

PBF June 2024 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

☒ Semi-annual

☐ Annual

☐ Final

☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-08-29

2024-08-29

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Noel Pedrola, Project Manager, UNDP Philippines

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Gustavo Gonzalez, Resident Coordinator, United Nations Philippines

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Fiji | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Myanmar | <input type="radio"/> Papua New Guinea | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Philippines |
| <input type="radio"/> Solomon Islands | <input type="radio"/> Sri Lanka | <input type="radio"/> Tuvalu |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ☒ 00140483: Contributing to Sustainable Peace in the BARMM by Supporting IDP Inclusion and Displacement Resolution Measures
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-11-28

2023-11-28

Project End Date *

2025-11-28

2025-11-28

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which *

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☒ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☒ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ☐ ACTED
- ☐ Action Aid
- ☐ AEDE
- ☐ African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- ☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- ☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada
- ☐ CARE International UK
- ☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- ☐ Christian Aid Ireland
- ☐ COIPRODEN
- ☐ Concern Worldwide
- ☐ Conexion Guatemala
- ☐ COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- ☐ CORD Burundi
- ☐ CORDAID
- ☐ Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- ☐ CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- ☐ DanChurchAid
- ☐ Fund for Congolese Women
- ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- ☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- ☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- ☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ☐ ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- ☐ Integrity Watch
- ☐ International Alert
- ☐ International Rescue Committee
- ☐ Interpeace
- ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- ☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- ☐ MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- ☐ Mercy Corps
- ☐ MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- ☐ MSIS-TATAO
- ☐ NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ☐ ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- ☐ ONG AZHAR
- ☐ OXFAM
- ☐ Peace Direct
- ☐ Plan International
- ☐ PNG UN Country Fund
- ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ☐ ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- ☐ Saferworld
- ☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- ☐ Save the Children
- ☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- ☐ SismaMujer
- ☐ SOS Sahel Sudan
- ☐ Stichting Impunity Watch
- ☐ Tearfund
- ☐ The Carter Center, Inc.
- ☐ Trocaire
- ☐ War Child
- ☐ World Vision International
- ☐ World Vision Myanmar
- ☐ ZOA
- ☐ blank_placeholder
- ☐ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

.....

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner*

☐

National youth CSO

☐

National women's CSO

☐

Other National CSO

☐

Subnational youth CSO

☐

Subnational women's CSO

☐

Other subnational CSO

☒

Regional CSO

☐

Regional Organisation

☐

International NGO

☐

Governmental entity

☐

Other

Other, Please specify

.....

What is the name of the Implementing Partner*

Consortium of Bangsamoro Civil Society

.....

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period*

15015.27

.....

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner*

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Policy advocacy and popularization work to fast track the enactment of the IDP Bill in BARMM and promote the development of local policies for IDPs.

.....

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☒ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Philippine Legislators' Committee on Population and Development (PLCPD)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date during this reporting period *

16380.30

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Legislative reform and lobbying support to enact rights-based legislation for IDPs at the national level.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1362500*	953750.00*	67571.97*	4.96%
	*	*	*	%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	1262500*	883750.00*	125850.04*	9.97%

UNHCR: United Nations High Commission er for Refugees	375000	262500.00	126265.20	33.67%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	3000000	2100000	319687.21	10.66%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **10.66%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?

35.05

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1051500**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

1051041.03

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 112050.37**. Is this correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

2023 PBF Philippines - IDP - Interim report May312024 (1)-16_35_1.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Joint Program (JP) was launched last 28 May 2024 in Cotabato City with the active participation of key partners from the BARMM Government, civil society organizations and PUNOs. The Project Steering Committee was convened for an inaugural meeting on the same day. The PSC was co-chaired by the Minister of the BARMM Ministry of the Interior and Local Government (MILG) and the UN Resident Coordinator. Representatives from the following institutions participated: BARMM Ministry of Public Order and Safety (MPOS), Ministry of Social Services and Development (MSSD), Mindanao State University, UNHCR, IOM and UNDP. Please note from the PSC meeting 1. Governance Structure and PSC Terms of Reference 2. Results of the January 2024 Inception Workshop 3. Areas of convergence and collaboration 4. Progress to date 5. Joint work plan for 2024-2025 6. Emerging challenges, opportunities, and risk management measures. The JPSC has approved the Governance Structure, Terms of Reference, and Joint Workplan for 2024-2025. They also agreed on areas of collaboration, identified challenges and opportunities, and established risk management measures.

The members of the PSC noted the following guidance for the JP Team: (1) reviewing the sequencing and contextualization of the Project interventions in light of the 2025 elections; (2) exploring complementation to maximize synergy with similar projects; (3) conducting an in-depth risk assessment to guide implementation; (4) mapping of LGUs affected with conflict-induced displacement; and, (5) supporting next steps following enactment of the IDP Bill in BARMM. The JPSC members also expressed support for efforts to strengthen convergence among BARMM ministries, agencies and offices, CSOs and UN agencies across key result areas of the JP. The JP team will facilitate key agreements and next steps for the next JPSC meeting scheduled for September 2024.

Before the PSC meeting, an inception workshop was held on January 30, 2024, with participants from PSC members, BARMM ministries, national government institutions, civil society organizations, and UN agencies. The workshop's recommendations highlighted: 1) Developing selection criteria for communities covered by Community Assessment Profiling (CAP) and prioritizing communities to receive socio-economic support. 2) Strengthening the role of LGUs in addressing and preventing conflict-induced displacement in line with the Bangsamoro Local Governance Code. 3) Please remember the following. 4) Enhance representation of IDP communities in LGU structures and improve feedback and data analysis. 5) Review approaches to address Non-Moro Indigenous Peoples displacement in the Upi complex. 6) Improve coordination among key actors in conflict-prevention initiatives. Key follow-up actions include detailed consultations with relevant parties such as MILG, MPOS, Peace Security and Reconciliation Office and Office of MP Froilyn Mendoza regarding the design of Joint Programme activities.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

BARMM Regional level:

MSSD is exploring collaboration and integration of the CAP into MSSD's IPART System to support durable solutions for conflict-induced displacement. They are also discussing sustaining promotion of the BARMM IDP Bill and facilitating IDP return/resettlement in protracted situations.

MILG will discuss their role as co-chair and collaboration efforts to strengthen the Bangsamoro Task Force on Ending Local Armed Conflict. They will work with the BARMM Government to address conflicts, violent extremism, support displaced communities, and implement community resilience initiatives with target LGUs.

MPOS to discuss approaches on strengthening early warning and early response mechanisms through capacity-building support (e.g., conduct of training of trainers and community roll-outs), development of manual of operations for proposed EWER Center, and EWER collaboration with other institutions such as MILG and MPOS. The PSRO will discuss providing support to enhance conflict prevention and resolution, including documenting dispute settlement initiatives and conducting peace missions for the peaceful return, reintegration, and resettlement of MILF combatants.

Ministry of Indigenous Peoples Affairs to explore areas of technical assistance related to conflict-prevention and peacebuilding, including advocacy, consultations and monitoring of the proposed Indigenous Peoples Code. The Office of Member and IP Representative Froilyn Mendoza will prioritize technical assistance for displaced NMIP communities, including integrating NMIP displacement in CAP, conducting parcellary mapping, and engaging NMIP CSOs to advocate for rights and

Selected LGUs from Lanao del Sur, Maguindanao del Sur, and Maguindanao del Norte will provide input and recommendations to refine CAP processes, identify data opportunities, and utilize CAP data for sustainable displacement solutions.

The Committee on Social Services and Development of the Bangsamoro Transition Authority will facilitate capacity-building on displacement solutions and advocate for the passage of the BARMM IDP bill.

National level:

MCB to identify areas for collaboration on capacity building which includes incorporation of housing, land and property challenges into the data collection of the CAP, support to information campaigns, and advocacy sessions on the CAP utilization for the MCB programming to facilitate compensation claims from IDP communities.

Legislative branch, particularly the Senate, to strengthen popularization of the IDP bill that highlights the rationale and added value of the bill in the development agenda of the country, including co-hosting a photo exhibit in support of the IDP bill as part of the Women's Month celebration in March 2024.

National government agencies like DILG, DHSUD, and RDCs should support the IDP bill, promote similar local policies nationwide, and include IDPs in government resettlement and rehabilitation programs.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☒ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☒ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☒ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

*

- ☐ Not Started
- ☒ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☐ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Staff recruitment

The UNDP has completed recruiting a Project Manager, Project Analyst, and Administrative, Finance, and Logistics Assistant for the JP. Recruitment and onboarding for the M&E Analyst and Driver are expected to be completed by August 2024. Additional support is provided by the Peace Programme Team Leader, Programme Analyst, Programme Associate, Programme Finance Associate, Head of Cotabato Field Office, and Admin and Finance Analyst.

IOM has onboarded 10 of 11 target staff who will provide full time implementation roles in this project. This includes the onboarding of the National Project Officer (Peace, Transition and Recovery), two project assistants (Senior Transition and Recovery Assistants) based in Cotabato City and Marawi City, two field coordinators (Transition and Recovery Project Clerks), two project engineers, two drivers and one security focal point, while the Project Assistant for Data and Information Management is expected to be completed by June 2024. Meanwhile, IOM dedicated staff and unit to further support the project activities through administrative functions such as the Head of the Sub-Office, Head of Satellite Office, Resource Management Officer, and the Program Support Unit.

UNHCR did not hire additional staff for this joint project instead the salary of the Assistant Protection Officer / IDP Durable Solutions Focal was fully charged under this project.

Contracting of partners

UNDP has partnered with CSOs to prepare for contracting related to various deliverables, including area-based peace and development analysis, convening dialogue platforms for IDP inclusion and peacebuilding, community organizing for socio-economic recovery, capacity-building on conflict-resolution documentation, and formulating conflict-prevention and peacebuilding guidelines for LGUs. UNDP is currently finalizing the documentary requirements for these processes.

IOM has finished recruiting the CAP Consultant, who will start in June 2024. Their role will involve leading desk review and tool development for data collection on the assessment and profiling of displaced communities targeted by the project.

The engagement of the CAP consultant will contribute to Output1.1. Regional and local government leaders have access to comprehensive data and information which inform policy and programming for displacement solutions and prevention. Additionally, IOM plans to engage an expert who will serve as the advisory role and provide strategic guidance to the key deliverables of the project especially to the CAP, local planning processes and legal missions for the Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs), ensuring that the project's engagements are aligned with international standards on displacement solutions.

UNHCR has contracted two (2) project partners for this joint undertaking namely the CBCS and PLCPD. These partners will play a critical role to fast track the passage of a rights-based legislation in BARMM and at the national level.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

*

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Within this reporting period, key processes on consultation and coordination were undertaken with key counterparts from BARMM Government and civil society partners.

The JP supported engagements with the MSSD confirmed the potential integration of the CAP to the IPART system given its added value in analyzing the post crisis situations and intended long-term solutions to displacement. In May 2024, a CAP Consultation was facilitated to engage key BARMM ministries, CSOs, and 11 LGUs (municipal and provinces) from Marawi City and mainland provinces of BARMM and gather recommendations in finalizing the CAP framework, potential communities to be covered, and data and information sources to guide the profiling process. The workshop result identified these areas of collaboration: (1) integration of relevant information related to housing, land, and property to the CAP, (2) capacity building to improve IDP access to information, and (3) t CAP results to further inform MCB's programming.

In April 2024, BTA (IDP bill) Bill No. 32 was approved at the level of the Committee on Social Services and Development in the 2nd reading moving closer to its enactment after the 3rd and final reading at the Parliament. The bill if passed, it will be the first in Southeast Asia to have a rights-based law for IDPs. The JP continues to promote and advocate the development of local policies through local-level ordinances to better uphold the rights of IDPs. More than 10 LGUs are expected to enact ordinances for IDPs this year.

JP supported trainings on IDP protection and displacement solutions with the private sector, CSOs, BARMM, and national government benefitted more than 235 participants resulted to the : (1) enhancement of the displacement solutions provisions in the BARMM IDP bill; (2) 12 LGUs in BARMM to strengthen their IDP ordinances; (3) issuance of resolutions for the passage of an IDP law by the 9 out of 15 Regional Development Councils[2] in the country; (4) endorsement of an Executive Order that would create a national inter-agency platform to mainstream IDP protection and displacement solutions; (5) endorsement supporting capacity building on IDP ordinance development to LGU League[3] members; (6) mainstreaming of solutions-oriented programs for IDPs in the Local Poverty Reduction Action Plan (LPRAP) to be rolled out in 2024; (7) development of a compendium of good practices of private sector in mainstreaming IDP protection and durable solutions; (8) issuance of statement of support for the IDP bill among CSOs, and (9) delivery of privilege speech[4], of Senator Legarda advocating to the Senate plenary the swift passage of the IDP bills.

The JP is assisting the MILG in developing guidelines for LGUs to implement conflict-prevention and peacebuilding programs. This includes workshops to create LGU guidelines for address violent conflicts, extremism, and IDP needs. This includes support to strengthen key initiatives on BARMMs ending local armed conflict and harmonization of data on rido/clan disputes.

The JP facilitated consultations with CSOs on conducting area-based peace and development analysis and identifying good practices for socio-economic interventions for IDP communities. Initial discussions with the private sector were also held to establish a Private Sector Forum to highlight successful business practices.

In the third quarter of 2024, capacity-building activities will be held for municipal EWER focal points and community volunteers. The aim is to enhance reporting pathways and referral lines for better early warning and response. Additionally, there are plans to establish an EWER Center for Maguindanao del Sur.

The JP through the PSRO facilitated capacity building, peace dialogue and mediation mission in Maguindanao to enhance conflict resolution and reduce violence. This involved organizing local peace mediators, providing operational support, and formulating action plans to address conflict-induced displacement.

The JP will engage Initiative for Dialogue and Empowerment through Alternative Legal Services (IDEALS) to support legal missions to support IDPs in accessing their preferred pathways for displacement solutions.

The JP also helped to consolidate IDP issues and concerns from previous workshops conducted, in line with plans to convene localized multi-stakeholder dialogue platforms for sustainable and durable peace in partnership with CBCS, Timuay Justice and Governance and Christians for Peace Movement.

The JP supported a strategic planning workshop for the NMIP Consortium last February 2024 to assess their situation and formulate a strategic plan for NMIPs in the BARMM for 2024-2028. The strategic plan highlighted the following thematic areas: ancestral domain; basic social services; human rights, peace and security; Indigenous Political Structures and IP representation; and, women and youth meaningful participation.

The IP also supported a workshop for around 60 NMIP Young Professionals to develop action plans and initiatives in

response to challenges concerning NMIP youth in the BARMM. This activity resulted in the establishment of a platform and action plan for IP Youth Organizations to advance their agenda in response to concerns related to ancestral domains, customary laws and governance structures, conflict-induced displacement as well as their civil and political rights as citizens in the BARMM.

Joint coordination meetings between PUNOs and key NMIP groups, were conducted to discuss support to the NMIP on displacement assessments, parcellary mapping and strengthening alliances for advocacy work on NMIP's rights and long-term solutions to displacements.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

To the extent possible, the PUNO's recruitment process considered gender balance of the teams and integrated interview questions that assessed applicants' capacity to mainstream gender transformation in programming and operations. The implementation of the JP is also informed by key action points under the Bangsamoro Regional Action Plan on Women, Peace and Security 2023-2028 and Bangsamoro Action Plan on Youth, Peace and Security 2023-2028.

In the technical and operational support provided for NMIP and Minority IP groups, the JP highlighted strategies to support women and youth meaningful participation, with strategies focusing on constituency building among IP women and youth, capacity-building on economic empowerment and political participation, and protection and promotion of human rights, peace and security.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☐ Yes

☒ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Target IDP communities are able to access pathways conducive for longer-term solutions to conflict-induced displacement, especially access to rights and services, socioeconomic inclusion and strengthened governance, peace and security processes

Outcome 2:

Institutions and communities in BARMM more effectively resolve and transform conflicts leading to displacement through meaningful participation and leadership of affected and vulnerable groups

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Target IDP communities are able to access pathways conducive for longer-term solutions to conflict-induced displacement, especially access to rights and services, socioeconomic inclusion and strengthened governance, peace and security processes**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Number of new policies and programmes implemented by target institutions to enable pathways to displacement solutions towards addressing the impacts of conflict-induced displacements	TBD	4	0	During the time of reporting, the JP is in start-up stage, less than 6 months of implementation.
1.2	Percentage of target population (IDP communities) enabled to take concrete steps towards preferred pathways to solutions	TBD	40%	0%	During the time of reporting, the JP is in start-up stage, less than 6 months of implementation.
1.3	Percentage of target population* (IDP communities) reporting increased social cohesion in their communities (including host communities)	TBD	60%	0%	During the time of reporting, the JP is in start-up stage, less than 6 months of implementation.

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3

4

 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1
Regional and local government leaders have access to comprehensive data and information using inclusive approaches that would inform policy and programming for displacement prevention and solutions

Output 1.2
Rights-based legal and policy frameworks for IDPs that recognize their rights, help prevent conflict, and institutionalize sustainable solutions are enacted

Output 1.3
Duty-bearers and IDP communities effectively collaborate in addressing risks and challenges related to conflict-induced displacement situation at the regional and local levels

Output 1.4
Displaced families and their communities have access to socio-economic alternatives and community empowerment initiatives that help build social cohesion and support pathways to preferred displacement solutions

Output 1.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Regional and local government leaders have access to comprehensive data and information using inclusive approaches that would inform policy and programming for displacement prevention and solutions	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant

1.1.1	# of institutions that demonstrate utilization/adoption of community assessment tools, indicators and processes in their own operations	TBD	TBD	0%	0%	CAP introduced to MSSD for possible adoption.
1.1.2	% of stakeholders* reporting increased awareness on the utilization of the tool and on evidence-based peacebuilding programmes	N/A	80%	0%	0%	CAP Consultation Workshop conducted.
1.1.3	# of peacebuilding initiatives / programmes planned or developed by government partners as the result of the assessment findings	N/A	TBD	0	0	CAP process started with consultation and alignment meetings with partners from BARM government, LGUs and CSOs.

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Rights-based legal and policy frameworks for IDPs that recognize their rights, help prevent conflict, and institutionalize sustainable solutions are enacted	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1.2.1	Law on IDP protection enacted at the BARMM sub-national level	0	1	75%	0	Awaiting plenary approval on the 2nd reading and final approval on the 3rd reading
1.2.2	Law on IDP protection enacted at the national level	0	1	25%	0%	Approved and uncontested at the House of Representatives level, now pending deliberation at the Senate

1.2.3	Extent to which the Implementing Rules and Regulations for the IDP Law at the BARMM level has been formulated	0	100%	0%	0%	IRR will depend on the enactment of the IDP bill in BARMM
-------	---	---	------	----	----	---

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Duty-bearers and IDP communities effectively collaborate in addressing risks and challenges related to conflict-induced displacement situation at the regional and local levels	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant

1.3.1	Number of target LGUs with LGU platforms convening regular consultations and collaboration between IDP community leaders/representatives and government institutions	TBD	At least five (5) LGUs	0	0	Consultations conducted with MILG on operationalization of Bangsamoro Plan of Action for Community Resilience in target municipalities, including convening of LGU Council for IDPS, based on BLGC.
1.3.2	Number of policy/legislative documents indicating agreements on roles and responsibilities of LGU and regional government on humanitarian, development and prevention aspects of displacement	TBD	At least five (5) regional and LGU level policy / legislative documents	0	0	Consultations conducted with MILG on operationalization of Bangsamoro Plan of Action for Community Resilience in target municipalities, including convening of LGU Council for IDPS, based on BLGC.

1.3.3	Number of regional and LGU plans and budgets integrating IDP sectoral recommendations	TBD	At least five (5) government institutions with plans and budgets integrating IDP sectoral recommendations	0	0	Consultations conducted with MILG on operationalization of Bangsamoro Plan of Action for Community Resilience in target municipalities, including convening of LGU Council for IDPS, based on BLGC.
-------	---	-----	---	---	---	---

» Output 1.4

<div>Output 1.4: Displaced families and their communities have access to socio-economic alternatives and community empowerment initiatives that help build social cohesion and support pathways to preferred displacement solutions</div>	<div>Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i></div>	<div>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></div>	<div>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></div>	<div>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></div>	<div>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></div>	<div>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></div>
---	--	--	---	---	---	---

1.4.1	% of target community members* reporting inclusive and needs-driven planning process	N/A	70%	0%	0%	Targeting of communities for the delivery of socio-economic empowerment interventions will be based on results of CAP, which will be available by Q3 2024.
1.4.2	Number of cooperatives / CBOs reporting improved access to market and financial institutions	0	Six (6) cooperatives / CBOs	0	0	Targeting of communities for the delivery of socio-economic empowerment interventions will be based on results of CAP, which will be available by Q3 2024.
1.4.3	Percentage of community members reporting increased access to socio-economic suppor	TBD	At least 50%	0	0	Targeting of communities for the delivery of socio-economic empowerment interventions will be based on results of CAP, which will be available by Q3 2024.

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Perform ance Indicator S Describe the indicator 	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator 	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project 	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period 	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project 	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1.5.1
1.5.2
1.5.3

» Outcome 2: Institutions and communities in BARMM more effectively resolve and transform conflicts leading to displacement through meaningful participation and leadership of affected and vulnerable groups

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of target population* (community members in project sites) reporting increased confidence and trust in local and regional conflict prevention and peacebuilding mechanisms	TBD	60%	TBD	During the time of reporting, the JP is in start-up stage, less than 6 months of implementation
2.2	Percentage of reported conflict cases successfully prevented or resolved by supported mechanisms	TBD	at least 50%	TBD	During the time of reporting, the JP is in start-up stage, less than 6 months of implementation
2.3	% of target population* (community members in project sites) reporting improved participation in decision-making on planning, designing and implementing conflict prevention and peacebuilding policies and programmes	TBD	at least 60%	TBD	During the time of reporting, the JP is in start-up stage, less than 6 months of implementation

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Key formal and informal peace institutions have increased capacities and technical resources to promote conflict resolution and peacebuilding programming

Output 2.2

At-risk communities in conflict hotspots are able to meaningfully participate in mechanisms that enable prevention and resolution of conflicts leading to displacement

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Key formal and informal peace institutions have increased capacities and technical resources to promote conflict resolution and peacebuilding programming	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Percentage of key formal and informal peace stakeholders* with increased knowledge, attitudes and intended practice on conflict prevention and peacebuilding programming	N/A	70%	0	TBD	Data collection methodology for this indicator to be finalized. N/A

2.1.2	Percentage of community members* reporting expected satisfaction rate on localized conflict resolution and peacebuilding programmin	N/A	70%	0%	TBD	Data collection methodology for this indicator to be finalized.
2.1.3	Number of successful cases of conflict resolution identified through monitoring missions	0	TBD	0	0	Data collection methodology for this indicator to be finalized.

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: At-risk communities in conflict hotspots are able to meaningfully participate in mechanisms that enable prevention and resolution of conflicts leading to displacement	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator 	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator 	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project 	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period 	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project 	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.2.1	% of targeted IDPs, host communities, and partners reporting expected satisfaction rate (80% or above) with the legal support provided by the Project 	N/A 	70% 	0 	Data collection methodology for this indicator to be finalized. 	

2.2.2	% of community leaders and security partners* with increased knowledge, attitudes and intended practice in conflict management	N/A	70%	0	TBD	Data collection methodology for this indicator to be finalized.
2.2.3	% of community partners* reporting satisfaction regarding meaningful inclusion and participation in mechanisms on conflict prevention and peacebuilding	N/A	70%	0%	TBD	Data collection methodology for this indicator to be finalized.

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.3.1
2.3.2
2.3.3

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.4.1
2.4.2
2.4.3

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.5.1
2.5.2
2.5.3

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1
3.2
3.3

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.1.1
3.1.2
3.1.3

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1
3.2.2
3.2.3

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1
3.3.2
3.3.3

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.4.1
3.4.2
3.4.3

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.5.1
3.5.2
3.5.3

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?					
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.					
Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4					
Output 4.1					
Output 4.2					
Output 4.3					
Output 4.4					

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the indicator	State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Perform ance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.2.1
4.2.2
4.2.3

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Perform ance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.3.1
4.3.2
4.3.3

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Perform ance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.4.1
4.4.2
4.4.3

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Perform ance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.5.1
4.5.2
4.5.3

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1	Enhancing Food Security and Livelihood Private Sector Forum	June 2024	Davao City	Social enterprises, CSOs and CBOS, private sector stakeholders	The activity created a collaborative platform that bridges the gap between the private sector and conflict-affected communities. It sought to recognize the potential for socio-economic recovery, community development, and sustainable peace through meaningful engagement between these two sectors.
---------	---	-----------	------------	--	--

Event 2	Capacity Building for Early Warning and Early Response (EWER) Strengthening	June-August 2024	Maguindanao	Ministry of Public Order and Safety (MPOS), CSOs, EWER focal points, and volunteers	As part of the EWER system strengthening, the JP is providing capacity building support to MPOS for conflict prevention and peacebuilding. IOM and UNDP are organizing Training of Trainers (ToT) on conflict reporting and EWER systems for MPOS key staff, EWER focal points, and volunteers utilizing the Conflict Reporting System (CRS) and EWER guidebook. The training completers will be supported on the conduct of roll-out training for community EWER volunteers. The training will integrate simulation exercises for the application of reporting and referral pathways in BARMM region.
---------	---	------------------	-------------	---	--

Event 3	NMIP Leaders Meeting	July 2024	General Santos City	BTA Office of MP Froilyn Mendoza	The activity seeks to consolidate and develop an agenda and related action plan on protection and promotion of rights and welfare of NMIPs in BARMM, with emphasis on women and youth participation and leadership.
Event 4	NMIP Women and Youth Summit	August 2024	Cotabato City	Teduray-Lambangian Women Organization Inc. Teduray-Lambangian Youth and Student Association	This activity will seek to (1) facilitate updating and consolidation of the current situationer of NMIP women and youth, (2) review the current plans and activities concerning NMIP women and youth engagement, and (3) assess the representation of women and youth in sectoral bodies concerning the involvement and protection of NMIP women and youth in the processes and structures of the Bangsamoro government.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

No human impact story has been documented thus far as the current JP activities are related to finalizing approaches, identification of priorities, and conduct of preliminary activities with the key government partners and stakeholders. This is to ensure that project interventions are aligned to the evolving needs and priorities of BARMM communities. The JP will start the documentation of human impacts in line with the implementation of key interventions and convergence initiatives.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☒ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

[The JP supported advocacy on the IDP Bill in BARMM, including technical assistance on LGU ordinances on protection and assistance for IDPs.]

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

[The JP extended technical assistance to key NMIP Consortium in formulating their 2024-2033 strategic plan document and to the PSRO in conducting its Peace Dialogue and Mediation Mission for Maguindanao target areas.]

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

[The JP partnered with the CBCS to undertake advocacy on the IDP Bill and with other local/grassroots CSOs to review socio-economic empowerment and social cohesion initiatives for communities affected by conflict-induced displacement.]

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

[The JP partnered with the Consortium of Bangsamoro Civil Society on IDP Bill advocacy.]

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☒ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☒ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

At the time of reporting, the JP is still in its start-up phase, with less than six (6) months of implementation.

Monitoring activities have taken the form of consultation and coordination meetings with key government and civil society partners. For this JP, activities on monitoring of peace and conflict context will be undertaken given complexity of conflict-induced displacement within the Bangsamoro transition process.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The JP is in the process of facilitating collection of outcome indicators through the conduct of Area-Based Peace and Development Analysis and baseline research initiatives. Given the JP design, baseline activities will be facilitated in Q3 2024 once the JP's target communities have been identified. The baseline will employ a mixed-methods approach employing surveys with the affected people to capture the baseline figures and focus groups discussion to provide in-depth information contextualizing these findings.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Activity documentation reports can be provided as sources of evidence.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required

45000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Noel Pedrola	UNDP	PBF Project Manager	noel.pedrola@undp.org
---	--------------	------	---------------------	-----------------------

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date?

☐ Yes

☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from?

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below:

☐ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The JP interventions have been designed in close consultation and coordination with partners from the BARMM Government to ensure that these are aligned with their respective workplans and trajectories in the remaining months of the transition period. In addition, to ensure that JP deliverables are strengthened and sustained, the Joint Project Steering Committee has provided guidance to establish a Technical Working Group (TWG) which will be comprised by the technical focal points from the government partners (e.g. MILG, MSSD, MPOS, BHRC, MHSD), academe, civil society groups, and PUNOs. This will allow the project to maintain its momentum and reinforce the continuity of the project considering the upcoming electoral period that might pose security risks and changes to the political landscape in the region.

Technical support from the JP has enabled the potential integration of the CAP to the MSSD's IPART system which covers IDP Site Assessment. This provides opportunities for the SEEDS for Peace project and MSSD to strengthen data integration pertaining to conflict induced displacements that would further enhance response and programming not only on addressing humanitarian needs but also integrating the post conflict situation of the IDPs. This further provides an opportunity for institutionalization of the CAP in the overall data system of the BARMM government.

Legislative support from the JP has also helped to bring the national and regional IDP bill towards enactment. Support for local government units in developing local ordinances for IDP rights protection and welfare promotion is also envisioned to strengthen institutionalization of LGU policies and programmes on displacement solutions. There are also ongoing discussions with MILG on formulation of guidance in convening LGU Council for IDPs, as stipulated in the Bangsamoro Local Governance Code.

Technical support in the formulation of the NMIP Strategic Plan 2024-2033 is also envisioned to contribute to institutionalization of efforts for NMIP protection and empowerment, as the action points identified in this strategic plan highlight areas of engagement with different BARMM institutions.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The upcoming 2025 BARMM election may impact the political landscape both in regional, provincial and municipal level. Changes in the executive leadership and management are expected especially starting from the period of filing of candidacy including the registration of regional parliament political and parliament sectoral parties. Additionally, this may give rise to security risks, including the risks of increase in incidents related to conflicts and violence as a result of political rivalries and clan feuds. The volatile political and security situation in the lead-up to the 2025 election may potentially impact the pace of coordination and implementation as well as pose the need for the project to review the priority interventions of the project. The establishment of the TWG mentioned in the above section will thus play a crucial role in mitigating this risk to some extent and ensuring the continuity of the programming.

Additionally, climate risks are increasingly affecting communities in BARMM. Last May 2024, BARMM Government declared a region-wide state of calamity due to El Nino. This is likely to be followed by rainy season from the months of June 2024 onwards, according to the PAGASA forecast. These climate impact adds on to the challenges faced by IDPs and their host communities that are often affected by conflict. Close coordination with government counterparts will be crucial in ensuring alignment with priorities and involving displacement context as the result of the intersecting dynamics of conflict and climate change. It is also recommended that contingency planning is mainstreamed across the capacity building initiatives of the project's partners.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
-------------------------------------	-------------------	---------	--------------

Event 1	Joint Project Steering Committee (JPSC) Meeting on 28 May 2024, Cotabato City	The Joint Project Steering Committee is the highest decision-making governance structure of the PBF SEEDS for Peace. The Steering Committee serves as high-level coordination and oversight platform among the members of the committee relevant to the implementation of the joint project and provides strategic guidance to the overall implementation. The Steering Committee is co-chaired by the UN Resident Coordinators Officer (UNRCO) and the BARMM's Ministry of Interior and Local Government (MILG). Members of the Steering Committee include Ministry of Social Services and Development (MSSD), Ministry of Public Order and Safety (MPOS), Ministry of Indigenous Peoples Affairs (MIPA), Peace, Security and Reconciliation Office (PSRO), Mindanao State University (MSU), International Organization for Migration (IOM), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)	Recommendations from JPSC: Establishment of TWG to be supported through the issuance of memorandum that will identify alternative technical focal points from the ministries and offices member of the JPSC Provision of support to strengthen the roles of the LGUs in addressing conflict induced displacement Convergence to be facilitated not just at the level of the UN but also BARMM and CSO across data and evidence, government advocacy, socioeconomic support, and conflict mediation Flexibility of the project implementation to be maintained to adapt to the evolving needs and context, including the passage of legislations (e.g., IDP bill) and displacement situations. Synergies with the initiatives of other development partners to maximise impact and ensure cross convergence. TWG to be engaged in analysing the risks that may impact the project implementation and to propose management mitigations measures which will further be submitted to the JPSC.
Event 2			
Event 3			

Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			
Final Steps <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the <i>Printer</i> icon on the top right corner of the page.• A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.• Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF• (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)• After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.• Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway. <p><i>If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</i></p>			
Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.			