

PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

☐ Semi-annual

☒ Annual

☐ Final

☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-11-15

2024-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

EDWARD AMPRATWUM, PROGRAMME SPECIALIST, UNDP AND LEAD OF THE GHANA PBF PROJECT

E-mail of Person submitting the report *

edward.ampratwum@undp.org

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Jelena

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented <div> <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa <input type="radio"/> East Africa </div> <div> <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia <input type="radio"/> Global <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean </div> <div> <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa </div>		
Country of project implementation <div> <input type="radio"/> Benin <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire </div> <div> <input type="radio"/> Gambia <input checked="" type="radio"/> Ghana <input type="radio"/> Guinea </div> <div> <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau <input type="radio"/> Liberia <input type="radio"/> Mali </div> <div> <input type="radio"/> Mauritania <input type="radio"/> Niger <input type="radio"/> Nigeria </div> <div> <input type="radio"/> Senegal <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone <input type="radio"/> Togo </div> <div> <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify </div>		
Other, please specify <div></div>		
Project Title <div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> 00140299: Enhancing social cohesion and social contract through empowerment of women and youth in three northern regions of Ghana. </div> <div> <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify </div>		
Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document <i>EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities</i>		
Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented <i>If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa</i> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia <input type="checkbox"/> Global <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa </div>		
Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report <div></div>		
Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document <i>EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal</i>		
Please select the countries where this project is being implemented <div></div>		
Other, Please specify <div></div>		
Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) <div> 2023-09-06 2023-09-06 </div>		
Project End Date <div> 2025-08-29 2025-08-29 </div>		
Has this project received an extension? <div> <input type="radio"/> YES, Cost Extension <input type="radio"/> YES, No Cost Extension <input type="radio"/> YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO, No Extensions </div>		

<p>Will this project be requesting an extension?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES, Cost Extension</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES, No Cost Extension</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO, No Extensions</p>	*
<p>Is the current project end date within 6 months?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>	*
<p>Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>	*
<p>If yes, please select which</p> <p><input type="radio"/> National Trust Fund</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Regional Trust Fund</p>	*

Recipients

<p>Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> UN entity</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Non-UN Entity</p>	*
<p>Please select the convening agency recipient</p> <p> <input checked="" type="radio"/> UNDP: United Nations Development Programme <input type="radio"/> IOM: International Organization for Migration <input type="radio"/> UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund <input type="radio"/> OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights <input type="radio"/> UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women <input type="radio"/> UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees <input type="radio"/> UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund <input type="radio"/> FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization <input type="radio"/> WFP: World Food Programme <input type="radio"/> UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme <input type="radio"/> UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization <input type="radio"/> UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme <input type="radio"/> ILO: International Labour Organization <input type="radio"/> WHO: World Health Organization <input type="radio"/> PAHO/WHO <input type="radio"/> UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund <input type="radio"/> UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime <input type="radio"/> UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services <input type="radio"/> UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization <input type="radio"/> ITC: International Trade Centre <input type="radio"/> UNDPO <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify </p>	*
<p>Other, Please specify</p> <p>.....</p>	*
<p>Are there other recipients for this project?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No other recipients</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, other UN recipients only</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes, other non-UN recipients only</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients</p>	*

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
 ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
 ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
 ☒ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
☐ WFP: World Food Programme
 ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
 ☐ WHO: World Health Organization
 ☐ PAHO/WHO
☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
 ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
 ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
 ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations
☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ☐ ACTED
 ☐ Action Aid UK
 ☐ AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
☐ AEDE
 ☐ African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
 ☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
☐ Avocats Sans Frontières
 ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
 ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada
☐ Ayuda en Accion
 ☐ CARE International UK
 ☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
☐ Christian Aid Ireland
 ☐ COIPRODEN
 ☐ Concern Worldwide
☐ Conexion Guatemala
 ☐ COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
 ☐ CORD Burundi
☐ CORDAID
 ☐ Corporacion Sisma Mujer
 ☐ CRS - Catholic Relief Services
☐ DanChurchAid
 ☐ EQUITAS
 ☐ Fund for Congolese Women
☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
 ☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
 ☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
 ☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
 ☐ ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
 ☐ Integrity Watch
 ☐ International Alert
☐ International Rescue Committee
 ☐ Interpeace
 ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
 ☐ MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
☐ Mercy Corps
 ☐ MLAL - ProgettoMondo
 ☐ MSIS-TATAO
☐ NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
 ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
 ☐ OIKOS
☐ ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
 ☐ ONG AZHAR
 ☐ OXFAM
☐ Peace Direct
 ☐ Plan International
 ☐ PNG UN Country Fund
☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
 ☐ ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
 ☐ Saferworld
☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
 ☐ Save the Children
 ☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
☐ SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
 ☐ SismaMujer
 ☐ SOS Sahel Sudan
☐ Stichting Impunity Watch
 ☐ Tearfund
 ☐ The Carter Center, Inc.
☐ Trocaire
 ☐ War Child
 ☐ War Childhood Museum (WCM)
☐ World Vision International
 ☐ World Vision Myanmar
 ☐ ZOA
☐ blank_placeholder
 ☐ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

12

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner * <div style="margin-top: 5px;"> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other </div>
Other, Please specify
What is the name of the Implementing Partner * National Peace Council
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? * 291690.40
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner * <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> Train 415 traditional and religious leaders at the community level on conflict resolution, negotiation and confidence building skills for effective conflict management. Train and facilitate the functional operation of the local infrastructures for peace in conflict resolution and mediation setting up 18 Community Peace Committees. Facilitate engagements and dialogues of youth and women groups with stakeholders including political parties, local political leaders, security agencies, religious leaders, traditional and community leaders using training courses and radio programs to address political vigilantism, electoral violence and reduce inter-generational tensions. Facilitate awareness raising amongst the youth on hate speech, disinformation and misinformation around elections, religious intolerance and protracted conflicts. Organise community fora between traditional and religious authorities and local government actors to address human rights violations, negative gender norms and stereotypes and farmer-herder conflicts. Train existing women and youth groups/networks, to enhance their leadership and advocacy capacity to be champions and models for peace and to advocate for their participation and inclusion in local governance and peace building processes.
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner * <div style="margin-top: 5px;"> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other </div>

Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner National Development Planning Commission	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 64563.08	*
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> In partnership with the National Development Planning Commission, the project supported eight districts in Wa West and Sissala West (Upper West), Chereponi, Bunkpurugu-Nakpanduri, and Yunyoo-Nasuan (North East), and Garu, Bawku West, and Bongo (Upper East) to develop a toolkit to help local government authorities identify strategies for attracting investment opportunities. A total of 259 local government officials (247 males and 12 females), including District Chief Executives, Coordinating Directors, Finance Officers, Planning Officers, Budget Officers, BAC Officers, and Development Planning and Finance and Administration sub-committee chairs, were trained in strategies for identifying and attracting investment opportunities. Training covered key areas such as business intelligence, developing investment cases, and building partnerships to enhance resource mobilization and income generation. As a result of the training, the targeted districts have improved their capacities and through these new skills have develop district investment strategies to attract investments.	*
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner <div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 10px;"> <div><input type="radio"/> National youth CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> National women's CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Other National CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Regional CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation</div> <div><input type="radio"/> International NGO</div> <div><input checked="" type="radio"/> Governmental entity</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Other</div> </div>	*
Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Upper East Regional Coordinating Council (UERCC)	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 84068.84	*
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> UERCC has carried out three project inception meetings in Garu, Bawku West and Bongo districts which provided the background information to the project for the main stakeholders. Subsequently, the Coordinating Council has trained 41 local governance actors on inclusive development planning using the Human-Rights Based Approach (HRBA) manual developed as part of the project tools to support rights oriented and participatory governance to enhance peace and stability. In addition, 135 security personnel have been trained on gender and youth responsive security safeguarding procedures based on the Safeguarding Security Training Guide; also developed as part of the project tools. Further, the RCC has organized three Social Dialogue fora between security personnel, traditional, religious, women and youth leaders as well as the local governance structures, reaching 144 participants (97 males and 47 females). Participants at the fora deliberated on critical community issues bothering on women and youth rights, gender-based violence prevention and youth inclusion as related to issues of security, peace and social cohesion. Finally, the RCC has organized three district Town Hall meetings reaching 220 women and youth leaders (144 males and 66 females) from project communities to interact with duty bearers from the General Assemblies of the project Metropolitan, Municipal and District Assemblies. This is envisaged to facilitate the inclusion of community issues and concerns into the 2025 district development plans.	*

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner <div> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other </div>	
Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Upper West Regional Coordinating Council (UWRCC)	
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 62356.43	
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> UWRCC carried out two project inception meetings in the Wa West and Sissala West districts which provided partners and relevant stakeholders with a comprehensive understanding of the project. The Regional Coordinating Council (RCC) trained 38 local governance actors on inclusive development planning using the Human-Rights Based Approach (HRBA) manual developed to support rights oriented and participatory governance to enhance peace and stability. Again, 99 security personnel were trained on gender and youth responsive security safeguarding procedures based on the Safeguarding Security Training Guide. The RCC also organized six Social Dialogue fora across the six communities of the two districts in the region, reaching 241 participants (145 males and 96 females), including security personnel, traditional, religious, women and youth leaders as well as the local governance structures to deliberate on critical community issues bothering on women and youth rights, gender-based violence prevention and youth inclusion. Moreover, UWRCC supported the validation of the report on the Assessment on the Forms and Levels of Women and Youth Participation in local governance and peace building processes that brought together 70 district actors. Highlights from the dissemination re-emphasized that whilst traditional and formal structures exist for participation, cultural norms, educational barriers and economic dependency limits the participation of women and youth.	
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner <div> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other </div>	
Other, Please specify	

<p>What is the name of the Implementing Partner</p> <p>North East Regional Coordinating Council (NERCC)</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?</p> <p>60000.00</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p>A joint inception meeting between the Regional Coordinating Council and Civil Society partners was held in Nalerigu, the Northeast Regional capital to establish the linkages between the systems strengthening and advocacy components of the projects for all relevant stakeholders. Subsequently, the RCC has trained 39 local governance actors on inclusive development planning using the Human-Rights Based Approach (HRBA) manual for rights oriented and participatory governance to enhance peace and stability. Hundred and fifty-eight (158) security personnel have also been trained on gender and youth responsive security safeguarding procedures based on the Safeguarding Security Training Guide. A total of 109 participants took part in three district Social Dialogue fora organised by the RCC between security personnel, traditional, religious, women and youth leaders as well as the local governance structures. Discussions at the fora focused on critical community issues bothering on women and youth rights, gender-based violence prevention and youth inclusion. The RCC held three district Town Hall meetings to reach 315 women and youth leaders</p>	*
<p>Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i></p>	
<p>Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other </p>	*
<p>Other, Please specify</p> <p>Peace and governance CSO</p>	
<p>What is the name of the Implementing Partner</p> <p>West Africa Network for Peacebuilding Ghana</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?</p> <p>74313.37</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p>448 key stakeholders including 33 security personnel from law enforcement agencies from 23 project communities in 8 districts in the Upper West, East and North East Regions were trained on conflict management, PVE Early warning information data gathering and response, human rights protection, trust- building in security operations and ADR mechanism in 23 project communities.</p> <p>The activity focused on facilitating consensus building on violent extremism indicators and acceptable safe communication protocols among informants and security officer within the local context.</p>	*

6

7

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *	
<div><input type="radio"/> National youth CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> National women's CSO</div> <div><input checked="" type="radio"/> Other National CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Regional CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation</div> <div><input type="radio"/> International NGO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Governmental entity</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Other</div>	
Other, Please specify	
Peace and governance	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner *	
Ghana Center for Democratic Development, Ghana	
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *	
171391.47	
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *	
<p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p>A project inception/scoping meeting was held with key stakeholders (the CSO partners for the three (3) project regions, district planning officers of the project districts and assembly members) to strategically plan the project implementation and enable the partner to inform project beneficiary stakeholders (the district assembly and assembly members from the project communities) about the objectives, goals and implementation strategy. This was complemented with assessment research to understand the local dynamics, opportunities and entry points for project interventions activities. The assessment included a comprehensive desk study on mapping social accountability practices and initiatives across the 8 districts and Assessment of the existing channels and platforms for disseminating information to citizens about public services, and implementation of district plans and programs at the district and community levels.</p> <p>District capacity building training on social accountability tools and techniques was carried out in the project districts. During these trainings, community-based network and peer learning platforms were established. They developed community action plans and later validated these community action plans with the wider community.</p>	
8	
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *	
<div><input type="radio"/> National youth CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> National women's CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Other National CSO</div> <div><input checked="" type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Regional CSO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation</div> <div><input type="radio"/> International NGO</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Governmental entity</div> <div><input type="radio"/> Other</div>	
Other, Please specify	

<p>What is the name of the Implementing Partner</p> <p>HOLISTIC DEVELOPMENT ORGANISATION</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?</p> <p>10742.00</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p>Community meetings held with all stakeholders and selection of beneficiaries to ensure local ownership of the project</p>	*
9	
<p>Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i></p>	
<p>Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other </p>	*
<p>Other, Please specify</p>	
<p>What is the name of the Implementing Partner</p> <p>META FOUNDATION</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?</p> <p>18714.12</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p>Community meetings held with all stakeholders including to ensure local ownership of the project and meeting with potential beneficiaries</p>	*
10	
<p>Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i></p>	
<p>Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other </p>	*

Other, Please specify community capacity enhancement focused CSO	*
What is the name of the Implementing Partner CAPACITY ENHANCEMENT AND COMMUNITY SUPPORT	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 18950.00	*
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> Community engagement meetings held with all stakeholders to ensure local ownership of the project, potential beneficiaries undergoing selection criteria process	*
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each <i>during this reporting period</i>	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner <div style="margin-top: 10px;"> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO <input checked="" type="radio"/> Other National CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation <input type="radio"/> International NGO <input type="radio"/> Governmental entity <input type="radio"/> Other </div>	*
Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Plan Parenthood Association of Ghana (PPAG)	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 8214.16	*
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> Plan Parenthood Association of Ghana (PPAG) is coordinating the activities of two CSO partners implementing in the project districts. It supported the partners to finalize results focused workplans and has conducted capacity building on the reporting tools and monitoring and evaluation guidelines for the CSOs to enhance reporting processes. PPAG has also conducted field monitoring visits to sub implementing partners to evaluate the impact of project activities on the target communities and beneficiaries. Additionally, report writing and financial management orientation was conducted for the sub-IPs based on the gaps identified from the field monitoring.	

11

12

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☒ Subnational youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's CSO
☐ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☐ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner
Youth Harvest Foundation Ghana

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?
135566.87

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner
Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Youth Harvest Foundation Ghana (YHFG) undertook community entry activities to facilitate mobilization of 199 (148 males and 51 females) traditional, religious, and other grassroots leaders from six districts namely: Chereponi, Bunkpurugu-Nakpanduri, Yunyoo Nasuan, Bongo, Garu, and Bawku West. The leaders have been trained as champions and advocates against discriminatory gender and socio-cultural norms and facilitated sensitization sessions in their communities to advocate for gender equality and youth inclusion.

91 women, youth and traditional leaders have been oriented to enhance their advocacy skills on budget analysis from a human rights perspective.

six District Development Actors Forum (DAAF) have been established that reached 327 partners including local government actors, community stakeholders, women and youth leaders. The DAAF fora have contributed to the understanding of community members on the relationship between the harmful effects of negative gender norms and stereotypes and development processes. Finally, YHFG has established the "My District Our Development" (MD-OD) interactive platform; holding 18 MD-OD radio and community sessions with 45 (13 females and 32 males) trained women, youth and traditional leaders as facilitators. The sessions which have reached an estimated 10,500 listeners in the Upper East and North East regions and have enhanced the consciousness of community members to track development projects and hold duty bearers accountable.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.
*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)

UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1999979.8	1399985.86	997604.73	49.88%
	*	*	*	%
UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	999980.62	699986	661604.91	66.16%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2999960.42	2099971.86	1659209.64	55.31%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **55.31%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?

66.41

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1992273.71**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

1992140

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1101881.12**. Is this correct?

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

219898.5

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Financial Report_Copy of Copy of Annex D PBF Project progress report updated for annual report 15112024-Final-15_22_18.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Co-chaired by the Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development and the United Nations Resident Coordinator, a thirteen member Project Steering Committee (PSC) has been inaugurated and the first bi-annual meeting held on 3rd May 2024. The PSC comprises of senior officials representing Government, United Nations, Development Partners such as USAID and Civil Society (African Youth and Adolescents Network for Population and Development-AfriYAN, The Network for Women's Rights in Ghana-NETRIGHT). The PSC is responsible for providing strategic guidance, oversight and coordination of the Ghana PBF project. The PSC's Terms of Reference was reviewed and adopted during the first committee meeting. The PSC agreed to hold the second bi-annual meeting in November, preferably at one of the project sites. The project team is still exploring with the Project Steering Committee on a suitable date and venue for the planned second PSC.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Since the inception of the project, there has been extensive engagement with a wide range of government stakeholders. These key stakeholders include various ministries, departments, and agencies of state at the national and regional levels. The objective of these engagements has been twofold: to maximize government stakeholder buy-in and to ensure these stakeholders effectively support the implementation of key project activities. For instance, during the launch of the project in Tamale on the 30th of May 2024, the project engaged with about 70 high-level government officials from the national, regional and district levels including the Northern Regional Minister, the Deputy Minister for Interior, the Chief Director of the Ministry of National Security, 3 Regional Coordinating Directors representing the Regional Ministers from the 3 implementing regions, 5 out of the 8 District Chief Executives from the implementing districts, Staff of the Regional Coordinating Councils and District Assemblies, top Security Agency representatives.(<https://youtu.be/qToxsVAKArc?si=i-QqZTISRvFm1z-g>)

Engagement with government stakeholders has occurred at three levels: national, regional, and local. At the national level, the engagement involved the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Decentralization, the Ministry of Interior, the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Protection, the National Development Planning Commission, Commission on Human Rights and Administrative Justice (CHRAJ) and the National Commission on Civic Education (NCCE) and the National Peace Council. At the regional level, the engagements included the Upper East Regional Coordinating Council, the North East Regional Coordinating Council, and the Upper West Regional Coordinating Council. At the local level, the engagements involved eight beneficiary districts as well as civil society partners operating at the project districts.

The project equally engaged with Ministry of Interior through its decentralised offices the Ghana Police Service, Ghana Immigration service and Customs Division, National Disaster Management Organisation (NADMO), and the National Investigations Bureau regarding internal security, collaboration for conflict prevention in target communities.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

- ☐ Not Started
- ☐ Initiated
- ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed
- ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project implementation is advancing smoothly, with the necessary staff members onboarded, including the National UN Volunteer and the Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Officer who is stationed at the Tamale decentralised office.

The selection of project implementation communities has been finalized following consultations with various stakeholders ensuring alignment with the complementary World Bank SOCO project and USAID, who also have projects in some of the regions in northern Ghana.

To inform targeted interventions, three major assessments have been conducted: a baseline study to refine the results framework, an assessment of women and youth participation in governance and peacebuilding, and a mapping of livelihood opportunities for youth and women in the project targeted areas. These findings informed the key interventions in supporting the livelihood of youth and women in the targeted areas as well as the selection of CSOs for implementation of livelihood support programme. A toolkit for building partnerships between local governments and private sector to support local economic development in the project districts was developed with the support of the National Development Planning Commission. Standardised training manuals, for integrating human rights-based approaches into local level planning in Ghana (HRBA Manual) together with its toolkit (HRBA Toolkit) and a Safeguarding Security Training Guide (Security Training Guide) have been developed and used to train relevant project stakeholders. Again, a Dashboard and Scorecard (Dashboard/Scorecard) aimed at resolving complaints to improve service delivery is 80% complete.

All the implementing partners have been contracted and are actively implementing their respective activities after finalization of workplans and transfer of funds. The project held a comprehensive inception/ partner's review meetings for all implementing partners organizations to explain and clarify the project goal, objectives, outcomes and outputs and implementation modalities as well as innovative approaches expected from the project implementing partners. The meeting afforded the partners the opportunity to ask questions, and the clarifications enabled them to build the linkages and complementarity between the programme and existing initiatives such as the Gulf of Guinea Northern Regions Social Cohesion (SOCO) Project. At the end of the inception meeting, all the implementing partners had developed results focused workplans aligned to the outputs/outcomes and an understanding of the results framework.

The project launch on May 30, 2024, was successfully conducted and garnered substantial media attention and stakeholder engagement across Ghana. Key representatives from the Ministry of National Security and Ministry of Interior were present, alongside District Chief Executives from targeted districts, regional ministries from project-specific regions, traditional leaders, and various youth and women groups.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

OUTCOME 1: Community level actors have enhanced capacities on conflict resolution, negotiation and confidence building skills for effective conflict management. This includes adult males 241, adult females 104, youth males 41 and youth females 12, in addition to 12 people with disabilities (male 7, women 5). Additionally, a total of 253 traditional and religious leaders comprising of Chiefs, Queen Mothers, Youth and Women leaders, Pastors, Landlords (Tindana), Catechists and Imams (194 males and 59 females) have been engaged as champions and advocates against discriminatory gender and socio-cultural norms in selected districts.

This has been complemented with strengthened infrastructures for peace which is critical for the promotion and maintenance of peace. Indeed, through the project, 18 Community Peace Committees (CPCs) have been established across 24 projects targeted communities to lead in peace mediation processes and conflict resolution in their communities as well as neighbouring communities. Members of the CPCs also have enhanced capacity to support peacebuilding processes, social cohesion, PVE and Early warning signs in their communities.

Local authorities in the project districts have improved understanding of and have identified strategies for attracting investment opportunities. In partnership with the National Development Planning Commission, the targeted districts have improved their capacities and through these new skills have develop district investment strategies to attract investments.

https://undp-my.sharepoint.com/:f:/r/personal/hamza_sisse_undp_org/Documents/PBF?csf=1&web=1&e=B7FgzE

On social accountability mechanisms within local government systems, women and youth in the project districts have enhanced the knowledge exchange, experience sharing, and collaboration on social accountability efforts. Additionally, communities have developed community action plans drawing on the capacities established through the project's social accountability network support groups, offering structured roadmaps to address local challenges and enhance community-driven accountability initiatives. Stakeholders in three Districts equipped to champion social accountability | Ghana News Agency

118 (27 females and 91 males) stakeholders and 180 women and youth have enhanced capacities on rights-oriented development and participatory governance at the local level in order to enhance their advocacy skills for social accountability and increase their knowledge of development planning from the rights perspective.

OUTCOME 2: There is improved understanding of the drivers of participation of women and youth in governance and peace building. There is also better appreciation of the livelihood options and value chains relevant to the needs of women and youth in the project areas. This has been informed by the two (2) major assessments: an assessment of women and youth participation in governance and peacebuilding focused on identifying key barriers and opportunities affecting their involvement in these processes. The second assessment examined livelihood opportunities and employable skills of interest for youth and women, identifying avenues for sustainable economic empowerment. https://undp-my.sharepoint.com/:f:/r/personal/hamza_sisse_undp_org/Documents/PBF/ASSESSMENT%20REPORTS?csf=1&web=1&e=oO8Guq

Women and youth in the project districts are empowered in budget analysis, preparation and tracking from the human rights perspective which enhanced their ability to amplify their voices and aspirations in the district assembly budgeting processes, ensuring the participation of 77 of them in the deliberations for the development of the 2025 district plans. A total of 814 women and young people (285 females and 529 males) have been engaged by the CSO partners through a number of community-based initiatives. (see links: Budget Hearing) (Budget Hearing Question)

The voices of women and youth in the local and customary governance structures are enhanced through the establishment of innovative civil society advocacy platforms. These include the District Development Actors Forum (DDAF), initiated as a platform for community stakeholders (women and youth) and local government actors to interact to address negative gender norms and stereotypes, intergenerational tensions and improve decision-making (See link: DDAF inauguration for Wa West), and "My District Our Development" sessions. The sessions are in two levels, community spaces and radio and are aimed at highlighting issues of concern in the various communities for the attention of duty bearers. (See link: "My District Our Development" radio discussion)

OUTCOME 3: There is also improved security – civilian relationship and trust that allows information sharing between stakeholders facilitating PVE early warning information gathering and response, respect for human rights, conflict management, violent extremism (PVE), trust-building in security operations, and alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms as a result of NPC, WANEP interventions. A total of 448 community stakeholders were trained across 23 project communities (boarder and conflict prone communities) in the Upper West, Upper East, and North East Regions, including 33 security personnel from law enforcement agencies and community leaders such as chiefs, religious leaders, youth group representatives, and women's associations. <https://mwbonline.org/violent-extremism-watch-wanep-ghana-trains-240-security-personnel-448-civilians-under-undp-funded-project/>

Vitally, there is improve the knowledge and skills of Security Personnel (Ghana Police Service, Ghana Armed Forces, Immigration, Customs Exercise and Preventive Services, National Disaster Management Organisation, and the National Inventions Bureau) and representatives of selected civil society groups both at the regional and district level on rights-based safeguarding procedures and early warning response.

<p>Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters</i></p> <p>In the assessment of participation of women and youth in governance and peace building, 40.1% of respondents were female and 59.9% were male. A significant proportion of the respondents were in the youth age range of 25-35 years (36.5%) and 20-24 years (17.5%). In the consultative meetings in the Upper West Region especially, the youth leaders of communities have been engaged actively. A deliberate effort was made to increase the participation of women and youth in training sessions and community dialogues, amplifying their voices in conflict resolution and peacebuilding. As a result, women and youth represented over 65% of the total participants.</p> <p>Dynamics of gender norms and stereotypes were evident during the training of Traditional and Religious Leaders in the three implementing districts of the North East Region. For instance, in Chereponi, it was observed that the inclusion of women in leadership and decision-making was limited as only 6 out of the 30 participants were women. The same trend was observed in Bunkpurugu-Nakpanduri and Yunyoo Nasuan as only 7 queen mothers out of 40 and 34 participants respectively were part of the training. Similarly in the Bawku West District in the Upper East region, only 8 females compared to 24 males attended the training session. It should be noted that the partners could only use the number of female traditional leaders available in the communities of focus after extensive mobilization. This is an indication of how these communities relegate females to the background despite the general difficulty in having women as traditional and religious leaders across the Northern part of Ghana. This is corroborated by the baseline findings and justifies programme interventions including the “engagement of traditional and religious leaders as facilitators for community level sensitization and awareness programs to address discriminatory gender and negative socio-cultural norms”. As a corrective measure, the team requested that some more women be added as participants. Subsequent project implementation has therefore ensured deliberate steps for higher inclusion of women, and young people. For instance, the innovative community-based platforms that have been established such as the “District Development Actors Forum” include a substantial number of women and youth (130 females and 240 males). Female members who participated in the district development plans were also encouraged to speak and highlight the issues of concern for women and girls in the communities (see link: Budget Hearing Question).</p>	*
<p>Is the project 1+ year in implementation?</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	*
<p>FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:</p>	
<p>Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?</p> <p><i>Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	*
<p>If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 6000 characters</i></p> <p>Building infrastructures for peace is critical for the promotion and maintenance of peace. In Ghana, the National Peace Council (NPC) and its Regional Offices play a significant role in mediating conflict and fostering peace in the communities. Their role is especially crucial in remote areas, including the more vulnerable border communities. UNDP through the Peacebuilding Fund project, in collaboration with the North East, Upper East and Upper West Regional peace councils, successfully established a total of eighteen (18) Community Peace Committees (CPCs) across 24 projects targeted communities to lead in peace mediation processes and conflict resolution in their communities as well as neighboring communities. Through the Peacebuilding Fund project, all 18 established CPCs members capacity have been enhanced to support peacebuilding processes, social cohesion, PVE and Early warning signs in their communities. The CPCs were supported to develop and implement their community action plans as this is critical in support cohesion in the communities. The CPCs are critical in remote communities, where they play an important role in mediating potential conflict and strengthening social cohesion among all community members. The new CPCs have quickly become essential sources of intelligence on conflict monitoring and reporting early warning signs to other key stakeholders to help prevent conflicts and escalations. The CPCs' role in these dialogues has led to gradual improvement in local governance and in fostering a culture of peace. The establishment of the CPCs has reduced the waiting time and has strengthened access to mediation efforts for local communities in these regions. This is especially key in areas that are difficult to reach and rely on community-level mediation efforts to resolve conflict. As an example, the CPC in the Bongo-soe community, together with the regional peace council to prevent the indigenes and the Fulbe people around the community.</p> <p>Changes in attitudes and practices have been observed among traditional leaders as well as members of the District Development Actors Forum through rights-based training and orientation sessions organised for them by Youth Harvest and ProNet North. For instance, the Chief of Yongyun who promised to give land to women for their farming activities has fulfilled the promise and has allocated a piece of land however, harsh climatic conditions (drought) experienced in the northern zone this year prevented the women from cultivating the land. The partners will continue to follow to ensure that the land is fully utilised by the woman. Moreover, DDAF members who have been conscientized on the prevention of discriminatory gender norms and stereotypes have developed their own community action plans (independent of the CSO activities) with which they engage different community groups such as churches, mosques and schools. (See links: A PBF project beneficiary engaging a community church on the need to maintain peace)</p> <p>(Song composed by community members on peace)</p>	*
<p>If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.</p> <p><i>Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.</i></p> <p>(See links: A PBF project beneficiary engaging a community church on the need to maintain peace) (Song composed by community members on peace)</p>	
<p>File attachment</p> <p>Song composed by community members on peace-20_45_10.mp4</p>	



PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Local governance institutions, actors, and customary governance structures are more inclusive, responsive, committed and accountable to deliver on their mandate for quality services.

Outcome 2:

Women and youth are more resilient to conflict triggers and fully and meaningfully participate in peace building, local governance and economic development processes.

Outcome 3:

State actors and non-state actors positively collaborate through the use of early warning systems to prevent conflict

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.

If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.

Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Local governance institutions, actors, and customary governance structures are more inclusive, responsive, committed and accountable to deliver on their mandate for quality services.**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	The level of public confidence in the delivery of quality basic services in the target districts disaggregated by sex and age. 1.Not Confident 2.Moderately confident 3.Confident 4.Very confident	Community members Male = 2 Female = 3 Youth Male = 2 Female = 2	Community members Male = 3 Female = 4 Youth Male = 3 Female = 3		
1.2	Percentage of community members (m/f) in the targeted districts expressing improvements in local government accountability, and responsiveness.	Accountability % of Male = 38 % of Female =50.5 Responsiveness: % of Male = 35.8 % of Female = 50.5	Accountability: % of Male = 50 % of Female = 60 Responsiveness: % of Male = 50 % of Female = 60		
1.3					
<p>How many outputs does outcome 1 have?</p> <p>1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.</p>					
<p>Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1</p>					
<p>Output 1.1</p> <p>Capacity of local governance actors and traditional/religious leaders enhanced in gender-responsive participatory planning, local economic development and conflict management.</p>					
<p>Output 1.2</p> <p>Systems and processes for dialogue enabled to foster collaboration among local government institutions, customary governance structures and community representatives for inclusive decision-making.</p>					
<p>Output 1.3</p> <p>Accountability mechanisms developed/enhanced for local authorities' increased transparency in delivering inclusive and equitable public services.</p>					
<p>Output 1.4</p>					
<p>Output 1.5</p>					
<p>Other Outputs</p> <p><i>If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here</i></p>					

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Capacity of local governance actors and traditional/religious leaders enhanced in gender-responsive participatory planning, local economic development and conflict management.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	Indicator 1.1.1 Extent to which local government actors and customary governance structures demonstrate improved knowledge and skills on participatory planning and responsive governance. Scale: 1.Not at all 2.Very little 3.Some what 4.Great Extent	3.Some what	4.Great Extent	3.Some what		The actual extent will be assessed and tracked from the 2025 District Plans and Budgets
1.1.2	Indicator 1.1.2 Number of partnership mechanisms in place for investment in targeted local communities including any direct collaboration with WB and USAID projects.	12 (At least one per district)	16 (At least 2 per district)			

1.1.3	Indicator 1.1.3 Number of Traditional Authorities (TA) and Religious Leaders (RL) implementing gender-transformative initiatives in their communities to eliminate discriminatory gender and socio-cultural norms.	TA = 2 RE = 1	TA: 8 (At least one per district) RE: 16 (At least 2 per district)	TA = 6 + 8 RE = 7 + 4		
-------	---	------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Systems and processes for dialogue enabled to foster collaboration among local government institutions, customary governance structures and community representatives for inclusive decision- making.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Indicator 1.2.1 Number of Peace Committees (PC) engaging stakeholders to address political vigilantism, electoral violence and reduce intergenerational tensions.	13 Peace Committees	16 Peace Committees	18 Peace Committees		
1.2.2	Indicator 1.2.2 Number of community radio stations, and religious entities that disallow the use of hate speech and other inciteful ideas and messages on their network/platform.	# of radio stations = 26 # of religious entities = 109	# of radio stations = 30 # of religious entities = 120	# of radio stations = 17 # of religious entities = 33		
1.2.3	Number of incidents of political violence reported and addressed in communities.	# of incidents report = 6 # of incidents addressed = 5	# of incidents report = 10 # of incidents addressed = 10	# of incidents report = 3 # of incidents addressed = 1		

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Accountability mechanisms developed/enhanced for local authorities' increased transparency in delivering inclusive and equitable public services.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	Level of Community Members (M/F) awareness and use of compliant mechanisms put in place in beneficiary district for improved public services delivery. Scale: 1. Low (Not Aware) 2. Moderate (Aware, but not using it) 3. High (Aware and using it)	Women = 1 Youth = 1	Women = 3 Youth = 3			Surveys to collect data for this indicator are planned for the end of 2024 and 2025
1.3.2	Number of women and youth who are able to access information on available public services.	% women =75 % Youth = 71				
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Women and youth are more resilient to conflict triggers and fully and meaningfully participate in peace building, local governance and economic development processes.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Indicator 2a Percentage of women and youth (m/f) as a proportion of the total number of people participating in local development planning.	% of women = 17.6 % of Youth (Male) = 19.54 % of Youth (Female) = 1.1	% of women = 30 % of Youth (Male) = 25 % of Youth (Female) = 15	46.44 % (129 - 36 females and 93 males)	
2.2	Percent of women and youth who feel included in decision-making and peace building processes.	% of women = 57.89 % of Youth (Male) = 54.3 % of Youth (Female) = 30	% of women = 60 % of Youth (Male) = 60 % of Youth (Female) = 40	% of women = 61.5 % of Youth (Male) = 62 % of Youth (Female) = 40	
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 ☒ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Capacity of women and youth enhanced to participate and engage in critical development issues, decision-making and peace building processes.

Output 2.2

Women and youth groups/networks enabled to access livelihood skills, employment and entrepreneurial opportunities

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Capacity of women and youth enhanced to participate and engage in critical development issues, decision- making and peace building processes.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Number of women and youth groups and CSOs leading community initiatives on peace and social cohesion enabled by the PBF project disaggregated by sex	# of women's group = 0 # of youth groups = 0	# of women's group = 16 # of youth groups = 16	# of women's group = 4 + 5 # of youth groups = 4 + 3		
2.1.2	Indicator 2.1.2 Number of women and youth meaningfully participating in political and decision- making processes in their districts disaggregated by sex	# of women = 0 # of youth male = 0 # of youth female = 0	# of women = 80 # of youth male =80 # of youth female = 40	# of women = 175 +285 # of youth male =208 # of youth female = 115		
2.1.3	Proportion of women and youth candidates contesting for leadership and other decision-making positions in their Communities /Districts enabled by the PBF project.	Proportion of women = 0 Proportion of youth = 0	Proportion of women = 10 Proportion of youth = 10	Proportion of women = 2 Proportion of youth = 5		

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Women and youth groups/networks enabled to access livelihood skills, employment and entrepreneurial opportunities	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Number of private sector organizations engaged and providing financing options and business development support to youth and women led businesses.	# = 13	# = 18			
2.2.2	Number of women and youth accessing job and livelihood opportunities as a result of this project's intervention	# of women = 0 # of youth = 0	# of women = 150 # of youth = 150			
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» **Outcome 3: State actors and non-state actors positively collaborate through the use of early warning systems to prevent conflict**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Proportion of early action measures adopted to manage current and emerging conflict.	0%	30%	20%	
3.2	Level of satisfaction of community members (M/F) in the targeted communities on effectiveness of early warning and responsiveness mechanisms. Scale: 1. Not at all satisfied 2. Slightly satisfied. 3. Neutral 4. Satisfied 5. Very satisfied	Male = 3-Neutral Female = 3-Neutral	Male = 4 - Satisfied Female = 4 - Satisfied	4. Satisfied	
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Security agencies and other relevant actors have enhanced capacities to respect human rights and foster peace and social cohesion

Output 3.2

Platforms for dialogues between relevant security agencies, justice sector actors and non-state actors (religious and traditional authorities, women and youth groups, media and civil society) enabled and facilitated for early response, peace and social cohesion.

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Security agencies and other relevant actors have enhanced capacities to respect human rights and foster peace and social cohesion	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	Number of reported peaceful engagements between security agency and target communities.	# = 1	16 (At least 2 per districts)	7 +8		
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Platforms for dialogues between relevant security agencies, justice sector actors and non-state actors (religious and traditional authorities, women and youth groups, media and civil society) enabled and facilitated for early response, peace and social cohesion.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1	Percentage implementation of early warning action plans for conflict prevention per target community.	0%	50%	40%		
3.2.2	Number of joint initiatives in the targeted district between youth organizations and security agencies per year to promote social cohesion.	# = 1	16 (At least 2 per districts)	6 (Youth led peace campaigns)		
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Hold joint Town hall meeting for citizens to discuss and demand accountability from duty bearers	November 2024 (14 – 15 November 2024)	Two Districts in the Upper West Region	Regional Coordinating Directors, Regional and District Planners, Directors of MMDA's, Security personnel, community members, women and youth group leaders, traditional and religious leaders etc.	To create a platform where community members can interact with duty bearers for clarification on the development agenda in the districts and communities. This is to enhance the voices of community members particularly women and youth for the integration of their needs and concerns into development plans.
Event 2	Conduct joint civilian security campaigns on conflict drivers including violence against women and youth radicalism	November 2024 (18 – 23 November 2024)	District capitals of all 8 implementing districts	Security Personnel (Police, Soldiers, Immigration and Customs Officers, Community Watch Dog Committees, Regional and District Security Council Members) etc.	To break the ice between citizens and security personnel as well as community leaders to enhance interaction and enable community members to inform security personnel of emerging signals and tensions that can create conflicts for early mitigation
Event 3	Organise women and youth led peace campaigns to promote togetherness among community members using District Sports activities and Cooking Competition	November 2024 (18 – 23 November 2024)	District capitals of all 8 implementing districts	Women and Youth group leaders, Community members	To enhance togetherness between the various segments and identifiable groups in the communities (Women and Youth groups, migrants, asylum seekers etc.) with fun activities such as sports, cooking competition among various tribes to enhance cultural diversity and acceptance.
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizatiions, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	District Chief Executive of Wa West District (Wechiau)	Leveraging on the project to improve social relations between her constituents and minority tribes	"Teach us how to fish and do not fish for us"
2	Traditional Leader from Yunyoo Nasuan District	Now a champion and advocate for gender equality and inclusion of women and youth in development processes through PBF capacity building for traditional leaders	The Chief of Yunyoo has pledged to start giving women farmlands for farming activities. (During training of traditional and religious leaders)
3	Leader of a Women's Group in Sissala West District	Received training as a representative of women's group and is currently involved in the community initiatives engaging local governance structures	"I am very happy you considered us as part of the project. Usually, women and youth are not considered when it comes to such discussions and decision making."
4	Community slogans	Positive changes in gender discriminatory norms and attitudes. Improved social cohesion and relations	Say no to discriminatory gender and socio-cultural norms. #We are one people #Together for peace #One community #Let us walk for peace #Say no to conflict

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

During the project inception meeting with various stakeholders in the Bongo District, it was highlighted that the assembly man from Namoo community had written to the Regional Coordinating Council (RCC) about simmering communal tensions between community members and the Fulbe/Fulani migrants from neighbouring Burkina Faso but had not received any response. The RCC representatives therefore picked up the issue for the attention of the Regional Security Council (REGSEC) which in turn directed the District Assembly to urgently intervene. An initial fact-finding mission has been held at the community level. Additionally, mobilisation of young people under the project resulted in two adolescent girls writing their own poems about the effects of conflicts on women and girls and the peaceful world that would support girls to thrive to fulfil their full potential. These poems were recited by the authors as part of proceedings during the launch of the PBF project (attached below are the poems by two programme beneficiaries).

A Peace Building Fund project flyer summarizing the project outcomes and outputs as well as the objectives has been developed. Copies were distributed to approximately 70 national stakeholders who attended the project launch. It was observed that all participants departed with their flyers, and this is expected to contribute to and enhance visibility of the programme.

Below are links to some of the visibility products of the programme: Poems recited by adolescent girls during the project launch-

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1H9J-GB-2h3lpYfO6g83GT_KmfsOC6Yn7

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

PHOTO 3 -LAUNCH OF PBF PROJECT-22_12_58.jpg



File 2

OPTIONAL

PICTURE 1- UPPER EAST -BONGO-22_13_5.jpg



File 3

OPTIONAL

PICTURE 2- NORTH EAST-22_13_11.jpg



You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1 OPTIONAL https://drive.google.com/file/d/1nyH8nykjNNr3da5aubt8p9d44jibXdKJ/view?usp=drive_link
Link 2 OPTIONAL https://drive.google.com/file/d/1vyUP_VrBLjszeqZa-l-ljnafJDclh712/view?usp=drive_link
Link 3 OPTIONAL https://drive.google.com/file/d/155iyGkTXuIS00UWuG6nRX80AutqQkJLt/view?usp=drive_link
Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.
How we worked: <i>Please select up to 3.</i> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Enhanced digitization</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Innovative ways of working</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Mobilized additional resources</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Improved or initiated policy frameworks</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Strengthened capacities</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strengthened partnerships with IFIs</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies</div>
Please explain one of the selected options <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i>
Please explain one of the selected options <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i>
Please explain one of the selected options <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i>
Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) <div><input type="checkbox"/> Strengthened partnerships with IFIs</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partnered with local civil society organizations</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partnered with local academia</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partnered with sub-national entities</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Partnered with national entities</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Partnered with local volunteers</div>
Please explain <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters</i>

<p>Leave No one Behind</p>	
<p>Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative</p> <p><i>Mandatory</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unemployed persons</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Indigenous communities</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Persons with Disabilities</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Women</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Youth</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Children</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> People living in and around border areas</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Persons affected by natural disasters</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Persons affected by armed conflicts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants</p>	*

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

<p>Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p> <p>One inception and monitoring visit to each of the three implementing regions was undertaken during the period to ensure partners understand the project theory of change and its linkages to national systems and processes to contribute to project sustainability.</p> <p>A monitoring visit at Garu, Bawku west, Bunkpurugu, Youyoo and bongo was undertaken to engage partners on grounds and monitor ongoing activities including training and supporting local government structures to be more transparent and accountable, security - civilian community dialogues</p> <p>A monitoring visit was conducted during training of traditional and religious leaders in Garu and Bawku West Districts with the Upper East Regional Peace Council.</p> <p>Monitoring feedback</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provided guidance on the need to ensure deliberate invitation and follow ups to obtain higher women participation. • Discussions on making reasonable accommodation to ensure women are able to attend the capacity development on their terms. • Guidance on the use of adult learning modules, videos and group work to ensure that majority of the participants contributed actively to the training program • While the meeting was open by government actors, they did not stay and participate in the program. The long-term sustainability would depend on systematic government/civil society collaboration to own the capacity development and own the action points beyond the capacity development session. • The annual UNFPA joint monitoring between the Country Office and National Partners (Ministry of Finance, Local Government, Health, Foreign Affairs, Gender, NDPC etc.) visited the PBF implementation sites in all the three regions. Feedback from interaction with project beneficiaries highlighted the following. - It was observed that community leaders have acquired good understanding of issues of GBV and discriminatory practices and the effect of negative social and cultural practices affecting women and girls. - There was evidence of effective partnership between community leaders, duty bearers, parents and young people in promoting women and girls' rights. - The Regional Coordinating Councils and Plan Parenthood Association (PPAG) which is coordinating the activities of the CSO's has conducted monitoring visits in all the 24 communities under the project to interact with community members on the impact of interventions. Monitoring reports indicates positive project results on issues of gender discrimination and conflict prevention. 	*
<p>Do outcome indicators have baselines?</p> <p><i>If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	*
<p>If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p> <p>The baseline has been completed with all outcome indicators available</p>	*
<p>Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p> <p>Activity reports from the field as well as monitoring reports</p>	*

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys <div style="float: right;">*</div> <div style="clear: both;"></div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Please provide a brief description <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i> A baseline assessment has been conducted to help establish project baseline indicators and final report is available.
Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? <div style="float: right;">*</div> <div style="clear: both;"></div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Please provide a brief description <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i> The voices of women and youth in the local and customary governance structures are enhanced through the establishment of innovative civil society advocacy platforms. These include the District Development Actors Forum (DDAF), initiated as a platform for community stakeholders (women and youth) and local government actors to interact to address negative gender norms and stereotypes, intergenerational tensions and improve decision-making (See link: DDAF inauguration for Wa West), and "My District Our Development" sessions. The sessions are in two levels, community spaces and radio and are aimed at highlighting issues of concern in the various communities for the attention of duty bearers. (See link: "My District Our Development" radio discussion) Additionally, communities have developed community action plans drawing on the capacities established through the project's social accountability network support groups, offering structured roadmaps to address local challenges and enhance community-driven accountability initiatives. Stakeholders in three Districts equipped to champion social accountability Ghana News Agency

» **Evaluation**

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? <div style="float: right;">*</div> <div style="clear: both;"></div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable										
Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: <i>Response required</i> 50000										
If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? <div style="clear: both;"></div> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable										
Please describe the preparations <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i>										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 20%;">Contact information</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Name</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Organization</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Job title</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Email</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="height: 100px; vertical-align: top;">Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email	Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				
Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email						
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:										

» **Catalytic Effect**

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? <div style="float: right;">*</div> <div style="clear: both;"></div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? <div style="float: right;">*</div> <div style="clear: both;"></div> 3

<p>Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>		
<p>Name of Funder</p> <p>UNDP women in governance funding window</p>		*
<p>Amount in USD</p> <p>300000</p>		2
<p>Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>		
<p>Name of Funder</p> <p>UNDP PVE funding window</p>		*
<p>Amount in USD</p> <p>350000</p>		3
<p>Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started.</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>		
<p>Name of Funder</p> <p>US Embassy project on Improving Economic Resilience of Host Communities for Peacebuilding (Northern Cote d'Ivoire, Northern Ghana)</p>		*
<p>Amount in USD</p> <p>600000</p>		*
<p>Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes?</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>		*
<p>If yes, please select the relevant option below:</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Some catalytic effect</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Significant catalytic effect</p>		*

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so (3000 characters):

On 28th May 2024, the Minister of Youth and Sports and the UN Resident Coordinator with the support of UNFPA inaugurated the Youth Peace and Security National Technical Working Group. The Group has been tasked to develop Ghana's National Action Plan (NAP) for Youth Peace and Security (YPS). The committee is working under the auspices of the National Youth Authority and the Ministry of Youth and Sports. UNFPA serves both as a member and the Technical Support Secretariat to ensure the scheduled completion of the National Action Plan development for YPS in Ghana. The completion of the YPS-NAP will facilitate the policy environment for programming on youth, peace and security, including broader sustainability of results achieved under the PBF project.

Subsequently, UNFPA, GIZ and the Kofi Annan International Peacekeeping Training Center have supported the National Youth Authority and the Technical Woking Group to develop a national roadmap which includes a mapping of stakeholders and a comprehensive budget for the conduct of consultations across the country, to solicit inputs and mobilise support from cross section of the Ghanaian populace, including the youth, on the priorities that should inform the YPS NAP. This process will pave the way and provide the opportunity for coordinated national agenda, that mobilizes government, youth-led civil society groups, communities and institutions to drive the YPS agenda.

In addition, UNFPA engaged with young people and stakeholders during the Economic Community of West African States' (ECOWAS) Youth Summit on Women, Youth Peace and Security held in Ghana. During the summit, UNFPA advocated for the need to provide space for young people as active participants in the democratic processes of their countries to prevent extremist tendencies and safeguard peace across the sub-region. These high-level engagements and advocacy sessions will contribute to the creation of an enabling environment for the work on women, peace and security including the PBF project in Ghana.

Finally, UNFPA is supporting the African Youth Network on Population and Development – AfriYAN to strengthen its membership and further build their capacity to actively mobilize youth groups and networks to advance meaningful youth participation in policy processes and decision-making structures. This includes the strengthening of the sub-group on youth peace and security to ensure the effective and coordinated engagement of young people from their constituent groups in the YPS development agenda and effective programming to sustain gains and lessons from the Peace Building programme. This will also be done by leveraging on tools and platforms developed from the PBF programme including the Equal Engage Guide, the My District our Development Fora and the Security Safeguarding Training guide among others.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes, the project is building capacities of various stakeholders and engaging them to effectively lead peacebuilding efforts in their communities.

The project is also leveraging on national systems and structures to ensure that the results achieved will be mainstreamed in the existing structures for long term effect. Consequently, the focus is on using more national implementing partners such as the Regional Coordinating and Peace Councils who are already in charge of the development agenda and peace dividend in the regions of implementation. The use of local CSOs operating in project areas for the livelihood programs in the long term will help to sustain the interventions and also monitoring together with the local government authorities.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

No

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Steering Committee meeting	Coordination and building partnerships on the ground	The TOR for the Project Steering Committee was to be edited so that UNDP's and UNDPa's "membership" would be listed, indicating that they are "governance level" members. It was also suggested by the PSC that the project team would try to reach out to those PSC members who have been invited but not confirmed their participation. It was also advised that the next PSC should be held in one of the project sites.

Event 2	Monitoring of Garu and Bawku West traditional and religious leaders training	Traditional and religious leaders enhanced their capacities on conflict mediation and resolution skills as the two districts are central to conflicts in the Upper East region and also situated in the VE corridor.	The capacity building on mediation and conflict resolution for traditional and religious leaders had very high attendance of particularly male traditional leaders. Numbers of women traditional leaders was quite limited, although women participated in the programs. The organizers had ensured active participation of marginalized groups such as Fulbe, but the participation of Fulbe women and migrant groups in the program was limited. Majority of the participants of the training were men compared to women. However, the organizers took pragmatic steps to ensure more active participation of women in upcoming program activities.
Event 3	A monitoring visit at Garu, Bawku west, Bunkpurugu, Yonyoo and bongo.	The joint monitory mission with UNRCO, UNDP and Ministry of finance was undertaken to engage partners on grounds and monitor ongoing activities including training and supporting local government structures to be more transparent and accountable, security - civillian community dialogue	The established Community Peace Committees have quickly become essential sources of intelligence on conflict monitoring and reporting early warning signs to other key stakeholders to help prevent conflicts and escalations. The CPCs' role in these dialogues has led to gradual improvement in local governance and in fostering a culture of peace. However, unresolved land disputes continue to drive divisions among communities, often exacerbated by longstanding historical affiliations and inter-group rivalries. Equipped with the training provided, both committees are actively raising awareness on peacebuilding and social cohesion through various community and religious groups and activities and playing a crucial role in mitigating community conflicts and support in providing Early warnings signs to other key stakeholders for timely intervention. There is a need to increase and improve visibility around the project, increase collaboration between peace committees, local law enforcement, and traditional authorities to ensure a unified response to conflict signals and Coordinate, multi-level engagement remains essential to mitigate these conflicts and lay a foundation for long-term peace.

Event 4	Monitoring visit of the Livelihood for Cohesion activity in the Wa West District.	Community and Livelihood Improvement Committees (CLICS) formed in six communities in the Wa West District with at least 9 members per committee. 2. Orientation programme organised for the Community and Livelihood Improvement Committees. 1. The application form for the livelihood	Across the six communities under this project, the CLICs Members exhibited a high sense of volunteerism and committed to working on the committees without any compensation. Also, there was diversity in the composition of the committees. For instance, in one of the communities, Olli, the committee membership included a Fulbe and persons with disabilities. There was gender balance in the committees, and youthful representation. In addition, there was serious commitment from the leadership of the communities. Assembly Members and Chiefs came out in their numbers to support the outdooring of the application form. The communities understood the scope of the project and are ready to support the project. However, there is the risk of instrumentalizing the activity for political gains as the elections draws closer. The risk is however low. The partner has identified this risk and has put in place measures including regular monitoring and sensitisation to minimize the risk.
Event 5	Monitoring visit to the capacity building of Unit Committees and Assembly Members in the Sissala West District	Unit Committee members and assembly members enhanced their capacities in their roles and responsibilities, participatory planning processes and revenue mobilization at the Sub-structure levels.	A total of 57 persons attended the training. The participants were entirely male. This situation is reflection of the low representation of women at the Unit Committee level. The approach adopted for the training was participatory involving the delivery of presentations, questions, and discussions. There was some commitment from the Sissala West District assembly, with the District Coordinating Director, Finance Officers and Planning Officer participating effectively in the training and providing explanations where necessary. The participants showed some level of interest in the training based on the questions they put before the facilitators, their contributions and attention.
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui
gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.