

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
 Annual
 Final
 Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-07-09

2024-07-09

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Andreia Camará, Project Manager

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Gedeon Behiguim, PeaceBuilding Fund Secretariat Coordinator

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- Yes
 No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- Yes No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Project Title

*

- 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions
- 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue
- 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau
- 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau
- 00134097: Prevention of Natural Resources Conflicts related to Pastoralism and Transhumance in Bafata and Gabu Regions
- 00140008: Strengthening social cohesion through promoting inclusive and effective public health sector governance, management, and administration
- 00140108: Inclusive policies and institutions for a peaceful society: strengthening the social fabric and fostering youth meaningful participation in decision-making in Guinea Bissau
- 00140581: Peaceful Natural Resources Management in the Koliba-Corubal Basin
- Other, Specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

*

2022-01-13

2022-01-13

Project End Date

*

2024-01-12

2024-01-12

Has this project received an extension?

*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- Yes
 No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- Yes
 No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
 Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

7

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

TINIGUENA

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

119530.16

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

In partnership with the other implementing partners and civil society organizations, Tiniguena organized and facilitated community and sectoral/regional consultation spaces in the Gabú and Quinara regions and played a key role in facilitating the national consultation space held in Bissau in December 2023. In addition, Tiniguena carried out a joint follow-up mission with UNDP, RENARC and the Ministry of Territorial Administration in the 12 villages in its areas of intervention to assess the quality of implementation on the ground.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

AOFASS (Onenoral Association of the Sons and Friends of Suzana Section)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

61109.98

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The IP implemented 3 training sessions for WCSOs, Local Authorities and Community Radios in the region of Cacheu, covering the topics of i) climate change and resilience; ii) mediation/conflict resolution; iii) the importance of civic and political participation, particularly of women; iv) women's participation in decision-making spaces; v) women's empowerment. These trainings reached 78 beneficiaries.

The IP supported the development of the methodological approach for the community-based monitoring structures, in collaboration with other UNDP initiatives to enhance the sustainability of such structures.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

RENARC (National Network of Community Radios)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

22839.5

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

8 community radio stations were trained, all community, sectoral and regional djumbais were distributed to the communities and awareness of the dangers of climate change was raised.

Together with UNDP and the Ministry of Territorial Administration, a field mission was carried out to closely monitor the effectiveness of the activities implemented.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

PPM (Women's Political Platform)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

105410.6

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

680 people trained in the Buba sector (communities of Atché, Cufada, Tira Camisa, Buba Tumbo, Ndjassane, Gã Turé and Tebé), Pitche (Padjama, Cama Djaba, Benfica, Copiro and Sintcham Lali) and São Domingos (Djobel, Elia, Arame, Elalab and Cassuh), 91% women and 9% men. Actors involved: traditional and religious leaders, women and young women from community-based organizations.

Initiation of community and sectoral/regional forums with communities in the regions of Cacheu, Quinara and Gabu.

Together with UNDP, a mission was carried out in the Gabu region to evaluate the implementation of the project.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

PELE

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

38939

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

PI produced 500 copies of fanzines, which were distributed during the national forum and later to other partners implementing the project and created a virtual page for ordinary women to tell their stories of courage, struggle, and everyday achievements.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ANCOPF-GB

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

146682.62

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

After identifying the priority activities during the Community Base Participatory Plan (CBPP) sessions in the communities and completing the construction of boreholes to irrigate the vegetable fields, training sessions were also finalized in Buba and São Domingos on rice production techniques, organic horticulture, climate change and mitigation measures, and post-harvest techniques. The partner built six water holes in Buba, each with a horticultural perimeter of 1 hectare, except in Cufada, which has 0.5 hectares, and two in São Domingos. In addition, 53kg of vegetable seeds (28kg in Buba and 25kg in São Domingos) and small horticultural materials were distributed to the women involved in this activity. 192 farmers received Cash for the dyke repair work.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

GUIARROZ

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

146974.31

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Nine training sessions were organized on agricultural techniques, women's leadership, human rights, conflict management, etc. In addition, five sensitization sessions were held in each of the five communities. The distribution of 300 horticultural tools (watering cans, buckets and watering cans) and the construction of 5 boreholes with their respective horticultural perimeters of 1 hectare each were also carried out. 6,952 ml (about 1.84 gal) of belt dykes were rehabilitated. 135 farmers received Cash for the dyke repair work.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	766615.41 *	766615.41 *	729815.27 *	95.2 %
WFP: World Food Programme	640078.28 *	640078.28 *	548092.85 *	85.63 %
TOTAL	1406693.69	1406693.69	1277908.12	90.84%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **90.84%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

85.47

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1202301.1**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1202362

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1092228.07**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

1124993

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.
The templates for the budget are available [here](#) *

PBF Civic Spaces avril 2024 FV 1-8_56_28.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

2

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

A very important step was taken between the government and the community.

For the first time, forums (community, sectoral/regional) were held where they sat at the same table to discuss issues that concern and affect society, and the government committed to engage more on issues such as: land rights, climate change, conflict management/mediation, and women's political participation.

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

For Outcome 1, UNDP has signed several agreements with implementing partners:

- 2 agreements with CSOs to coordinate most of the activities under outcomes 1.1 and 1.2 - Tiniguena for the Gabú and Quinara regions and AOFASS for Cacheu.
- An agreement was signed with the Women's Political Platform (PPM) to support the implementation of activities under Outcomes 1.1 and 1.2 in three target regions, ensuring women's representation at all decision-making levels.
- An agreement was signed with PELE to facilitate the participatory development of gender-sensitive communication products.
- For Outcome 1.3 awareness campaigns, an agreement was signed with RENARC (National Network of Community Radios), which consists of 34 community radio stations and 4 community television stations spread throughout the country.

For Outcome 2, WFP selected 3 IPs to support implementation in the three target regions. The agreement was signed with the NGOs AD, ANCOF-GB and GUIARROZ to implement the project activities in São Domingos, Buba and Pitche respectively. The activities were carried out in the different project communities.

- Construction of boreholes with different perimeters for horticultural activities for women.
- Preparation of an implementation plan and a plan for monitoring and promoting income-generating activities, ensuring that female-headed households take the lead in monitoring the project.
- Distribution of inputs and tools to cultivate rehabilitated land and work on horticultural perimeters

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

Yes

No

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

After the trainings in the four areas of intervention (climate change, conflict mediation, civil/political participation and land rights).

In terms of climate change, the communities have reduced deforestation of large trees and depopulation of mangroves.

In each beneficiary community, a committee has been set up to mediate intra- and inter-community conflicts, which have decreased significantly; women have benefited from inheritance and land ownership; in terms of women's participation in decision-making, women are now reported to be called upon to be heard at decision-making moments.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The contracts with the implementing partners clearly emphasized the project's focus on gender equality and women's empowerment and linked the NGOs that achieved the project's GEWE results. In addition, the CBPP sessions were organized in a gender-sensitive way (473 participants, 280 women), as was the selection of project beneficiaries. The project team ensured that at least 50 per cent of the participants in the sessions were women and this was rigorously enforced in the field. Likewise, the team ensured that women were well represented at community level in the various project activities. The selection of beneficiaries was based on the level of vulnerability of the households, with priority being given to i) female-headed households with many younger members, ii) those whose only means of subsistence is agriculture, iii) those with a disabled person and iv) those with a long-term illness. The aim was to enable each vulnerable person to benefit from goods, paid work and training.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

*

Yes

No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

*

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

UNDP Organized community dialogues for participation, free expression and deliberation on climate security issues. Promoted broad stakeholder engagement (government and community) to increase women's participation. Piloted the creation of community-based monitoring structures to accurately track the impacts of climate change on the use of land, water and other natural resources.

Identified and designed gender-sensitive community/local solutions to inform national/local government strategies and interventions in affected areas.

All this served as a mechanism to reduce the conflicts that existed in the communities, the injustices over land ownership and inheritance issues where women are left out because according to tradition it is the man who has the right and not the woman.

The availability of land for agriculture has contributed significantly to the reduction of conflicts in the communities. There were no reports of conflicts within the community or between the community and the protected area authorities. The rehabilitation of 25,088 meters of dykes valued 370 hectares of land for cultivation that had already been abandoned, allowing food to be grown and reinforcing food security. To ensure that the activities had an impact, the WFP carried out its Post Distribution Monitoring (PDM) survey and as a result, 97.8% of the population of the communities declared that the natural environment had improved thanks to the stabilization and recovery of the land.

In addition, training sessions on land tenure and land rights, as well as on the effects and mitigation measures of climate change, have increased communities' awareness of their land rights. This has given them the ability to grow food and generate income, providing security and economic stability, which will help lessen conflicts within and with state entities.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Relatório_Final_Estudo de Endline_english-9_21_47.pdf



PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Women's participation in inclusive civic spaces is enhanced and protected to address climate-security risks in Sao Domingos, Buba and Pitche

Outcome 2: *

The resilience of rural communities is strengthened through land rehabilitation activities, raising awareness of climate-sensitive agriculture (and improving community savings)

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Women’s participation in inclusive civic spaces is enhanced and protected to address climate-security risks in Sao Domingos, Buba and Pitche**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Indicator 1 Percentage (%) of Women included in decision making structures/dialogue fora for climate security	15%	50%	84%	There was an increase beyond expectations due to the good work of the implementing partner, led by a woman with many years of experience.
1.2	Indicator 2 Percentage (%) of women who participate in decisions /deliberations about their solution of climate change conflicts.	5%	30%	66%	There was an increase beyond expectations due to the good work of the implementing partner, led by a woman with many years of experience.
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

- 1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Effective mechanisms to gather affected communities for participation, free expression, and deliberation on climate-security issues are created and enhanced

Output 1.2

Dialogue fora for women and citizens at large to voice their concerns and design their own solutions that can inform national/local governments' strategies and interventions are facilitated

Output 1.3

The capacities and knowledge of right holders and media are enhanced to analyse the impact of climate change, understand existing laws and regulations, and explore possible adaptation measures.

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Effective mechanisms to gather affected communities for participation, free expression, and deliberation on climate-security issues are created and enhanced	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	<p>Percentage (%) of trained people reporting improved understanding and skills on civic engagement and climate security.</p>	<p>0 (19% women have ever received some type of training on climate change)</p>	75%	92%	<p>96,5%. 83 out of 85 women from WCSO and 28 out of 30 traditional leaders and local authorities have reported to have acquired/renewed knowledge on the topics.</p>	<p>According to the endline study, the vast majority of those surveyed said they had improved their understanding of the changes, exceeding the target set for this indicator.</p> <p>The skills acquired in responding to climate change stand out, particularly regarding the causes, mitigation measures (e.g. crop diversification, reducing tree felling), conflict management and improving community dialogue.</p>
-------	---	---	-----	-----	---	---

1.1.2	<p>Percentage (%) of trained traditional, religious, and local authorities reporting improved knowledge on women's participation in climate-security decision making spaces, disaggregated by sex.</p>	0	75%	96%	<p>Leaders and local authorities of cacheu region have reported improved knowledge on women's participation in climate-security decision making spaces. Remaining evaluation questionnaires are still being analyzed</p>	<p>On November 24, 25 and 30 the authorities in Quinara, Gabú and Cacheu were trained. According to the evaluation questionnaires applied at the training sessions, 79 traditional and religious leaders and administrative authorities from the regional and sectoral government were trained in the three regions. The training sessions covered the topics of women's participation in decision-making, land law, land tenure conflict management, and climate change. Around 96 percent of the local, religious and traditional authorities who received training reported an increase in their knowledge of the topics 14, exceeding the target set (75 per cent).</p>
-------	--	---	-----	-----	--	---

1.1.3	<p>Number (#) of implementing partners and WCSOs reporting improved capacity to support women's participation in climate security decision-making spaces.</p>	0	10WCSOs + 6CSOs	5 CSOs + 2WCSOsnational +WCSOs Communities		<p>The project involved a number of civil society organizations as implementing partners. The organizations consulted said they felt more qualified and more competent in the area of women's civic participation in climate change, having managed projects directly linked to the issue in question. Here we specifically list seven organization which, as implementing partners, reported greater capacity to support women's participation in climate security decision-making spaces</p>
-------	---	---	-----------------	--	--	--

» Output 1.2

<p>Output 1.2: Dialogue fora for women and citizens at large to voice their concerns and design their own solutions that can inform national/ local governments' strategies and interventions are facilitated</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>1.2.1</p>	<p>Number (#) of dialogue fora on climate-security issues created between local authorities and community members, including gender sensitive dialogues.</p>	<p>0 (non-existent)</p>	<p>17 (1 per tabanca) + 3regional+ 1National</p>	<p>17 (1 per tabanca) + 3regional+ 1National</p>	<p>17 (1 per tabanca) + 3regional+ 1National</p>	<p>At the time of the baseline, the project did not have the structures in place in the regions, but during implementation they were accepted and approved by the community.</p>

1.2.2	Number (#) of pilot community-based monitoring structures created per region.	0 (non-existent)	3	17	17	Initially, 3 regional forums were planned and held, but 17committees were formed, 1 for each village, because the community saw the need.
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

<p>Output 1.3: The capacities and knowledge of right holders and media are enhanced to analyse the impact of climate change, understand existing laws and regulations, and explore possible adaptation measures.</p>	<p>Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>1.3.1</p>	<p>Number (#) of community media (e.g., radios) with enhanced capacities and knowledge on climate security risks.</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>12</p>	<p>12</p>	<p>Two radio stations asked to be included because they needed training on the topic.</p>

1.3.2	Number (#) of community radios' campaigns on civic engagement and climate security	0	4	6	6	In general, the radio stations said that this support had enriched their work in this area.
1.3.3	% Communities using the tools acquired in the project to analyses climate risks and explore adaptation measures	0	75%	100%	100%	All the communities consulted through surveys or qualitative techniques reported having benefited in some way from tools to improve their response to climate change. This occurred in terms of the acquisition of knowledge and skills through training and awareness-raising; and in terms of the support provided by resilience projects (water boreholes, seeds, agricultural tools, reconstruction of dikes). Endline study data.

» **Outcome 2: The resilience of rural communities is strengthened through land rehabilitation activities, raising awareness of climate-sensitive agriculture (and improving community savings)**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of women-led households in targeted communities reporting benefits from an enhanced livelihood asset base, disaggregated by type of source (improved agricultural productivity, community savings groups services, etc.)	0	80%	80%	However, in terms of annual agricultural production, there has been an average increase of 50kg per household.
2.2	% of women-led households with acceptable food consumption score (FCS).	0	80%	82%	
2.3	Percentage (%) of women-led households farmers reporting increased agricultural productivity.	0	80%	32%	

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 **2** 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Vulnerable communities, especially women, are supported through income-generating resilience activities, including rehabilitation of land, construction of dykes and wells and distribution of inputs and tools.

Output 2.2

Rural women are empowered to actively engage and lead in the community-level decision-making process on climate change adaptation through trainings on basic business skills and climate-resilient agricultural practices and techniques

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

<p>Output 2.1: Vulnerable communities, especially women, are supported through income-generating resilience activities, including rehabilitation of land, construction of dykes and wells and distribution of inputs and tools.</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Performance Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period</p> <p><i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress to date</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p>
--	---	--	---	---	---	---

2.1.1	<p>% of women-led families benefiting from food/cash-based transfers/commodity vouchers/capacity strengthening transfers.</p>	0	80%	36,28%	36,28%	<p>This low figure is due to the sexual division of labour that took place during the rehabilitation of dykes in agricultural fields. In Buba, women don't do dyke repair work. However, this can be understood as a cultural issue.</p>
2.1.2	<p># of hectares rehabilitated in the targeted areas mostly arable land for horticulture, agriculture, mangrove, dikes ...).</p>	0	370 hectares	370 hectares	370 hectares	<p>During the CBPP sessions, 25088 metres of dykes were planned to be repaired. As a result, 370 hectares were upgraded (51 in Sao Domingo, 76 in Pitche and 243 in Buba).</p> <p>2.1.3</p>
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

<p>Output 2.2: Rural women are empowered to actively engage and lead in the community-level decision-making process on climate change adaptation through trainings on basic business skills and climate-resilient agricultural practices and techniques</p>	<p>Performance Indicator Describe the indicator</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
---	---	--	---	---	---	---

2.2.1	% of trained people reporting improved knowledge of climate-resilient practices and/or basic business skills, disaggregated by sex and age	0	80%	91%women 9%men	73%
-------	--	---	-----	-------------------	-----

2.2.2						
-------	--	--	--	--	--	--

2.2.3						
-------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

<p>If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)</p>	<p>Event Description</p>	<p>Tentative Date</p>	<p>Location</p>	<p>Target Audience</p>	<p>Event Objectives (900 characters)</p>
<p>Event 1</p>	<p>monitoring/evaluation</p>	<p>03/2024</p>	<p>3 regions: Cacheu, quinara e gabu. Buba sector (communities of Atché, Cufada, Tira Camisa, Buba Tumbo, Ndjassane, Gã Turé and Tebé), Pitche (Padjama, Cama Djaba, Benfica, Copiro and Sintcham Lali) and São Domingos (Djobel, Elia, Arame, Elalab and Cassuh)</p>	<p>Communities benefiting from the project</p>	<p>UNDP, the Ministry of Territorial Administration, RENARC and other implementing partners carried out a mission to closely follow up and monitor the impact of the implementation of activities on the ground.</p>
<p>Event 2</p>					
<p>Event 3</p>					

Event 4					
----------------	--	--	--	--	--

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
 - ii. The impact of the project in their lives
 - iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Gã Turé community	In this community, a conflict management committee has been established and is chaired by a woman who is doing an excellent job after benefiting from the training sessions of the conflict management workshop.	According to Tchancha Camará, one of the beneficiaries of the project, there has been a great change within the community, there are no more problems like before, any disagreements are resolved within the community itself, which ultimately contributes to peace and good coexistence.
2	TiraCamisa	there has always been disagreement between this community and the cufada park rangers because they have never been able to agree on the deforestation of endangered species. thanks to the project, the community and the park rangers have managed to overcome this phase and there are no longer any situations of this kind.	The village leader said that the community lives in peace after the implementation of the project.

<p>3</p>	<p>Radio PAPAGAIO</p>	<p>48 journalists from 12 different community radio stations in 4 regions, have reinforced their capacity in key- specific topics toper form the awareness raising campaigns. Additionally, 6 community radios and 1 National Radio Network were supported with goods and services up to 550 USD per community radio according to the needs diagnosed, such as microphones, voice recorders, mast dipoles, frequency antennas, solar panels regulators to increase power capacity, electricity battery, fans and motorcycles reparation, reinforcing the community radio's capacity to implement the awareness raising campaigns.</p>	<p>"The capacity of community radio stations has been greatly strengthened, with the thematic content being absorbed by the great communication with the project's coordination team and trainings received. As a result, it is estimated that the results will be important for the lives of the population and the consequent promotion of the themes defined by the project. Community radio stations are now better equipped with knowledge about the subjects and thus equipped with the tools to address the issues in future radio programs. They are also reinforced in terms of equipment to improve their operational capacity."</p>
-----------------	------------------------------	---	--

<p>4</p>	<p>Beneficiaries of the land repaired in Buba</p>	<p>The dykes not only prevent water from entering the agricultural fields, but also serve as passageways between communities and from the cashew gardens to the community. With the repair of the dykes, the community is able to hire motorbikes to transport their agricultural produce.</p>	<p>For many years we had difficulties transporting our products, because the dykes were eroded, and people couldn't cross them.</p>
-----------------	--	--	---

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

The project has had a huge impact on the beneficiary communities because they are places where there is a big problem with drought, yesterday, and other places with rising sea levels, both of which make it difficult to live with dignity. The project has brought water to the most difficult places, created vegetable gardens to grow vegetables for their own consumption and for sale, which helps to generate income, women now have a voice and a say, in other words, no one is left behind.

Through this project, our implementing partner has brought literacy to the women so that they can learn their letters and sign their names.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The communities have been equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge in conflict management, women's empowerment, land law, climate change and mitigation measures in order to tackle problems in the community, but also to promote economic, social and environmental empowerment, thus creating a virtuous cycle of development and continuous improvement of living conditions in the communities. These skills will enable them to identify and resolve disputes peacefully and efficiently, preventing escalation that can lead to violence and destabilization, and knowledge of mitigation techniques, such as soil and water conservation, can reduce the vulnerability of communities to extreme weather events such as droughts, floods and storms, promoting peace, resilience, sustainability and economic development. Once equipped with these skills and knowledge, through training sessions and community dialogue forums, communities become better able to face challenges, protect their livelihoods and improve their quality of life in a sustainable way.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Partnerships with civil society organizations and local, grassroots NGOs were essential to the project's success and sustainability. Partnerships have succeeded in bringing local expertise, fostered trust and community engagement, and can ensure the continued capacity building and empowerment of communities. In addition, they contribute to long-term sustainability and promote resilience and social inclusion.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

These partnerships have brought several benefits that have enhanced the impact and sustainability of the projects, since these organizations have in-depth knowledge of the social, cultural, economic and political dynamics of the region. This has helped to adapt the project to be more effective and acceptable to the community. They brought in-depth knowledge of the local context, fostered trust and community engagement and empowered community members. In addition, they mobilize resources, influence public policy, promote resilience and social inclusion, and strengthen social cohesion. These partnerships create a favorable environment for sustainable agricultural development and peacebuilding in rural communities.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Field missions are carried out monthly to monitor the progress of project activities, identifying problems and challenges before they become critical, but also to guarantee quality. During the field visits, meetings are held with community leaders, chairmen of the project's activity monitoring committee in order to raise awareness and ensure community engagement, strengthen relationships between implementing partners, the community and local government representatives, creating an environment of collaboration and mutual trust.

The Ministry of Territorial Administration, RENARC and UNDP, in partnership with the implementing partners, carried out a monitoring and evaluation mission in the 17 villages affected by the project, with the aim of closely monitoring the implementation of the project on the ground and its impact on the community.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

Yes

No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Outcome indicators baselines were estimated based on a thorough study based on qualitative and quantitative data gathered in all 3 target-regions and 17 tabancas

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Survey, Interviews in the field and with all the elements involved in the project. Studies have been conducted with the communities.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

A endline study of the project was conducted in the field through surveys, interviews and focus groups.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The mechanism established to get feedback from the communities was the dialogues held in the communities and the field visit to get feedback on the implementation.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

125.6

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Contact information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

- Yes
- No

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

Yes

No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

Some catalytic effect

Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The document prepared on the basis of the recommendations made by the women in the 3 forums (community/regional/national), which served as the basis for the Citizens' Convention. A conflict management committee was established in all communities, which eventually became a model for the other communities.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Since the implementation of the project, there has been a rapprochement between the communities and the local government. A regional forum was held in the 3 different regions where the majority of the communities are women.

This is the first time that women have had the opportunity to sit at the same table with the local government to discuss issues that directly affect the communities.

A conflict management committee and a community garden have been established where all problems are resolved, which has significantly reduced the number of cases reported to the police and between communities.

Women have gained knowledge and as a result their behaviour has changed.

Girls are encouraged to go to school because they realize that this is an urgent need.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The 3 regions /17 target-villages are geographically dispersed, face differentiated conflict contexts, stakeholders and climate-change vulnerabilities, and therefore require a tailored approach. The IP CSOs reveal little capacity to simultaneously implement activities in such a dispersed geographical area due to the limited number of qualified staff, logistic and transportation facilities. Both aspects contribute to a slower than expected implementation speed, having the project team, therefore, requested a 6-months project extension. Future projects with similar ambitions and strategies should focus on building the capacity of their implementing partners to guarantee the quality and time-efficiency of the intended results.

The mapping mission concluded that the project should cover 3 more communities in Cufada to mitigate the conflicts that could arise from selective support. Extending the scope of the project negatively affected the ratio of budget per tabanca for resilience activities, so vulnerability criteria were defined to determine the distribution of assets, such as the number of inhabitants, female-headed households, households with people with disabilities. Meetings were held with each community to increase understanding of the criteria that guided the distribution of assets.

Also, the geographical characteristics of some communities have made it difficult to dispose of certain assets, such as boreholes, since there is no transportation to move large drilling machines. An alternative solution to the problem could be rainwater harvesting, but there is no time until the end of the project to implement an alternative solution in a sustainable way.

The project is unanimously considered by its stakeholders as "relevant and necessary, since it not only meets the existing country needs and global priorities, but also presents a strong alignment with the main needs of the regions, sectors, tabancas and households that inhabit them" (Baseline Study). Despite the concerted efforts the team is making into accelerating implementation, the greatest stakeholder concern is sustainability mostly due to time constraints. The initial time allocated to the implementation of the project and the availability of funds to start work were not enough to implement activities that require time, such as resilience activities that obey the agricultural calendar. These aspects lead us to believe that if the project had lasted between one and a half and two years, it would have been possible to carry out activities in good time and avoid requests for extensions.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
-------------------------------------	-------------------	---------	--------------

Event 1	Monitoring and Evaluation of field activities	UNDP, the Ministry of Territorial Administration, RENARC and other implementing partners carried out a mission to monitor the impact of the implementation of activities on the ground.	There has been a reduction in slash-and-burn agriculture, deforestation of mangroves, the creation of a commission to resolve conflicts in the communities, a significant reduction in conflicts overland ownership, and the opinion of women is taken into account in decisions.
Event 2	Delimitation and repair of horticultural and agricultural fields	follow-up of the rehabilitation activities of the belt dikes and subdivision in the agricultural fields and works of delimitation of the horticultural perimeters	A massive community mobilisation for the repair of belt dykes in agricultural fields that had a far-reaching and positive impact. Not only has it improved infrastructure and agricultural productivity, but it has also strengthened community cohesion, empowered individuals, and promoted environmental and economic sustainability, which are the project's objectives. With this engagement, the community is beginning to create solid foundations for continuous and resilient development, benefiting all those involved particularly and in general for everyone in the community.
Event 3	Add the assets placed in the communities.	Creation of water retention basins for horticultural fields.	With the horticultural perimeters accompanied by modern boreholes, the community decided to contribute to the construction of small water retention basins to help ensure a consistent supply of water for both productivity and animals (in the case of the Pitche sector). In addition, the basins bring ecological and economic benefits, making them a valuable practice for any horticultural cultivation system.

Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.