PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ○ Semi-annual
- ● Annual
- ○ Final
- ○ Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-11-18

2024-11-18

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Armel Olivier YAPI, Project Manager, Human Rights

E-mail of Person submitting the report *

armel.yapi@undp.org

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Malam Jassi, Head of governance Team UNDP

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

◉ Yes

○ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

◉ Yes

○ No

○ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

○ Yes   ◉ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

○ Asia and the Pacific   ○ Central & Southern Africa   ○ East Africa

○ Europe and Central Asia   ○ Global   ○ Latin America and the Caribean

○ Middle East and North Africa   ● West Africa

Country of project implementation *

○ Benin   ○ Burkina Faso   ○ Cote D'Ivoire

○ Gambia   ○ Ghana   ○ Guinea

● Guinea-Bissau   ○ Liberia   ○ Mali

○ Mauritania   ○ Niger   ○ Nigeria

○ Senegal   ○ Sierra Leone   ○ Togo

○ Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

○ 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau

● 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau

○ 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions

○ 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue

○ 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau

○ 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau

○ 00134097: Prevention of Natural Resources Conflicts related to Pastoralism and Transhumance in Bafata and Gabu Regions

○ 00140008: Strengthening social cohesion through promoting inclusive and effective public health sector governance, management, and administration

○ 00140108: Inclusive policies and institutions for a peaceful society: strengthening the social fabric and fostering youth meaningful participation in decision-making in Guinea Bissau

○ 00140581: Peaceful Natural Resources Management in the Koliba-Corubal Basin

○ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

---

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific   ☐ Central & Southern Africa   ☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia   ☐ Global   ☐ Latin America and the Caribean

☐ Middle East and North Africa   ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

---

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2021-12-15

2021-12-15

Project End Date *

2024-12-14

2024-12-14

Has this project received an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

◉ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

◉ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

○ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- (●) Yes
- (○) No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- (○) Yes
- (●) No

If yes, please select which *

- (○) National Trust Fund
- (○) Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- (●) UN entity
- (○) Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- (●) UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   (○) IOM: International Organization for Migration
- (○) UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- (○) OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- (○) UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- (○) UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   (○) UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- (○) FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   (○) WFP: World Food Programme
- (○) UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- (○) UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- (○) UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   (○) ILO: International Labour Organization
- (○) WHO: World Health Organization   (○) PAHO/WHO
- (○) UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   (○) UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- (○) UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- (○) UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   (○) ITC: International Trade Centre
- (○) UNDPO   (○) Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

....

Are there other recipients for this project?   *

- ( ) No other recipients

- (●) Yes, other UN recipients only

- ( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only

- ( ) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients   *

Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   [ ] IOM: International Organization for Migration

- [✓] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

- [✓] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   [ ] WFP: World Food Programme

- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization

- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization   [ ] PAHO/WHO

- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre

- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations   [ ] Other, Specify

Other, Please specify   *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

....

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

9

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- (●) Other

Other, Please specify

National Human Right Institution

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

The Danish Institute for Human Rights

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

76200

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Implementation of the Right to Defend Rights tool developed by the Danish Institute for Human Rights (DIHR) in Guinea-Bissau (https://defend.humanrights.dk/). DIHR has conducted a training for human rights defenders (in Portuguese) on how to collect data on the rights connected to civic space and human rights defenders. They have supported the Network of Human Rights Defenders, the National Statistics Office and the Centre for Access to Justice in developing a comprehensive report on civic space, SDG 16.10.1, and the situation of human rights defenders in Guinea-Bissau.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

....

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner   *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

◉ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

....

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

University Network for Human Rights

....

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?   *

21795

....

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner   *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The University Network for Human Rights has developed a mentorship programme for human rights defenders and human rights activists in Guinea-Bissau. The objective of the programme is to further develop the capacity of national human rights actors to use the UN and the African Union human rights systems for advocate for positive change in Guinea-Bissau and to promote accountability.

....

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ⦿ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Women and Child Institute

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

1292.61

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The IMC is the main Government body ensuring development of policies and strategies related to child protection in Guinea-Bissau. The IMC is leading the development of the national child protection (CP) referral and case management system. During the reported period, IMC leaded and coordinated the training of trainers (ToT) on case management, with the participation of key CP stakeholders. Twelve elements of the national task force, who have been trained to become the IMC resource experts implementing the next phase of the CP capacity building action plan are now able to deliver trainings.

The Institute has also organized a working and advocacy session to present statistical data on violence against children, reported nationwide using digital tools. These data covered all forms of violence, abuse, and exploitation of children from 2022 to 2024 and is disaggregated by gender, age, type of violence and profile of perpetrator, among others

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◉ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Association of Children Friends - AMIC

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

3082161

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The Association of Children's Friends – AMIC (in the Portuguese Acronym) is implementing a project named "Badjuda de Pano Preto" aiming at addressing cases of the violence against children and gender-based-violence, especially child marriage and sexual violence, with this PBF-project's support.

AMIC organized regular awareness information sharing sessions with other relevant child protection actors and community members in order to enhance coordination in prevention and response to cases managed by this organization and its shelter.

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

● Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

A Human Right defenders Network

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Network of Human Rights defenders (HRDs)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

12465.07

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Presentation of the report on the situation of human rights defenders in the regions.
Development of the website of the the Network of HRDs.
Visit of the HRDs Network to Cabo Verde in an exchange programme with the Network of Human Rights Defenders of that country.
Signature of an MoU between the two Networks.
The Network opened its own office in Bissau.
The partnership with Front Line Defenders was consolidated which, among others, resulted in the delivery of Human Rights Defenders IDs to its members and the visit of Front Line to the country.
The Network of Human Rights Defenders has also conducted an advocacy session for the adoption of a law on the protection of human rights defenders.

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

....

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ⬤ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

....

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Ministry of Justice and Human Rights
....

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

13111.25
....

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

In the first half of 2024, the Center for Access to Justice has conducted the second part of djumbais on human rights (awareness campaign for the local population based on talks and discussions) in the regions. There were 26 djumbais organized and they have reached more than 2,213 (1,250 Men, 962 women) people living in remote areas of the country.

The Ministry of Justice and Human Rights has ensured the dissemination of child rights issues covered by the Child Protection Code in the International Conference on the Challenges for the Justice System, in May 2024.

The Ministry of Justice is also working on new child protection structures to be put in place while the Child Protection Code is not approved. A National Commission and regional teams have been created. The Ministry of Justice is working towards having a Decree-Law approved by the Government to develop transitional rules that can be used while the Code is not approved, developing a protection system based on the norms of the Code.
The project of Decree-Law was technicaly appoved on 6 November 2024.

7

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◉ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

National Guard

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

2638.66

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The National Guard organized awairness sessions on the prevention of child trafficking in border areas of Guinea-Bissau. The sessions were held in collaboration with the Public Order Police (POP), AGLUCOMI (a national CSO), and IMC to reinforce actions to prevent child traffickinig and forced begging. There were 65 members of child protection teams and community members in these sessions.

8

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◉ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

RENALJEV

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

20000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

In line with the support received by the project in 2023, RENALJEV and their partners continued the work, they organized a training of trainers of 20 persons on menstrual hygiene. Later, 90 teachers, parents, and guardians were trained on menstrual hygiene in Oio, Gabu and Cacheu. They also organized conferences in schools on menstrual dignity in Gabu and Oio, additionally, nine training and debate sessions on non-violent communication were organized in schools.

9

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◉ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ONG PRO ACTIVO

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

10758

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

With the project' support, the NGO PRO Activo has been able to to reifrce the capacity of 30 activists working in the region of Quinara on GBV case management and gender equality. They also established and trained 6 youth clubs to raise awerness of the local communitie in Quinara region on GBV cases and gender equality. This was done together with a radio program on the same topic.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1790436.35 *	1253305.45 *	1253054.04 *	69.99%
	*	*	*	%
UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	640588.6 *	44841132 *	390243.26 *	60.92%

OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	* 912326.87	* 638628.11	* 519277.85	56.92%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	% 56.92%

	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
TOTAL	**3343351.82**	**46733065.56**	**2162575.15**	**64.68%**
....	....	....	....	....

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **64.68%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?   *
⦿ Correct   ◯ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %   *
....

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?   *
37

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1237040.17**. Can you confirm that this is correct?   *
◯ Correct   ⦿ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars   *
1815429.41

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 800152.81**. Is this correct?   *
◯ Correct   ⦿ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars   *
1215912.84

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available <u>here</u>

GNB PBF_Project budget_Human Rights at 07 novembre 2024 VDF le 11 11 2024 FV-9_44_16.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

◉ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

○ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

◉ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ◯ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ◉ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ◯ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ◯ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ◯ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ◯ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ◯ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ◯ (3.1) Employment
- ◯ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ◯ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ◯ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ◯ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☑ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

There was one Joint Steering Committee Meeting, which took place on 30 October 2024.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.
Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project team has met with representatives of the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights weekly. The meetings with the Ministry of Women, Family and Social Solidarity conducted on a biweekly base, and there were at least five meetings from July to November 2024 with other State actors.

For the case management system, the project team engaged with the President of the Institute for Women and Children (IMC, in the Portuguese acronym) and technical staff from the IMC.

The project also involved the National Human Rights Commission, both its President and its technical staff in various activities to promote human rights.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project is now in its final year of implementation; however, progress has been slower than anticipated. While all preliminary preparations are complete and the project team has made significant efforts to accelerate activity execution, overall delivery rates remain below target. There is still one tranche of the project that needs to be implemented. All project partners and beneficiaries have been identified, and most contracts and fund transfer agreements are in place.

Despite these efforts, the project has encountered substantial challenges in recent months due to funding constraints. Project staff have been working diligently to improve implementation rates and expedite disbursements. However, with delays in the payment of the third tranche, several activities have come to a standstill. The request for the payment of the third tranche was sent on 4 September 2024, and until 15 November 2024, the funds had not been received. Although meetings and preparatory work for upcoming activities are ongoing, many initiatives have been postponed due to insufficient funds.

As the project team works as a real team, some activities were covered from funds that were originally allocated to a specific agency. In this sense, UNICEF has supported OHCHR with activities connected to the rights of persons with disabilities, as they also touch upon the rights of children with disabilities, and UNDP has used "other" sources of funding to conclude other activities. OHCHR's PAPEV project (regional project on the rights of children) has also financed some activities of the PBF project that were aligned with their goals.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

○ Yes

◉ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project team has faced significant challenges during implementation due to major political crises that have led to the frequent turnover of national counterparts. This turnover has required additional time and effort to engage with new national partners and build relationships with state actors. The dissolution of the National Popular Assembly in December 2023 severely impacted the effective implementation of some activities at the beginning of 2024.

The second half of 2024 has been marked by uncertainty surrounding the parliamentary elections, originally scheduled for 24 November 2024 but now postponed indefinitely. State actors have been reluctant to plan activities due to the possibility of upcoming elections. This scenario has made it challenging for the project staff to advance with activities and plan for change.

These political issues, along with pre-existing challenges such as limited capacities and political will, have heavily impacted the project's progress toward its results.

Coordination with partners has improved but is still a challenge, because of the changes of staff and the lack of internal coordination among some state actors. The Minister of Justice and Human Rights has been absent from the country for large periods of time, making it more difficult to get her approval and signature in documents that are needed to advance with the work.

Similar uncertainties have also affected the project's civil society organization (CSO) partners, as many individuals working for CSOs do so without a stable salary. Additionally, since many CSO workers are also employed by the State, the prevailing sense of insecurity has spread to them as well.

The convening agency, UNDP, had its audit in November 2023. One of the recommendations presented in the final audit report was to rethink the grants modality of contracting partners. Many partnerships of the project were completed by signing grants with civil society. However, this change in operations, has represented a new challenge for the project team as a new contractual modality had to be identified to be used with CSOs that often lack accounting procedures, for example.

As pointed out previously, the delay in payment of the third tranche of the project funds has also limited the staff's capacity to accelerate the implementation of the project. While it was possible to use other sources of funding to implement some activities, several others are on hold, awaiting the arrival of the funds for their implementation. Furthermore, the delay in payment has put the project staff in difficult situations, as some suppliers have not received their funds yet.

*

Project progress summary
Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Despite several political and technical challenges experienced, the project is contributing significantly to promote and protect human rights in Guinea- Bissau.

The National Human Rights Commission (CNDH) achieved a significant milestone by independently producing and submitting its first-ever report on the human rights situation in Guinea-Bissau for the Universal Periodic review (UPR). This accomplishment reflects a strong step towards the CNDH's understanding the importance of being independent.

Challenges remain, nonetheless. The Commission's Board was not appointed, as per Decree-Law that creates the CNDH. The President of the CNDH has not yet fully embraced the implication of a truly independent institution, despite the presentation of the UPR report, and the proposed revised statute for the Commission is still awaiting review by the Council of Ministers.

Several action points of the National Human Rights Action Plan have been implemented with the project's support. Even though, there have been issues of coordination, and the project team has supported activities in a diffused way, many have been completed during the project implementation. Through the trainings for state actors on human rights obligations and treaty bodies, approximately 60 state officials have increased their knowledge on human rights issues, for example.

In May 2024, the project assisted the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights in organizing an International Conference on Access to Justice and Contemporary Challenges. As a follow up activity of the Conference, the project team organized a meeting on access to justice with the Brazilian Public Defence Office on one side and the CAJ and the Bar Association of Guinea-Bissau on the other. After this meeting, the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights and the Public Defence Office of Brazil signed a Terms of Reference for joint work in rethinking the CAJ as public defence in November 2024.

The project advocated and supported the government and partners to find a temporary solution to move forward with important administrative measures that are covered by the Child Protection Code and that are within the government's mandate, in the absence of a parliament. Thus, the government drafted and approved a Decree-Law on child protection.

The child protection case management system is being developed under the leadership of the Institute for Women and Children and is at an advanced stage. Five training modules (including the procedure manuals for each module) on the case management system have been completed and delivered: 1) Fundamentals of child protection; 2) Fundamentals of case management; 3) Case management process; 4) Information management; and 5) Ethics and self-care.

The training of trainers of the 12 members of the task force (8 men and 4 women), in October 2024, strengthened theoretical and practical skills related to the management of child protection cases and strengthened the capacity of 18 participants (9 men and 9 women) from Civil Society Organizations and child protection service providers in the health, judicial and law enforcement sectors.

During the reporting period, 126 cases (50 boys and 76 girls) of violence against children were reported, treated, and followed-up on by IMC and the main CP protection services using the Kobo collect data management platform. Incident reporting on sexual abuse and physical violence are the most frequent of the reported cases. In addition, statistical information was presented in October 2024 by the IMC to all national CP stakeholders.

The report on the Convention on the Rights of Child was submitted in June 2024. The State submitted the reports to the Human Rights Committee (monitoring the implementation of the International Covenant on Civic and Political Rights) and the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in October 2024. The report on the implementation of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities is translated and ready to be submitted

in 2024. The consultation with civil society on the contents of the report on the implementation of the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women is scheduled for 10 December 2024.

With the project's support, civil society has presented a record number of reports to the UPR process in 2024. For the third cycle of the UPR in 2020 there were two joint reports presented by civil society organizations. This year, 18 reports were submitted with the project's support. Additionally, the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights has created an ad hoc group to draft the state report for the UPR.

A collaborative team of 16 people, comprising the Network of Human Rights Defenders, CAJ staff, and technical experts from the National Office of Statistics, successfully gathered quantitative and qualitative data to assess the implementation of SDG 16.10.1 in the country. This effort, in partnership with The Danish Institute for Human Rights, culminated in a comprehensive report detailing the status of human rights defenders and the state of civic space.

The human rights project trained the members of Voz di Paz's "Regional Dialogue Spaces" (alternative dispute resolution spaces for community grievances – ERDs in the Portuguese acronym) on human rights monitoring and reporting, so that the work they are already doing can have a human rights early warning element. All ERDs were trained by the project.

The project continued strengthening the prevention of violence against children, especially gender-based violence. The support provided to the NGO AMIC has allowed the reinforcement of institutional capacity of this organization, that runs shelters in Bissau and Gabu, and the implementation of prevention interventions in these areas. Supply materials, including computers, were provided to improve their working capacities.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date
*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project is actively involved in enhancing access to justice for women and in developing the capacity women lawyers to support women victims and survivors of violence. Thus, contributing to the lawyers' professional growth and increasing their representation in the legal field. This, in turn, ensures that women victims and survivors of SGBV receive more effective and empathetic legal assistance.

The project also supported the National Network for Combating Gender-Based Violence and Child Abuse in Guinea-Bissau (RENLUV, in its Portuguese acronym), a national NGO working on prevention of SGBV and support to victims and survivors. RENLUV has received a grant from the project to develop its activities and the project has connected RENLUV with the CAJ and the Bar Association to align efforts in the same direction. The grant's implementation has had a profound impact on RENLUV's ability to address SGBV cases. By enhancing its intervention strategies, expanding its services, and fostering crucial partnerships, RENLUV has significantly improved its support for survivors and contributed to creating a safer and more just environment for all. The collaboration with the CAJ and the Bar Association has not only aligned efforts but also ensured a more coordinated and comprehensive responses to SGBV, ultimately leading to more effective and sustainable outcomes in the fight against gender-based violence.

The project is working with the Child Friend's Association, AMIC to address gender-based violence by using AMIC's shelters. During the reporting period 114 cases of violence against children were reported, including 106 cases of sexual violence and child marriage. These cases were managed in coordination with other child protection services (judicial law enforcement and IMC).

The project also worked with three youth organizations working on human rights. The organizations are developed activities on human rights education, conflict prevention, and menstrual dignity. The project team has been able to create synergies between them increasing their capacity to deliver and creating impactful results in the regions.

The project is also supporting Pro Activo, a local NGO working in the regions of Quinara and Tombali, to create a mechanism for inter-community dialogue, involving various local and community entities, focusing on topics such as human rights and gender-based violence.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?
*

(●) Yes

(○) No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?
*

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

(●) Yes

(○) No

*

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The National Human Rights Commission, the institution in Guinea-Bissau, which aims at becoming its National Human Rights Institution, submitted an independent report on human rights to the Human Rights Council in the context of the universal periodic review. This demonstrates that the CNDH has started to understand the importance of its independence for the country's human rights infrastructure.

The implementation of digital technologies, specifically the Kobo Collect System, has enabled CP actors to gather and process data on violence against children in a consistent and coordinated manner. This has fostered synergies among stakeholders and ensured the reliability of data, which is disaggregated by region, gender, age, type of violence, profile of the perpetrators, and case management details.

As previously mentioned, the national workshop that presented the incident reporting data on violence, abuse, and exploitation against children facilitated a collective discussion. Key recommendations were made by major child protection stakeholders, including religious and children's organizations, and suggestions for actions to enhance collaboration and coordination in case management were shared.

There are 82 protection service providers actively connected through a WhatsApp group. This platform allows them to share challenges related to the use of the incident monitoring tool, as well as issues concerning case management and allows for coordinated responses across services. Complex cases are discussed within the group, and support is provided among different services at both national and decentralized levels.

The Ministry of Woman Family and social Solidarity, through the IMC, has designated two trained and equipped staff members to monitor and support Kobo users and manage case referrals.

Guinea-Bissau's efforts to engage with the UN human rights mechanisms, in particular treaty bodies, should not be underestimated as they introduce a measure of scrutiny and accountability at the international level – both of which are also key for peacebuilding. It is important to bear in mind that it is the first time that Guinea-Bissau reported to the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the Human Rights Committee. Further, the active involvement of civil society organizations with the UPR process demonstrates both their engagement with human rights mechanisms and the recognition of the importance of these mechanisms for accountability.

The Network of Human Rights Defenders has developed, with the support of The Danish Institute for Human Rights, a report on the situation of civic space and human rights defenders in the country. This report demonstrates the growth of the Network as the key actor working on the protection of human rights in the country (as it plays a key role in pushing back to civic space restrictions, an issue identified as critical for sustainable peace). It also points to the understanding of the importance of data for human rights protection and promotion. This is the first report on SDG 16.10.1 developed in the country and it is a step towards more structured and sustainable work by the Network.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

Conflict Bulletin: https://www.vozdipaz.org/_files/ugd/f9f1d7_ee22d872cf844a9f89eb6dc2f492220c.pdf ; Human Rights Reports: ICCPR: https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/15/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=CCPR%2FC%2FGNB%2F1&Lang=en ; ICESCR: https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/15/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=E%2FC.12%2FGNB%2F1&Lang=en ; CRC: https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/15/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=CRC%2FC%2FGNB%2F5-6&Lang=en https://www.codigocriancagbmoj.com/ Children Code

File attachment

Rescued Collaborating to save trafficked and abused children PT-10_8_1.docx

⤓

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

The national system for promoting and protecting human rights in Guinea-Bissau more effectively protects human rights and addresses human rights challenges.

Outcome 2: *

Outcome 3: *

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: The national system for promoting and protecting human rights in Guinea-Bissau more effectively protects human rights and addresses human rights challenges.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....

| 1.1 | Extent to which the new National Human Rights Institution is compliant with the Paris principlesDevelop/create a coalition of interest of CSOs to advocate for the establishment of an independent NHRI in the country | 0 | 1 | 0 | Guinea-Bissau has shown little political commitment and will to establish an independent national human rights institution in line with the Paris Principles, despite accepting numerous UPR recommendations and making a Human Rights 75 Pledge to that effect. The Statute of the CNDH (which was reviewed with the project's technical and financial support in 2022/3) is yet to be fully adopted as a decree-law. The text needs further revisions. Additionally, with the new dissolution of the parliament (4 December 2023), there is no possibility to have the Statute approved by law. Further, a few key actors are inclined to wait for a constitutional reform to establish an ombudsperson which could also perform the functions of an independent human rights watchdog, as it is the case for Portugal. |

| 1.2 | # of project's selected recommendations from the United National human right mechanisms implemented, disaggregated by thematic topic covered | 0 | 5 | 6 | |
| 1.3 | # of cases of violence against children registered by child protection priorities during the year | 459 | 1912 | 1468 | The construction of the case management system has required more work among CP actors than previously foreseen. Now that the ToT has been conducted, the management of cases is planned to happen more efficiently. |

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   2   3   [4]   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Improved national capacities to establish and operationalize National Human Right Institution, in line with the Paris Principles

Output 1.2

Strengthened national capacities to develop and implement a National Human Rights Action Plan

Output 1.3

Increased capacity of duty-bearers and rights-holders to engage with UN human rights mechanism, including treaty bodies for increased state accountability for human rights protection

Output 1.4

Enhanced capacity of human rights organizations to monitor and report on human rights violations, including reprisals against human rights defenders, among others through an early warning mechanism

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Improved national capacities to establish and operationalize National Human Right Institution, in line with the Paris Principles	Performance Indicators _Describe the indicator_	Indicator Baseline _State the baseline value of the indicator_	End of Project Indicator Target _State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project_	Indicator progress for reporting period _State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period_	Indicator progress to date _State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project_	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) _Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant_
1.1.1	**Level of awareness of state and non-state actors supported by the project on how and why to establish an independent National Human Rights Institution in compliance with the Paris principles**	**0**	**State and non-state actors report high levels of awareness of the Paris principles, including understanding them, its relevance and how to apply them into the national NHRI**		**During the project's Steering Committee meeting, the Director General of Justice Policies publicly expressed that it is important for the country to have an independent NHRI.**	

1.1.2	# of joint meetings organized with the project support for the establishment of an independent NHRI where civil society organisations participated and voiced their views	1	5	3	4	
1.1.3	# of National Human Rights Institution members and staff trained by the project with increased knowledge and/or skills in human rights standards and principles of independence, pluralism, and accountability.	0	23	1	5	The challenges working with the CNDH have continued during the project. The Board has not been nominated. The last request for training received from the CNDH was related to them delivering training, and not to them improving as a NHRI, as per the project's objective.

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Strengthened national capacities to develop and implement a National Human Rights Action Plan	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**National Human Rights Action Plan completed and disseminated with the project support**	0	1		1	
1.2.2	**Extent to which the project's selected activities of the National Human Rights Action Plan have been implemented.**	0	5	7	12	**As mentioned in previous reports, the National Human Rights Action Plan has been implemented diffusely. Nonetheless, several action points have been implemented with the project's support.**

1.2.3	# of civil society organizations consulted with the project support for the elaboration of the National Human Rights Action Plan	0	30		30	

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Increased capacity of duty-bearers and rights-holders to engage with UN human rights mechanism, including treaty bodies for increased state accountability for human rights protection	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

| 1.3.1 | # of State reports submitted to UN treaty bodies, benefiting from the support of this project | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3 | The finalization of the reports to the treaty bodies requires more support from the project team than previously anticipated. Editing, formatting, information checking are all activities that need the project's active support and the development of the capacities of state actors. The report on the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) is translated and is to be submitted to the Committee in 2024. |

1.3.2	# of consultations with civil society organizations regarding State reports to the UN treaty bodies, conducted with the support of this project.	0	5	1	4	The draft report on the implementation of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination (CEDAW) will be presented in a consultation in December 2024.
1.3.3	Level of awareness of State actors on key conditions for establishing an effective National Mechanism for Implementation, Reporting and Follow-up (NMIRF)	0	State actors targeted by the project demonstrate high level of understanding and willingness to use the UN guidance key conditions for effectively establish a NMIRF		After the meeting organized in July 2024, the Ministry of Justice has requested further support to develop the NMIRF.	Many actors involved in the process of creating a NMIRF need to further develop their capacity in terms of human rights knowledge.

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4: Enhanced capacity of human rights organizations to monitor and report on human rights violations, including reprisals against human rights defenders, among others through an early warning mechanism	Performance Indicators _Describe the indicator_	Indicator Baseline _State the baseline value of the indicator_	End of Project Indicator Target _State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project_	Indicator progress for reporting period _State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period_	Indicator progress to date _State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project_	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) _Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant_
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

1.4.1	Extent to which human rights defenders, benefiting from the support of this project, perceive that their knowledge and practices to promote and protect human rights have improved, including to monitor, report on and advocate for human rights issues	Produce reports on human rights issues: 3,17 - Reasonable knowledge; 2,33 - Reasonable capacities	Targeted HRD (including women right defenders) demonstrate improved knowledge and practices at least in three core thematic domains supported by the project	HRDs targeted by the project have produced a report on the situation of HRDs in Guinea-Bissau focusing on the rights connected to civic space (freedom of association, freedom of assembly, freedom of expression, participation, and security of person) and SDG 16.10.1		
1.4.2	Human-rights early warning mechanism developed with the project's support.	0	At least 1 Early Warning mechanism established and functioning by the end of the project	The Conflict Bulletin developed by Voz di Paz and Interpeace has integrated a human rights analysis, which is the first step in the development of an early warning system.		The delay in the transfer of the third tranche of the project has halted the work on early warning.

1.4.3	Strategy for protection of human rights defenders developed by HRD, with the project's support	0	1 agreed strategy for protection of HRD, developed with the engagement of human right defenders, including women, people with disabilities and child driven CSOs		1	
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.1						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.2						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.3						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 2:

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1					
2.2					
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?
1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1						
2.1.2						
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1	2	3	4	5	more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

....

Output 3.1

....

Output 3.2

....

Output 3.3

....

Output 3.4

....

Output 3.5

....

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

....

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

<div style="border:1px solid #000; padding:8px;">

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes   *

</div>

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1	Study-visit to Lisbon to learn about the National Mechanism for Implementation, Reporting and Follow up (NMIRF) and other key institutions for a robust national HR architecturer advocacy on the creation of a NHRI	27 Nov	Bissau	CSOs working on human rights issues	Develop/create a coalition of interest of CSOs to advocate for the establishment of an independent NHRI in the country
Event 2	Presentation of the Report on SDG 16.10.1	15 Dec	Bissau	HRDs	Present the report to the public and use it for advocacy.
Event 3	Consultation of the UPR State Report	21 Nov	Bissau	State CSOs working on human rights issues	Hold a consultation on the State's report to the UPR. It is an opportunity for the State to listen to the voices of CSOs and for the latter to contribute to the report.
Event 4	Training on UN Human Rights mechanism	10 January	Bissau	Human Right Defenders and activists	A mentorship programme directed at human rights defenders and activists is being prepared to develop their capacity to use the African and the UN human rights protection systems.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	**Civil Servant working for the National Statistics Office (INE in the Portuguese accronym)**	**Before the project, the INE was not working on reporting to the treaty bodies or any other human rights mechanism. Civil servants are poorly paid and incentives are few. The project had a positive impact on the work as it allowed the staff of the INE to understand the importance of using the data they have to report on human rights issues.**	**"The project has helped me a lot. Through the project I have learned to use new IT tools to share information and content, tools that I am now using in my day-to-day work." Andreia Nunes da Silva Costa – Demographic and Social Statistics Direction**

2	CSO member	Before the project, the Network of Human Rights Defenders still needed knowledge on the functioning of the international human rights system in general and the protection of human rights defenders in particular. There were challenges concerning the positioning of human rights defenders nationally and internationally, and the Network did not know how to access the UN human rights mechanisms.	"The project is one of the most important things the country has had in recent years, at least in this area … because it has managed to help leverage several CSOs, enabling them to fulfil their missions in favour of human rights. But the project has also been very supportive of my work, not only in terms of capacity building, but also in building bridges with other entities in order to be able to support them." Cabi Sanha, member of the Network of Human Rights Defenders
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

N/A

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Cliquez ici pour téléverser un fichier. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Cliquez ici pour téléverser un fichier. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Cliquez ici pour téléverser un fichier. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *
Please select up to 3.

☐ Enhanced digitization

☐ Innovative ways of working

☐ Mobilized additional resources

☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks

☑ Strengthened capacities

☑ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations

☑ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will

☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs

☑ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Overall, state actors involved in the project have shown improved capacities and skills to work on human rights. Within the Institute for Women and Children (IMC) there is a clear understanding of what constitutes violence against children and how it can be addressed. The IMC has also shown its capacity to work with data that is sensitive while at the same time protecting the rights of victims. Additionally, across several ministries there are staff who have understood Guinea-Bissau's human rights obligations under international law as well the State's obligation to report periodically on efforts made to implement the various treaties it has ratified and are capable of contributing inputs and/or drafting reports to treaty bodies. They have shown their capacity to collect data, draft content, and use digital tools doing so. Civil society actors have also demonstrated their strengthened capacity to collect data on human rights violations and advocate for positive change. After the mass arrests of 18 May 2024, several human rights organizations that partner with the project, issued press releases asking for compliance with human rights law. The National Human Rights Commission has also demonstrated strengthened capacity to use the Paris Principles language to advocate for its independence. The CNDH has submitted its first independent report to the UPR process.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Partnered with local/grassroots civil society organizations [please explain] The project has partnered with Voz di Paz, a local NGO that has worked from an early stage in the field of conflict resolution at local level through its Regional Spaces for Dialogue (RDS) and has carried out various peace-building projects with international funding. Always focussing on peacebuilding, it has worked in areas such as: civil-military relations; communication for peace; citizenship; women's participation; and formal and traditional justice. The partnership with Voz di Paz makes the link between conflict prevention and resolution and human rights a reality for the project. By supporting Voz di Paz's efforts to link their work with human rights promotion, the project is strengthening rights-holders capacity to claim their rights in a more structured way. The partnership with AMIC for 12 for months.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Within some state actors there is willingness to create a national human rights institution, even though the form and statute of such an institution is not clear. Conversations with staff of the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights, as well as with the staff from the Parliament, have shown that the country is willing to have an NHRI at some point. Nonetheless, the form of this institution is not yet clear for the state. Discussions are still ongoing on the status of the National Human Rights Commission and the possible establishment of an Ombudsperson Office that would be compliant with the Paris Principles. Moreover, state actors have demonstrated their willingness to comply with their reporting obligations. The State has made pledges to Human Rights 75, one of which consists of presenting its reports: By the end of 2025, Guinea-Bissau will have submitted all six (6) outstanding reports to the UN human rights treaty bodies, thereby fulfilling its reporting obligations.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☑ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Within some state actors there is willingness to create a national human rights institution, even though the form and statute of such an institution is not clear. Conversations with staff of the Ministry of Justice and Human Rights, as well as with the staff from the Parliament, have shown that the country is willing to have an NHRI at some point. Nonetheless, the form of this institution is not yet clear for the state. Discussions are still ongoing on the status of the National Human Rights Commission and the possible establishment of an Ombudsperson Office that would be compliant with the Paris Principles. Moreover, state actors have demonstrated their willingness to comply with their reporting obligations. The State has made pledges to Human Rights 75, one of which consists of presenting its reports: By the end of 2025, Guinea-Bissau will have submitted all six (6) outstanding reports to the UN human rights treaty bodies, thereby fulfilling its reporting obligations.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☑ Persons with Disabilities
- ☑ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☑ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project is working with state institutions on data collection (especially the CAJ and the IMC). The data collected by the State can be used to report on project implementation, as well as, on State issues.

The Network of Human Rights Defenders, the National Statistics Office, and the CAJ, have been trained on data collection connected to SDG 16.10.1. These actors have collected data on civic space from 2020 to 2023. The report with this data will be published for the international celebrations of international Human Rights Day.

Lastly, the project conducted trainings on the UPR for civil society. In this context, the human rights situation in the country is being monitored and data is being collected on the overall state of human rights implementation in Guinea-Bissau.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The baseline study report was developed to assist the project team and partners with the monitoring and evaluation process of the project. It provides the project's baseline, the revised results framework and a detailed analysis of the project implementation context, as well as recommendations for adjusting the intervention to meet the desired outcomes. It also assesses the suitability of and proposes changes to the project indicators, targets, and data collection methods. Both baseline and M&E plan have been submitted to PBF Secretariat.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Activities reports, the reports to the treaty bodies, training sessions materials (PowerPoint Presentations, handouts, etc.), information being uploaded to databases, and reports from consultants.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?   *

◯ Yes

⦿ No

Please provide a brief description   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?   *

⦿ Yes

◯ No

◯ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

50000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

⦿ Yes

◯ No

◯ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project monitoring has been done using the M&E plan developed in the beginning of it. The data collected has been stored in the share drive of all three implementing agencies and it can be easily accessed by an external evaluator.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Armel Olivier YAPI	UNDP	Human Right Project Manager	armel.yapi@undp.org
....	....	....	....	....

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

◉ Yes

◯ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

2

....

1

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

....

Name of Funder *

OHCHR

....

Amount in USD *

20000

....

2

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

....

Name of Funder *

UNDP

....

Amount in USD *

20000

....

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

(●) Yes

( ) No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

(●) Some catalytic effect

( ) Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has developed an infographic on the right to health together with WHO, a product for creating more awareness on the content of the right to health and decreasing conflicts within the health system. Further, the project team liaised with WHO to support CSOs working on the health sector produce reports to the UPR process. This effort resulted in the submission of two joint reports on the right to health in Guinea-Bissau.

The project team has also joined efforts with the PBF- CDTOC project to fund the international conference on the status of justice, a process that has allowed for the development of initiatives on legal aid in Guinea-Bissau. As a result of this work, the CAJ signed an agreement with the Brazilian Public Defence Office in November 2024 to work on legal aid in Guinea-Bissau.

Additionally, the work with the treaty bodies has developed the State's capacity to work with reporting. Nevertheless, seeing a gap in the government of a functioning mechanism for reporting, the State has asked for more support for the development of an NMIRF. This was done at a Human Rights Council session in Geneva by a fellow sponsored by OHCHR to participate in a Human Rights Council session.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has supported the Network of Human Rights Defenders in creating a partnership with the Danish Institute for Human Rights, a process that will easily be able to continue beyond the lifespan of the project. The Network has also established a partnership with the West African Network of Human Rights Defenders and Front-Line Defenders, partnerships that can be used beyond the lifespan of the project.

Another aspect of the project engagement for sustainability has been the work on the early warning system, which relies on existing institutions (with Voz di Paz and Interpeace) and processes that are only gaining a human rights lens. Conflict analysis and responses to these conflicts are already a part of the work being developed by other organizations; the project is only collaborating to improve these and make them better aligned with international human rights law.

The project's efforts to support the state in the creation of a NMIRF are closely connected to sustainability. With a functioning NMIRF the state will be better equipped to write its reports and follow up on the recommendations received from the treaty bodies and other human rights mechanisms, both from the UN and the African Human Rights System.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

As mentioned in the non-cost extension request, given that OHCHR does not have a country office in Guinea-Bissau, implementation of the project requires that OHCHR project staff – including the human rights officer (P4) that acts as the project manager, as per the project document – work until the end of the non-cost extension. Given the arrangements made for the project to support 50% of the SHRA – who provides guidance to the project – in 2025, the remaining funds allocated to OHCHR are currently not enough to cover the salaries of the two-project staff (P4 and NOB). There is therefore the need to secure funds to ensure that the project staff remain until the end of the project in 2025.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.
Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	**Field Visit Mission to assess training on data collection and ethics**	**The project has held field monitoring visits for the reporting period. The project organized a joint mission with the IMC to Buba. The visit aimed at following up on the activities of the refresh training and also to have an overall view of the partners working on data collection in their different institutions. It has allowed the project to hear from the beneficiaries on the positive impact of the project in the harmonization and analyses of the collected data.**	**The beneficiaries were worked collaboratively during the training and exchange session held in such visit. They have identified gaps and reported these for extra support. The project team has understood the challenges faced by partners who are working in data collection in their different services. It is now considering new strategies to better support the IMC and its partners.**
Event 2	**Monitoring field visit – Gabu and Bafata**	**The project has organized a monitoring activity in Gabu and Bafata regions to understand the impact of the project.**	**In collaboration with AMIC, the project has identified two reintegrated children, victims of child trafficking in Senegal who were rescued by the Government, through the IMC in collaboration with AMIC with the project support. Abulai Balde and Amadu Djamanca, both from different families, have been reintegrated and are living now free of any abuse and exploitation.**

Event 3	Steering Committee Meeting	On 30 October 2024, the project organized its Steering Committee Meeting with the main project partners to present the project's results, explain the challenges, and plan for the expected extension of the project.	In general, project partners are satisfied with the project results. Many reported that this project is the first they have seen focusing on human rights issues and they want it to continue. Partners highlighted the importance of having a human rights project in the country to improve knowledge of skills of both civil society and state officials.
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your** *financial report in excel format* **on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.