PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ⦿ Semi-annual
- ◯ Annual
- ◯ Final
- ◯ Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-05-11

2024-05-11

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *
Mamadou Salieu Bah- M&E Officer

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *
Abdel Ghader khdeim-PBF Programme Coordinator

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- (●) Yes
- (○) No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- (●) Yes
- (○) No
- (○) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- (○) Yes (●) No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ○ Asia and the Pacific
- ○ Central & Southern Africa
- ○ East Africa
- ○ Europe and Central Asia
- ○ Global
- ○ Latin America and the Caribean
- ○ Middle East and North Africa
- ● West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ○ Benin
- ○ Burkina Faso
- ○ Cote D'Ivoire
- ● Gambia
- ○ Ghana
- ○ Guinea
- ○ Guinea-Bissau
- ○ Liberia
- ○ Mali
- ○ Mauritania
- ○ Niger
- ○ Nigeria
- ○ Senegal
- ○ Sierra Leone
- ○ Togo
- ○ Other, Specify

*

Other, please specify

Project Title *

- ○ 00113367: Addressing conflict over land and natural resources
- ○ 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- ○ 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- ○ 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- ○ 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- ○ 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia
- ○ 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- ○ 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- ● 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- ○ 00134237: Strengthening women's political participation and leadership through reformed legislation community-level leadership and political parties' engagement in The Gambia
- ○ 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- ○ 00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention
- ○ 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- ○ 00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change
- ○ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific   ☐ Central & Southern Africa   ☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia   ☐ Global   ☐ Latin America and the Caribean

☐ Middle East and North Africa ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

---

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-12-12

2022-12-12

Project End Date *

2027-01-12

2027-01-12

Has this project received an extension? *

◉ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

○ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

◉ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

○ Yes

⦿ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

○ Yes

⦿ No

If yes, please select which *

○ National Trust Fund

○ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

⦿ UN entity

○ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

⦿ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ○ IOM: International Organization for Migration

○ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

○ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

○ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

○ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ○ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

○ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ○ WFP: World Food Programme

○ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

○ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

○ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ○ ILO: International Labour Organization

○ WHO: World Health Organization   ○ PAHO/WHO

○ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ○ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

○ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

○ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ○ ITC: International Trade Centre

○ UNDPO   ○ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ( ) No other recipients
- (•) Yes, other UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- [ ] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [ ] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [✓] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- [ ] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization
- [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations
- [ ] Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1633616 *	743247 *	240106.89 *	14.7%
	*	*	*	%

OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	* 241108	* 120554	* 119619.09	* 49.61%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
	*	*	* %
TOTAL	**1874724**	**863801**	**359725.98**	**19.1 9%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **19.19%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

34

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 637406.16**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 122306.83**. Is this correct? *

(●) Correct   (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

14.06.24 GMB - PBF Secretariat_Financial report-3_14_26.xlsx ⬇️

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

(○) Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

(●) Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

(○) Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

(●) Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

(○) Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

(○) Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

○ (2.2) Democratic Governance

○ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

● (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

☐ Gender promotion initiative

☐ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☐ Cross-border or regional project

☑ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

● Yes

○ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF Portfolio Joint Steering Committee met once, in February and the next meeting is scheduled for October 2024

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Peacebuilding Fund Joint Steering Committee (PBF JSC) in The Gambia is co-chaired by the United Nations Resident Coordinator and the Secretary-General, Head of Civil Service of the Gambian government. The PBF JSC has met once in the last six months (February) and took the following decisions:

During the meeting, the PBF JSC received a comprehensive update on the PBF portfolio in The Gambia. The updates included detailed reports of ongoing projects, their progress, challenges encountered, and the overall impact of these initiatives on peacebuilding efforts within the country. The committee assessed the alignment of these projects with the strategic goals of peacebuilding and evaluated their effectiveness in addressing key issues.

The committee approved The Gambia's application for re-eligibility to access the United Nations Secretary General's Peacebuilding Fund for another five years. This comprehensive application package included the following components:

The Re-eligibility Application: A detailed submission justifying the continued need for Peacebuilding Fund support in The Gambia, highlighting the achievements thus far and identifying areas requiring sustained assistance.

A Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation: An in-depth analysis of PBF investments in The Gambia over the last five years. This evaluation provided insights into the effectiveness, impact, and lessons learned from the funded projects, emphasizing their contributions to peacebuilding and stability.

A Request Letter from the President of the Republic: An official letter from the President of The Gambia, expressing the government's commitment and support for continued collaboration with the Peacebuilding Fund to enhance peace and stability in the country.

A Strategic Results Framework: outlining the strategic objectives, key performance indicators, and expected outcomes for peacebuilding initiatives over the next five years. This framework will guide the implementation and monitoring of future projects to ensure their alignment with national peacebuilding priorities.

The committee thoroughly reviewed and approved a draft project proposal amounting to 3 million dollars to support security sector reform in The Gambia. This project is crucial for enhancing the Gambian security forces' capacity, transparency, efficiency, and accountability. The key components of this project include: Capacity Building and Training, Institutional Reforms and Community Engagement

These decisions represent significant steps forward in the Peacebuilding Fund's ongoing commitment to supporting The Gambia's peacebuilding and security sector reform efforts. The Joint Steering Committee remains dedicated to collaborating closely with the Gambian government and international partners to ensure the successful implementation of these initiatives, ultimately contributing to lasting peace and stability in the country.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

○ Completed   ● Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

○ Not Started   ● Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

○ Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

○ Not Started   ● Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

○ Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed

○ Completed   ● Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Staff recruitment for all five PBF secretariat Positions (Coordinator, M&E Officer, Driver, Knowledge Management and Project Associate) have been initiated, and the process is ongoing. The Coordinator, M&E Officer and Driver are on board; however, these positions are being upgraded and therefore, readvertised and the recruitment process is ongoing to fill them and the new positions created.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

During this reporting period, the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Secretariat has achieved significant milestones in its efforts to support peacebuilding initiatives in The Gambia. Below is a comprehensive summary of the progress made from January to June.

Re-eligibility Application Coordination and Approval

The PBF Secretariat successfully coordinated the re-eligibility application for The Gambia, ensuring the country's continued access to the United Nations Secretary-General's Peacebuilding Fund for the next five years. This complex and comprehensive package included:

Re-eligibility Template: A detailed application justifying the need for ongoing support and highlighting previous successes.

Portfolio Evaluation: An in-depth evaluation of PBF investments in The Gambia from 2018 to 2022, analyzing impacts and lessons learned.

Draft Strategic Results Framework: A framework outlining the strategic objectives and key performance indicators for future peacebuilding efforts.

Request Letter: An official letter from the President of The Gambia, demonstrating high-level government commitment.

The UN Resident Coordinator submitted this package to the UN Secretary-General through the Peacebuilding Support Office, leading to a successful approval. The Gambia is now re-eligible for another five years of PBF support.

Joint Steering Committee and Technical Committee Meetings

In February, the PBF Secretariat organized a Joint Steering Committee (JSC) meeting, during which several critical decisions were made:

Approval of a Draft Security Sector Reform Project: This project aims to enhance the capacity and accountability of security forces in The Gambia.

Approval of the Re-eligibility Request Package: Endorsing the comprehensive re-eligibility application for further PBF funding.

Project Progress Updates: Informing the JSC of the progress made under various ongoing PBF projects.

Additionally, the PBF Secretariat held two quarterly Technical Committee meetings, bringing together all active project staff to discuss issues, progress, and key results. These meetings also served as platforms to review findings from the PBF portfolio evaluation and to formulate implementation strategies for the recommendations of the evaluation.

Finalization of Security Sector Reform Project

The PBF Secretariat provided guidance to a team from OHCHR, UNDP, and UNODC to finalize the draft Security Sector Reform project document. This collaborative effort ensured that the project was well-structured and ready for implementation.

Development of a Public Accountability Project

The Secretariat facilitated several consultations and meetings with stakeholders, including government representatives, partners, and UNCT, to develop a $2.5 million project focused on public accountability. These efforts are aimed at enhancing transparency and governance in The Gambia.

Review of Financial and Narrative Reports and Requests

Through out the reporting period The PBF Secretariat facilitated the request for tranches, and No-Cost Extensions. The PBF Secretariat also diligently reviewed Semi-annual financial and narrative reports from all active projects. Many projects successfully submitted their reports through the designated platform, while others are in the final stages of submission. This review process ensures accountability and transparency in the utilization of PBF resources.

Training for PBF Project Staff

To enhance the capacity of project staff, the PBF Secretariat organized two training sessions focused on understanding PBF procedures and processes related to report writing and semi-annual reporting. These trainings aimed to improve the quality and timeliness of reporting, ensuring that all staff are well-versed in PBF requirements.

Monitoring Visits

The PBF Secretariat conducted three monitoring visits to project sites during this reporting period. These visits provided valuable insights into the on-the-ground implementation of projects, allowing for real-time feedback and adjustments to ensure that objectives are being met effectively.

From January to June, the PBF Secretariat has demonstrated strong leadership and coordination in advancing peacebuilding efforts in The Gambia. The successful re-eligibility application, comprehensive stakeholder engagements, and continuous capacity-building initiatives highlight the Secretariat's commitment to fostering sustainable peace and development. The Secretariat remains dedicated to supporting and enhancing the effectiveness of PBF projects, ensuring that they contribute meaningfully to the stability and prosperity of The Gambia.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF Secretariat has taken a comprehensive and proactive approach to ensure that gender equality, women's empowerment, and youth inclusion are integral components of all its projects and interventions as one of the recommendations from the portfolio evaluation.

The PBF Secretariat consistently integrates gender sensitivity into its analysis and interventions. Every aspect of project development, from initial concept notes to final implementation plans, is examined through a gender lens to identify and address potential disparities and ensure equitable outcomes.

In developing new projects and concept notes for PBF funding, the Secretariat actively invites and involves women's civil society organizations (CSOs) and youth organizations in consultations. This inclusive approach ensures that the perspectives and needs of women and young people are represented and considered in project design and execution.

The PBF Secretariat collaborates closely with UN gender focal persons to incorporate a gender perspective in all consultations and project reviews. This collaboration ensures that gender considerations are systematically integrated into all stages of the project lifecycle, from planning to implementation and evaluation.

The Secretariat ensures significant participation of women and youths in all its activities. This includes ensuring that women and young people are well-represented in workshops, training sessions, and decision-making forums related to PBF projects. By doing so, the Secretariat promotes inclusive participation and empowers these groups to contribute meaningfully to peacebuilding efforts.

Gender and youth inclusion indicators are integrated into the monitoring and evaluation frameworks of PBF projects. Regular assessments are conducted to measure the impact of interventions on women and young people and to ensure that the projects are meeting their objectives of promoting gender equality and youth empowerment.

Through these concerted efforts, the PBF Secretariat ensures that its projects not only address immediate peacebuilding

---

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The PBF secretariat continued to enhance collaboration and coordination among stakeholders of the peacebuilding programme in the Gambia and ensured that no stakeholders were left behind. This has been ensured through sustained consultative processes towards planning, designing, implementing and monitoring the peacebuilding programming in the Gambia. For the period under review, the secretariat successfully organized several consultative processes and meetings to identify ideas for new PBF projects, by bringing together key relevant stakeholders from the UN, Government, Civil Society, and development partners. Through these actions, the secretariat called, for a more inclusive process, the UN agencies in the Gambia are today more open to joint programming and inclusion of relevant stakeholders from central government, CSO partners and potential beneficiaries in their processes, which is key to achieving adequate and sustainable impact.

These consultative and engagement processes have been strengthened further by the operationalization of the PBF Portfolio Joint Steering Committee and the PBF Technical Committee. The Steering Committee co-chaired by the government and the UN included all relevant stakeholders from the Civil Society, development partners and the private sector.

The Secretariat is fostering collaboration among the diverse peacebuilding stakeholders in the Gambia. Through regular consultations, joint planning, and the coordination mechanisms, the Secretariat has ensured a more coherent peacebuilding initiatives and interventions. This collaborative approach not only enhances the effectiveness and impact of peacebuilding efforts but also ensured that interventions are responsive to the needs and priorities of the Gambian people.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Joint monitoring report PBF Sec and Cross border project (IOM-GMB SN, and FAO GMB SN June 2024-22_42_55.docx ⬇

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

---

Outcome 1: *

Enhanced portfolio and project level programming through effective support from PBF Secretariat on strategic planning, design, implementation, M&E, partnerships and cross learning

Outcome 2:	*
Operational PBF Joint Steering Committee supporting strategic guidance, monitoring and advocacy around PBF projects	

Outcome 3:	*
Improved visibility and evidence-based advocacy to support catalytic effects	

Outcome 4:	*

Outcome 5:	*

Outcome 6:	*

Outcome 7:	*

Outcome 8:	*

Additional Outcomes	*
If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here	

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Enhanced portfolio and project level programming through effective support from PBF Secretariat on strategic planning, design, implementation, M&E, partnerships and cross learning

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Level of compliance of all PBF projects in The Gambia ensured through the operations of the PBF Secretariat for optimum peacebuilding impact	Secretariat is in place and functional	Improved coordination and understanding of PBF procedures by concerned stakeholders and enhanced synergies between projects.	**On track**	
1.2	Level of stakeholders' satisfaction with the PBF Secretariat	Medium	High		
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?
1   2   3   **4**   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

All PBF projects leverage PBF comparative advantage and comply with PBF project management standards in close collaboration with RCO, UNCT/AFPs and PBSO

Output 1.2

Strengthened portfolio-level support and multi-stakeholder cooperation

Output 1.3

Sustained coordination, information-sharing and synergy-building with key partners

Output 1.4

Continuous support to project/portfolio-level monitoring and evaluation

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

Output 1.1

All PBF projects leverage PBF comparative advantage and comply with PBF project management standards in close collaboration with RCO, UNCT/AFPs and PBSO

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: All PBF projects leverage PBF comparative advantage and comply with PBF project management standards in close collaboration with RCO, UNCT/AFPs and PBSO	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

1.1.1	# of training or consultation sessions organized or facilitated by the PBF secretariat for project teams on quality design, impl, M&E and result reporting of PBF funded projects.	3 consultations on 2022 projects design targeting UN, govt & CSOs	5 consultations targeting PBF project teams & partners on design, impl, M&E and reporting of PBF funded projects	20 partner institutions and 29 officials reached (11F &18M, (excl TC meetings)	The secretariat has intensified engagement with govt and CSOs besides the UN agencies and 8 consultations have been conducted reaching 182 (117M & 75F)	
1.1.2	Indicator 1.1.2 PBF project scoring above medium with the use of internal evaluation grid with average compliance scores	Medium (50%)	High 75% above	50% (project submitting on time for PBF Sec reviews)		

| 1.1.3 | Indicator 1.1.3 implementing partners and other key partners engaged and capacitated on the PBF portfolio and requirements now with better understanding willingness to take ownership. | 3 | 15 (govt department and CSOs) | 26 | About 62 (Govt depts and CSOs engaged through consultations workshops and meetings (presentation, tech mission, to clarify PBF requirements) and such engagements have increased awareness, understanding and wiliness to work with PBF projects from both govt depts and CSOs | |
| .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... |

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Strengthened portfolio-level support and multi-stakeholder cooperation	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Indicator 1.2.1** **Gambia peacebuilding priority areas for investment in the new eligibility phase identified through multistage consultations with stakeholders and in lin with eligibility request/ SRF (govt, CSOs UNCT)**	**0**	**at least 2 consultations organized for any prioritization process (partners, UNCT levels)**	**2 (2024) consultations organized to identify the new areas of intervention of the new accountability and transparency project**	**4- (accountability project, conflict analysis on the SRF and 2 consultations on the PBF priority areas at national stakeholder and UNCT level were conducted in Nov & Dec2022 (which fed into strategic report to PBSO)**	

1.2.2	Indicator 1.2.2 # of ongoing conflict analysis supported with gov't and CSOs	1CDA reports 2018 (updated 2019)	contributes to the next CDA update 2023-2024 and overarching peacebuilding indicators	Printing 500 copies of the full report and abridged versions for distribution/popularization and requisition done		
1.2.3	Indicator 1.2.3 PBF portfolio evaluation and re eligibility assessment and renewal process for the Gambia supported	Gambia eligible up to 2023	A Portfolio evaluation and re-eligibility assessment supported. Re-eligibility package prepared in consultation with all stakeholders, leading to new eligibility granted. A PBF SRF prepared in consultation with all stakeholders. d	Evaluation completed and re-eligibility declared until Dec 2028		

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Sustained coordination, information-sharing and synergy-building with key partners	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**Indicator 1.3.1** **Joint Government UN-Donor Steering Committee on PBF established and regular meetings instituted.**	**0 (no JSC solely on PBF yet, PBF matters used to be discussed under the UNDAF SC)**	**JSC established and at least 2 PBF JSC meetings a yr**	**1**	**3 meeting held (2023-June 2024)**	

1.3.2	Indicator 1.3.2 # of PBF learning workshops organized for synergy building and learnings from projects	2 (2020 and 2022)	1 annual learning workshop/retreat 2023	1 (Dec 2023	3	
1.3.3	Indicator 1.3.3 A Technical Coordination Committee (UN agencies, Govt, CSOs and PBF) established and meetings instituted	0	Committee set up and meets quarterly, # of key synergies created	2 meeting held	Established and 2 meeting held	

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4: Continuous support to project/portfolio-level monitoring and evaluation	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1	**Indicator 1.4.1** **# of projects' M&E plans/activities (baselines, CBM, field visit missions, and final evaluation) supported or organized by the secretariat**	**4**	**5**	**2 (PBF sec and Joint visit with Cross border project** **1 –Portfolio evaluation**	**4 field visits supported 4 project evaluations completed (Portfolio Ev, Gov, youth project& rule of law)**	

1.4.2	Indicator 1.4.2 # of dialogue sessions facilitated between the JSC and sampled beneficiary communities through CBM	0	1	0 could not done this period until Q3	JSC has been established and attended the Tabanding border post launching	To be held in Q3 Q4
1.4.3	Indicator 1.4.3 Improved data on country level peacebuilding indicators	limited engagement with national data partners	Secretariat works with partners and ensures accessible data on key peacebuilding issues through the Secretariat budget, project budgets and other existing sources, that can be easily shared with partners			To start next Q3

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Operational PBF Joint Steering Committee supporting strategic guidance, monitoring and advocacy around PBF projects

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	**Indicator 2.1** **Evidence of increased engagement and enhanced strategic guidance role of the Joint Steering Committee on the PBF portfolio in the Gambia.**	**Medium level**	**High level**	**1 JSC meeting held in Feb 2024, several decisions and approvals made** **JSC taking and approving portfolio related decisions**	
2.2	**Indicator 2.2** **No of JSC Meetings and Field Monitoring Visits organised**	**1**	**2 (meetings & visits per year)**	**1 meeting** **3 (JSC meeting was held in August, November 2023 and Feb 2024**	

2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Support the Steering Committee and other relevant partners (including at the technical level) to ensure supervision and monitoring of PBF portfolio

Output 2.2

b

Output 2.3

c

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Support the Steering Committee and other relevant partners (including at the technical level) to ensure supervision and monitoring of PBF portfolio	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

2.1.1	Indicator 2.1.1 # of meetings and PBF priorities/proposals assessed, and strategic decisions taken at the level of the Joint Steering	0	2 meetings and decisions taken by	3	3 Climate land conflict project= Endorsed SSR project = Endorsed Cost Extension of the PBF secretariat project, includes support to 50% salary of the Senior HR Officer at the RCO =Endorsed The Road map for the re-eligibility process= Endorsed	
2.1.2	Indicator 2.1.2 # of M&E missions facilitated for Joint Steering Committee members to assess PBF portfolio implementations progress	0	2	0	1 mission facilitated to CRR Oct 2023	plan to have a visit in Q3

2.1.3	**Indicator 2.1.3** **capacity needs assessment for JSC and training(s) facilitated**	**0**	**25 persons trained**	**2 targeted presentations to enhance understanding of the PBF Portfolio conducted for members**		
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: b	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.2.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
....						
2.2.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.2.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: c	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.4.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.4.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.4.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.5.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.5.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.5.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 3: Improved visibility and evidence-based advocacy to support catalytic effects

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	**Indicator 3.1** **Evidence of increased in visibility and collaboration of the PBF programme with stakeholders and more catalytic effects registered.**	**minimum interaction with other potential donors**	**more interaction with World bank, EU and other potential donors through RC donor forums with partners showcasing the achievements of the PBF fund**	**consultation on the climate security project design and SSR consultation were attended by world bank and the Germans.**	
3.2	**Indicator 3.2** **No of donor forums/pledging events organized**	**0**	**1**		**not yet organized (q4)**
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Develop a communication strategy to promote visibility of the PBF activities and results in the country and among a range of stakeholders

Output 3.2

t

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Develop a communication strategy to promote visibility of the PBF activities and results in the country and among a range of stakeholders	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

3.1.1	**Indicator 3.1.1 Indicator 3a: Evidence of increased in visibility and collaboration of the PBF programme with stakeholders and more catalytic effects registered.**	**Ad-hoc plan in use**	**1 strategy developed with details on communication products**	**Not yet developed, but PBF sec closing working with UNCG at national and field level for online posting and videos making**		
3.1.2	**Indicator 3.1.2 Evidence of online and offline articles and stories, videos, social media post, TV and radio spots, billboard,**	**200booklets of projects results, branded teacups, pens, key holders with logos etc**	**produce online and offline products**	**2 videos made in**	**Recent visits of the RC with UNCG and the PBF secretariat, 5 social media posts of joint project funded through PBF, created, and posted and the video will follow soon.**	

| 3.1.3 | Indicator 3.1.3

Indicator 3.1.3: Evidence of conference organized for networking and partnership building for catalytic effects | 0 | 1 conference | No conference yet.
But many of the donor countries' representatives and bodies such as the EU, UK German and WB are either in the JSC or participating in PBF meeting and consultations | | Q4 |

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: t	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Perform ance Indicator s _Describe the indicator_	Indicator Baseline _State the baseline value of the indicator_	End of Project Indicator Target _State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project_	Indicator progress for reportin g period _State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period_	Indicator progress to date _State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project_	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) _Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant_
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Perform ance Indicator s _Describe the indicator_	Indicator Baseline _State the baseline value of the indicator_	End of Project Indicator Target _State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project_	Indicator progress for reportin g period _State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period_	Indicator progress to date _State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project_	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) _Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant_
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	**Training on conflict analysis, M&E and gender sensitive peacebuilding**	**August 2024**	**Hotel**	**UN, project teams, CSO, and Govt**	**To enhance understanding of PBF approaches on conflict analysis, M&E and gender**
Event 2	**Support RC to organise a PBF donor conference**	**Sept 2024**	**Hotel**	**evelopment partners (WB, EU, Germany, UK....) UN, Govt, CSOs,**	**Networking and partnership building for catalytic effects**

Event 3	**JSC monitoring field visit**	**Oct 2024**	**Across country**	**JSC members**	**JSC members to assess PBF portfolio implementations progress and interact with beneficiaries**
Event 4	**PBF Joint Steering Committee**	**Oct 2024**	**Hotel**	**UN, Govt, CSOs, development partners**	**Bring together JSC members deliberate on PBF Portfolio matters and take decisions 2nd JSC meeting for 2024**

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	**Type of stakeholder**	**What has been the impact of the project on their lives?**	**Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder**

1	CSOs	With PBF secretariat, particularly now consultations with stakeholders especially CSOs have improved significantly. CSO stakeholders are consulted from the conception stages to evaluation and fully participate the PBF processes. CSOs in the Gambia have shown strong interest, willingness and have taken ownership of the PBF process in the Gambia	Executive Director, Anti-Corruption Practitioner: Michael Oko-Davies of Public-Private Integrity CSO, asserted during the new Accountability project consultation, "Such a consultative approach bringing all stakeholders on board is essential in identifying the issues at hand and the right approaches to solving them. For PPI, this is a great opportunity to network with relevant partners especially on accountability and transparency" Director Beakanyang (CSO), Nfamara Jawneh, said, "We are today very delighted to be part the launching of this GPI 2.0 project which was very consultative and involved CSOs from the conception"
2	UN Project team	UN Project teams The training on reporting organised by the PBF Secretariat in May has been deemed timely and very useful especially with the new project teams and personnel working on PBF projects for the first time and expected to draft their projects' reports. That the training helped them understand PBF reporting requirements and expectations and as well provided them with the correct understanding of the PBF reporting template and though new with PBF reporting, are now confident of drafting better reports.	"The training session is timely and very useful to me, especially the last part going through the online version and now i am confident that i will be able to draft a better PBF report", said Alexia.
	CSOs	With PBF secretariat,	Executive Director,

3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

The PBF Portfolio Joint Sterring Committee: The PBF supported and facilitated the establishment of a PBF portfolio Joint Steering Committee (JSC)which is mandated to steer the affairs of the PBF portfolio through strategic decision making and approvals to ensure an effective and efficient implementation of the PBF programme in the Gambia. Thereby optimizing the impact and ensuring a peaceful Gambia. With the continued support and guidance of the Peacebuilding team, the committee comprising of all stakeholders (Government, UN, CSOs Development Partners) is today up and running, taking decisions and making approvals on key PBF portfolio matters. Consequently, we are witnessing national ownership of PBF initiatives being manifested across sectors of the Gambian government.

Some of the things approved by the JSC during period under review ranges from endorsement of the Climate land conflict project, the Security Sector Reform Project, the Cost Extension of the PBF secretariat project which included support to 50% salary of the Senior Human Rights Officer at the Resident Coordinators Office to the approval of a road map for the re-eligibility process. Besides, JSC committee also approved the PBF re-eligibility package request for Gambia to be submitted to PBSO by the RC and the PBF Secretariat Work Plan.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Minutes of the PBF JSC Meeting 20 Feb 2024-1_27_54.docx

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *
Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☑ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.
The PBF has strengthen partnership and joint programming among the UN agencies as more than 90% of the PBF funded projects were jointly developed and implemented by either two or three UN agencies.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [x] Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- [ ] Partnered with local civil society organizations
- [ ] Partnered with local academia
- [ ] Partnered with sub-national entities
- [ ] Partnered with national entities
- [ ] Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF has strengthen partnership and joint programming amongst the UN agencies as more than 90% of the PBF funded projects were jointly developed and implement by either two or three agencies.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *
Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☑ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☐ Women
- ☐ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

A monitoring field visit to PBF projects sites across the country with UN Communication Group. During which different projects intervention site were visited, progress/status noted, community/beneficiaries' impression and perceptions noted, and recommendations on amongst others but including sustainability were suggested to communities/beneficiaries and necessary follow identified and taken.

PBF Secretariat and Cross border project joint monitoring field visit to project sites both in Senegal and Gambia.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *
If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ⦿ Yes
- ○ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The outcome indicators had their baselines, most of which were identified during the project development and one indicator that did not have a baseline relating to stakeholders' satisfaction with the PBF secretariat, was done through a short informal perception survey targeting the different stakeholder the PBF secretariat worked with.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Copies of regular briefs/readouts/minutes of meetings, reports, emails on timely submissions, MPTFO records Minutes of the JSC meetings and field visit reports Report of review and consultation meetings, Reports of technical committee meetings, reports of trainings facilitated by the secretariat.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

○ Yes

◉ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

◉ Yes

○ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Through community-Based Monitoring field visits, the PBF secretariat was able to interact with communities/beneficiaries and make necessary follow-ups with the project team to incorporate or address their concerns. For example, during a visit to the watering point at Sanda, the community raised concerns about digging the trenches and laying the pipes leading to reservoirs before the farm owners plant their crops. Such activity will cause damage or even refusal after already accepting pipes to be laid through their farms.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

○ Yes

◉ No

○ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

50000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No
- ( ) Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

○ Yes

◉ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

◉ Some catalytic effect

○ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

hh

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF secretariat ensures government buy-in and participation in all projects alongside national CSOs that most often implement the projects.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.
Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	**PBF secretariat monitoring field visit with UNCG**	**The team visited interventions of various PBF funded projects ranging livelihoods initiatives, new watering point and tracks, women gardern water facility to communities, revisit to Sambang, old watering points, women designated to district tribunal, aspiring women politicians**	**-Many of the infrastructure (watering points, gardens, poultry) for the cross border will be completed a little after the project has ended and therefore advised to commit all necessary fund to ensure full completion of this interventions. -That the success registered at Sambang is still sustained and the two parties continued to strength peace among themselves even after the project end a while ago. The thematic review team on youth and peacebuilding is scheduled to visit the community on the 21st of June.**
Event 2	**PBF Sec Joint monitoring of Cross border project sites in both Gambia and Senegal**	**The team visited intervention sites in both the two countries to assess implementation and effects of the project on the borders of the two countries.**	**-Border authorities across have hailed the project for bringing them closer and enhancing trust with the communities they serve**
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			

Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.