

PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report



PEACEBUILDING FUND



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-11-15

2024-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Nikola Petrovski, Project Coordinator, OHCHR Moldova

E-mail of Person submitting the report *

nikola.petrovski@un.org

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Bea Ferenci, Head of Office, OHCHR Moldova

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented		
<input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific	<input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa	<input type="radio"/> East Africa
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia	<input type="radio"/> Global	<input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean
<input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa	<input type="radio"/> West Africa	

Country of project implementation			*
<input type="radio"/> Albania	<input type="radio"/> Bosnia and Herzegovina	<input type="radio"/> Kosovo (As per UNSCR 1244)	
<input type="radio"/> Kyrgyzstan	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Moldova	<input type="radio"/> Montenegro	
<input type="radio"/> North Macedonia	<input type="radio"/> Serbia	<input type="radio"/> Tajikistan	
<input type="radio"/> Uzbekistan	<input type="radio"/> Other, Specify		

Other, please specify

Project Title			*
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 00133100: Building sustainable and inclusive peace, strengthening trust and social cohesion in Moldova			
<input type="radio"/> Other, Specify			

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented			*
<i>If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa</i>			
<input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific	<input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa	<input type="checkbox"/> East Africa	
<input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia	<input type="checkbox"/> Global	<input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean	
<input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa	<input type="checkbox"/> West Africa		

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report			*
Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document			*
EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal			

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented			*
Other, Please specify			*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)			*
2022-09-01			
2022-09-01			

Project End Date			*
2025-02-28			
2025-02-28			

Has this project received an extension?			*
<input type="radio"/> YES, Cost Extension			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES, No Cost Extension			
<input type="radio"/> YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions			
<input type="radio"/> NO, No Extensions			

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which *

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☒ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ☐ WFP: World Food Programme ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre ☐ UNDPO
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Implementing Partners	
To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money <i>during this reporting period</i> (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?	13

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☒ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Centrul de Justitie Socială "Echitate" (NGO Social Justice Centre "Equity")

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

10000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Objective: Increased knowledge, development of gender-sensitive policies, increased participation of women in the Security Zone

Main activities: Development of organizational policy, development of a strategic communication concept with an emphasis on the gender dimension. Development of a local plan in the Security Zone, Criuleni and Dubasari districts regarding the implementation of the National Program for the implementation of UN Security Council Resolution 1325 on women, peace and security for 2023-2027.

To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☒ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

NGO "Femeia Contează" (NGO Woman Matters)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 10000	*
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> Objective: Mentoring program "peer-to-peer" for the Roma community on the left bank of the Nistru/Dniester river. Main activities: Capacity building in the field of promoting gender equality and institutionalizing the rules of conduct in work with Roma communities, methods of socialization and integration of Roma refugees into society, increasing organizational resilience to the consequences of a conflict situation. To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.	*
3	
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner	
<input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input checked="" type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other	
Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner "Academia de Creație și Inovații Mediatice (ACIM)" (NGO of Creativity and Media Innovation" (ACIM)	
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 10000	
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> Objective: Safe environment for media platforms in risk areas. Main Activities: Development of a gender-responsive communication strategy and a visibility plan based on the themes and areas covered. Development of an official page in social networks. Development of internal safety instructions for team members in risk areas based on existing domestic and international experience. Strengthening the mission of the organization in terms of security, human rights and gender equality. To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.	
4	
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☒ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

"Centrul de dezvoltare socială și economică "SocioHub" (NGO Social and economic Development Center "SocioHub")

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

9812

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Objective: Gender-sensitive policies as a factor in increasing the institutional capacity of organizations on the left bank of the Nistru/Dniester.

Main activities: To train the team in the development of project proposals, financial management, improvement of relevant systems, tools and organizational processes, in order to dynamically mobilize in activities to promote gender equality and non-discrimination on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester.

To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☒ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

"Clubul Femeilor Inspirație" (NGO "Women's Club Inspiration"

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

9223

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Objective: Sustainable organization capable of developing inclusive social services in the communities of the Security Zone.

Main activities: Development of a gender-sensitive strategy and action plan for the organization for 2023-2028. Training personnel in transparent financial management and accounting. Further training of women and men employees to obtain the "home assistant" qualification. Development of a package of documents for obtaining the status of a public utility.

To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☒ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

"Centrul de informare și resurse "PRO BONO" (NGO Information and resource centre "PRO BONO"

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?

8142

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Objective: Increased institutional capacity for more active involvement of young women and men in the development of communities on both banks of the Nistru/ Dniester.

Main activities: Development and adaptation of internal policies to the perspective of gender equality. Training and accreditation of the team in project management, including the purchase of equipment to ensure the smooth functioning of the organization's processes.

To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

7

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify Peace and development	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner "Initiatives4Peace"	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 146436	*
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> CSO "Initiatives4Peace" fully completed the implementation of the programme "Youth for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River" (Activities 1.3.2 - 1.3.3). which includes Trainings in tech/STEM for young women, Peace Accelerator Lab/Hackathon, Young Women for Peace Leadership School, Summer Camp for Young Peacebuilders.	*
8	
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner	*
<input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other	
Other, Please specify Media, freedom of press	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner "Association for Independent Press"	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 48352	*
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> CSO "API" was contracted for the implementation of "Media for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River" programme which covers Activities 2.2.1 - 2.2.2 and envisages trainings and tools on gender-sensitive and conflict-sensitive reporting, institutional support for media outlets, competition of media materials.	*
9	
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

Development

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

AO "National Assistance and information centre for NGOs in Moldova CONTACT"

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

98510

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

CSO "Centre CONTACT" has fully completed capacity building activities to local community actors from the Security Zone and supported 12 local peace initiatives (Activity 1.2.4)

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

Policy development

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

The Institute for Strategic Initiatives (IPIS) / Institutul pentru Inițiative Strategice

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

85735

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Relevant to A.1.1.4: The activity aims to strengthen cross-river engagement and productive interaction for thematic collaboration platforms, for experts working closely with settlement actors from both banks in the areas of agriculture, public health, environment, tourism, social protection and humanitarian aid. The activity involves experts in a non-formal setting, in order to identify core issues which can be addressed through an informal, collaborative undertaking and Track 2 dialogue. Solutions and roadmaps will be provided to the respective technical agencies on both banks on issues identified for targeted implementation. Advocacy will be offered for their inclusion in the settlement process discussions. The project will include a special focus on human rights, the Women Peace and Security agenda and improved access to social services.

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

Human Rights, access to services

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Centre for the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CDPD) / Centrul pentru Drepturile Persoanelor cu Dizabilitati

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?

221019

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The purpose of the grant is to support the delivery of legal aid to people from the left bank of Dniester/Nistru River, in order to facilitate access to public services available on the right bank of the Nistru/Dniester River.

Objective 1: Develop and implement a roadmap for the establishment of the institution of paralegals on the left bank of Dniester/Nistru River based on the best practices from the right bank.

Objective 2: Build capacities of the network of paralegals to operate on the left bank of Dniester/Nistru River to provide legal aid and facilitate the access to services available on the right bank for residents of Transnistria region.

Objective 3. Piloting the paralegal network on the left bank of Dniester/Nistru River to provide legal aid and facilitate the access to services available on the right bank for residents of the left bank.

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

Human Rights

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Transparency International – Moldova

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

70792

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The proposed project aims to establish online and offline tools that facilitate dialogue and cooperation among individuals from both sides of the Dniester/Nistru River and strengthen resilience against disinformation.

Objective 1: Early intervention to prevent deepening divisions between the populations on either side of the Nistru/Dniester River developed by strengthened responses to divisive narratives and misinformation.

Objective 2: CSO, bloggers, influencers and activists have strengthened capacities and duty bearers of the left bank have increased awareness to effectively implement international standards on tackling hate speech.

1:

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

Peace and development

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Federația Familiilor pentru Unificare și Pace în Lume din Moldova

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?	*
7228	
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner	*
<i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> The partner implemented eight deep listening exercises/events across Moldova, engaging communities hosting refugees from Ukraine on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River. The deep listening exercise in communities hosting refugees from Ukraine on both banks of the Nistru River aims to facilitate meaningful and empathetic interactions between community members and refugees. This exercise involves creating a safe and open space for individuals to share their stories, experiences, and concerns. It allows local residents to listen attentively and without judgment to the refugees' narratives, fostering a sense of understanding' solidarity, and mutual support. Through this process, both refugees and host communities can gain insights into each other's perspectives, challenges, and strengths, ultimately promoting social cohesion and resilience within these diverse communities.	

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient. <i>Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in US Dollars</i>				
For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.				
Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	850000	850000	793000	93.29%
	*	*	*	%
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	802000	802000	635000	79.18%
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	800000	800000	726000	90.75%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2452000	2452000	2154000	87.85%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **87.85%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct
 ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?

46.08

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1129881.6**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct
 ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 992563.2**. Is this correct?

☒ Correct
 ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

MD_PBF expenses_15 Nov 2024-21_30_33.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

Select all that apply

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Met twice. The Technical Advisory Board met on 07 June 2024 and then on 07 November discussing the progress made and the Annual report to be submitted. The composition of the Technical Advisory Board is 10 members representing Moldovan authorities, civil society organizations (CSOs) from both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River, the National Human Rights Institution, the Resident Coordinator Office (RCO), members of the Recipient UN organizations (RUNOs), and relevant representatives of the international community.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

For the past 12 months (annual report):

19 December 2023 – meeting Deputy Prime Minister, Oleg Serebrian and the Head of the Bureau for Reintegration Policies to discuss engagement with de-facto structures in the Transnistrian Region.

- January – October 2024, multiple meetings with the General Prosecution Office to make arrangements for an inter-institutional approach to countering hate crimes and hate speech in Moldova.

- Regular coordination meetings with the Bureau for Reintegration Policies as a strategic project partner, December 2023 – October 2024.

- September 2024 – Meeting with the Secretary of the Ministry of Labour and Social Protection, Felicia Bechtoldt, discussing UNDP's social cohesion interventions.

- October 2024 – Meeting with the Secretary of State, Ana Calinici from State Chancellery and the Minister of Education, Dan Perciun, on UNDP's social cohesion interventions.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

☐

Not Started

☐

Initiated

☐

Partially Completed

☒

Completed

☐

Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

☐

Not Started

☐

Initiated

☐

Partially Completed

☒

Completed

☐

Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

☐

Not Started

☐

Initiated

☐

Partially Completed

☒

Completed

☐

Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

☐

Not Started

☐

Initiated

☐

Partially Completed

☒

Completed

☐

Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has entered its final stage of implementation with most Output level activities already finalised or pending conclusion by February 2025. No additional contracting of implementing partners or grantees is expected beyond October 2024; all partners were selected and contracted and are working on delivery of the expected results. During the remaining four months, the project will focus on finalising activities to respond to the foreseen indicators and will have a closing event to inform local stakeholders of the key results and findings, ensuring visibility of the UN Peacebuilding Fund. This period will also see the effects of the of an activity related to promoting access to services available on the Right bank, to Left bank residents.

In the second half of November 2024, the data collection for the endline study for the Outcome level indicators will commence, with the aim to have it finalised in February. The Project team has already revised the methodology and the list of stakeholders to be included in the data collection. The gathered data will be placed in a report, which together with the Baseline report will be used to inform the final project evaluation.

The process for selecting a team of evaluators is initiated after the ToRs were drafted in October 2024. In November the vacancies for three consultants will be advertised. The evaluation is planned to commence early February and be finalised by end of April 2025.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

Yes

No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Cross-river activities and dialogue took place with civil society representatives, and experts and community representatives from both banks. Over 160 stakeholders engaged in the areas of agriculture, environment, business, social assistance and services etc, contributed to five thematic roadmaps with conclusions and recommendations. Members of the Moldovan Parliament and the Bureau for Reintegration Policies were informed on relevant policies for integrating human rights and women's meaningful participation in peace processes, and the WPS Agenda. A publication "Women's inclusion in peace processes: Global lessons to Moldova" was published, providing concrete recommendations for action.

Interaction between over 50 civil society actors from both banks is progressing well, and joint advocacy /social cohesion activities are underway. A Joint Declaration for cross-river cooperation to promote human rights and sustain peace has been developed and endorsed by 22 civil society actors, and it remains open for signatures by others. Exchange and joint participation in capacity-building events by members of the Ombudsperson's Office and the Focal point for Human Rights in the Left Bank continued and resulted with parallel monitoring visits to mental healthcare institutions; currently, human rights advocacy activities are being discussed by both offices. CSOs from both banks benefited from capacity-building and increased institutional capacities, they have adopted gender-sensitive organisational systems and increased capacities of staff to advance the WPS agenda. Local community actors, empowered through capacity-building, implemented 12 peace initiatives across 55 localities in the Security Zone on both banks; engaged 62 partners and reached over 5,700 participants through cultural events, art, sports, and youth involvement, creation of dialogue spaces, emphasizing the importance of community interconnectedness and women's participation in decision-making and peacebuilding processes.

The project enhanced the leadership potential of 78 young women and men from both banks, creating a Young Peacebuilders Network (YPN) and inspiring future youth-led initiatives. Through a leadership school, summer camps, and hackathons, participants developed IT skills, gained knowledge in gender-responsive peacebuilding and conflict resolution, and engaged in discussion with decision makers. Key outcomes included the development of two digital peacebuilding solutions. Additionally, YPN sent a letter to the MFA of Finland, set to assume OSCE's Chairpersonship in 2025, and expressed their visions for peaceful conflict resolution and call for equal opportunities. In its response, Finland expressed the openness to dialogue and communicated its commitment to work on listed challenges faced by communities living on both banks. Additionally, a group of 22 young artists from both banks were educated on the negative effects of hate speech and discrimination and were inspired to create artwork for awareness raising. A Road Map to set up a network of 'paralegals' in the Left bank was developed. 25 paralegals were enabled to provide primary legal assistance to Left bank residents. A handbook to assist paralegals in their work was developed and the pilot phase has commenced.

Moldovan law enforcement actors are drafting a Guide for investigating and prosecuting hate crimes and hate speech. To raise public awareness on the negative effects of hate speech and discrimination, an exhibition of artwork by young artists from both banks was organised in the centre of Chisinau, and in Tiraspol. In parallel, a partner NGO from the Left bank produced an analysis of the applicable legislation, identifying gaps related to the prevention of hate speech, and organised a public awareness workshop to inform local stakeholders of the relevant international standards.

A "Nistru Truth Bridge" hackathon to tackle misinformation and divisive narratives convened over 50 people from both banks, who developed seven ideas for digital solutions to identify and counter disinformation. Three of these were selected as winners and further supported to be turned into practical products to prevent and combat disinformation.

Through a series of workshops on human rights and countering disinformation, over 100 journalists, activists, influencers and bloggers from both banks had their capacities increased. As a follow-up, under the guidance of an expert, selected participants wrote 5 articles that were published in a national newspaper and were added into a Bulletin of good collaboration practices of activists and journalists from both banks.

Similarly, over 70 media professionals, journalists from both banks improved their reporting practices by getting trained on peace journalism, gender-sensitive and conflict-sensitive reporting, and were supported to create and publish joint content to promote social cohesion and peace. (For more details on the publications a separate document will be enclosed).

Civil servants (53) who provide services to refugees and other marginalised communities were equipped with knowledge on non-discriminatory practices.

Deep listening exercises were organised on both banks, involving 126 refugees and host communities, making them more aware of the needs and challenges of 'the other' community. Three stories unveiling the most interesting and impactful refugees' experiences were documented as written narratives, and published in local media and presented at community events.

Capacities of 26 teachers from 9 schools from both banks were enhanced to counter hate speech, prejudice and discrimination among students, and nurture inclusive schooling environments. As a result, all schools implemented a total of 14 own awareness raising and non-formal education activities for over 300 fellow teachers and students. A handbook with best practices is developed and will be shared with the Ministry of Education as a resource material for teachers.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project advanced gender-responsive peacebuilding in Moldova in the line with the National Action Plan for the implementation of UNSCR 1325 on WPS for 2023-2027. Taking a top-down approach for localisation, it engaged with local governance and community actors through the implementation of 12 peace initiatives, including women empowerment, raising awareness of over 5,700 people. (More info on the specific activities will be provided in a separate file)

The project has also supported and promoted the integration of women refugees, involved in deep listening exercises with host communities.

The project made significant progress in empowering young women and men to become active agents of peace in their communities and beyond. This was done through a leadership school programme, summer camps, student art exhibitions and hackathons gathering ideas from young people and involving them in advocacy. Moreover, the project facilitated dialogue between young people and state institutions, ensuring that the concerns raised by the youth found their way into official discussions. Several of these issues, caused by legal discrepancies between the banks, are now under examination by relevant bodies. (*For instance, one regulatory problem encountered by a young women-entrepreneur from the left bank conveyed to the Parliament and taken onboard to draft legislative amendments to fix the problem. One case of citizenship/ documentation problem reported by summer camp participant from the left bank referred to the Ombudsman's Office, guidance and support were provided.)

The project has facilitated the establishment of a Young Peacebuilders Network, and supported them in their advocacy for peace and social cohesion. An exchange of letters with the future OSCE Chairpersonship - Finnish diplomats, articulated their commitment to peace and called for equal opportunities for youth on both banks.

Through activities implemented by several schools on both banks, the project involved students into awareness raising on elements to promote social cohesion, tolerance and peace.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

1. The advancement and awareness on the WPS agenda through the project can be demonstrated through changes at individual and societal levels. Initially, prior to capacity-building of local governance actors in the Security Zone, they had a very modest understanding of the WPS agenda. Through pre- and post-training evaluation, by 68 participants and 50 participants respectively, it was shown that only 18.2% of participants could correctly identify the objectives of the WPS agenda prior to the training, and 61.9% after the training - indicating a substantial improvement of 43.7 percentage points. Moreover, a detailed analysis of survey results reveals that the overall level of WPS awareness increased from 27.2% pre-training to 75.6% post-training, indicating an average improvement of 48.3%. In addition to determining the roles and contributions of local community actors in WPS localization, the capacity-building efforts significantly impacted participants' project development skills. Correct responses increased from 32.7% pre-training to 80.4% post-training, reflecting a notable improvement of 47.61 percentage points. After developing proficiency in project writing, local community actors have successfully tailored their projects to the specific needs and context of their communities, resulting with 12 peace initiatives implemented. The initiatives involved not only inhabitants of the implementing localities but also neighbouring communities, bridging divides and recognizing the transformative potential of grassroots efforts.

2. Through the cross-river CSO Advocacy Network, new alliances were formed, and cooperation of activists from both banks was inspired. Civil society actors are more familiar with the concept of conflict prevention and understand their role in it. A Joint Declaration was signed by 20+ civil society organisations, journalists and activists, to re-affirm their commitments to promote human rights, social cohesion and sustainable peace. The Declaration will serve as a basis to further the exchange and cooperation, partnerships between civil society actors from all regions of Moldova.

3. The project has created a pool of journalists and media professionals from both banks that are more sensitised to peace journalism and human rights journalism, conflict sensitive and gender sensitive reporting. Their joint media products are being published to raise awareness and provide a perspective on issues of concern for audiences on both sides of the conflict divide, in a balanced and nuanced manner; Such reports are essential for informed public discourse, conflict transformation and reconciliation, providing perspectives from both banks of Nistru/Dniester River. By working together journalists build trust and empathy, breaking down stereotypes and reducing tensions.

4. The developed knowledge product "Women's Inclusion in Peace Processes: Global Lessons for Moldova" offers a critical analysis of women's participation in Moldova's peace processes and provides recommendations for enhancing their inclusion. This publication is particularly useful as it raises awareness among policymakers, international organizations, and civil society about the importance of women's inclusion, providing concrete recommendations for action and drawing on global good practices to inspire and inform Moldovan efforts.

5. The publication Women as Agents of Peace: From Official Negotiators to Community Leaders in Times of Peace and Conflict addresses the role of women in Moldova's peacebuilding and conflict resolution efforts, particularly in the context of the Transnistrian conflict. It highlights both challenges and contributions of women on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester river, examining barriers like patriarchal views, media-driven hate speech, and limited female representation in decision-making roles.

6. The Project managed to inspire positive change among educational institutions to tackle cognitive biases, logical fallacies and hate speech, and to promote building of inclusive environments and non-discrimination. Although in a pilot phase, the activity showed genuine interest and commitment among school staff to proactively engage awareness raising activities within their own environment, and they carried out 14 individual school activities, involving other school staff, students and parents - setting best practices to be compiled in a Handbook which will be distributed to other schools in Moldova.

7. The Project has increased institutional capacities of, and enhanced relations and exchange between human rights actors from the divided banks. Namely, members of the Office of the People's Advocate and the Focal Point for Human Rights in the Left bank have been systematically involved in activities to empower their roles as local (de facto) human rights institutions. Both stakeholders benefit from increased knowledge on specific human rights standards and have enhanced contacts, and the ability to support each other and advocate for the promotion of human rights on both banks, including jointly.

*(Links to social media pages reflecting some of the activities described above will be shared in a separate file.)

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

Additional document, report with links to products and accomplished results will be shared with PBSO.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Strengthened cross-river engagement and productive interaction through the advancement of human rights, the Women, Peace and Security agenda and improved access to social services

Outcome 2:

Strengthened responses to divisive narratives and misinformation thereby reducing inter-community tensions.

Outcome 3:	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Strengthened cross-river engagement and productive interaction through the advancement of human rights, the Women, Peace and Security agenda and improved access to social services

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	The level of awareness on cross-river initiatives of stakeholders regarding human rights and women's role in the peacebuilding process.	On a scale 1-5 where 5.00 is highest level, and 1.00 is 'no awareness'. LB Communities = 2.30 RB Communities = 2.88 CSOs (both banks) = 4.21	increase in the level of perceived engagement/ Awareness	Progress to be shown through endline data.	
1.2	Percentage/number of initiatives advancing human rights including women's rights brought to the attention of settlement process actors by CSOs.	13 initiatives/priorities submitted to settlement actors (out of 22 initiatives developed)	increase by 50% from baseline	Progress to be shown through endline data.	
1.3	% of direct beneficiaries (disaggregated by sex, age, disability, ethnicity) from the left bank feeling enabled to access public services on the right bank of Nistru river.	Total - 58.8%(3.35) Men 61.0% (3.44) Women 57.2 %(3.29) Ethnic minorities– 51.5% (3.06) Persons with disabilities - 49.0% (2.96) 18-39y – 60.2%(3.41) 40-59y – 61.5%(3.46) 60+y -50.0% (3.0)	increase by 50% from baseline	Progress to be shown through endline data.	

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Improved capacities of the conflict settlement process actors to integrate human rights and gender equality perspectives at all levels of the negotiation agenda, including a focus on balanced and meaningful participation of women in the process at all levels.

Output 1.2

Civil society organizations from both banks, People's Advocate and human rights focal point from the left bank, and local community actors from the Security Zone have increased capacities to JOINTLY engage in advancing human rights and WPS Agenda and foster effective cross-river dialogue and partnerships

Output 1.3

People from both banks, including women actors and community leaders have increased knowledge and understanding of human rights, gender equality and the WPS agenda and are increasingly enabled to access available public services and are empowered to engage in cross river interaction.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Improved capacities of the conflict settlement process actors to integrate human rights and gender equality perspectives at all levels of the negotiation agenda, including a focus on balanced and meaningful participation of women in the process at all levels.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	Number of settlement process actors enabled to advance and mainstream human rights-based approach and gender in the negotiation process	16 women	24 persons (at least 12 women)	One person involved in training. 13 MPs informed on gender equality and WPS relevance.	1 dialogue event - Parliament members (8 MPs, 4 Parliament Secretariat members, 1 President Bureau member) got involved in a dialogue session on efforts in peacebuilding , implementation of UNSCR 1325 on WPS, supporting female leadership in peacebuilding and conflict resolution by increasing the number of women who participate as negotiators, political representatives, mediators, peacemakers, or ambassadors. No actual settlement actors were trained / included in activities, as joint activities were not possible to implement to date due to the political situation. Despite continued efforts by the Project coordinator and UN's senior leadership these actions will not be achieved within this project. We are examining possibilities for engaging separately with both actors in order to raise their awareness on the key findings and products developed within this project. 1 study visit - 1 woman negotiator from the Bureau for Reintegration Policies participated at Geneva Peace Week.	Related Project actions not yet initiated due to political tensions and lack of engagement by settlement actors. By the end of the project, stakeholders will be informed on key takeouts and recommendations obtained during the implementation of all actions.
-------	--	-----------------	---------------------------------------	---	---	---

1.1.2	Number of demonstrated uses by the settlement process actors of advocacy tools/ initiatives on human rights, human security, women's role in peacebuilding and conflict settlement produced by the project	0	Nine (9) products (advocacy and dialogue events; policy papers).	2 new knowledge products developed. 1 knowledge product "Women's Inclusion in Peace Processes: global lessons for Moldova" was developed. 1 knowledge product "Women as Agents of Peace: from official negotiations to community leaders in times of frozen peace and conflict" – is at the final stage of development.	1 knowledge product "Women's Inclusion in Peace Processes: global lessons for Moldova" was developed. 1 knowledge product "Women as Agents of Peace: from official negotiations to community leaders in times of frozen peace and conflict" – was developed 1 more cross-river gender assessment will be developed by the end of 2024. Five Roadmaps with recommendations in the sectors of Agriculture, Environment, Tourism, Health Assistance and Social Assistance Systems were developed. Each roadmap addresses the most pressing challenges within its respective sector, with a particular focus on sustainable development, the empowerment of women, and the promotion of human rights, and present the specific recommendations to address sectoral issues and enhance cross-sectoral collaboration. These documents serve as a blueprint for targeted interventions. Further, these roadmaps will be presented to relevant duty-bearers for potential implementation or inclusion in the policy stream.	
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Civil society organizations from both banks, People's Advocate and human rights focal point from the left bank, and local community actors from the Security Zone have increased capacities to JOINTLY engage in advancing human rights and WPS Agenda and foster effective cross-river dialogue and partnerships	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.2.1	Number of CSOs on both banks with increased capacities to advocate on human rights and gender equality in the peacebuilding context.	18 CSOs (16 OHCHR and 2 UN Women)	51 CSO (35 OHCHR and 8 UN Women, including the already existing ones)	Accomplished. 8 CSOs have implemented joint cross-river initiatives to promote social cohesion and raise awareness. 30 CSOs increased capacities on their role in conflict prevention. 18 CSOs from both banks had capacities increased and encouraged to submit joint reports to the UN Committee on the Eliminating Racial Discrimination. As a result, at least two joint reports drafted in cooperation of NGOs from both banks, incorporating human rights issues of discrimination and hate speech were submitted. Four Human Rights Defenders (two from each bank) were prepared and supported to participate the CERD Session in Geneva, which examined Moldova's human rights record.	Accomplished.55 CSOs involved in an advocacy Platform/ Network and received capacity-building. 8 CSOs were directly implementing four joint advocacy activities directly inspired by the project. Another initiative is prepared and will be implemented by three partner organisations by the end of the project. -- A flashmob against hate speech was organised in parallel in Chisinau and Tiraspol. 22 CSOs co-signed a Joint Declaration to promote human rights, social cohesion and sustainable peace. Preparations are made to have the document promoted. 30 CSOs had capacities increased on their role in Conflict Prevention. 6 CSO from both banks successfully completed institutional capacity development programme. 24 CSOs had strengthened capacities in gender-responsive programming.	
-------	--	-----------------------------------	---	---	--	--

1.2.2	Number of initiatives advancing human rights, promoting human security and women's role in peacebuilding processes implemented by CSOs and local community actors from both banks, including towards duty bearers	0	15	<p>Good progress.</p> <p>Four (4) Human Rights Defenders participated at the CERD Constructive Dialogue in Geneva to present human rights concerns related to discrimination, hate speech in Moldova.</p> <p>55 local community actors capacitated, 12 peace initiatives implemented.</p> <p>8 NGOs developed joint cross-river social cohesion activities. One implemented and two in the pipeline.</p> <p>Nov 2024 – exhibitions of posters countering hate speech, designed by young artists</p> <p>July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news, and online outlets.</p>	<p>Accomplished.</p> <p>November 2024 – exhibitions of posters countering hate speech, designed by young artists - in Chisinau and Tiraspol.</p> <p>October 2024 - Joint Declaration to promote social cohesion and peace signed by CSOs.</p> <p>12 cross-river Peace initiatives implemented in the Security Zone.</p> <p>One Playback theater on social cohesion between the two banks implemented.</p>	
-------	---	---	----	---	---	--

1.2.3	Number of human rights monitoring, reporting and advocacy initiatives, including joint ones, conducted by People's Advocate and Human Rights focal point on the left bank	0	4	<p>Good progress. In October 2024, both partner entities have conducted monitoring visits to mental healthcare institution on their respective banks. These piloted jointly developed monitoring tools, as a result of joint seminars and workshops which took place within the project. Advocacy on the identified human rights concerns at each monitoring visit are being prepared on each bank.</p> <p>Joint three-day in-person training and development of monitoring tools took place in March 2024.</p>	<p>In December 2023, The Ombuds Office organised a Human Rights Forum – through which advocacy on various human rights standards and inclusion of marginalised groups took place.</p> <p>In 2024 the PA's Office was also involved in a workshop discussing civic space and protection of human rights defenders on both banks of Nistru/ Dniester River. A separate session to discuss matters with Left Bank civil society actors was specifically organised.</p> <p>In regard to cross-river interaction, both actors have been coached to jointly design tools for monitoring rights of persons with intellectual and psycho-social disabilities. Regular joint meetings and capacity-building actions have been taking place throughout the project. This resulted with monitoring activities in the respective areas of responsibility, conducted in mental healthcare institutions. Presently, both actors are developing advocacy actions to respond to the identified human rights violations.</p>	<p>The political situation and influence by specific duty-bearers did not allow for the possibility to have joint monitoring or joint advocacy actions. Instead, these were done in a parallel way, using tools developed jointly by the two entities.</p>
-------	---	---	---	---	---	--

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: People from both banks, including women actors and community leaders have increased knowledge and understanding of human rights, gender equality and the WPS agenda and are increasingly enabled to access available public services and are empowered to engage in cross river interaction.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.3.1	Number of people reached through awareness raising campaigns on social cohesion, inclusive cross-river interaction and dialogue	2021 – 56,419	150,000 (with possible overlapping)	<p>Good progress.</p> <p>Open-air exhibition of posters on countering Hate Speech in Tiraspol and Chisinau.</p> <p>Facebook event and online campaign.</p> <p>HR Quiz continuously played as awareness raising tool at project events.</p> <p>Flashmobs on hate speech in Tiraspol and Chisinau</p>	<p>Good progress. 80000+</p> <p>Exhibitions of posters by young artists, countering hate speech.</p> <p>July 2024, Flashmobs countering Hate Speech</p> <p>Murals on both banks, video stories and media coverage.</p> <p>Accompanying visibility materials - social cohesion.</p> <p>1500 views of the live streaming of the Awarding ceremony of Small Grants; 2 press releases published.</p> <p>Articles and products published by media professionals in local news outlets.</p> <p>Human/Success stories published on the project implementation</p> <p>5700 people involved in 12 Peace activities in Security Zone.</p> <p>A video spot - Young Women's Leadership School for Peace.</p> <p>6,500 views of zonadesecuritate.md videospot as a result a study visit to Georgia, leading to the development of an internal operational guide for journalists in conflict-affected settings</p> <p>humanrightsquiz.md in Romanian, Russian and English. Published at websites of the Ombudsperson and the National Institute for Justice.</p>	
-------	---	---------------	-------------------------------------	---	--	--

1.3.2	Number of innovative peace acceleration solutions addressing women's role and contribution in peacebuilding jointly generated and implemented by youth (women and men) from both banks	0	5 solutions identified, at least 2 solutions implemented	<p>8 solutions were identified at Hackathon/Peace Accelerator LAB and lately 2 were developed by teams from Chisinau and Tiraspol.</p> <p>1. Tell Me platform – provides support for survivors of gender-based violence and discrimination. (will be hosted by left bank CSO working on GBV)</p> <p>2. Youth Connect platform aims to bridge young people by promoting participatory tourism on both banks.</p> <p>1 Network of Young Peacebuilders was created as an outcome of youth programme.</p> <p>1 joint letter was sent to future Finnish Chairpersonship of the OSCE, expressing youth visions on peaceful conflict resolution and a supportive response received.</p>	Accomplished. 15 young women from both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River enhanced their knowledge in gender-responsive peacebuilding, and refined their communication skills, and advocacy strategies, while also establishing lasting connections to become leaders in promoting peace and social cohesion within and between their communities through the Young Women's Leadership School for Peace.	
1.3.3	Number of advocacy initiatives oriented at promoting legal empowerment services on the left bank of Nistru river.	0	10	<p>Roadmap and Network of Paralegals created. Base set for implementation.</p> <p>The paralegals network has been established and is fully operational on the left bank of Nistru River, under the guidance and support of a NGO, selected to pilot the initiative. 25 paralegals from the left bank of Nistru River have been contracted and capacitated to provide first legal assistance to about 1500 persons.</p>	<p>A Roadmap for piloting the paralegal network on the left bank of the Nistru River was developed. A program was developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.</p> <p>The handbook for integration of paralegals on the left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the right bank for the left bank population of the Dniester/Nistru River.</p>	

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Strengthened responses to divisive narratives and misinformation thereby reducing inter-community tensions.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Increase in % of CSOs and media outlets generating products tackling misinformation	69.3% of CSOs and 83.3% of media outlets have reported to have elaborated products tackling misinformation (including discrimination, hate speech) till the end of 2022. Only 15% of the products were tackling Misinformation and Fake News.	% increase	Progress to be shown through endline data.	
2.2	% of rights-holders (actively or passively) engaged in tackling misinformation and hate speech.	Total -43.2%(2.73) very active-14.4% LB- 24.0% (1.96) RB -45.25 (2.81) CSO and media -60.7% (3.43) PwD- 52.7% (3.1) Ethnic minorities- 52%(3.0)		Progress to be shown through endline data.	
2.3	Number of law enforcement institutions that enact specific institutional responses to misinformation and hate speech.	0	3	On track. It is safe to assume that at least three institutions will have tangible results, as they are formally involved in the drafting of a new policy document - Guide. A cross-institutional cooperation between the General Prosecution, Council of Magistrates, General Police Inspectorate and the National Judicial Institute commenced to draft a joint Guide on how to investigate and prosecute hate crimes and hate speech. The first draft of the Guide is produced and now in commenting phase.	

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

CSOs, judges, and law enforcement agents have strengthened capacities and duty bearers of the left bank have increased awareness to effectively implement international standards on tackling hate speech.

Output 2.2

Moldovan new and traditional media ecosystems are empowered to produce evidence-based, human rights, gender- and conflict-sensitive media products conducive to promoting tolerance, non-discrimination, and pluralism

Output 2.3

Community-level prevention and response in addressing and countering hate speech and discrimination in the areas with large refugee populations are strengthened

Output 2.4
Output 2.5
Other Outputs <i>If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here</i>

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 2.1**

Output 2.1: CSOs, judges, and law enforcement agents have strengthened capacities and duty bearers of the left bank have increased awareness to effectively implement international standards on tackling hate speech.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Number of judges and law enforcement agents enabled to implement the newly adopted national legal framework on hate speech aligned with international standards	0	40 professionals (30% young professionals; 40% women)	20 professionals were involved in a drafting process of an inter-institutional Guide on Investigating Hate Crimes/Hate Speech.	Accomplished. 59 Law enforcement and judges were trained on Countering Hate Speech. 6 Judges, 6 Prosecutors, 6 Police Officers (9 men and 9 women) and Lawyers received a training of Trainers on Hate Speech. National Judicial Institute recognised the ToT as official credits. Additionally, in cooperation with 41 police officers (13 women, 28 men)– first responders were trained on how to identify hate speech. Additionally, the project managed to establish a working group of prosecutors, judges and police representatives to work on an inter-institutional Guide for prosecuting and investigating hate crimes (including hate speech). The first working meeting took place in October 2023, and the second – already discussing a first version of the Guide took place in May 2024. The Guide is being finalised and expected to be endorsed and published by the end of the Project.	Women in police force underrepresented – which affects the gender balance of training recipients.

2.1.2	Number of CSO's initiatives, including joint ones, in monitoring and countering hate speech	0	5 initiatives, including min 2 joint initiatives	<p>3 initiatives implemented.</p> <p>Youth camp and exhibitions of artwork on countering hate speech.</p> <p>Parallel Flashmobs in Chisinau and Tiraspol.</p> <p>Hackathon and products to tackle hate speech and misinformation. Three products being turned into practical tools.</p>	<p>Accomplished</p> <p>July 2024, two parallel flashmobs - one in Chisinau and one in Tiraspol, with messages on promoting inclusion and countering hate speech implemented through cooperation of NGOs from both banks.</p> <p>November 2024, exhibitions of posters countering hate speech held in Chisinau and Tiraspol, implemented in cooperation with CSOs and Faculty of Arts in Chisinau.</p> <p>Three digital solutions were developed for monitoring of fake news and disinformation. Fake Hunter extension is an extension that will analyse and detect real news from fake news. The extension warns if the information included in the body of the news is speculative or manipulative. SOU.md is a tool that allows monitoring information from official sources on a single platform without the need to search separately on each government website.</p>	
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Moldovan new and traditional media ecosystems are empowered to produce evidence-based, human rights, gender- and conflict- sensitive media products conductive to promoting tolerance, non- discrimination, and pluralism	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Number of media professionals, social media influencers and other non-traditional media representatives from both banks that have enhanced knowledge and skills to produce human-rights, gender, conflict-sensitive and social cohesion compliant media materials	30	70 (40% young people; 50% women)	<p>Good Progress. Media Academy – 20 media professionals trained, Media brunch 23 professionals Media Forum – 20 journalists and media professionals</p> <p>50 media professionals trained; 5 media outlets capacitated +33 media professionals in teams to create products.</p> <p>9 joint media products developed</p> <p>25 social media influencers and journalists had their capacities increased on social and media literacy event.</p>	<p>Accomplished.</p> <p>50 media professionals (39 women and 11 men) enhanced skills at “Words that Matter: Strategies for Accurate Reporting on Gender and Conflict-Sensitive Topics”.</p> <p>5 media outlets from both banks had their institutional capacities strengthened by updating media formats</p> <p>9 teams of 33 media professionals from both banks were established and co-created joint media products In the framework of an annual Media Forum in Moldova on 4 December 2023, 20 media professionals were trained on peace journalism and examined cases of armed conflict/war coverage, and discussed sensitive reporting on migrants, refugees.</p> <p>In May 2024, in Tiraspol, a Media Brunch convened 23 participants (18 women) from both banks</p> <p>At a Media Academy on Peace Journalism held between 18 and 21 March 2024, 20 journalists and media specialists (13 women and one non-binary person) journalists from both banks .</p>	

2.2.2	Number of tools developed on prevention of hate-speech, discrimination, promotion of social cohesion and gender-responsive reporting in conflict and post-conflict settings	0	10	Good progress. 3 knowledge products developed and 4 more are in the loop, including an e-course on peace journalism.	Good progress. Bulletin on misinformation and relevant human stories were published. Three digital solutions to counter hate speech and misinformation are in the process of development. e-course on peace journalism, including modules on human rights and hate speech is developed.	
2.2.3	Number of joint media materials developed by media professionals from both banks on human rights, gender, conflict-sensitive and social cohesion and combating hate speech	0	7	Good progress. 5 articles on misinformation produced and published. 3 articles based on peace journalism principles published. One information product – animation to promote tolerance.		

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Community-level prevention and response in addressing and countering hate speech and discrimination in the areas with large refugee populations are strengthened	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1	Number of civil servants and service providers in the areas with large refugee populations enabled to counter hate speech and discrimination and contribute to social cohesion	0	30 (25% young people; 40% women)	Accomplished.Capacities of 49 civil servants increased. (47 Women and 2 Men)	Accomplished. The capacities of 49 civil servants (47 Women) were increased through 2 training events implemented in August 2024.	
2.3.2	Number of awareness raising activities implemented by schools from both banks of Nistru river on non-discrimination, social tolerance and countering hate speech, including at community level	0	8	Accomplished. Nine schools were involved in the activity and 14 initiatives were implemented.	Accomplished. After undergoing relevant training and experiential learning, teachers from nine schools from both banks of Nistru/ Dniester River have designed and implemented 14 initiatives/activities within their respective school environments, involving other school staff, students and their parents. A first draft of a Handbook for teachers on inclusive education, with examples on tackling stereotypes, biases and hate speech has been produced and now in the commenting stage before it is published and shared with the Ministry of Education.	

2.3.3	Number of communities benefiting from social cohesion focused interventions.	0	4	Good progress. Refugee community interventions done, Roma Community, Additionally, communities in the vicinity of schools benefited. Two Roma NGOs (one from each bank) teamed up with a left bank media outlet to raise public awareness about the function and work of Roma Mediators and the opportunities that communities on the left bank can benefit from. This activity will also promote the institutionalisation of Roma Mediators on the left bank of the Nistru/Dniester. In addition, it will contribute to strengthening social cohesion between Roma communities on the two banks of the Dniester and to the development of a network of Roma mediators.	Good progress. Roma community, Refugee Community, ethno-linguistic communities from both banks, students and parents benefited from social cohesion activities. LGBTI and Roma communities benefited from awareness on countering hate speech.	
-------	---	----------	----------	--	---	--

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1
2
3
4
5
more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Closing event on social cohesion	February 2025	Chisinau (Tiraspol, optionally)	Donor community and duty bearers, prominent CSOs	To present the key findings of the project, relevant conclusions and messages from duty-bearers and the donor community in Moldova.
Event 2	Sum-up of the "Media for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester" programme	19 November 2024	Chisinau	Media professionals from both banks of the Nistru/Dniester river, civic activists, international community	Taking stock of programme highlights, launching two guides on gender and conflict-sensitive reporting and journalists' safety, and offering a collaborative platform for joint teams of media professionals from both banks to showcase implemented media initiatives.
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- The impact of the project in their lives
- Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			

3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.
Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

A document with web-links to stories/articles published as a part of he project will be shared separately. This will show the human impact and success of specific project actions.

The photos, videos and other products will be also made available through web links to online media resources, social media pages and similar.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☒ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Initiated policy frameworks: The Project inspired an inter-institutional endeavor by law enforcement actors in Moldova, to take steps to improve their response to bias motivated offenses. A Guide on investigating and prosecuting hate crimes and hate speech is being developed in support of the project.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Strengthened capacities: The project relied heavily on increasing capacities of rights-holders and duty bearers, civil society and media, communities, young people and women to engage in the promotion of human rights, peace-building, gender equality and tackling misinformation and hate speech.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The National Library of Moldova became our partner who and kindly offered us to use their UN info-corner for free and allowed us to organise a variety of activities. The Library also works with youth, and it is a great connecting point for raising awareness of that audience.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☒ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Activities which are implemented directly by staff working for the implementing Agencies are regularly assessed through pre/post evaluation questionnaires. Activities which are implemented by implementing partners, service providers or grantees are monitored by project staff.

examples:

Project staff has participated and been actively involved in almost all activities organised by the grantees (hackathon, disinformation workshops, deep listening exercises, thematic expert meeting and paralegals planning meetings)

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

A Baseline report on five of the six Outcome level indicators is available and was shared with the Donor. A thorough baseline study was conducted in cooperation with a local sociological survey company. A diverse audience was approached with approximately 600 respondents. The study focused on five of the six Outcome-level indicators, which were slightly adjusted for a more efficient survey. The baselines are already included in the amended NCE ProDoc and are available in this report.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The baselines for five of the six Outcome-level indicators were established through a comprehensive survey conducted on both banks of Nistru/Dniester River. The Baseline report was already made available to PBSO. In a similar manner, the Endline data will be collected by the end of the Project. Both studies use direct interviews with randomised samples of respondents, focus groups and interviews with key interlocutors including government representatives. One of the Outcome-level indicators is obtained as data from law-enforcement institutions. Output level indicators were established on triangulation of sources from government reports and civil society databases, as well as information reported to UN Treaty Bodies.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The surveys were included in the Baseline study conducted in relation to five of the six Outcome level indicators.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Please provide a brief description
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required
60000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Terms of Reference for the evaluation Process have been drafted, along with ToRs for three Consultants - evaluators (One international - lead evaluator, and two national, support evaluators). The three agencies have agreed on a process to jointly contract each of the consultants and have designed a tentative budget to split the costs. The vacancies will be published in November 2024. It is expected that the Evaluation will commence in February 2025 and be finalised by the end of April 2025 (latest mid-May). The endline data study will be conducted to end in February 2025, and the baseline/endline data will be incorporated into the final evaluation.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
	Nikola Petrovski	OHCHR	Project Coordinator, Human Rights Officer	Nikola.Petrovski@un.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from?

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below:

- ☒ Some catalytic effect
☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The Project has inspired a catalytic effect on how Moldovan institutions perceive their obligation to tackle hate speech and their role to improve the human rights record by holding perpetrators accountable. At the early stages of engagement with law enforcement, through training events a joint conclusion was made that existing gaps in the procedures do not allow for an efficient response to hate speech/and hate crimes. That is why an inter-institutional agreement was reached to develop a common policy to be applied in all segments of the procedure, by all relevant institutions. A Guide for investigating Hate Crimes and Hate Speech is in the process of development as a result of project actions. It will be applied by all law enforcement in Moldova.

The PBF project works in synergy with other gender-responsive peacebuilding efforts in Moldova, fostering an environment conducive to sustainable peace. A notable example is the establishment of the informal Women's Advisory Board for Sustainable Peacebuilding (WAB) in 2022, meant to advise the Transnistrian settlement process, ensuring that women's perspectives are integrated into the negotiation framework. The WAB has been instrumental in identifying and addressing key challenges faced by conflict-affected women on both sides of the Nistru river. Through joint activities and initiatives funded by Sweden and UNDP-DPPA, the WAB has progressively advanced its mandate, contributing to a more inclusive and effective peacebuilding process.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

i. Moldova will have a formal inter-institutional Guide on investigating and prosecuting hate crimes and hate speech, to be used as a tool in formal training of police, judges and prosecutors. The Guide will enable institutions to improve their response to hate speech and hate crimes in a more coordinated manner, in line with Human Rights.

ii. A comprehensive e-training course on Peace Journalism will be available on local platforms accessible to journalists and media workers from both banks. The course will be promoted by local partners beyond the PBF Project implementation and increase capacities of local journalists to better understand and create information which is conducive to Peace. In the same line two journalistic guides will be remaining to empower media professionals to produce gender- and conflict-sensitive media products, fostering dialogue and addressing the challenges of journalist safety in conflict-affected environments.

iii. The guidelines "Journalism in Conflict Zones: Ethical and Security Recommendations" and "Transformative power of gender and conflict-sensitive media practices in peacebuilding" will equip media professionals and outlets with practical recommendations and will emphasize the importance of conveying unbiased and balanced messages.

iv. The knowledge product "Women's Inclusion in Peace Processes: Global Lessons for Moldova" and "Women as agents of peace" will be further used by institutions and CSOs in raising awareness among duty-bearers and rights-holders about the importance of women's meaningful participation in peace processes.

v. The Roadmap and Handbook for Paralegals developed within the project, sets basis to institutionalise the role of paralegals – a process which will require additional time and efforts. These resources will provide a framework for sustaining the paralegal initiative, ensuring that the benefits of accessible legal assistance continue beyond the project's conclusion. This initiative itself will empower communities by providing them with essential legal support, thereby contributing to long-term social stability and justice.

vi. Through the cross-river CSO Advocacy Network, new alliances were formed, and cooperation of activists from both banks was inspired. Civil society actors are more familiar with the concept of conflict prevention and understand their role in it. A Joint Declaration was signed by 20+ civil society organisations, journalists and activists, to re-affirm their commitments to promote human rights, social cohesion and sustainable peace. The Declaration will serve as a basis to further the exchange and cooperation, partnerships between civil society actors from all regions of Moldova.

vii. The Project has equipped the Office of the People's Advocate and the Focal point for Human Rights in the Left bank with new monitoring tools based on international human rights standards. These tools will be used by both offices in their future monitoring efforts, giving them an opportunity to enhance their advocacy to advance human rights.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

A lesson learnt - In upcoming Joint programmes/projects, the budget funds for M&E and Final Evaluation, Baselines and Endline studies should be transferred to the lead Agency.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.