

PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report	*
<input type="radio"/> Semi-annual	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Annual	
<input type="radio"/> Final	
<input type="radio"/> Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2024-11-15	
2024-11-15	
Name and Title of Person submitting the report	*
Mamadou Salieu Bah	
E-mail of Person submitting the report	*
mamadou.salieu.bah@undp.org	
Name and Title of Person who approved the report	*
Golda Keng	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

*

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation

*

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea |
| <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify

*

Project Title

*

- ☐ 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- ☐ 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- ☐ 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- ☐ 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- ☐ 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia
- ☐ 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- ☐ 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- ☒ 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- ☐ 00134237: Strengthening women's political participation and leadership through reformed legislation community-level leadership and political parties' engagement in The Gambia
- ☐ 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- ☐ 00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention
- ☐ 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- ☐ 00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change
- ☐ 00140771: Empowering Marginalized Young People for Inclusion in Civic Space and Local Infrastructures for Peace
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

*

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-12-12

2022-12-12

Project End Date *

2027-01-12

2027-01-12

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☒ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which

*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?

*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient

*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☒ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

.....

Please select other non-UN recipients

*

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Child | <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM) | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | | |

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1633616	743247	317113.26	19.41%
				%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	1874724.45	863801.23	409322.96	21.83%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **21.83%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

23

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

34

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 637406.31**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is *

US \$ 139169.81. Is this correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF Secretariat_Annual Reporting _Nov _15_ 2024-22_59_47.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☒ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

1

1

The PBF Portfolio Joint Steering Committee met once, in February and the next meeting is scheduled for November 13 2024.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF Secretariat engages with the Gambian government at various levels. At the highest level, this engagement is at the Peacebuilding Fund Joint Steering Committee (PBF JSC) in The Gambia which is co-chaired by the United Nations Resident Coordinator and the Secretary-General (SG), Head of Civil Service of the Gambian government. The PBF JSC has met once in February 2024 and with the following outcomes:

The PBF JSC first received a comprehensive update on the PBF portfolio such as ongoing projects, their progress, and the overall impact of these initiatives on peacebuilding efforts within the country.

The committee approved The Gambia's application for re-eligibility to access the United Nations Secretary General's Peacebuilding Fund for another five years. This comprehensive application package included the following components:

- 1. The Re-eligibility Request: A detailed submission justifying the continued need for Peacebuilding Fund support in The Gambia, highlighting the achievements thus far and identifying areas requiring sustained assistance.**
- 2. A Comprehensive Portfolio Evaluation report: An in-depth analysis of PBF investments in The Gambia over the last five years. This evaluation provided insights into the effectiveness, impact, and lessons learned from the funded projects, emphasizing their contributions to peacebuilding and stability.**
- 3. A Request Letter from the President of the Republic: An official letter from the President of The Gambia, expressing the government's commitment and support for continued collaboration with the Peacebuilding Fund to enhance peace and stability in the country.**
- 4. A forward-looking conflict analysis entitled "Opportunities and Challenges for Peacebuilding in The Gambia: 2024-2028"**
- 5. UN RC's letter, endorsing the president's request.**

The Request was submitted on 1st March 2024

The committee also approved a draft project proposal of 3 million dollars to support security sector reform in The Gambia. This project is crucial for enhancing the Gambian security forces' capacity, transparency, efficiency, and accountability.

Secondly, the PBF Secretariat was invited to provide an update at the final United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF) Steering Committee meeting in July 2024, also Co-chaired by the RC and SG. The PBF Secretariat M&E Specialist provided an update on Gambia's re-eligibility approval by UN SG, Gambia PBF portfolio status and highlighted the new PBF Strategic Results Framework (SRF) priority areas for the Gambia 2024-2028. This SRF guides the implementation and monitoring of future PBF projects to ensure their alignment with national peacebuilding priorities. This SRF will be approved during the PBF JSC on 13th of Dec 2024 (TBC).

The Peacebuilding Secretariat remains dedicated to collaborating closely with the Gambian government and international partners to ensure the successful implementation of these initiatives, ultimately contributing to lasting peace and stability in the country.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☒ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☐ Not Started ☒ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☒ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The staff recruitment for all five PBF secretariat Positions have progressed with following positions completed and personnel onboard:

- 1. PBF Programme Coordinator,**
- 2. M&E Specialist,**
- 3. Project Associate admin and finance**

The recruitment processes for the Secretariat Driver and the Communications & Knowledge Management officer is being finalised with interviews completed and offers being process. Note: The Coordinator, M&E and Driver positions existed and filled with personnel but were upgraded and therefore, re-advertised.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

During the period under review, the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Secretariat has achieved significant milestones in its efforts to support peacebuilding initiatives in The Gambia. Below is a comprehensive summary of the progress made from January to November 2024.

Re-eligibility Application Coordination and Approval

The PBF Secretariat successfully coordinated an inclusive and consultative re-eligibility application for The Gambia, ensuring the country's continued access to the United Nations Secretary-General's Peacebuilding Fund for the next five years 2024-2028. This complex process produced a comprehensive re-eligibility package which included the following:

1. A Re-eligibility Request: A detailed application justifying the need for ongoing support and highlighting previous successes and result
2. Portfolio Evaluation report: An in-depth evaluation of PBF investments in The Gambia from 2017 to 2022, analysing impacts and lessons learned.
3. A forward-looking conflict analysis entitled "Opportunities and Challenges for Peacebuilding in The Gambia: 2024-2028.
4. Request Letter: An official letter from the President of The Gambia, demonstrating high-level government commitment.
5. UN RC's letter: endorsing the president's request.
6. Draft Strategic Results Framework (SRF): A framework outlining the strategic objectives and key performance indicators for future peacebuilding efforts. Note: The draft SRF was not among the documents submitted in the package as it was still being worked on.

The UN Resident Coordinator submitted this package to the UN Secretary-General through the Peacebuilding Support Office, leading to a successful approval. The Gambia is now eligible and in receipt of funds for another five years of PBF support.

Joint Steering Committee and Technical Committee Meetings

In February, the PBF Secretariat organized a Joint Steering Committee (JSC) meeting, during which several critical decisions were made:

- Approval of a Draft Security Sector Reform Project: This project aims to enhance the capacity and accountability of security forces in The Gambia.
- Approval of the Re-eligibility Request Package: Endorsing the comprehensive re-eligibility application for further PBF funding.
- Project Progress Updates: Informing the JSC of the progress made under various ongoing PBF projects.

Additionally, the PBF Secretariat held three quarterly Technical Committee meetings, bringing together all active project staff to discuss issues, progress, and key results. These meetings also served as platforms to review findings from the PBF portfolio evaluation and to formulate implementation strategies for the recommendations of the evaluation.

Project Design and Development Support

The PBF Secretariat provided guidance and supported the drafting team from OHCHR, UNDP, and UNODC to finalize the draft Security Sector Reform project document. This collaborative effort ensured that the project was well-structured and ready for implementation. This second SSR project has been approved and pending disbursement of fund to agencies to commence implementation.

The Secretariat facilitated several consultations and meetings with stakeholders, including government representatives, partners, and UNCT, to develop a \$2.5 million project focused on Civic Space and Public Accountability. These efforts are aimed at enhancing accessibility of marginal groups and transparent governance in The Gambia. Various iterations of the project concept have been proposed and is currently being reviewed by PBF & PBSO technical teams.

Training for PBF Project Staff

To enhance the capacity of project staff, the PBF Secretariat organized two training sessions focused on improving understanding of PBF procedures and processes related to report writing and uploading. These trainings in May and October were aimed at improving the quality and timeliness of reporting, ensuring that all staff are well-versed in PBF reporting template and requirements; emphasising reporting on result rather merely on activities implemented. Such trainings by the Secretariat have been very useful especially to the newly joined staff.

Review of Narrative and Financial Reports and Requests

All active PBF projects continued to successfully draft and submit consolidated reports on semi-annual and annual basis. Throughout the reporting period The PBF Secretariat continued its valuable guidance support and facilitated requests such as for tranches and No-Cost Extensions.

The PBF Secretariat diligently reviewed Semi-annual and annual narrative and financial reports from all active projects and provided timely feedback to projects. This review process ensures accuracy, accountability and transparency in the utilization of PBF resources. All active projects successfully submitted their reports through the designated kobo platform and shared pdf versions with secretariat which get uploaded on the MPTFO platform.

Monitoring and Evaluation

The PBF Secretariat conducted and facilitated two monitoring visits to PBF project sites during this reporting period. These visits provided valuable insights on the implementation of PBF projects, allowing for learning, real-time feedback and adjustments to ensure objectives are being met effectively.

The PBF Secretariat in June hosted a research team on a thematic review on Youth, Peace and Security and facilitated the team's successful data collection reaching about 95% of target respondents.

During the period under review, the PBF Secretariat has demonstrated overall strong leadership and coordination from the successful re-eligibility application, comprehensive stakeholder engagements, and continuous capacity-building initiatives highlighting the Secretariat's successful role in fostering sustainable peacebuilding in the Gambia. The Secretariat remains dedicated to supporting and enhancing the effectiveness of PBF projects, contributing meaningfully to the stability and prosperity of The Gambia.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF Secretariat has taken an inclusive and proactive approach to ensure that gender equality, women's empowerment, and youth inclusion are integral components of all its projects and interventions as one of the recommendations from the portfolio evaluation.

The PBF Secretariat consistently integrates gender sensitivity into analysis and interventions. Every aspect of project development, from initial concept notes to final implementation plans, is examined through a gender lens to identify and address exclusion, intersectionality, potential disparities and ensure equitable outcomes.

In developing new projects and concept notes for PBF funding, the Secretariat actively invites and involves women's civil society organizations (CSOs) and youth organizations (such as WILL, WAVE, Activista) in consultations. This inclusive approach ensures that the perspectives and needs of women and young people are represented and considered in project design and execution.

The Secretariat ensures significant participation of women and youths in all its activities. This includes ensuring that women and young people are well-represented in PBF consultations organized. By doing so, the Secretariat promotes inclusive participation and empowers these groups to contribute meaningfully to peacebuilding efforts.

The reflection of gender and youth perspectives are among the factors the secretariat looks for in monitoring and evaluating PBF projects. Through review efforts, the PBF Secretariat ensures that projects not only address immediate peacebuilding but do so with a gender lens.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The PBF Secretariat continued to enhance collaboration and coordination among stakeholders of the peacebuilding programme in the Gambia and ensured that no stakeholders were left behind. This has been ensured through sustained consultative processes towards planning, designing, implementing and monitoring of the peacebuilding programmes in the Gambia.

For the period under review, the Secretariat successfully organized several consultative processes and meetings to identify ideas for new PBF projects, by bringing together key relevant stakeholders from the UN, Government, Civil Society (including women& youth), and development partners. Through these actions, the Secretariat called for more inclusive processes. The UN Agencies in the Gambia are currently more open to joint programming and inclusion of relevant stakeholders from central government, CSO partners and potential beneficiaries in their processes, which is key to achieving adequate and sustainable impact. Partly the Peacebuilding fund contributed to this through continued engagement by the PBF Secretariat organising numerous inclusive consultations bringing together all stakeholders especially the most vulnerable groups.

These consultative and engagement processes have been further strengthened by the operationalization of the PBF Portfolio Joint Steering Committee and the PBF Technical Committee which include relevant stakeholders from the Civil Society, development partners and the private sector.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

12345more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Enhanced portfolio and project level programming through effective support from PBF Secretariat on strategic planning, design, implementation, M&E, partnerships and cross learning

Outcome 2: *

Operational PBF Joint Steering Committee supporting strategic guidance, monitoring and advocacy around PBF projects

Outcome 3: *

Improved visibility and evidence-based advocacy to support catalytic effects

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Enhanced portfolio and project level programming through effective support from PBF Secretariat on strategic planning, design, implementation, M&E, partnerships and cross learning

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Level of compliance of all PBF projects in The Gambia ensured through the operations of the PBF Secretariat for optimum peacebuilding impact	Secretariat is in place and functional	Improved coordination and understanding of PBF procedures by stakeholders and enhanced synergies between projects.	On Track	
1.2	Level of stakeholders' satisfaction with the PBF Secretariat	Medium High	High		
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

All PBF projects leverage PBF comparative advantage and comply with PBF project management standards in close collaboration with RCO, UNCT/AFP and PBSO

Output 1.2

Strengthened portfolio-level support and multi-stakeholder cooperation

Output 1.3

Sustained coordination, information-sharing and synergy-building with key partners

Output 1.4

Continuous support to project/portfolio-level monitoring and evaluation

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: All PBF projects leverage PBF compara tive advantag e and comply with PBF project manage ment standard s in close collabora tion with RCO, UNCT/AF Ps and PBSO	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	Indicator 1.1.1 # of training or consultation sessions organized or facilitated by the PBF secretariat for project teams on quality design, impl, M&E and result reporting of PBF funded projects.	3 consultations on 2022 projects design targeting UN, govt & CSOs	5 consultations targeting PBF project teams & partners on design, impl, M&E and reporting of PBF funded projects	20 partner institutions and 29 officials reached (11F & 18M, (excl TC meetings)	The Secretariat has intensified engagement with govt and CSOs besides the UN agencies and 8 consultations have been conducted reaching 182 (117M & 75F)	
1.1.2	PBF project scoring above medium with the use of internal evaluation grid with average compliance scores	Medium (50%)	High 75% above	75% (project submitting on time for PBF Sec reviews)		

1.1.3	Indicator 1.1.3 implementing partners and other key partners engaged and capacitated on the PBF portfolio and requirements now with better understanding willingness to take ownership	3	15 (govt department and CSOs)	26	About 62 (Govt depts and CSOs engaged through consultations workshops and meetings (presentation, tech mission, to clarify PBF requirements) and such engagements have increased awareness, understanding and wiliness to work with PBF projects from both govt depts and CSOs	
-------	--	---	-------------------------------	----	--	--

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Strengthened portfolio-level support and multi-stakeholder cooperation	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Indicator 1.2.1 Gambia peacebuilding priority areas for investment in the new eligibility phase identified through multistage consultations with stakeholders and in line with eligibility request/ SRF (govt, CSOs UNCT)	0	at least 2 consultations organized for any prioritization process (partners, UNCT levels)	Draft SRF with 4 key priority areas identified (to be approved by JSC)	Draft SRF with 4 priority areas identified 4 - SRF and PBF priority areas consultations with national stakeholder & UNCT conducted in Nov & Dec2022	
1.2.2	Indicator 1.2.2 # of ongoing conflict analysis supported with gov't and CSOs	1CDA reports 2018 (updated 2019)	contributes to the next CDA updates 2023 2024 and overarching peacebuilding indicators	Printed 120 copies of the full report and abridged versions for distribution/popularization		

1.2.3	Indicator 1.2.3 PBF portfolio evaluation and re eligibility assessment and renewal process for the Gambia	Gambia eligible up to 2023	A Portfolio evaluation and re eligibility assessment supported. supported Re eligibility package prepared in consultation with all stakeholders	Evaluation completed and re eligibility declared until Dec 2028	A PBF SRF prepared in consultation with all stakeholders. Evaluation completed and re eligibility declared until Dec 2028	
-------	---	----------------------------------	---	--	---	--

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Sustained coordination, information-sharing and synergy-building with key partners	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	Joint Government UN-Donor Steering Committee on PBF established and regular meetings instituted.	0 (no JSC solely on PBF yet, PBF matters used to be discussed under the UNDAF SC)	JSC established and at least 2 PBF JSC meetings per year	1	3 meeting held so far (2023 June 2024)	
1.3.2	# of PBF learning workshops organized for synergy building and learnings from projects	2 (2020 and 2022)	1 annual learning workshop/retreat 2023	TB held Dec 2024	1 (Dec 2023)	
1.3.3	A Technical Coordination Committee (UN agencies, Govt, CSOs and PBF) established and meetings instituted	0	Committee set up and meets quarterly, # of key synergies created	1 meeting held in Q3	3 meeting held in 2024	

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4: Continuous support to project/portfolio-level monitoring and evaluation	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1	# of projects' M&E plans/activities (baselines, CBM, field visit missions, and final evaluation) supported or organized by the secretariat	4	5	2 (PBF sec and Joint visit with Cross border project 1 – Portfolio evaluation	4 field visits supported 5 evaluations supports (cross border, Portfolio Ev, Gov, youth project & rule of law)	
1.4.2	# of dialogue sessions facilitated between the JSC and sampled beneficiary communities through CBM	0	1	0	JSC has been established and attended the Tabanding border post launching	
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....
1.5.1						
.....
1.5.2						
.....
1.5.3						
.....

» Outcome 2: Operational PBF Joint Steering Committee supporting strategic guidance, monitoring and advocacy around PBF projects

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Evidence of increased engagement and enhanced strategic guidance role of the Joint Steering Committee on the PBF portfolio in the Gambia.	medium level	High level	1 JSC meeting held in Feb 2024, several decisions and approvals made JSC taking and approving portfolio related decisions	
2.2	No of JSC Meetings and Field Monitoring Visits organised	0	2 JSC meeting and a visit	1 meeting in Feb 2024	3 (JSC meeting was held in August, November 2023 and Feb 2024
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Support the Steering Committee and other relevant partners (including at the technical level) to ensure supervision and monitoring of PBF portfolio

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 2.1**

Output 2.1: Support the Steering Committee and other relevant partners (including at the technical level) to ensure supervision and monitoring of PBF portfolio	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	# of meetings and PBF priorities/proposals assessed, and strategic decisions taken at the level of the Joint Steering	0	2 meetings and decisions taken by	3 decisions	3 Climate land conflict project= Endorsed SSR project = Endorsed Cost Extension of the PBF secretariat project, includes support to 50% salary of the Senior HR Officer at the RCO =Endorsed The Road map for the re eligibility process= Endorsed	
2.1.2	# of M&E missions facilitated for Joint Steering Committee members to assess PBF portfolio implementations progress	0	2	1 mission	1 mission facilitated to CRR Oct 2023	
2.1.3	capacity needs assessment for JSC and training(s) facilitated	0	25 persons trained	members trained	2 targeted presentations to enhance understanding of the PBF Portfolio conducted for members	

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3: Improved visibility and evidence-based advocacy to support catalytic effects

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Evidence of increased visibility and collaboration of the PBF programme with stakeholders and more catalytic effects registered.	minimum interaction with other potential donors	more interaction with World Bank, EU and other potential donors through RC donor forums with partners showcasing the achievements of the PBF fund		consultation on the climate security project design and SSR consultations were attended by World Bank and Germany.
3.2	No of donor forums / pledging events organized	0	1		
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Develop a communication strategy to promote visibility of the PBF activities and results in the country and among a range of stakeholders

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Develop a communication strategy to promote visibility of the PBF activities and results in the country and among a range of stakeholders	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	Evidence of increased visibility and collaboration of the PBF programme with stakeholders and more catalytic effects registered.	Ad-hoc plan in use	1 strategy developed with details on communication products	Not yet developed, but PBF Sec closely working with UNCG at national and field level for online posting and videos making		

3.1.2	Evidence of online and offline articles and stories, videos, social media post, TV and radio spots, billboard,	200booklets of projects results, branded materials etc	produce online and offline products		with UNCG and the PBF secretariat, 5 social media posts of joint project funded through PBF, created, and posted and the video will follow soon.	
3.1.3	Evidence of conference organized for networking and partnership building for catalytic effects	0	1 conference	No conference yet. But many of the donor countries' representatives and bodies such as the EU, UK German and WB are either in the JSC or participating in PBF meeting and consultations		Dedicated session on financing for peacebuilding and the HDP-Nexus planned at the National Consultation and Launch of the PB Impact Spotlight in December.

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	PBF Joint Steering Committee	Dec 13 2024	Hotel	UN, Govt, CSOs, development partners	Bring together JSC members deliberate on PBF Portfolio matters and take decisions 2nd JSC meeting for 2024

Event 2	National PBF Strategic Consultation	Dec 5 2024	Hotel	UN, Govt, CSOs, development partners	To bring together key experts, stakeholders, UN and Civil Society to consult on key peacebuilding priorities for 2025
Event 3	PBF JSC Technical Committee meeting & Portfolio learning workshop	Dec 4th 2024	Hotel	UN, Govt, CSOs, development partners	To enable learning, review progress and challenges, and share perspectives on key priorities
Event 4	Training on conflict analysis, M&E and gender sensitive peacebuilding	October 17 2025	Hotel	UN, project teams, CSO, and Govt	To enhance understanding of PBF approaches on conflict analysis, M&E and gender

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	CSOs	<p>With the PBF Secretariat now fully staffed, consultations with stakeholders especially CSOs have significantly improved. CSO stakeholders are consulted from the conception stages to evaluation and fully participate the PBF processes. CSOs in the Gambia have shown strong interest, willingness and have taken ownership of the PBF process in the Gambia</p>	<p>Executive Director, Anti-Corruption Practitioner: Michael Oko Davies of Public-Private Integrity CSO, asserted during the new Accountability project consultation, "Such a consultative approach bringing all stakeholders on board is essential in identifying the issues at hand and the right approaches to solving them. For PPI, this is a great opportunity to network with relevant partners especially on accountability and transparency" Director Beakanyang (CSO), Nfamara Jawneh, said, "We are today very delighted to be part the launching of this GPI 2.0 project which was very consultative and involved CSOs from the conception"</p>
2	UN Project team	<p>The trainings on reporting organised by the PBF Secretariat in May and October 2024 have been deemed timely and very beneficial especially with the new project teams and personnel working on PBF projects for the first time and expected to draft their projects' reports. That the training helped them understand PBF reporting requirements and expectations and as well provided them with the correct understanding of the PBF reporting template and though new with PBF reporting, are now confident of drafting better reports.</p>	<p>"The training session is timely and very useful to me, especially the last part going through the online version and now i am confident that i will be able to draft a better PBF report", said Alexia. (May, 2024) During the recent training in October 2024 on reporting and understanding the PBF reporting requirements, Pushpa, a new staff at UNFPA hailed training as timely and useful and now she has a clear idea on what is expected and step in PBF reporting.</p>

3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

The PBF Portfolio Joint Steering Committee: The PBF secretariat supported and facilitated the establishment of a PBF portfolio Joint Steering Committee (JSC) which is mandated to steer the affairs of the PBF portfolio through strategic decision making and approvals to ensure an effective and efficient implementation of the PBF programme in the Gambia. Thereby optimizing the impact and ensuring a peaceful Gambia. With the continued support and guidance of the Peacebuilding team, the committee comprising of all stakeholders (Government, UN, CSOs Development Partners) is today up and running, taking decisions and making approvals on key PBF portfolio matters. Consequently, we are witnessing national ownership of PBF initiatives being manifested across sectors of the Gambian government.

Some of the things approved by the JSC during period under review ranges from endorsement of the Climate Security project, the Security Sector Reform Project, the Cost Extension of the PBF Secretariat project which included support to 50% salary of the Senior Human Rights Officer at the Resident Coordinators Office and the approval of a road map for the re-eligibility process. Besides, JSC committee also approved the PBF re-eligibility request package for Gambia to be submitted to PBSO by the RC and the PBF Secretariat Work Plan.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

M&E and reporting training for the project teams for better reporting

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Partnership with the World Bank to conduct 5 studies on the Gambia

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF has strengthened partnerships and joint programming among UN agencies, as more than 90% of the PBF-funded projects were jointly developed and implemented by two or three UN agencies.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)

*

- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF has strengthen partnership and joint programming amongst the UN agencies as more than 90% of the PBF funded projects were jointly developed and implement by either two or three agencies.]

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

-A monitoring field visit to PBF project sites across the country with UN Communication Group: During which different projects' intervention sites were visited, progress/status noted, community/beneficiaries' impressions and perceptions noted, and recommendations amongst others including on sustainability were suggested to communities/beneficiaries and necessary follow identified and taken.

-PBF Secretariat and Cross border project joint monitoring field visit to project sites in Senegal and Gambia.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The outcome indicators had their baselines, most of which were identified during the project development and one indicator that did not have a baseline relating to stakeholders' satisfaction with the PBF secretariat, was done through a short informal perception survey targeting the different stakeholder the PBF secretariat worked with.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Copies of regular briefs/readouts/minutes of meetings, reports, emails on timely submissions, MPTFO records Minutes of the JSC meetings and field visit reports Report of review and consultation meetings, Reports of technical committee meetings, reports of trainings facilitated by the secretariat.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

*

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Through community-Based Monitoring field visits, the PBF secretariat was able to interact with communities/beneficiaries and make necessary follow-ups with the project team to incorporate or address their concerns. For example, during a visit to the watering point at Sanda, the community raised concerns about digging the trenches and laying the pipes leading to reservoirs before the farm owners plant their crops. Such activity will cause damage or even refusal after already accepting pipes to be laid through their farms. Another visit at Kaur Banana plantation garden, the team learned that the garden still not operation due to the lack of evidence to show to the new village head that the land was issued to the youths by the deceased village head. Besides encouraging the community structures to resolve the matter, the issue was also raised with agency that implemented the project to follow and find a solution to stalemate.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

50000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention
the focal
person
responsible for
sharing the
final evaluation
report with the
PBF:

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

☐ Yes

☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

☐ Some catalytic effect

☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF Secretariat ensures government buy-in and participation in all projects alongside national CSOs that most often implement the projects. This ensured through their participation of the project idea generation, design, implementation and monitoring.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	PBF Sec Joint monitoring of Cross border project sites in both Gambia and Senegal	The team visited intervention sites in both the two countries to assess implementation and effects of the project on the borders of the two countries.	-Border authorities across have hailed the project for bringing them closer and enhancing trust with the communities they serve
Event 2	PBF secretariat monitoring field visit with UNCG	The team visited interventions of various PBF funded projects ranging livelihoods initiatives, new watering point and tracks, women gardern water facility to communities, revisit to Sambang, old watering points, women designated to district tribunal, aspiring women politicians	-That the success registered at Sambang is still sustained and the two parties continued to strength peace among themselves even after the project end a while ago. The thematic review team on youth and peacebuilding is scheduled to visit the community on the 21st of June. -Many of the infrastructure (watering points, gardens, poultry) for the cross border will be completed a little after the project has ended and therefore advised to commit all necessary fund to ensure full completion of this interventions.
Event 3			

Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.