



PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT

Updated November 2023

COUNTRY: Papua New Guinea
TYPE OF REPORT: SEMI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL OR FINAL: semi-annual
YEAR OF REPORT: November 15, 2023

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Project Title: Sustaining peace in Bougainville – post referendum support

Project Number from MPTF-O Gateway: PRF 00134252

If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund:

- Country Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Name of Recipient Fund: PRF

Type and name of recipient organizations:

- RUNO UNDP (Convening Agency)**
- RUNO UN Women**
- RUNO UNFPA**

Date of first transfer: 15.12.2023

Project end date: 15.12.2025

Has this project received a cost or no cost extension? No

Will this project be requesting a cost or no-cost extension? No

Is the current project end date within 6 months? No

Check if the project falls under one or more PBF priority windows:

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project

Total PBF approved project budget (by recipient organization):

Recipient organisation	Budget Allocated (\$)	Amount Transferred to date (\$)	Amount spent / committed to date (\$)
UNDP	1,835,501	1,284,501	630,000
UN Women	800,002	560,001	0
UNFPA	700,000	490,000	265,800 (Committed)
TOTAL	3,335,002	2,334,502	895,800

Approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget: 27.1%

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE

The budget templates are available [here](#)

Implementing partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date? 0

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

<i>Name of Implementing Partner</i>	<i>Type of Organisation (ex. Govt, civil society, etc.)</i>	<i>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date</i>	<i>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner (175 mots)</i>
Peace and Conflict Studies Institute Australia PacSia	Development institute	Procurement in process	Bougainville transition dialogues and communications regarding the post referendum process

Gender-responsive Budgeting:

Indicate what percentage (%) of the budget contributes gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? 47.2%

Indicate dollar amount from the project document to contribute to gender equality or women's empowerment: \$1,576,179.

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment: 128,000

Project Gender Marker: GM2

Project Risk Marker: Low

Project PBF focus area: 1.3 Political Dialogue

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee?

Yes

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

The project steering committee met on the 4th of September 2023

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months? Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with? (275 words max.)

The project has worked closely with both the Government of Papua New Guinea and Autonomous Bougainville Government (ABG) on issues related to the project including the advancement of on-going dialogue, supporting the workshops and technical expertise related to the joint supervisory body and joint technical teams' formation related to the process of Submitting the post referendum joint consultations outcome of the Bougainville referendum to the PNG parliament. The level of engagement with the Government includes the Chief Secretary, the Deputy Chief Secretary (policy) within the ABG and the director of National Coordination Office for Bougainville Affairs (NCOBA). Discussion have also advanced with Bougainville women's federation, Bougainville youth federation, local women political leaders and economic enablers.

Report preparation:

Project report prepared by: Ahmed Awil, UNDP, PNG

Project report approved by: Respective agency heads of each of three UN agencies, UNDP, UN Women and UNFPAC Office

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report: Yes

PART 1: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities
(*Not Started, Initiated, partially Completed, Completed, Not Applicable*):

Contracting of Partners	Initiated
Staff Recruitment	Completed
Collection of baselines	Partially completed
Identification of beneficiaries	Partially completed

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) (250 word limit):

The new phase of the project was approved in December 2022 and the project formally started in January 2023. Recruitment for the project manager within UNDP has been completed and the candidate is on-board. UNFPA has also completed national officer while the UN Women project manager is expected to come on board by 20 November 2023.

The project mobilization in terms of working with implementing partners and local partners has been initiated. Work is on-going to grow and deepen relationships with Government of Papua New Guinea, NCOBA and Autonomous Bougainville Government. The first Joint Supervisory Body (JSB) and Joint Technical Teams (JTT) meetings (since April 2022) have been held on 31 July 2023 and 21 July 2023 respectively. JSB and JTT meetings have been an active forum to bring together the political and administrative leaders of both ABG and GoPNG into a BPA mandated platform. The 21 July 2023 JTT and 31 July JSB agreed focus was to look specifically at the parliamentary process, including instruments to bring the referendum result and Era Kone Covenant to Parliament., following a technical impasse of advancing the process at the technical level. The outcome of the 31 July 2023 JSB meeting is attached to this report.

The JSB has empowered the GoPNG Minister for Bougainville Affairs, Minister Manasseh Makiba and the ABG Attorney General, Ezekiel Massatt to joint develop the process of bringing forward the results of the 2019 Bougainville referendum to the national Parliament and the ways of drafting and implementing the sessional orders to take the process forward. The JSB has also empowered the two ministers to explore the appointment of an external moderator should they disagree on any of the issues at hand.

In progressing the JSB meeting resolution Ministers Makiba and Massatt met three times to take forward the discussions regarding the sessional orders and the ratification process. The two Ministers have been unable to find agreement on certain details pertaining to the role of

the national parliament (inclusive of voting majority and number of sessions between tabling and voting among others) resulting in the President writing to the Prime Minister requesting an external Moderator to support. Applying shuttle diplomacy, the project has been supporting bilateral dialogues with both parties to extend support in identifying pathways forward. A UN DPPA mission is planned in December to extend these discussions further. In addition, the two governments are considering a JSB in (possibly) December 2023 to discuss the current impasse.

The JSB opened an opportunity for the Prime Minister to invite representatives of the ABG (Minister Masatt and Vice President Nasira) to present to national parliamentarians in the State Function room during the August parliamentary sitting. This was a significant good will gesture by the Prime Minister, providing Bougainvilleans an opportunity to inform parliamentarians of their history and ambitions, something they have been long calling for. A large delegation of Bougainvillean's and national Parliamentarians attend the information session.

In addition to that the project has also set in motion engagements aligned with key awareness activities related to the community and other stakeholders focused on dissemination of joint key messages through a plethora of channels (community facilitators, national adverts, women's networks and youth networks among others).

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION: summarize **the main structural, institutional or societal level change the project has contributed to**. This is not anecdotal or a list of individual outputs or activities, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence¹ of contribution to outcomes is available if requested. (550 word limit):

N/A

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- *“On track” refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.*
- *“On track with peacebuilding results” refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.*

How many outcomes does the project have? 3

¹ Evidence can include evidentiary support for results, including but not limited to: Surveys and other data collection initiatives, field mission reports, community based monitoring or other monitoring reports, third party monitoring, pre- and post surveys, photographs, policy and other documents, meeting minutes, evaluations, communication materials etc. PBF may request these documents if needed.

Outcome 1: Continued political dialogue facilitated between the two Governments and the two Parliaments, ensuring decisions on BPA implementation and post-Referendum are progressed.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track

Progress summary: (350 word limit)

During the reporting period, key activities included the holding of the following major activities related to the post referendum dialogue including:

- **Joint Supervisory Body** meeting on 31 July 2023 that brought together the PNG Prime Minister and the ABG President, and respective members of each Cabinet, after a gap of 15 months to drive forward the discussion on the post referendum dialogue. Full JSB resolution is attached to this report
- **Joint Technical Teams** meeting was held on 21 July 2023, co-chaired by the National and ABG deputy Chief of Staff, and provided a detailed outline of the key issues outstanding and the expected pathways for leaders to discuss and finalise pertaining to the post-referendum process.
- **Sharp Agreement:** A workshop was held in Port Moresby that brought together 90 delegates from both Governments on the implementation of the Sharp agreement, centred on the devolution of powers from Port Moresby to Buka. So far only 9 of approximately 60 powers have been fully transferred.

The parliamentary women caucus meetings were held in November and brought together teams across BHOR and the National parliament

The GoPNG and ABG Ministers met 3 times to discuss the parliamentary pathway. Views have been shared; however, they remain a work in progress.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome: (350 word limit)

Bougainville on 30 October 2023, elected its first women MP to the national parliament. The winning candidate, Francesco Semoso has worked with UNDP and the UN and is a champion of women empowerment. This is a positive development and will greatly contribute to the empowerment of women and increasing their voice at Bougainville and national levels. This election of women MP increase the number of women MPs in national parliament from 2 to 3. The project has worked closely with women members of parliament and women groups in highlighting their participation and inclusiveness within the ABG leadership but also within the Bougainville constitutional commission and other forums related to the peace process.

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for Outcome 1 in the table below

Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
Indicator 1.1 Number of joint communiques on BPA or post-referendum process issued by the two governments	0	2	1	
Indicator 1.2 Actual transfer of powers delegated from PNG to ABG as per the agreements signed by both parties	On-going delegation of powers	2	0	0
Indicator 1.3 Level of partnership between the National Parliament and BHOR on post-referendum arrangements reflected in joint meetings and work of the National Parliament Bougainville Committee	Parliamentary Partnerships Agreement signed by NP and BHOR	NP and BHOR officials meet at least twice a year to discuss post-referendum issues	1	

How many Outputs does Outcome 1 have? 2

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1 and for each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

Output 1.1: Joint Supervisory Body meets regularly and makes joint agreement on post referendum processes with resolutions implemented jointly by the two parliaments and their Governments, as required

Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator or Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
Indicator 1.1.1 Frequency of JSB meetings and joint resolutions on post-referendum arrangements	0	2	1	1	
Indicator 1.1.2 Number of women leaders participating in major meetings (JSB, BHOR, National Parliament) to discuss post-referendum issues	0	1	1	1	

Output 1.2: Members of Parliament in both PNG and Bougainville capacitated to make informed decisions in relation to post-referendum processes and documentation

Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
Indicator 1.2.1 Senior parliamentary leaders agree activities for implementation under Parliamentary Partnership Agreement signed by National Parliament and BHOR in 2018	0	2 statements issued	1	1	Lae workshop held in April 2023
Indicator 1.2.2 Frequency of meetings with National MPs and/or the relevant Bougainville Parliamentary Committee to discuss post-referendum ratification processes	0	1 committee within national parliament and 2 committees in BHOR	1 committee BHOR	1	Lae workshop held in April 2023

Outcome 2: Interlinked community dialogues and high-level communication strategy enables informed participation of Bougainville women, men, youth, and other key groups in post-Referendum processes at all levels

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track

Progress summary: (350 word limit)

During the reporting period, consultations with the Bougainville Youth Federation and the Peace and Conflict Studies Institute Australia (PACSIA) for engagement with young people through participatory youth dialogue have been completed. An implementing partner agreement has been signed with PACSIA. Draft work plans have been prepared: one for signature with the ABG Department of Community Development on behalf of the Bougainville Youth Federation and the other work plan is being finalized with PACSIA. It is expected that both work plans will be signed by the end of July 2023 so implementation will start soon. Consultations with the Bougainville Women's Federation and the ABG Media Group and other independent media in Bougainville to develop a media package on the post-Referendum process are planned to be undertaken in July/August of 2023.

Discussions with the Office of the High Commissioner on Human Rights in connection with the training of human rights defenders on human rights monitoring and advocacy under this outcome is ongoing.

The recruitment of the new UNFPA programme coordinator initially on an independent contractor status is underway pending the recruitment of the same post on a fixed-term contract. UNFPA staff visited the common UN office in Bougainville recently.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome: (350 word limit)

The project has worked closely with youth groups in highlighting their participation and inclusiveness during the post-referendum process and other forums related to the peace process.

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for Outcome 2 in the table below

Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
Indicator 2.1.1 Increase in the proportion of population across AROB and PNG (disaggregated) with a sufficient understanding of post-referendum processes and critical issues	0	25% with 45% of that increase among women and young people	0	Project has not started yet
Indicator 2.1.2 Incorporation of community feedback into the high-level decision-making arrangements	0	2	0	Project has not started yet

How many Outputs does Outcome 2 have? One (1)

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2 and for each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

Output 2.1: Participatory community-led dialogues about the BPA and a peaceful future for Bougainville are facilitated throughout the region and Papua New Guinea

Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
Indicator 2.2.1 Number of people across AROB and PNG reached through media campaigns on the post-referendum process. Breakdown by region, gender and age	To be confirmed (with ABG Media)	To be confirmed	0	0	Project has not started yet

Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
Indicator 2.2.2 Number of people participating in awareness-raising and dialogues held across the three regions of AROB on key political issues, disaggregated by sex and age Youth (c/o BYF) Youth (c/o PACSIA) to be confirmed (33 constituencies, awaiting number of target participants)	To be confirmed 0	To be confirmed 40	0 0	0 0	Project has not started yet Project has not started yet
Indicator 2.2.3 Number of people engaged in post-referendum awareness sessions staged outside of Bougainville disaggregated by age and sex	To be confirmed	To be confirmed	0	0	Project has not started yet
Indicator 2.2.4 Number of human rights defenders trained to voice issues at community and through different forums	To be confirmed (with OHCHR)	To be confirmed (with OHCHR)	0	0	Project has not started yet

Outcome 3: Peace-supporting businesses are established in collaboration with local governments to address spoilers of the Bougainville Peace Agreement.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: In progression track

Progress summary: (350 word limit)

A project Manager has been recruited and has come on board on 27 November 2023.

Given that this outcome requires engagement in new communities for UN Women, and as referenced in the prodoc, this reporting period has been used as a project inception period. Delays in budget setup and recruitment were experienced as a result of UN Women's migration to Quantum. However, during this period UN Women was able to hold an inception meeting with key ABG government and peacebuilding counterparts (including the Minister for Community Government, Minister for Primary Industries, BHOR Deputy Speaker, and local peacebuilder from Konnou Rose Pehei) to discuss this work and identify entry points to access the pilot communities safely. In addition, UN Women developed and advertised Terms of Reference for local and international technical consultants to support

project implementation, whilst recruitment for staff is ongoing. Lastly, a Call for Proposals for Responsible Parties was issued and a selection made. Contracting for the Responsible Party will be completed by end of June with partner implementation beginning in July 2023. The partner will be responsible for the establishment of the peace-supporting businesses in the pilot communities, and will work closely with programme staff and the technical consultants.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome: (350 word limit)

Gender-responsive joint conflict-market assessments will be completed in Q3/Q4 2023.

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for Outcome 3 in the table below.

Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
Indicator 3.1 ABG and Community Governments effectively pilot community-based economic livelihood activities capable of scaling up.	Limited engagement between ABG and Community Governments in target locations.	ABG and Community Government representatives from target locations meet at least 9 times to agree on and review LED strategies in target locations.	N/A	Programme set up and partner selection ongoing
Indicator 3.2 Community Governments in pilot communities demonstrate an increased understanding of conflict-sensitive planning and resourcing for sustainable development.	To be determined by baseline assessment.	70% of Community Government representatives in 3 pilot communities demonstrate an	N/A	Programme set up and partner selection ongoing

Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
		increased understanding of conflict-sensitive planning and resourcing for sustainable development.		

How many Outputs does Outcome 2 have? 2

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2 and for each output, and using the project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

Output 3.1: Engaging conflict-prone communities in inclusive, local economic development supports the continued implementation of an inclusive Bougainville Peace Agreement.

Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
Indicator 3.1.1a Joint conflict and market assessments in pilot communities are used by the ABG, Community Government and Community stakeholders to co-design LED strategies.	Limited economic analysis completed on how to most effectively drive resilient LED activities that can promote social cohesion.	3 conflict-sensitive, gender-responsive LED strategies are developed in collaboration with the ABG.	N/A		Programme set up and partner selection ongoing
Indicator 3.1.1b	No peace-supporting businesses	3 peace-supporting businesses	N/A		Programme set up and

Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
LED strategies are used to identify and incubate peace-supporting businesses in three target locations.	in the target locations based on conflict-sensitive, gender-responsive LED strategies.	identified and incubated with a specific focus on engaging women, youth and veterans in target locations.			partner selection ongoing

Output 3.2: Strengthen the capacity of Community Governments to promote social cohesion and local economic development.

Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
Indicator 3.2.1a Community Governments in pilot communities actively engaging in the dissemination of information related to the post-referendum process	CGs elected in late 2021 and local representatives sworn in in early 2022, thus have had limited involvement in post-referendum activities.	70% of CG officials in 3 pilot communities actively sharing accurate information on the post-referendum process.	N/A		Program set up and partner selection ongoing
Indicator 3.2.1b Community Governments have an ability to initiate and support organic business growth initiatives like local cooperatives and partnerships necessary to advance economic needs at the CG level.	CGs elected in late 2021 and local representatives sworn in in early	70% of Community Government representatives in target	N/A		Program set up and partner selection ongoing

Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to Date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	2022, thus have had limited understanding of how to connect LED to broader ABG economic development policy and processes.	locations demonstrate an increased understanding of the role of regulatory frameworks, processes and facilities in connecting LED to broader ABG processes. Output indicator			
Indicator 3.2.1c Proportion of community governments who develop local processes and facilities to support women and youth livelihoods.	0	At least 50% of target community Government adapt local processes or facilities to ease business for women or youth.	N/A		Programme set up and partner selection ongoing

PART III: CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES

Is the project planning any significant events in the next 6 months (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

Yes, the project will enter a critical phase of dialogue between the ABG and Government of PNG including the tabling of the Bougainville statement and further clarification and inputs from both governments. The events will include a number of JSB and JTT meetings that will further refine the process through deeper engagements on the process. In the next 6 months.

Key events will include:

- 1) JTT and JSB on the parliamentary pathway
- 2) Increased awareness on the parliamentary pathway once confirmed across Bougainville.

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

<i>Event Description</i>	<i>Tentative Date</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Target Audience</i>	<i>Event Objectives (150 word limit)</i>
Joint Supervisory Body meetings	20-21 July 2023	Madang	Autonomous Bougainville Government and Government of Papua New Guinea	Advancing the on-going negotiations on the outcome of the Bougainville referendum and previous agreements and covenants.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries etc) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project on their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

Key stakeholder	What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation? (350 words max)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives (350 words max)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words max)

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had. (650 word limit):

N/A

You can also upload up to 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project and 3 links to online resources (OPTIONAL)

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How We Worked: (please select up to 3)

Enhanced digitization:

Innovative ways of working² [please explain]

Mobilized additional resources.

Additional funding of \$3.7 mil has been mobilized by UNDP from Government Japan in Bougainville in relation to renewable energy and will build upon this project to provide additional sources of energy to the community in Bougainville.

Improved or initiated policy frameworks [please explain]

Strengthened capacities [please explain]

Partnered with local civil society organizations

Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will [please explain]

Strengthened partnerships with IFIs [please explain]

Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies [please explain]

Who are we working with (in addition to the Implementing Partners):

Strengthened partnerships with IFIs [please explain]

Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies [please explain]

Partnered with local civil society organizations

Partnered with local academia

Partnered with sub-national entities

Partnered with national entities

Partnered with local volunteers

LNOB – Leaving No one Behind: Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative?

[mandatory]

Unemployed persons

Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)

Indigenous communities

Persons with Disabilities

Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)

Women

Youth

Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression

People living in and around border areas

Persons affected by natural disasters

Persons affected by armed conflicts

Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: MONITORING, EVALUATION AND COMPLIANCE

² Where innovation is defined as a **product, service, or strategy that's both novel and useful**. Innovations don't have to be major breakthroughs in technology or digital solutions but includes here a new and/or creative approach to solving development challenges.

<p>Monitoring: Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period (<i>350 word limit</i>)</p> <p>Main monitoring has been aligned with the prodoc. Detailed monitoring will be further advanced as the implementation advances. This includes work related to the JTT and JSB sessions.</p>	<p>Do outcome indicators have baselines? yes If yes, please provide a brief description</p> <p>Baseline has been incorporated into the prodoc and the overall project design. The independent evaluation undertaken under the previous phase has also been captured in the overall project implementation including partner selection and resource allocation.</p> <p>Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request):</p> <p>Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives e.g. perception surveys no</p> <p>Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? no</p> <p>If yes, please provide a brief description (350 word limit) Click or tap here to enter text.</p>				
<p>Evaluation: Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?</p> <p>Yes / No / Not Relevant yes</p>	<p>Evaluation budget (response required): USD 70,000</p> <p>If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track: preparations (<i>350 word limit</i>):</p> <p>Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF: <i>Name Email</i></p>				
<p>Catalytic effects (financial): Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.</p>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Name of funder:</td> <td>Amount:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Government of Japan</td> <td>3,726,000</td> </tr> </table>	Name of funder:	Amount:	Government of Japan	3,726,000
Name of funder:	Amount:				
Government of Japan	3,726,000				
<p>Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? <i>Please select</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No catalytic effect <input type="checkbox"/> Some catalytic effect <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant catalytic effect <input type="checkbox"/> Very Significant catalytic effect</p>	<p>If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system (<i>Please limit your response to 350 words</i>)</p> <p>The project has worked collaboratively with other UN agencies, Government of PNG, ABG and others</p>				

<input type="checkbox"/> Don't Know <input type="checkbox"/> Too early to tell	in supporting and sustaining the peace process in Bougainville. Economic development and infrastructure activities aligned to the peace process and underpinning the economic well-being of the populace.
<p>Sustainability: Does the project have an explicit exit strategy? Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project (350 word limit)</p> <p>Yes, in development focused on the outcome of the on-going peacebuilding negotiations on the future political status of Bougainville .</p>	
<p>Other: Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (350 word limit)</p>	