

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report	*
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Semi-annual	
<input type="radio"/> Annual	
<input type="radio"/> Final	
<input type="radio"/> Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2024-07-02	
2024-07-02	
Name and Title of Person submitting the report	*
Beaulah Nengomasha - M&E Specialist	
Name and Title of Person who approved the report	*
Sainey Ceesay - Programme Specialist/Head of Governance	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

*

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation

*

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea |
| <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify

*

Project Title

*

- ☐ 00113367: Addressing conflict over land and natural resources
- ☐ 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- ☐ 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- ☐ 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- ☐ 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- ☐ 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia
- ☒ 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- ☐ 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- ☐ 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- ☐ 00134237: Strengthening women's political participation and leadership through reformed legislation community-level leadership and political parties' engagement in The Gambia
- ☐ 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- ☐ 00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention
- ☐ 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- ☐ 00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

*

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2021-12-02

2021-12-02

Project End Date *

2025-01-01

2025-01-01

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☒ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which

*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?

*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient

*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☒ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid | <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide | <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services | <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | |

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

University of the Gambia (UTG)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

44313

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

UTG research into the 2009 witchhunt campaigns concluded in a report.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

National Human Rights Commission (NHRC)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

96300

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

This funding was provided to the NHRC to support the monitoring and reporting on the implementation of the TRRC recommendations, using various strategies, including national popularization of the TRRC recommendations, building the capacity of all relevant stakeholders for effective monitoring of the recommendations, establishing a partnership with CSOs, particularly victims' associations to amplify the voices of victims and providing them a central role in the quest for justice, advocating for justice for the victims, and advising and assisting the

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	3044150*	2283112.5*	828995.46*	27.23%
	*	*	*	%
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	450000.27*	337500.07*	299656.64*	66.59%

[illegible]

TOTAL	3494150.27	2620612.57	1128652.1	32.3 %

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **32.3%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

31.99

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1117778.67**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 361055.81**. Is this correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

182253.04

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Post TRRC 00129730 Semi annual finance report-17_52_7.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☒ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☒ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Project Steering Committee (PSC) met once in the last 6 months. They held a meeting on the 25th of January in the first quarter of 2024. The primary agenda of this meeting was to review the status of project implementation and to deliberate on and approve the Annual workplan for the year. One of the key outcomes of the meeting was obtaining the PSC's approval for the 2024 Annual Work Plan. It is important to note that the PSC reviews and approves the work plan but does not sign it.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Post-TRRC project (UNDP/OHCHR) has been actively engaging with the government at the national level over the past six months on matters related to transitional justice and human rights. As part of this effort, the project financially supported the hiring of a Special Advisor to the Ministry of Justice, working under the Post-TRRC unit. The advisor's role is to provide expertise on transitional justice matters. Through her advisory role, she developed guidelines to assist the Ministry of Justice (MoJ) in selecting Commissioners for the Victims' Reparations Commission.

The Project engaged with the Ministry of Justice through the Special Adviser to the Minister of Justice and a National Legal Consultant supported by the Project on a range of substantive and project implementation issues. For example, the Project helped the Ministry organise a training for law enforcement officials on the prevention and prohibition of Torture. Participants included representatives of Gambia Police Force, Gambia Armed Forces; the Drug, Law Enforcement Agency, and the Gambia Prisons Service. Another engagement was at national and regional levels by supporting a consultative meeting between the Joint Technical Committee (JTC) and ECOWAS Member State Ambassadors and Representatives to The Gambia, at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs on 26 March. The main purpose of the meeting was to update ECOWAS Ambassadors and Representatives on the progress made by the Joint Technical Committee in developing the legal instruments aimed at establishing a Special Tribunal for The Gambia, to prosecute those accused of gross human rights violations in The Gambia between July 1994 and January 2017.

Additionally, on 5 March, the Post TRRC Project hosted the National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) and CRIMJUST to discuss proposed guidelines on the prevention and prohibition of torture for use by relevant stakeholders. The discussions also centred on developing standard operating procedures to provide guidance for law enforcement agencies in their responses to torture-related matters.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☒ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has made progress in its preliminary and preparatory activities. The steering committee met, reviewed, and approved the Annual Workplan in January 2024, which was subsequently signed by the signatories. This indicates a clear roadmap for the project's implementation. The Ministry of Justice, the University of The Gambia, and the NHRC have signed the Letters of Agreement for the project, demonstrating formal commitment and partnership. Additionally, in line with UNDP's new approach, the project has grouped CSOs into three clusters. This restructuring aimed to reduce administrative challenges and incentivize implementers to streamline partner engagement. The RPAs have also been signed for the three CSOs that will serve as cluster leads in the project, indicating a structured approach to collaboration and accountability within the clusters.

Moreover, UNDP has hired a new Project Coordinator to take over the management of the project following the resignation of the previous coordinator. This swift transition in leadership has facilitated the smooth continuation of the project, allowing key decisions to be made without interrupting its progress. As a result, the project's momentum has been maintained, positively impacting its overall progress. Currently, the project is at 31% delivery. The new Project Coordinator, in collaboration with the project team, has been working diligently to push for higher delivery rates and ensure that project goals are met effectively and efficiently.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Progress has been made towards supporting the Gambian government in the implementation of the Post TRRC Recommendations. The establishment of a dedicated team within the Ministry of Justice, comprised of a Special Advisor who acts as head of the Secretariat, a Legal Consultant, and a Procurement Specialist, has greatly expedited efforts in this regard. This strategic move has facilitated a more structured approach for the Post TRRC Unit (PTU) to coordinate and oversee the implementation of TRRC recommendations. The PTU is evolving into a more organized and capable unit with increased capacities to manage and drive forward the implementation of the TRRC recommendations.

On 26 March, the project offered financial support to the Ministry of Justice to organise a consultative meeting, held between the Joint Technical Committee (JTC) and ECOWAS Member State Ambassadors and Representatives in The Gambia. The meeting aimed to inform and engage stakeholders on the progress of developing legal frameworks for a Special Tribunal to address past human rights abuses in The Gambia. Attended by 22 participants, (7 Females and 15 Males), including Ambassadors and various mission representatives, the session was able to highlight the need for collaboration between ECOWAS entities and member countries to ensure justice for victims. This initiative seeks to advance an accountability mechanism in the region, contributing to lasting peace and reconciliation.

Furthermore, the Post-TRRC Project provided technical support to the Selection Panel for the Reparations Commission by developing guidelines through the Human Rights Consultant hired by the project. The consultant's guidelines were also shared with the project team for review, comments, and suggestions, which informed the final version of the guidelines. These guidelines aim to ensure a transparent and fair selection of Commissioners under the newly enacted Reparations Act. These guidelines uphold the principles of justice and accountability. Following the development of the guidelines, the MoJ issued a call for applications and nominations for individuals interested in serving on the Victims Reparations Commission. Once selected, the Commissioners will have statutory powers aimed at safeguarding and advocating for the interests of victims throughout the reparations processes.

Additionally, the project extended financial support to the Ministry of Justice, which covered transport refunds and procurement of a venue, for a two-day training on Civil Litigation for State Counsel to enhance the capacity of the Justice Sector. A total of 36 participants (20 females and 16 males) attended, gaining essential skills and knowledge in civil litigation. This training improved their understanding of trial advocacy, strategies, and cross-examination skills, and provided a comprehensive understanding of negligence, especially in the context of government liability. The skills acquired will contribute to improved legal practices and ensure fair and just trial proceedings. This training represents a strategic investment in enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of the justice system in The Gambia.

The Project, along with CRIMJUST-UNODC, organized a Training of Trainers from 4-6 March. The event enhanced the understanding of oversight and accountability mechanisms among law enforcement and justice sector actors in the context of preventing and prohibition of torture and Sexual and Gender-Based Violence (SGBV). 50 (20 Females and 30 Males) participants were trained on how SGBV can be considered a form of torture. They also delved into best practices related to monitoring and accountability, drawing insights from African common law. The training promoted institutional integrity among participants by enhancing their knowledge on human rights standards and accountability mechanisms, thereby strengthening efforts in combating torture within the broader context of transnational organized crime.

The Project, on 5 March, convened the NHRC and CRIMJUST to discuss proposed guidelines on the prevention and prohibition of torture for use by relevant stakeholders. Furthermore, discussions centred on developing standard operating procedures to provide guidance for law enforcement agencies in their responses to torture-related matters. Proposed policies intend to support stakeholders in effectively implementing the Anti-Torture Act. The engagement between these organizations underscores a collaborative effort towards ensuring human rights protection and upholding legal frameworks that condemn acts of torture. By establishing clear guidelines and operational procedures, stakeholders can enhance their capacity to address instances of torture effectively and in accordance with established laws and international standards ratified by the State.

The Post-TRRC project organized a Partners' Training on March 25-26 to enhance collaboration and align project interventions with the Government's Implementation Plan. The training was attended by 32 participants (22 females and 10 males) drawn from government institutions, the NHRC, and CSOs. The sessions focused on the development of partners' action plans and strategies for implementing activities. Additionally, there was a presentation on

monitoring and evaluation (M&E), which provided guidance on how to report based on results-based management. Effective communication strategies and branding for impactful project outreach and visibility were also covered during the training. Discussions considered stakeholder engagement, linking interventions to policy, advocacy and development processes, and resource mobilization in the context of sustainability plans. The training provided a platform for Project Partners to enhance collaboration and partnership, align initiatives with key deliverables, and develop strategies for impactful transitional justice projects.

The Project also facilitated in Strengthening the operations of the Ministry of Justice Post-TRRC Unit through the recruitment of a Finance and Procurement specialist (consultancy).

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Gender equality and women's empowerment have been advanced through the training provided on civil litigation for State Counsel. The training successfully reached 69% of women, equipping them with the necessary knowledge and skills to effectively handle civil litigation cases. This training ensures that women are actively involved in civil litigation but also promotes their leadership roles within the legal system. Additionally, the project is supporting arrange of interventions which are being implemented by women and youth led organisations (Women's Association for Victims' Empowerment (WAVE), Women in Liberation and Leadership (WILL). he Gambia Center for Victims of Human Rights Violations (Victim's Center), Solo Sandeng, Fantanka and YELEF).

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results (6000 characters):

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework.

Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

The government has taken significant steps to adopt effective measures and processes to enable the implementation of TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards. In March 2023, the Prevention of Torture Act was passed. A statement from the Ministry of Justice says the passing of this Act plays a central role in our transitional justice process especially in a bid to ensure accountability for gross human rights violations under the previous government and to ensuring that these atrocities never reoccur (GRTS - Gambia Radio and Television Services - Prevention against Torture Act passed). Additionally, The Victims' Reparations Act passed November 2023 is a step towards government initiating a reparations program based on the TRRC recommendations. By responding to victims' needs the government has demonstrated its commitment to promoting justice, reconciliation, and respect for human rights in The Gambia.

There have been some notable peacebuilding results under Outcome 2 of the project, facilitated by the Special Adviser to the Minister of Justice and a National Consultant to the Ministry of Justice, both hired by the project. With the support of the Special Adviser and Consultant, the Ministry launched the ECOWAS Joint Technical Committee to create a hybrid court, demonstrating international collaboration in ensuring justice. This partnership aims to draw on best practices from other tribunals to guide the process effectively. The establishment of an Internationalized Court not only seeks to deliver justice to victims but also aims to pave the way for lasting peace and reconciliation within the nation. By addressing past human rights abuses through a formal legal process, this contributes to healing societal wounds, fostering reconciliation, and promoting a culture of respect for human rights moving forward.

On 22 April 2024, the National Assembly passed two significant bills: The Special Accountability Mechanism Bill and The Special Prosecutor's Office Bill. These bills are expected to initiate the prosecution process for cases arising from the TRRC recommendations. The project supported this initiative by providing technical support, with some of its staff members actively engaged in the discussions, review, and validation of the bills.

The Special Accountability Mechanism Bill addresses the crimes specified in the Government White Paper, providing a framework for accountability. The Special Prosecutor's Office Bill establishes the Special Prosecutor's Office as an independent entity with the authority to investigate and prosecute serious human rights violations and domestic and international crimes outlined in the Government White Paper.

In a press release issued by the Ministry of Justice on 22 April 2024, it was emphasized that these two bills mark a historical milestone for The Gambia's transitional justice programme, representing a significant step in operationalizing mechanisms for accountability within the country.

In January, the Judiciary established a Special Criminal Division of the High Court which has jurisdiction to try cases arising from the implementation of the TRRC recommendations. This development aligns with outcome two of the Project which in part focuses on the trial of perpetrators of human rights violations. This development will benefit from the Project's assistance to build the capacity of judges appointed in 2023

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

The Government adopts effective measures and processes to enable implementation of TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards and responding to victims' and women needs.

Outcome 2: *

The Justice Sector demonstrates commitment and capacity to prosecute cases emanating from the TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards.

Outcome 3: *

The National Human Rights Commission and civil society organizations monitor, report, and support the overall implementation of TRRC recommendations using a human rights-based approach (gender responsive and disability inclusive) (Phase I and II)

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: The Government adopts effective measures and processes to enable implementation of TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards and responding to victims' and women needs.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Formal cooperation mechanisms in place for the government to implement TRRC recommendations	None	At least 3 MoUs signed between government institutions, CSOs	A partnership platform between the government, CSOs and the private sector was officially launched.	
1.2	The level of satisfaction of survivors and victims' families. with the TRRC recommendations	N/A	At least 75 % of persons surveyed per disaggregated category (victim/non-victim, woman/man, youth/adult etc) are satisfied.	91% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied with the TRRC processes and report (Survey by CRPD, 2022)	

1.3	Government financial contribution towards reparations	1 million	2 million	<p>A total of 1,009 victims, including West African Migrants, qualified for final reparations. Of these, 198 received D50,000 or less, paid in full, while 757 received more than D50,000 on a pro-rata basis. The 54 West African Migrants are to be paid D32,400,000 by the government. The government must cover an additional D168,820,831 out of a total D205,820,780 to complete the reparations package.</p> <p>With the enactment of the Victims' Reparations Bill, reviewed with project funding, the government is establishing the Reparations Commission to pay out the balance. In collaboration with the project, the government has initiated urgent medical assistance for victims pending the commission's establishment.</p>	
-----	---	-----------	-----------	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

The Government is enabled to issue a comprehensive Government White Paper on implementation of the TRRC recommendations (Phase I only)

Output 1.2

The Government is supported to adopt essential laws and strategies and establish credible mechanisms to implement TRRC recommendations.

Output 1.3

The Government and other relevant national stakeholders are supported to engage with UN human rights mechanisms on issues relating to the implementation of TRRC recommendations (Phase II)

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: The Government is enabled to issue a comprehensive Government White Paper on implementation of the TRRC recommendations (Phase I only)	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	Government approach to the development of the White Paper is consultative and inclusive	0	All Government institutions mentioned in the TRRC recommendations are consulted, including at least 20 CSOs (including at least 10 victims' and women's associations) Citation of at least 10 other information/evidence not limited to the Final Report	Achieved	All government ministries, as well as 16 CSOs with 14 of them being victim-led, were consulted	

1.1.2	The white Paper is comprehensive and informed by a broad range of documentation and evidence	Final Report includes 16 Thematic Reports	Citation of at least 10 other information/evidence not limited to the Final Report	Achieved	Achieved (11 citations included in the White Paper)	
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: The Government is supported to adopt essential laws and strategies and establish credible mechanisms to implement TRRC recommendations.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Establishment of a well-coordinated and gender-inclusive, consultative, functioning Technical Committee including all relevant post-TRRC stakeholders	The previous TJHR Project had a similar Technical Committee, who met intermittently and barely during the COVID-19 pandemic	Improve the composition of the existing Technical Committee by including emerging post-TRRC actors, and at least 3 victims' associations represented, and 2 meetings held each quarter (8 meetings year)	ToRs for technical committee were revised and 2 meetings held	Post-TRRC Technical committee was reactivated, and 2 meetings held	

1.2.2	Legislation, strategies, and policies developed/reviewed, and adopted to ensure effectiveness national reparations, memorialization, and reconciliation are fully implemented.	Inadequate legislation, frameworks, strategies, and policies for the administration of reparations, reconciliation, and memorialization	At least 1 legislation or other enforceable instrument is adopted on reparations and reconciliation.	1	The Victim's Reparations Act, 2023	
1.2.3	Existence of communication strategies on national reparations and reconciliation	TRRC Guidance Note on Reparations at the TRRC	1 communication strategy on national reparations, and 1 communication strategy on national reconciliation	ToRs for an expert communication firm to develop a comprehensive Communication Strategy was developed and advertised. The evaluation has been completed.	The Victim's Reparations Act, 2023 ToRs developed and advertised	

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: The Government and other relevant national stakeholders are supported to engage with UN human rights mechanisms on issues relating to the implementation of TRRC recommendations (Phase II)	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	Recommendations of UN human rights mechanisms, are accepted and referenced in national human rights reporting	2 (WGEID in 2017 and SR on TJ in 2019)	At least 3	1 shadow report on CEDAW submitted by NHRC

1.3.2	Existence of an NMRF Secretariat with a fully operationalized reporting database to track the implementation of the TRRC recommendations, disaggregated by the nature of the crimes and regions	No NMRF Secretariat with no database	1 NMRF Secretariat with functioning database	A concept note has been developed by the Ministry of Justice, Human Rights Unit, which acts as the Secretariat of the NMRF	Concept note developed and submitted.	
1.3.3	National human rights reports published on TRRC recommendations in line with international standards	5 national human rights reports between 2018-2021	10	0	1 report	

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicator s Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1.5.1
1.5.2
1.5.3

» Outcome 2: The Justice Sector demonstrates commitment and capacity to prosecute cases emanating from the TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	# of justice/security institutions and personnel utilizing new acquired capacity and facilities relevant to implementation of TRRC recommendations	None	At least 50%	None	
2.2	% of recommended cases started or being prosecuted by the Justice sector	None	At least 50%	ECOWAS Gambia Joint Technical Committee on the establishment of an Internationalized Court for the prosecution of perpetrators of Gross Human Rights Violations in The Gambia was launched. The Special Accountability Mechanism Bill and The Special Prosecutor's Office Bill passed.	
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

The Judiciary, Ministry of Justice, and Ministry of Interior (Police) are supported to ensure prosecution of cases arising from TRRC recommendations (Phase I & II).

Output 2.2

Enhanced civil society, particularly victim participation in the activities of the justice sector on the prosecution of cases emanating from TRRC recommendations (Phase I and II).

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: The Judiciary, Ministry of Justice, and Ministry of Interior (Police) are supporte d to ensure prosecut ion of cases arising from TRRC recomm endation s (Phase I & II).	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	The adoption of new legislation that will ensure that cases recommended by the TRRC can be prosecuted	Limited legislation to address the TRRC recommendations on prosecutions	Adoption of the International Crimes Bill, the Torture Bill, the Criminal Code Bill, and the Criminal Procedure Code Bill	2 Bills passed (The Special Accountability Mechanism Bill and The Special Prosecutor's Office Bill).	1 Act and 2 Bills passed (Prevention of Torture Act, The Special Accountability Mechanism Bill and The Special Prosecutor's Office Bill)	

2.1.2	Existence of a national witness protection mechanism	None	Adoption of legislation or other enforceable instrument establishing a national witness protection mechanism	None	Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Act passed in March 2023	
2.1.3	Number of justice and security actors that have knowledge to investigate crimes arising from the TRRC recommendations	25 judges, unknown number of security actors	40 judges, 30 prosecutors and 100 police officers)	86 (50F/36M)	88(52F/36M)	

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Enhanced civil society, particularly victim participation in the activities of the justice sector on the prosecution of cases emanating from TRRC recommendations (Phase I and II).	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Level of victim satisfaction with justice sector actors' engagement with them	0	75% of victims surveyed satisfied	0		
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1
2.3.2
2.3.3

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1
2.4.2
2.4.3

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicator s Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.5.1
2.5.2
2.5.3

» Outcome 3: The National Human Rights Commission and civil society organizations monitor, report, and support the overall implementation of TRRC recommendations using a human rights-based approach (gender responsive and disability inclusive) (Phase I and II)

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	% increase of the population who express confidence and satisfaction on the human-rights based approach in the implementation of the TRRC recommendations. Disaggregated by sex, age and regions.	N/A	75% of population satisfied	None	Survey yet to be conducted
3.2					
3.3					
How many outputs does outcome 3 have?					
<div> 1 <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2 3 4 5 more than 5. </div>					
Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3					
Output 3.1					
National debate on the findings and recommendations of the TRRC is enhanced					
Output 3.2					
The NHRC, media, academia, and civil society, particularly victim, women and youth-led organizations monitor, advocate for, and support the implementation of key TRRC recommendations paying special attention to gender based violence and women's rights, whilst preventing misinformation, disinformation and hate speech.					
Output 3.3					

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: National debate on the findings and recomm endation s of the TRRC is enhance d	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	Increase cooperation and knowledge of CSOs involved in transitional justice, human rights, and gender equality on the implementation of the TRRC recommendations.	Limited coordination and knowledge of CSOs in transitional justice	Action plans developed by CSOs to support the TRRC recommendations	0	2	
3.1.2	Reports prepared and disseminated on the status of implementation of TRRC recommendations.	N/A	Quarterly reports issued discussing all areas of the TRRC recommendations	Ongoing, NHRC preparing the 2nd status report on the implementation of the White Paper.	1 report	
3.1.3						

Output 3.2: The NHRC, media, academia, and civil society, particularly victims, women and youth-led organizations monitor, advocate for, and support the implementation of key TRRC recommendations paying special attention to gender based violence and women's rights, whilst preventing misinformation, disinformation and hate speech.	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
---	---	---	--	--	--	--

3.2.1	Informal and formal justice actors, CSOs and relevant stakeholders trained on the monitoring and reporting on transitional justice and human right. Disaggregated by regions and sex.	0		32 (22/10M) trained	32 (22/10M) trained	
3.2.2	Existence of a national gender inclusive communication strategy undertaken by key stakeholders on the post TRRC processes and procedures	0	1	None	0	
3.2.3	Existence of a comprehensive NHRC monitoring framework, including risk log matrix and follow up systems for implementation of TRRC recommendations.	0	1	Ongoing	Dashboard for monitoring implementation of TRRC recommendations now operational on the NHRC website.	

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1
3.3.2
3.3.3

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1
3.4.2
3.4.3

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1
3.5.2
3.5.3

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1
4.2
4.3

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

.....

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the indicator	State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicator	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the indicator	State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the indicator	State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicator s Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1
4.4.2
4.4.3

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicator s Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1
4.5.2
4.5.3

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1 	Production of Video Documentary 	TBD 	Nationwide 	Persons with disabilities, Women, and children with disabilities including those subjected to acts of sexual and gender-based violence *The public *Victims living in Exile in KMA Senegal, members of neighboring communities around upper Saloum including KMA Gambia 	*To raise awareness about the prevalence and impact of SGBV, torture, and other human rights violations. By sharing the stories of survivors and victims, the documentary can shed light on these issues and educate the public about their severity. * The documentary will serve as a powerful advocacy tool. It can mobilize support for policy changes, legal reforms, or social initiatives aimed at preventing such violations in the future.
Event 2 	Donor briefings and pledging conferences 	TBD 	TBD 	MoJ, CSOs, INGOs, Donor Agencies, UN Agencies 	Resource mobilisation for the implementation of the TRRC recommendations.

Event 3	Documentary that captures the stories of Solo Sandeng and other victims through the lens of their family and commentaries from civil society and legal /constitutional experts	TBD	Nationwide	Victims' family members, civil society actors, legal/constitutional experts and other people who may be relevant to telling the story.	The stories will contrast the democratic gains contributed to by victims. To advocate the need for the state to accommodate freedom of expression and other fundamental rights in maintaining peace, stability, and social cohesion.
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	Beneficiaries	<p>Karamo Janneh, a senior secondary school teacher trained in human rights education, shared how his experiences as a trainee inspired him to become an advocate for human rights in his school. His story highlights the transformative power of education in shaping individuals who actively contribute to promoting and protecting human rights. He highlighted that being trained on Human Rights was extremely impactful as he didn't know that corporal punishments for students was a violation of student's rights as they inflict harm on the students, he said that subsequent to completing the training, alternative form of discipline are now adopted and that students at his public school now know their rights and stand up for themselves, for which he considers it as progress. For both Karamo and Babucarr, the main aim of their training was to raise awareness and foster personal conviction and commitment towards human rights among their students. Karamo admitted that subconsciously, he violated the rights of his students through punishments, but the training enlightened him on the importance of human rights, because only through personal commitments can a sustainable culture of respect and promotion of rights be achieved for all.</p>	<p>Bubacarr Jallow, a passionate upper and senior secondary school teacher, has dedicated her career to instilling a deep understanding of human rights in her students. She said, "Some students are molested by teachers and some experience domestic issues at home which affected their education. After undergoing the human rights training, I am able to better transfer my knowledge to the students who now respect each other and rectify things in a better way". Bubacarr incorporates real-life examples and interactive activities so as to create an inclusive and respectful classroom environment that fosters empathy and critical thinking.</p>
2			

3			
4			
<p>In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 4000 characters.</i></p>			
<p>You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p>			
<p>File 1</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)</p>			
<p>File 2</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)</p>			
<p>File 3</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)</p>			
<p>You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p>			
<p>Link 1</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p>			
<p>Link 2</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p>			
<p>Link 3</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p>			

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The story above exemplifies how knowledge particularly in human rights, empowered teachers to become advocates for social change within their communities. By undergoing training in human rights education secondary school teachers were able to gain a deeper understanding of fundamental rights and how they apply in real-life situations. This knowledge not only equipped them with the necessary tools to identify violations of human rights but also inspired them to take action and advocate for change within the school environment recognizing the importance of adopting alternative forms of discipline that respect the dignity and rights of students.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☒ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☒ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project team UNDP & OHCHR held weekly coordination meetings on the progress and challenges in implementation.

The project meetings with implementing to discuss their concept notes, work plans, FACE & ICE forms in preparation of implementation of activities. These discussions were crucial in preparing for the successful implementation of project activities by ensuring that all parties were on the same page regarding timelines, responsibilities, and deliverables.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Most Outcome Indicators have baselines (e.g.: Government financial contribution towards reparations - 1 million, % of recommended cases started or being prosecuted by the Justice sector - 0)). One survey conducted providing baseline (victim satisfaction)

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

In reporting on indicators, various sources of evidence have been utilized to provide comprehensive and accurate information. The following are some of the key sources used: partners reports, newspaper articles, social media platforms (Twitter etc) victims' survey report.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes, a survey was done on victims' opinion on transitional justice in the Gambia in 2022. Additionally, in the third quarters of the year, University of The Gambia is expected to carry out a Perception study focusing TRRC recommendations to assess the progress towards implementation. The perception survey will contribute towards obtaining outcome level data.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

In reporting on indicators, various sources of evidence have been utilized to provide comprehensive and accurate information. The following are some of the key sources used: partners reports, newspaper articles, social media platforms (Twitter etc) victims' survey report. Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives e.g. perception surveys Yes, a survey was done on victims' opinion on transitional justice in the Gambia in 2022. Additionally, in the third quarters of the year, University of The Gambia is expected to carry out a Perception study focusing TRRC recommendations to assess the progress towards implementation. The perception survey will contribute towards obtaining outcome level data. Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? please select If yes, please provide a brief description (3000 characters): Yes, the project has utilized and established community feedback mechanisms. The project is making use of the already existing structures within the communities that have already been set up by Implementing partners in the community. By utilizing these existing structures, the project can tap into local resources, knowledge, and relationships that have been cultivated over time. During project activities and monitoring visits, participants are encouraged to openly share feedback with the project team. To ensure confidentiality, more sensitive information is shared through one-on-one meetings. This approach allows participants to express their thoughts, concerns, and suggestions in a safe environment without fear of judgment or retaliation. The project has made it a priority to respond to all feedback received promptly and appropriately.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*

Response required

60000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Development of ToR for an independent evaluation will commence in the 3rd quarter.

Contact
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Sainey Ceesay	UNDP	Programme Specialist and Head of Governance	Sainey.ceesay@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date?	*
<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from?	*
Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes?	*
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If yes, please select the relevant option below:	*
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Some catalytic effect <input type="radio"/> Significant catalytic effect	

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has demonstrated significant non-financial catalytic effects, facilitating broader peacebuilding changes in The Gambia. Through strategic legislative influence, the project spurred the enactment of key legislative bills such as the Victims Reparations Act, Ban From Public Office Act, and Former Presidents Act. These reforms have fortified the legal framework, aligning it with international human rights standards and addressing critical transitional justice issues.

In capacity building, targeted training sessions enhanced the capabilities of stakeholders and state counsel. The partner training enhanced advocacy and operational effectiveness in human rights and governance, while the civil litigation training upgraded the professional skills of legal practitioners, particularly in trial advocacy and government liability. These initiatives have strengthened the judicial system's capacity to uphold justice and accountability.

Furthermore, the project facilitated networking and partnerships through thematic clustering of partners. This approach fostered stronger collaborations and sustained engagement among stakeholders, crucial for the continued advocacy and implementation of human rights initiative

Overall, these activities have collectively supported the development of a robust, transparent, and accountable governance framework, contributing to the long-term peacebuilding and democratic advancement in The Gambia.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The document highlights several key activities undertaken by the project to sustain peacebuilding gains in The Gambia following the Truth, Reconciliation, and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations. Firstly, the project has played an important role in developing and implementing significant legal frameworks, such as the Prevention of Torture Act and the Victims' Reparations Act, which establish a solid legal basis for addressing past abuses and ensuring ongoing adherence to human rights standards. Additionally, extensive training and development programs have been provided for government officials, law enforcement, and the judiciary. These initiatives, including civil litigation training and human rights training, are essential for building the capacity to effectively implement and uphold the TRRC recommendations, thus fostering a culture of accountability and justice.

Furthermore, the project actively supports socio-economic initiatives aimed at mitigating underlying conflict drivers and promoting sustainable development in The Gambia. A key aspect of this support is exemplified by the Partners' Training event, which involved 32 participants from government bodies, the National Human Rights Commission, and civil society organizations. This event focused on developing strategic action plans and enhancing institutional capacities to ensure effective implementation of the project's objectives. The training specifically targeted the enhancement of skills in execution, results-based management, and effective communication strategies, facilitating economic recovery and the integration of vulnerable populations into society.

These efforts are pivotal in bolstering long-term peace and stability by addressing socio-economic challenges through targeted capacity-building initiatives. These activities form a comprehensive strategy to create a self-sustaining system that supports the ongoing implementation of the TRRC recommendations, thus contributing to a durable peace environment in The Gambia. Each initiative is designed to ensure that the peacebuilding gains are maintained and built upon, extending beyond the project's duration.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities

Name of the Event

Summary

Key Findings

Event 1

Project Steering Committee meeting

The project steering committee met on the 25th of January. The PSC members were provided with a project update on the activities conducted namely Hussain Habre Conference that took place in Senegal, the stakeholder conference and the Ministerial Tour of UNHQ and State Capitals, which served to engage with donors and officials, as well as the capacity-building training for the Attorney General's Chambers, Criminal Prosecutions, and Civil Litigation Departments in The Gambia, aimed at uplifting legal practice standards in the country. Discussions were also on the results framework, on certain indicators and the annual work plan.

- PSC members were requested to further review and respond to the annual work plan. If there are no objections within 3 working days, UNDP, OHCHR, and the Ministry of Justice will proceed with obtaining signatures.
- MoJ to revert to the Project Team regarding the Terms of Reference (ToR) of the technical committee
- Schedule the first technical committee meeting as soon as possible.
- Project Team to provide a monitoring tool for the Ministry of Justice to collect data relating to Output 2.1.4 on interactions of victims with justice sector actors.
- To start the process of applying for a no-cost extension.

Event 2	Inaugural Technical Committee meeting.	<p>The meeting was held on the 28th of February. During the meeting, an overview of the Terms of Reference (ToR) was presented. The discussions revolved around project coordination mechanisms, with a particular emphasis on the involvement of civil society organizations as partners to ensure highly coordinated project implementation. The committee members engaged in discussions that highlighted the significance of the project implementation plan. The Ministry of Justice (MOJ) stressed how the implementation plan functions as a roadmap for guiding the project to completion. Emphasis was placed on the necessity for proper reporting and documentation throughout the project lifecycle</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Set up a calendar invite for the year for the meetings.
Event 3	2nd Technical Committee meeting	<p>On 3 April, the Post TRRC Project held its second Technical Committee meeting following the Committee's inauguration in February. Attendees comprising representatives of CSOs, the NHRC, MOJ and University of the Gambia examined how use of action plans to guide the project implementation, updates on the monitoring and evaluation framework, and how best to coordinate efforts among implementing partners. The Post TRRC's Project Technical Committee meets twice each quarter.</p>	
Event 4			

Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			
<p>Final Steps</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the <i>Printer</i> icon on the top right corner of the page. • A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation. • Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF • (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there) • After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner. • Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway. <p><i>If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</i></p>			
<p>Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.</p>			