

# PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report



## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report *
<input type="radio"/> Semi-annual
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Annual
<input type="radio"/> Final
<input type="radio"/> Other
Date of submission of report *
2024-11-12
2024-11-12
Name and Title of Person submitting the report *
GEORGE BARATASHVILI
E-mail of Person submitting the report *
george.baratashvili@undp.org
Name and Title of Person who approved the report *
Sayed Sahibzada, UNDP Deputy Resident Representative

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

\*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

\*

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="radio"/> Global                    | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa    |   |

Country of project implementation \*

- |                                      |   |                                     |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin          | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso            | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia         | <input type="radio"/> Ghana                   | <input type="radio"/> Guinea        |
| <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau  | <input type="radio"/> Liberia                 | <input type="radio"/> Mali          |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania     | <input type="radio"/> Niger                   | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria       |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal        | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo          |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify |   |                                     |

Other, please specify \*

.....

Project Title \*

- ☐ 00130053: Women4Water&Peace: Promoting civic spaces and empowering women to address water-related disputes and provide access to water in poor communities in Freetown
- ☒ 00132863: Promote the creation of an enabling environment for the conduct of peaceful elections and the strengthening of social cohesion in Sierra Leone
- ☐ 00134257: Empowering Youth Bike Riders as Sustainable Agents of Peace in Sierra Leone
- ☐ 00140111: Youth in Politics and Peacebuilding
- ☐ 00140113: Localization and Working-Together for Peace: Repositioning Women-Focused CSOs for Sustainable Peace in Sierra Leone
- ☐ 00140663: Enhance strategic direction coordination and peacebuilding impact of PBF portfolio in Sierra Leone
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

.....

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

2022-08-11

**2022-08-11**

Project End Date \*

2025-04-30

**2025-04-30**

Has this project received an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☒ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which \*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☒ Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

\*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☒ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

Please select other non-UN recipients

- |  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED   | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE  | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)                               |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland   | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN   | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala  | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID   | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer   | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid  | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS   | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)                         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation   | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)           |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace  | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps   | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo  | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM   | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund   | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez                   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati  | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)   | <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer  | <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan   | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund  | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Child   | <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM)  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar  | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA   | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify   |  |   |

Other, Please specify

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Political Parties Regulation Commission - PPRC**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? \*

17840

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Operationalisation of the Inter-Party Dialogue Committee. This entails:**

- Organisation and facilitation of dialogue during monthly inter-party dialogue committee meetings
- Advanced Mediation Training for PPRC staff



Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Sierra Leone Association of Journalist**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? \*

65898

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

• **Training, hosting and payment of fact-checkers; operationalization of iVerify; Popularization of iVerify verified contents via radio programmes; focus group discussions**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☒ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Center**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? \*

30000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Support to the operationalisation of Inter-Party Dialogue Committee. This entails:**

- Review of ToR of Inter-Party Dialogue Committee
- Capacity Needs Assessment of Inter-Party Dialogue Committee
- Development of Operational Guidance Note
- Training of PPRC Staff on Mediation

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

**Children-Centered Civil Society Organisation**

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Defence for Children International - DCI**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? \*

163675.84

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

• **Community engagement with youth and adolescents, parents, caregivers and community members through life skills sessions, positive parenting education programme and intergenerational dialogues**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☒ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

**National CSO**

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Focus 1000**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? \*

191144.54

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

• **Community engagement with youth and adolescents, parents, caregivers and community members through life skills sessions, positive parenting education programme and intergenerational dialogues**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☒ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Restless Development**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? \*

63708.19

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

- **Post PBF Poll Intergenerational Dialogues with 500 participants**
- **Community engagement and sensitization at both district and community levels to promote U-Report, as well as foster peace and social cohesion**

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

[illegible]

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3000000</b>	<b>3000000</b>	<b>2544808</b>	<b>84.83%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **84.83%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

91

## » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

40.05

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1201500**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

1201420

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1019195.6**. Is this correct? \*


☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

1122821.97

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Final 2024 Financial Annual Report- 2nd Amendment Budget -Report PBF\_project peaceful elections Sierra Leone - UI 

## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes



Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

**The Technical Committee has not held any meeting in the last six (6) months. The Committee has met four (4) times since November 2022. The project's technical committee is coordinated and chaired by the Minister of the Ministry of Public Administration and Political Affairs (MPAPA) in its capacity as the government coordinating Ministry for implementation of the project. The technical committee comprises the lead UN agencies – UNDP and UNICEF, and one representative each from the implementing partners.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. \*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

**The convening agencies (UNDP and UNICEF) met with the Minister of MPAPA in September 2023 to apprise of the project's contributions to the elections and in the immediate post-election period; and explored options to sustain implementation. The PBSO focal person for Sierra Leone in company of UNDP and UNICEF also met the Minister of Public Administration and Political Affairs in May 2024 to understand the Ministry's perspective on the project's implementation and contribution to peace before and after the elections.**

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

#### Contracting of partners \*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed  
☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

#### Staff Recruitment \*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed  
☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

#### Collection of baselines \*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed  
☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

#### Identification of beneficiaries \*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed  
☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**All preparatory activities including development and revision of concept notes/proposals and budget from potential implementing partners and contracting of implementing partners; signing of low value grant agreement, responsible party agreements and letter of agreements respectively are completed. Following the finalization and endorsement of agreements with implementing partners, UNDP and UNICEF have transferred all funds in line with respective agreements to the partners in tranches for the execution of approved activities. In partnership with representatives of MPAPA (former MPPA), the project ensured the monitoring of the activities carried out by the implementing partners. The project's baseline assessment was also concluded following engagement with relevant stakeholders in both agencies and the implementing partners at the national and district levels. The baseline facilitated the revision of some outcome and output indicators, which has been submitted. With regards to staffing, in line with the project document, payment remains effected for the following staffing configuration, who are already on board in both agencies: 1 CTA/PM P5 charged 50% to the project for 24 months (the other 50% is covered under the UNDP election project); 1 P3 from UNICEF (charged at 30%) for 24 months; and 1 NOC from UNICEF (charged 100%) for 24 months respectively.**

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

---

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation \*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

---

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

Under outcome 1, UReport Ambassadors strengthens existing platforms for youth participation and feedback. The popularization of UReport platform witnessed additional registration of 71,018 U-Reporters, and increased number of adolescent responders, aged 15-19 years. A youth-driven third UReport on Peace and Social Cohesion which attracted over 3,600 Responders informed intergenerational dialogues and U-Report Community Radio Discussion Programmes across five districts. Consultations are ongoing with MPAPA for increased coordination with CSOs for the deployment of peace and social cohesion interventions.

Under outcome 2, iVerify continues to foster the mitigation of mis/disinformation across mainstream and social media through fact-checking and publication of verified content as requested by the public. The increased frequency of the initial weekly one-hour radio shows hosted by the Independent Radio Network (IRN) and broadcasted across 60 radio stations in the 16 districts of Sierra Leone publicizes verified content, empowers media literacy, and post-electoral education. Since operationalization in April 2023, a total of 354 stories (including 90 in 2024) have been verified, published and widely disseminated through iVerify's website, Facebook and X (twitter) accounts and radio weekly programmes with ongoing consultations for its sustainability beyond the project. Increased capacities of 60 people including fact-checkers, journalists, social media influencers and bloggers on digital media literacy contribute to mitigate mis/disinformation. The project also enhanced the technical and logistical capacities of Political Parties Regulation Commission (PPRC) for the operationalization of the 'Inter-Party Dialogue Framework' in line with Resolution 5 of the Agreement for National Unity, October 2023. Engagement of the Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Center (KAIPTC)'s has strengthened advisory and technical skills of PPRC for the review of Inter-Party Dialogue Committee's ToR, and a capacity needs assessment informed the development of operational guidelines for the Inter-Party Dialogue as well as thematic mediation capacities of PPRC staff.

UNICEF-led capacity building programmes and intergenerational dialogues enhanced the skills of several stakeholders including 60 Youth Peace Ambassadors (32 males, 28 females) and 10 Youth Civil Society Organisations (YCSOs) on problem-solving, intergenerational dialogues, peacebuilding, life skills, leadership, and their roles as lifelong youth ambassadors. Across 30 communities, 2,161 parents capacitated on positive parenting; and 3,863 adolescents and youth (1,918 men, 1,945 women) on life skills, community dialogues and awareness raising, an youth participation. Intergenerational dialogues engaged 7,896 people (4,269 men, 3,627 women) including 520 religious and community leaders and 95 people with disabilities, resulting in increased youth engagement, community leadership, and the development of sustainable mechanisms for conflict prevention and resolution. These initiatives have fostered development of plans of action, sustained sensitization of relevant groups, and the dialogues platforms have enabled communities to accommodate and collaborate diverse perspectives and priorities, promote peaceful coexistence, increase youth participation etc. Key priorities identified during the dialogues were disseminated through 170 radio discussion programs across 5 districts including outreach to non-target communities. Additionally, youth sensitization on political rights and against drug abuse increased capacities of 109 (20-24) in Makeni, 100 households in Lungi, fostered tackling of drug abuse in Tombo, appointment of a focal person to collaborate with the police in Lunsar, utilization of UReport data to develop actionable plans, and establishment of a committee to monitor substance-related and political conflicts in Largo respectively. In order to meet the project outcomes and targets, UNICEF incurred in an additional USD 51000 in expenditures for the intergenerational dialogue and awareness raising activities with young people. Although UNICEF committed a matching grant of USD 337000 to complement the PBF activities, it was still required to invest additional funds from PBF, reallocating funds from underspent activities.

Under outcome 3, the finalisation and signing of the Information Sharing Protocol (ISP) by the Technical Working Group (TWG) and the pilot organizations ensures ethical collection and sharing of GBV data to ensure the safety of survivors and data collection organizations. Provision of at least one essential GBV service to 409 SGBV cases (170 cases in 2024) including 82% cases against children since the launching of GBVIMS+ in May 2023 in Freetown, Bo and Kailahun. Road map developed to scale up the GBVIMS+ to the remaining 16 districts of the country. GBVIMS+ rollout has enhanced capacities of 7 facilitators for replication in new districts and 34 new case workers from existing user organizations (Commit and Act, Rainbo Initiative, MoGCA and MSW) on GBVIMS+; increased reporting of SGBV (47,339 including 17,749 in the reporting period) through the 116 Helpline; GBV case management of over 297 cases; information dissemination and counseling; improved coordination, reporting and referral by Ministry of Gender and Children's Affairs; and increased capacity of the government and civil society partners to respond to GBV cases. The upgraded Digital Referral Pathway (eRPW), which includes offline functionality has increased the efficiency of service providers to offer requisite support; with ongoing plans to enable ERPW accessibility through USSD. The government's dedication to expanding GBVIMS+ nationwide is clearly outlined in the country's Medium Term National Development Plan (MTNDP). MGCA has allocated funding for GBVIMS+ programming in its annual budgets and plans, demonstrating its commitment to sustaining the system

and plans, demonstrating its commitment to sustaining the system.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Despite the reduced team of iVerify, gender balance, and youth representation is maintained. U-Report youth Ambassadors (males and females) are promoting peace and raising awareness for upcoming UReport polls at various youth-centric locations such as schools, universities, and public spaces to recruit participants for the U-Report platform. Increased number of adolescent UReport responders aged 15-19 years, shows the growing interest in civic engagement amongst youth. The inclusion of Youth and adolescent from various backgrounds in intergenerational dialogues ensures that multiple perspectives are heard. Participation in the community and intergenerational dialogues is also strategically considered to include adolescents, young women and men.**

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

#### FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

**The signing of the 2023 electoral peace pledge signaled commitment by political actors and parties to promote peaceful elections. The goodwill eschewed by key political actors by the signing of the peace pledge and the Agreement for National Unity has largely contributed to peacebuilding efforts in the post-election period. For instance, despite the stalemate experienced during proceedings of the Cross-Party Committee on Electoral Systems and Processes Review, both parties committed to peace despite grievances upheld the Unity Agreement, and jointly issued a statement, encouraging their supporters and citizens to refrain from violence. Further, the culture of dialogue is increasingly utilised by parties to transform grievances, thereby contributing to behavioral and attitudinal changes in the use of non-violence approaches to address complaints.**

**Community and intergenerational dialogues on peaceful elections, positive parenting and life skills have empowered communities, youth and adolescents with required skills and mindset to foster social cohesion and peace especially within households and communities. In Tombo, youth-led efforts to address drug abuse initially faced challenges, as their independent actions were ineffective. Recognizing the need for broader community involvement, the youth requested a dialogue with local leaders. This meeting fostered consensus on how to tackle the issue collaboratively, underscoring the importance of dialogue in promoting cohesion and collective problem-solving. As a result, both youth and leaders are now more inclined to use dialogue as a tool to address sensitive community issues moving forward.**

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

SLPP\_and\_APC\_Joint\_Statement\_on\_Hate\_Spe\_(1)[1]-11\_25\_42.pdf



## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

**Early warning and response mechanisms to electoral tensions and triggers of conflict reduce the potential and the intensity of election-related violence.**

Outcome 2:

**An inclusive civic space is fostered and peaceful, accurate and timely communications for participatory and well-informed discourse are promoted.**

Outcome 3:

**Women are better protected to meaningfully participate in political processes prior, during and after the elections.**

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

\*

Additional Outcomes

\*

*If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*

## INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Early warning and response mechanisms to electoral tensions and triggers of conflict reduce the potential and the intensity of election-related violence.**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Proportion of people who strongly agree that measures are in place to ensure the security and safety of voters during the 2023 elections (sex-disaggregated)	71% (men -73.1%, women – 69.4%)	TBD	TBD	As with the baseline assessment, a U-report survey envisaged for December 2023 was not commissioned, but now planned for early 2025. An endline is also envisaged alongside the project evaluation in 2025 towards the project completion
1.2	Proportion of people who strongly agree that supporters of political parties you are affiliated with can be trusted (sex-disaggregated)	44.4% (men 44.1%; women 45.1%) 68%	TBD	TBD	As with the baseline assessment, a U-report survey envisaged for December 2023 was not commissioned, but now planned for early 2025. An endline is also envisaged alongside the project evaluation in 2025 towards the project completion



1.3	Proportion of people that said their community was largely peaceful on the day of the election	68%	TBD	TBD	As with the baseline assessment, a U-report survey envisaged for December 2023 was not commissioned, but now planned for early 2025. An endline is also envisaged alongside the project evaluation in 2025 towards the project completion
-----	--	-----	-----	-----	---

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1  
National and sub-national Early Warning and Response Systems are enhanced

Output 1.2  
Nationally led initiatives to prevent electoral violence and promote dialogue are reinforced and scaled-up.

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs  
If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

## » Output 1.1

Output 1.1: National and sub-national Early Warning and Response Systems are enhanced	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	Number of conflicts addressed through the intervention of IESPC and decentralized committees (Prosec, Disec, Chisec, LPPBs) and WANEP insider mediators, as recorded by ONS and WANEP situation rooms.	1	3	6	6	Premised on early warning report, NERG/DERG facilitated dialogue among community members in 7 districts – Kono, Port Loko, Karene, Bombali, Pujehun, Kenema and Falaba
1.1.2	Number of U-report surveys launched and analysed and recommendations provided to MPAPA and ONS/IESPC	3 (in 2018 electoral cycle)	6	3	3	3 UReport polls have been commissioned since project inception. remaining 3 polls are scheduled before end of project

1.1.3	Number of conflict mitigation and reconciliation initiatives conducted in post-electoral hotspots.	0	16	16	16	1,510 people (416 women) engaged fostered dialogue and laid foundation towards reintegration of politically displaced persons
-------	--	---	----	----	----	---

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Nationally led initiatives to prevent electoral violence and promote dialogue are reinforced and scaled-up.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	<b>Number of election related conflicts raised by the communities to the NERG.</b>	0	5	6	6	<b>*Following electoral tensions between supporters of political parties during campaign, NERG/DERG pre-election dialogue with traditional and communities leaders on peace and social cohesion in 7 districts: Kono, Port Loko, Karene, Bombali, Pujehun, Kenema and Falaba</b>

1.2.2	NERG and WANEP-led situation room's capacity to receive and analyse information and coordinate response with ONS and other actors is in place	1	2	2	2	1 WANEP Situation room; and 1 ONS situation room established and coordination with relevant actors
1.2.3	Number of recommendations made/decisions taken that propose joint collaboration in the implementation of election related activities by CSOs	1 Recommendations on Standing together CSOs consortium in 2018 elections	1	1	1	1 Action plan developed by MPAPA and 50 CSOs to enhance coordination for the 2023 elections. A follow-up meeting is scheduled for 2024

### » Output 1.3

Output 1.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						

## » Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

## » Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» **Outcome 2: An inclusive civic space is fostered and peaceful, accurate and timely communications for participatory and well-informed discourse are promoted.**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Proportion of people who strongly agree that political parties are campaigning peacefully and respectfully for the 2023 elections (sex-disaggregated)	55.1% (men 54.6%; women 56.6%)	TBD	TBD	As with the baseline assessment, a U-report survey envisaged for December 2023 was not commissioned, but now planned for early 2025. An endline is also envisaged alongside the project evaluation in 2025 towards the project completion
2.2	Proportion of people who strongly agree that political news shared on social media in the lead up to the 2023 elections is accurate and not biased (sex-disaggregated)	42.5% (men 41.8%; women 43.7%)	TBD	TBD	As with the baseline assessment, a U-report survey envisaged for December 2023 was not commissioned, but now planned for early 2025. An endline is also envisaged alongside the project evaluation in 2025 towards the project completion

2.3	Proportion of people who strongly agree that youth participation in the 2023 elections will bring about peace not violence (sex-disaggregated)	60.9% (men 61.1%; women 61%)	TBD	TBD	As with the baseline assessment, a U-report survey envisaged for December 2023 was not commissioned, but now planned for early 2025. An endline is also envisaged alongside the project evaluation in 2025 towards the project completion
-----	--	------------------------------	-----	-----	---

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1      2      3      **4**      5      more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

**Political Parties as well as its women and youth wings commit to peaceful, credible, transparent, and independent elections.**

Output 2.2

**Peaceful civic engagement is fostered through community dialogues, awareness raising on peace and youth participation in the electoral process**

Output 2.3

**Enhanced civic education to youth to build their understanding and trust in the electoral cycle and legal support to youth engaged in electoral-related conflicts**

Output 2.4

**The capacity of national stakeholders to prevent and mitigate the threats to the integrity of information (misinformation, disinformation and hate speech) is strengthened.**

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*



For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

## » Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Political Parties as well as its women and youth wings commit to peaceful, credible, transparent, and independent elections .	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Number of PPRC and political parties, including women and youth wings, provided with refresher training on mediation, dialogue and negotiation	0	40	88	88	41 women and 47 youth including persons with disabilities trained on mediation, dialogue and negotiation

2.1.2	Number of awareness raising conducted for political parties including youth and women wings on the new PPRC Act ahead of political campaigns	0	16	16	16	16 districts sensitization with political parties on New PPRC Act
2.1.3	Number of political parties that have participated in high-level inter-party dialogue and signed the Peace Pledge	1 peace pledge	*1 peace pledge *1 Unity Agreement signed	2	*1 peace pledge *1 national unity agreement *7 inter-party (including 3 in 2024) dialogue convened *1 ToR for Inter-Party Dialogue finalized; Moral guarantors engage and monitoring Unity Agreement Implementation	One peace pledge signed by 12 political parties following high-level political dialogue; and witnessed by national and international stakeholders

## » Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Peaceful civic engagement is fostered through community dialogues, awareness raising on peace and youth participation in the electoral process	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Number of participants in community dialogues with religious and traditional leaders (disaggregated by age and sex)	0	17,820	10,057 (4,694 men, 5,363 women) participated in community dialogues with religious and traditional leaders in 30 project communities in 5 project districts conducted by Youth Peace Ambassadors.	20,591 (10,326 men, 10,265 women)	

2.2.2	Number of adolescents and youth engaged through community dialogue, awareness raising on peaceful election participation, and civic education	0	12,300	1,800 (900 men, 900 women) adolescents and youth in 30 project communities	14,505 (7,999 men, 6,506 women)	
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Enhanced civic education to youth to build their understanding and trust in the electoral cycle and legal support to youth engaged in electoral-related conflicts	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1	Number of schools and children reached through trainings in schools on civic education	0	432 schools  12,000 students	LAB has reached 133 schools, and 59,081 students	435 schools and a total of 227,185(151,333 men, 75,852 women) students and 89,271(62,419 men, 26,852 women) community members	The initial plan was to target only senior secondary schools; however it is expanded to junior secondary schools to encourage students to refrain from getting involved in election related violence

2.3.2	Number of youth and women accused of having committed electoral offences represented in Court by LAB	0	170	LAB provided legal representation to 168 people (129 men and 39 women), arrested and detained for election-related offences. 158 (119 men and 39 women) of the 168 suspects were released and reunified with families, and 10 men were sentenced to six-month term by the Freetown magistrate courts.	168	
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4: The capacity of national stakeholders to prevent and mitigate the threats to the integrity of information (misinformation, disinformation and hate speech) is strengthened.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1	The extent people are using iVerify application to identify and analyze hate speech (1=not using, 2=stated using, 3= fully using) (disaggregated by age and sex)	0	100	354 (76 in 2024)	354	354 stories verified and published following request by users of platform *2.5million people reached online via website and content disseminated via social media; 5.4 million impressions on twitter

2.4.2	<b>iVerify App developed and working.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>iVerify App developed and operationalized since 5 April 2023</b>
2.4.3	<b>1 song produced and played in main radio stations in the country</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1 song and video; jingles produced and aired on radio/TV across the country</b>

## » Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						



» **Outcome 3: Women are better protected to meaningfully participate in political processes prior, during and after the elections.**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Proportion of people who strongly agree that women feel secure and safe enough to vote in the 2023 elections (sex-disaggregated)	60.2% (men 62.3%; women 58.7%)	TBD	TBD	ECSL report indicates that out of the 1,386 nominated women candidates, a total of 227 women were elected.
3.2	Proportion of increase of women nominated as candidates compared to male candidates	100 women candidates	1,386 candidates (36%)		women candidates, a total of 227 women were elected.
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

☒ 1
 ☐ 2
 ☐ 3
 ☐ 4
 ☐ 5
 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

**Enhance the prevention and mitigation of Gender-Based Violence to ensure women can safely participate in political and electoral processes.**

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

### » Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Enhance the prevention and mitigation of Gender-Based Violence to ensure women can safely participate in political and electoral processes.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	Level of operationalization of the GBVIMS+ (1-system functioning in 4 districts, 2-system functioning in 8 districts, 4-system functioning in 16 districts)	Level 1	Target 2023, 4 districts – level 2  Target 2024: 16 districts – level 4	Stage 1 completed with initiation of stage 2 (3 districts operational). This includes finalization of SOP, training of 181 frontline staff on GBV case management, survivor-centered case management, and GBVIMS+ in MGCA, MSW, FSU, MoH and CSOs	Stage 1 completed with initiation of stage 2 (3 districts operational).	MGCA has started implementation of the Road Map for rolling out the GBVIMS+ in the remaining 13 districts of the country by organizing a refresher training for the team of GBVIMS and trainers in the country, using feedback from the evaluation

3.1.2	Number of calls received and reported monthly by Help Line-116	2,000	<p>Target 2022: 2,000 calls per month</p> <p>Target 2023: 3,000 calls per month (increased response to increased knowledge of people on the existence of the reporting system)</p>	17,749 (October 2023-September 2024)	47,339	Based on the monthly targets for 2022 and 2023, the project is on the right track with 30,000+ calls received since the inception. Achievement of the project targets is due to the massive awareness raising on the 116 HelpLine
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the indicator	State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

### » Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

### » Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

### » Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

### » Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

## » Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

## » Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						



#### » Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

#### » Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

\*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Support the implementation of the Agreement of National Unity (Inter-Party Dialogue Framework)	January - December 2024	Countrywide	Government, APC, political parties, civil society organization and larger public, development partners, AU, ECOWAS, Commonwealth	This entails possible support to inter-party dialogue and use of good offices to support implementation of the agreement
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

# Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
  - ii. The impact of the project in their lives
  - iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*


You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

SLPP\_and\_APC\_Joint\_Statement\_on\_Hate\_Spe\_(1)[1]-17\_57\_41.pdf



File 2

**OPTIONAL**

PPRC\_Press\_Release\_14th\_June\_2024[1]-17\_57\_47.pdf



File 3

**OPTIONAL**

TRIPARTITE COMMITTEE PICTURE-11\_44\_12.png



You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

<https://ayvnews.com/final-tripartite-report-submitted-to-president-bio/>

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

<https://www.unicef.org/sierraleone/stories/building-stronger-family-bonds-through-positive-parenting>

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

*Please select up to 3.*

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☒ Innovative ways of working
- ☒ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☒ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

iVerify continues to provide a viable platform for citizens to verify pertinent information through submission of request on the website or facebook platform. Relevant stakeholders on specific subject matter are contacted by fact checkers to elicit accurate information, which are reviewed by coordinators before publication on the website and facebook pages. This has contributed to countering of fake news, misinformation and disinformation during the highly contested electoral process, and in the post-electoral landscape. UNICEF engaged with adolescents and youth for the development of visibility materials such as posters and card news that contributed to mobilizing young people for peace and social cohesion throughout the 2023 elections

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

UNICEF mobilised funding (\$353,430) from Global Programme to End Child Marriage (GPECM) which is a joint programme of UNFPA-UNICEF across 12 countries to end child marriage. The resources from GPECM were used as co-funding to set up and roll out GBVIMS+ in the country, and capacity building of the workforce to effectively use the system to respond to GBV cases, focusing on electoral related violence. Furthermore, LAB developed the messages, jingles on elections and the directory with the contact numbers from LAB for legal support related to electoral and post-electoral violence, which was disseminated beyond the project districts. Similarly, FSUs were sensitised and trained to respond to electoral related violence beyond the project districts. Additionally, UNICEF contributed USD 337,003 from its regular resources to support intergenerational community dialogues with religious and traditional leaders and raise awareness on young people's election-related rights. These resource-intensive activities, focused on increasing youth participation, promoting peace, and reducing electoral violence, required additional funding as PBF resources were insufficient to meet the project's outcomes and targets.

UNDP mobilised USD 250,000 additional funds from its Core TRAC to complement the iVerify platform integration in the 2023 elections and USD 115,000 from its EU/Elections Project for the Early Warning Early Response (EWER) activities towards the establishment, customization and operationalization of the election EWER online portal, and trainings for data collectors on conflict monitoring. The Folke Bernadotte Academy also provided training for WANEP insider mediators on dialogue and mediation at no cost. Further, UNDP mobilized an additional USD 44,437 from Irish/Elections to support development of capacities and operational guidelines for the effective operationalization of the Inter-Party Dialogue Committee (Resolution 5) of Agreement for National Unity; and USD 79,925 from Irish/Elections to enhance the capacities of iVerify fact-checkers, journalists, social media influencers and bloggers on digital media literacy as part of concerted efforts to sustain countering of mis/disinformation.

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

For women, youth, insider mediators, political parties and public, MGCA, LAB, FSU on conflict monitoring, mediation and dialogue, sexual and gender-based violence, legal education, etc. Additionally, the capacities of communities were strengthened on adolescent and youth engagement, ensuring their participation in decision-making process including the development of community action plans for youth participation.

☐ Partnered with local/grassroots civil society organizations [please explain]

UNDP's key implementing partner – WANEP has an extensive network of civil society organisations across all districts, which were leveraged to support the early warning and response mechanisms. The partnership with Sierra Leone Association of Journalist (SLAJ), Independent Radio Network (IRN) and British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) Media Action for the operationalization of iVerify also promoted engagements with CSOs. Also, UNICEF's CSO implementing partners – Focus 1000 and Defence for Children International (DCI) facilitated community dialogues and Positive Parenting Education Programmes focusing on peaceful civic engagement and youth participation in the electoral process. The new CSO partner – Restless Development, has trained 60 peace ambassadors in all 30 project communities to mobilize youth and amplify their voices, leveraging U-Report through radio programmes and intergenerational dialogue.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) \*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☒ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**GBVIMS+ was launched jointly by UNICEF and UNFPA to further strengthen the partnership between the UN agencies under the PBF project. UNFPA and UN Women support the One Stop Centres in the country which are a critical service and referral mechanism to respond to GBV related cases during elections. All the four agencies (UNDP, UNICEF, UNFPA, UN Women) are also part of the Gender Theme Group which further strengthens the coordination between all of them focusing on Gender related issues including GBV, its prevention and response throughout the elections.**

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

*Mandatory*

- ☒ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

- 1. Monitoring of iVerify operations including training of fact-checkers, journalists, bloggers, and social media influencers on digital media literacy.**
- 2. Field monitoring of focused group discussions with community leaders, religious leaders, participants and facilitators of intergenerational dialogue life, skills sessions, and positive parenting programme in project communities, where DCI and Focus1000 present**
- 3. Programme Monitoring visit – evaluating the outreach approach of U-Report Champions including offline engagement at schools, engagement of adolescent & youth on U-Report**

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

\*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**the baseline of outcome indicators were derived from the baseline assessment conducted in May 2023 by an individual consultant; as well as findings from U-Report and paper-based survey administered in six (6) districts**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Baseline assessment report, meetings and training reports, peace pledge and Agreement of National Unity, online election reporting system, training manual on election security, and pocket guides**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

\*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Please provide a brief description

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**As part of the baseline assessment, a paper-based survey was administered in 6 of the project targeted districts to elicit perceptions on the outcome indicators**

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

\*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Please provide a brief description

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? \*

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: \*

*Response required*

50000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The draft Terms of Reference of the Evaluator has been jointly developed by the convening agencies, and reviewed by monitoring and evaluation focal points from both agencies. It has been shared with PBF focal point for review. Once approved, it will be published through an open call for application.**

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	FREDRICK AMPIAH (MR.)	UNDP	RESIDENT REPRESENTATIVE	fredrick.ampiah@undp.org

## » Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? \*

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? \*

5



<p>Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>		
<p>Name of Funder</p> <p><b>EU/Elections Project</b></p>		*
<p>Amount in USD</p> <p>115628</p>		*
		2
<p>Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>		
<p>Name of Funder</p> <p><b>UNDP-TRAC</b></p>		*
<p>Amount in USD</p> <p>250000</p>		*
		3
<p>Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>		
<p>Name of Funder</p> <p><b>GPECM</b></p>		*
<p>Amount in USD</p> <p>353430</p>		*
		4
<p>Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>		
<p>Name of Funder</p> <p><b>IRISH/ELECTION PROJECT</b></p>		*
<p>Amount in USD</p> <p>124362</p>		*

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder \*

UNICEF-CORE

Amount in USD \*

337000

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: \*

☒ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The deployment of 2 UN SPC to ONS for 6 months contributed to enhancement of technical capacities on election security and early warning. FBA trainings to WANEP-NERG also enhanced their capacities on dialogue and mediation**

## Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The ONS and WANEP Situation Rooms outlives the project, with data emanating from it serving as baseline for subsequent interventions, and utilized to monitor, report and respond to emerging political and election issues as well as complement the monitoring of broader threats to human security, peace, security and stability. The iVerify platform will also transcend the project and support efforts to counter misinformation, disinformation and hate speech in the country beyond the polls. Further, the DERG and NERG members shall continue to support community dialogue and cohesion processes. The GBVIMS+ institutionalisation within the Ministry of Gender and Children's Affairs will continue to ensure GBV cases are managed and responded to beyond the project. FSU and LAB capacities, strengthened by this project, will also outlive this PBF project as they are a part of the Government system that will continue to support vulnerable populations after the elections. The gains made through selection and engagement of youth peace ambassadors, life skills education for adolescents and positive parenting education in the communities will go a long way in building cohesion and trust leading to a sustained peaceful ecosystem.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

## Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

*If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)*

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.