

PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND**  

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-11-14

2024-11-14

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Jonathan Madaki; Programme Specialist

E-mail of Person submitting the report *

jonathan.madaki@undp.org

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Nadine Rugwe; Governance and Peacebuilding Advisor

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ☒ Yes ☐ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

- ☐ 00122834/5: Creating peace dividends for women and youth through increased cross-border trade and strengthened food security
- ☐ 00124132/3/4: Les jeunes, tisserands de la paix dans les régions transfrontalières du Gabon, Cameroun et Tchad
- ☐ 00125232/3: Lutte contre la traite des personnes et les trafics illicites et la criminalité organisée qui y sont liées entre la RCA et le Cameroun
- ☐ 00130007/8: Promoting community stabilization in border communities through durable solutions for mixed migration flows and effective border management between Angola and the DRC
- ☐ Other, Specify
- ☐ 00130614/5/6: AILP : Appui aux Initiatives Locales de promotion de la Paix
- ☐ 00128878/9: Consolider la Cohésion Sociale transfrontalière entre la Côte d'Ivoire et la Guinée pour une meilleure compréhension et anticipation des risques et le renforcement de la confiance et de la collaboration entre les acteurs locaux
- ☐ 00119702/3: Cross border engagement between Cote d'Ivoire and Liberia to reinforce social cohesion and border security – Phase II
- ☐ 00120162/4/5: Promotion d'une transhumance pacifique dans la région du Liptako-Gourma
- ☐ 00129587/8: Renforcer la gouvernance des zones frontalières pour consolider la cohésion sociale et prévenir les conflits
- ☐ 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal
- ☐ 00140260_1_2: Programme d'appui à la prévention des conflits et de l'extrémisme violent dans les zones frontalières du Bénin du Burkina Faso et du Togo Phase 2
- ☐ 00119957_8: Femmes et gestion des conflits liés aux ressources naturelles
- ☐ 00133730_1: Projet transfrontalier d'appui au renforcement de la sécurité communautaire à la gestion et la prévention des conflits liés à la transhumance et la gestion des ressources naturelles
- ☐ 00140187_8: Projet relatif à la promotion de la gouvernance transfrontalière inclusive et au renforcement de la résilience des populations en vue d'atténuer les risques sécuritaires dans les espaces frontaliers
- ☒ 00140412_3_4_5: Promoting CSO-led peacebuilding initiatives in the Lake Chad Basin sub-region
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

- ☐ Angola
- ☐ Burundi
- ☒ Cameroon
- ☐ Central African Republic
- ☒ Chad
- ☐ Congo, The Democratic Republic
- ☐ Gabon
- ☐ Lesotho
- ☐ Malawi
- ☐ Rwanda
- ☐ Sao Tome and Principe
- ☐ Zimbabwe
- ☐ Other, Specify
- ☐ Benin
- ☐ Burkina Faso
- ☐ Cote D'Ivoire
- ☐ Gambia
- ☐ Ghana
- ☐ Guinea
- ☐ Guinea-Bissau
- ☐ Liberia
- ☐ Mali
- ☐ Mauritania
- ☒ Niger
- ☒ Nigeria
- ☐ Senegal
- ☐ Sierra Leone
- ☐ Togo
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-11-17

2023-11-17

Project End Date *

2025-11-02

2025-11-02

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please select which *

- ☒ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☒ No other recipients
- ☐ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization
- ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Child | <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM) | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | | |

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

8

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ADELPHA

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

54352.92

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Empowerment and Inclusion of Women's & Social Cohesion Activities

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

SAHELI

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

54352.92

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Empowerment and Inclusion of Women's and youths & Prevention of Violent Extremism activities

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☒ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

AJEFMO

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

54336.54

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Empowerment and Inclusion of Women & Social Cohesion activities

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ADDA

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

54328.22

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Empowerment and Inclusion of Women's & Youth activities

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

AREN

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

38500

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The prevention of conflicts related to pastoralism and the establishment of monitoring and early warning systems.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☒ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

KOURI

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

38500

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Building Community resilience and capacities of vulnerable persons

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☒ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ANPJ

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

38500

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Youth engagement and empowerment

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☒ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

AEC

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

38500

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Citizen participation and human rights

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.
*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	3500021	2535914.55	468145.68	13.38%
				%
				%
				%
				%

19/65

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **13.38%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

44

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1540009.24**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 205984.1**. Is this correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

2nd report Consolidated financial report Nov 2024 PRE PAC - Chad (regional LCB) - Budget - 14.11.24-14_46_22.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☒ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☒ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Once (1)

The project steering committee meeting was held on October 30, 2024, in N'djamena, Chad, with the participation of all countries fully represented under the chairmanship of the National Government of Chad, represented by the Minister of Finance, Budget, Economy, and Plan and Co-chaired by the Resident Coordinator- Chad and the LCBC Executive Secretary, all represented. Furthermore, two regional technical committee meetings were held on July 30, 2024, and October 17, 2024.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project had multiple engagements with the national governments of all four countries, liaising directly with the respective governor's offices of the eight territories.

The project in Nigeria successfully collaborates with the government through the National Technical Committee (NTC), co-chaired by representatives from the Federal Ministry of Water Resources and Foreign Affairs and includes the Northeast Development Commission (NEDC). The NTC effectively oversees project implementation, evaluates proposals, and ensures the success of peacebuilding initiatives across the BAY states. During our engagement, we developed and validated the annual work plan, terms of reference for selecting Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), and guidelines for the NTC, all aimed at enhancing operational efficiency.

The project made significant strides in Chad by engaging with the national government as part of the PBF FNS-Chad initiative. The objectives were presented at the RSF-Chad national meeting, leading to the Governor's offices in the Lac and Hadjer Lamis provinces reviewing and approving the annual work plan and CSO engagement terms of reference, thus fostering a supportive environment for peacebuilding initiatives.

Similarly, in Cameroon, through effective collaboration with the governors of the Far North and North regions, the same logic of involvement was applied to the different ministries and other stakeholders, resulting in formal support and validation of work plans and reinforcing the commitment to support efforts to promote CSO-led peacebuilding efforts in the Lake Chad Basin.

In Niger, the project led by civil society organizations (CSOs) is strategically aligned with the governance framework of the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) within the regional Stabilization Facility. A high-level political dialogue was established involving regular discussions with the Governor of the Diffa region and the Regional Council. This engagement is further supported by technical-level engagement, which includes collaboration with regional technical services responsible for monitoring NGOs, CSOs, and community-based organizations (CBOs). The national and regional governments were kept abreast of the project activities and planned actions through these mediums.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☐ Not Started ☒ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Since the beginning of the project, several preliminary/preparatory activities have been sustained with significant progress. The UNDP has appointed national project officers/focal points in all four countries on a 50% cost share basis and has appointed an acting Programme Manager and an Administration and Finance Officer to sustain the implementation before the regional recruitment is finalized. Seven project planning coordination meetings with representatives from all four countries were held. These meetings helped develop and validate the project's Annual Work Plans (AWPs), the terms of reference for the call for proposal/expressions of interest for CSO selection, formalizing the national technical committee, establishing reporting requirements, and familiarizing stakeholders with the project implementation arrangement.

In collaboration with the regional governor's offices, PBF officers at the RCO's office, and technical committee structures established, the AWPs were reviewed, validated, consolidated, and consequently approved by the project board. In addition, dedicated planning and coordination meetings were conducted with all partners across the four countries and with UNDP regional and country offices, PBF, LCBC Secretariat, and the RCO to ensure a shared understanding of roles and responsibilities and enhance collaboration. This preliminary activity resulted in the launch of the call for proposals in all countries, and eight (8) CSOs selected, contracts signed, and funds disbursed in Cameroon (4) and Niger (4). An additional 39 CSOs were selected in Nigeria (32), and the regional window (7) is currently finalizing the contract and process for funds disbursement in Nigeria. In contrast, the regional technical committee has mandated the project to reopen the regional call for proposals due to the nonrepresentation of CSOs from Chad and Niger during the analysis of the proposals received, which does not receive eligible proposals from both countries.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project is progressing well and aligns with the work plan established during the planning phase. The call for proposals was launched in all four countries, and the project successfully signed contracts with eight (8) Civil Society Organization (CSO) partners in Cameroon and Niger, enabling the disbursement of funds and the implementation of community-based initiatives.

Several initiatives aimed at capacity-building training sessions have been held, empowering beneficiary communities and CSOs across the countries. In Cameroon, a community needs assessment identified responses to conflict and tailored interventions to restore peace. As a result of these sensitization campaigns, the project set up peace and advisory committees and women mediators in three communities: Kolofata (10 women, 11 men), Limani (16 women, 9 men), and Amchidé (14 women, 6 men). Sensitization campaigns on women's rights reached 475 individuals (350 women and 125 men) in Kolofata. Through the efforts of the peace committee and community consultations, dialogues involving 720 participants (192 men, 192 women, 168 boys, and 168 girls) have contributed to resolving various community conflicts. These include issues related to the reintegration of internally displaced persons, management of water points, agropastoral disputes, and family conflicts. For instance, conflicts arising from the use of water points were addressed by establishing water point management committees in Gancé and Kolofata. These committees allocate specific time slots for households to use the water points, helping to prevent disputes. Each household is allowed an average number of water cans per day, ensuring fair access, and reducing potential conflicts.

In addition, these peace committees have enhanced community tolerance, acceptance, and social cohesion. In some neighborhoods of these four localities, the dialogues brought together neighbors who did not speak to each other to settle their conflicts and re-establish communication.

Furthermore, the project enhanced the capacity of four community-based organizations (ASDHD, ASODI, the network of women's associations of Kolofata and the platform of youth and women's associations of Kolofata) directly benefiting 80 individuals of these organisations, including 36 women and girls. Notable efforts included supporting 10 women's associations in tailoring and providing sewing machines to boost local economies and improve livelihoods in Mayo Oulo. The project also trained 20 youth associations and 9 community groups in improved agricultural practices, benefiting 150 individuals (80 men and 70 women) and increasing productivity. Additionally, training for women's associations on nurturing and selling tree seedlings was conducted, which has advanced both livelihoods and environmental sustainability. The project's impact on the target communities was highlighted during the monitoring and evaluation mission in Cameroon. Furthermore, the activities aimed at building communities' resilience through economic means have empowered women and youth. Beneficiaries from Mayo Oulo and Limani have reported an increase in income from their activities supported by the project, which has enabled them to expand their production capacity and improve their overall livelihoods.

In Nigeria, 62 CSO members (33 women, 29 men) enhanced their capacities during a planning workshop, improving their proposal development skills. Contract finalization and fund disbursement are underway to commence project implementation.

In Niger, the project, through one of the beneficiary Civil Society Organizations (Alternatives Espaces Citoyens), conducted sensitization and awareness campaigns targeting local radio stations. Through this training, the capacities of 24 media representatives, comprising 11 women and 13 men, from 8 different local radio stations were enhanced on conflict-sensitive reporting. This initiative reinforces their role as key actors in promoting community peace and social cohesion. As a result of the training, key awareness messages promoting peace and social cohesion were developed. These messages will be broadcast through the local radio stations, which collectively reach an audience of approximately 500,000 people based on the target demographic of these stations. Similarly, 26 CSO and Community-Based Organizations (15 women-led and 11 youth-led) received training in gender mainstreaming, project management and community recovery approaches in the Diffa region.

Lastly, in Chad and regional activities, the project hosted interactive sessions for over 100 CSOs from four countries focused on proposal application and selection criteria, the project implementation approach, and reporting, thereby fostering regional collaboration.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Empowerment and Inclusion of Women and Youth (Pillar 9 of the RS-SRR) is a strategic priority embedded throughout the project. This commitment is evident in the selection of beneficiaries and partners. In Cameroon, the project has adopted a gender-sensitive approach to ensure women's representation in community engagement, fostering dialogue, awareness campaigns, advocacy initiatives, socio-cultural and sports activities, and psychosocial support for women and youth, thereby enhancing social cohesion and peaceful coexistence. In Nigeria, from the 299 CSOs involved in the DIMS, 144 are women-led (48%), and 75 are youth-led (25%). Among the 32 selected CSOs, 17 were women-led (53%), and 7 were youth-led (22%) organizations. At the individual CSO level, the 14 selected groups comprise 11 women-led (78%) and 5 youth-led (45%) organizations. Furthermore, proposals from these selected CSO groups include specific sections addressing the empowerment and inclusion of women and youth (Pillar 9), and their plans have been fortified through dedicated workshop sessions facilitated by a gender assistant at UNDP Nigeria. Notably, women's and youth participation were a priority for the workshop, with 33 out of 62 CSO members (53%) being women. In Niger, the process of identifying associations was based on the principle of equality to ensure fair representation for both young people and women. As a result, 26 Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Community-Based Organizations (CBOs) were identified in the project's areas of intervention. Among these, 15 organizations are led by women, while 11 are led by young individuals. These organizations received training in gender mainstreaming, project management, and community recovery approaches in the Diffa region. The training was tailored to address the specific needs of civil society organizations, focusing on the needs of young people and women.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☐ Yes

☒ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

OUTCOME 1. - Increased collaboration between CSOs in the Lake Chad Basin region to implement effective peacebuilding activities in their countries in line with the eight TAPs.

Outcome 2: *

OUTCOME 2. - Increased Regional and Cross-border Collaboration and Engagement between CSOs across the 8 territories of the Lake Chad Basin region.

Outcome 3: *

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: OUTCOME 1. - Increased collaboration between CSOs in the Lake Chad Basin region to implement effective peacebuilding activities in their countries in line with the eight TAPs.**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Additional resources mobilized by civil society to implement TAPs interventions by type and source	TBD	40%	0	Exercise will be conducted during project evaluation to ascertain outcome level - through a questionnaire.
1.2	Community members' perception of the CSO led. Peacebuilding initiatives and its contributions to sustained peace in their communities	TBD	40%	0	Exercise will be conducted during project evaluation to ascertain outcome level - through a questionnaire.
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

CSOs, under a CSO group, jointly implement CSO-designed peacebuilding priorities in line with the TAPs in the LCB region and have increased capacities and skills to implement peacebuilding activities.

Output 1.2

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: CSOs, under a CSO group, jointly implement CSO-designed peacebuilding priorities in line with the TAPs in the LCB region and have increased capacities and skills to implement peacebuilding activities.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	Number of collaborative CSO-led peacebuilding projects contributing to the TAPS that are funded by the project	0	20	4	8	CSOs grouped formed in Nigeria, but activities are to start in December 2024. While selection of CSOs is being finalized in Chad.
1.1.2	Number of CSO groups that are formed to. contribute to TAPs implementation.	0	40	4	22	In progress, CSOs grouped form in Cameroon (4), Niger (4) and Nigeria (14)
1.1.3	% of CSOs in the project who report improved confidence in their ability to design and implement projects that meet community needs and minimum donor funding requirements.	TBD	40	0	0	Exercise to be conducted during project monitoring and evaluation.

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1						
1.2.2						
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» **Outcome 2: OUTCOME 2. - Increased Regional and Cross-border Collaboration and Engagement between CSOs across the 8 territories of the Lake Chad Basin region.**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of CSOs supported by the project who report improved regional collaboration between CSOs in the Lake Chad Basin region	0	40%	0	Delayed. Selection of CSOs for regional activities is ongoing.
2.2	Perception of local authorities (Governors Offices and local/community authorities such LGA or Prefect/sub-Prefect) on the contribution of CSO-led peacebuilding activities to the TAPs	0	20%	0	Delayed. Selection of CSOs for regional activities is ongoing.
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 **2** 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

CSOs-led regional and cross border peacebuilding projects are developed and funded

Output 2.2

The Regional CSO Platform is reinforced to play its role of regional CSO. convener and for enhanced facilitation of CSOs peer-to-peer learning

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: CSOs-led regional and cross border peacebuilding projects are developed and funded	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Number of collaborative regional CSO-led peacebuilding projects funded that contribute to the priority pillars of the TAPs that are funded	0	5	0	0	Delayed. Selection of CSOs for regional activities is ongoing

2.1.2	Number of CSOs who report improved confidence in design. and implement regional projects that respond to community needs in line with the priority. pillars of TAPs	0	10	0	0	Delayed. Selection of CSOs for regional activities is ongoing.
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

2.2.1	Number of joint monitoring/learning visits joint review workshops, and regional workshops supported. and/or convened by the Regional CSO Platform	0	2	0		Not started. To be conducted in first quarter of 2025
2.2.2	CSOs’ perception of the value-add of the Regional CSO Platform’s activities and its contribution to their capacity to engage in TAPs implementation. and promote peer-to-peer. learning	0	2	0	0	Not started. To be conducted during the second quarter of 2025
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1	Project defence workshop – Contract signing	November 2024	Maiduguri Borno state, Nigeria	Selected CSOs, NTC members, Project board members, and UNDP teams: 1) the Regional Stabilisation Facility, 2) Reintegration and Social Cohesion, and 3) Early Recovery	The workshop will provide a platform for CSOs to present and defend their improved project proposals as a presentation skill that is useful for CSOs when applying to other development partners' funding and facilitate the disbursement of funds to CSOs for the implementation of CSO joint projects
Event 2	Capacity Building training for CSO/CBO	December 2024	Maroua/Garoua/Diffa	CSOs	Build capacities of local CSOs/CBOs on project key thematic areas and ensure continuous monitoring and reporting.
Event 3	Meeting of the National Technical Committee	December 2024	Bol	Project stakeholders (see NTC recommendations)	(i) Review and endorse the CSOs selection process (ii) CSOs presenting their projects
Event 4	Organise Project Design and Capacity-Building sessions on Project Fund Management /Reporting for Selected CSOs that received funds for cross-border and regional interventions.	December 2024	TBD	CSOs	The workshop will provide CSOs with tailored capacity-building and skills-development training. Through this training, they will enhance the ideas submitted into more robust project proposals.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organiziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	Women and Youth in targeted localities	Economic empowerment through training and the provision of sewing machines provides employment opportunities and enhances financial independence for young people and women	<p>My name is Boubakari Ali, a 34-year-old butcher, married with five children. In our neighborhood of Outorie, we used to keep to ourselves. After 8 PM, no one would venture outside due to the threat of terrorism. Muslims and Christians wouldn't greet each other, visit each other's neighborhoods, or even touch each other in the streets for fear of trouble. If you were accused of hurting someone, whether true or false, a public vendetta would be inflicted on you. We only shopped within our religious communities and avoided any interaction with others. As a Christian, I previously didn't get along with Muslims. If you touched someone, they might accuse you of pushing them or intending harm. But now, by the grace of God and with your help, we have overcome these barriers. Thanks to Adelpa through ASODI, our village has undergone a significant transformation. They have conducted extensive awareness campaigns within the community about living together harmoniously and promoting peace and social cohesion. Now, we even organize football matches, and everything goes smoothly at the market. We have farming land in other neighborhoods and can sit and eat together in restaurants.</p>
---	--	---	--

2	Men, Women and youth, community members	The local peace advisory committee has helped enhance social cohesion, resolved conflict arising from the use of water points, and brought together families at loggerheads, thus reestablishing communication.	My name is Assta Sali, I am a farmer and widow with 10 children. In the past, our lives were very different. We lived in fear and isolation, especially with the Boko Haram crisis in our region, unable to interact with each other. Christians were against Muslims, and some of us had joined the other camp (Boko Haram). Those who later returned to the community with their families after dropping their weapons were not accepted for a long time. Then came ASODI through Adelpa, bringing a wave of social cohesion activities for peacebuilding. They showed us the importance of living together as one. We have learned to live as one community through their awareness campaigns on social cohesion, community dialogues, and consultations. Now, we eat together, help each other, and engage in activities together, including with ex-combatants and displaced persons. Previously, we didn't speak to each other. Still, thanks to these community discussions, the people of Amchide have accepted those from Gance, Malumri, and Biya who have relocated to our community. A large part of the community has embraced this change. We hold meetings (njangi, income-generating activities), work in the farming fields, and go to the market together. Thanks to the numerous sensitization campaigns, we fully participate in
---	---	---	--

			<p>community life. There is now acceptance between the hosts, the displaced, and the former Boko Haram members. It's nice to see this great change; peace is truly a wonderful thing. Our community is more peaceful now.</p>
--	--	--	---

3	Women Groups	Training for women’s associations on nurturing and selling tree seedlings and seed capital provided to set up have advanced both livelihoods and environmental sustainability.	<p>My name is Odette Diapa, I am 40 years old and a mother of 9 children. Our lives in Amchide have been profoundly transformed thanks to the support of AJEFMO, financed by the UNDP Peace Building Fund. In the past, our village was plagued by conflict and division. We lived in fear and isolation, unable to interact with each other. However, AJEFMO took us under their wing to bring peace to our village. We received training on peace and social cohesion in Mora, and we returned to our village to spread this message of peace within our community. We put this training into practice, and I have personally resolved conflicts in my community, particularly between herders and farmers. Through the training, I helped these two groups understand the importance of cooperation. We learned that while working in the fields, we should leave space for cattle to pass and ensure that the cattle do not eat the millet. We also agreed that cattle should only be allowed in the fields after the harvest season. This understanding has helped us realize that both the cattle and the millet are vital to our community, and we must always strive to get along. AJEFMO also encouraged us to work together in groups, regardless of our backgrounds. Beyond the peace training, they provided us with two cups of onion seeds, and a villager gave us farming</p>
---	--------------	--	--

			land, which yielded a good harvest. We have benefited from peace training and agricultural support.
--	--	--	---

4			
---	--	--	--

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

The activities designed to strengthen the community's resilience have provided women and young people with new opportunities to enhance their lives. Mayo Oulo and Limani residents have reported that they are earning more money due to the project's support. This additional income has allowed them to expand their businesses and improve their overall quality of life. Consequently, the communities are becoming more united and connected.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Succès stories CMR-15_52_9.pdf

Download icon

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☒ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

This project fosters collaboration among CSO partners to create synergy in joint activities, combining efforts towards a common goal and preventing duplication.] At the beginning of the project, the project team actively engaged with various stakeholders, particularly governmental bodies and civil society organizations (CSOs) in the targeted area. This identifies how the project's activities could complement existing initiatives and development frameworks.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Capacity-building for our partners has primarily centered on proposal submission and enhancing their capacities in designing, planning, and implementing joint projects.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) are identified, selected, and trained as partners in this project through a call for proposals and alignment with the DIMS. Given their grassroots presence, CSOs' role is crucial in fostering regional peace and stability. CSOs are uniquely able to understand local contexts and engage communities in addressing the root causes of conflict. Over 60 local organizations will benefit from this partnership across the four countries.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Local community-based organizations, traditional leaders, women, and youth groups outside the DIMS in the project target territories contributed to selecting beneficiaries and advancing peace activities in their respective communities. The local authorities, state governments, and development partners in the four countries reviewed the terms of reference for a call for proposals and evaluated the proposals submitted by CSO groups as technical committee members. The federal development agency for the northeast and the budget and planning ministry in the countries contributed to and coordinated the project through their governing structure

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☒ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Two regional technical committee meetings were held to monitor the technical aspects of project planning, coordination, and implementation across the four countries.

Specifically, from September 23 to 28, 2024, a monitoring and evaluation mission was conducted in Cameroon's Far North and North regions. This mission was carried out collaboratively by UNDP and four partner civil society organizations (CSOs): ALDEPA, AJEFMO, ADDA, and SAHELI. The objective of the mission was to assess the implementation of project activities, evaluate their impact on beneficiary communities, and provide recommendations to enhance the effectiveness of interventions.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Outcome-level baseline surveys have not yet been completed. To assess progress, during monitoring and evaluation, a questionnaire will be sent to the benefiting CSOs.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Activity Reports, monitoring and evaluation reports

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required

70000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Jonathan Madaki	UNDP	Programme Specialist	jonathan.madaki@undp.org
---	-----------------	------	----------------------	--------------------------

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- ☐ Some catalytic effect
- ☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The proposals from the selected Civil Society Organization (CSO) group include a specific focus on sustainability. They emphasize the importance of utilizing and revitalizing existing mechanisms and platforms rather than creating new ones. By training and empowering women, community leaders, and local associations, these groups can play a crucial role in promoting peace independently. Incorporating consultation frameworks and peace processes into community governance structures helps ensure the sustainability of these efforts. Additionally, establishing monitoring and evaluation systems to assess the impact of peace initiatives allows for adjustments to be made based on the results obtained, which contribute to the sustainability measure of the project in addition to its alignment with the DIMS platform as the single-entry way for selection and partnership with CSOs in the region going forward.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project is currently in its first 11 months and has made significant progress through the initial planning phases. Key focal points have been identified across the participating countries, which positions us well for future development. The project has proactively extended our preliminary activities to enhance coordination and collaboration with all stakeholders, leading to improved project implementation. Looking ahead, we are optimistic about maintaining steady progress into the first quarter of 2025.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

Event 1	Two Technical Committee Meeting	The technical committee reviewed and validated the annual work plans, approved an adjustment for the activity target, and endorsed the selection of the beneficiary CSOs based on the outcome of the competitive evaluation process.	Improved communication Adopt a delivery fast-tracking mechanism for the project. Endorsed the selection of CSOs based on evaluation of the proposal.
Event 2	Project Board Meeting	Discussion and approval of the steering committee's terms of reference. Annual work plan for 2024 and progress report on project implementation from January 2024 to October 2024. Reviewed and approved recommendations.	Updated terms of reference to allow for the participation of Resident Coordinators of the other three countries. Approved the 2024 annual work plan and progress report.
Event 3	Monitoring and Evaluation mission	Monitoring missions were conducted to ascertain the progress and level of activities implemented through CSO intervention and their impact on the beneficiary communities in Cameroon. This mission was led by the local multi-sectoral coordination committee set up by the services of the Governor of the Far North Region for all PBF portfolios.	Evaluated the progress of activities implemented in the communities and the engagement level of local community-based organisations and populations. Assessed the project's impact on the target communities and identified challenges.
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			

Event 8**Final Steps**

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.