

PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
 Annual
 Final
 Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-11-15

2024-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Beulah Nengomasha, M&E Specialist

E-mail of Person submitting the report *

beulah.nengomasha@undp.org

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Sainey Ceesay

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

Yes

No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

Yes

No

Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

Yes No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea |
| <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify *

Project Title

*

- 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia
- 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- 00134237: Strengthening women's political participation and leadership through reformed legislation community-level leadership and political parties' engagement in The Gambia
- 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- 00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention
- 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- 00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change
- 00140771: Empowering Marginalized Young People for Inclusion in Civic Space and Local Infrastructures for Peace
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

*

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2021-12-02

2021-12-02

Project End Date *

2025-01-01

2025-01-01

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- Yes
 No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- Yes
 No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
 Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ACTED
- AEDE
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- CARE International UK
- Christian Aid Ireland
- Conexion Guatemala
- CORDAID
- DanChurchAid
- Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- Integrity Watch
- Interpeace
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
- NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- OIKOS
- OXFAM
- PNG UN Country Fund
- ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SismaMujer
- Tearfund
- War Child
- World Vision Myanmar
- Other, Please specify
- Action Aid UK
- African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- Avocats sans frontières Canada
- Ayuda en Accion
- Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- COIPRODEN
- COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- EQUITAS
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- International Alert
- International Rescue Committee
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- MSIS-TATAO
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- ONG AZHAR
- Peace Direct
- Plan International
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- Saferworld
- Save the Children
- SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- SOS Sahel Sudan
- Stichting Impunity Watch
- The Carter Center, Inc.
- Trocaire
- War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- World Vision International
- ZOA
- blank_placeholder

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

An independent, non-profit, and non-partisan social research, advocacy, learning, and capacity-building organization

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Center for Research and Policy Development (CRPD)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

270021.86

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

- **Produced a documentary on civil rights activists' contribution to transition – Solo Sandeng Foundation**
- **Supported maintenance of Memory House by ANEKED**
- **Supported CSOs in nationwide rolling exhibition and setting up Human Rights Friendly Schools**
- **Supported ICTJ in partnership with the Government and NHRC in the establishment of the national witness protection mechanism.**
- **Commenced the Justice Assessment of the justice system**
- **Capacity building activities at Memory House organized by ANEKED Victim Family**
- **CRPD commenced the production of the Transitional Justice Report, Policy Briefs, Background Papers, and Blog Posts.**
- **Survivors of the Presidential Alternative Treatment Programme, (SPATP) organized a capacity-building activity for family members of victims of former President Jammeh's sham HIV/AIDS treatment.**
- **Commenced the development and production of TRRC Implementation Score Card;**
- **Community dialogue on judicial and non-judicial responses to SGBV crimes commenced by ICTJ**
- **Conducted radio programmes; Supported WAVE's collaboration with GFD on enhancing PWDs participation during the implementation of TRRC recommendations**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Women In Liberation and Leadership (WILL)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

93433.52

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

- **Conducted residential training with policymakers, local government officials, and community leaders on peacebuilding and social cohesion in Foni.**
- **Conducted a capacity-building training of FLAG and NALA Legal Aid Officers, prosecutors and practicing lawyers on Transitional Justice and Strategic Litigation**
- **Commenced the review of the existing Legal Aid guidance with NALA and development of a 3-year strategic plan for FLAG Legal Aid Clinic to enhance interventions**
- **Conducted safe spaces for SGBV survivors.**
- **Supported the Victim's Podcast – The Yelef Initiative**
- **Knowledge products developed to raise awareness about the TRRC-gendered recommendations – Yakarr Justice**
- **Advocacy meetings conducted with the security sector, policymakers and the private sector for, and support the implementation of key TRRC**
- **Advocacy tools which include policy briefs, road maps and position papers for public and private sector entities are developed with Fantanka**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

University of The Gambia (UTG)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

62713.62

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

- **Supported the operationalization of the UTG Centre on Transitional Justice and Sustainable Peace.**
- **Supported the UTG Graduate Scheme will provide interns to the Ministry of Justice, and other justice sectors working in the Implementation of the TRRC Recommendations.**
- **Perception study of the TRRC, assessing the progress on the implementation of its recommendations commenced.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

National Human Rights Commission (NHRC)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

48801.22

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

- **Organized a stakeholders' forum to review progress in the implementation of the Government White Paper on the TRRC recommendations and validation of the 2024 status report.**
- **Supported the NHRC with equipment for the effective monitoring of the White Paper.**
- **Organized quarterly meetings of the Multi-Stakeholder Committee to support the monitoring of the implementation of recommendations of the TRRC.**
- **A comprehensive monitoring plan to guide the NHRC in effectively monitoring the implementation of the White Paper developed**
- **Supported the effective operationalization of the NHRC Multisectoral Stakeholder**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

Umbrella organization for NGOs operating in The Gambia

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

The Association of Non-Governmental Organisations (TANGO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? *

43361.70

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

- **Conducted townhall meetings and community radio engagements; consultative meetings with the justice sector;**
- **Conducted quarterly advocacy briefs on specific thematic areas**
- **Conducted meetings of thematic working groups on the implementation of the TRRC recommendations including support to the GFD to host a series of engagements with PwDs on the TRRC recommendations.**
- **Beakanyang conducted trainings on transitional justice and human rights for human rights school club teachers, security personnel and human rights defenders, community radio talk shows**
- **Beakanyang established a Human Rights Defenders Network to coordinate and provide support to the work undertaken by human rights defenders across the country.**

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 3044150.00	* 2283112.50	* 1131083.40	37.16%
	*	*	*	%
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	* 450000.27	* 337500.21	* 129888.87	28.86%

TOTAL	3494150.27	2620612.71	1260972.27	36.0 9%
--------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	--------------------------

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **36.09%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

39

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

31.99

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1117778.67**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1117795.08

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 403385.03**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

324168.30

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF-Post TRRC 00129730_Financial_Report-16_21_52.xlsb



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

Yes

No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

During the reporting period, the Project Steering Committee (PSC) convened twice to assess project progress and make key decisions regarding implementation.

The initial meeting took place on January 25th during the first quarter of the year. The main objective of the meeting was focused on reviewing the status of ongoing project activities and to approve the Annual Work Plan for 2024. A significant outcome from this meeting was the PSC's endorsement of the 2024 work plan, which outlined key activities for the year.

The second meeting was held on the 8th of October 2024 to discuss the need for an exceptional no-cost extension in order to continue project implementation and approval of an accelerated work plan aimed at ensuring the timely completion of remaining activities. Following discussions, the committee agreed to request an exceptional six-month no-cost extension, utilizing the available resources to complete the implementation of the TRRC recommendations. The revised work plan was also unanimously approved.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Post-TRRC project (UNDP/OHCHR) has been actively engaging with the government at the national level, over the past six months, on matters related to transitional justice and human rights. As part of this effort, the project financially supported the hiring of a Special Advisor to the Ministry of Justice (MoJ), who heads the Post-TRRC unit, and a National Legal Consultant. The Advisor's role is to provide expertise on transitional justice matters. Through her advisory role, she developed guidelines to assist the MoJ in selecting Commissioners for the Victims' Reparations Commission and oversaw various MOJ activities supported by the Project.

The Project engaged with the MOJ through the Special Adviser and her team on a range of substantive and project implementation issues. For example, the Project supported the Ministry organise a training for law enforcement officials on the prevention and prohibition of torture. Participants included representatives of Gambia Police Force, Gambia Armed Forces, the Drug, Law Enforcement Agency, and the Gambia Prisons Service.

Another engagement was at national and regional levels by supporting a consultative meeting between the Joint Technical Committee (JTC) and ECOWAS Member State Ambassadors and Representatives to The Gambia, at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs on 26 March 2024. The main purpose of the meeting was to update ECOWAS Ambassadors and Representatives on the progress made by the Joint Technical Committee in developing the legal instruments aimed at establishing a Special Tribunal for The Gambia.

Furthermore, on 5 March 2024, the Post-TRRC Project hosted the National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) and CRIMJUST to discuss the proposed guidelines on the prevention and prohibition of torture for use by the Police Force and relevant stakeholders. The discussions also centered on developing standard operating procedures to provide guidance for law enforcement agencies in their responses to torture-related matters

*

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has made significant strides in completing key preliminary and preparatory activities, establishing a solid foundation for implementation. In January 2024, the Project Steering Committee (PSC) convened to review and approve the 2024 Annual Work Plan, which ensured that activities were well-coordinated and aligned with the project's objectives. All recruitments, and partner identification has been completed through the signing of Agreements with key institutions, including the MOJ, the University of The Gambia, and the National NHRC. These Agreements demonstrate a strong commitment from national partners, ensuring their active involvement in advancing project activities, particularly in the implementation of the TRRC recommendations. To streamline the management of civil society organizations (CSOs), the project adopted a new approach of clustering them into three categories, which simplified administrative processes. Agreements were signed with the three Cluster leads further reinforcing accountability and provided a framework for structured collaboration within the project.

In terms of staffing, A new Project manager was recruited in April 2024 following the resignation of the previous manager. This transition in leadership has facilitated the smooth continuation of the project, allowing key decisions to be made without interrupting its progress. Currently, the project is at 39% delivery. The new Project manager, in collaboration with the project team, has been working diligently to push for higher delivery rates and ensure that project goals are met effectively and efficiently.

Furthermore, in September 2024, the project gained additional support through the expertise of a Project Associate on detail assignment to assist the project following the resignation of the substantive Project Associate. This strategic move aimed to fill the gap left by the previous associate's departure and to leverage specialized skills that would contribute positively to the project's progress.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

*

Yes

No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project is currently not on track for the timely completion of outputs as outlined in the workplan. Several factors have contributed to delays, impacting the overall implementation timeline:

Delay in the recruitment of key project staff: The recruitment of key project staff, including the Project manager, Programme Associate and Monitoring & Evaluation Specialist, created a vacuum and delay in the timely implementation of project activities. The resignation of the previous Project Manager in January created a temporary gap in leadership, which affected the overall pace of project activities. Additionally, the new Manager required time to get fully oriented with the project's complexities and existing challenges. Furthermore, the resignation of the Rule of Law Analyst, National Human Rights Officer, and Project Associate further disrupted operations, impacting continuity and slowing down implementation.

Operational Challenges at the Ministry of Justice: The Post-TRRC unit within the MoJ was only fully operational only as of January 2024. Prior to this, the lack of dedicated staff meant that activities were not implemented as effectively as required, causing further delays.

Political and Institutional Coordination Challenges: Engaging with multiple stakeholders, government ministries, CSOs, and international partners—has been a time-intensive process. The complexity of coordinating these efforts, particularly in finalizing the Government's implementation plans for the TRRC recommendations has extended timelines beyond initial projections.

Complexity of Implementing TRRC Recommendations

The project's focus on the implementation of the TRRC recommendations has added complexity to the implementation timelines. Key activities, such as the prosecution of cases recommended by the TRRC to ensure justice and accountability for human rights violations, involve intricate coordination among multiple stakeholders, including the establishment of a hybrid court. These processes are both sensitive and time-consuming, requiring a lot of planning to ensure that the outcomes are impactful and align with the goals set by the TRRC.

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Significant progress has been made in supporting the Gambian government's implementation of Post-TRRC recommendations, focusing on coordination, capacity building, and stakeholder engagement to advance justice, reconciliation and the rule of law. A dedicated team within the Ministry of Justice, including a Special Advisor, Legal Consultant, and Procurement Specialist, has enhanced the Post-TRRC Unit's capacity to lead the implementation of TRRC recommendations effectively. Project funding supported a consultative meeting on 26 March 2024 with 22 stakeholders (7 females, 15 males), including ECOWAS ambassadors, to discuss a regional tribunal for addressing human rights abuses. This collaboration advanced legal frameworks for justice and emphasized collective accountability for lasting peace.

Progress was made in enhancing the justice sector's capacity to address TRRC-related cases, through a FLAG-led workshop that equipped 31 legal professionals (25 females & 6 males) on handling SGBV cases. Strengthening The Gambia's SGBV legal frameworks aligned with international human rights standards. Additionally, Civil Litigation Training for 36 state counsels (20 females & 16 males) bolstered trial advocacy and cross-examination skills, strengthening the sector's capacity for fair and efficient legal processes. These efforts enhance survivor support, drive reforms, and build a resilient justice system, promoting trust and contributing to broader peacebuilding.

Furthermore, the Training of Trainers session held in March 2024, in partnership with CRIMJUST-UNODC, strengthened oversight and accountability mechanisms related to torture and SGBV. 50 participants (20 females & 30 males) from law enforcement and the justice sector, the training bolstered institutional capacity to uphold human rights standards in cases of SGBV and torture. The knowledge gained by participants on African common law and monitoring practices in the context of organized crime contributed to a more robust justice system capable of addressing human rights violations effectively.

To enhance accountability for TRRC recommendations, the NHRC held a validation workshop in September 2024, with 73 participants (31 females, 42 males), including 8 rural victims, to review the status of the implementation of the Government's White Paper. As of May 2024, 16 of 263 recommendations were fully implemented, 192 were ongoing and 55 were yet to be initiated, reflecting a 10% implementation rate. The NHRC's online monitoring tool has enabled real-time tracking of each recommendation status, increased accountability and enable stakeholders to address delays. This strengthened the framework for justice, boosting public confidence in reconciliation efforts.

At community level, the project prioritized grassroots engagement to build societal understanding and ownership of transitional justice. A series of town hall meetings across Gambia's regions, attended by 289 community members (190 females & 99 males), 8 PWDs, empowered citizens to counter misinformation and actively participate in discussions around TRRC recommendations. These gatherings catalysed community-led support for reconciliation and justice, fostering greater inclusivity in the transitional justice process.

The project made strides in promoting peacebuilding, social cohesion and community-led reconciliation through training sessions. The training engaged 25 influential community leaders (10 females & 15 males), equipping them with essential skills to foster peace within their communities. The training helped unify key community figures under shared peacebuilding goals, instilling a sense of collective ownership for reconciliation. A key statement from one of the Chiefs exemplified this unity when he stated, "We are inviting the entire WILL team to join us in our communities for a larger gathering focused on reconciliation. This initiative allows us to move beyond past political grievances. I will also invite all members of my community to seek forgiveness and work towards reconciliation for a brighter future for our children".

Safe space sessions conducted by WILL provided essential emotional support for SGBV survivors, enabling them to openly discuss experiences and reduce stigma in their communities. These sessions, attended by marginalized victims, fostered resilience among participants, with many expressing appreciations for having a supportive environment to share their stories. One participant's feedback underscores the transformative power of these sessions: "One thing I appreciate about these safe spaces is that it's the only gathering where we can truly discuss what happened to us. It's the only time we feel comfortable opening up and acknowledging the violations we experienced. Without your presence, we wouldn't even dare to talk about it among ourselves. This space is truly valuable."

Further, A capacity-building workshop for Human Rights Defenders improved advocacy skills, while SPATP's training for families affected by Jammeh's HIV/AIDS treatment enhanced economic independence for 27 participants (21

women, 4 men, 2 PWDs). These initiatives empowered victims strengthened community involvement in transitional justice and ensured diverse representation in implementing TRRC recommendations.

The project promoted a more inclusive approach to transitional justice, ensuring that both gender and disability considerations are integrated into the monitoring and reporting processes of the TRRC recommendations. ICTJ's community dialogues engaged 108 community members (50 females & 54 males), and 4 PWDs, advancing a victim-centered transitional justice process by raising awareness of the TRRC's recommendations. Additionally, WAVE and GFD's activities reached 40 participants, promoting the Disability Act and TRRC recommendations. This strengthens the accountability of the justice sector and fostering long-term peace and reconciliation.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Gender equality and women's empowerment have been advanced through the training provided on civil litigation for State Counsels. The training successfully reached 69% of women, equipping them with the necessary knowledge and skills to effectively handle civil litigation cases. This training ensured that women are actively involved in civil litigation but also promoted their leadership roles within the legal system.

WILL spearheaded a residential training that included 10 influential female leaders who participated in peacebuilding, social cohesion, and reconciliation workshops. Through this training, women leaders were equipped with the skills necessary to drive social change and mediate conflict at the grassroots level. Notably, many women committed to share knowledge gained during community gatherings, further promoting women's empowerment in community peacebuilding. Additionally, the training included youth leaders, providing them with critical skills in peacebuilding and social cohesion. Young participants from this program expressed a strong commitment to using their new knowledge to foster reconciliation, acting as youth ambassadors for peace within their respective communities. By involving youth leaders directly in the peacebuilding process, the project aligns with the TRRC's goals for fostering social cohesion and ensuring that young leaders can advocate for lasting peace within their communities.

The training organised by FLAG focused on equipping female and male legal professionals to better handle SGBV cases, a critical aspect of transitional justice. With 81% of attendees being women, the workshop not only strengthened professional skills but also empowered female lawyers and prosecutors to advocate effectively for legislative reforms and fair treatment for SGBV survivors.

Additionally, the town hall meetings included a gender-diverse group including women and youths, reflecting the project's commitment to broad societal representation in transitional justice dialogue. By actively involving women in these discussions, the project empowered female and youth participants with the knowledge and confidence to share information on TRRC recommendations within their communities, amplifying their voices in community-based justice processes.

The project has made significant strides in promoting gender equality, women's empowerment, and youth inclusion through activities that directly address the needs and challenges of these groups. The safe spaces created by WILL serve as a cornerstone for gender-responsive support, particularly for survivors of SGBV who continue to endure trauma long after the TRRC process.

Through these inclusive approaches, the project has strengthened Gender Equality, Women's Empowerment, and Youth Inclusion as key pillars within its activities. Women have been empowered to lead in peacebuilding and transitional justice, enhancing their roles as community leaders and advocates for legislative change.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

Yes

No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The Government has taken significant steps to adopt effective measures and processes to enable the implementation of TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards. In March 2023, the Prevention of Torture Act was passed. A statement from the Ministry of Justice says, "The passing of this Act plays a central role in our transitional justice process especially in a bid to ensure accountability for gross human rights violations under the previous government and to ensuring that these atrocities never reoccur (GRTS - Gambia Radio and Television Services - Prevention against Torture Act passed). Additionally, The Victims' Reparations Act passed November 2023 is a step towards government initiating a reparations program based on the TRRC recommendations. By responding to victims' needs the government has demonstrated its commitment to promoting justice, reconciliation, and respect for human rights in The Gambia.

There have been some notable peacebuilding results under Outcome 2 of the project, facilitated by the Special Adviser to the Minister of Justice and a National Consultant to the Ministry of Justice, both hired by the project. With the support of the Special Adviser and Consultant, the Ministry launched the ECOWAS Joint Technical Committee to create a hybrid court, demonstrating international collaboration in ensuring justice. This partnership aims to draw on best practices from other tribunals to guide the process effectively. The establishment of an Internationalized Court not only seeks to deliver justice to victims but also aims to pave the way for lasting peace and reconciliation within the nation. By addressing past human rights abuses through a formal legal process, this will contribute to healing societal wounds, fostering reconciliation, and promoting a culture of respect for human rights moving forward.

On 22 April 2024, the National Assembly passed two significant bills which were assented to by the President in May 2024: The Special Accountability Mechanism Act and The Special Prosecutor's Office Act. These Laws are expected to initiate the prosecution process for cases arising from the TRRC recommendations. The project supported this initiative by providing technical support, with some of its staff members actively engaged in the discussions, review, and validation of the bills.

The Special Accountability Mechanism Bill addresses the crimes specified in the Government White Paper, providing a framework for accountability. The Special Prosecutor's Office Bill establishes the Special Prosecutor's Office as an independent entity with the authority to investigate and prosecute serious human rights violations and domestic and international crimes outlined in the Government White Paper.

In a press release issued by the Ministry of Justice on 22 April 2024, it was emphasized that these two bills mark a historical milestone for The Gambia's transitional justice programme, representing a significant step in operationalizing mechanisms for accountability within the country.

In January, the Judiciary established a Special Criminal Division of the High Court which has jurisdiction to try cases arising from the implementation of the TRRC recommendations. This development aligns with outcome two of the Project which in part focuses on the trial of perpetrators of human rights violations. This development will benefit from the Project's assistance to build the capacity of judges appointed in 2023.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

https://x.com/Gambia_MOJ/status/1783111428565549437,
https://x.com/Gambia_MOJ/status/1809363460708286491/photo/1

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *
The Government adopts effective measures and processes to enable implementation of TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards and responding to victims' and women needs.

Outcome 2: *
The Justice Sector demonstrates commitment and capacity to prosecute cases emanating from the TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards.

Outcome 3: *
The National Human Rights Commission and civil society organizations monitor, report, and support the overall implementation of TRRC recommendations using a human rights-based approach (gender responsive and disability inclusive) (Phase I and II)

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: The Government adopts effective measures and processes to enable implementation of TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards and responding to victims' and women needs.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Formal cooperation mechanisms in place for the government to implement TRRC recommendations	0	At least 3 MoUs signed between government institutions, CSOs	<p>A partnership platform (between the government, CSOs, and the private sector) was officially launched to bring together partners in The Gambia to streamline their support towards the implementation of the TRRC recommendations</p> <p>6 MOUs signed between CSOs</p>	
1.2	The level of satisfaction of survivors and victims' families. with the TRRC recommendations	0	At least 75 % of persons surveyed per disaggregated category (victim/non-victim, woman/man, youth/adult etc) are satisfied.	91% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied with the TRRC processes and report (Survey by CRPD, 2022)	

1.3	Government financial contribution towards reparations	1 million	2 million	A total of 1,009 victims, including West African Migrants, qualified for final reparations. Of these, 198 received D50,000 or less, paid in full, while 757 received more than D50,000 on a pro-rata basis. The 54 West African Migrants are to be paid D32,400,000 by the government. The government must cover an additional D168,820,831 out of a total D205,820,780 to complete the reparations package.	With the enactment of the Victims' Reparations Bill, reviewed with project funding, the government is establishing the Reparations Commission to pay out the balance. In collaboration with the project, the government has initiated urgent medical assistance for victims pending the commission's establishment.
-----	---	-----------	-----------	--	---

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

The Government is enabled to issue a comprehensive Government White Paper on implementation of the TRRC recommendations (Phase I only)

Output 1.2

The Government is supported to adopt essential laws and strategies and establish credible mechanisms to implement TRRC recommendations

Output 1.3

The Government and other relevant national stakeholders are supported to engage with UN human rights mechanisms on issues relating to the implementation of TRRC recommendations (Phase II)

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 1.1**

Output 1.1: The Government is enabled to issue a comprehensive Government White Paper on implementation of the TRRC recommendations (Phase I only)	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	Government approach to the development of the White Paper is consultative and inclusive	0	All Government institutions mentioned in the TRRC recommendations are consulted, including at least 20 CSOs (including at least 10 victims' and women's associations)	Achieved	All government ministries, as well as 16 CSOs with 14 of them being	

1.1.2	The white Paper is comprehensive and informed by a broad range of documentation and evidence	Final Report includes 16 Thematic Reports	Citation of at least 10 other information/evidence not limited to the Final Report	Achieved	Achieved (11 citations included in the White Paper)	
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: The Government is supported to adopt essential laws and strategies and establish credible mechanisms to implement TRRC recommendations	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Establishment of a well-coordinated and gender-inclusive, consultative, functioning Technical Committee including all relevant post-TRRC stakeholders	The previous TJHR Project had a similar Technical Committee, who met intermittently and barely during the COVID-19 pandemic	Improve the composition of the existing Technical Committee by including emerging post-TRRC actors, and at least 3 victims' associations represented, and 2 meetings held each quarter (8 meetings year)	ToRs for technical committee were revised and 3 meetings held	Post-TRRC Technical committee was reactivated, and 3 meetings held	Since the formation of the committee in 2022, there has been a variance in the number of meetings held. This variance can be attributed to the late disbursement of the 2nd tranche due to some financial reversals and breakdown that needed to be done on Quantum. The delay in receiving the funds directly impacted the scheduling and frequency of meetings.

1.2.2	Legislation, strategies, and policies developed/reviewed and adopted to ensure effectiveness national reparations, memorialization, and reconciliation are fully implemented.	Inadequate legislation, frameworks, strategies, and policies for the administration of reparations, reconciliation, and memorialization	At least 1 legislation or other enforceable instrument is adopted on reparations and reconciliation	Achieved	1 (The Victim's Reparations Act,2023)	
1.2.3	Existence of communication strategies on national reparations and reconciliation	TRRC Guidance Note on Reparations at the TRRC	1 communication strategy on national reparations, and 1 communication strategy on national reconciliation	1 Post – TRRC Communication that covers reparation & reconciliation	1 Post – TRRC communication strategy	

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: The Government and other relevant national stakeholders are supported to engage with UN human rights mechanisms on issues relating to the implementation of TRRC recommendations (Phase II)	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	Recommendations of UN human rights mechanisms, are accepted and referenced in national human rights reporting	2 (WGEID in 2017 and SR on TJ in 2019)	At least 3	1 (1 Stakeholder report to the UPR working Group)	2 (1 NHRC's shadow report on CEDAW 1 Stakeholder report to the UPR working Group in preparation of the country's review on UPR in December 2025.	

1.3.2	Existence of an NMRF Secretariat with a fully operationalize reporting database to track the implementation of the TRRC recommendations, disaggregated by the nature of the crimes and regions	No NMRF Secretariat with no database	1 NMRF Secretariat with functioning database	0	0	OHCHR.s support to create a database is to countries that have established a formal statutory NMRF. Currently, The Gambia's NMRF operates on an ad hoc basis under the Ministry of Justice. The objective is to encourage the government to transition to a formal statutory framework, ensuring a sustainable and institutionalized approach to monitoring and reporting.
1.3.3	5 national human rights reports between 2018-2021	5 national human rights reports between 2018-2021	10	1	2	

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» **Outcome 2: The Justice Sector demonstrates commitment and capacity to prosecute cases emanating from the TRRC recommendations in line with international human rights standards.**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	# of justice/security institutions and personnel utilizing new acquired capacity and facilities relevant to implementation of TRRC recommendations	0	At least 50%	0	Data to be collected during the perception study.
2.2	% of recommended cases started or being prosecuted by the Justice sector	0	At least 50%	0	Awaiting the establishment of the Hybrid court.
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

The Judiciary, Ministry of Justice, and Ministry of Interior (Police) are supported to ensure prosecution of cases arising from TRRC recommendations (Phase I & II).

Output 2.2

Enhanced civil society, particularly victim participation in the activities of the justice sector on the prosecution of cases emanating from TRRC recommendations (Phase I and II).

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 2.1**

Output 2.1: The Judiciary, Ministry of Justice, and Ministry of Interior (Police) are supported to ensure prosecution of cases arising from TRRC recommendations (Phase I & II).	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	The adoption of new legislation that will ensure that cases recommended by the TRRC can be prosecuted	Limited legislation to address the TRRC recommendations on prosecutions	Adoption of the International Crimes Bill, the Torture Bill, the Criminal Code Bill, and the Criminal Procedure Code Bill	2 Bills passed (The Special Accountability Mechanism Bill and The Special Prosecutor's Office Bill).	3 (Prevention of Torture Act, The Special Accountability Mechanism Bill and The Special Prosecutor's Office Bill)	

2.1.2	Existence of a national witness protection mechanism	0	Adoption of legislation or other enforceable instrument establishing a national witness protection mechanism	0	0	NHRC has developed a national witness protection policy, but it needs to be adopted by the government.
2.1.3	Number of justice and security actors that have knowledge to investigate crimes arising from the TRRC recommendations	25 judges, unknown number of security actors	40 judges, 30 prosecutors and 100 police officers)	117 (75F;42M)	119 (77F;42M)	

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Enhanced civil society, particularly victim participation in the activities of the justice sector on the prosecution of cases emanating from TRRC recommendations (Phase I and II).	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Level of victim satisfaction with justice sector actors' engagement with them	0	75% of victims surveyed satisfied	0	0	
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» **Outcome 3: The National Human Rights Commission and civil society organizations monitor, report, and support the overall implementation of TRRC recommendations using a human rights-based approach (gender responsive and disability inclusive) (Phase I and II)**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	% increase of the population who express confidence and satisfaction on the human-rights based approach in the implementation of the TRRC recommendations. Disaggregated by sex, age and regions.	75% of population satisfied	0	0	Awaiting findings from the perception study
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

National debate on the findings and recommendations of the TRRC is enhanced

Output 3.2

The NHRC, media, academia, and civil society, particularly victim, women and youth-led organizations monitor, advocate for, and support the implementation of key TRRC recommendations paying special attention to gender based violence and women's rights, whilst preventing misinformation, disinformation and hate speech

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 3.1**

Output 3.1: National debate on the findings and recomm endation s of the TRRC is enhance d	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	Increase cooperation and knowledge of CSOs involved in transitional justice, human rights, and gender equality on the implementation of the TRRC recommendations.	Limited coordination and knowledge of CSOs in transitional justice	Action plans developed by CSOs to support the TRRC recommendations	0	2	
3.1.2	Reports prepared and disseminated on the status of implementation of TRRC recommendations.	0	Quarterly reports issued discussing all areas of the TRRC recommendations	1	2	
3.1.3						

<p>Output 3.2: The NHRC, media, academia, and civil society, particularly victims, women and youth-led organizations monitor, advocate for, and support the implementation of key TRRC recommendations paying special attention to gender based violence and women's rights, whilst preventing misinformation, disinformation and hate speech</p>	<p>Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>

3.2.1	Informal and formal justice actors, CSOs and relevant stakeholders trained on the monitoring and reporting on transitional justice and human right. Disaggregated by regions and sex.	0		32 (22F;10M) trained	65(36F;29M) trained	
3.2.2	Existence of a national gender inclusive communication strategy undertaken by key stakeholders on the post TRRC processes and procedures	0	0	1 post-TRRC communication strategy	1 post-TRRC communication strategy	
3.2.3	Existence of a comprehensive NHRC monitoring framework, including risk log matrix and follow up systems for implementation of TRRC recommendations.	0	1	Ongoing	Ongoing	Awaiting approval to contract Consultant from Gambia Public Procurement Authority to develop monitoring framework

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

.....

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 4.1**

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

.....

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Donor briefings and pledging conferences	December	Banjul	Moj, CSOs, INGOs, Donor Agencies, UN Agencies	Resource mobilisation for the implementation of the TRRC recommendations.
Event 2	Transitional Justice Forums	Forum 1: 21-22, November 2024, Forum 2: 12-13 December, 2024	TBD	Moj, CSOs, NHRC, INGOs, Government entities	Facilitate discussions on the implementation of TRRC recommendations and national development

Event 3	Regional Youth Conference on Youth Participation in the TJ Process, Governance and Peacebuilding	28-29th November	Greater Banjul Area	Youths	To generate interest in transitional justice and encourage them to invest more time in covering transitional justice.
Event 4	Documentary that captures the stories of Solo Sandeng and other victims through the lens of their family and commentaries from civil society and legal /constitutional experts	November	Nationwide	Victims' family members, civil society actors, legal/constitutional experts and other people who may be relevant to telling the story.	Victims' family members, civil society actors, legal/constitutional experts and other people who may be relevant to telling the story.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
 - ii. The impact of the project in their lives
 - iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

<p>1</p>	<p>Beneficiaries</p>	<p>Karamo Janneh and Bubacarr Jallow, both senior secondary school teachers, who received training in human rights education. Karamo shared how this training has inspired him to become an advocate for human rights in his school. His story highlights the transformative power of education in shaping individuals who actively contribute to promoting and protecting human rights. He highlighted that being trained on Human Rights was extremely impactful as he didn't know that corporal punishment for students was a violation of student's rights as they inflict harm on the students, he added that subsequent to completing the training, alternative form of discipline are now adopted and that students at his public school now know their rights and stand up for themselves, for which he considers it as progress. The main aim of their training was to raise awareness and foster personal conviction and commitment towards human rights among their students. Karamo admitted that subconsciously, he violated the rights of his students through punishments, but the training enlightened him on the importance of human rights, because only through personal commitments can a sustainable culture of respect and promotion of rights be achieved for all.</p>	<p>Bubacarr Jallow has dedicated his career to instilling a deep understanding of human rights in his students. Bubacarr explained their experience of unconsciously violating human rights of students prior to receiving the training. He said, "some students were molested by teachers and some experienced domestic issues at home, which affected their education". He confidently expressed his satisfaction with the training and added that, "after undergoing the human rights training, I am able to better transfer my knowledge to the students who now respect each other and rectify things in a better way". Bubacarr now incorporates real-life examples and interactive activities in his lectures so as to create an inclusive and respectful classroom environment that fosters empathy and critical thinking.</p>
-----------------	-----------------------------	---	--

<p>2</p>	<p>Beneficiaries</p>	<p>Victims of SGBV, particularly those affected during Jammeh's regime, endured trauma, discrimination, and stigma due to their experiences. Many avoided discussing their experiences due to fear of judgment or disbelief from their communities, which often dismissed or doubted the validity of their suffering. This isolation hindered their healing, as they lacked safe platforms to share and validate their stories. The Safe Spaces sessions held by WILL created a confidential, supportive environment where victims could reconnect, share their experiences, and receive psychosocial support. These sessions helped victims feel heard and validated and provided a community of understanding. The sessions also raised awareness about SGBV and the TRRC recommendations, empowering victims with knowledge and a sense of belonging. By fostering trust within these groups, the project allowed victims to openly discuss their trauma, which significantly contributed to their emotional well-being and collective healing.</p>	<p>Victims express feelings of joy, peace of mind, and happiness when together, which helps them forget personal struggles. One participant shared, "This is not my first time attending a session where I can express what's in my heart freely, without fear or judgment. Initially, I came into this space feeling quite down due to personal reasons and didn't intend to participate. However, seeing my fellow victims who have experienced similar violations made me forget my own struggles. The joy, peace of mind, and happiness I feel whenever we are together completely uplift me." The safe spaces play a transformative role, providing survivors of violence with the support and trust needed to openly share their experiences without fear or judgment. Another participant added, "One thing I appreciate about these safe spaces is that it's the only gathering where we can truly discuss what happened to us. It's the only time we feel comfortable opening up and acknowledging the violations we experienced. Without your presence, we wouldn't even dare to talk about it among ourselves. This space is truly valuable."</p>
----------	----------------------	--	--

<p>3</p>	<p>Women in Liberation and Leadership (WILL)</p>	<p>WILL, as a Women-Led CSO, aimed to support female victims of SGBV but faced challenges in reaching vulnerable populations effectively, especially those in rural and hard-to-reach areas. They also encountered barriers in encouraging victims to engage due to pervasive stigma and fear of reprisal in the community. Through the Safe Spaces initiative, WILL provided structured, confidential support groups across multiple regions. These spaces enabled victims to access TRRC recommendations and related information in an approachable and inclusive way. WILL's efforts have strengthened community engagement, allowed for the exchange of experiences, and helped to combat stigma. Their work has fostered a more inclusive approach to transitional justice, increasing community resilience and healing through structured support.</p>	
<p>4</p>			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.
Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL
 Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

<https://x.com/WomenInLiberat1/status/1838936991452283257>

Link 2

OPTIONAL

<https://x.com/WomenInLiberat1/status/1837116749486973080>

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The story above exemplifies how knowledge particularly in human rights, empowered teachers to become advocates for social change within their communities. By undergoing training in human rights education secondary school teachers were able to gain a deeper understanding of fundamental rights and how they apply in real-life situations. This knowledge not only equipped them with the necessary tools to identify violations of human rights but also inspired them to take action and advocate for change within the school environment recognising the importance of adopting alternative forms of discipline that respect the dignity and rights of students.

The Safe Spaces helped empower victims of SGBV, providing them with psychosocial support and creating platforms for them to share their experiences. This demonstrates the strengthened capacities of both the victims and the civil society organization to offer support and advocate for justice, fostering local partnerships for community healing

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

This training on human rights was conducted in partnership with Beakanyang, a local civil society organization, which is one of the project's implementation partners under the TANGO cluster.

WILL worked to strengthen community engagement in hard-to-reach areas, overcoming challenges such as stigma and fear. Through their activities they improved access to TRRC recommendations and fostered community resilience, showcasing partnerships with local communities to promote inclusive transitional justice.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

UNDP, OHCHR and CRIMJUST-UNODC, collaborated to conduct a Training of Trainers for law enforcement and justice sector actors. The event was aimed to enhance their understanding of oversight and accountability mechanisms in the context of preventing and prohibition of torture and Sexual and Gender-Based Violence (SGBV)

The project is being implemented through partnerships with local civil society organisations such as WILL, FLAG, WAVE, Yakarr Justice, Gambia Federation of Persons with Disabilities, ANEKED, Yelef, Fantanka, Beakanyang, TANGO, Solo Sandeng Foundation, Charter 70, CRPD and Gambia Centre for Victims of Human Rights Violations who are engaged in various activities.

The Project has collaborated with the University of the Gambia in operationalising of the Transitional Justice and Sustainable Peace Center. This collaboration also involves conducting a perception survey of the TRRC, which assesses the progress on the implementation of its recommendations. The findings from this survey will provide valuable insights into the progress made towards achieving the project outcomes and guide further actions in support of transitional justice and sustainable peace

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project team, UNDP & OHCHR held weekly coordination meetings on the progress and challenges in implementation.

The project meetings with implementing partners to discuss their concept notes, work plans, FACE & ICE forms in preparation of implementation of activities. These discussions were crucial in preparing for the successful implementation of project activities by ensuring that all parties were on the same page regarding timelines, responsibilities, and deliverables.

Also, during the implementation of activities, the project team undertook monitoring field visits to monitor and assess the progress and/or identify lessons, challenges, which feed into subsequent planning work.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- Yes
- No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Most Outcome Indicators have baselines (e.g.: Formal cooperation mechanisms in place for the government to implement the TRRC recommendations - 0; Victim Satisfaction - 0; Government financial contribution towards reparations - 1 million; Percentage of cases started or being prosecuted by justice sector - 0 % increase of the population who express confidence and satisfaction on the human rights-based approach in the implementation of the TRRC recommendation - 0

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

In reporting on indicators, various sources of evidence have been utilized to provide comprehensive and accurate information. The following are some of the key sources used: partners reports, newspaper articles, social media platforms (Twitter etc) victims' survey report, monitoring reports.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The perception study being carried out by University of The Gambia contributes to obtaining outcome-level data.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes, the project has utilized and established community feedback mechanisms. The project leverages the already existing structures within the communities that have been set up by implementing partners in the community. By utilizing these existing structures, the project could tap into local resources, knowledge, and relationships that have been cultivated over time. During the project activities and monitoring visits, participants were encouraged to openly share feedback with the project team. To ensure confidentiality, sensitive information is shared through one-on-one meetings. This approach allowed participants to express their thoughts, concerns, and suggestions in a safe environment without fear of judgment or retaliation. The project has made it a priority to promptly and appropriately respond to all feedback received.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

60000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The development of the Terms of Reference (ToR) for an independent evaluation is currently underway.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Sainey Ceesay	UNDP	Programme Specialist and Head of Governance	sainey.ceesay@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

- Yes
 No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

- Yes
 No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has demonstrated significant non-financial catalytic effects, facilitating broader peacebuilding changes in The Gambia. Through strategic legislative influence, the project spurred the enactment of key legislative bills such as the Victims Reparations Act, Ban From Public Office Act, and Former Presidents Act. These reforms have fortified the legal framework, aligning it with international human rights standards and addressing critical transitional justice issues.

In capacity building, targeted training sessions enhanced the capabilities of stakeholders and state counsel. The partner training enhanced advocacy and operational effectiveness in human rights and governance, while the civil litigation training upgraded the professional skills of legal practitioners, particularly in trial advocacy and government liability. These initiatives have strengthened the justice system's capacity to uphold justice and accountability.

Furthermore, the project facilitated networking and partnerships through thematic clustering of partners. This approach fostered stronger collaborations and sustained engagement among stakeholders, crucial for the continued advocacy and implementation of human rights initiative

Overall, these activities have collectively supported the development of a robust, transparent, and accountable governance framework, contributing to the long-term peacebuilding and democratic advancement in The Gambia.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Firstly, the project has played an important role in developing and establishing significant legal frameworks, such as the Prevention of Torture Act and the Victims' Reparations Act, which established a solid legal basis for addressing past abuses and ensuring ongoing adherence to human rights standards. Additionally, extensive training and development programs have been provided for government officials, law enforcement, and the judiciary. These initiatives, including civil litigation training and human rights training, are essential for building capacity to effectively implement and uphold the TRRC recommendations thus fostering a culture of accountability and justice.

Furthermore, the project actively supports socio-economic initiatives aimed at mitigating underlying conflict drivers and promoting sustainable development in The Gambia. A key aspect of this support is exemplified by the Partners' Training event, which involved 32 participants from government bodies, the National Human Rights Commission, and civil society organizations. This event focused on developing strategic action plans and enhancing institutional capacities to ensure effective implementation of the project's objectives. The training specifically targeted the enhancement of skills in the execution, results-based management, and effective communication strategies, facilitating economic recovery and the integration of vulnerable populations into society.

The town hall meetings organized by TANGO made significant strides toward educating Gambian communities about the TRRC's recommendations and the government's reparations and justice commitments. By equipping community members with accurate information, these sessions enabled participants to actively counter misinformation and foster informed discussions in their communities. The commitment from attendees to hold further meetings within their communities underscores the sustainability and long-term impact of this initiative as it encourages a widespread, community-led effort to promote reconciliation, accountability, and social cohesion across The Gambia. This initiative not only advances the TRRC's objectives but also builds a foundation for a nationally owned reconciliation process that is rooted in community engagement and resilience.

Through partnerships with key institutions such as the MoJ, NHRC, and civil society organizations, the project has laid the groundwork for enduring support in transitional justice and human rights initiatives. These partnerships ensure that peacebuilding efforts are integrated into local and national agendas, with institutions committed to continuing the work initiated by the project.

Efforts have been made to establish information-sharing mechanisms, such as the online monitoring tool that was launched by NHRC to provide real-time tracking of each recommendation's implementation, promoting transparency and enabling stakeholders to address delays more efficiently. By empowering stakeholders to monitor the government's progress, this promotes long-term accountability, ensuring that peacebuilding gains and TRRC recommendations continue to be prioritized and implemented.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
<p>Event 1</p>	<p>Project Steering Committee meeting</p>	<p>The project steering committee met on the 25th of January 2024. The PSC members were provided with a project update on the activities conducted namely Hussain Habre Conference that took place in Senegal, the stakeholder conference and the Ministerial Tour of UNHQ and State Capitals, which served to engage with donors and officials, as well as the capacity-building training for the Attorney General's Chambers, Criminal Prosecutions, and Civil Litigation Departments in The Gambia, aimed at uplifting legal practice standards in the country. Discussions were also on the results framework, on certain indicators and the annual work plan.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PSC members were requested to further review and respond to the annual work plan. If there are no objections within 3 working days, UNDP, OHCHR, and the Ministry of Justice will proceed with obtaining signatures. • MoJ to revert to the Project Team regarding the Terms of Reference (ToR) of the technical committee • Schedule the first technical committee meeting as soon as possible. • Project Team to provide a monitoring tool for the Ministry of Justice to collect data relating to Output 2.1.4 on interactions of victims with justice sector actors. • To start the process of applying for a no-cost extension.

<p>Event 2</p>	<p>2nd Technical Committee meeting (January 2024)</p>	<p>On 3 April 2024, the Post-TRRC Project held its second Technical Committee meeting following the Committee's inauguration in February. Attendees comprising representatives of CSOs, the NHRC, MOJ and University of the Gambia examined how use of action plans to guide the project implementation, updates on the monitoring and evaluation framework, and how best to coordinate efforts among implementing partners. The Post TRRC's Project Technical Committee meets twice each quarter.</p>	
<p>Event 3</p>	<p>3rd Technical Committee meeting</p>	<p>3rd Technical Committee meeting • On September 25, 2024, the 3rd technical committee meeting was held. Representatives from MoJ, WILL, UTG & NHRC provided updates on ongoing activities, shared key milestones achieved, and discussed challenges affecting implementation. • Amendments to the 2024 work plan are underway, with partners being consulted on their implementation progress. • Discussions are in progress regarding the reallocation of unutilized funds to partners who have completed their activities and require additional resources for fourth-quarter deliverables. • To expedite delivery and achieve the 75% expenditure threshold, funds are being reallocated to enable timely request for the third tranche from PBF. • The technical committee explored the feasibility of extending the project timeline without additional funding.</p>	<p>The technical committee endorsed and recommended requesting an exceptional no-cost extension to be presented to the Project Steering Committee for consideration.</p>

<p>Event 4</p>	<p>Project Steering Committee meeting (October 2024)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A presentation on the status of implementation was done focusing on the project's outcomes, outputs, and key activities aligned with each output to ensure effective implementation throughout the project's lifecycle. The project has a pending 3rd tranche of \$873,537.57 that is yet to be requested from PBF. • A discussion was held on the possibility of requesting an exceptional no-cost extension to allow the project to fully utilize available resources, complete remaining activities, and achieve project targets. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The PSC unanimously adopted and approved the revised 2024 Annual Work Plan. • PSC members resolved to request an exceptional 6 month no-cost extension, using the available resources to complete the implementation of the TRRC recommendations. • The PSC members unanimously approved the proposed revised work plan.
-----------------------	---	---	--

Event 5	Monitoring Field visit	<p>Activity monitoring was conducted on the 8th of November 2024 for an Internal workshop with the Ministry of Interior on the National witness Protection Policy. The workshop aimed at unpacking and discussing the National Witness Protection Policy. The workshop was attended by 28 (8 females & 20 males) participants from the Ministry of Interior, NHRC and American Bar Association.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To actualise the Victims and Witness Protection Policy, a comprehensive program and legislations for victims and witness protection should be established to ensure the safety and well-being of individuals involved in legal proceedings. • There is a need to develop and pass legislation that outlines the responsibilities, rights and support for victims and witnesses throughout the criminal justice process. • The need for seamless coordination between law enforcement, the judiciary, civil society organizations and development partners was highlighted as crucial for operationalizing the policy effectively. • Adequate funding and staffing were identified as key challenges. Stakeholders agreed on the need for sustained financial support to implement and maintain a functional witness protection program. • The workshop underscored the importance of capacity building of all those involved in implementing the policy. to build a culture of respect for witness confidentiality and safety.
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.