PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ◉ Semi-annual
- ○ Annual
- ○ Final
- ○ Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-06-15

2024-06-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Cathrin Sarll, Programme Development Manager

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Mauro Tadiwe, Country Director

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

(●) Yes

( ) No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

(●) Yes

( ) No

( ) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

( ) Yes   (●) No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ( ) Asia and the Pacific
- ( ) Central & Southern Africa
- (●) East Africa
- ( ) Europe and Central Asia
- ( ) Global
- ( ) Latin America and the Caribean
- ( ) Middle East and North Africa
- ( ) West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ( ) Ethiopia
- ( ) Kenya
- ( ) Madagascar
- ( ) Somalia
- (●) South Sudan
- ( ) Sudan
- ( ) Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ( ) 00130006: Building peace through promoting inclusive and participatory transitional justice processes and mechanisms in South Sudan
- ( ) 00130571: Challenging harmful and patriarchal gender norms for better mental health and peace and security, amongst women and girls and communities in the Wunlit Triangle
- ( ) 00129661: Community Action for Peaceful Resolution of Housing, Land and Property (HLP) Disputes and Conflicts
- ( ) 00113057: Enhancing Women's Access to Land to Consolidate Peace in South Sudan
- ( ) 00120688: Gender mainstreaming in Security Sector Reform
- ( ) 00129659: Secretariat support to the PBF portfolio in South Sudan
- ( ) 00129660: Support to a people-driven and gender responsive permanent constitution making process in South Sudan
- ( ) 00118940: Youth Action for Reduced Violence and Enhanced Social Cohesion in Wau, South Sudan
- ( ) 00134340: Youth Leading Peace: Establishing participatory and inclusive local and national mechanisms for implementation of Youth Peace and Security Agenda in South Sudan
- ( ) 00140011: Women's Leadership and Political Participation During South Sudan's Transitional Period
- ( ) 00140047: Local Solutions to Build Climate Resilience and Advance Peace and Stability in Bor Pibor and Malakal
- (●) 00140050: Resourcing change: inclusive peacebuilding from the ground up
- ( ) Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific ☐ Central & Southern Africa ☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia ☐ Global ☐ Latin America and the Caribean

☐ Middle East and North Africa ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

---

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-02-13

2023-02-13

Project End Date *

2025-02-08

2025-02-08

Has this project received an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

◉ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

◉ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

○ Yes

◉ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

○ Yes

◉ No

If yes, please select which *

○ National Trust Fund

○ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

○ UN entity

◉ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

○ ACTED ○ Action Aid ○ AEDE

○ African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)

○ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)

○ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) ○ Avocats Sans Frontières

○ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium ○ Avocats sans frontières Canada ○ CARE International UK

○ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF ○ Christian Aid Ireland

○ COIPRODEN ○ Concern Worldwide ○ Conexion Guatemala

○ COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale ○ CORD Burundi ○ CORDAID

○ Corporacion Sisma Mujer ○ CRS - Catholic Relief Services ○ DanChurchAid

○ Fund for Congolese Women ○ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) ○ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)

○ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) ○ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)

○ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation ○ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)

○ ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) ○ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)

○ Integrity Watch ○ International Alert ○ International Rescue Committee

○ Interpeace ○ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation ○ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)

○ MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar

○ Mercy Corps ○ MLAL - ProgettoMondo ○ MSIS-TATAO

○ NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) ○ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)

○ ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul ○ ONG AZHAR ○ OXFAM

○ Peace Direct ○ Plan International ○ PNG UN Country Fund

○ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez ○ ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati

◉ Saferworld ○ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)

○ Save the Children ○ Search for Common Ground (SFCG) ○ SismaMujer

○ SOS Sahel Sudan ○ Stichting Impunity Watch ○ Tearfund

○ The Carter Center, Inc. ○ Trocaire ○ War Child

○ World Vision International ○ World Vision Myanmar ○ ZOA

○ blank_placeholder ○ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- (●) No other recipients
- ( ) Yes, other UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- [ ] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [ ] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [ ] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- [ ] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization
- [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations
- [ ] Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

....

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

9

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◉ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Hope Restoration South Sudan

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

207237

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Hope Restoration (HRSS) has supported the capacity strengthening of eight women civil society organisations in all project locations. HRSS provided trainings to Women's Civil Society Organisations (WCSOs) in key thematic areas necessary for the functioning and running of their organisations. The capacity strengthening is tailored to the needs each of the WCSOs identified during a two-way organisation capacity assessment carried out at the onset of the project by Saferworld and HRSS teams. Furthermore, HRSS led on building collaboration and synergies among WCSOs and the networks at state and county level – linking the project to other interventions at sub national and county level is key to its sustainability and multiplying the impacts of project gains beyond its duration and timeframe.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- (●) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Child Care Organisation (CHICO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

53165

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

CHICO has facilitated training of women on entrepreneurship skills in Cueikeer Boma, in Bor south county. CHICO further facilitated training of women on leadership to strengthen their voices in decision making in Twic East County. In addition to the above, CHICO conducted community awareness among the IDP population in Bor Town on the need to protection girls and to challenge negative cultural practices such as early and forced marriages in communities.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- (•) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Centre for Inclusive Governance, Peace and Justice

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

47693

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Centre for Inclusive Governance, Peace and Justice (CIGPJ) formed five peace committees in Yei, Tore, Mugwo and Otogo Payams of River County. The peace committees are instrumental in promoting social cohesion in their communities. CIGPJ also facilitated training for women leaders on women peace and security (WPS) to enhance their knowledge of WPS.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

⦿ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ITWAK Women Empowerment

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

55945

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

ITWAK facilitated a five day workshop on women peace and security to local leaders, women and youth in Torit county – targeting 18 women and 7 men with prominence in communities so that they act as change agents for participants' own and women's empowerment. ITWAK furthermore conducted peacebuilding training for Agents of Change peace groups in Nyong Payam, Torit county to enhance their peacebuiding skills and conflict mitigation in their communities. In addition, ITWAK conducted awareness campaigning on women's roles in peacebuilding and conflict prevention in Torit, emphasising important role of women in society beyond domestic work.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ⦿ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Women Agency for Progress Organisation

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

55092

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Women Agency for Progress Organisation (WAPO) formed five village saving and loan groups (3 in Torit county and 2 in Nimule Payam) - these groups consisting mainly of women is crucial in supporting income generating activities in the project. WAPO trained social analysis and action peace groups on conflict mitigation to support social cohesion in their communities. The organisation also conducted awareness raising campaigning on negative cultural practices such as forced marriages in Torit and Nimule. The campaign aimed to challenge the taken-for-granted cultural practices that hinder women's participation in decision making at family and community level.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ● Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Girl Child Africa Foundation

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

47391

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *
Please limit your response to 1500 characters
Girl Child Africa Foundation conducted intra-communal dialogues among different Jikany clans of Nuer community in Nasir county – the dialogue aimed at bringing communal differences, which have fuelled internal clans' feuds and impacts of the conflict in the county. GCAF also conducted an awareness raising campaign on GBV and addressing sexual exploitation in the community.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ⬤ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Gender Engagement Call

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

74526

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Gender Engagement Call (GEC) facilitated a cross border peace dialogue between Jikany Nuer of Nasir County, Upper Nile state and the Lou Nuer of Akobo County, Jonglei state. The dialogue addressed cattle raiding issues, revenge killing and conflicts stemming from contestation over water points during the dry spells among the two neighbouring communities. The organisation also facilitated training on human rights for 50 participants drawn from local authorities, communities and faith based organisation to enhance their knowledge on human rights' issues in the county. GEC further conducted an awareness raising campaign in Akobo to promote the respect of and protection of girls and women in the community, as well advocating for an end to early and forced marriages among the Lou Nuer community. Finally, they facilitated training in leadership, targeting local government administrators and law enforcement agents.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

⦿ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Women for Justice and Equality

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

52184

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Women for Justice and Equality (WOJE) conducted awareness raising in Kajokeji – focusing on educating the public on the Maputo protocol and the need to protect women and girls in the county. They also facilitated training on WPS to county and Payam leaders, youth and women, highlighting key provisions of the women peace and security agenda. Furthermore, WOJE conducted radio talk shows on peaceful coexistence and women's rights. They also established two women and girls' safe spaces in Kajokeji and finalised a needs assessment in the centres.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ⬤ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Women Empowerment, Reconciliation and Development

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

60176

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Women Empowerment, Reconciliation and Development (WERD) implemented women's income generating activities with women's groups in Malakal and Baliet. They provided start-up capital to the small scale business women working in Malakal market. WERD facilitated inter-communal dialogue to promote social cohesion and peaceful coexistence among the Dinka, Nuer and Shiluk communities in Upper Nile state. They also conducted awareness campaign on Gender Based Violence (GBV) and its impacts on women and communities at large.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
Saferworld	* 2000000	* 700000	* 923147.14	46.16%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
TOTAL	**2000000**	**700000**	**923147.14**	**46.16%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **46.16%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct   ( ) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

94.52

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1890400**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 872558.68**. Is this correct? *

(●) Correct (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

UNPBF Saferworld bi-annual Project_report-RC Feb 23-May 24-15_16_45.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

(○) Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

(○) Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

(●) Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

(○) Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

(●) Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

(○) Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ◯ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ◯ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ◯ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ◯ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ◯ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ◯ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ◉ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ◯ (3.1) Employment
- ◯ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ◯ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ◯ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ◯ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☑ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ◯ Yes
- ◉ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Over the last six months, the project has engaged extensively with government officials at local and subnational levels in the activities that WCSO partners implemented. In Jonglei state for example, the Ministry of Peacebuilding and the Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Welfare participated in the awareness raising campaigns on women's inclusion and participation in local and state level decision-making processes. In Akobo County, the county authorities provided support by inviting their counterparts from Nasir for the latter to take part in communal dialogue that brought together Jikany of Nasir in Upper Nile state and Lou Nuer in Akobo of Jonglei State. In Juba, the project engaged with Central Equatoria state government officials in a roundtable event in May 2024. This event focused on identifying key conflict drivers in the state and advocacy priorities and devising best ways to resolve them.

In Yei, the project has engaged traditional leaders to find best ways to resolve conflict in the county using traditional methods of conflict resolution such as folk storytelling. This engagement was done in collaboration with other entities in the county including the United Nations Mission in South Sudan.

In Eastern Equatoria, WCOS partners engaged the Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Welfare in awareness raising campaign on the need to increase women's participation in decision-making processes at state level.

At the national level, the project has engaged with Ministry of Peacebuilding, particularly in peacebuilding partners' coordination meetings chaired by the Undersecretary of the Ministry of Peacebuilding. The coordination meeting is useful platform for sharing peacebuilding ideas and challenges among peacebuilding practitioners in South Sudan.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

● Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

● Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

● Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

( ) Not Started   ( ) Initiated   ( ) Partially Completed

(●) Completed   ( ) Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Resourcing Change project's preliminary activities are completed. These include identification of eight women civil society organisation partners, conducting of organisation capacity assessments to identify capacity gaps, joint capacity strengthening planning and disbursing core and flexible grants to address self-identified programmatic and institutional development needs by each WCSO.

Eight women civil society partners developed and implemented self-identified activities in all four states (Central, Eastern Equatoria, Jonglei and Upper Nile) and recruited key personnel responsible for the implementation and coordination of project activities.

The project team also conducted introductory meetings with local authorities and communities in each project location to get buy-in and create a sense of ownership of the initiative by communities and local authorities.

Women civil society organisations have been part of the project since the inception activities. They have organised inception meetings at state level in which relevant government line ministries, CSOs, INGOs and UN agencies attended and were taken through key activities, aims and expected outcomes of the project.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

(●) Yes

( ) No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The eight Women's Civil Society Organisations (WCSOs) have completed the self-identification of activities which they intend to implement with support from this project, submitted proposals for peer-review and have received funding to start implementing their initiatives. These activities include for instance women's economic empowerment, awareness campaigns on GBV and negative cultural practices and peace dialogues. Women-led initiatives across all project locations are on track, with many activities already implemented and demonstrating significant impact at local and subnational levels. For instance, in Malakal, Upper Nile state, women's economic activities through income generating initiatives have made significant contribution in improving women's sources of income and elevating alleviating their voices at family and community levels as testified by WERD and WAPO in their quarterly programme progress reports. By providing women with income through economic empowerment initiatives, the project enables them to provide food on the table for their families – something that can in turn lead to social harmony at a family level, promote greater resilience within families and communities to conflict and climate induced shocks, reduce their vulnerability and enable women's greater participation in decision-making at family and community level.

Additionally, WCSO capacity strengthening training on self-identified needs, led by Saferworld and Hope Restoration to the eight WCSOs have been completed. These capacity strengthening trainings, particularly on QuickBooks and monitoring and evaluation tools, have helped WCSO partners to address some of their self-identified institutional capacity gaps. Furthermore, WCSO partners have started to implement their organisational development plans using the flexible funds to strengthen their institutional functioning and leadership. For instance, Women Agency for Progress Organisation reviewed and adopted their new operational and human resources policies and rejuvenated their board of directors. This has capacitated the organisation to manage its operations more effectively as demonstrated in their quarterly report.

Local structures at community level (four in Yei, 3 in Torit and 2 in Nimule) have been established to enable the project to engage with communities and local authorises in a more coordinated and structured manner. For instance, village savings and loan associations and community peace committees have been formed in Torit, Eastern Equatoria and Akobo in Jonglei states. These structures are critical connectors in communities and will ensure a sense of continuity of project gains beyond its life span.

Furthermore, the project has identified and worked with women-led networks across four states (Central Equatoria, Eastern Equatoria, Jonglei and Upper Nile) to create and build synergies and collaboration. This notion is based on the premise that the project cannot operate in a silo and must engage with networks and others to advance and push for women's empowerment and address conflict drivers that traverse geographical and administrative boundaries.

The project has established working relationships with women-led networks to coordinate on cross boundary issues that affect women and girls and promote peace and social cohesion through advocacy. This falls within the project advocacy and networking outcomes aimed at amplifying community voices and concerns to authorities and stakeholders such as INGOs and UN agencies. As part of building synergies with others, our partner, Women Agency for Progress Organisation in Torit was part of CSOs and WCSOs that took part in a symposium that discussed challenges to peace and stability in South Sudan. Capacity strengthening trainings planned for women civil society partners are delivered on monitoring and evaluation, safeguarding and on proposal development as identified by the project are done, the remaining training is on conflict sensitivity, gender sensitivity and conflict resolution and these are planned for next two quarters.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project deliberately targeted women at the onset of its activities across all project locations as most activities proposed by women civil society oraganisation partners are women empowerment related. In Kajo-Keji for instance, Women for Justice involved women leaders in the design of its activities under the project. This was done in recognition of the fact that women's priorities and needs are better addressed when women are in the driver's seat and have to be engaged before any intervention is designed and implemented. In Malakal and Bailet counties, in Upper Nile state , the project is supporting women's income generating activities with particular consideration to young women - the income generating activities were self-driven and responded to women's needs and women empowerment agenda and priorities in the state.

In Torit , county, Easterrn Equatoria state, the project challenged negative gender norms and cultural practices that hindered women's participation in decision-making processes at family, community and government levels by raising awareness on the impacts of such practices and advocated for greater inclusion of women at all levels of public life.

Besides addressing negative cultural norms, our WCSO partner in Torit, Women Agency for Progress Organisation (WAPO) conducted training on village savings and loans, and literacy and numeracy for women leaders in Nyong and Nimule Payams as part of empowering women in the management of their businesses. These trainings instilled some knowledge and ability in the women leaders in the group to keep records and day to day data on saving schemes.

In Akobo county, Jonglei state , over 60% of members of the eight Community Change Clubs are women (49 women, 23 men) – these individuals in the community are key in promoting social cohesion and addressing conflict drivers within the community. To effectively address conflict drivers, which are often gendered in nature, the project specifically targets men and women in its activities to ensure their representation not only in the project, but to drive and lead positive change in the communities.

Women and youth's participation in key project activities, such as community dialogue and trainings, are prioritised. Selection of participants is done with consideration for the wider participation by women and young people in mind, as these groups in the society are the most affected by violent conflict and under representation in the affairs of community as well as in local and subnational level decision-making process at state and county governments.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

- ● Yes
- ○ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project has contributed to peacebuilding outcomes in some locations of its implementation. In Akobo County, Jonglei and Nasir counties in Jonglei Upper Nile states, the project addressed long-standing conflict between the Jikany of Nasir County and Lou Nuer from Akobo. Since the late 1990s, the two communities have been in perpetual conflict over numerous issues including clan rivalry, cattle raiding and competition over scarce resources. The conflict limited freedom of movement between the two counties, shattered the long practice of resource sharing and created tension. Early this year, Gender Engagement Call with funding from the project organised a communal dialogue aimed at bridging the differences between the two communities and to promote unity, social cohesion and allow resumption of free interaction.

As a result of the dialogue, the two sides have now paid blood compensations for the people that were killed during the conflicts in the 1990s and early 2000s, allowed cattle herders from each community to access water and pastures on each other territories and resumption of shared economic activities such as fishing.

The dialogue has effectively contributed to peace and addressed conflict drivers that for long have kept the two communities apart – a situation made worse due to the long political instability in Upper Nile and Jonglei states.

The project has allowed the two communities to share grassland during the dry season this year for the first time since the conflict started in 1990s. This has in turn lead to building social cohesion, unity and solidarity among counties and communities in Akobo in Jonglei and Nasir in Upper Nile.

Local authorities and civil society organisations in the area commended the role of the project in restoring and rebuilding relationships among communities and between the two counties. William Deng, Payam Executive Director, appreciated the contribution of the project in the normalisation of relationships and peaceful settlement of conflict between Jikany and Lou Nuer communities. It is hoped that the relative peace and social cohesion brought about by the project is replicated in other parts of Upper Nile and Jonglei states, where communal violence and conflicts are entrenched.

Two other inter-communal dialogues were conducted during the reporting period by implementing partner WERD; one in Malakal, Upper Nile State, and one in Baliet County. The peace resolutions from the Malakal community dialogue is attached here.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

COMMUNITY DIALOGUE IN MALAKAL 25-3-24-15_31_52.pdf

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

WCSOs have improved organisational capacity on self-identified institutional development priorities, in a strategic and demand led way, including on protection strategies, through WCSO led peer learning and accompaniment models based on solidarity, meaningful engagement and equal partnership principles

Outcome 2: *

WCSOs are able to design and implement their own initiatives on peace building and conflict prevention, including priority women, peace and security issues, which meet the priorities of their constituencies, particularly of girls, young women and men, and those living with disabilities, from a gender equality and intersectionality approaches

Outcome 3: *

WCSOs and women-led networks/coalitions (formal and informal) develop appropriate spaces to share evidence-based outcomes and learning, and to coordinate, network and jointly advocate for inclusive and gender responsive peace, security and justice systems and structures, including engaging sub-national authorities to develop and/or strengthen practices and policies that require cross-country/ cross-administrative solutions to peace and security issues, and WPS

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: WCSOs have improved organisational capacity on self-identified institutional development priorities, in a strategic and demand led way, including on protection strategies, through WCSO led peer learning and accompaniment models based on solidarity, meaningful engagement and equal partnership principles

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	**% of WCSOs representatives who report their organizational capacity improved in line with their self-defined priorities.**	**0%**	**80%**	**73%**	**This indicator is on track. The survey was conducted from 3 to13 June 2024. 73% respondents reported that their organisational capacity had "improved a lot" while the remaining 27% reported it had "improved somewhat". However, for the purpose of this indicator we only counted those who reported "improved a lot". It is anticipated that more progress will be made over the course of the project.**

1.2	% of WCSOs representatives who believe the flexible and core funding mechanisms have increased WCSOs independence to design and implement initiatives around women, peace and security agendas.	0%	80%	77%	This indicator is on track. In June 2024, when we surveyed participants, we only counted respondents who reported their independence had "increased a lot". Similarly, the remaining 23% reported it had "increased somewhat".
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

    1   2   [3]   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

One mapping conducted in the target states and conflict clusters, with at least 8 WCSOs selected.

Output 1.2

At least 8 Organizational Capacity Assessments (OCAs) conducted, one per selected WCSO.

Output 1.3

At least 8 WCSOs provided with capacity strengthening support in line with their self-defined priorities.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: One mapping conducted in the target states and conflict clusters, with at least 8 WCSOs selected.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**Number of mappings conducted in the target states and conflict cluster**	0	1	0	1	
1.1.2						
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: At least 8 Organizational Capacity Assessments (OCAs) conducted, one per selected WCSO.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Number of bespoke organizational capacity strengthening plans co-developed with WCSOs**	**0**	**8**	**8**	**9**	**In addition to the eight WCSO capacity strengthening plans developed during this reporting period, Saferworld developed a two-way capacity strengthening plan with consortium partner, HRSS, in the previous period.**
1.2.2						
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: At least 8 WCSOs provided with capacity strengthening support in line with their self-defined priorities.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**Number of WCSOs that received capacity strengthening support in institutional, organizational and technical areas in response to their individualized OCA.**	**0**	**8**	**8**	**9**	**All the eight WCSOs received training and capacity strengthening support during the reporting period. In addition to the WCSOs, Saferworld provided financial management training for the consortium partner, HRSS in the previous reporting period.**

| 1.3.2 | Number of capacities strengthening activities (including trainings, workshops, one-to-one coaching, mentorship) held with WCSOs. | 0 | 16 | 5 | 7 | This indicator is on track. All the 8 WCSOs received training and capacity strengthening support on the following five thematic areas: Safeguarding, MEL, Finance management (quick books), Proposal development and Resource mobilisation during the reporting period. |
| 1.3.3 | | | | | | |

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: WCSOs are able to design and implement their own initiatives on peace building and conflict prevention, including priority women, peace and security issues, which meet the priorities of their constituencies, particularly of girls, young women and men, and those living with disabilities, from a gender equality and intersectionality approaches

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	**Number and description of harvested outcomes demonstrating results from WCSO's funded initiatives that address priority women, peace and security issues.**	**0**	**16, at least 2 per WCSO**	**8**	**This indicator is on track.**
2.2	**Percentage of WCSO initiatives supported by the project which contribute to gender transformative peacebuilding and conflict prevention, in line with community needs and priorities.**	**0%**	**100%**	**100%**	**This indicator is on track.**
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1
At least 8 small grants administered to WCSOs (one per WCSO) to implement their own peacebuilding and conflict prevention initiatives.

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: At least 8 small grants administered to WCSOs (one per WCSO) to implement their own peacebuilding and conflict prevention initiatives.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1	**Number of WCSOs receiving small grants under this project**	**0**	**8**	**8**	**8**	**This indicator is on track.**
2.1.2						
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3: WCSOs and women-led networks/coalitions (formal and informal) develop appropriate spaces to share evidence-based outcomes and learning, and to coordinate, network and jointly advocate for inclusive and gender responsive peace, security and justice systems and structures, including engaging sub-national authorities to develop and/or strengthen practices and policies that require cross-country/ cross-administrative solutions to peace and security issues, and WPS

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	**% of participants in the WCSOs and women-led networks/coalitions reporting that events (such as outcome harvesting, networking, coordination etc.) are valuable spaces for learning and coordination.**	**0%**	**80%**	**81%**	**81% of the respondent's reported the outcome harvesting, networking, and coordination events were somewhat valuable or valuable a lot.**
3.2	**% of civil society representatives targeted by the project (WCSOs and networks) who report that they have increased capacity to influence policy and decision-making.**	**0**	**60%**	**50%**	**This indicator is on track. Advocacy and networking training will be provided for WCSO networks in Juba in the next reporting period. In addition, all the four networks will implement their joint initiatives in the next reporting period.**

3.3	Number of WCSOs targeted by the project who are linked to [and/or secure financial resources from] donors to support their initiatives as a result of activities under this project	0	At least 4 linked under this project.	5	5 WCSOs have been linked to donors as a result of this project.

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1   2   3   [4]   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Women-led networks/coalitions identified and/or formed at state and cluster level.

Output 3.2

WCSOs and women-led networks are supported to convene, develop joint visions for peace, and implement advocacy interventions on conflict issues that cut cross administrative boundaries issues at cluster level.

Output 3.3

Policy and/or issue-based dialogues facilitated between WCSOs, women-led networks/coalitions and sub-national authorities at cluster level to influence processes of cross-boundaries conflict transformation in a more conflict-sensitive manner.

Output 3.4

National authorities, and international stakeholders, have increased access to evidence-based outcomes and learning to inform and shape their peacebuilding and gender, peace and security strategies, including to better address underlying cross-administrative conflict issues.

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Women-led networks/coalitions identified and/or formed at state and cluster level.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1	**Number of women-led networks, platforms and or coalitions identified and/or formed at state and cluster level**	**2 (Bor and Torit)**	**4**	**2**	**4**	**This indicator is on track. Established and/or supported 4 State-level WCSO networks as per the plan in Eastern Equatoria, Central Equatoria, Jonglei and Unity States.**

3.1.2	Number of demand driven capacity strengthening support activities (including trainings, workshops, one-to-one coaching, mentorship) held with women-led networks under this project	0	2	3	3	This indicator is on track. Three trainings and capacity strengthening support were provided on advocacy and networking for WCSO networks in Bor (12 WCSO) Malakal (WCSO) and Torit (30 WCSO) during the reporting period.
3.1.3	Number of participants trained within the women-led networks/coalitions/platforms under this project	100	100	72 (40 female and 32 Male)	72 (40 female and 32 Male)	This indicator is on track. Project trained 25 in Bor (13 female and 12 male), 18 in Malakal(12 male and 6 female) and 29 in Torit (8 male and 21 female)

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: WCSOs and women-led networks are supported to convene, develop joint visions for peace, and implement advocacy interventions on conflict issues that cut cross administrative boundaries issues at cluster level.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1	**Number of convenings held at state- and cluster level under this project**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**1 roundtable was held in Juba during this reporting period. 3 more are planned for the next reporting period.**

3.2.2	Number of small grants administered to women-led networks and coalitions to implement joint advocacy initiatives under this project	0	4	1	1	1 small grant was given to the Jonglei WCSO network during this period, whilst 1 proposal for Torit WCSO network has been approved. 2 others will be developed in the next reporting period.
3.2.3	Number of communication strategies, action plans, and State structures formulated to guide and promote information management under this project	0	8	0	0	The WCSO networks have been established and strengthened during this first year and will start working together to develop action plans at state level next month.

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3: Policy and/or issue-based dialogues facilitated between WCSOs, women-led networks/coalitions and sub-national authorities at cluster level to influence processes of cross-boundaries conflict transformation in a more conflict-sensitive manner.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

3.3.1	Number of policy and or issue-based dialogues at the state and/or cluster-level facilitated under this project	0	5	3	3	This indicator is on track. Three peace dialogues have been held already with 2 more planned in next phase. Three dialogues were: 1. Dialogue on peaceful co-existence between Dinka and Nuer communities in Malakal (Dinka, Nuer and Shuluk) and Baliet (Dinka and Nuer) - resolution attached. 2. Intra-communal dialogue between different section of Nuer community in Nasir County - resolution attached. 3. Dialogue between Jikny in Nasir and Lou in Akopo in Jonglei State (cross-border) on recurring conflict on cattle raiding and resource sharing in Akop.
3.3.1						

| 3.3.2 | Number of resolutions and actions agreed at local, state and/or cluster-level as a result of project activities | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | This indicator is on track. Community leaders from Lou Nuer and Jikany Nuer tribes, agreed on resolution of banning guns, forming a joint team to recover stolen cattle, and requiring legal documents for cattle movement in Jikmir Payam, Nasir County and Upper Nile County. Also, Community leaders from the Lou Nuer and Murle communities signed a resolution to end violence and child abductions, a commitment that led to the immediate return of 11 abducted children and an expectant mother between the communities in Burmath and Akobo East. |
| 3.3.3 | | | | | | |

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4: National authorities, and international stakeholders, have increased access to evidence-based outcomes and learning to inform and shape their peacebuilding and gender, peace and security strategies, including to better address underlying cross-administrative conflict issues.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

3.4.1	Number of policy and communication outputs (briefings, blogs, communication products, etc.) produced and disseminated under this project to inform stakeholder policies and practices	0	2	0	0	This indicator is on track. 1 policy paper and 1 case study are drafted and will be finalised in the next quarter.
3.4.2	Number of decision-makers who receive advocacy and communication briefings and outputs developed under this project	0	45	0	0	This is a follow up activity from indicator 4.2.1 and will be reached in the next reporting period.
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?
1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.2.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.2.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.2.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1	**State roundtable to identify advocacy issues and prioritise in Jonglei**	**11-13 June 2024**	**Bor, Jonglei state**	**WCSOs, WRO network, state and traditional authorities**	**To identify advocacy issues relating to women, peace and security and address cross boundary conflict drivers. The Round Table identified and discussed issues of women's inheritance and the cultural practice of denial of resources to women which contribute to women's vulnerability in the Dinka society. Participants including senior government officials who agreed that these practices need to change after engaging with the WCSOs..**
Event 2	**State roundtable event in Malakal and Torit**	**First and second week of July 2024**	**Malakal, Upper Nile state and Torit, Eastern Equatoria**	**WCSOs, WRO network, state and traditional authorities**	**To identify advocacy issues and address cross boundary conflict drivers, especially those that affect the WPS agenda.**
Event 3	**Publication of case studies**	**End of June 2024**	**Online and disseminated in relevant fora**	**WCSOs, CSO, INGO and donors**	**Showcase impacts of project in promoting peace at grassroots level**
Event 4	**Outcome Harvesting workshop**	**October 2024**	**Juba**	**WCSO partners, Saferworld and Hope Restoration programme team**	**Record impacts of project, achievements and review challenges**

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

| 1 | WCSOs, Community members living in Nasir and Akobo | The conflict between the Jikany of Nasir County, Upper Nile state and the Lou Nuer of Akobo County in Jonglei protracted for over three decades with devastating effects on livelihoods for the two communities. Due to this conflict, economic activity for the locals such as fishing were halted as young men from both sides feared being ambushed while fishing in the rivers. In addition to loss of livelihoods, the conflict restricted the movement of people and goods from Nasir to Akobo and vice versa. After Gender Engagement Call facilitated the dialogue early this year, the two communities agreed blood compensation for people killed in the conflict and returned to peace and social harmony ('blood compensation' is the term for the number of cows given to families of people killed during conflicts by the families of those responsible for the killings). The two communities now move freely to each other's locations and fishing activities have resumed – resulting in better living for the communities. | " The peace dialogue has restored broken relationship between the Jikany and Lou Nuer communities, something we have missed for decades", Tut, Omot, Project Coordinator, Gender Engagement Call. "It's our hope the relative [peace] the project has made in our community is sustained [for] many years to come; we don't want to see ourselves going back to those dark old days in our life". |

2	Local Authority, Akobo County	The conflict between the Jikany of Nasir County in Upper Nile state and the Lou Nuer of Akobo County in Jonglei protracted for over three decades with devastating effects on livelihoods for the two communities. Due to this conflict, economic activity for the locals such as fishing were halted as young men feared being ambushed while fishing in the rivers. In addition to loss of livelihoods, the conflict restricted people movement from Nasir to Akobo and vice versa. After Gender Engagement Call facilitated the dialogue early this year, the two communities agreed blood compensation for people killed in the conflict and returned to peace and social harmony. The two communities now move freely to each other's locations and fishing activities have resumed – leading to better living for the communities	"The dialogue between Jikany Nuer of Nasir and Lou Nuer of Akobo has achieved something we have failed to do in nearly thirty years as local government. It has contributed significantly to normalising fractious relationship between the two neighbouring Nuer communities which has existed for decades", William Deng, Executive Director, Akobo County. "The two counties and the state governments will ensure the little gains made by the project is sustained and replicated in other counties in Jonglei and Upper Nile states".
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Women's economic empowerment activities designed and implemented by WCSO partners under the project have impacted on the lives of women in project locations. In Chueikeer Boma, Kolynyang Payam of Bor county for instance, entrepreneurship skills such as bakery have made a significant difference in the lives of women in the area by instilling in them skills to produce commodities such as bread within their commodities.

Yar Mayol, a woman leader in Cueikeer and one of the beneficiaries of the project is now running her business independently using skills she acquired from training provided by WCSO partner, CHICO. In Yar's words, economic empowerment for women has contributed to her financial stability as she is now capable of running her business effectively. She stressed that "women's entrepreneurship training if extended to women across Jonglei and South Sudan in general will change women's life positively. When a womaen isbecome economically independent, leading to less domestic violence and conflict over resources are reduced ate at the family level and leads to greater participation in community affairs and governance processesprocesses" by women. The women's economic empowerment activities, particularly training in bakery is appreciated and considered as valuable change the project is making in the lives of women in Cueikeer Boma of Bor county.

Due to the agreement and resolutions reached at the dialogue in February 2024 that obliged young people herding cows or taking a cow to markets in the county to have a document or a permit, a young man recovered his stolen cow that was taken from him by youth in Jikmir, Nasir County, Upper Nile state, Galuak Gai bought his cow after investing his time on fishing and accumulated money to buy it. His plan was for his cow to multiply so that he is able to pay for brideprice for a spouse. After the cow was stolen, Gatluak lost hope of ever savingraising another money again to buy a similar cow. He said after recovering his cow; "I am so pleased with the project for making us reach this agreement – I would have not found my cow again if the dialogue had not taken place".

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:	*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☑ Strengthened capacities
- ☑ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☑ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project provided funding for WCSOs to undertake participative, peer-supported assessments of their institutional and technical capacities and then provided them with funding and training to meet their self-identified capacity needs. In addition, by connecting WCSOs to each other, peer support and learning has been institutionalised, something which has been greatly prized by the WCSOs themselves.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project is providing grassroots women civil society organisations with core and flexible funds. The funds enable WCSOs to develop, design and implement self-identified activities and initiatives in their communities. This mechanism allows WCSOs to respond to pressing issues pertinent and relevant to the needs, concerns and priorities of the citizens of South Sudan.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project is working in partnership with women-led networks across the four states to amplify and escalate advocacy issues and priorities with state and national government and other entities at all levels.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☑ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☑ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☑ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project is working in collaborating and partnership with civil society organisations, particularly women-led networks and women-led and owned organisations in South Sudan. The project builds synergies with Jonglei women rights network, Eastern Equatoria women-led network as well as women coalition at the national level. These collaboration and partnership is aiding advancing women's priorities and issues to higher level of government, The project works closely with state and county authorities in all the four states in South Sudan. In Jonglei and Eastern Equatoria for instance, the project is actively engaging with Ministries of Gender, Child and Social Development and the Ministry of Peacebuilding. It also engages with state advisors and other government entities including the police. This is necessary and critically important in escalating community concerns and priorities to authorities, particularly issues around peace, security and women empowerment. At national level, the project collaborates with Ministry of Peacebuilding and the Ministry of Gender Child and Social Development. The project team participates in monthly coordination meetings organised and chaired by the two ministries in which challenges to the project are discussed.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☑ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☑ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Bi-annual programme review and outcome harvesting workshop: In March 2024, Saferworld conducted project review and outcome harvesting workshop in Juba. These sessions assess and track the project's progress and outcomes. The programme review checks the status and effectiveness of implemented activities, while the outcome harvesting workshops document changes in behaviour, relationships, and practices achieved during the project. This biannual exercise helps Saferworld and implementing partners understand of the impact of the project, gleans learning from successes and challenges, and provides an opportunity to adapt strategies for future phases.

Monthly activity implementation updates: These regular updates provide a continuous feedback loop, allowing real-time tracking of progress, immediate identification of delays or issues, and quick resolution. Frequent monitoring ensure that activities are executed as planned, deviations are promptly addressed, and the team remain informed and aligned, contributing to the overall success of the project.

Project site visits to monitor activity implementation: regular site visits offer direct observation and verification of activities. They enable interactions with ground-level staff, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders, provide first-hand insights into the project's progress and challenges. Site visits validate reported information, ensure compliance with standards, and identify issues not apparent from reports alone.

Annual online survey: In June, annual online surveys conducted to assess the perceptions of WCSOs on the project capacity-strengthening activities have impacted their institutional and programmatic capacities, as well as their ability to influence local authorities etc. These surveys gather data on several key areas:

Institutional capacity: Assess improvements in WCSOs internal financial management system, governance and overall institutional capacities.

Programmatic capacity: Assess changes in WCSOs capacity around project design, implementation, MEL etc. focusing on women, peace and security agendas.

Advocacy and campaigning Capacity: Assess changes in WCSOs capacity or ability to engage with and influence local authorities, including changes in policy advocacy skills, public engagement strategies, and collaboration with other stakeholders.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

(•) Yes

( ) No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The baseline for outcome indicators is established to systematically measure the changes and improvements in Women's Civil Society Organizations' (WCSOs) capacities. These indicators are designed to capture various dimensions of capacity building, focusing on institutional and programmatic capacities, as well as WCSOs ability to engage with and influence authorities.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please refer to the monitoring section. Evidence is collected in multiple ways throughout the project cycle.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

◉ Yes

◯ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project periodically collects outcome level data through: Bi-annual programme review and outcome harvesting workshop: In March 2024, Saferworld conducted project review and OH with WCSOs in Juba.These sessions assess and track the project's progress and outcomes. The programme review checks the status and effectiveness of implemented activities, while the outcome harvesting workshops document changes in behavior, relationships, and practices achieved during the project. This bi-annual exercise helps to understand the impact of the project, capture learning from successes and challenges, and provides an opportunity to adapt strategies for future phases. Annual online survey: In June 2024, annual online surveys conducted to assess the perceptions of WCSOs staff on how the capacity-strengthening support have impacted their institutional and programmatic capacities, as well as their ability to influence local authorities etc. These surveys gather data on several key areas, please look at the Monitoring section to see the areas.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

◉ Yes

◯ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

To ensure effective community-based feedback mechanisms for the activities conducted under the PBF Resourcing Change project, WCSOs implemented various strategies and mechanisms. These mechanisms helped to gather input from community members, track and assess the impact of the activities, and adapt strategies to better meet the needs of the communities. Here are some feedback mechanisms: Community consultation during intra-communal dialogues Community consultation and feedback forums: In Nasir County intra-communal dialogues organised within different sections of the Nuer community to resolve internal conflicts and strengthen community bonds. Community consultation and post dialogue follow up conducted to help community member to identify their priority issues, provide feedback on the effectiveness and outcomes of the initial dialogues. Outcome harvesting approach Community-level outcome harvesting (OH) sessions: WCSOs routinely observes and collect feedback from communities, CSOs, authorities and others groups to assess how they are doing diffrently as the result of their engagments in the project activities (such as trainings, dialogues, awareness-raising etc.). The outcome harvesting exercises help to identify and document changes (both positive and negative/unexpected) in behavior, relationships, and practices achieved through the project. This exercise helps to understand the impact of the project, collect learning from successes and challenges, and provides an opportunity to adapt interventions. Community-based organizations and structures Established peace committee meetings: In Yei, a women peace committee established in Yei Payam, and three youth peace committee were established in Tore, Otogo, and Mogwou Payams respectively. The committee members meet regularly to identify their priority issues, provide feedback on their activities, challenges faced, and support required. These committees aim to promote peace and address conflicts within the community. Village saving and loan associations (VSLA) Established VSLA feedback mechanisms: In Easter Equatoria, five VSLAs were formed, three in Torit and two in Nimule County, targeting 151 individuals (6 out of 151 are male). These associations meet regularly and aimed to promote economic stability and self-sufficiency. Regular feedback sessions conducted within VSLAs to discuss their effectiveness, challenges, and areas for improvement. Media and outreach Radio talk-show: In Bor, a radio talk-show was held to enlighten the community, particularly IDPs, on girl protection and the issues of early and forced marriage, featuring discussions with male and female community leaders. Radio talk-show allows listeners to call in during radio shows to provide real-time feedback and discuss their views.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- ● Yes
- ○ No
- ○ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

65000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ○ Yes
- ○ No
- ● Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

- ○ Yes
- ● No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

- (●) Yes
- (○) No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- (●) Some catalytic effect
- (○) Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has galvanised and strengthened GBV coordination among women civil society organisations at local level. For instance, in Akobo. Jonglei and Nasir, Upper Nile states, our WCSO partners are now active participants in GBV coordination meetings. In Yei, Centre for Inclusive Governance, Peace and Justice advocated for the re-establishing of GBV referral pathways in Yei River County, Central Equatoria which the county gender department has now revitalised.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Working with national NGO and women's civil society organisation partners, who understand the context and are closer to communities is a clear step and indication for sustaining the peacebuilding gains in the project. In addition, the project established peace committees at the community level in Central Equatoria, Jonglei and Upper Nile states together with WCSO partners. Peace committees who come from communities create a sense of sustainability and continuity even after the end of the project.
Furthermore, working with networks and with local authorities is crucial to get their buy-in and for sustainability too, as a network is in a position to continue to advocate on pertinent issues of peace, security and women empowerment beyond the project lifespan. The capacity of the WCSOs that is build through the project, including in financial management and reporting, fundraising and donor reporting will serve each organisation well as they seek out other partners to support their work in future.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Insecurity in some project locations has impacted programme implementation. For instance, violence erupted in Nasir County in Upper Nile state between government forces armed youth aligned with the Sudan People's Liberation Movement in Opposition (SPLA-IO) which forced the partner to suspend activities that were planned for the month of February 2024. The violence killed 26 people. This generated a tense atmosphere between the communities. Also, climatic factors such flooding is impeding movement of partners to some project locations. For example, in Malakal, partner staff couldn't easily travel to Bailet county due to road conditions as result of flooding, coupled with poor road infrastructure.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	**Outcome Harvesting**	**The outcome harvesting workshop held in Juba in May 2024 gathered outcomes from all WCSO partners on the implementation of the project and on any changes or adaptations needed during the next reporting period.**	**A number of outcomes were harvested, which have been shared in this report.**
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.