

PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report	*
<input type="radio"/> Semi-annual	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Annual	
<input type="radio"/> Final	
<input type="radio"/> Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2024-11-14	
2024-11-14	
Name and Title of Person submitting the report	*
Nailé Olivier - Project Development Officer	
E-mail of Person submitting the report	*
naile.olivier@acted.org	
Name and Title of Person who approved the report	*
Simon Dos Santos - Grant Management Officer	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

*

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation

- | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia | <input type="radio"/> Kenya | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Somalia | <input type="radio"/> South Sudan | <input type="radio"/> Sudan |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify

Project Title

- ☐ 00130612: Bridging the "Youth Gap" – Strengthening Community-Based Reintegration Mechanisms – A IOM/UNICEF Partnership for Community Youth and Youth Formerly Associated with Al-Shabaab
- ☐ 00118835: Improving psychosocial support and mental health care for conflict affected youth in Somalia: a socially-inclusive integrated approach for peace building
- ☐ 00113082: Leveraging the Strength of Women in Peace Building and Promoting Gender Sensitivity in the National Programme on Disengaged Combatants
- ☐ 00130704: Making Space for Peacebuilding: Strengthening Participation and Safety of Women Activists and Journalists in South West state and Jubaland, Somalia
- ☐ 00113011: PBF Secretariat
- ☐ 00118131: Prevention of child recruitment and community-based reintegration of children formerly associated with armed forces and groups
- ☐ 00129735: Promoting Inclusive Action in Peacebuilding (PIAP Initiative)
- ☐ 00096601: Support for Somalia Reconciliation Conferences
- ☐ 00129368: Support Political Transition in Somalia
- ☐ 00119246: Support to mechanisms to prevent and manage conflict during elections
- ☐ 00118635: Support to National Independent Electoral Commission (NIEC) Electoral Dispute Resolution Mechanisms
- ☐ 00113621: Supporting reconciliation and state-building processes
- ☐ 00129369: Women, Peace and Protection Programme
- ☒ 00140112: Nabad: Promoting women-led grassroots peacebuilding towards social reconciliation
- ☐ 00140124: The Somalia Women and Youth Peacebuilding Project For Your Rights Inclusive Governance Harmony Trust and Social Cohesion In Southwest State and Banadir (SWYPP FOR YOUR RIGHTS)
- ☐ 00140251: Support and capacity development for joint analysis design and coordination of PBF interventions in Somalia
- ☐ 00140748: "Youth in Action: Empowering Youth to contribute to peaceful transition in Jowhar (YOU-ACT)".
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-03-17

2023-03-17

Project End Date *

2025-03-16

2025-03-16

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

*

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please select which

*

☐ National Trust Fund

☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☐ UN entity
- ☒ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☒ ACTED ☐ Action Aid UK ☐ AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- ☐ AEDE ☐ African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- ☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- ☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada ☐ Ayuda en Accion
- ☐ CARE International UK ☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- ☐ Christian Aid Ireland ☐ COIPRODEN ☐ Concern Worldwide
- ☐ Conexion Guatemala ☐ COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale ☐ CORD Burundi
- ☐ CORDAID ☐ Corporacion Sisma Mujer ☐ CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- ☐ DanChurchAid ☐ EQUITAS ☐ Fund for Congolese Women
- ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) ☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) ☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation ☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ☐ ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- ☐ Integrity Watch ☐ International Alert ☐ International Rescue Committee
- ☐ Interpeace ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation ☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- ☐ MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- ☐ Mercy Corps ☐ MLAL - ProgettoMondo ☐ MSIS-TATAO
- ☐ NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ☐ OIKOS ☐ ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul ☐ ONG AZHAR
- ☐ OXFAM ☐ Peace Direct ☐ Plan International
- ☐ PNG UN Country Fund ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ☐ ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati ☐ Saferworld
- ☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) ☐ Save the Children
- ☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG) ☐ SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- ☐ SismaMujer ☐ SOS Sahel Sudan ☐ Stichting Impunity Watch
- ☐ Tearfund ☐ The Carter Center, Inc. ☐ Trocaire
- ☐ War Child ☐ War Childhood Museum (WCM) ☐ World Vision International
- ☐ World Vision Myanmar ☐ ZOA ☐ blank_placeholder
- ☐ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- ☒ No other recipients
- ☐ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Child | <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM) | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | | |

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

10

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Social Life and Agricultural Development Organization (SADO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

267975

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

SADO, as Acted's implementing partner in this project, is directly sub-granting to four of the eight women-led civil society organizations (WLCSOs) in Jubaland, acting as their main direct focal point.

In the last 12 months, SADO has carried out peacebuilding training in Jubaland, supported CSOs to conduct a conflict analysis and develop conflict-resolution roadmaps to implement the 12-month peacebuilding campaign.

SADO is continuously supporting the implementation of the roadmap activities, as well as the awareness campaign that complements the peacebuilding campaign, through social media posts, radio appearances, and women-led village discussions.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Taakulo Somali Community

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

109617.3

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Taakulo, as Acted's implementing partner in this project, has carried out peacebuilding training and supported the four WLCSOs in Southwest State (SWS) to conduct a conflict analysis and develop conflict-resolution roadmaps to implement the 12-month peacebuilding campaign.

Taakulo is continuously supporting the implementation of the roadmap activities, as well as the awareness campaign that complements the peacebuilding campaign, through social media posts, radio appearances, and women-led village discussions.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Kismayo Women Association (KWA)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

30840.2

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

KWA developed conflict-resolution roadmap and is now implementing a 12-month peacebuilding campaign in its district.

In addition, KWA is undertaking a communication campaign to raise awareness on the importance of women's role in peacebuilding efforts.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Dhobley Women Association (DWA)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

30840.2

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

DWA developed conflict-resolution roadmap and is now implementing a 12-month peacebuilding campaign in its district.

DWA is also undertaking a communication campaign to raise awareness on the importance of women's role in peacebuilding efforts.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Dollow Women Group (DWG)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

30840.2

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

DWG developed conflict-resolution roadmap and is now implementing a 12-month peacebuilding campaign in its district.

DWG is also undertaking a communication campaign to raise awareness on the importance of women's role in peacebuilding efforts.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Garbaharey Women Group (GWG)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

30840.2

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

GWG developed conflict-resolution roadmap and is now implementing a 12-month peacebuilding campaign in its district.

GWG is also undertaking a communication campaign to raise awareness on the importance of women's role in peacebuilding efforts.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Bay Women and Development Organization (BWDO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

30840.13

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

BWDO developed conflict-resolution roadmap and is now implementing a 12-month peacebuilding campaign in its district.

BWDO is also undertaking a communication campaign to raise awareness on the importance of women's role in peacebuilding efforts.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Bay Women Association Network (BWAN)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

30840.13

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

BWAN developed conflict-resolution roadmap and is now implementing a 12-month peacebuilding campaign in its district.

BWAN is also undertaking a communication campaign to raise awareness on the importance of women's role in peacebuilding efforts.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Barawe Women Empowerment and Development (BWED)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

30840.13

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

BWED developed conflict-resolution roadmap and is now implementing a 12-month peacebuilding campaign in its district.

BWED is also undertaking a communication campaign to raise awareness on the importance of women's role in peacebuilding efforts.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

South West Youth Vision (SWYV)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

30840.13

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

SWYV developed conflict-resolution roadmap and is now implementing a 12-month peacebuilding campaign in its district.

SWYV is also undertaking a communication campaign to raise awareness on the importance of women's role in peacebuilding efforts.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

[illegible]

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	1100000	385000	735171	66.83%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **66.83%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

99.99

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1099890**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1100000

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 735097.48**. Is this correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

735171

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Nabad_Acted_Annual Report_Current Expenditure-9_44_7.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☐ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☒ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☒ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?

YesNo

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

In the framework of the ‘Nabad: Promoting women-led grassroots peacebuilding towards social reconciliation’ project, a Steering Committee has been established to provide a strategic overview of the major milestones of the project and ensure continuous coordination among key stakeholders involved in women's empowerment and peacebuilding in Somalia and the different partners responsible for the direct implementation of the Nabad project.

In June 2024, the first Steering Committee meeting was organised and brought together representatives of the Somali Federal and State authorities – Ministry of Interior, Federal Affairs and Reconciliation; Ministry of Women and Human Rights; Jubaland and Southwest State Ministries of Women, Family Affairs and Human Rights Development – UNPBF and UN representatives – UNDP; UN Women – as well as the Somali Peacebuilding Working Group coordinator alongside Acted Country Director and key staff members – Jubaland Area Coordinator; Country Programme Coordinator; Project Manager – and SADO and Taakulo respective Programme Coordinators.

Highlighting the continuous support of the Somali authorities to the Nabad project, the Steering Committee meeting provided an opportunity to exchange views on the challenges encountered during the implementation process. The significant lack of sustainable funding and policies to create an enabling environment for CSOs was acknowledged and the State Minister of Women, Family Affairs and Human Rights Development for Southwest State expressed the will to develop policies fostering a supportive environment for women and CSOs. The importance of integrating literacy and numeracy training into all development programmes targeting women was also stressed by the State Minister of Women and Human Rights Development for Jubaland.

The main recommendations to maximize collaboration and participation of local authorities in peacebuilding initiatives and women-led community development focused on establishing formal partnerships between local governments and women's organizations, including through the representation of women's groups in local council meetings and the creation of women's networks linked to local governments, as done in three districts in Southwest State. In addition, exchanges on strategies to bolster acceptance of women's roles in peacebuilding, decision-making, and socio-cultural activities stressed the need to continue engaging with religious leaders and elders to promote women's leadership and diversify the media channels used to challenge stereotypes about women's roles, acknowledging the importance of the advocacy campaign conducted under the Nabad project.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Over the last 6 months, continuous collaboration has been fostered with the Somali Federal Government and State authorities, in particular through the Steering Committee meeting held in June 2024, as detailed in the section above. The Jubaland and Southwest State authorities have continued to participate in various dispute resolution discussions and have supported the communication campaign by direct involvement in the radio sessions and attendance to women-led village discussions in Kismayo and Baidoa.

Collaboration with local authorities (i.e. district administrations) has also been crucial in all the targeted locations to enable the women-led CSOs to support mediation and dialogue sessions. In this regard, Conflict Resolution Committees (CRCs) established by the women-led CSOs are composed of members selected by the local communities involved in the conflicts, ensuring a Community-led Dialogue Approach, as well as of local authorities' members. In addition, collaboration has enabled WLCSOs to strengthen existing official peacebuilding instances through the provision of training in peacebuilding and conflict resolution to 3 District Peace Committees in the localities of Dhobley, Garbaharey (Jubaland), and Xudur (Southwest State).

The engagement of local authorities was also key for the development of Natural Resource Management Plans within the frame of conflicts occurring over water resources in the localities of Dhobley, Garbaharey (Jubaland), Baraawe, and Xudur (Southwest State). With the support of the local administrations and women-led CSOs, conflicting communities were able to discuss through facilitated mediation and consultative forums in order to create resource management plans together, which not only fostered cooperation, but also led communities to discuss an approach to sustainable use in areas facing resource scarcity.

Thus, cooperation between local authorities and women-led CSOs resulted in the establishment of 8 Conflict Resolution Committees, the enhancement of the 3 District Peace Committees' skills for the management of conflicts and the promotion of dialogue, as well as in the active dialogue of local communities directly affected by conflicts with the development of 3 natural resource management plans.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

*

☐ Not Started

☐ Initiated

☐ Partially Completed

☒ Completed

☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

As of the end of the reporting period, all preparatory activities – partner contracting, staff recruitment, and baseline data collection – have been completed, as indicated in the previous report.

From December 2023 to January 2024, SADO signed Sub-Grant Agreements with the four CSOs in Jubaland (Kismayo Women Association, Dhobley Women Association, Gaharbarey Women Group, Dollow Women Association). From January to March 2024, Acted and its partners finalised the recruitment of all the staff required for the project. In order to conduct the 12-month peacebuilding campaign, 5 staff members were recruited by Acted (2), SADO (2), and Taakulo (1), in addition to a total of 18 staff members recruited by the 8 women-led CSOs.

Furthermore, with the support of Acted and its partners SADO and Taakulo, the women-led CSOs conducted a thorough identification of local conflicts and developed reconciliation strategies to address community-level disputes across all the 8 targeted locations. The peacebuilding campaign was officially launched in early March 2024 with inauguration ceremonies in each project area, which provided local stakeholders with a detailed understanding of the project's approach to conflict resolution, focusing on community engagement and collaborative reconciliation efforts.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

*

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Output 1.1

The 8 women-led CSOs have benefited from a combined approach of organisational strengthening and tailored training on peacebuilding. In September 2024, a follow-up Grassroots Organizations Capacity Assessment (GOCA) assessment was conducted, which demonstrates significant progress towards the self-sustained level, with all the CSOs reaching the expected stage of organisational strength (minimum level 3 of a 5-level indicator).

8 peacebuilding trainings of 15 days were completed by December 2023 (1 training per CSO) for the benefit of 40 CSO staff members (38W, 2M). The training equipped participants with practical skills in context and conflict analysis, trauma healing, and reconciliation techniques (i.e. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) and mediation).

Output 1.2

Following the identification of local inter-communal conflicts in coordination with local authorities and communities, all the 8 women-led CSOs completed detailed conflict analysis by December 2023. From November 2023 to January 2024, the CSOs developed conflict-resolution roadmaps focusing on a Community-led Dialogue Approach. The operationalisation of these roadmaps by the WLCsOs through a 12-month peacebuilding campaign launched in March 2024 has resulted in:

- Strengthening of 3 District Peace Committees through the provision of training in peacebuilding and conflict resolution by consultants recruited by SADO and Taakulo. In Dhobley, 20 District Peace Committee members (8W, 12M) received a 5-day training in September 2024 to improve their knowledge and skills in conflict resolution techniques. A similar training is ongoing in Xudur and Barawe.
- Establishment of 8 Conflict Resolution Committees (CRCs) in April and May 2024, comprising 103 members (60W, 43M) selected by the conflicting communities. The CRCs' members received trainings in conflict resolution and mediation techniques, strengthening their capacity to facilitate dialogue sessions.
- Conduct 4 trauma healing sessions in Dhobley (2) and Baidoa (2) in October 2024, providing a safe space to conflict-affected communities for sharing experiences and cultural activities such as traditional dance and music. The trauma healing sessions were attended by 40 participants (19W, 21M) in Baidoa and 50 participants (30W, 20M) in Dhobley. These sessions resulted in improved community cohesion.
- Conduct 32 mediative and dialogue sessions across the 8 districts from April to September 2024, including 27 mediative activities aimed at political/natural resource settlement and 5 mediative activities aimed at leadership dialogue. These mediative and dialogue sessions involving 1,422 participants (751W, 671M) have resulted in an observable decline in tensions in all 8 conflicts.
- Final discussions are ongoing to reach formal agreements regarding all conflicts (more information in the peacebuilding results section). At this stage, it is important to note that all resource-based conflicts (Dhobley, Dollow, Garbaharey, Barawe, Xudur) are in the final phase, with the drafting of 5 Resource Management Plans which outline the equitable distribution and shared use of water resources, facilitated by the establishment of joint water management committees.

Output 1.3

In parallel of the peacebuilding campaign, the 8 CSOs have developed awareness-raising initiatives on women's crucial role in grassroots peacebuilding:

- Since March 2024, 56 social media posts have been published (Facebook, TikTok, Twitter) by CSOs, sharing content related to the campaign objectives.

- In addition, 15 live radio sessions have been conducted across six locations – reaching an estimated 60,000 target audience (each radio programme reaches an estimated 4,000 listeners), featuring local influencers, experienced women peacebuilders, and representatives from relevant State Ministries and local authorities.

Acted and its partner SADO have encountered challenges in i) Dhobley; the only local radio company refused to collaborate due to concerns about Al-Shabaab control and ii) Garbaharey; the sole radio station became non-operational due to connection issues. Despite exploration of alternative radio stations, extending broadcast coverage to these districts proved unfeasible due to coverage limitations. This challenge was notified to UNPBF focal point in September 2024 with a proposal to replace these 6 planned radio sessions by 4 women-led village discussions – UNPBF's validation of this change is pending.

- 19 women-led village discussions were held across the 8 target districts between April and October 2024, reaching 932 participants (674W, 258M). These discussions have enabled women to demonstrate their ability to lead discussions and the relevance of their involvement in grassroots peacebuilding efforts.

Output 1.4

During the reporting period, the 4 CSOs in SWS received a training on saving practices and investment, covering key aspects of entrepreneurship, including business planning, market analysis, budgeting, and financial management.

20 participants (18W, 2M) completed this training to enable each CSO to develop sustainable income initiatives. This training is ongoing in Jubaland at the time of writing.

Output 1.5

Focusing on building coalitions and drawing on the recommendations made by the Steering Committee concerning the creation of women's networks, 2 regional forums were held online in July (SWS CSOs) and August 2024 (Jubaland CSOs), gathering 25 participants (15 women and 10 men). WLCSOs have started to increase their ties with other organisations: WLCSOs in Kismayo, Dollow, Baidoa and Xudur have already affiliated with 17 nationally recognized women's peace networks in Somalia, initially established by the Federal Government of Somalia (FGS) and Federal Member States (FMS) with the support of UNPBF and UNDP. To strengthen these created networks, 2 regional forums will be held in person in Baidoa and Kismayo in February 2025.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Nabad approach aims to impact the perspective of all actors involved in peacebuilding processes regarding the capacity of women not only to be included in such processes, but also regarding the particular relevance of integrating women into leadership positions within these conflict resolution processes.

1. In this regard, the Nabad project has directly empowered women:

First, through the provision of capacity and peacebuilding training to women-led CSOs, leading 40 staff members, among which 38 women, to develop the necessary skills and confidence to design and monitor peacebuilding initiatives. An additional step is being reached for further empowerment through training on saving practices and investment. At this stage, the 4 CSOs in Southwest State have received training, leading 20 staff members, among which 18 women, to build their financial literacy to develop business plans and generate revenue for the sustainability of the CSOs' operation. Besides, women are direct actors in strengthening their capacities through the network they have established between the 8 CSOs during the regional online forums held in July and August 2024.

2. The Nabad project has improved the representation and participation of women in conflict-resolution instances: Gender equality and women's empowerment have been ensured through the conduct of the 12-month peacebuilding campaign, launched in March 2024, which has enabled women located in the targeted districts to become members of the 8 Conflict Resolution Committees newly established. Women represent 58% of the CRC members, which also comprise representatives of the district administration and are responsible to maintain dialogue between the conflicting parties and develop peace agreements and/or Resource Management Plans.

3. The Nabad project has demonstrated the importance of women in leadership positions in mediation processes: As part of the peacebuilding campaign, the 8 women-led CSOs have conducted 32 mediative and dialogue sessions and 4 trauma healing sessions that have brought together the conflicting communities and demonstrated the ability of women to lead and facilitate mediative discussions among communities. The importance of women in mediation processes has been further promoted through the publication of 56 social media posts and the conduct of 15 live radio sessions and 19 women-led village discussions. These initiatives have enabled to expand space for women to share their unique perspectives and to reach a greater audience, including in rural areas and informal settlements.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Following the identification of local inter-communal conflicts and the completion of detailed conflict analysis, the 8 WLCsOs have started to implement their 12-month peacebuilding campaign starting from March 2024, on the basis of the key activities identified in tailored conflict-resolution roadmaps. While the peacebuilding campaigns are still ongoing, the reconciliation initiatives have so far shown concrete results of reduced tensions and improved dialogue between the conflicting parties.

The identified conflicts include resource-based disputes (Barawe, Xudur, Dhobley, Dollow, Garbaharey), election-related disputes (Baidoa, Bardale), and a dispute over the management of a women's centre (Kismayo). Although having different causes, the conflict-resolutions efforts have led all the conflicts to reach the stage of final discussions in order to set up an agreement and/or develop a Resource Management Plan (if resource-based conflicts). While these discussions are still ongoing and detailed information on peacebuilding results will be fully provided by the end of the project, the most advanced and demonstrable peacebuilding results have been observed, at this stage, in Baidoa, Barawe, Dhobley, and Dollow, as detailed below.

1. Southwest State

1.1. Baidoa

Responding to a community-level conflict within the Ealay community over a traditional elder election, the Southwest Youth Vision organisation has supported the establishment of a Conflict Resolution Committee, for which each party has appointed representatives to lead dialogue and mediation efforts. While tensions were crystallised around the lack of transparency concerning the traditional elder election, the reconciliation process fostered inclusivity and collaboration, encouraging trust of both parties and a sense of ownership of the initiative.

Through this committee, numerous dialogue and mediation sessions were organised, improving communication between previously divided groups. In addition, trauma healing and experience sharing sessions were conducted, enabling members of the community to exchange views, promote emotional healing and strengthen social bonds.

Participants have already reported feeling more connected and supported, which has improved community cohesion. The two parties in conflict within the Ealay community have accepted to sign an agreement committing them to further dialogue. Thus, mediation and dialogue sessions have been successfully completed in Baidoa and both parties are in the process of drafting the resolution agreement.

1.2. Barawe

In Barawe district, the Nabad project is addressing a long-standing conflict between the Embraso and Barwaqo villages over access to limited water resource consisting of a single borehole, particularly strained during dry seasons. With Barawe Women Empowerment and Development organisation, the project has facilitated the formation of a Conflict Resolution Committee, representing both villages to lead and mediate the dialogue process.

Through structured mediation sessions, the communities have together developed a joint agreement setting out clear conditions for the distribution, sharing and management of water, including regarding protection of women and children during access to the water point. The agreement also creates a framework to prevent future disputes, setting out proactive conflict resolution strategies to ensure sustainable and peaceful management of the borehole. The agreement is currently at the drafting stage.

2. Jubaland

2.1. Dhobley

In Dhobley, a conflict over access to a shared water borehole arose between the Tula-Barwaqo and Wadajir villages, involving the Bahgari and Mohamed-Zubeir clans. Although previous interventions were made by Jubaland authorities and Dhobley district administration to de-escalate the immediate conflict, underlying tensions remained prior to the Nabad project implementation.

The Dhobley Women Association (DWA) has supported the District Peace Committee with local authorities to develop protocols for engaging with the security forces in cases where tensions are likely to escalate into violence. This collaboration has ensured that the security situation in the district remains stable.

DWA has also established a Conflict Resolution Committee, which comprises a majority of female members (10 women and 5 men) to oversee the conflict resolution efforts. DWA has facilitated inclusive dialogue sessions between the Tula-Barwaqo and Wadajir villages and conducted women-led village discussions. Recent interactions with participants in these villages showed an evolution towards acceptance of women as a strong asset in conflict resolution initiatives. These efforts have led to the collaborative development of a water management plan

(drafting stage) and the establishment of a joint water management committee. This committee will be composed of representatives from both villages to ensure equitable water distribution, manage the borehole sustainably, and mitigate future conflict risks.

2.2. Dollow

The Dollow Women Group has developed reconciliation activities to address a long-standing resource-based conflict between herder and farmer communities, often exacerbated by drought episodes. Dialogue sessions have been facilitated by the CSO between herders and farmers, which have led to developing collaborative resource management strategies, focusing on water access for animals during drought episodes and conflict-resolution proposed actions.

In addition, the project is developing dedicated communication channels by establishing representatives from both communities acting as contact points for conflict prevention. Community members can now report issues to these representatives, allowing for concerns to be raised at an early stage and to communicate information about resource sharing before conflicts escalate.

These resource management strategies and the setting up of contact points are to be integrated into a resolution agreement, currently at the drafting stage.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

The resolution agreements and Resource Managements Plans are currently at the drafting stage and will be provided by the end of the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

To enable women-led CSOs to successfully lead self-designed local peacebuilding and conflict prevention initiatives in southcentral Somalia.

Outcome 2:

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: To enable women-led CSOs to successfully lead self-designed local peacebuilding and conflict prevention initiatives in southcentral Somalia.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	% of women-led CSO members reporting to have applied knowledge acquired during the capacity-building peacebuilding campaign.	0	75%	<p>40 WLC SO staff members (38 women, 2 men) received peacebuilding training between October 2023 to December 2023.</p> <p>The indicator calculation will be finalized once endline data collection is conducted at the end of the project.</p> <p>Thus, the value cannot be measured at this stage.</p>	
1.2	% of leaders in communities involved in the targeted conflict that believe that tensions have decreased due to this intervention.	0	+30%	<p>This indicator will be reported once the ongoing peacebuilding activities are completed.</p> <p>Data will be collected during planned KIIs with community leaders at the end of the peacebuilding and reconciliation activities.</p>	

1.3	% of community members reporting an increased belief in the importance of women in peace and social cohesion.	N/A	70%	<p>This indicator will be reported once the ongoing peacebuilding campaigns are completed.</p> <p>Data collection will be facilitated by the WLCSOs during their self-led endline. The progress will be provided at the end of the project.</p>	
-----	---	-----	-----	---	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1
2
3
4
5
more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1
Strengthened operational skills of 8 pre-identified women led CSOs.

Output 1.2
Targeted CSOs enabled to mediate in 8 inter-communal conflicts resulting in decreased tensions between the involved communities.

Output 1.3
Increased awareness of populations including targeted communities on the importance of women in peace and social cohesion.

Output 1.4
Increased ability of targeted CSOs to generate income to sustainably conduct peacebuilding in the long run.

Output 1.5
Strengthened regional networks of women-led CSOs active on peacebuilding.

Other Outputs
If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Strengthened operational skills of 8 pre-identified women led CSOs.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	# of targeted women-led CSOs that have reached at least level 3 according to the GOCA index (1 to 5 scale) by the end of the project by the time of the last follow-up assessment.	1 WLCSO (SWYV)	8 WLCSOs	8 All the target 8 WLCSOs have reached above level 3 GOCA index.	8 The Follow-up GOCA endline assessments conducted in September 2024 for the eight target WLCSOs under this project revealed significant improvements across various domains. In Jubaland, SWYV scored 3.78, BWEDA scored 4.04, BWDO scored 3.6, and BWAN scored 4.02. In Southwest State, DWA improved to 3.79, DWG and KWA both demonstrated significant progress with an average score of 4.38 and GWG achieved the highest average score of 4.62. Overall, the average endline score across all CSOs was 4.08, reflecting substantial enhancements in their organizational capacities.	
-------	--	----------------	----------	---	--	--

1.1.2	% of GOCA capacity building participants that outline that they have an increased capacity on specific aspects tailored to each CSO based on the baseline GOCA results.	0	75%	100%	100% After the follow-up assessment, 100% of the 40 participants who attended the GOCA training sessions indicated improved knowledge in key thematic areas.	
1.1.3	% of beneficiaries reporting that the training topics and contents were relevant to their specific needs.	0	75%	100%	All the participants who attended the training reported that the content and topics of the trainings were relevant and covered their specific needs.	

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Targeted CSOs enabled to mediate in 8 inter-communal conflicts resulting in decreased tensions between the involved communities.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.2.1	# of mediative activities based on the CSOs conflict-resolution roadmaps and aimed at trauma healing.	N/A	4	<p>4 trauma healing sessions were organised during this reporting period. The conflict resolution roadmaps from 4 districts (Dhobley, Baidoa, Xudur and Garbaharey) had trauma healing sessions (through open discussion and cultural events).</p> <p>N.B. The target was calculated based on the conflict-resolution roadmaps.</p>	<p>4</p> <p>The trauma healing sessions are still ongoing and further information will be provided in the next reporting period.</p>	
1.2.2	# of mediative activities based on the CSOs conflict-resolution roadmaps and aimed at leadership dialogue.	N/A	5	<p>5 mediative sessions have been conducted in Baidoa district to resolve a conflict related to traditional leader election disputes.</p> <p>N.B. The target was calculated based on the conflict-resolution roadmaps.</p>	<p>5</p> <p>The mediation and dialogue sessions regarding the conflict in Baidoa have been successfully conducted and both parties are currently drafting the resolution agreement. Further information will be provided in the next reporting period.</p>	

1.2.3	# of mediative activities based on the CSOs conflict-resolution roadmaps and aimed at political/natural resource settlement.	N/A	35	27 27 mediative and dialogue sessions were conducted across the 8 districts. N.B. The target was calculated based on the conflict-resolution roadmaps.	27 The mediative and dialogues sessions are still ongoing in all the districts. The remaining target will be achieved within the next reporting period.	
.....

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Increase awareness of populations including targeted communities on the importance of women in peace and social cohesion.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	% of interviewed community members stating their improved belief in the importance of women in peace and social cohesion.	N/A	70%	/	This indicator will be informed in the next reporting period after the end of the 12-month awareness campaigns.	The awareness campaigns are still ongoing across all the eight target districts. KII data collection will be facilitated by the WLCOs during their self-led endline.

1.3.2	# of social media posts published related to the women-centered awareness campaign.	0	112 (1/month in each target location).	56	Following the development and approval of the conflict-resolution roadmaps and the initiation of peacebuilding campaign, 56 social media posts were published during this reporting period.	
1.3.3	# of women-led village discussions conducted.	0	32 (4 per CSO)	19	19 women-led village discussions were conducted across the 8 target districts.	

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4: Increased ability of targeted CSOs to generate income to sustainably conduct peacebuilding in the long run.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1	# of CSOs having increased ability to generate income due to training and start-up grant.	0	6	4 4 CSOs in Southwest State (Barawe, Baidoa, Berdale and Xudur) have received targeted capacity-building training in business management and financial literacy. The second phase of the training sessions is ongoing in Jubaland (Kismayo, Dhobley, Dollow and Garbaharey).	4 Southwest State CSOs (Barawe, Baidoa, Berdale and Xudur) have received targeted capacity-building training in business management and financial literacy. The second phase of the training sessions is ongoing in Jubaland (Kismayo, Dhobley, Dolow and Garbaharey).	

1.4.2	# of USD of income generated through the CSOs' income generating activities (excludes grants and donations).	0	Equivalent to 50% of the start-up grant in benefits.	/ The CSOs are currently in the process of submitting their business plans, which will be reviewed and approved by the Project Technical Unit.	This indicator will be reported in the next reporting period.	
1.4.3	% of trained CSO members demonstrating improved skills and knowledge on saving practices and investment.	0	75% (100% are women, 20% are below 25 years old).	80% This was calculated based on the results of the 4 CSOs that have received training (SWS CSOs).	4 CSOs from SWS were trained (Baidoa, Berdale, Xudur and Barawe) - 18 women and 2 men. The remaining 4 CSOs are currently receiving training. The data will be analyzed and this indicator will be updated in the next reporting period.	

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5: Strengthened regional networks of women-led CSOs active on peacebuilding.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1	% of forum women participants outlining that they have improved their knowledge about women-led peacebuilding best practices.	0	70%	/ 2 online forums were held during the reporting period, but information will only be collected for this indicator in the framework of the 2 in-person forums to be held in February 2025 according to the workplan.	2 online forums were held during the reporting period, but information will only be collected for this indicator in the framework of the 2 in-person forums to be held in February 2025 according to the workplan. Thus, this indicator will be reported at the final report stage.	

1.5.2	% of forum women participants outlining that they have gained an increased capacity to collaborate with other targeted CSOs that attended the forum.	0	70%	/	2 online forums were held during the reporting period, but information will only be collected for this indicator in the framework of the 2 in-person forums to be held in February 2025 according to the workplan. Thus, this indicator will be reported at the final report stage.	
1.5.3	# of one-day fora held with targeted CSOs to reflected on best practices and lessons learnt in women-led peacebuilding and increase women-led CSO collaboration.	0	1	/	2 online forums were held during the reporting period, but information will only be collected for this indicator in the framework of the 2 in-person forums to be held in February 2025 according to the workplan. Thus, this indicator will be reported at the final report stage.	

» Outcome 2:

Outcome 2	Performanc e Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1					
2.2					
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1						
2.1.2						
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performanc e Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Regional forum for WLCSOs	February 2025	Baidoa/Kismayo	WLCSOs and State-level Ministries of Women and Human Rights	A total of 2 in-person regional forums are planned in Baidoa and Kismayo in February 2025. These forums will focus on building coalitions, sharing lessons learned, and addressing the social and cultural challenges faced by women peacebuilders. These forums will also explore strategies for amplifying the voices and influence of women in peacebuilding processes and advocating for greater inclusion of women in formal peace structures.

Event 2	Community Dialogue and Reconciliation	Ongoing until the end of the project.	All 8 project target districts.	Conflicting parties, and other relevant stakeholders (local authorities, religious leaders, youth etc.).	The ongoing peacebuilding initiatives will continue to include community dialogues and reconciliation activities in all the 8 project districts, with the aim to pursue a reduction of tensions within the communities.
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	Community Mobilizer – BWEDA, Barawe	Confronted with conflicts and the pressing need for mediation, the members of BWEDA organisation were insufficiently trained to carry out an effective resolution process. With the peacebuilding training provided through the Nabad project, the staff members were able to gain the knowledge and confidence to develop key skills in conflict analysis, dialogue facilitation and for the design of conflict resolution projects that fully integrate women's perspectives and expertise.	"This project has been invaluable. I now have the skills to not only implement projects, but also to empower women and advocate for their meaningful inclusion in the peacebuilding discussions." — Abdirahman*, Community mobilizer for BWEDA, Barawe, Southwest State. *The name was changed to protect the identity of the beneficiary.
2	Conflict Resolution Committee (CRC) member in Garbaharey	Peacebuilding processes have traditionally been considered a male concern, including among community elders. With the Nabad project, WLCSOs, such as Garbaharey Women Group, have enabled women to organise and facilitate dialogue sessions between conflicting communities. Although initially met with reluctance and scepticism about the capacity of women to lead such a role, the successful delivery of the dialogue sessions, which created a safe space for groups to constructively express their grievances, demonstrated the ability and skills of women to be fully involved in peacebuilding processes. Male community members, including elders such as Muktar, were able to gain a new perspective on women and their skills as negotiators and mediators, leading them to now encourage women's involvement.	"I never believed that women could organise or facilitate dialogue sessions. But after seeing how they brought us together to resolve our differences, I have learnt that their voices are vital in peacebuilding. They see things we often overlook, and without their involvement, we cannot achieve true harmony in our community." — Hassan*, traditional elder in Garbaharey, Jubaland. *The name was changed to protect the identity of the beneficiary.
3			

4			
<p>In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 4000 characters.</i></p> <p>The Nabad project aims to impact the perspective of all the involved actors regarding the capacity of women not only to be included in peacebuilding processes, but also regarding the particular relevance of integrating women into leadership positions within such processes. This impact was intended to apply primarily to conflict-affected communities, whether they are the parties directly in conflict, or other groups indirectly impacted by tensions. As part of this project, it has been observed that community members have accepted to take part in discussions led by women and an overall improvement in acceptance of women's participation. In addition, a wider effect is expected among the population through the large-scale communication campaign, which will be examined more specifically at the end of the project. Lastly, the effect will also be followed up with the local authorities – which had carried out attempts to deescalate tensions and mediate in certain conflicts (Berdale and Dhobley) – to determine whether they will further encourage the inclusion of women in the resolution of other conflicts.</p>			
<p>You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p>			
<p>File 1</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>Nabad_Acted_Steering Committee Meeting Minutes_June 4th 2024-14_40_10.pdf</p>			
<p>File 2</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>Nabad_Acted_2nd GOCA Report_Southwest State_September 2024-14_40_15.pdf</p>			
<p>File 3</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>Nabad_Acted_Annual Report_Photo Booklet_November 2024-14_40_19.pdf</p>			
<p>You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p>			
<p>Link 1</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>https://www.facebook.com/TaakuloSomali/posts/pfbid031qbkbeLn7e3WSrHgjtuaNMxxBF9khW62UAmUHtm8GdMDNQ6SRbaj8BzQwZEeQGCB1?rdrId=It9uDXVCqNCHfUyk</p>			
<p>Link 2</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p> <p>https://www.facebook.com/TaakuloSomali/posts/pfbid025j1aBB1mhgrwn7C5kiMWjg1w3anv8QfpCEszaKSVRKwxT2SGueonGRCG9xTc16rAl</p>			
<p>Link 3</p> <p>OPTIONAL</p>			

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☒ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

One of the pillars of Nabad's approach is strengthening the capacity of all the actors involved in conflict-resolution processes, including WLCOs and peacebuilding instances composed of affected communities and local authorities.

Indeed, WLCOs have received tailored capacity-building training following a GOCA assessment. According to the follow-up GOCA assessment conducted in September 2024, all the 8 WLCOs have demonstrated significant progress and have reached the expected stage of organisational strength (minimum level 3 of a 5-level indicator). In addition, peacebuilding trainings have been provided to increase knowledge and skills in mediation techniques. Finally, trainings in business skills and investment have been initiated prior to providing sub-grants to empower the CSOs and ensure the long-term functioning with income generative strategies.

Through the Nabad project, existing peacebuilding instances such as District Peace Committees have been strengthened through the provision of training in peacebuilding and mediations techniques. In addition, 8 Conflict Resolution Committees have been established and their members composed of local communities and authorities have received training in conflict resolution, mediation, and trauma healing techniques, with the additional aim of cascading knowledge.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Focusing on a comprehensive approach and effective coverage, Acted has formed partnerships with two types of CSOs with the aim to foster mutual learning between all the involved actors, each providing expertise in project management, peacebuilding activities, and understanding of the context.

First, Acted collaborates with two national CSOs, SADO and Taakulo, which have provided peacebuilding training to the WLCSOs and continue to support them throughout the implementation of the 12-month peacebuilding campaign. Secondly, to ensure a strong localized approach and community involvement, Acted is working with 8 women-led CSOs (4 in Southwest State / 4 in Jubaland), which are also implementing partners. The WLCSOs, equipped with unique grassroots-level positioning within their communities, have developed roadmap activities with the support of the Project Technical Unit – gathering key Acted, SADO, and Taakulo staff members – and are implementing a 12-month peacebuilding campaign.

This positioning has fully enabled the conduct of a Community-led Dialogue Approach, fostering community decision ownership and sustainable change rooted in each community deep contextual knowledge, which are key to the successful implementation of peacebuilding initiatives.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Acted and its partners have actively engaged with political leaders at both national and state levels to cultivate an environment conducive to civil society organizations' work and foster more inclusive peacebuilding processes. In this regard, the Steering Committee meeting held in June 2024 was an important step since it gathered key stakeholders, including representatives of the Somali Federal Government, as well as Jubaland and Southwest State authorities. Among the challenges discussed, the lack of sustainable funding and policies to create an enabling environment for CSOs was highlighted and the State Minister of Women, Family Affairs and Human Rights Development for Southwest State expressed the will to develop policies fostering a supportive environment for women and CSOs.

Regarding coalitions, the Nabad project has successfully united a diverse range of stakeholders within the peacebuilding sector and brought together government officials, community leaders, civil society organizations, representatives from different clans and ethnic groups, religious authorities, women, and youth in the framework of various instances (e.g. Conflict Resolution Committees) and events, including peacebuilding inauguration ceremonies, mediation and negotiation discussions, radio sessions, women-led village discussions, regional forums. By creating a broad platform for dialogue, the project is ensuring that diverse perspectives are fully integrated into the peacebuilding process.

Finally, the promotion of coalition-building among the 8 WLCSOs and with other networks has led to several achievements. Following the online regional forums held in July and August 2024, WLCSOs of Southwest State/Jubaland have explored strategies for amplifying the voices and influence of women in peacebuilding processes and members of several WLCSOs (Kismayo, Dollow, Baidoa, Xudur) have affiliated with 17 nationally recognized women's peace networks in Somalia. In addition, as previously reported, the Bay Women Association Network and the Bakool Women Empowerment Network (the only WLCSO in the Bakool region) have formed a coalition agreement. Together, they amplify their efforts in advocacy, women's empowerment, community cohesion, reconciliation, and peacebuilding.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Nabad direct beneficiaries are 8 women-led CSOs across Jubaland and Southwest State. These WLCSOs have been the primary target of the capacity-building activities (institutional management and peacebuilding training). In addition, they are directly implementing the peacebuilding and communication campaigns, facilitating conflict resolution efforts and raising awareness on women's role in peacebuilding.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Follow-up GOCA Assessment:

In September 2024, the follow-up GOCA endline assessment was conducted for the 8 CSOs targeted under this project. Under the GOCA methodology, organizations are assessed on a range from 0 (incipient/embryonic) to 5 (self-sustained) across five domains, (i) organization governance and administration, (ii) organizational management, (iii) financial management, (iv) project cycle management (PCM), (v) external relations and resource mobilization. The methodology employed for the endline assessment was one focus group discussion conducted with each of the 8 targeted WLCSOs. Each focus group discussion included a cross-section of representatives from the targeted WLCSO (including leadership and staff at all levels). These assessments revealed significant improvements across all CSOs in various domains.

1. Jubaland

- Dhobley Women Association had a remarkable improvement to an average score of 3.79, highlighting significant advancements in governance and management.
- Dollow Women Group made substantial progress, which resulted in an average score of 4.38, with exceptional performance in governance and management.
- Garbaharey Women Group achieved the highest average score of 4.62, showing outstanding improvements across all domains.
- Kismayo Women Association also demonstrated significant progress with an average score of 4.38, excelling in governance and management.

2. Southwest State

- Barawe Women Empowerment and Development Association demonstrated notable progress with an average score of 4.04, excelling in governance and administration but needing improvement in external relations.
- Bay Women Development Organization improved to an average score of 3.6, with strong governance and management but moderate performance in project cycle management.
- Bay Women Association Network achieved an average score of 4.02, demonstrating balanced improvements across all areas.
- Southwest Youth Vision showed an average score of 3.78 reflected stable governance and enhanced management practices, although project cycle management remained an area for growth.

Overall, these assessments indicate that the capacity-building efforts under the project have been effective in enhancing the organizational capacities of these CSOs, with each organization showing marked improvements in governance, management, financial management, project cycle management, and external relations.

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

In this assessment, the first GOCA assessment has informed the baseline value for this indicator: “# of targeted women-led CSOs that have reached at least level 3 according to the GOCA index (1 to 5 scale) by the end of the project by the time of the last follow-up assessment.” This initial assessment conducted in July 2023 revealed that only 1 of the 8 CSOs had reached the "Growth & Maturity" level (level 3 or above). The remaining 7 CSOs were at earlier stages of organizational development. 1. Jubaland: - Dhobley Women Association had a low average score of 1.34, reflecting significant weaknesses across all areas, particularly in financial management at 0.9. - Dollow Women Group's average score was 1.02, with critical weaknesses in financial management at 0.2 and project cycle management at 0.3. - Garbaharey Women Group had the lowest average score of 0.8, with very low scores across all domains, especially in external relations at 0. - Kismayo Women Association scored an average of 1.08, with low scores in organization and management at 1.0 and financial management at 0.3. 2. Southwest State: - Barawe Women Empowerment and Development Association had an average score of 2.45, with relatively strong governance and administration at 3.65 but very low project cycle management at 0.2. - Bay Women Development Organization scored an average of 2.6, showing moderate performance in governance and administration at 4.2 but needing improvement in project cycle management at 1.2. - Bay Women Association Network had an average score of 2.76, with governance and administration at 3.55 and financial management at 2.3, indicating a need for better financial controls. - Southwest Youth Vision's average score was 3.11, with strong governance and administration at 4.4 but lower project cycle management at 2.0. Overall, the baseline assessments highlighted the need for substantial capacity-building efforts to enhance the organizational effectiveness and sustainability of these CSOs, providing a crucial foundation for targeted interventions. Tailored capacity development programmes, including training sessions from December 2023 to February 2024, were subsequently implemented. 40 staff members (34 women and 6 men) from the targeted CSOs participated in these trainings.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Follow-up GOCA Assessment: For the indicator Output 1a, the second GOCA (endline) assessment has informed the progress value.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The follow-up GOCA (endline) assessment data collection was done with 8 target WLCSOs. This assessment was a follow-up of the initial assessment conducted in July 2023. Community-based data collection will be done through (i) KIIs with community leaders on inter-communal conflict resolution and mediation, and (ii) WLCSO-led data collection directly with the community. Acted Monitoring, Evaluation, Accountability and Learning (MEAL) team trained 8 targeted CSOs on topics such as data collection, accountability, and MEAL activities (evaluation/monitoring/etc.). The purpose of this training was to capacitate the CSOs for their endline evaluation with community members.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Acted has established a dedicated feedback and complaints mechanism for targeted communities to report issues and provide feedback. However, in this project, the WLCSOs were empowered and given the responsibility to manage all community interactions at the field level. Before the commencement of community-facing activities, such as the peacebuilding campaign, WLCSOs received accountability training from Acted MEAL team to implement a complaints response and feedback mechanism (CRFM). The WLCSOs have ensured that all community members involved in the peacebuilding campaign are informed about this mechanism and know how to access it. Throughout the project, Acted Programme staff have maintained regular communication and information sharing with the WLCSOs. Acted Programme staff will continue to inform WLCSOs that they can contact Acted’s dedicated Project Manager via phone or email for any feedback or complaints. Additionally, any WLCSO member or community member can call Acted’s Feedback Mechanisms (AFM) hotline managed by dedicated Accountability staff. No complaints have been received by Acted during this reporting period.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required

11100

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				
---	--	--	--	--

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	*
How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from?	*
Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	*
If yes, please select the relevant option below: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Some catalytic effect <input type="radio"/> Significant catalytic effect	*
If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i> In addition to the direct project changes, it has been observed that State authorities have acknowledged the expertise acquired by the WLCSOs to resolve conflicts and might include them at the first stage of conflicts. Indeed, in August 2024, a violent conflict erupted between the Leisan and Gowrale communities in Kurdi village, Berdale district, resulting in six killings and property destruction. The Southwest State authorities called for the mobilization of Bay Women Development Organization for the reconciliation process, essentially through dialogue facilitation. This peacebuilding process led by the State authorities demonstrates the acknowledgement of BWDO’s experience and influence to act at the onset of the reconciliation efforts. Using the Nabad project’s Community-led Dialogue Approach, BWDO facilitated discussions and consultations for a period of 5 days, providing a rapid de-escalation of tensions. This experience is a first milestone in the process aiming to determine the impact of the project on authorities, with the Nabad approach to be potentially replicated to other conflicts at the onset of the tensions.	*

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

As previously reported, the Nabad project ensures the sustainability of the peacebuilding gains through its Community-led Dialogue Approach and its empowering initiatives targeting CSOs and conflict-resolution instances comprised of local communities and authorities, which favour local ownership while strengthening the integration of women into conflict resolution processes.

1. Community-led Dialogue Approach

- All conflict mediations are entirely intermediated by women-led CSOs, with active support and participation of local communities and authorities.
- Representation of all affected communities is ensured, including both women and men, as a fundamental cornerstone to enhance social cohesion and the role of women in local society.
- All roadmap activities are community-centered, enabling communities to take charge of their resolution efforts.

2. Empowered WLCSOs and Conflict-resolution instances

- WLCSOs develop their organisational capacities, peacebuilding techniques, and revenue sources through tailored training and sub-grants.
- All the roadmaps negotiation committees' members – conflicting parties, traditional and religious authorities, youth – have received training in conflict resolution, mediation, and trauma healing techniques, with the additional aim of cascading knowledge.
- WLCSOs have initiated a peacebuilding regional network through experience sharing within the frame of the regional forums (July/August 2024) and are in addition affiliated with 17 nationally recognized women's peace networks in Somalia.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

As of the end of the reporting period, Acted and its partners SADO and Taakulo have encountered two major challenges during the implementation. First, as previously reported, the low levels of literacy and numeracy among many women participants increased the difficulty of implementing capacity-building activities. However, Acted team supported the consultants recruited for the training delivery to provide tailored content to participants. Secondly, it has been observed during the implementation that although mediation activities have addressed the tensions associated with all the resource-based conflicts – Xudur, Barawe, Dhobley, Dollow, Garbaharey – the underlying issue of resource scarcity (i.e. water) remains concerning. While the conflict-resolution instances (CRCs, District Peace Committees) have the capacity to resolve potential future disputes which, in this type of conflict, tend to be regularly accentuated by the seasons and natural disasters (drought, floods, etc.), particular attention is paid to aspects of anticipation when developing the Resource Management Plans (currently at the drafting stage) in order to adapt to this specific situation.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Follow-up GOCA endline assessment.	Endline GOCA assessments were conducted with each of the 8 targeted WLCSOs across the Southwest and Jubaland states. Data collection was conducted in September 2024. This assessment was a follow-up of the first assessment conducted in July 2023 with each WLCSO against Acted's standard GOCA methodology. During the endline assessment, the same methodology was used to assess the 8 organizations across the five domains, (i) organization governance and administration, (ii) organizational management, (iii) financial management, (iv) project cycle management (PCM), (v) external relations and resource mobilization. The scale for scoring was 0 (incipient/embryonic) to 5 (self-sustained). Through the endline assessment, one focus group discussion was conducted with each of the 8 targeted WLCSOs. Each focus group discussion included a cross-section of representatives from the targeted WLCSO (including leadership and staff at all levels).	All the 8 target WLCSO's obtained an overall GOCA score of 3.0 or above – indicating a well-developed / mature organization. Overall, these assessments indicated that the capacity-building efforts under the project have been effective in enhancing the organizational capacities of these CSOs, with each organization showing marked improvements in governance, management, financial management, project cycle management, and external relations.
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			

Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.