PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report   *
- ◯ Semi-annual
- ⦿ Annual
- ◯ Final
- ◯ Other

Date of submission of report   *

2024-11-14

2024-11-14

Name and Title of Person submitting the report   *

Yakaka Mandara Community Mobilization and Reintegration Specialist

E-mail of Person submitting the report   *

yakaka.mandara@undp.org

Name and Title of Person who approved the report   *

Kimairis Toogood RCO PDA Advisor

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- (●) Yes
- (○) No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- (●) Yes
- (○) No
- (○) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- (○) Yes (●) No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

◯ Asia and the Pacific   ◯ Central & Southern Africa   ◯ East Africa

◯ Europe and Central Asia   ◯ Global   ◯ Latin America and the Caribean

◯ Middle East and North Africa   ● West Africa

Country of project implementation *

◯ Benin   ◯ Burkina Faso   ◯ Cote D'Ivoire

◯ Gambia   ◯ Ghana   ◯ Guinea

◯ Guinea-Bissau   ◯ Liberia   ◯ Mali

◯ Mauritania   ◯ Niger   ● Nigeria

◯ Senegal   ◯ Sierra Leone   ◯ Togo

◯ Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

◯ 00132748: Strengthening Local and State Level Peace Architectures for Peacebuilding and Prevention in Katsina and Kaduna States of North West Nigeria

◯ 00134088: Reducing grievances through strengthening the conflict and gender sensitive oversight mechanisms of the Nigerian Police Force

● 00140123: Strengthening reconciliation and reintegration pathways for persons associated with non-state armed groups and communities of reintegration including women and children in Northeast of Nigeria

◯ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- [ ] Asia and the Pacific
- [ ] Central & Southern Africa
- [ ] East Africa
- [ ] Europe and Central Asia
- [ ] Global
- [ ] Latin America and the Caribean
- [ ] Middle East and North Africa
- [ ] West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-03-27

2023-03-27

Project End Date *

2025-03-27

2025-03-27

Has this project received an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- (●) NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- (●) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ( ) NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ◯ Yes
- ⦿ No

If yes, please select which *

- ◯ National Trust Fund
- ◯ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ⦿ UN entity
- ◯ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ⦿ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ◯ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ◯ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ◯ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ◯ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ◯ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ◯ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ◯ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ◯ WFP: World Food Programme
- ◯ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ◯ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ◯ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ◯ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ◯ WHO: World Health Organization ◯ PAHO/WHO
- ◯ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ◯ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ◯ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ◯ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ◯ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ◯ UNDPO ◯ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

○ No other recipients

◉ Yes, other UN recipients only

○ Yes, other non-UN recipients only

○ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ☑ IOM: International Organization for Migration

☑ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ☐ WFP: World Food Programme

☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization

☐ WHO: World Health Organization   ☐ PAHO/WHO

☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ☑ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre

☐ UN Department of Peace Operations   ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgique
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

....

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

10

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◉ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Center for Advocacy , Transparency and Accountability Initiative (CATAI)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

2918

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Research, identify, and assess policy gaps, the linkages to federal and regional policies, and international standards and frameworks.
Additionally, conduct a validation workshop and publish the final report.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- (●) National youth CSO
- (○) National women's CSO
- (○) Other National CSO
- (○) Subnational youth CSO
- (○) Subnational women's CSO
- (○) Other subnational CSO
- (○) Regional CSO
- (○) Regional Organisation
- (○) International NGO
- (○) Governmental entity
- (○) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Center for Social Cohesion Peace and Empowerment

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

63481

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Support to community-based socio-economic reintegration, targeting female ex-associates and selected vulnerable female community members in Bama LGA by provision of skills training, Mental health and Psychosocial support, literacy and cash support.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ⦿ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Ministry of Reconciliation, Rehabilitation and Resettlement

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

24315

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

8-month Government led campaign with radio jingles and tv broadcasts across all Government local radio and tv channels with messaging that promotes reconciliation and reintegration and peace building.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner ***

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

◉ Other

Other, Please specify

Media Firm

What is the name of the Implementing Partner ***

Junie Visuals

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? ***

6279

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner ***

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Provision of media services, including the creation of documentaries, capturing pictures and videos for events for Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) interventions in Northeast Nigeria, these media efforts enhance visibility and awareness of the progress and impact of PBF interventions, showcasing success stories.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ⦿ Other

Other, Please specify

Private Vendor

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

PRIME DAVE

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

11680

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Provided Information, Education, and Communication (IEC) materials for the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) which promotes visibility and strengthens awareness of PBF initiatives. These materials serve as essential tools to communicate the fund's goals, progress, and successes, helping to engage stakeholders and communities.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◉ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Borno State Ministry of Women Affairs and Social Development (BSMWASD)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

85434

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The BSMWASD is leading the overall running and management of the camps/centre. During the reporting period, BSMWASD provided shelter, food, and accommodation to children and women, led the provision of interim care services, including documentation and profiling, and case management, including family tracing and reunification (FTR).
Adolescent girls, boys, and women also received education, including literacy and numeracy, mental health psychosocial support (MHPSS) services, and skills acquisition training.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- (•) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Transcultural Psychosocial Organization (TPO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

168052

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *
Please limit your response to 1500 characters
Transcultural Psychosocial Organization supported the BSMWASD to provide quality interim care services, including documentation, profiling, case management, Family tracing and reunification, education, literacy and numeracy, MHPSS services, and skills acquisition training for adolescent girls, boys, and women in the transit camps and host community.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ● Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Borno State Ministry of Information and Culture

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

9942

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The partnership with the Borno State Ministry of Information and Culture supports awareness raising on the reintegration of children exiting armed groups, community dialogue on reconciliation and peacebuilding, and preparation of community for the return of children formerly associated with armed groups

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

● Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Borno State Ministry of Local Government and Emirate Affairs

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

6248

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The ministry implements community engagement, transformative dialogue sessions and community peacebuilding and reconciliation activities in MMC, Jere and Bama LGAs with community leaders, religious leaders, women and youth groups, children and community members.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ⦿ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Grow Strong Foundation

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

27687

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Implements community case management services, Gender based violence prevention and social reintegration support including psychosocial support to children exiting armed groups and other vulnerable children, support community preparation through reconciliation and peace activities.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 725086	* 507560	* 286481	39.51%
	*	*	*	%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	* 685000	* 479500	* 478923	69.92%

UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	685003 *	479502 *	350577 *	51.18%
UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime	304950 *	213465 *	175921 *	57.69%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2400039	1680027	1291902	**53.83%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **53.83%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

53

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1272020.67**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 684708.06**. Is this correct? *

(●) Correct (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available here

FOR SUBMISSION PBF FINANCIAL REPORT NOV 24 ALL AGENCIES-11_19_48.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

● Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

○ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

○ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

● Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

● (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

○ (2.2) Democratic Governance

○ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

☑ Gender promotion initiative

☑ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☐ Cross-border or regional project

☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

(●) Yes

(○) No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Project launched its inaugural steering committee with the H.E. Executive Governor of Borno State – (Co-Chair) and Mr. Matthias Schmale, UN Resident and Humanitarian Coordinator i.e. – (Co-Chair) in October 2023. Other members include the Attorney General of the Federation and Minister of Justice, Minister of Budget and Economic Planning, Chief of Defense Staff, Inspector General of Police, Donor Representatives from FCDO, Switzerland and Germany with the EU as an observer, and UN Agencies.

In August and November 2024, the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) held its 2nd and 3rd Steering Committee met marking a pivotal step in strengthening partnerships and advancing regional stabilization in Northeast Nigeria. Hosted by UNDP Resident Representative Ms. Elsie G Attafuah, chaired by UN Resident Coordinator Mohammed Malick Fall and Co-Chaired by Borno State Governor Prof Babagana Umara Zulum. The meeting brought together donor representatives, senior government officials from Borno State, and leaders from UN agencies. The meeting set a collaborative foundation to align international support with local priorities, ensuring every dollar contributes to meaningful change. A progress update on PBF expenditures and revised workplans were presented and endorsed by the Board. Endorsed workplans incorporated State priorities and enhanced measures for streamlined coordination. Ms. Elsie G. Attafuah, reaffirmed UNDP Nigeria's commitment to coordinating impactful programming, emphasizing strong partnerships to deliver sustainable results.

In addition to the Political-level Steering Committees held to date, the consortium has also held several project steering meetings at the technical level. The Technical Committee comprises of the Borno State Deputy Governor, the Borno State Commissioner for Women Affairs, the Commissioner of Local Government and Emirate Affairs, the BSMWASD Commissioner, National Commission for Refugees, Migrants and IDPs, the Peace and Development Advisor of the RC Office, Programme leads from the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) and the International Organization for Migration (IOM).

*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has engaged with Government on both State, Federal and Local Government levels by closely coordinating with the National Counter Terrorism Centre (NCTC) within the Office of the National Security Advisor (ONSA). Regular meetings were held to brief the NCTC Coordinator on the progress of various Disarmament, Demobilization, Rehabilitation, and Reintegration (DDRR) projects, including the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) initiatives. A notable meeting occurred on October 14, 2024, where the consortium met with the NCTC Coordinator to discuss the latest developments and the upcoming Steering Committee for the PBF and UN Offer projects. This engagement underscores the project's commitment to aligning federal efforts with DDRR goals.

Later in October, after three meetings between the Borno State Government and UNDP to clarify the scope of the UN Offer and the PBF projects, the Governor provided endorsement for their implementation. Key issues like workplan revisions and the repurposing of funds were discussed, provide a foundation for technical reforms that align with the region's stabilization and development goals. Additionally, the evolving needs of local communities, reflected in the project's challenges, underscore the importance of creating a flexible plan that adapts to the shifting dynamics of stabilization efforts. These collaborative efforts and continuous engagement with state authorities are crucial steps. Additionally, the project team continues to work closely with the Hon. Zuwaira Gambo, Borno State Commissioner for Women Affairs to address technical issues critical to the project's success.

At the local level, the project team continuously engaged with the Executive Chairman of Bama Local Government Area (LGA), His Royal Highness the Shehu of Bama, and other local officials to advance reintegration activities. These efforts focus on providing inclusive socioeconomic and livelihood opportunities for beneficiaries, fostering resilience and stability in the community, and ensuring all stakeholders are well-coordinated and involved in the process.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *****

( ) Not Started　　( ) Initiated　　(●) Partially Completed
( ) Completed　　( ) Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *****

( ) Not Started　　( ) Initiated　　( ) Partially Completed
(●) Completed　　( ) Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *****

( ) Not Started　　( ) Initiated　　( ) Partially Completed
(●) Completed　　( ) Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ⦿ Partially Completed

○ Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

While still ongoing, the contracting process for implementing partners is progressing. Engagement with partners such as the Ministry of Women Affairs, Ministry of Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, and Resettlement, Ministry of Information and Culture, Ministry of Local Government and Emirate Affairs, and the Transcultural Psychosocial Organization (TPO) has already taken place during the last reporting quarter, indicating collaborative structures are in place to drive project activities. The recruitment of project staff has been completed, establishing a dedicated team to ensure efficient implementation, coordination, and management of project activities.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

⦿ Yes

○ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project has made considerable progress in reintegration services for beneficiaries in transit camps and preparing host communities for reintegration, laying a strong foundation for reconciliation. Beneficiaries received briefings to understand available services, roles, and the reintegration process. Health assessments and counselling were conducted to address immediate physical and mental health needs, alongside civic education, life skills, and psychosocial support, which fostered active community engagement. Participants also reconnected with families through family tracing, an essential step in the reintegration process. They received training in livelihood and income-generating skills, tailored to local needs, supporting their economic independence, and contributing positively to the host communities. These efforts have strengthened participants' resilience and self-sufficiency, ensuring more stable transitions back to community life.

The socio-economic reintegration program has supported 1000 women, including 400 from transit camps and 600 from communities, engaging with LGA chairpersons and traditional leaders to establish selection criteria, ensuring support for the most vulnerable. Women benefited from counselling, life skills, vocational training, and apprenticeships aligned with their interests. Practical training covered various livelihood skills, including food vending, goat rearing, poultry farming, and micro-gardening, which were locally relevant and ensured sustainable income generation. Gender-sensitive approaches in training fostered cohesion between women from transit and host communities, creating an environment of shared goals and mutual support that strengthened social ties.

Local NGOs and CSOs have been actively engaged to build capacities for socio-economic reintegration, reconciliation, and MHPSS. Targeted training workshops addressed networking, advocacy, and integrated planning. Training of Trainers (TOT) workshops supported skill transfer, strengthening local reintegration capabilities and promoting long-term sustainability. The capacity-building initiatives have equipped local organizations to implement and advocate for inclusive policies effectively, advancing the project's impact on socio-economic resilience.

Government staff across six ministries received training on information, financial, and administrative management, M&E, gender inclusion, conflict resolution, and psychosocial support. This enhanced capacity has strengthened staff knowledge and provided technical skills needed to operationalize the CBRR policy. Partnering with international organizations and civil society groups ensures these efforts align with best practices. The Ministry of Women Affairs and Social Development was further supported by sensitizing the Commissioner to international standards in screening processes, securing the ministry's endorsement, and appointing a coordination focal point to oversee data collection in Bama.

Partnerships with local civil society and women-led organizations have been reinforced, enhancing their advocacy and technical skills in peacebuilding and reintegration efforts. The project has made progress in advocating for the endorsement and implementation of policies, such as the CBRR, crucial to establishing a comprehensive framework for reintegration at the local level.

Promoting gender equality and women's empowerment was central, with specific vocational training provided to women in non-traditional trades, including metalwork, welding, and carpentry. This training challenged traditional gender norms and enhanced employability. GBV-safe spaces offered crucial support to adolescent girls and women, providing individual and group activities alongside specialized services. Reintegration support for women included skills training in line with their preferences, which improved their self-esteem, livelihood potential, and financial stability. Women's empowerment was also supported through leadership and entrepreneurship programs, enhancing their role in decision-making within the community. Weekly community sessions addressed gender-based issues, promoting behavioral change and fostering inclusivity. These efforts contributed to a secure environment where women could thrive, supported by five newly established livelihood cooperatives, providing economic benefits and encouraging women's active economic engagement.

The youth-focused elements include sports-based peacebuilding activities which fostered unity among IDPs, host communities, and former associates, reinforcing peaceful coexistence. Civic education empowered youth as active citizens and encouraged community participation, building social responsibility and resilience. Vocational training and apprenticeships addressed youth unemployment by equipping them with sustainable livelihood skills.

A two-day knowledge-sharing workshop in Abuja convened 35 participants from UN agencies, government, civil society, and traditional leaders from conflict-affected regions. Participants exchanged insights on the Borno Model, a framework linking local reintegration efforts with counter-terrorism strategies. This workshop strengthened cross-regional collaboration, aligning policy responses with practical needs and enhancing reintegration outreach across affected states.

A key meeting of the Technical Committee on the Review of Existing Legal Frameworks for DDR/PCVE reviewed the "DDR Bill, 2023" and draft "DDR/PCVE Policy, 2023," with 18 officials from the Ministry of Justice, OSPRE, and ONSA, was held. Establishing a national DDR law and policy aims to strengthen legal frameworks that address victim needs, fulfill international obligations, build community trust, and support sustainable peace.

Through these collaborative efforts and targeted interventions, the project has laid a resilient foundation for

Through these collaborative efforts and targeted interventions, the project has laid a resilient foundation for sustainable reintegration, fostering peace, stability, and socio-economic empowerment in conflict-affected communities.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has made significant strides in promoting gender equality, women's empowerment, and youth inclusion. In the return communities, sensitization workshops raised awareness about the PBF approach and the Borno model. Weekly sessions focused on gender-based issues, challenging harmful practices like discrimination against persons with disabilities and promoting behavioral change. Women were empowered through leadership training and decision-making roles, enhancing their self-esteem, social status, and contributing to gender equality. Counselling services supported female beneficiaries in mental health recovery, equipping them with improved coping mechanisms for reintegration.

Female ex-associates were trained in non-traditional trades, including metalwork, welding, carpentry, agriculture, and literacy, expanding their employability and challenging gender norms. They also learned sanitary pad production, improving hygiene and creating a sustainable income-generating activity. Protection against gender-based violence and the establishment of livelihood cooperatives ensured women's safety, supporting their active participation in economic activities and promoting financial independence.

Youth inclusion was prioritized through life skills training in areas such as financial literacy, communication, and problem-solving, empowering youth to navigate daily challenges. Youth leaders were included in decision-making, ensuring their needs and perspectives were considered. Vocational training and apprenticeship opportunities supported youth in building sustainable livelihoods and reducing unemployment. Psychosocial support helped young people cope with past trauma while building resilience for future challenges.

Civic education and life skills training empowered youth to engage as active and informed citizens, fostering responsibility in democratic processes and community development. These initiatives ensured the project was responsive to youth needs, promoting their active participation.

The project emphasized gender inclusion in peacebuilding efforts, especially through its activities surrounding the International Day of Peace, which involved stakeholders, including government, civil society, and international partners. Women were actively engaged in peacebuilding and reconciliation discussions, ensuring their perspectives shaped the Borno Model. Gender-sensitive approaches to reintegration were promoted, ensuring equal opportunities for both men and women to participate in and benefit from peacebuilding initiatives.

The project will also support the 16 Days of Activism campaign, aiming to raise awareness of gender-based violence, promote the empowerment of women and girls, and advocate for stronger legal frameworks and policies. Activities will amplify women's voices in peacebuilding, highlight their roles as agents of change, and advocate for gender-sensitive measures in recovery and reintegration. The campaign will mobilize communities to challenge harmful gender norms and promote equality and justice.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project has made significant strides in community-based reconciliation and reintegration successfully facilitating the reintegration of former associates into their communities, advancing reconciliation and reducing the stigma linked to their past affiliations. By offering comprehensive support services including gender-based violence protection and economic empowerment, relapse into armed groups is minimized, fostering peacebuilding. Participants are connected to sustainable livelihood opportunities, enhancing their economic independence and supporting long-term development goals. This not only benefits individuals but also strengthens the social and economic resilience of the wider community.

Perception surveys conducted indicated a positive shift in community attitudes toward peacebuilding and reconciliation, with an increased sense of cohesion and trust. This shift is largely attributed to the inclusive nature of activities and events that promote social interaction and collaboration.

Data from perception surveys further show notable progress in the rehabilitation and reintegration of former NSAG associates in Borno, a large percentage of men and women in host communities agree that legal procedures have delivered justice for crimes committed by former NSAG members.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

https://www.instagram.com/reel/DCWZhxoOFLk/?igsh=eHNvOGlqZzg5cDd5

https://x.com/UNPeacebuilding/status/1852017405909704746?t=-Aq4x8Cj98vB9L1qzf7edw&s=08

https://www.instagram.com/reel/DCOeG5cu87X/?igsh=MTA4aHF4YjVwam8xOQ==

https://x.com/UNDPNigeria/status/1854842278872760822?t=ExnORQLx7GQEcRpjwPizig&s=08

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/undp-nigeria_un-ugcPost-7191022892938051588-JuUQ/?utm_source=share&utm_medium=member_andro

---

File attachment

PBF Survey Report - December 2023 revised-11_56_36.pdf

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | more than 5. |

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

---

Outcome 1:

Policy and outreach responses are strengthened for sustainable community-based reconciliation, reintegration, and transitional justice processes in Borno State

Outcome 2:

Integrated systems for rehabilitation and reintegration are strengthened and gender and age-sensitive, focusing on formerly associated persons and communities of reintegration

Outcome 3:	*

Outcome 4:	*

Outcome 5:	*

Outcome 6:	*

Outcome 7:	*

Outcome 8:	*

Additional Outcomes *If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Policy and outreach responses are strengthened for sustainable community-based reconciliation, reintegration, and transitional justice processes in Borno State

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	**The extent to which the Borno State adopts policy frameworks that outline coordination mechanisms, define roles and responsibilities, and define operational and programmatic interventions for sustainable community-based reconciliation, reintegration, and transitional justice processes**	**Moderate Extent**	**High Extent**		**Data would be collected at endline, However the Policy on Community Based Reconciliation and Reintegration is yet to be ratified by the legislative arm of Borno State Government and has delayed tracking of indicator**
1.2					
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?
1   2   3   [4]   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1
The policy environment supports the implementation of the 'Borno Model' in coordination with the Federal Government

Output 1.2
Research and evidence for screening, categorization and transitional justice improve policy

Output 1.3

Universally accepted tools and systems are applied for screening, categorization and identification and are gender and age-sensitive

Output 1.4

Strengthened public engagement in support to sustainable reconciliation and reintegration

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: The policy environment supports the implementation of the 'Borno Model' in coordination with the Federal Government	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**Number of policy and outreach responses implemented during the project duration that are in line with the Borno State Model, the DDRR NAP, and UN normative frameworks**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**Report on policy and competency gap has been conducted, further tracking of implementation result will be carried out during endline.**
1.1.2						
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Research and evidence for screening, categorization and transitional justice improve policy	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Percentage of individuals (sex and gender disaggregated) in communities of return that perceive the investigation, prosecution, and alternative accountability processes as having ensured accountability and effective justice**	**25%**	**60%**			**Data to be collected during endline**
1.2.2						
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Universally accepted tools and systems are applied for screening, categorization and identification and are gender and age-sensitive	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

1.3.1	**The extent to which screening protocols are developed and fully operationalized**	**High Extent, including gender and age sensitivity**	**1 SOP for screening categorization and profiling processes developed for the BSG** **1 guideline for screening, categorization and profiling processes developed for the BSG.** **1 SOP for screening categorization and profiling processes for women developed for the BSG.** **1 guideline for screening, categorization and profiling processes for women developed for the BSG**	**HRDD Risk Assessment has been completed**	**HRDD Risk Assessment has been completed**	**HRDD Risk Assessment has been conducted however screening protocols are yet to be developed and completed. The HRRD assessment involved an extensive consultation process with a wide range of national and international stakeholders to identify the human rights risks associated with UN support to the Borno Model. Ongoing monitoring of mitigation measures to ensure HRDD compliance during project implementation**
1.3.2						
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4: Strengthened public engagement in support to sustainable reconciliation and reintegration	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1	**Percentage of target communities in which comprehensive assessments find that the population and leaders are ready to receive persons associated with Boko Haram (including ex associates)**	**48%**	**65%**	**90%60%**	**90%**	**No variance**
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 2: Integrated systems for rehabilitation and reintegration are strengthened and gender and age-sensitive, focusing on formerly associated persons and communities of reintegration

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	**Extend to which Borno State defines reintegration services that are designed, implemented and harmonized based on consultations**	**0**	**High Extend**	**1 Standard Operation Procedure, Coordination mechanism and Guidance for the Borno Model Developed**	**Completed**
2.2	**Percentage of rehabilitated former Boko Haram associates (disaggregated by gender and age) that have positive impression of the reintegration services provided by the project.**	**31%**	**60%**		**On Track**
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?
1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1
Transit center management services targeting women, children and men are improved.

Output 2.2
Whole-of-society mechanisms support sustainable reintegration and reconciliation at the community-level in Bama

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Transit center management services targeting women, children and men are improved.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1	**Percentage of rehabilitated former associates that feel the services provided adequately prepared them for community reintegration**	**46%**	**65%**			
2.1.2	**The number of women and children that have access to interim camp services thanks to this initiative (accommodation, food, shelter, educational, locational skills, etc.) disaggregated by sex and age**	**0**	**At least 100 women and children disaggregated by sex**	**7061 persons residing at the Borno Model centers (2053 Men, 2541 Women, 1237 boys and 1155 Girls).**	**7061 persons residing at the Borno Model centers (2053 Men, 2541 Women, 1237 boys and 1155 Girls).**	**On Track**

2.1.3						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Whole-of-society mechanisms support sustainable reintegration and reconciliation at the community-level in Bama	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**Percentage of eligible individuals reporting sustainable livelihoods after reintegrating back into their communities [disaggregated by sex, age, location, as well as status and type of support**	**39%**	**65%**			**Will be determined during endline**

| 2.2.2 | The number of individuals participating in the pilots implemented for community-based rehabilitation and reintegration for women and community members | 0 | 750 | 350 women from transit centers, and 650 community members | 350 women from transit centers, and 650 community members | No Variance |
| 2.2.3 | | | | | | |

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?
1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1
Output 4.2
Output 4.3
Output 4.4
Output 4.5
Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here
For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	**Documentary and Filming of reconciliation and reintegration experiences women.**	**January 2025**	**Bama**	**women affected by armed conflict, particularly children and women formerly associated with armed conflict.**	**To document women's reconciliation and reintegration experiences to inform programme design and implementation.**

| Event 2 | Reintegration event and cash grant distribution and start-up kits to additional project beneficiaries | January 2025 | Bama | women affected by armed conflict | The objective of the reintegration event and distribution of cash grants and startup kits is to support the successful reintegration of project beneficiaries into their communities, fostering economic stability and self sufficiency. The event aims to provide financial support, equip beneficiaries with essential tools and resources, facilitate a supportive environment, celebrate achievements, offer guidance and mentorship, and strengthen community ties. These actions ultimately contribute to the well-being and economic prospects of vulnerable individuals and promote sustainable reintegration and community development. |
| Event 3 | Conflict resolution and advocacy | December 2024 | Maiduguri/Monguno/Bama | Beneficiaries, key community stakeholders | Group discussion regarding steps to resolve conflict and preventive measures in conflict mediation. |

Event 4	Celebration of International Children's Day	November 2024	Maiduguri	Children, community members, civil society, government, and security forces	To promote inclusion and advocate that all children, regardless of their background, have equal opportunities and access to education and resources, children formerly associated with armed groups are provided reintegration support

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Female former NSAG associates in communities of return (Bama, Monguno, MMC, and Jere).	The impact assessment data revealed: Positive shift in income levels among beneficiaries. Before receiving aid, 91% of respondents earned less than 10,000 NGN monthly. Post-assistance, 69% now earn between 10,000 and 20,000 NGN, 18% earn between 20,000 and 30,000 NGN, and 2% earn more than 30,000 NGN.	

2	Vulnerable female community members in communities of return (Bama, Monguno, MMC, and Jere).	90% of respondents reported increased savings, indicating the sustainability of the assistance provided. • Before the intervention, 56% of respondents ate only one meal per day, and none ate three meals a day. After the intervention, less than 1% ate one meal per day, while 48% and 50% ate two and three meals per day, respectively. • The MHPSS activities had a positive impact on participants' well-being and resilience. 59% of participants rated the MHPSS services as very effective, and 41% found them effective. • 76% observed significant positive changes in their confidence and self-esteem, while 24% experienced moderate positive changes. • In addressing psychosocial challenges, 52% found the services very effective, and 46% rated them effective. • The MHPSS activities also influenced gender norms, with 40% observing significant positive	I learned about the alphabet and numbers and how to make fashionable things with beads, such as necklaces, earrings, hand beads, and many others. I am now convincing my husband to allow me to continue with my education when we leave the camp.
3	Persons residing in Hajj, Bulumkutu and Shokari transit camps	Improved the Hygiene and sanitation conditions at the Hajj, Bulumkutu and Shokari transit camps by providing Hygiene and sanitation items to the three camps.	
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

In Monguno town, a 22-year-old woman with a mobility impairment relied on begging for survival and faced social isolation

and depression due to her condition. With support from the IOM DDRR program, she received mobility aids but continued

begging until she was selected for an IOM project funded by the Peace Building Fund. Given business startup kits, she opened

a provision shop, ending her dependency on begging. Her newfound independence restored her dignity, transformed community perceptions, and empowered her to support her family. This success story illustrates how empowerment initiatives can profoundly change lives, offering economic independence, renewed dignity, and community acceptance.

A teen mother/ former associate :

Nineteen-year-old Falmata, who had lived in captivity alongside her parents before her rescue, faced a difficult situation

resulting from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) while in the camp. The long-term effects of PTSD, stemming from physical

abuse, psychological torture, forced labor, harassment, and sexual assault she endured, took a toll on her mental health at the

Hajj transit center. Falmata began experiencing flashbacks, difficulty sleeping, anxiety, angry outbursts, and difficulty

concentrating, among other symptoms, while living among her peers in the Hajj Transit center. "After receiving livelihood and

civic education training," she said, "I'm grateful for the support because I've learned how to make things like necklaces,

earrings, hand bands, and many other items with beads. I'm even trying to convince my husband to allow me to continue with

my education when we exit the camp so that I can become something worthy in the future."

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

 PBF BNF 1-14_14_19.jpg

File 2

OPTIONAL

 PBF BNF-14_14_28.jpg

File 3

OPTIONAL

 PBF DISTRIBUTION-14_14_35.jpg

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL
https://x.com/UNDPNigeria/status/1854842278872760822?t=ExnORQLx7GQEcRpjwPizig&s=08

Link 2
OPTIONAL
https://www.instagram.com/reel/DCOeG5cu87X/?igsh=MTA4aHF4YjVwam8xOQ==

Link 3
OPTIONAL
https://x.com/UNDPNigeria/status/1853752971856658627?t=xSDCK8z67v0b9y5WxLdJ5w&s=19

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☑ Enhanced digitization
- ☑ Innovative ways of working
- ☑ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.
Participants were introduced to innovative learning using digital boards for literacy and numeracy lessons, enhancing their participation and learning experience

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Working with men and boys to prevent and respond to GBV is helping to increase awareness and support on GBV-related issues. As part of the more extensive reintegration programme, private sector institutions are engaged to provide economic reintegration services to adolescent children and women. The private sector provides new training and income generation perspectives, strengthening capacities to improve adolescents' and women's livelihoods.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Additional resources are continuously mobilized to complement existing funds and resources to help address the specific needs of children and women exiting armed groups and other most vulnerable community members. Funding from the Embassy of Switzerland in the amount of 12,000 USD under the ONE UN OFFER catalyzed more women to be targeted for support in the camps and communities.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [x] Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- [x] Partnered with local civil society organizations
- [ ] Partnered with local academia
- [ ] Partnered with sub-national entities
- [x] Partnered with national entities
- [ ] Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

We collaborated closely with local civil society organizations to leverage their grassroots expertise and extensive community networks, fostering more effective and sustainable development initiatives. The Borno State Network of Civil Society was engaged in peace-building activities. During the reporting period, the PBF team galvanized cooperation with the BSMWASD by sensitizing the Commissioner on the importance of implementing a screening process that follows international standards, securing ministerial buy-in and support for the Screening Gap analysis data collection process. As confirmation of this support, the Ministry appointed a Ministry staff member as the focal point for coordination and overseeing the assessment of the data collection activities in Bama, including supporting the process of liaising with the relevant authorities and ensuring access. PBF enhanced collaboration and coordination among UN Agencies, promoting a cohesive and integrated approach to achieving shared development goals and addressing humanitarian needs. We are working closely with various UN Agencies to foster a coordinated and unified approach to the PBF project. By collaborating with UNICEF, UNODC, and IOM, we aim to pool resources, share expertise, and streamline operations to maximize impact. This partnership ensures that our programs are aligned with the broader UN goals and benefit from each agency's diverse strengths and specializations. Joint planning sessions, shared monitoring and evaluation frameworks, and integrated project implementation are key strategies we employ to strengthen these partnerships, ensuring a holistic and synergistic approach to addressing global challenges

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative

*

Mandatory

- ☑ Unemployed persons
- ☑ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☑ Indigenous communities
- ☑ Persons with Disabilities
- ☑ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☑ Children
- ☑ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☑ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

1. An assessment was implemented during June 2024 with a sample of 345 women targeted by the Community based rehabilitation pilot for women. These individuals were located in Bama, Jere, Maiduguri and Monguno.

2. Joint monitoring visit by all IPs in Bama: The mission focused on updating key stakeholders and monitoring project activities to strengthen communication and ensure effective oversight. The team engaged with local leaders, including HRH the Shehu of Bama, the LGA Chairman, and the Brigade Commander of 21SAB Bama, providing them with project updates. The monitoring team visited implementing agencies, interacted directly with beneficiaries, and assessed activities related to mental health and psychosocial support, reintegration, and livelihoods. Additionally, the team engaged with beneficiaries who received livelihood kits in March 2024, gathering feedback and success stories. This mission improved communication and reporting with local authorities, enhanced project oversight, and confirmed alignment of activities with broader objectives. Stakeholders suggested that future visits should continue regularly and recommended revisiting the PBF strategy to increase the support ratio to at least 1:5 due to the population size in impacted areas. They also proposed that PBF projects establish a cap-making center in Bama for training and trade, leveraging the community's expertise in cap production. Additionally, there is a need to provide clothing items for children emerging from the bush to Bama.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Outcome indicator that stated the "Extent to which the Borno State adopts policy frameworks that outline coordination mechanisms, define roles and responsibilities, and define operational and programmatic interventions for sustainable community-based reconciliation, reintegration and transitional justice processes" is at moderate level at baseline. Borno State have developed the Borno Model and Community-based Reconciliation & Reintegration which include provisions based on the specific needs of persons, including the provision of support through specialized entities to meet the needs of children and victims. The CBRR policy yet to be ratified by the legislative arm of Borno State Government

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Baseline Assessment Report

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The outcome-level baseline carried out for the PBF report defines specific targets to assess policy, reintegration, and reconciliation efforts within the community. The baseline values serve as a starting point for measuring progress against set targets by the end of the project in 2024. Outcome 1: Focuses on strengthening policy and outreach responses. Baseline metrics include a moderate extent of policy adoption with a target to achieve a high extent of adoption by 2024. Outcome 2: Targets the enhancement of integrated, gender- and age-sensitive rehabilitation systems. a baseline of 31% of former associates have a positive view of reintegration services, with a goal to reach 60% satisfaction by 2024

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has established Community Accountability Feedback Mechanisms (CARM) to ensure transparency, responsiveness, and active community participation. These mechanisms are a cornerstone of the approach, fostering two-way communication between the project team and the community. community accountability, built on ensuring and providing the opportunity for program participants, partners, stakeholders, or the affected communities to express, submit and report feedback, concerns, and complaints in a manner that is safe, accessible, transparent, and confidential. The Community Accountability Feedback Mechanisms (CARM) are designed to provide affected populations with accessible, safe, and confidential channels to voice their feedback, complaints, and suggestions regarding the project. This system ensures that the community members are not only heard but also receive timely and appropriate responses, fostering trust and collaboration. Using the dedicated channels for collecting feedback.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No
- ○ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

12502

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

(●) Yes

(○) No

(○) Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF consortium, comprising UNDP, IOM, UNODC, and UNICEF, will conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the project. To ensure a thorough and effective evaluation process, the following preparatory steps will be undertaken: 1. Formation of an Evaluation Team • Assemble an Evaluation Committee: A committee comprising representatives from UNDP, IOM, UNODC, UNICEF, and key stakeholders will be formed to oversee the evaluation process (Recommend M&E unit and PM to be part of the committee). • Recruit External Evaluators: Committee would develop a TOR to engage independent evaluators with expertise in the project's focus areas to ensure an unbiased assessment. The external evaluator would submit an inception report capturing the evaluation objective, questions, tools, methodology and data analysis plan/evaluation framework. 2. The evaluation committee would do the following • Review of project documentation and perform a preliminary analysis of the available documentation to identify key areas of focus and potential issues. • Establish data management protocols to the evaluation aligning to Monitoring and Evaluation plan. • Review the inception report submitted by external evaluator to ensure objectives are clearly, including assessing the effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, sustainability, and impact of the project. • Ensures specific evaluation questions aligned with the project's goals and objectives. • Ensure methodology would be adequate to gather comprehensive data. • Ensure research ethic and culturally sensitive are appropriate for the target population. • Provide guide to data sources including project documents, monitoring reports, stakeholders, beneficiaries, and community members. • Plan the logistics for field visits, including travel arrangements, schedules, and permissions required for accessing different sites and stakeholders. • Support during the mobilization and notify all relevant stakeholders, including government agencies, local authorities, implementing partners, and community representatives, about the upcoming evaluation. • Supervise research assistance training sessions ensuing the use of evaluation tools, ethical considerations, and data handling procedures to ensure consistency and reliability. • Make special arrangements to include vulnerable groups such as women, children, and persons with disabilities in the evaluation process. • Use evaluation software and tools for data analysis to facilitate efficient and accurate processing of collected information. • Design a dissemination strategy to share the evaluation findings with all stakeholders. Timeline • December 2024: Formation of the evaluation team, development of the evaluation framework, and stakeholder engagement. • January 2024: Preparation of evaluation tools, data collection planning, and training. • November – December 2024: Data collection, analysis, report writing, and dissemination planning.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	**Kimairis Toogood**	**United Nations RCO**	**Peace and Development Advisor**	**kimairis.toogood@un.org**

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

1

1

Indicate name of funder and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. *

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

SWISS

Amount in USD *

12000

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- ⦿ Some catalytic effect
- ◯ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Following the PBF project approval on March 2023, the UN Offer to support the Federal and State Government in the Implementation of the Borno Model in the Northeast of Nigeria was approved by October 2023.

The programme seeks to support the Federal and State Government response to the growing challenges of operationalizing an effective rights-based system of receiving, providing temporary care, processing and treatment of exits; supporting community-based social and economic reintegration initiatives, entrenching conflict and crime prevention, transitional justice, a victim-centred approach, peacebuilding and sustainable peace interventions and catalysing release of weapons and removal of remnants of war/conflict

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

*

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.
Community-Led Peace Committees and Networks: Community-led committees and networks have been established to empower residents in conflict mediation and peace promotion. These committees are trained to manage emerging conflicts, address root causes, and sustain peace independently, ensuring community ownership and continuity in peacebuilding efforts.
Socio-Economic Initiatives to Support for Livelihood and Economic Revitalization: By supporting livelihood programs and entrepreneurship training, the project provides alternative income sources for beneficiaries, reducing resource-based conflicts, poverty, and unemployment. This strategy helps communities maintain economic stability, which is essential for long-term peace. The project has revitalized local economies in conflict-affected and reintegration communities through cash grants, agricultural inputs, vocational training, and start-up kits. This economic support has empowered over 1,000 conflict-affected women and reintegrated individuals, reducing dependency on humanitarian aid and fostering economic self-sufficiency.
Strengthening Local Institutions: Local government institutions have received capacity-building support, enabling them to effectively manage the reintegration process and sustain peace initiatives independently. This builds a stronger governance framework that can continue to support peacebuilding beyond the project's lifespan.
Collaboration with Borno State Government on the Borno Model: The project aligns with the Borno Model, an established state policy focused on Disarmament, Reintegration, and Reconciliation (DDR). This partnership creates a sustainable framework for reintegration that is supported and managed by state policies, ensuring that peacebuilding efforts continue after project completion.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.
While mass exits have reduced over time, adequate support to women and children who have exited, and other vulnerable community members remains a daunting challenge. Resources are limited, and tension seems to be building up in communities. It is also important to note that professional capacity building of the social welfare workforce is crucial to scaling up and providing quality and effective services to children and women, as well as the project's sustainability

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place. Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

Event 1	Joint Monitoring Mission in Bama	This mission aimed to update key stakeholders on ongoing project activities, strengthen communication, and ensure effective oversight. The team engaged with prominent local leaders, including HRH the Shehu of Bama, the LGA Chairman, and the Brigade Commander of 21SAB Bama, providing updates and gathering feedback. Monitoring activities included site visits to implementing agencies and interactions with beneficiaries to assess progress in mental health and psychosocial support, reintegration, and livelihood initiatives. The team also gathered insights from beneficiaries who received livelihood kits in March 2024. Overall, the mission improved communication with local authorities, reinforced project oversight, and validated that project activities align with community objectives	- Enhanced Communication: The mission improved communication and reporting with local authorities, promoting better stakeholder alignment and transparency. - Strengthened Oversight: Direct visits to project sites and beneficiary interactions enabled more effective oversight and allowed for a firsthand assessment of impact. - Stakeholder Feedback: Local leaders recommended regular continuation of such visits. They suggested adjusting the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) support ratio to at least 1:5 due to the large population in impacted areas. - Community Support Needs: Stakeholders proposed establishing a cap-making center in Bama to support community training and trade, capitalizing on local expertise. They also highlighted the need for clothing items for children transitioning from remote areas.
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			

Event 7			

Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.