PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report   *

- ● Semi-annual
- ○ Annual
- ○ Final
- ○ Other

Date of submission of report   *

2024-05-13

2024-05-13

Name and Title of Person submitting the report   *

Aideen Conway, Knowledge Management and Communications Officer

Name and Title of Person who approved the report   *

Elvi Agunda, Project Manager

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- ◯ Yes
- ◯ No
- ◉ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ◯ Yes ◉ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ◯ Asia and the Pacific
- ◯ Central & Southern Africa
- ◉ East Africa
- ◯ Europe and Central Asia
- ◯ Global
- ◯ Latin America and the Caribean
- ◯ Middle East and North Africa
- ◯ West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ◯ Ethiopia
- ◉ Kenya
- ◯ Madagascar
- ◯ Somalia
- ◯ South Sudan
- ◯ Sudan
- ◯ Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ◉ 00140292: Promoting Peace and Inclusive Development in Borderlands Counties in North-Eastern Kenya
- ◯ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific   ☐ Central & Southern Africa   ☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia   ☐ Global   ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean

☐ Middle East and North Africa   ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

---

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-08-25

2023-08-25

Project End Date *

2025-08-25

2025-08-25

Has this project received an extension? *

◯ YES, Cost Extension

◯ YES, No Cost Extension

◯ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

🔘 NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

◯ YES, Cost Extension

◯ YES, No Cost Extension

◯ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

🔘 NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

○ Yes

● No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

○ Yes

● No

If yes, please select which *

○ National Trust Fund

○ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

● UN entity

○ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

● UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ○ IOM: International Organization for Migration

○ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

○ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

○ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

○ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ○ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

○ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ○ WFP: World Food Programme

○ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

○ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

○ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ○ ILO: International Labour Organization

○ WHO: World Health Organization   ○ PAHO/WHO

○ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ○ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

○ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

○ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ○ ITC: International Trade Centre

○ UNDPO   ○ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ( ) No other recipients
- (●) Yes, other UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *
Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- [ ] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [ ] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [x] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- [x] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization
- [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations
- [ ] Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

....

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

2

....

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner   *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

● Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

International Peace Support Training Centre (IPSTC)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period   *

160000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner   *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

IPSTC will work towards achieving the outcome of strengthened trust and cooperation between security agencies and communities to create a conducive and collaborative environment for human security, social cohesion and sustainable development.

To achieve this outcome, three key objectives are.

(i) Informed by field-based needs assessments in the target counties, to generate evidence-based data and tailor training packages on CIMIC and human rights bringing together security agencies and communities in the three counties.

(ii) To deliver the tailored training package on CIMIC and human rights to security agencies and communities in the three counties, to enhance stakeholders' capacity on CIMIC and human rights, build trust and collaborative relationships, and support cooperation and dialogue on human security and resilience initiatives.

(iii) To document, monitor and evaluate the impact of the CIMIC engagement, capacity development and implementation of CIMIC action plans, to foster learning and inform future practice and CIMIC engagement by security agencies.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner   *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

● Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

Pan-African human rights organization

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

HAKI Africa

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period   *

72000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner   *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Facilitate community engagement dialogues sessions to inform and support efforts to strengthen trust and enhance communities' understandings and engagement of security and human rights matters in Garissa, Mandera and Wajir counties, thereby contributing to a conducive and collaborative environment for human security, social cohesion and sustainable development. Document key security and human rights issues, priorities and risks emerging from community engagement dialogue sessions, longitudinal data collected and other emerging security and human rights issues in the community.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 2000000	* 700000	* 355785.61	17.79%
	*	*	*	%
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	* 500000	* 350000	* 290000	58%

WFP: World Food Programme	1500000 *	525000 *	9800 *	0.65%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

TOTAL	4000000	1575000	655585.61	
				16.3 9%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **16.39%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct   ( ) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

32.47

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1298800**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct   ( ) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 212868.65**. Is this correct? *

(●) Correct   ( ) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. The templates for the budget are available here *

230731 Copy of Draft Eight of PBF Budget Template 11 August 2023-12_16_12.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project   *

- ◯ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ⦿ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ◯ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project   *

- ◯ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ⦿ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ◯ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project   *

- ◯ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ◯ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ◯ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ◯ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ◯ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ⦿ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ◯ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ◯ (3.1) Employment
- ◯ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ◯ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ◯ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ◯ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?   *
Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☑ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The steering committee meets quarterly, and two meetings have been held in the past 6-months: the first on 30 October 2023 and the second on 3 April 2024. The next meeting is due to take place in July.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Engagement with the Government Over the Last Six Months:

National Government:
The Office of the Deputy President (ODP) is the national implementing partner for the project. Our efforts have been focused on identifying capacity gaps and opportunities within the ODP, aligning with its mandate under Executive Order No. 1 of 2023, which includes coordinating Development Partners' programmes in Kenya. Several strategic meetings have resulted in the contracting of an Individual Consultant to support these coordination efforts. This has led to enhanced engagement with both county and national government representatives, fostering vertical and horizontal linkages. The coordination framework, which includes development partners and CSOs, has been established to share information, experiences, and implement joint initiatives on emerging issues. These efforts are building the ODP's capacity to effectively carry out its mandate, ensuring the sustainability of project outcomes.

County Government:
In Wajir, the project has significantly engaged with the County Government. Through multiple meetings with County Assembly representatives, the Wajir Peace and Conflict Management Bill has successfully gone through a public participation process. This process not only ensures community input but also strengthens local governance structures, promoting long-term peace and conflict management.

Ministry of Interior:
At both national and county levels, we have engaged with representatives from the Ministry of Interior. National-level representatives have facilitated critical linkages with security focal points at the county level. In Wajir and Garissa, several meetings with the County Security Teams have provided valuable insights into improving community-security relations. These engagements have directly influenced project activities, contributing to sustainable community security solutions. The focus on building relationships and understanding between security agencies and communities is integral to the long-term success and sustainability of these interventions.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ◯ Not Started
- ◯ Initiated
- ⦿ Partially Completed
- ◯ Completed
- ◯ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ◯ Not Started
- ◯ Initiated
- ◯ Partially Completed
- ⦿ Completed
- ◯ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ◯ Not Started
- ⦿ Initiated
- ◯ Partially Completed
- ◯ Completed
- ◯ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ◯ Not Started
- ◯ Initiated
- ⦿ Partially Completed
- ◯ Completed
- ◯ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Implementing partners that have been contracted to support implementation of activities by UNDP include the Office of the Deputy President as well as six civil society organisations (CSOs) that will support in strengthening community feedback mechanisms at the county level. OHCHR has signed agreements with HAKI Africa and the International Peace Support Training Centre (IPSTC). Consultations are still ongoing with onboarding of the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights (KNCHR). WFP is in the final process of onboarding the partners that will support in the implementation of CIMIC projects.

Staff Recruitment: As of 13 May 2024, the project management unit (PMU) has been fully constituted comprising of a Project Manager, three County Project Officers deployed in the three counties, a Project Associate, a Knowledge Management and Communications Officer, a Data and Innovation Specialist and a Human Rights Officer. The PMU officers are strategically placed across the three agencies to support the implementation of the various activities. The project is in the process of recruiting an intern to support the knowledge management and communications component of the project. The recruitment process is in its final stages and it is envisaged that the candidate will be onboard in July. To support the Digital Peacebuilding component of the project, a Digital Peacebuilding Officer has been hired. During the design phase, it had been proposed that a firm would be hired to support this work, but due to budget constraints this was not feasible. To support the ODP with their activities, an Individual Consultant has been contracted to support. They will attend bi-weekly project technical meetings to provide updates on the status of ODP's interventions.

Most of the PMU staff have completed their Safe and Secure in Field Environments (SSAFE) training, mandatory for travelling to the project locations, facilitating travel to the three project counties. The Technical Support Officer will complete his SSAFE training at the end of June, allowing for digital peacebuilding activities to move forward at the county level.

Baseline: A contract was signed with Rufmo Consulting firm on 30 May to conduct a baseline assessment. The firm will conduct a comprehensive baseline assessment to gather data necessary for establishing project indicators, evaluating the project's feasibility, and conducting a stakeholder analysis. This analysis will identify key stakeholders directly and indirectly affected by project interventions.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

◯ Yes

🔵 No

*

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project is not on track due to three main challenges:

El Niño Floods: The onset of El Niño-induced floods, between November and part of December 2023 as well as April and May 2024, impacted accessibility to project sites and had a significant impact on the livelihoods of target beneficiaries, with some displaced. The heavy rains have caused significant infrastructure damage, including washed-out sections of the Garissa highway and the temporary closure of Mandera airstrip, displacements, loss of lives and the loss of livestock. Moreover, the ODP, had to realign its efforts to coordinating flood response at the national level leading to unavoidable delays in field activities.

Staff Recruitment Delays: After receiving funds in August 2023, we promptly initiated recruitment for key project positions, including the Project Manager, Project Associate, Knowledge Management and Communications Officer, County Project Officers, and Data and Innovation Specialist. Despite these efforts, the recruitment process extended into late October/November, with interviews and offers following in November and December. Most staff commenced their roles in January 2024. This gap in staffing limited our initial implementation capacity.

Onboarding of Implementing Partners: There were also delays in onboarding our implementing partners. The Office of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights have experienced delays with the disbursement of grants. As of 14 June 2024, two of their three partners have received funds and commenced implementation, but the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights is still awaiting their allocation. The delay is due to a historical project which was not closed.

Now that the PMU is fully constituted and key implementing partners are onboard, it is envisaged that implementation will move forward smoothly. As we move forward, the following measures will be taken to mitigate delays:

Enhanced coordination and communication:

1. Regular progress meetings
- Clear communication channels for quick problem-solving and decision-making.
- Utilize digital tools like Microsoft Planner for project management and monitoring to improve efficiency and real-time tracking of progress.

2. Contingency planning:
- Develop contingency plans: Develop detailed contingency plans for key project activities to address potential risks and minimize delays.
- Risk management: Maintain a comprehensive risk register to identify, assess, and mitigate risks throughout the project lifecycle.

Increased oversight and support:
- Flag risks and delays: Regularly update the Project Steering Committee (PSC) and donor on project risks, delays, and mitigation measures.
- Revised and accelerated workplans: Develop revised workplans that account for delays and incorporate strategies to accelerate project activities where possible.

Project progress summary *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Consultative Dialogues (Output 2.1): In February 2024, UNDP led county-level consultations in Garissa and Wajir in collaboration with the Office of the Deputy President. The consultations were an opportunity to launch the project as well as engage with key community representatives on opportunities and gaps influencing their participation in development projects. Meetings were held with county government including the County Commissioner, the Governor and consultations with over 60 community representatives to introduce the project and a dialogue to understand key challenges to public participation touching on transparency, inclusivity and accountability. This engagement has laid a solid foundation for the project's successful implementation by identifying risks, priorities, sharing knowledge, and increasing alignment with county and national goals.

Due to El Nino-related floods, the official project launch in Mandera was postponed until early July. To mitigate the delay, the Project Officer (PO) met with the Mandera County Commissioner, ensuring key stakeholders were informed and supportive. The PO also participated in the Peace Actors Forum on 21 March and met with the County Peace and Cohesion Department, further solidifying relationships.

To support the counties in public participation in policy making, the project collaborated with the Wajir County Assembly to engage the community in contributing to the Wajir County Peacebuilding and Conflict Management Bill 2023. Over one week, the assembly held a series of public engagement meetings with over 1,000 community representatives in the six constituencies. These consultations provided an opportunity for communities to contribute to policy and decision-making and for the assembly to be more aware of the community's priorities. The Bill will be debated in the County Assembly this week, with community feedback integrated, and it is expected that the governor will enact thereafter.

Development of CIMIC and human rights training package (Output 1.1.): In February and March 2024, the International Peace Support Training Centre conducted Training Needs Assessments (TNA) in the counties. These assessments involved focus group discussions and key informant interviews with CSOs, women's and youth groups, security agencies, elders, chiefs, religious leaders, police, military officers, and county administrators. Gender inclusivity was prioritized, with 38% female and 62% male participants. The assessments revealed a strong willingness from both security agencies and communities to collaborate on CIMIC activities. Following the TNAs, IPSTC is developing comprehensive training packages on CIMIC and human rights. Writing boards scheduled for late June 2024 will finalize the training packages for strategic and county-level courses. These initiatives aim to enhance local stakeholders' capacity, promoting effective peacebuilding and security cooperation.

Human Rights Risk Assessments (Output 1.2): Despite delays in grant fund disbursement, the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights has developed a robust data collection tool and reporting framework for the human rights baseline assessment. Desk research has informed the baseline assessment, and field research will proceed once funds are received. This baseline data will inform project outcome indicators and establish a foundation for quarterly human rights risk assessments, enhancing the project's responsiveness to emerging issues.

Inclusive Community Dialogues (Output 1.2): In April and May, HAKI Africa organized consultation and inception meetings with downstream partners and key county stakeholders in Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa. These preparatory activities set the stage for community dialogue forums, expected to start in July. The dialogues will inform CIMIC initiatives and contribute to discussions on human rights, peace, security issues, and potential solutions.

Community Feedback Mechanisms strengthened (Output 2.1): In April, UNDP issued a call for proposals for Low Value Grants (LVGs) to enhance social accountability and community feedback mechanisms. The call aimed to onboard CSOs to help county-level stakeholders listen and respond to community voices. Each CSO will receive a KES 3,000,000 grant to collect, analyze, and communicate community feedback on peace, security, and development projects, and implement measures addressing the findings. The call closed on 29 April, selecting six CSOs (two from each of the three counties). In early June, the UNDP finance team conducted assessments and capacity development for the CSOs. Once workplans are signed and funds disbursed, these CSOs will begin implementing activities.

Establishment of Data Management Mechanism (Output 2.1 and 2.2): In the first half of 2024, WFP focused on data activities. On 18 April, a data management consultation workshop with 24 participants (21M:3F) from Wajir, Mandera, Garissa, and the National government mapped the data management systems used by both county and national governments. This workshop laid the groundwork for improved data coordination and integration. From May, with the Data and Innovation Specialist on board, WFP began defining project data requirements and engaging implementing agencies to gather existing datasets. This comprehensive data mapping will support informed decision-making and project evaluation. The TOR for developing a data portal has been drafted, with the call for proposals closing on 16 June.

proposals closing on 16 June.

Despite initial delays, significant progress has been made in engaging partners, conducting consultations, and initiating key activities. Continuous coordination, frequent updates to stakeholders, and proactive risk management measures are being implemented to keep the project on track. We remain committed to achieving the project's objectives and delivering impactful results, as evidenced by strong stakeholder engagement, successful TNAs, and progress in data activities.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

To ensure that gender equality, women's empowerment, and youth inclusion are integral to our project, we have taken several proactive measures:

Inclusion of Gender Expertise:
- The firm contracted to conduct the baseline assessment includes a gender expert as part of the core research team. This ensures that gender considerations are integrated into all stages of the research process.
- All data collected will be disaggregated by gender, allowing for a nuanced understanding of the different impacts on men, women, and youth.

Gender, Age, and Disability Sensitivity:
- The firm will ensure that data collection and all project activities are sensitive to gender, age, disability, and cultural considerations (GESI). This includes training enumerators on these aspects to ensure that the surveys and interactions are respectful and inclusive.
- Efforts will be made to ensure a gender balance when engaging enumerators for the perception surveys. This not only promotes gender equality but also helps in gathering diverse perspectives.

GESI Considerations:
- GESI considerations will be guided and integrated throughout the research process, ensuring that the project remains responsive to the needs and perspectives of all segments of the population. This holistic approach will help in identifying and addressing any gaps or biases that might arise.

Active Participation of Women and Youth:
- Efforts have been made to ensure the active participation of women in all project activities. For instance, the International Peace Support Training Centre (IPSTC) has specifically focused on ensuring that the voices of women and youth were heard during the training needs assessments.

Engagement of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs):
- UNDP will engage two civil society organizations (CSOs) in each of the three counties, with a focus on social accountability. These CSOs will play a critical role in promoting transparency and responsiveness, ensuring that gender and youth considerations are thoroughly embedded in all aspects of the project.

In Wajir, one of the CSOs will map all feedback mechanisms and work to strengthen these mechanisms, ensuring there are functional linkages between the community and relevant institutions. This will help in creating a feedback loop that is inclusive and responsive to the needs of women and youth.

To date, these efforts have ensured that gender equality, women's empowerment, and youth inclusion are not just theoretical concepts but are actively pursued and implemented in the project. These measures will help in creating a more inclusive and equitable project environment, ultimately contributing to the broader goals of gender equality and youth empowerment.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

◯ Yes

🔘 No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

....

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?   *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

◯   Yes

◯   No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results   *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

....

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

....

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

....

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have   *

1   [2]   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

....

Outcome 1:   *

Strengthened trust and cooperation between security agencies and communities to create a conducive and collaborative environment for human security, social cohesion and sustainable development.

Outcome 2:   *

Institutional including (donor) coordination capacities of county and national governments strengthened to contribute to a people-centred approach to promoting peace and sustainable development.

Outcome 3:   *

....

Outcome 4:   *

....

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Strengthened trust and cooperation between security agencies and communities to create a conducive and collaborative environment for human security, social cohesion and sustainable development.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	**Proportion of people indicating improved security and community relations**	**TBC**		**A contract has just been signed with a firm to conduct the project baseline assessment. The first perception survey is expected to take place in July/August.**	**Project baseline assessment and human rights baseline to be conducted in July/August to provide the baseline. Progress will be tracked with perception surveys.**
1.2	**Proportion of people indicating reduction in human rights violations by the security agencies disaggregated by gender and county**	**TBC**		**A contract has just been signed with a firm to conduct the project baseline assessment. The first perception survey is expected to take place in July/August.**	**Baseline to be conducted**
1.3	**Proportion of people indicating reduction in intra/inter group conflicts disaggregated by gender and county**	**TBC**		**A contract has just been signed with a firm to conduct the project baseline assessment. The first perception survey is expected to take place in July/August.**	**Baseline to be conducted**

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Enhanced civil-military coordination through training, dialogue and establishment of CIMIC structures, including women, youth and marginalised groups in the target counties, to foster dialogue and cooperation between communities and security agencies to address human security challenges.

Output 1.2

Inclusive assessment and analysis of security and human rights issues to inform project initiatives, risk mitigation and joint exploration of possible solutions to address identified issues

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Enhanced civil-military coordination through training, dialogue and establishment of CIMIC structures, including women, youth and marginalised groups in the target counties, to foster dialogue and cooperation between communities and security agencies to address human security challenges.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

1.1.1	The number of CIMIC structures established and operationalized	0	3		TNAs were concluded in March and the curriculum is being developed. The training packages will be finalised towards the end of June.	Structures will be established after the CIMIC and human rights trainings are conducted.
1.1.2	Number of people trained on CIMIC and human rights, disaggregated by county, gender, age, disability	0	70		TNAs were concluded in March and the curriculum is being developed. The training packages will be finalised towards the end of June.	Once the curriculum is finalised, trainings will be rolled out. It is anticipated that this will happen to Q3.
1.1.3	Number of CIMIC projects identified and implemented	0	3		WFP have conducted field assessments to identify potential locations for the CIMIC projects.	This activity will take place after the CIMIC trainings have been conducted and CIMIC structures are established. The CIMIC champions will identify the projects.

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Inclusive assessment and analysis of security and human rights issues to inform project initiatives, risk mitigation and joint exploration of possible solutions to address identified issues	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Enhanced human rights situation awareness and risk mitigation through the implementation of human rights risk assessments**	**TBC**			**A contracting agreement is being finalised with the KNCHR to take this activity forward. Desk research has started and once funds are disbursed, field research will commence.**	**Human rights risk assessments have not commenced due to contracting issues.**

| 1.2.2 | Percentage increase in digital literacy and active participation of CIMIC structures, local peace structures (peace committees, elders, religious leaders, women, youth, and CSOs), with a specific emphasis on women, in digital peacebuilding initiatives. | TBC | | | In May, a Technical Support Officer was onboarded to support this area or work. He is currently developing the curriculum and conducing an assessment of the data landscape | Baseline assessment to be conducted and digital peacebuilding activities to be rolled out in July. |
| 1.2.3 | Stakeholders (county government, peace actors, donors, etc.) utilizing conflict analysis and foresight data to inform projects and plans | TBC | | | A data landscape mapping is currently being conducted | This activity will come later in project implementation, once the data landscape mapping has concluded and the project begins generating data. |

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
1.3.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.3.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
1.4.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.4.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.4.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Institutional including (donor) coordination capacities of county and national governments strengthened to contribute to a people-centred approach to promoting peace and sustainable development.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	**Number of governmental and non-governmental institutions at the county and national levels with enhanced coordination capacities and demonstrated commitment to implementing people-centered approaches for promoting peace and sustainable development**	**0**			**Baseline assessment to be conducted**
2.2	**Proportion of population indicating improved coordination of development interventions disaggregated by gender and counties**	**TBC**			**Baseline assessment to be conducted**
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Improved access, by governments/donors, to knowledge and relevant perspectives to incorporate innovative integrated development that addresses the needs and priorities of the communities

Output 2.2

Functional cooperation mechanisms that link national, county and ward level to maximize synergies and enhance cooperation between the different levels

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Improved access, by governments/donors, to knowledge and relevant perspectives to incorporate innovative integrated development that addresses the needs and priorities of the communities	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1	**Improved Inclusive Data Collection and Management Systems, with a Focus on Leave No One Behind (LNOB) Groups**	TBC			**Data landscape mapping is taking place**	**The Data and Innovation Officer was hired in May and the data landscape mapping is just taking place now.**

| 2.1.2 | Number of stakeholders and development partners integrating community perspectives (online and offline perception surveys) into projects and plans | TBC | | | A contract has just been signed with a firm to conduct the baseline assessment and perception surveys. The first survey will take place in July/August. | Unlikely to see results until closer to the end of project implementation |
| 2.1.3 | Community feedback mechanisms are functional (regular, accessible, inclusive, transparent) | TBC | | | CSOs have just been engaged by UNDP in the three counties to map community feedback mechanisms. | Unlikely to see results until later in project imp. |

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Functional cooperation mechanisms that link national, county and ward level to maximize synergies and enhance cooperation between the different levels	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**Improved cooperation mechanisms linking ward, subcounty, county and national levels**	**TBC**			**A contract has just been signed with a firm to conduct the baseline assessment**	

| 2.2.2 | Digital Data Portal is created and key stakeholders (including NEDI GoK and CSOs) actively using the digital data platform for accessing and analyzing disaggregated data related to the Humanitarian-Development-Peace (HDP) nexus in target counties. | 0 | 1 | | The call for proposals for a firm to develop a Data Portal closed on 10 June | |
| 2.2.3 | Office of the DP has increased capacity to coordinate development activities in Northeastern Kenya | | | | A independent consultant has just been hired to support ODP with their activities. | |

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
2.3.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
2.4.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.4.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.4.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

        1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1
....

Output 3.2
....

Output 3.3
....

Output 3.4
....

Output 3.5
....

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here
....

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators
....

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....			....
3.1.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.1.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.1.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?
1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
4.1.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.1.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.1.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
4.2.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.2.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.2.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	**IPSTC: curriculum writing board (strategic level)**	**19 – 28 June 2024**	**Nairobi**	**Eleven participants: one subject matter expert from each county, four subject matter experts from Nairobi, and four IPSTC staff. OHCHR and project partners will be invited to participate**	**The writing board will be informed by the training needs assessment (TNA) report and will be convened to develop learning plans for the Strategic level and County level Course.**

| **Event 2** | **Digital peace building capacity building workshops** | **July to December 2024** | **Mandera, Garissa, Wajir and Nairobi** | **Members who are actively involved in peacebuilding from Civil Society Organisations (CSOs), local peace committee structures and county and sub-county security committees.** | **Train and capacity build members involved in peacebuilding using open-source digital tools on: 1. Identifying Information Manipulation and Interference (IMI), such as mis/disinformation relating to peacebuilding on digital media and social media. 2. Opportunities in digital peacebuilding using social media and digital media. 3. Establishing sustainable digital peacebuilding approaches through creation of community of practice (COP) in digital peacebuilding in the three counties.** |
| **Event 3** | **Development Partners Meeting** | **15 July 2024** | **Nairobi** | **World Bank, IGAD, USAID, UN and other partners having programmes in Mandera, Garissa and Wajir** | **Information and experience sharing with the aim of strengthening the coordination of programmes in the North EastNortheast region as well as exploring synergies** |

| Event 4 | Political leaders meeting | 10 July 2024 | Nairobi | Political leaders from the three counties of Mandera, Garissa and Wajir | The objective of the meetings with political leaders is to update them on project implementation progress, discuss key issues emerging from community dialogues, and continue fostering strong relationships. |

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

N/A - Implementation has only started so we do not have a human impact story

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☑ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)   *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☑ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☐ Women
- ☐ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☑ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Upon the Knowledge Management and Communications Officer's (KMCO) commencement on 15 January 2024, one of her initial tasks was to review the results framework and develop a comprehensive Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) plan for the project. During this review, it was identified that some indicators did not meet the SMART criteria (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound). Accordingly, revisions were made, which will be finalized by the firm contracted to conduct the project baseline assessment. This firm will perform an evaluability assessment to finalize all project indicators before data collection begins, ensuring they are aligned with the project's gender and inclusivity goals.

Regular meetings have been established to ensure consistent tracking of project progress. Bi-weekly project technical meetings occur with participation from the three RUNOs and the PMU. Additionally, monthly project update reports are drafted and shared with all stakeholders based on inputs from colleagues. Virtual meetings are held monthly with Outcome 1 partners to facilitate information sharing and identify synergies. Quarterly Project Steering Committee (PSC) meetings provide an opportunity to flag any risks or changes to senior leadership for attention or approval.

The first inception meeting with Rufmo Consulting, the firm contracted for the baseline assessment, is scheduled for 6 June. Following this meeting, Rufmo Consulting will conduct an evaluability assessment to finalize all project indicators. An inception report and work plan will then be submitted for approval. Upon approval, the firm will conduct the baseline assessment in the three counties, including a perception survey to quantify the outcome indicators. This approach is crucial for strong measurement throughout the project's lifecycle, especially as this project serves as a test case where measurable change is essential to validate the model's effectiveness.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

○ Yes

◉ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

While some project baselines are in place, the majority have yet to be established. A contract was recently signed with Rufmo Consulting to conduct a comprehensive baseline assessment. The first inception meeting with Rufmo Consulting took place on 6 June. Following this meeting, the firm will perform an evaluability assessment to finalize all project indicators, ensuring their readiness for data collection. An inception report and work plan will then be submitted for approval before the baseline assessment is conducted in the three counties, including a perception survey to quantify the outcome indicators. It is expected that baselines will be available from August.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

As mentioned above, a perception survey will be conducted as part of the baseline assessment to inform some project indicators. In addition, the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights (KNCHR) will conduct a human rights baseline assessment, this will also inform Outcome 1 indicators. In addition to surveys, training reports, attendance sheets, and quarterly human rights risk assessments will inform indicators and will all be available as requested.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

- 🔘 Yes
- ⚪ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

A perception survey will be conducted in June/July 2024 to inform the project indicators.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

- ⚪ Yes
- 🔘 No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- 🔘 Yes
- ⚪ No
- ⚪ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

80000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ⚪ Yes
- ⚪ No
- 🔘 Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	**Aideen Conway**	**UNDP**	**Knowledge Management and Comms Officer**	**aideen.conway@undp.org**

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

1

1

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

Norway

Amount in USD *

1598578

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

- ◯ Yes
- ◉ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- ◯ Some catalytic effect
- ◯ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Throughout implementation to date, significant efforts have been made to ensure the project's sustainability. The project is being carried out in collaboration with the Office of the Deputy President (ODP), with a focus on building their capacity and supporting their mandate. For instance, the project includes the development of a digital data portal that will be owned by the ODP. While a firm is being hired to build the portal, capacity building has been incorporated into the terms of reference (TOR) to ensure the ODP can fully own and manage the platform by the end of the project.

Regarding the training on Civil-Military Cooperation (CIMIC), if the curriculum proves successful, efforts will be made to encourage the Kenya Defence Forces (KDF) to adopt and mainstream the training for all forces ahead of deployment to Northeastern and other regions as appropriate.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

During the first year of implementation, the project has faced several challenges, particularly related to climate security and the impact of floods on programming. Unpredictable weather patterns and severe flooding have disrupted planned activities, hindered access to certain areas, and affected the overall timeline. These environmental factors have underscored the importance of adaptive programming. It would be helpful to understand how we can re-programme to address these emerging dynamics that were not considered in depth during the project design stage.

Recipient 3 (OHCHR) has utilized 83% of their tranche 1 allocation, mainly for disbursements to partners; the remaining funds ($60,000) are currently being processed for disbursement to KNCHR. OHCHR has insufficient funds to cover project implementation costs (including contribution to the project team) until the receipt of tranche 3; OHCHR is therefore requesting for the remaining 30% of project funds to be paid with tranche 2.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	First perception survey - July/August 2024		The first of three perception surveys will take place in July/August in the three counties, ensuring the inclusion of 'leave no one behind' groups.
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.