female caregivers were reached.  Further disaggregation of results would be provided during the next reporting period.	To date, awareness sessions on topics related to social cohesion, social events and celebration activities reached a total of 481 (147 Female caregivers, 143 boys, 191 girls) in the three municipalities. Sebha: 447 (447 (130 Female caregivers, 134 boys, 182 girls). Ghat and Ubari: 35 individuals combined (17 female caregivers, 9 girls, 9 boys).	
-------	--	--	--	---	--	--

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Inclusive and participatory local gender-sensitive peacebuilding plans developed and implemented as a mechanism for enhancing social cohesion and peacebuilding	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	% of community members who were involved in consultations in the process of Peacebuilding Plans development who endorse the priorities identified in their municipality PBs (disaggregated by gender and age).	Lack of comprehensive local Peacebuilding plans for all 3 supported communities developed through complete inclusive participation of all partners.	At least 80% of all community members engaged in the consultation process endorse the PB in their municipality (40% women, 20% youth across all 3 municipalities)	Peacebuilding Local Development Plans have been finalized and handed over to the Municipalities.  Measurement of endorsement to be undertaken during the next reporting period.	Peacebuilding Local Development Plans have been finalized and handed over to the Municipalities.	



1.2.2	% and number of initiatives identified in the PB Plan successfully implemented within the project duration	PB Plans do not exist for supported municipalities.	At least 3 initiatives identified in the PB plan are prioritized and implemented (1 per municipality).	<p>During the reporting period, the following priorities have been identified and implementation are ongoing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Partnership and capacity building with the General Movement of Scouts and Guides in the three targeted municipalities (Sebha, Ghat and Ubari)- 18 Scout members in Ubari and 9 in Ghat have been engaged.</li> <li>2. Partnership with the Youth Councils in Sebha, Ghat and Ubari to enhance their capacity to implement youth-led community initiatives - 32 members of the Youth councils in Ubari and Ghat have been engaged.</li> <li>3. Capacity building and support for the WEOs - 45 female staff member of the WEOs acquired skills.</li> <li>4. Promotion of Social Cohesion activities for vulnerable</li> </ol>	Same as progress for the reporting period under this indicator.	Initially delayed due to the extended period required for the completion of the PB plans. These were finalized and handed over to the municipalities in March/April 2024.
-------	--	---	--	--	---	---

				<b>groups – 1,324 persons (789 males and 535 females), including 108 IDPs and 166 migrants reached.</b> <b>5. Support for the promotion and preservation of intangible arts in Ghat, Sebha and Ubari – Promotion of Arts for Peace.</b>		
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Women and girls are empowe red to play an active role in the local peacebui lding process	Perform ance Indicator s  <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date  <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.3.1	% and number of women and girls trained who feel that they can use the skills/tools acquired during their training to help mitigate/prevent conflicts in their communities (disaggregated by age and community)	A limited number of women and girls trained by international partners on skills/tools to mitigate/prevent conflicts in their communities.	70% of the 75 women and girls trained under the Project feel they can use the skills/tools acquired during their training to help mitigate/prevent conflicts in their communities (50% youth across all 3 municipalities).	<p>No additional training was done during the reporting period.</p> <p>Additional capacity building workshops to enhance the role of women in (i) the peacebuilding process; and (ii) as peacebuilding accountability officers will be undertaken in July 2024.</p> <p>The youth accountability officers will be assigned after receiving training on the peace building module at the end June 2024.</p>	<p>Pre-training survey: 21 women (78%) trained answered positively:</p> <p>Sabha 6 (50%) Ghat 5 (100%) Ubari 10 (100%)</p> <p>Post-training survey: 20 (74%) of women trained answered positively:</p> <p>Sabha 9 (75%) Ghat 5 (100%) Ubari 6 (60%)</p> <p>Post-Capacity building training / training assessment average 96.1% (for 3 areas): of trainees felt very or fairly confident</p> <p>Sabha Total very 45.3%, Fairly 54.8 % Woman: Very 46.6%, Fairly 6.25% Youth: Very 13.3%, Fairly 0%</p> <p>Ubari Total: very 16.7 %, Fairly 75.1 % Woman: Very 55.55%, Fairly 11.11% Youth: Very 33.33%, Fairly 22.22%</p> <p>Ghat Total: very 42.9%,</p>	Additional training is expected to take place in the next reporting period. It is anticipated that this would allow for the end of project target to be met.
-------	---	---	--	---	---	--

					<p>fairly 53.6% Woman: very 25%, fairly 0% Youth: very 16.66%, fairly 8.33%</p> <p>Total number of women trained in start-up workshops: 27 5 in Ghat 12 in Sabha 10 in Ubari</p> <p>Total number of women trained in related Capacity building training: 67</p> <p>32 in Ghat 19 in Sabha 16 in Ubari</p>	
1.3.2	# Women and youth led CSOs within the Committees who are actively engaged in designing the PB Plan and in monitoring the PB Plan implementation (disaggregated for women led CSOs, youth-led CSOs and by municipality)	Representatives of women-led and youth-led CSOs are not engaged in designing the PB Plans and in monitoring their implementation.	12 members of women-led and youth-led CSOs (at least 2 per municipality, including both youth-led and women-led CSOs where present)	<p>No additional training was done during the reporting period.</p> <p>Additional capacity building workshops to enhance the role of women in (i) the peacebuilding process; and (ii) as peacebuilding accountability officers will be undertaken in July 2024 for CSOs in the three municipalities.</p>	<p>Total number of Committee members who are members of CSOs across 3 Municipalities: 34</p> <p>Ubari: 13 69.23% women, 61.5% youth</p> <p>Sabha: 8 37.5% woman, 25% youth</p> <p>Ghat: 13 15% women, 46.15% youth</p>	
1.3.3						

## » Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

## » Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Resilient and sustainable livelihoods are created, especially for women & youth, in target municipalities

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% increase of Project beneficiaries who believe that private sector employment is a desirable goal (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality)	Limited but slightly increasing interest of Project beneficiaries in private-sector employment	Project training and supports' beneficiaries report 30% increase in their beliefs that private sector employment is a desirable goal (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality).	Measurement of this outcome indicator will take place in Q3 2024.	
2.2	Outcome Indicator 2b % of individuals trained on business, economic development and supported with livelihood activities who adopt conflict-sensitive practices (disaggregated by gender, age & municipality)	Project beneficiaries supported with business training and livelihood support are unfamiliar with conflict-sensitive practices.	75% of individuals trained on business, economic development and supported with livelihood activities adopt conflict-sensitive practices (disaggregated by gender, age & municipality).	Measurement of this outcome indicator will take place in Q3 2024.	
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1
2
3
4
5
more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

**Disenfranchised populations identified in the conflict assessments/local peacebuilding plans are provided with gender-sensitive economic opportunities**

Output 2.2

**Private Sector engaged in a conflict-sensitive way to stimulate and facilitate future growth, employment and Public-Private collaborations across the 3 municipalities**

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*



For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Disenfranchised populations identified in the conflict assessments/local peacebuilding plans are provided with gender-sensitive economic opportunities	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	% adolescents and youth trained by the Project who feel they are better prepared to enter the licit labour market (disaggregated by gender, age & municipality)	Adolescents and youth are unprepared to enter the licit labour market.	80% of trainees (at least 40% women and 40% youth across all 3 municipalities) feel they are better prepared to enter the licit labour market.	<p>75 teachers and facilitators were trained: 15 (13 Females and 2 Males) in Ubari, 60 (47 females and 13 males) in Ghat. The facilitators have reached a total number of 373 individuals throughout the three municipalities; Ghat 154 (88 females, 66 males), Ubari 52 (23 females, 29 males), Sabha 165 (134 females, 33 males).</p> <p>In the next reporting period, upon the completion of the Peace building and pathways to employment modules, the perception on readiness to enter the labour market will be assessed.</p>	<p>1,034 youth and adolescent sensitized.</p> <p>Ghat: 672 total participants 10-12 y/o: 211 males, 197 females) 14+ y/o: 124 males, 139 females)</p> <p>Ubari: 362 total participants 10-12 y/o: 8 males, 6 females 14+ y/o: 140 males, 208 females.</p> <p>Sabha: 213 in total participants 10-12 y/o: 59 females, 50 males 14+ y/o: 54 females, 50 males</p> <p>75 teachers and facilitators were trained: 15 (13 Females and 2 Males) in Ubari, 60 (47 females and 13 males) in Ghat. The facilitators have reached a total number of 373 individuals throughout the three municipalities; Ghat 154 (88 females, 66 males), Ubari</p>
-------	---	--	--	---	---

					52 (23 females, 29 males), Sabha 165 (134 females, 33 males).	
2.1.2	% of women engaged in Project training and livelihoods activities who report that they improved their food security (disaggregated by age and municipality	Women identified by Project for training and support experience food insecurities due to lacking skills and limited access to livelihood activities.	At least 70% (at least 40% youth across all 3 municipalities) supported through trainings and livelihoods activities report that they improved their food security due to Project supports.	Capacity strengthening training was provided to the Sebha municipality and Sebha market managing committees on applying a conflict sensitive lens to selecting sellers and prioritising businesses that sell local product.  Construction of the Hajara market was initiated and would be completed in 2024,  Measurement of this indicator will take place in Q3 2024.	Expansion of the Haljaid Sebha market, providing access and market space for 80 local merchants.  Capacity strengthening were provided to the Sebha municipality and Sebha market managing committees on applying a conflict sensitive lens to selecting sellers and prioritising businesses that sell local product  Construction of the Hajara market was initiated and would be completed in 2024	

2.1.3	# of individuals from targeted vulnerable groups provided with vocational trainings and toolkits (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality)	Vulnerable groups cannot access relevant vocational trainings and supports.	45 (100% youth, 30% women) individuals from targeted vulnerable groups provided with vocational trainings and toolkits who find support relevant and effective.	30 women in Ghat and Ubari benefitted from a bakery (pastry and sweets making) skills training and toolkits.  30 women from Sabha benefitted from E-commerce training, and 15 of them received laptops to start their own businesses.	In total 60 women benefitted from vocational training and business skills, and tool kits to start business and generate source of livelihood.	
-------	--	---	---	---	---	--

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Private Sector engaged in a conflict-sensitive way to stimulate and facilitate future growth, employment and Public-Private collaborations across the 3 municipalities	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	# of local economic assessments completed that capture the current coping strategies, incentives and disincentives and potential entry points to expand participation, particularly of women and youth in the private sector	A limited number of focused studies exploring challenges and solutions to enable women and youth access to private sector opportunities.	3 (1 per municipality) local economic assessments completed.	Human Centre Design Assessments in all three municipalities is completed.	Human Centre Design Assessments in all three municipalities is completed.	

2.2.2	# of women and youth who developed new income generation streams due to provision of assets and micro-grants to build new agriculture value chains (disaggregated by age and municipality).	Women and youth experience limited income generation opportunities partly due to a lack of access to assets and micro-grants to build new agriculture value chains.	500 (40% women, 70% youth across all 3 municipalities) who report that they developed new income generation streams due to Project support	416 participants from Sebha, Ubari and Ghat received capacity strengthening. An additional 40 participants to be trained in the next reporting period.  Measurement of this indicator, including the provision of disaggregated numbers, will take place in Q3 2024.	416 participants from Sebha, Ubari and Ghat received capacity strengthening. An additional 40 participants to be trained in the next reporting period.  Measurement of this indicator, including the provision of disaggregated numbers, will take place in Q3 2024.	
2.2.3	# of youth-led start-ups/MSMEs which received a grant from a programme administered through the established municipal business incubator	Youth-led start-ups/MSMEs have limited access to financial supports.	30 start-ups receiving seed grants.	Awareness, outreach (200 persons), start-up weekends (97 youth – 46 females) were completed.  Hackathons to be undertaken in July. Grants to be distributed in Q3.	Awareness, outreach (200 persons), start-up weekends (97 youth – 46 females) were completed.	

## » Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

## » Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

## » Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

## » Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

☒ 1    ☐ 2    ☐ 3    ☐ 4    ☐ 5    ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3



Output 3.1

**Outputs 2 continued**

Output 3.2

**Outputs 2 continued**

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Outputs 2 continued	Performance Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.1.1	Output Indicator 2.2.4 % of individuals who completed trainings in TVET centers developed with the Project support aimed at reducing the skill gap in key economic sectors (disaggregated by gender, age, municipality)	Minimal training opportunities to reduce the skill gap in key economic sectors available in supported municipalities	75% individuals who completed TVET trainings are satisfied with relevance and effectiveness of trainings provided.	<p>Due to delays in finding a suitable building, alternative approach was identified. The setting up of the TVET center in Sabha would be done in partnership with the Ministry of Labour and Sabha College where a space in the college will be converted to TVET center.</p> <p>In the meantime, the TVET training of the trainer's capacity building plan has been agreed with MoL, and the training is scheduled to take place in first week of July, 2024.</p>	<p>Due to delays in finding a suitable building, alternative approach was identified. The setting up of the TVET center in Sabha would be done in partnership with the Ministry of Labour and Sabha College where a space in the college will be converted to TVET center.</p> <p>In the meantime, the TVET training of the trainer's capacity building plan has been agreed with MoL, and the training is scheduled to take place in first week of July 2024.</p>	Delayed, due to lack of finding a suitable building and failed previous attempts by the Ministry of Labour.
-------	--	--	--	---	--	---

3.1.2	Output Indicator 2.2.5 Level of awareness of private sector companies in the inclusive transparent and fair hiring practices and new models, including internships, capstones, on-job trainings	Limited awareness of private sector companies in the inclusive, transparent and fair hiring practices and new models, including internships, capstones, and on-job trainings.	10 private sector companies participated in inclusive employment and inclusive business workshops to commit to transparent and fair hiring practices and new models, including internships, capstones, and on-job trainings.	Approximately 1000 Private Sector companies in Libya were mapped to understand the landscape and potential for engagement. During the next reporting period, commitment gathering exercise would be undertaken with these companies to ascertain their capacities & readiness for partnerships in providing employment opportunities/on the job training for youths.	Approximately 1000 Private Sector companies in Libya were mapped to understand the landscape and potential for engagement. During the next reporting period, commitment gathering exercise would be undertaken with these companies to ascertain their capacities & readiness for partnerships in providing employment opportunities/on the job training for youths.	
3.1.3						

## » Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Outputs 2 continued	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

## » Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

### » Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

### » Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?					
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.					
Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4					
Output 4.1					
Output 4.2					
Output 4.3					
Output 4.4					
Output 4.5					
Other Outputs If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here					

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						



## » Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

## » Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

## » Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

## » Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

★

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

<b>If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)</b>	<b>Event Description</b>	<b>Tentative Date</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Target Audience</b>	<b>Event Objectives</b> (900 characters)
<b>Event 1</b>	Launch of local peacebuilding and development plans	August 2024	Tripoli	Ministry of Local Government, civil society actors, civil servants, municipality members, development partners and donors.	National endorsement of local peacebuilding and development plans (already endorsed by Municipal Governments). Awareness raising for the attention of development partners towards resource mobilization.

<b>Event 2</b>	Three-day workshop on agricultural entrepreneurship	Quarter 3 2024.	Tripoli	35 agricultural SMEs; key PBF participants; UN agencies; civil society organisations; the Food Security Strategy Committee and Steering Committee; the Ministry of Local Governance; the Ministry of Agriculture; and the National Economic and Social Development Board.	The workshop will aim to gain insights from the private sector, UN agencies, civil society and to feedback those insights to the Government Food Security Strategy Committee. The workshop report will be valuable for both UN agencies to orient their future programmes in this realm and to inform the National Food Security Strategy. SMEs from the South will be invited to promote business led social cohesion activities.
<b>Event 3</b>	Lesson learned workshop on hydroponics entrepreneurship	Quarter 3 2024.	Tripoli	Key SMEs applying hydroponics technology in their business; the Ministry of Agriculture and Local Governance; Department of Municipal Agricultural services; CSOs, the University of Sebha, and UN agencies.	Gaining insights on hydroponics experiences across the country, promoting learning on best practices adopted in different part of the countries.
<b>Event 4</b>					

# Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Community members in Ubari	Lack of social interaction among different community members. Since the end of tribal conflict in 2016, there has never been a social gathering organized in the community in Ubari. The football tournament organized in Ubari served as a chance for community members to reconnect and forge relations with people from different cultural backgrounds, a key factor for promoting social cohesion and peacebuilding.	A male participant stated that “regardless that he recognized most of the attendees, it was an opportunity for him to reconnect with them after a long time”. Some respondents stated that they had the chance to create meaningful friendships during the gathering and are planning to stay in contact and meet again after the event

2	Community members, Migrants, IDPs in Sebha, Ghat and Ubari	Social cohesion events organized. During Ramadan, social cohesion and peace activities were hosted in Ubari, Sabha, and Ghat, reaching over 1,324 participants, including migrants from Sudan, Egypt, Algeria, Bangladesh and Nigeria, and people of different ethnicities such as Arab, Tebu, Tuareg, and Ahali. Through sports and cultural events like social iftars and women's volleyball tournaments, the events aimed to celebrate diversity and strengthen connections, creating a harmonious society where all members thrive.	Facebook post on Social Cohesion Activity One of the participants in Sabha expressed how the "environment was peaceful and welcoming and could easily discuss many issues concerning men and the youth", while another participant expressed how "the good environment and atmosphere enabled them to engage in serious discussions and exchanging ideas towards making Sabha a stable city for all the residents"
3	Beneficiaries of capacity building activities.	Accessing livelihood and economic opportunities or having the risk appetite to embark on a business venture	The beneficiaries have received training, accessed jobs, opened their own business or strengthened an existing one, thereby increasing their economic resilience.
4			
<p>In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 4000 characters.</i></p> <p><b>N/A</b></p>			
<p>You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project</p> <p><b>OPTIONAL</b></p>			
<p>File 1</p> <p><b>OPTIONAL</b></p> <p><a href="#">Click here to upload file. (&lt; 10MB)</a></p>			

File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

*Please select up to 3.*

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☒ Mobilized additional resources
- ☒ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Mobilized additional resources [please explain]**

**Based on the progress realized in the implementation of this project, during the reporting period, additional resources were mobilized during the reporting period for similar initiatives as follows:**

- o USAID - \$1.5 million
- o UN PBF - \$2 Million

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Improved or initiated policy frameworks [please explain]**

**The best practices on agricultural entrepreneurship initiatives implemented under the PBF, will inform the Agricultura Entrepreneurship session of the upcoming National Food Security Strategy three-days workshop.**

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Strengthened capacities [please explain]**

**By the very nature of the joint project, significant capacity building were undertaken in peacebuilding (481 – caregivers, youth, adolescents and peacebuilding committee members), life skills (75 teachers, 373 youth), vocational training (60 women), human capital enhancements (416 persons), start-ups/MSMEs expansion (97 youths – 46 females), municipal business incubator staff/university innovation centre/business centres (15 persons). See further disaggregated results under the project results framework section.**

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)

\*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☒ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

☐ **Partnered with local civil society organizations please explain]**

**Given Libya's security and access challenges, the project has partnered with several CSOs, including the Fezzan Libya Organization, Noqosh and Asarya to conduct conflict analyses, provide human capital enhancements and training.**

☐ **Partnered with local academia [please explain]**

**The project has partnered with Sabha Technical College to set up a TVET centre in the college premises after a failure of securing a suitable space for setting up the centre. In addition, persons from the Sebha University Innovation centre participated in the Business Incubation capacity building.**



## Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

*Mandatory*

- ☒ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☒ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**1. Monitoring activities included:**

- a. A two-tiered monitoring and coordination structure which included monthly project technical coordination meetings and ad-hoc RUNO deputy/head of program level internal UN steering committee meetings continued. The most recent meeting of the Heads of programme took place on June 9, 2024, where project progress was scrutinized.**
- b. On-going scanning of reports on current affairs for security and political developments.**
- c. Review and consideration of conflict analysis of targeted municipalities.**
- d. Community Mobilizers' attendance at project implementation activities, such as training and capacity building workshop.**
- e. Periodic visits to the training sites by field staff and weekly monitoring forms with updates related to visibility, trainee feedback and location, implementation of feedback recommendations, etc.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**A comprehensive study was undertaken to establish baselines for those indicators where baselines were not established at the project design stage.**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Implementing Partner quarterly reports. Reports of RUNOs field staff – weekly for some activities, such as community mobilizers. In some cases, third party monitoring reports.**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*Response required*

80000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The evaluation is planned to commence in September 2024. The procurement process will be initiated in July 2024.**

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Vedyawattie Looknauth	UNDP	Project Specialist	vedyawattie.looknauth@undp.org

## » Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? \*

6

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder \*

BMX

Amount in USD \*

176000

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder

**United Kingdom**

Amount in USD

700000

\*

\*

3

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder

**USAID**

Amount in USD

3500000

\*

\*

4

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder

**Germany**

Amount in USD

135000

\*

\*

5

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder

**Italy**

Amount in USD

776000

\*

\*

6

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder

**Government of Libya**

Amount in USD

5000000

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below:

☒ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project has seen initial signs of a catalytic impact in peacebuilding and social cohesion in South Libya. Behavioural change has been noticed in the engagements among citizens. For example, the trained Peacebuilding Committee of Ubari engaged in joint planning with the neighbouring municipality of Bint Baya (which is being supported under another project). This allowed the two bodies to examine long standing resource conflicts and commit to implementing synergistic projects that will ensure that development in one municipality would complement and support the other – a significant shift from competition to cooperation. A video to document this experience, which has created an enabling environment for enhanced peaceful co-existence among two communities, is currently in preparation.**

**In addition, the best practices on agricultural entrepreneurship initiatives that were implemented under the project, will inform the Agricultural Entrepreneurship session of the upcoming National Food Security Strategy three-days workshop in Libya. The workshop, is being organised to gain insights from the private sector, UN agencies, civil society and to feedback those insights to the Government Food Security Strategy Committee. The workshop report will be valuable for both the different UN agencies to orient their future programmes in this realm and inform the National Food Security Strategy. SMEs from the South will be invited to promote business led social cohesion.**

## Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The steps outlined in the exit strategy remain relevant. To this effect and in relation to the peacebuilding local development plans, these were handed over to the Municipal Governments, which are expected to lead the implementation process. The Peacebuilding Local Development Committees are expected to continue to function. It is recognized that the support for these committees would be critical to their continued existence. For this reason, the project is examining the potential of the Community Development Departments of the municipalities taking on a greater ownership role in the process. The Community Development Departments are represented on the PB committees. These departments have recently received institutional strengthening support under another related project, which has equipped them for this role in leading the implementation of the plans.**

**In terms of the socio-economic initiatives, sustainability is streamlined under the umbrella of the Deraya programme. Deraya is a programme that is being implemented in partnership with the Ministry of Local Government across the country, including in the South. It is a part of UNDP's Local Peacebuilding and Resilience efforts, aimed at creating socio-economic opportunities for youth and vulnerable groups to promote sustainable growth in Libya, including the establishment of business incubators to provide on-going, post project interventions. Linkages is also created with the Entrepreneurial Offices of the municipalities, which houses the newly established business incubators, to facilitate post project follow ups, support and tracking of new start-ups and MSMEs.**

**Meanwhile, the youth skills programme would continue under the Baity centres being established by UNICEF and the TVET programme is being mainstreamed into the programme of the Ministry of Labour in partnership with the Sebha Technical College.**

**Context analyses in the municipalities are being undertaken in partnership with European Union, which has funded similar analyses since 2022 and is expected to continue to fund quarterly analyses beyond the PBF project.**

**In terms of the tension monitoring platform being developed under the project, this has not been handed over to the MoLG, where it was expected to operate under the Ministry's call centre. Finalization of the platform experienced delays due to staff turnover at the MoLG and the Ministry's unavailability to participate in the process. The platform was therefore developed and tested with data obtained from other internal sources at UNDP. In going forward, under its Local Peacebuilding and Resilience programme, UNDP will continue to engage the MoLG towards the full update and use of the platform.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**No**

# Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.  
Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Interagency mission by Deputy Special Representative of the Secretary General/Resident Coordinator/Humanitarian Coordinator & Representatives of Agencies/Funds/Programmes, including the four Recipient UN Organizations (RUNOs), took place on 27 February 2024.	The delegation engaged with the Mayors and Representatives from the Sebha and Ubari municipalities on the progress in the implementation of the on-going PBF project; and the security stakeholders on the proposed UN hub in Sebha.	Mayors and Municipal Council representatives expressed gratitude to UN agencies for their work in advancing peacebuilding, facilitating economic recovery, and improving access to essential services, which have yielded tangible benefits for the communities in southern regions. UN agencies were urged to collaborate closely with the Entrepreneurship Office established at the local level to streamline all activities pertaining to business incubation and vocational training. The initially identified location for the UN Hub was no longer available.

<b>Event 2</b>	<p>Joint UN Mission to Sebha including representatives from the RUNOs, the Resident Coordinator's office (RCO) and the UN Support Mission in Libya (UNSMIL) visited the Sebha municipality from 17 to 20 April 2024.</p>	<p>The mission met with the Municipal Council of Sebha and the Mayors and Peacebuilding Committees of Sebha, Ubari and Ghat. Visits were also made to events that were on-going under the project, such as the capacity building training for the Women Empowerment Office and the IOM supported Youth Employment One Stop Shop (YESS) centre. The UNSMIL arm of the mission conducted a security scoping of possible locations for the UN Hub.</p>	<p>Municipal councils expressed the need for more post project monitoring and follow ups to ensure that project interventions are sustained, e.g. trainees are engaged in employment or business. The PB Committees expressed uncertainty on the responsibility for monitoring and follow up on the implementation of the PB plans. Clarifications were thereafter provided. Mayors emphasized the need for continued consultations with the Municipal councils in the implementation of the PB plans, inclusion of youth in project related activities through the municipal youth empowerment offices.</p>
<b>Event 3</b>	<p>Review of conflict analysis of target municipalities</p>	<p>Context Analysis were produced in March 2024, covering the period November 2023 to March 2024 for the 3 municipalities.</p>	<p>Conflicts in Ghat are related to service-related grievances and access to resources and not from social discord. While Sebha's challenges are primarily due to rule of law, tribal and social disputes, economic interest, issues of citizenship and identity and political division. In Ubari, tribal rivalries, political ambitions, and strategic economic interests are the primary drivers of conflicts. A number of recommendations for consideration and programming were put forward and remain an integral part of the decision making under the project.</p>



<p><b>Event 4</b></p>	<p>Project's monthly monitoring and coordination meetings – most recent meeting held on 9 June 2024.</p>	<p>These meetings discuss progress, plans and challenges on an ongoing</p>	<p>Tranche 2 funding was received in March 2024. The delivery plus commitment under the project stood at 72.07%. With 6 months remaining, agencies indicated that the full sum would be committed by December 15, 2024. A decision to re-allocate the funding earmarked for the Sebha hub was take. Challenges and mitigation measures which remain relevant are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Identification of location/building for Sebha Hub – initially recommended location is unavailable. The UNCT continues to explore the potential options. However, the funding earmarked under the project for the establishment of the Hub would be re-allocated, in consultation with the PBSO.</li> <li>- Requirements of security actors for permission to access/engage stakeholders caused delays, esp. in Peacebuilding Plans. Implementing partners are urged to comply with the requirements of the authorities.</li> <li>- Delays in the identification of building for TVET centre – the building that was initially identified became unavailable. This cause delays in the support for introduction of the Government certified TVET programme. An alternative space was found, and the project is collaborating with the Ministry of Labour to complete this project activity.</li> <li>- Litigation on the plot of land assigned by the municipality of Sebha for construction of market. A new plot had to be</li> </ul>
-----------------------	--	--	---

			identified.
<b>Event 5</b>	Monitoring visits by Community Mobilizers	Community mobilizers' attendance at events such as the peacebuilding committee training, town halls and other events.	This allows for community mobilizers to have a first-hand experience of what is working, what risks exist and need to be addressed, etc.
<b>Event 6</b>	Regular follow up visits in Sabha, Ubari and Ghat	RUNOs consultants and implementing partners are actively engaged in on-site visits and collaborating closely with government agencies and other partners to facilitate their effective coordination and follow-up of crucial activities on the ground.	Periodic reports are provided by implementing partners highlighting achievements, challenges, risk and related mitigating strategies. Periodic meetings also took place between RUNOs and Implementing Partners to discuss and navigate the implementation of project activities.
<b>Event 7</b>	Low profile mission	UNICEF team conducted a low-profile mission to the south including Sabha, Ubari and Ghat.	The mission met with municipal councils, the officials, and partners to monitor the project progress, and talk about challenges.
<b>Event 8</b>			

### Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.

---