

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

☒ Semi-annual

☐ Annual

☐ Final

☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-06-15

2024-06-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Mutaz Nugud, National Program Coordinator

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Wa'El Al Ashhab, Country Program Representative

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

*

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation

*

- | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia | <input type="radio"/> Kenya | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar |
| <input type="radio"/> Somalia | <input type="radio"/> South Sudan | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Sudan |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify

*

.....

Project Title

*

- ☐ 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur
- ☐ 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.
- ☐ 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding
- ☐ 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur
- ☐ 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence
- ☐ 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- ☐ 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur
- ☐ 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- ☐ 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition
- ☐ 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- ☐ 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods
- ☐ 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur
- ☐ 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur
- ☒ 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur
- ☐ 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan
- ☐ 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan
- ☐ 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders
- ☐ 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

*

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-04-29

2022-04-29

Project End Date *

2025-04-29

2025-04-29

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which

*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?

*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient

*

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☒ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☒ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid | <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide | <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services | <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | |

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Triangle Generation Humanitaire (TGH)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The partnership with TGH in Darfur was discontinued in October 2023 due to the ongoing conflict and the pervasive insecurity. Budget of partnership agreement was revised, and unspent fund of USD 131.022.92 was identified and refunded in 2023.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The partnership with NRC in Darfur was discontinued in October 2023 due to the ongoing conflict and the pervasive insecurity. Budget of partnership agreement was revised, and unspent fund of USD 57,690.52 was identified and refunded in 2023.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Rural Community Development Organization (RCDO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The validity of implementing partner agreement of cooperation (AoC) has been extended up to the end of this year (December 2024).

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.
*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme	2200000	1540000	588003.59	26.73%
				%
UNHCR: United Nations High Commission er for Refugees	1800000	1260000	550042.38	30.56%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

TOTAL	4000000	2800000	1138045.97	28.4 5%
-------	---------	---------	------------	------------

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **28.45%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?

33.8

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1352000**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

1350795.82


Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 384659.54**. Is this correct?

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

294267.43

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.
The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Revised PBF RAH WD Financial Report June 2024-23_50_48.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☒ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Prior to the outbreak of the conflict on 15 April 2023, UN-Habitat and UNHCR actively engaged with various government representatives in West Darfur, however such engagement has since been infeasible due to ongoing volatile political situation. The project has been on hold since April 2023 and is in the process of preparing a request for re-programming to be submitted to PBF in June for review.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☒ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project was put on hold since 15th of April 2023 due to the current active violent civil war where monitoring and observation of security situation were conducted, and the project implementation team was evacuated and relocated to safer locations. Since then, both implementing partners UN-Habitat and UNHCR started planning the re-programming process in November 2023, in which the project team through several consultation meetings proposed White Nile State as a relevant impact area and started collecting necessary information for designing the proposed reprogramming. Unfortunately, this reprogramming process was pended by the conflict between the SAF and RSF which spread last December in Gezira, White Nile and Sennar region (GWNS). As a result, UN-Habitat and UNHCR are jointly proposing to reprogram the existing project in an area that is geographically outside of the conflict zone and unexpected to be affected during the year of implementation. Hence, Red Sea State (Port Sudan) is selected as viable safer location and accordingly the project team submitted a concept note for the proposed reprogramming to the PBF Secretariat and is now in the process of developing it to a full reprogramming proposal, which will be shared with the PBF in New York for review and approval.

UN- Habitat has extended the validity of the Agreement of Cooperation (AoC) with its Implementing Partner's (RCDO) up to the end of the year (December 2024), and the IP role amendment would be outlined after project reprogramming approval obtained.

The reprogramming request proposes that UN-Habitat project staff will be working from Port Sudan with remotely supported staff from the Regional UN-Habitat office in Cairo, Egypt to execute and monitor project activities. UNHCR project staff are based in Sudan and at the Nairobi Support Office to monitor project implementation.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

*

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The program activities interrupted by the ongoing fierce fighting between Sudanese Army Forces (SAF) and the Rapid Support Forces (RSF) that took place on the 15th of April 2023 and put on hold for observation and further review. The conflict started in north region and the capital of the country, after a while spread and affected the entire Darfur region, and due to the prevailing security environment, which has become untenable in addition to UN security and program criticality restrictions. Furthermore, there have been significant changes in West Darfur State regarding the feasibility of implementing activities related to durable solutions and Housing, Land and Property (HLP).

The conflict had severe impacts on the project's target population, many of them fled to other States or crossed the border to Chad, as the fighting in El Geneina intensified along tribal lines. Many of the project sites have been severely affected, with Krinding camp, Gokar and Mulli, as well as all gathering points in Geneina reportedly attacked and burned down. This has led to a substantial loss of life, injury, and large waves of displacement. Other affected areas include Beida and Forobaranga localities. Assassination of the West Darfur State Governor in 14th of June 2023 was a major incident, and accordingly UNDSS announced relocation and evacuation of national and international staff.

Reportedly approximately 90,000 refugees have crossed into Chad, with a large portion of those likely from El Geneina.

The complex context of West Darfur presents immense challenges for development and humanitarian actors, including security threats, and access limitations. Many of the project's targeted communities were forced to flee West Darfur State to the neighboring country in Chad, with no foreseen return plan. The minimum stability required to implement the project currently does not exist. Moreover, the local dynamics related to durable solutions for displaced persons and HLP issues have changed significantly and will require a renewed impact analysis.

As a result, the project plans to submit a reprogramming request to the PBF which it has been planning for since last November 2023. The project team through several consultation meetings proposed White Nile State as a relevant impact area and started collecting information for designing the reprogramming proposal. Unfortunately, these plans for reprogramming were pended by the conflict between the SAF and RSF which spread last December in Gezira, White Nile and Sennar region (GWNS). As a result, UN-Habitat and UNHCR are preparing to submit a reprogramming request for an area geographically outside of the war conflict zone and unexpected to be affected during the year of implementation. Red Sea State (Port Sudan) is selected as viable safer location.

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

This project was originally planned and partially implemented in West Darfur, aimed to facilitate housing access for internally displaced persons (IDPs) and refugee returnees. The primary objectives were to reduce social tensions, promote social cohesion, and contribute to peacebuilding within and between communities.

Since the onset of the conflict in Sudan that erupted between the Sudanese armed forces (SAF) and the Rapid support forces (RSF) on April 15, 2023, and subsequent spread to the Darfur region, the Adequate housing project has been suspended pending the conflict resolutions. As no immediate prospects for conflict settlement, continuing of the project activities in the original implementation area is unfeasible.

The conflict had severe impacts on the project's target population, many of them fled to other states or crossed the border to Chad, the fighting in El Geneina intensified along tribal lines. Many of our project sites have been severely affected, with Krinding camp, Gokar and Mulli, as well as all gathering points in Geneina reportedly attacked and burned down. This has led to a substantial loss of life, injury, and large waves of displacement.

The project team met several times and initiated planning for the reprogramming of the project activities in safer areas, started mobilizing resources and collected necessary information from White Nile state to be used in the plan for reprogramming, which interrupted by the deterioration of the security situation that commenced in last December in the central region of Sudan including Gezira, White Nile, and Sennar States (GWNS).

UN-Habitat and UNHCR are preparing to submit a project amendment request to re-programme the existing project in an area geographically out of the war zone or unexpected to reach during the year of implementation and therefore, Red Sea State has been proposed as one of the most affected areas by huge influx of IDPs, populated by 1.6 million as of March 2023, hosting 247,012 IDPs as of March 2024, especially in urban areas of the City of Port Sudan (95%). It is estimated that 51% of IDPs in Red Sea State are accommodated in host communities or rental accommodations, and 15% in gathering sites and informal settlements. In addition to tensions arising from the influx of IDPs and competition over limited resources and services, Port Sudan has been experiencing its own inter-tribal conflict at the baseline, as seen in many inter-tribal clashes, notably the fighting between Nuba, Beni Amer and Hadandawa in August 2020. Potential for inter-tribal clashes is very high in Port Sudan (Red Sea State Profile, OCHA 2023). Given the above, there is a clear need for area-based stabilization and for building social cohesion.

The overarching goal of the planned reprogramming request is to reduce tension and foster peaceful co-existence among diverse communities in the Red Sea State, Sudan. Specifically, the re-programmed project would aim to enhance social cohesion by bridging gaps between different groups, including Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) and vulnerable urban host communities. substantially, to strengthen relationships within host communities across various tribal backgrounds. The project would emphasize a community-based approach through addressing three major components 1) Community Needs Analysis and Prioritization. 2) Community-Based Action Planning. 3) Community Monitoring and Management of Community Support Project (CSP). 4) Implementation of CSPs. Pending approval from PBF, the project would start sensitization and mobilization of stakeholders, including community leaders (IDPs and Host community).

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

As the project is planning to submit a reprogramming request, the planned intervention paramount the engagement of youths and women where they would expect to play a key role in management and monitoring of the implementation of the community support projects. Women and youth will be capacitated in specific technical aspects to enable their effective participations in the selection and prioritization of vulnerable neighborhoods within the locality, that would be targeted by the project.

The reprogrammed project would assure at least 35% representation of women in capacity building and participatory community action plan activities, emphasizing utilization of women and youth synergies engagement in reduce tensions and improve the social cohesion of different groups, notably IDPs, host communities, and different tribal groups, such as capacity building to youth focal points to address community needs, addressing hate speech, promoting conflict sensitive local media, livelihood skills training, and rehabilitation, or upgrading of urban basic services.

The selection process would be done in full participation with the community utilizing Rapid Urban Sectoral Profiling Tool for Sustainability (RUSPS), employing a participatory methodology that encourages communities to actively engage in drafting and implementing community action plans.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

*

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Until the outbreak of the conflict in April 2023, UN-Habitat and UNHCR actively engaged with various government representatives (Peace Commission, Line Ministries, participatory approach through youths and women, preparation of adequate housing planning and implementation) in the original geographic location of the Project (West Darfur State).

Before the conflict, the project team managed to create a momentum that had positively resulted in a significant institutional behavioral change, that was ready to collaborate with local customary management system of the land and UN Agencies and adhere to the participatory management and land planning approaches. Unfortunately, this institutional behavioral change was undermined by the conflict. A government technical steering committee was established and declared by Wali as a response to a request by the Director General of the Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure in February 2023, and it acted as a coordinating body of stakeholders for the land and housing component of the Project. It was consisted of 12 members chaired by the Sultan and deputy-chaired by the Supreme Council advisor, members of the line ministries, and community representatives for potential relocation sites.

The project with the guidance of the steering committee and government counterpart through its technical team and the established observatory center (MUPI), and with participation of Tabareek village committee, supported the initiative of viable potential return and relocation site area of Tabareek village. Tabareek village was dominated by Massalite ethnicity group of 3700 individuals/ 680 HHs who were mostly considered to be an IDPs distributed over around 40 gathering sites and few of them are sited cross-border at a refugee camp in Chad.

The project worked on the redesigning and planning of a new neighborhood of 6000 plots of 400 square meters, which is able to accommodate about 6000 from re-displaced families, with spaces allocated for public facilities, and open spaces. This reorganized design was reviewed and endorsed by the State Planning Committee. The project offered self-help reconstruction opportunities for 18 youth (13 male and 5 female) who received a TOT extensive on-job training. In addition, the project located fund for preparation of plots physical demarcation and extra self-help housing exercises for vulnerable IDPs and opportunity of supporting potential SSB enterprises groups to contribute to improving housing and settlement when land clearance process complete. However, the clearance process was disrupted by the ongoing conflict.

As a result. the project addressed some of the major issues of youth unemployment, lack of gender equality and disempowerment of girls and women, environment degradation and lack of innovative sustainable solutions for housing. In addition, the fragility of the situation caused sequenced conflicts in which youth and other vulnerable societies participated in conflict and became the most vulnerable people within their communities.

The project was also able to address peaceful coexistence among male and female youth of different backgrounds and ethnicities (IDPs, host community, Nomads), and youth from technical institutions through joint self-help resilience activities of 104 male and female youths in self-help construction TOT and on-job sessions as a pilot training in Stabilized Soil Block (SSB) production, construction, and ferro cement roofing training for two rounds of 17-days sessions for each. The sessions comprised 52 mixed youth group male and female from different ethnicities who participated proactively during training sessions and demonstrated tolerance and peaceful coexistence values. The training was emphasizing individual and group interactions by engaging mixed ethnicity youth trainees (IDPs, Nondisplaced, Nomad) in multi session activities including joint trainees' exploration training visits to the raw materials sites for the SSB' production, joint groups for food preparation, and exchange visits to IDPs gathering points and Arab village/ Damra.

A two group of trained TOT youths representing host community and other representing IDPs were able to form two SSB community committees and obtained register certificates. Both committees participated in a 3-day SSB exhibition and presented advantages of using new SSB building technologies as environmentally friendly and economical. This exhibition provided recognition for the ability of youth to handle peaceful coexistence messages. The non-displaced community committee was able to collect shared members' contribution and adopted its vision to train other youth within the community to spread knowledge of SSB techniques through initiating training for 10 youths and planned to build prototype buildings using the SSB methodology. Moreover, the committee has its future to expand the knowledge as enterprise business aiming to contribute within the societies in the community shared aspects to promote peacebuilding and coexistence, tackling hate speech, and strengthening social cohesion among

assets to promote peacebuilding and coexistence, tackling hate speech, and strengthening social cohesion among communities. The initiatives were under progress until the conflict disrupted these activities, undermining progress towards outcome-level peacebuilding results.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

1- The declaration of West Darfur Governor for forming the project steering committee. 2- Approved pilot residential plan of return and relocation pilot project.

File attachment

WD Wali_Declaration_Steering_Committee Scan-1_23_9.pdf



PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Peaceful coexistence is enhanced among IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in conflict affected areas, including areas of displacement Peaceful coexistence is enhanced among IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in conflict affected areas, including areas of displacement and return and return

Outcome 2: *

Peaceful and sustainable relocation, return and security of tenure enhanced by access to effective mechanisms that clarify housing, land, and property (HLP) rights in compliance with relevant international human rights standards.

Outcome 3: *

Self-reliance of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities, particularly male and female youth, enhanced through capacity development on self-help reconstruction using ISSB, which will inform the national and state housing policy framework.

Outcome 4: *

Durable solutions for IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in West Darfur advanced through enhancement of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights and improved access to civil documentation.

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Peaceful coexistence is enhanced among IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in conflict affected areas, including areas of displacement

Peaceful coexistence is enhanced among IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in conflict affected areas, including areas of displacement and return and return

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	% of women (disaggregated by age and tribe) in target areas who report increased participation in community-based structures contributing to peaceful coexistence	0	TBD	0	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project is preparing a re-programing request.
1.2	% of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report positive interactions with individuals from disputing communities	0	TBD	0	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project is preparing a re-programing request

1.3	% of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report positive engagement with police	0	TBD	0	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project is preparing a re-programming request
-----	---	---	-----	---	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Dialogue and collaborative problem solving between IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities enhanced through community-based structures and reconciliation events.

Output 1.2

Social cohesion and inter-communal tolerance promoted through youth-led community art and recreational micro-projects.

Output 1.3

Relations between police and local communities improved through increased engagement of community members (i.e., IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced) using community-oriented policing approach.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 1.1**

Output 1.1: Dialogue and collaborative problem solving between IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities enhanced through community-based structures and reconciliation events.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	# of inclusive Community Reconciliation Committees CRCs, including with representation of women and youth, established, and supported	0	5	0	4	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict.

1.1.2	# of disputes resolved by CRCs	0	10	0	10	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict.
1.1.3	# of community-level dialogue and reconciliation events implemented, including with the involvement of women and youth	0	10	0	10	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict.

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Social cohesion and inter-communal tolerance promoted through youth-led community art and recreational micro-projects.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	# of youth-led consultations with target communities, including with the active leadership and participation of young women.	0	1	0	1	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict.
1.2.2	# of youth-led community art and/or recreational micro-projects implemented	0	TBD	0	0	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict.
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Relations between police and local communities improved through increased engagement of community members (i.e., IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced) using community-oriented policing approach.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	# of gender-sensitive capacity building trainings on Community-Oriented Policing (COP) delivered	0	1	0	1 session held in Krinding, El Geneina. 16 police participants (15 M, 1F); 15 community members (10 M, 5 F).	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict.

1.3.2	# of COP committees, including with representation of women and youth, established, and supported	0	5	0	0	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict.
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» **Outcome 2: Peaceful and sustainable relocation, return and security of tenure enhanced by access to effective mechanisms that clarify housing, land, and property (HLP) rights in compliance with relevant international human rights standards.**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of returnees and IDPs (disaggregated by gender and age) with secured tenure who have confidence for the prospect of return or peaceful integration.	TBD	TBD	N/A	N/A Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project is preparing a re-programming request.
2.2	Percentage (%) of IDP's, returnees, non-displaced communities and nomads (disaggregated by gender and age) with positive perceptions of the way the use and access to the land has been clarified, as well as the appropriateness of the selected sites for relocation.	TBD	TBD	N/A	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project is preparing a re-programming request.
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 **5** more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Guiding principles and policy on IDPs return, reintegration and resettlements developed and adopted among key stakeholders, clarifying Housing, land, and property (HLP) rights for Krinding IDP camp population

Output 2.2

Geo-spatial mapping of El Geneina, Krenik and Beida Localities is conducted and incorporated in the land management system and processes of the local authorities to help identify appropriate sites, in support of sustainable return, relocation and integration of IDPs.

Output 2.3

Return, relocation and reintegration of IDP's supported, through settlement profiling in agreed relocation sites in El Geneina, Kreinik and Beida Localities and rural return areas, with public services and infrastructure planning.

Output 2.4

Land tenure is clarified in a non-traditional method, and recognized in the demarcation of villages, sites and plots in the agreed relocation sites and rural return areas, to be recorded in a digital form in the government Land Information System (LIS).

Output 2.5

HLP rights practices in Krinding contributed to the national HLP frameworks

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Guiding principles and policy on IDPs return, reintegration and resettlements developed and adopted among key stakeholders, clarifying Housing, land, and property (HLP) rights for Krinding IDP camp population	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	Guiding principles and policy on IDPs reintegration and resettlement developed and adopted by the State and Locality government.	0	1	N/A	0	Activity was in progress. Guiding principles were drafted and discussed with the counterpart for further development and finalization. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
-------	--	---	---	-----	---	---

2.1.2	# State and Locality authorities personnel trained on HLP issues.	0	40	0	26	<p>Activity was in progress. 26 personnel of the State and locality authorities received five training and capacity building sessions on HLP, including extended on-the-job training. A draft scope of work was developed on land management and conflict resolution mechanism for Dimlijes (native administrators in the Massalit Native Administration who are responsible for land management under customary purview), and all procurement was completed for capacity building workshop for Native Administration (a workshop for 49 Dimlijes representing different ethnicities and 8 locality administrative personnel). Supplier and facilitator were</p>
-------	---	---	----	---	----	--

						were identified for a package of IT equipment to upgrade the land recordation/registration system and capacity-building of 25 personnel of Land Registration Authority under the State Judiciary Administration to promote land title registration. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.1.3	% of IDP's, returnees, non-displaced communities, and nomads (disaggregated by gender and age) who report improved understandings on HLP rights	TBC	TBC	0	0	Sample surveys were to be done, as part of the baseline study, which was not made possible due to the security situation. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Geo-spatial mapping of EI Geneina, Krenik and Beida Localities is conducted and incorporated in the land management system and processes of the local authorities to help identify appropriate sites, in support of sustainable return, relocation and integration of IDPs.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.2.1	# of Locality-level geo-spatial mappings done	0	4	0	N/A	
Activity was in progress. The scope of town profiling exercise was drafted. External consultants and Peace Studies Center of the Geneina University were identified to implement the town profiling exercise, in addition to the project counterpart and other youth volunteers from target areas. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.						
2.2.2	# of sustainable relocation action plans developed with relocation sites selected	0	3	0	N/A	Activity was in progress. A number of capacity-building measures for stakeholders was prepared to improve skills related to participatory planning methods. This was meant to enable interaction between communities and institutional actors (Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure, Land Registration Authorities) and the technical committee and facilitate the development of sustainable relocation action plans. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

2.2.3	# of land and urban planning stakeholders trained on spatial mapping techniques	0	30	0	25	Activity was in progress. Extensive training was given to the technical staff of the Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure as described in the Progress Summary under the Outcome 2. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
-------	---	---	----	---	----	---

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Return, relocation and reintegration of IDP's supported, through settlement profiling in agreed relocation sites in El Geneina, Kreinik and Beida Localities and rural return areas, with public services and infrastructure planning .	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.3.1	2.3.1 # of settlement profiles completed and validated.	0	3	0	N/A	Activity was in the preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Preparation included the provision of surveying and mapping tools and equipment. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.3.2	# of community action plans for public services and infrastructure developed and validated.	0	3	0	N/A	Activity was in preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4: Land tenure is clarified in a non-traditional method, and recognized in the demarcation of villages, sites and plots in the agreed relocation sites and rural return areas, to be recorded in a digital form in the government Land Information System (LIS).	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.4.1	# of sites and villages where STDN is applied	0	5	0	N/A	Activity was in progress. Consultation was done in three bilateral meetings with executive directors of El Geneina, Kerenik and Beida to identify potential villages for this exercise. Willingness of the communities for peaceful coexistence with different communities (groups) was a key determinant. The selection of potential villages was due to an additional joint assessment. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.4.2	# of sketch mapping and plot demarcation digitized and documented in Land Information System	0	5	0	N/A	Activity was in the preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

2.4.3	# of personnel trained on the application of STD, sketch mapping, and spatial data collection techniques with software installed for further use	0	30	0	N/A	Activity was in the preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
-------	--	---	----	---	-----	---

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5: HLP rights practices in Krinding contributed to the national HLP frameworks	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1	HLP practices of Krinding documented and shared with relevant stakeholders	0	1	0	N/A	Activity has not started. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» **Outcome 3: Self-reliance of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities, particularly male and female youth, enhanced through capacity development on self-help reconstruction using ISSB, which will inform the national and state housing policy framework.**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	% of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced households considering ISSB self-help construction as a viable option for their housing	0	TBD	N/A	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project is preparing a re-programming request.
3.2	# of trained IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth (male and female) who put in practice the acquired ISSB skills in the construction of pilot housing and other structures	0	400	N/A	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.3	% of targeted community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) who indicate improved social cohesion due to utilization of shared public facilities by different communities	0	TBD	N/A	Activity was put on hold as a result of the conflict.

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

IDP, returnee, nomadic, and non-displaced male and female youth acquired skills for Interlocking Stabilized Soil Block (ISSB) production and construction technique for the self-help housing (re)construction.

Output 3.2

Trained male and female youth engaged in (re)construction of self-help housing - using ISSB technology - for the most vulnerable IDP, returnee, nomadic and non-displaced households.

Output 3.3

Diverse community members (IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities) in target areas of return and/or local integration brought together through the identification and construction of shared public facilities.

Output 3.4

Self-help housing construction by ISSB practices reflected to the national housing frameworks

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: IDP, returnee, nomadic, and non- displace d male and female youth acquired skills for Interlock ing Stabilize d Soil Block (ISSB) producti on and construc tion techniqu e for the self-help housing (re)const ruction.	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.1.1	# of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth (disaggregated by gender and age) trained as trainers of ISSB production techniques	0	308	0	104	8-day TOT on ISSB production was conducted. The ToT sessions trained 104 young men and women (59 men and 35 women from community members, 10 participants from technical institutions) to be trainers. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.1.2	# of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth (disaggregated by gender and age) trained as trainers on ISSB construction techniques including ferro-cement channel for roofing	0	154	0	35	17-day TOT on ISSB construction and ferro-cement casting and installation was conducted. The ToT sessions trained 35 male and female youth (22 male and 13 female) to be trainers. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

3.1.3	# of IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced youth (disaggregated by gender and age) trained on ISSB production and construction techniques and ferro-cement channel for roofing.	0	600	0	N/A	Activity was in preparatory stage prior to the conflict. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
-------	--	---	-----	---	-----	---

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Trained male and female youth engaged in (re)construction of self-help housing - using ISSB technology - for the most vulnerable IDP, returnee, nomadic and non-displaced households.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.2.1	# of self-help pilot housing units (re)constructed and handed over to most vulnerable households (beneficiaries disaggregated by gender, age, and vulnerability factors (female-headed households, disability, etc)	0	45	0	N/A	SSB techniques were disseminated among the communities and plans for pilot housing in support of vulnerable families were discussed. Preparation for self-help housing was completed with the provision of 50 SSB manual machines and 2 Hydro-form machines. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.2.2	Small scale community-based enterprise for ISSB production and construction established	0	5	0	N/A	Two community committees were formed out of SSB trainees and obtained registration as entities, with plans to further train youth, assist in rehabilitation of community infrastructure and pilot self-help construction. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

3.2.3						
.....

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3: Diverse community members (IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities) in target areas of return and/or local integration brought together through the identification and construction of shared public facilities.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1	# of shared, public facilities identified and constructed.	0	5	0	N/A	Activity not started. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.3.2						

3.3.3						
-------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4: Self- help housing construc- tion by ISSB practices reflected to the national housing frameworks	Perform- ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin- g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1	Lessons learned from the ISSB self- help housing construction documented and shared with relevant stakeholders.	0	1	0	N/A	Activity not started. Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» **Outcome 4: Durable solutions for IDPs, returnees, nomads, and non-displaced communities in West Darfur advanced through enhancement of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights and improved access to civil documentation.**

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1	% of national, humanitarian, and development actors (disaggregated by gender, sector, and organization) who indicate improved awareness and understanding of Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) issues in Darfur.	TBD	TBD	N/A	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project is preparing a re-programming request.
4.2	% of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report improved awareness of HLP rights and/or civil documentation.	TBD	TBD	N/A	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project is preparing a re-programming request.

4.3	% of community members (disaggregated by age, gender, and tribe) in target areas who report improved access to HLP and/or civil documentation.	TBD	TBD	N/A	Due to the disruption caused by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, collecting base- and end-line data was no longer feasible and the project is preparing a re-programming request.
-----	--	-----	-----	-----	---

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

National, humanitarian, and development policies on Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights in Darfur enhanced through thematic studies and policy roundtables on HLP restitution and compensation; regularisation of IDP camps/sites; and women’s HLP rights.

Output 4.2

Realisation of individual HLP rights, as well as provision of civil documentation, supported through information, counselling, and legal assistance.

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1: National, humanitarian, and development policies on Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) rights in Darfur enhanced through thematic studies and policy roundtables on HLP restitution and compensation; regularisation of IDP camps/sites; and women's HLP rights.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

4.1.1	# of HLP-related reports published and disseminated.	0	3	0	N/A	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
4.1.2	# of HLP-related roundtable discussions held, including with participation of women and youth	0	3	0	N/A	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2: Realisation of individual HLP rights, as well as provision of civil documentation, supported through information, counselling, and legal assistance.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1	# of trainings for the West Darfur Civil Registry.	0	2	0	1	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
4.2.2	# of individuals, disaggregated by age and gender, who receive counselling and legal assistance on civil documentation	0	1200	0	473 (346M; 127 F)	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.

4.2.3	# of individuals, disaggregated by age and gender, who receive counselling and legal assistance on HLP	0	1200 (60% men; 40% women)	0	61 (61 M, 0 F)	Activity has been put on hold as a result of the conflict.
-------	--	---	---------------------------	---	----------------	--

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1					
Event 2					
Event 3					

Event 4					
----------------	--	--	--	--	--

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☒ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project contributed significantly to digitization of spatial map for city of El Geneina and development of residential plan for retunes and relocations of IDPs and refugees. These efforts aimed to change the paper-based method into digitize records were accomplished through the following achievements:

Establishment of an urban observatory center in El Geneina and equipment to serves as a hub for managing urban data, monitoring against urban indicators, land use mapping, and related activities.

Capacity building and training to the core technical staff of the State Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure and Land Registration Authority on Fit for Purpose Land Administration tools and approaches, principles of durable solutions for IDPs return, and survey equipment.

Pilot spatial mapping of El Geneina old city cadastral maps, including demarcation of Neiborhood's boundaries, basic services and roads.

16 survey monuments and geodetic control points were geo referenced, these points will significantly improve the accurateness of spatial mapping and survey works in the City of El Genaina.

One settlement Profile is developed to support decision-makers to take informed decisions on return, local integration, or relocation. The profile is developed by 15 capacitated technical staff members from the ministry and 16 voluntary youth (both male and female) from the specified localities.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Capacity building of youth IDPs, nomads, women, and host communities on production and construction of soil-stabilized blocks (cost-effective, Environmentally Friendly building material) and self-built housing.

Advocacy and dissemination of visibility materials during the Community- led Exhibition on SSB Technologies.

Capacity building and training to the core technical staff of the State Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure and Land Registration Authority on Fit for Purpose Land Administration tools and approaches, principles of durable solutions for IDPs return, and survey equipment.

Capacity building of West Darfur High Technical School on SSB technology in collaboration with Ministry of Urban Planning and Infrastructure, National Housing Fund, and the Construction Contractors Union.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthening local grass roots networks through establishment of community reconciliation committees in 4 locations, Majemarei, Mulli, Gokar and Mornei. The formation of the committees consisted of multiple day visits in which the communities were sensitized to the purpose and terms of reference, and went through a selection process, including considerations of age, gender and diversity. The capacity building of the selected Committee's members included key pillars of conflict and dispute resolution, dialogue, social cohesion, and utilized interactive techniques and approaches from the Alternatives to Violence Program.

Community, youth, and high-level dialogues were also held in each of the selected locations to continue to support broader efforts at improving social cohesion and overall community trust, while also aiming to inform later project outcomes such as the shared public facilities. High-level dialogues were attended by local government representatives such as Locality Executive Directors, HAC, and various line Ministries, to ensure continued local government buy-in and overall sustainability of programming.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☒ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project contributed on build the strengthening of ongoing partnering among UN agencies through several aspects:

Engagement of UNITAMS by providing community policing training sessions.

Contribute on provision of HLP information and its situation update in Geneina, West Darfur to different forums' meetings including the Site Management Working Group (SMWG) and the newly revived Durable Solution Group that led and membered by different UN agencies.

The project also strengthened engagement with education institutions such as the peace studies center of Geneina university by partnering in facilitation the sensitization of stakeholders, civil society, and community participation roles in peaceful coexistence and reconciliation efforts among different groups (IDPs, Nondisplaced, Nomads).

The adequate housing project has engaged significantly with the counterpart line ministry (Urban Planning and Infrastructure) by providing package of inclusive capacity building included land management tools development and staff capacitate to contribute to setting viable solutions for returns, relocations and settlement for IDPs and returnees.

Un-Habitat and UNHCR the project implementers have collaborated engagement with the National Peace Commissioner through several coordination meetings updating the project progress implementation and challenges. The Peace Commissioner facilitated the work follow with the local government and liaise with UN-Habitat for adapting durable solutions for the re-displaced IDPs, including allocating of land plots and provision of building materials for housing construction.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☒ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Due to the changes in the operational context in the country because of the war conflict dynamic, baselines have not been collected. However, the proposed project reprogramming plans to strengthen project implementation with preliminary assessments baseline survey, respective reports, community-based monitoring mechanism, and ending with a lesson learned workshop, pending PBF approval.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

☐ Yes

☒ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required

110000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Mutaz Nugud	UN-Habitat	National Program Coordinator	mutaz.nugud@un.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- ☐ Some catalytic effect
- ☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Much of the sustainability goals of the project were centered around creating conducive conditions for durable solutions in areas that had been previously affected by conflict, as well as supporting government coordination efforts and technical capacities for housing, land and property issues. Given the outbreak of conflict since April 2023, and the scale of displacement and ethnic nature of the violence that has occurred in West Darfur since then, the prevailing conditions have fundamentally changed since the project was originally conceived. This has meant that many of the activities are not feasible to resume in the short to medium term, and there is significant uncertainty around the continuity of government staff and institutions.

As a result of the conflict and given the significant changes in the operational environment in West Darfur, and the potential impacts that sustained conflict will have all activities have been put on hold and the project team will submit a re-programming request which will outline plans to ensure the sustainability of the re-programmed interventions.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			

Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.