

# PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report	*
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Semi-annual	
<input type="radio"/> Annual	
<input type="radio"/> Final	
<input type="radio"/> Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2024-06-14	
2024-06-14	
Name and Title of Person submitting the report	*
Jelena Miljanić, Joint Programme Coordinator	
Name and Title of Person who approved the report	*
Ekaterina Paniklova, UNDP RR, Sabina Žunić, UNICEF Representative a.i., Siniša Šešum, UNESCO Head of Antenna in Sarajevo	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific               | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa                     |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global                    | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa       | <input type="radio"/> West Africa               |   |

Country of project implementation \*

- |                                       |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Albania         | <input type="radio"/> Bosnia and Herzegovina | <input type="radio"/> Kosovo (As per UNSCR 1244) |
| <input type="radio"/> Kyrgyzstan      | <input type="radio"/> Moldova                | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Montenegro      |
| <input type="radio"/> North Macedonia | <input type="radio"/> Serbia                 | <input type="radio"/> Tajikistan                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Uzbekistan      | <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify         |  |

Other, please specify \*

Project Title \*

- ☒ 00140545: Enhancing citizens' trust in institutions and building resilient communities.
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

14/06/2024, 13:36

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific

☐ Europe and Central Asia

☐ Middle East and North Africa

☐ Central & Southern Africa

☐ Global

☐ West Africa

☐ East Africa

☐ Latin America and the Caribbean

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented

Other, Please specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

2024-01-08

2024-01-08

Project End Date

2026-01-07

2026-01-07

Has this project received an extension?

☐ YES, Cost Extension

☐ YES, No Cost Extension

☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

☐ YES, Cost Extension

☐ YES, No Cost Extension

☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

☒ NO, No Extensions

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/OThU55gZ

3/53

Is the current project end date within 6 months? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which \*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project?

\*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

\*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☒ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☒ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization
- ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ☐ ACTED
- ☐ Action Aid
- ☐ AEDE
- ☐ African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- ☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- ☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada
- ☐ CARE International UK
- ☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- ☐ Christian Aid Ireland
- ☐ COIPRODEN
- ☐ Concern Worldwide
- ☐ Conexion Guatemala
- ☐ COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- ☐ CORD Burundi
- ☐ CORDAID
- ☐ Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- ☐ CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- ☐ DanChurchAid
- ☐ Fund for Congolese Women
- ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- ☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- ☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- ☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ☐ ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- ☐ Integrity Watch
- ☐ International Alert
- ☐ International Rescue Committee
- ☐ Interpeace
- ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- ☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- ☐ MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- ☐ Mercy Corps
- ☐ MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- ☐ MSIS-TATAO
- ☐ NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ☐ ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- ☐ ONG AZHAR
- ☐ OXFAM
- ☐ Peace Direct
- ☐ Plan International
- ☐ PNG UN Country Fund
- ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ☐ ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- ☐ Saferworld
- ☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- ☐ Save the Children
- ☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- ☐ SismaMujer
- ☐ SOS Sahel Sudan
- ☐ Stichting Impunity Watch
- ☐ Tearfund
- ☐ The Carter Center, Inc.
- ☐ Trocaire
- ☐ War Child
- ☐ World Vision International
- ☐ World Vision Myanmar
- ☐ ZOA
- ☐ blank\_placeholder
- ☐ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**NGO The Centre for Child Rights - Centar za prava djeteta**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period \*

15000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The Centre for Child Rights will support implementation of the conflict-sensitive and violence prevention programmes for children, adolescents and young people, and their families. (Activity 1.2.3)**

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1157875	810512	19942	1.72%
				%
UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	1025595	717917	33668	3.28%



--	--	--	--	--

	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2561929	1793350	53773	2.1%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **2.1%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?

41.96

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1074985.41**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect


If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 22563.15**. Is this correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.  
The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Final Financial Report PBF Montenegro June 2024-9\_8\_41.xlsx

## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☒ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The Joint Programme's Steering Committee (hereinafter JSC) was established and held its first meeting on 29 April 2024. As defined in the project document, and the JSC Terms of reference (ToR), the Steering Committee is co-chaired by the UN Resident Coordinator and by a representative of the Prime Minister's Office of the Government of Montenegro (Advisor on Culture and Youth to the Prime Minister, as nominated by the PM Cabinet on 22 February 2024). In addition to the co-chairs, members include the Representatives of the participating UN Agencies in Montenegro - UNDP, UNESCO, and UNICEF. At its first meeting, the JSC adopted the JSC Terms of Reference, the JP's workplan, and the selection of five the project locations - 5 local municipalities.**

**The JSC members underscored the project's significance for the country, highlighting the importance of its key components- dialogue, civic engagement, collective action, countering divisive narratives, and enabling trust, as well as the importance of working at the local level. They also highlighted the commitment of Montenegro and the UN to the common goals and the Agenda 2030, which align with the acceleration of the European integration process. In that context, sustainable development remains one of the Government's top priorities, with a focus on strengthening the resilience of the society and transparent institutions committed to citizens of Montenegro.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The Prime Minister's Cabinet is the key focal institution for the project. The Cabinet was highly responsive and committed to the project, including through the main inception milestone - the JSC establishment and its first meeting. the Prime Minister's Office, on behalf of the Government of Montenegro, has been reiterating its unwavering support for the Joint Programme, emphasizing Government's commitment to the Agenda 2030 as well as to the European integration. In this context, sustainable development remains one of the government's top priorities, with a focus on strengthening societal resilience and ensuring transparent institutions dedicated to citizens.**

**The Joint Programme (JP) was presented to other key institutional partners, such as the Council on the Rights of the Child, Parliament, (including Women's Club), Protector of human rights and freedoms of Montenegro, Ministry of Human and Minority Rights, Ministry of Education, Science and Innovation, Ministry of Culture and Media, Ministry of Justice, and Centre for Mediation, all of which supported the JP. During the month of June, most of the activities of the project will be discussed with the national level partner institutions. Five local self-governments have been selected as Joint Programme locations and approached by the Joint Programme team.**

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

\*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☒ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

\*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☒ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

\*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☒ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

\*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The JP staff in all three agencies was engaged through various modalities. UNICEF existing staff has been engaged in the project implementation. UNDP recruited the project staff – Programme Manager and Communication and Outreach Associate, while Project Associate recruitment is underway. As for the UNESCO team, in addition to the Programme Specialist for Culture and Education and the M&E Officer who oversee the project, the recruitment of the Project officer is underway. When it comes to the JP staff, Joint Programme Coordinator and Joint M&E Officer have been recruited.**

**The Joint Programme Team (JPT) has been meeting regularly, including meetings with the Heads of Agencies and the Resident Coordinator. The Joint Programme Team membership and working protocols have been defined and adopted by implementing agencies. Besides programme focal points, the JPT includes M&E and communications specialists and focal points.**

**The JP work plan design has started by the thorough project review and sequencing of all project activities, ensuring planned synergies and identifying synergetic effects. The JPT has also drafted M&E plan as well as Communications Strategy, including project info sheet, branding and visual identity.**

**Engagement of major partners, vendors and experts for most of the activities for the first year of the project implementation has been initiated.**

**ToR for the KAP Survey, which will contribute to the definition of baselines and support project monitoring has been developed and advertised.**

**The programme locations have also been defined, based on the criteria set in the project document.**

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

**During the first six months of the JP, all of the planning activities were completed in a timely manner.**

the JP's work plan was designed through the thorough programme review, sequencing all of the planned activities, ensuring synergies and identifying additional synergetic effects. Methodologies for capacity building and facilitating dialogues have been identified as crucial points for cross-pollination and alignment, including ensuring the sequencing and coordination of interventions targeting same beneficiaries.

**Major progress towards the planned outputs include:**

The JP has initiated improvement of the capacities of target groups for meaningful civic engagement and inclusive dialogue, upholding and advancing human rights and countering violence, hate speech and divisive narratives. To contribute to this result, building capacity of #NextGen leaders for social cohesion has been started by engaging relevant expertise by UNDP. To advise on and support all project results, UNDP has recruited social cohesion expertise and gender equality expertise. To equip children, adolescents, and young people with skills for participation, contributing to the rule of law and social cohesion, and empower them to engage in social cohesion dialogues and Pledges, partnerships with civil society organizations have been rolled out by UNICEF.

Enabling child and youth participation in parliamentary sessions has been initiated by defining the related programme approach and methodology by the Educational Centre of the Parliament of Montenegro, with UNICEF assistance. The programme will focus on child and youth participation in and contributions to social cohesion, dialogue on positive social behaviours, diversity and positive narrative, peaceful conflict resolution, hate speech, divisive narratives and violence, with a particular focus on the participation of underrepresented groups. Piloting the school mediation and support to the Child Helpline has been agreed upon between UNICEF and the Ministry of Justice and Centre for Mediation. Consolidation and expansion of the Social and Emotional Learning Program, as a powerful mechanism for strengthening social cohesion at individual, classroom, school, as well as community levels, has been agreed between UNICEF and the Bureau for Education. To enable the media literacy capacity building, partnership with Faculty of Political Science has been forged and related activities conceptualised.

JP initiated the process of enabling targeted groups and communities to participate in creating a shared vision for the common good through dialogue platforms. In order to develop a comprehensive methodology for dialogues on social cohesion and people-centred local solutions design, UNDP has ensured the international and local expertise, while UNESCO has defined partnership with Faculty of Political Sciences, University of Montenegro, to ensure the academia-based dialogue platforms. To ensure as open and wide as possible participation in dialogues on social cohesion, design of the concept of a digital platform for the dialogue and overall project promotion has been finalised, while its development is about to be procured.

To ensure that local and national institutions facilitate inclusive, people-centred dialogue and respond to the recommendations and solutions identified through them, key local stakeholders were identified and engaged. Five local self-governments have been selected as project locations and approached by the project team. National partners have been approached and cooperation has been established in order to ensure achievement of the results related to the capacity building of Parliament (including Women's Club), national councils, Office of the Protector of Human Rights, etc. In this context, OHCHR Human expertise has been also utilized to initiate strengthening institutional capacity and mechanisms for research and independent monitoring of hate speech, divisive narratives, and violence. To enhance the Parliament's contribution to dialogue on social cohesion, gender equality, and countering violence and hate speech, engagement with the Women's Club of the National Parliament was facilitated. Additionally, to support the participation of children, adolescents, and youth in the development of local and national Social Cohesion Pledges, consultations were held with the Council on the Rights of the Child. To ensure the strengthening capacities of the media and promotion of culture, partnership with the Ministry of Media and Culture has been ensured.

JPT has also been ensuring synergies, exchange of information and maximising impact of impact of synergies among interventions of international stakeholders, such as the Council of Europe, OSCE, British Embassy, and others. The regular coordination with the international partners active in the area of social cohesion has been a regular strategy for ensuring information exchange on interventions, discussing work with the national partner institutions all organisations work with, to maximize impact and avoid overlapping.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Besides specific activities targeting adolescents, youth, and women, all of the project activities include gender equality and youth component, since these two groups are key project target groups. To ensure gender equality (GE) mainstreaming in all project activities, UNDP has engaged dedicated expertise. Through consultations with Ministry of Sports and Youth and youth organizations, UNICEF has carefully identified entry points and specific groups of beneficiaries that need to be targeted during the project duration. Special care was taken to include vulnerable groups including children, adolescents and youth with disabilities, Roma and LGBTIQ+.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☐ Yes

☒ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

12345more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document



Outcome 1: <b>DIVERSE SOCIAL GROUPS IN TARGETED COMMUNITIES ARE EMPOWERED AND ACTIVELY ENGAGE IN DIALOGUE TO CONTRIBUTE TO BUILDING A SHARED VISION OF THE COMMON GOOD, PUBLIC TRUST, RESPECT FOR DIVERSITY AND RESILIENCE TO DIVISIVE NARRATIVES AND VIOLENCE.</b>	*
Outcome 2: <b>BASED ON PARTICIPATORY DIALOGUE, NATIONAL AND LOCAL INSTITUTIONS INITIATE ADOPTION OF INCLUSIVE, GENDER-RESPONSIVE, RIGHTS-BASED, PEOPLE- AND CHILD-CENTRED POLICIES AND STRENGTHEN OVERSIGHT TO ENABLE CONSENSUS ON A SHARED VISION OF THE COMMON GOOD AND ENHANCEMENT OF TRUST.</b>	*
Outcome 3:	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

<p>Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key <b>outcome</b> and <b>output</b> indicators in the table below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.</li><li>• If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.</li><li>• Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)</li></ul>
---

» **Outcome 1: DIVERSE SOCIAL GROUPS IN TARGETED COMMUNITIES ARE EMPOWERED AND ACTIVELY ENGAGE IN DIALOGUE TO CONTRIBUTE TO BUILDING A SHARED VISION OF THE COMMON GOOD, PUBLIC TRUST, RESPECT FOR DIVERSITY AND RESILIENCE TO DIVISIVE NARRATIVES AND VIOLENCE.**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	The level of trust in meaningfulness of participation in dialogue platforms disaggregated by sex and age	To be determined in the baseline survey	10pp improvement	n/a	The ToR to implement baseline survey has been advertised.
1.2	The percentage of people feeling Engaged/Valued/Heard	To be determined in the baseline survey	10pp improvement	n/a	The ToR to implement baseline survey has been advertised.
1.3	The level of trust that the institutions targeted by the programme will respond to citizens' recommendations	To be determined in the baseline survey	10pp improvement	n/a	The ToR to implement baseline survey has been advertised.
How many outputs does outcome 1 have? <div>12345more than 5.</div>					
Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1					
Output 1.1 Improved capacities of children, adolescents, young people and women, for meaningful civic engagement and inclusive dialogue, upholding and advancing human rights and countering violence, hate speech and divisive narratives					
Output 1.2 Targeted groups and communities participate in creating a shared vision for the common good through local and national dialogue platforms					

Output 1.3
Output 1.4
Output 1.5
Other Outputs <i>If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here</i>

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Improved capacities of children, adolescents, young people and women, for meaningful civic engagement and inclusive dialogue, upholding and advancing human rights and countering violence, hate speech and divisive narratives	Performance Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	The number of participants with capacities for meaningful civic engagement, advancing and safeguarding human rights and gender equality, disaggregated by age and sex	0	-50 adult members of the general population, with at least 50% female participants  -500 young people and adolescents, with at least 50% female participants	0	0	Inception phase, the process of engaging relevant expertise and implementing partners underway.
1.1.2	Number of actors capable of delivering socio-emotional skills programmes, disaggregated by sex	0	-125 teachers, with at least 50% female actors -300 parents and 300 adolescents, with at least 50% female actors	0	0	Inception phase, preparatory activities underway.
1.1.3	Number of educational institutions to have successfully integrated the MIL concept across curricula to increase critical thinking and build resilience of young people on divisive narratives and the consequent challenges	0	13	0	0	Inception phase, preparatory activities underway.

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Targeted groups and communities participate in creating a shared vision for the common good through local and national dialogue platforms	Performance Indicators  Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.2.1	The number of people actively involved in dialogue and contributing to promoting civic space and dialogue, addressing hate speech, violence and divisive narratives, aggregated by age and sex	0	- 300 people with at least 50% female participants - 300 decisionmakers, academia and CSOs representatives and young people actively engaged in academia-facilitated thematic dialogues, with at least 50% female participants - 5,000 children, adolescents and young people empowered through UPSHIFT and the Young Reporters platform in five communities, at least 50% of whom are girls	0	0	Inception phase, preparatory activities underway.
1.2.2	Number of Local social cohesion pledges/plans budgeted and funding sources identified and proposals for scale up developed	0	5	0	0	Planned for the year 2.

1.2.3	The number of community projects and pilot initiatives which contribute to the implementation of local social cohesion action plans/recommendations from dialogues supported by the SCIL	0	10, of which at least a third support initiatives with a gender dimension	0	0	Planned for the year 2.
-------	--	---	---	---	---	-------------------------

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3:	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the indicator	State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						



» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

**» Outcome 2: BASED ON PARTICIPATORY DIALOGUE, NATIONAL AND LOCAL INSTITUTIONS INITIATE ADOPTION OF INCLUSIVE, GENDER-RESPONSIVE, RIGHTS-BASED, PEOPLE- AND CHILD-CENTRED POLICIES AND STRENGTHEN OVERSIGHT TO ENABLE CONSENSUS ON A SHARED VISION OF THE COMMON GOOD AND ENHANCEMENT OF TRUST.**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	The number of adopted social cohesion pledges	0	6	0	Planned for the year 2.
2.2	The level of trust that the institutions targeted by the programme will respond to citizens' recommendations	To be determined in the baseline survey, disaggregated by gender and age	10 pp improvement	0	The ToR to implement baseline survey has been advertised
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1    2    **3**    4    5    more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

**Local and national institutions facilitate inclusive, people-centred dialogue to adopt Social Cohesion Pledges and effectively implement and oversee human rights and social cohesion policies and measures that respond to the recommendations and solutions identified through dialogues**

Output 2.2

**Public narrative and policymaking informed with evidence and latest knowledge on social cohesion threats and public trust in institutions, and countering divisive narratives, hate speech and violence.**

Output 2.3

**Capacity building of targeted institutions to ensure girls' and women's public and political participation and to help counter hate speech, sexism, misogyny and any backlash related to gender equality**

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Local and national institutions facilitate inclusive, people-centred dialogue to adopt Social Cohesion Pledges and effectively implement and oversee human rights and social cohesion policies and measures that respond to the recommendations and solutions identified through dialogues	Performance Indicator  Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	The number of local and national institutions with increased capacities for participatory development, adoption and implementation of social cohesion pledges involved in local and national dialogues.	0	10	0	0	Inception phase, preparatory activities underway.
2.1.2	The number of staff members of the Protector’s office and CSO representatives capacitated to make more strategic use of regional and UN human rights mechanisms for prevention and consolidated action fostering social cohesion	0	10	0	0	Inception phase, preparatory activities underway.
2.1.3	Progress made in establishing a comprehensive and effective monitoring system for hate speech online	Monitoring hate speech primarily relies on reported or publicly known incidents	Robust system for hate speech monitoring established, for regular scanning and analysis of online media and social networks	0	0	Inception phase, preparatory activities underway.

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Public narrative and policymaking informed with evidence and latest knowledge on social cohesion threats and public trust in institutions, and countering divisive narratives, hate speech and violence.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Number of people reached through the communication campaign	0	5000	0	Inception phase, preparatory activities underway.	

2.2.2	The number of journalists applying the principles of solution journalism to produce reliable reports that foster public understanding, trust, consensus-building, civic engagement and prevent conflicts.	0	30	0	0	Planned for Year 2.
2.2.3						



» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Capacity building of targeted institutions to ensure girls’ and women’s public and political participation and to help counter hate speech, sexism, misogyny and any backlash related to gender equality	Performance Indicators  Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.3.1	The number of decision makers and opinion makers countering the normalization of gender-based hate speech, sexism and misogyny, and advocating for the important role of women in politics and peace and security	0	50, at least 50% of whom are male	0	0	Inception phase, preparatory activities underway.
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the indicator	State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						



» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

<b>Event 1</b>	Local dialogues	From September/October 2024 onwards	5 towns in Montenegro	Local communities and stakeholders	Local dialogues on social cohesion will be initiated from September/October 2024 ensure a platform for local communities to jointly explore realities and challenges to social cohesion, with focus on trust in institutions and divisive narratives. The participants will collaboratively provide recommendations and solutions for the identified challenges. Local self-governments and national authorities will develop local and national action plans and Pledges based on the recommendations from dialogues. Additionally, project will provide funds and tools to design concrete solutions, some of which will be piloted during the course of the project. The dialogues will be held in 5 towns in Montenegro (north, centre, south).
<b>Event 2</b>					
<b>Event 3</b>					

Event 4					
---------	--	--	--	--	--

## Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	n/a, Inception phase	n/a, Inception phase	n/a, Inception phase
2	n/a, Inception phase	n/a, Inception phase	n/a, Inception phase
3	n/a, Inception phase	n/a, Inception phase	n/a, Inception phase
4	n/a, Inception phase	n/a, Inception phase	n/a, Inception phase

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

n/a, Inception phase

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1 <b>OPTIONAL</b>  Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)  .....
File 2 <b>OPTIONAL</b>  Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)  .....
File 3 <b>OPTIONAL</b>  Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)  .....
You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project <b>OPTIONAL</b>  .....
Link 1 <b>OPTIONAL</b>  .....
Link 2 <b>OPTIONAL</b>  .....
Link 3 <b>OPTIONAL</b>  .....

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☒ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☒ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

**The programme has been deploying expertise for the use of innovative tools and methods for the participatory dialogue and design of local solutions.**

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

**Through convening the Steering Committee, engagement's with institutional partners and international organisations, the programme has ensured expanding coalitions and galvanizing political will.**

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

**Through convening the Steering Committee, engagement's with institutional partners and international organisations, the programme has ensured strengthening partnerships within UN.**

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☒ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

**The Joint Programme has engaged with key institutional partners at national level and five local self-governments. Through the Joint work of the Joint Programme Team, as well as other internal thematic groups, internal UN cooperation was fortified. Engaging local partners, such as academia and CSOs was one of the preparatory activities carried out in the reporting period.**

## Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

### Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☒ Children
- ☒ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The drafted M&E plan has been developed, which includes the actions planned for the successful monitoring of the progress towards the planned Outcomes and Outputs, as well as foreseen risks. The plan includes tools and data collection methods for the collection of baseline and endline values of indicators and monitoring progress. The plan also includes relevant action towards the monitoring of foreseen risks, as well as deployment of the final evaluation.**

**Joint M&E Officer has also been recruited.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The baseline values are to be determined through the baseline survey, to be launched in the upcoming months. The ToR for the KAP Survey has been developed and advertised.**



Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The baseline values are to be determined through the baseline survey, to be launched in the upcoming months. The ToR for the KAP Survey has been developed and advertised. Output indicators' values will be collected and measured through the survey and tests with project participants/target groups.**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The baseline values are to be determined through the baseline survey, to be launched in the upcoming months. The company engagement has been initialised.**

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? \*

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? \*

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: \*

*Response required*

50000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

14/06/2024, 13:36

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Jelena Miljanic	UNDP	Joint Programme Coordinator	jelena.miljanic@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date?

☐ Yes

☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from?

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below:

☒ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Convening UN and non-UN, national and international partners and stakeholders through the programme platform has as strong potential for the catalytic effects.

## Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**All the methodologies and partnerships developed under the programme have been mainstreaming sustainability elements. For instance, the selection of project locations has been done so as to ensure that diverse social groups in targeted 5 towns are empowered and actively engaged in local dialogues to contribute to building shared vision of common good, public trust, respect for diversity and resilience to divisive narratives and violence. Hence, five municipalities from all of the three regions (north, centre, south) are selected as project locations, with a focus on ensuring their active engagement and ownership of the processes and results. Selected locations ensure covering of half of the total population of the country. Also, the dialogues will be designed and implemented through the full ownership and participation of local actors, and the project will aim to explore local processes and structures where the dialogues could be embedded as a long-term tool and space for dialogue among people and between people and local authorities, and collaborative design of tailored solutions which reflect local needs and realities. The project will also aim to ensure sustainability through integration of a school-based curriculum on civic education: socio-emotional skills, parenting programmes, peaceful conflict resolution for students and human rights education. The strengthening of the state and local institutions in fostering citizens' participation, identifying and addressing social cohesion threats and drivers, evidence-based decision making, coordination and monitoring is an essential element of the project which will ensure delivery of well-designed interventions and accountability to citizens. Sustainability of the interventions will be ensured through carefully designed capacity-building measures aimed at enabling selecting institutions to respond to citizens' needs in a timely manner, as well as by the introduction of various innovative platforms and tools, that will facilitate their decision-making processes long after the project is concluded. UN support under the proposed project is strongly aligned with national priorities - including the government's programme for EU accession and the National Strategy for Sustainable Development by 2030 - and contributes to the implementation and monitoring of EU and SDG commitments. The UN will work with the government on exploring options for mobilizing additional resources for supporting the initiatives stemming from local and national dialogues, as well as scaling up the project activities. Regular communication and coordination with international partners and donors will be one of the mechanisms in order to avoid overlapping, to ensure synergy of actions and to optimize support to the national counterparts and the people of Montenegro.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

No.

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Steering Committee Meeting	Programme Steering committee has been established and has held its first meeting. As defined in the project document, and the JSC ToR adopted at the first JSC meeting, the Steering Committee is co-chaired by the UN Resident Coordinator and representative of the Prime Minister's Office of the Government of Montenegro. In addition to the co-chairs, members include the Representatives of the participating UN Agencies in Montenegro - UNDP, UNESCO, and UNICEF. The first JSC meeting was held on 29 April 2024.	The first Programme SC meeting adopted the SC ToR, the project workplan, and the proposal of the project locations - 5 local municipalities. The SC members underscored the project's significance for the country, highlighting the importance of its key components- dialogue, civic engagement, collective action, countering divisive narratives, and enabling trust, as well as the importance of working at the local level. They also highlighted the commitment of Montenegro and UN to the common goals and the Agenda 2030, which go hand in hand with the acceleration of the European integration process. In that context, sustainable development is one of the government's top priorities, with focus on strengthening the resilience of the society and transparent institutions committed to citizens.
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			

Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.