PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report	*
Semi-annual	
Annual	
Final	
Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2024-11-14	
2024-11-14	
Name and Title of Person submitting the report	*
Grace Gaskill, Development Associate, Public Sector Partnerships, ICTJ	
E-mail of Person submitting the report	*
ggaskill@ictj.org	
Name and Title of Person who approved the report	*
Kelli Muddell, Interim Director of Programs, ICTJ	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?	*
Yes	
O No	
Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?	*
You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.	
Yes	
○ No	
Not Applicable	

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?	*
Yes No	

Please se	lect the geographical regi	on in which the project is implem	nented
Asia	and the Pacific	Central & Southern Africa	East Africa
Euro	pe and Central Asia	Global	Latin America and the Caribean
Midd	lle East and North Africa	West Africa	
Country of	of project implementation		•
Beni	n	Burkina Faso	Cote D'Ivoire
Gam	bia	Ghana	Guinea
Guin	ea-Bissau	Liberia	Mali
Mau	ritania	Niger	Nigeria
Sene	gal	Sierra Leone	Togo
Othe	r, Specify		
Other, ple	ease specify		

Proje	ect Title	*
\bigcirc	00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia	
\bigcirc	00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response	
\bigcirc	00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia	
\bigcirc	00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia	
\bigcirc	00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia	
\bigcirc	00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia	
\bigcirc	00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech	
	00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact	
\bigcirc	00134237: Strengthening women's political participation and leadership through reformed legislation community-level leadership and political parties' engagement in The Gambia	
\bigcirc	00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia	
	00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention	
\bigcirc	00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations	
\bigcirc	00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change	
\bigcirc	00140771: Empowering Marginalized Young People for Inclusion in Civic Space and Local Infrastructures for Peace	
\bigcirc	Other, Specify	
Write	e the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document	*
EXAM host d	PLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and communities	

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/x/Wrf4dBmO

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented
If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa
Asia and the Pacific Central & Southern Africa East Africa
Europe and Central Asia Global Latin America and the Caribean
Middle East and North Africa West Africa
Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report
Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal
Please select the countries where this project is being implemented
Other, Please specify
Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)
2023-02-28
2023-02-28
Project End Date
2025-02-13
2025-02-13
Has this project received an extension?
YES, Cost Extension
YES, No Cost Extension
YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
NO, No Extensions
Will this project be requesting an extension?
YES, Cost Extension
YES, No Cost Extension
YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months?	*
Yes	
○ No	
Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?	*
Yes	
No	
If yes, please select which	*
National Trust Fund	
Regional Trust Fund	

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?	*
UN entity	
Non-UN Entity	

Please select the convening agen	cy recipient	•
ACTED	Action Aid UK	AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
AEDE	African Centre for the Construct	ive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
Agence de Coopération et de Re	cherche pour le Développement (ACORI	D)
American Friends Service Comm	ittee (AFSC) Avocats Sans Front	ières
Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium	Avocats sans frontières Canad	a Ayuda en Accion
CARE International UK	Centre d'étude et de coopération	n internationale (CECI) - BF
Christian Aid Ireland	COIPRODEN	Concern Worldwide
Conexion Guatemala	COOPI - Cooperazione Internazi	onale CORD Burundi
CORDAID	Corporacion Sisma Mujer	CRS - Catholic Relief Services
DanChurchAid	○ EQUITAS	Fund for Congolese Women
Fundacion Estudios Superior (FE	SU) Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)	
Fundación Nacional para el Desa	arrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)	Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperatio	n Humanity & Inclusion (HI)	
O ICTJ (International Center for Tra	nsitional Justice) Instituto Holar	ndes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
Integrity Watch	International Alert	International Rescue Committee
Interpeace	Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation	Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pou	ur une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (E	EISA), bureau de Madagascar
Mercy Corps	MLAL - ProgettoMondo	MSIS-TATAO
NIMD (Netherlands Institute for	Multiparty Democracy) Norweg	gian Refugee Council (NRC)
OIKOS	ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul	ONG AZHAR
OXFAM	Peace Direct	Plan International
PNG UN Country Fund	Red de Instituciones por los Der	rechos de la Niñez
ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati	Saferworld	
Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampan	drosoana (SAF/FJKM) Save the 0	Children
Search for Common Ground (SF	CG) SIHA (Strategic Initiative for	Women in the Horn of Africa)
SismaMujer	SOS Sahel Sudan	Stichting Impunity Watch
Tearfund	The Carter Center, Inc.	Trocaire
War Child	War Childhood Museum (WCM)	World Vision International
World Vision Myanmar	ZOA	blank_placeholder
Other, Please specify		
Other, Please specify		

Are there other recipients for this project?		
No other recipients		
Yes, other UN recipients only		
Yes, other non-UN recipients only		
Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients		
Please select other UN recipients Select all that apply		
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration		
UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund		
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights		
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women		
UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund		
FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme		
UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme		
UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization		
UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization		
WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO		
UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime		
UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services		
UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre		
UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify		
Other, Please specify		

ea	se select other non-UN recipie	nts
	ACTED	Action Aid UK AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
	AEDE	African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
	Agence de Coopération et de Rech	erche pour le Développement (ACORD)
	American Friends Service Commit	tee (AFSC) Avocats Sans Frontières
	Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium	Avocats sans frontières Canada Ayuda en Accion
	CARE International UK	Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
	Christian Aid Ireland	COIPRODEN Concern Worldwide
	Conexion Guatemala	COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale CORD Burundi
	CORDAID	Corporacion Sisma Mujer CRS - Catholic Relief Services
	DanChurchAid	EQUITAS Fund for Congolese Women
	Fundacion Estudios Superior (FES	J) Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
	Fundación Nacional para el Desar	rollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLII
	HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation	Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
	ICTJ (International Center for Tran	sitional Justice) Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIM
	Integrity Watch	International Alert International Rescue Committee
	Interpeace	Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
	MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour	une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
	Mercy Corps	MLAL - ProgettoMondo MSIS-TATAO
	NIMD (Netherlands Institute for N	ultiparty Democracy) Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
	OIKOS	ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul ONG AZHAR
	OXFAM	Peace Direct Plan International
	PNG UN Country Fund	Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
	ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati	Saferworld
	Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampand	osoana (SAF/FJKM) Save the Children
	Search for Common Ground (SFC)	SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
	SismaMujer	SOS Sahel Sudan Stichting Impunity Watch
	Tearfund	The Carter Center, Inc.
	War Child	War Childhood Museum (WCM) World Vision International
	World Vision Myanmar	ZOA blank_placeholder
	Other, Please specify	

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
Other .
Other, Please specify
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Think Young Women
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 43777.24
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *Please limit your response to 1500 characters Think Young Women implemented two regional dialogues that brought young people from across the North Bank Region together with relevant local authorities.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
Other
Other, Please specify
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Fantanka
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 42962.17
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner Please limit your response to 1500 characters Fantanka implemented two regional dialogues that brought together young people from the Central River Region with relevant local local authorities.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
Other
Other, Please specify
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Peace Ambassadors
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 42090.89
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner Please limit your response to 1500 characters Peace Ambassador implemented two regional dialogues that brought together young people from across the Lower River Region with relevant local authorities.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
Other
Other, Please specify
What is the name of the Implementing Partner Our Nation, Our Voice
* What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? 43764.83
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner Please limit your response to 1500 characters Our Nation Our Voice implemented two regional dialogues that brought together young people from the West Coast Region with relevant local authorities.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget	Transfers to	Expenditure to date	Implementati on rate as a
	(in full US \$) Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars	(in full US \$) Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars	(in full US \$) Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars	percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
ICTJ (Internation al Center for Transitional Justice)	500000	* 350000	* 344806	68.96%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

1/15/24, 9.22 AW		. 2	Project Progress Report	
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	
				%
	*			
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	
				%
	*	*	*	0/
				%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
				70
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	0/
				%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	500000	350000	344806	68.9
				68.9 6%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is 68.96% . Can you confirm that this is correct?
Correct Incorrect
If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %
» Gender-responsive Budgeting
Indicate what percentage (%) of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? 40.06
The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) * based on percentage entered above and total project budget is US \$ 200300 . Can you confirm that this is correct? Correct Incorrect
If it is incorrect, please enter the <i>budget amount</i> allocated to GEWE in US Dollars 224747.50
Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is # US \$ 138129.28. Is this correct? Correct Incorrect
If it is incorrect, please enter the <i>expenditure to date</i> on GEWE in US dollars 117152
*ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. The templates for the budget are available here
The Gambia UNPBF Report July-September 2024-8_45_22.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project
Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)
Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project
Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes
Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project
(1.1) Security Sector Reform
(1.2) Rule of Law
(1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
(1.4) Political Dialogue
(2.1) National reconciliation
(2.2) Democratic Governance
(2.3) Conflict prevention/management
(3.1) Employment
(3.2) Equitable access to social services
(4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
(4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
(4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)
s the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?
Select all that apply Gender promotion initiative
Youth promotion initiative Transition from LIN or regional possels oning or angular militial missions
Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
Cross-border or regional project
None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?
Yes
○ No
If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months? Please limit your response to 3000 characters The 10 members of the Project Steering Committee met twice over the past 6 months (quarterly), as agreed in the Committee's Terms of Reference. The Ministry of Justice chairs the Committee and the Ministry of Youth and Sports serves as deputy chair.
*Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *Please limit your response to 3000 characters Several ministries (e.g., Justice, Youth and Sport, Basic and Secondary Education, Gender and Children) are part of the Steering Committee. They have been regularly updated on the project's progress. In preparation for the national level discussions on improving the participation of young people in political processes and peacebuilding, we will conduct meetings with all relevant ministries during the next quarter.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

 NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT: Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language. Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do. Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse. Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive. 			
Please rate the implementation st	tatus of the following preliminary/	preparatory activities	
Contracting of partners			
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed	
Completed	Not Applicable		
Staff Recruitment		,	
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed	
Completed	Not Applicable		

Collection of baselines		*
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed
Completed	Not Applicable	
Identification of beneficiaries		*
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed
Completed	Not Applicable	
preliminary/preparatory activities etc.) Please limit your response to 3000 charact All preliminary work has been comp receive their subgrant payments on	s have been completed (i.e ters leted. Memorandums of Und a quarterly basis. Additiona	the status of the project, including whether a. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, derstanding have been signed with all partners, who I staff, including the Program Assistant, have been has been developed and implemented.
January-June; for November repo list individual activities. If the proj	rts: January-November; fo ect is starting to make/ha progress (quantitative and	g the reporting period (for June reports: r final reports: full project duration). Do not s made a difference at the outcome level, qualitative) and explain how it impacts the
Is the project on track for the time	ely completion of outputs	as indicated in the workplan? *
No		
If no, please provide an explanati Please limit your response to 6000 charace		*

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Through previous activities under Outcomes 1 and 2, which focused on building the capacity of young people to be agents of change, the project prepared the ground for meaningful engagement and collaboration between young people and relevant local authorities in their communities. During the reporting period, ICTJ and partners advanced Outcome 3, which focuses on facilitating young people's participation in governance issues, reconciliation and prevention. In September and October, ICTJ and Partners organized ten dialogues between young people from across The Gambia and relevant local authorities in the project's five target regions (two per region) (Output 3.1). These meetings created opportunities for young people to advocate for their needs and priorities directly with duty bearers, who in turn were invited to explain their initiatives to advance the rights of young people, discuss governance issues affecting the communities they represent, and respond to young people's concerns and questions.

Local authorities, acknowledging the importance of these meetings with young Gambians, took the engagement to continue the initiative. However, they have expressed concerns about lacking financial resources to organize the meetings after the project ends. We made some recommendations to the young people and the authorities, notably for the use of public spaces for such meetings and for young people attend without expecting monetary incentives. It was also agreed that the Area Councils should improve their communication related to the public meetings they usually organize to facilitate greater participation among young people. The ten regional dialogues gathered a total of 295 participants, including 246 young people (120 young men and 126 young women) and 49 local authorities.

In preparation for each dialogue, we convened a meeting the day before with just the young people to discuss and review the strategic plans they developed previously to hold their local authorities accountable. The young people used these meetings to take stock of progress made since the project's inception and to update their plans, taking into consideration any new challenges or changes in their priorities. For example, although access to quality education and employment both remain key issues for young people, they highlighted security concerns and access to health care as additional issues.

Although we initially planned to conduct the dialogues as bilateral meetings during which young people could speak with local authorities individually, the success of this project's community dialogues (Output 2.5, reported on previously) encouraged us to change our approach and instead implement them as panel discussions. This helped to reinforce the project's goal to create platforms during which young people and local authorities could meet regularly. During the regional dialogues, participants discussed outstanding issues that had not been fully addressed during the community dialogues (e.g., access to healthcare, corruption, and sustainable development).

After the dialogues, both young people and local authorities expressed their satisfaction. Young people reported having more confidence in their ability to interact with local authorities and a better understanding of their roles and responsibilities. For example:

I now feel more comfortable engaging with local authorities on issues of governance, I am now 100% comfortable. We were previously unaware of the channels and procedures to engage with authorities, and I can now approach authorities whenever necessary, I feel empowered to engage with local authorities.

- A young man from the North Bank Region

Overall, engaging in such activities has built confidence in me by demonstrating the impact of one's contributions and fostering a sense of purpose and community involvement.

- A young woman from the Upper River Region

This activity has helped me a lot and now I am comfortable and confident voicing out my demands and engaging the duty bearers peacefully because now I know the steps and procedures to take as a youth all thanks to this activity training.

- A young woman from the Central River Region

When we began the discussions, the confidence I had in myself was low, but when I joined the discussion, and my understanding was broadened my confidence is now high. I'm so confident of myself and the responsibilities I have

*

as a youth of this country.

- A young man from the Central River Region

Local authorities reported making a commitment to value young people's inputs and to using their positions of responsibility to try to address young people's concerns and priorities. For example:

I task myself after today to uphold the status of youth with high esteem. Also, to be available as a rep of local government authorities to ensure youth activities are supported.

- A representative of a local authority in the Upper River Region

Yes, I will work with my team to see how we can communicate with our line service providers to ensure the challenges youth face in accessing our services are taken care of.

- A representative of a local authority in the North Bank Region

One unexpected result of this project has been an increase in the capacity of our young beneficiaries to develop and implement small projects in their communities. Following the peer-to-peer discussions (Output 2.2, reported on previously), we challenged the young participants to identify an activity they would like to implement independently. In each community, they selected a technical committee of 5 to 10 members and identified different initiatives including radio outreach, caravans, school sensitization, and meetings with local authorities. ICTJ and our civil society partners will supervise these activities, which will be financed through the project. Our young beneficiaries developed short concept notes and budgets based on templates we provided, demonstrating their improved capacity and strong interest in transitional justice, governance, peacebuilding and reconciliation issues.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Cognizant of the immense challenges that young women in The Gambia face (especially in rural areas), including the general lack of spaces for their participation in political discussions and decision making processes, ICTJ has continued to prioritize young women's participation in all project activities, including those implemented jointly with our partners. During this quarter, of the 295 total beneficiaries – including local authorities – 136 (or 46%) were women and 159 (or 54%) were men. Among the 246 youth beneficiaries, 128 (or 52%) were young women and 118 (or 48%) were young men. Importantly, young women took an active role in the discussions with local authorities, asking critical questions and raising key gender specific issues (e.g., access to sanitary pads, sexual reproductive education, and prevention of sexually transmissive diseases).

Additionally, the regional dialogues between young Gambians and local authorities provided another opportunity for intergenerational dialogues. The regional dialogues brought together young people and adults of all ages, including elders (e.g., local chiefs called Alkalos), to speak about issues and challenges facing their communities.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?	*
Yes	
○ No	
FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:	

1 bi November 2024 i Toject i
Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?
Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.
Yes
○ No
If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results Please limit your response to 6000 characters As we have explained in our previous reports, authorities at the local and national levels are generally uncomfortable in dealing with young people, fearing their energetic and passionate criticism. Because of this fear, many authorities have been reluctant to engage with youth-led accountability related activities. In the words of one young Gambian in Basse, "When we invite our authorities to meet with us they refuse to come, or they will not appear while they promised to come, in the best scenario, they will just come for the opening ceremony and leave
after that." Another young Gambian expressed a concern that young people "are not invited to the area council meetings! We are not even informed about the meetings schedule". This exclusion has led young people in The Gambia to become frustrated, threatening their relationship with the authorities who have a duty to guarantee their rights.
This project has facilitated and encouraged communication between young Gambians and local authorities, helping them to identify areas of common interest that they can work together to address. In this way, the project has helped young people to consider peaceful ways to claim their rights, including by participating in dialogues and bilateral meetings. It has also encouraged local authorities to reach out to young people to incorporate them more directly in their work. As the Police Commander of the Upper River Region observed during a project meeting: "We need to work hands in hands to address those issues that we have in common. Only collaborative work can help us. ICTJ's project is very useful as it helped us to understand how certain crimes were perpetrated with the use [by] of certain security personnels [in the past]. Thus, these are lessons and should not be forgotten".
In this way, the project has helped to create a conducive environment for positive, peaceful, and constructive engagement between young people and local authorities in The Gambia. We have organized ten meetings between young people and local authorities, and representatives from both groups have communicated a keen interest in keeping it going after the project ends.
If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.
Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.
File attachment
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have							
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.							
Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document							
Outcome 1: Gambian youth are empowered as agents of change							
Outcome 2: Youth have increased capacity to engage duty-bearers and promote accountability.							
Outcome 3:							
Outcome 4:							
Outcome 5:							
Outcome 6:							
Outcome 7:							
Outcome 8:							
Additional Outcomes If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here							

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Gambian youth are empowered as agents of change

Outcome 1	Performanc e Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	4 youth and women-led organizations empowered technically and financially	00	04	04	Partners have been selected and trained. Also, partners were provided with sub grants to implement activities in the first quarter (Nov 23-Jan 24) and the second quarter (Mar-May 24)
1.2	Percentage of young women engaged throughout the project participate in peacebuilding initiatives and sustainable development	00	60%	51%	For this quarter reporting, 136 women out of 295 took part in our activties that has components of peacebuiliding and sustainable devlopment initiatives. The gender disaggregated data for the second activity (caravan) was not acertained since it is an open space activity. However, we were able to monitor the total number of people reached (1691) through the distribution of our advocacy materials.

1/15/24, 9:22 AM		FBFN	ovember 2024 Project Pr	ogress Report						
1.3										
How many outpu	ıts does outcome	1 have?								
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.										
Please list up to 5	of most relevant	outputs for outcor	ne 1							
Output 1.1 Organizational cap	Output 1.1 Organizational capacity of the youth and women-led organizations and their leaders has increased									
Output 1.2 A pool of 10 youth	trainers is establis	ned to conduct traini	ng on TJ, peacebuild	ing and SDG						
Output 1.3 A pool of 10 youth	trainers is establis	ned to conduct traini	ng on TJ, peacebuild	ing and SDG						
Output 1.4										
Output 1.5										
Other Outputs If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here										

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
1.1:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
Organiza	Indicator	State the	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
tional	S	baseline value of the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current	/ Delay
capacity	Describe the	tire maleutor	_	g period	<i>cummulative</i> <i>value of the</i>	(if any)
of the	indicator		State the target value of the indicator at the	State the current	<i>indicator since</i> <i>the start of the</i>	_
youth			end of the project	<i>value of the indicator for the</i>	project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has
and			project	reporting period		changed, where relevant
women-						767674776
led						
organiza						
tions						
and their						
leaders						
has						
increase						
d						
1.1.1	Number of youth and women-led organizations supported by the project	00	04		04	Completed
1.1.2	Number of activities on practical skills and organization capacity delivered	00	04	00	04	Trainings were implemented during Year 1 and are complete.

1.1.3	Number of members of	0000	25 (13 female, 12 male)	00	24 (15 female, 9 male)	Training completed
	the youth organizations					
	(male and					
	female) who					
	have a better					
	understanding					
	of the					
	international					
	and regional					
	policies that					
	protect youth					
	rights					

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
1.2: A	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
pool of	Indicator	State the	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
10 youth	S	<i>baseline value of the indicator</i>	Target	reportin	State the current	/ Delay
trainers	Describe the		State the target	g period	<i>cummulative</i> <i>value of the</i>	(if any)
is	indicator		value of the indicator at the	State the current	<i>indicator since the start of the</i>	Explain why the
establish			end of the project	value of the indicator for the	project	indicator is off track or has
ed to				reporting period		changed, where relevant
conduct						
training						
on TJ,						
peacebui						
Iding						
and SDG						
1.2.1	Number of youth peace facilitators trained	00	25 (13 female, 12 male)	00	26 (16 female, 10 male)	Training completed
1.2.2	Number of	00	10 (5 male, 5	7 (6 women, 1	7 (4 women, 3	7 out of 10. 2
	pool trainers	00	female)	man)	men)	of them
	selected and					recently got a
	ready to					job and were not available
	conduct peer to peer					for the last
	activities					activities. 1 is
						not active.
1.2.3						

		i	i		i	
Output 1.3: A pool of 10 youth trainers is establish ed to conduct training on TJ, peacebui lding and SDG	Perform ance Indicator S Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1.3.1	Number of youth trained on international and regional policies protecting their rights, peacebuilding and sustainable development	00	250 (125 female, 125 male)		276 (152 female, 124 male)	Training completed
1.3.2	Percentage of beneficiaries who had their capacity improved	00	70%	84% (231 out of 276)	84% (231 out of 276)	Completed
1.3.3	Percentage of beneficiaries feel capable to voice their demands and engage decision makers at the national and local level	00	70%	86%	86%	

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Perform ance Indicator s Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
1.5:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	State the baseline value of	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
	Describe the indicator		State the target value of the	g period	value of the	(if any)
	marcator		indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						
1.5.5						

» Outcome 2: Youth have increased capacity to engage duty-bearers and promote accountability.

Outcome 2	Performanc e Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Quality participation: Duty-bearers and young paticipants report an increase in quality of engagement and participation	N/A	70%	78%	Our perception survey shows that 183 out of 241 youth respondents and 44 out of 50 authorities reported an increase in quality of engagements. This totaling it to 78%.
2.2	Number of local strategies developed and designed by the youth	00	05		We continued discussing the local initiatives and in each area covered by the project we will finance one activity indepently piloted by our beneficiaries to emgage their communities and leaders.
2.3	Changes in attitude and awareness aiming the community about: TRRC, SGBV, empathy for women victims and youth civic engagement	N/A	70%	00	Should be collected during the final evaluation

I/15/24, 9:22 AM	РВ	F November 2024 Project Progress Report
How many outputs does	outcome 2 have?	
1 2 3	5 more than 5.	
Please list up to 5 of most	relevant outputs for outo	ome 2
Output 2.1		
User friendly version of the	white paper developed	
Output 2.2	on local authorities and you	ith on the TRRC Recommendations and White Paper
Dialogues conducted between	in local authorities and you	th on the TRRC Recommendations and write Paper
Output 2.3 : Youth, including rural yout whitepaper and TRRC report		ore aware of their rights, the contents of the government / and women rights
Output 2.4		
Authorities sensitize about	ole of youth and youth par	ticipation
Output 2.5		
Other Outputs		
If Outcome 2 has more than 5 or	itputs, please enumerate the rei	maining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

Output 2.1: User friendly version of the white paper develope d	Perform ance Indicator s Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.1.1	Document produced	00	01	16	16	We produced 1 written version and 15 podcasts of the user friendly version of the White paper (5 chapters in 3 languages each)
2.1.2						
2.1.3						

Output 2.2: Dialogue s conducte d between local authoriti es and youth on the TRRC Recomm endation s and White Paper	Perform ance Indicator s Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.2.1 Completed 2.2.2	Number of dialogues led young people with local authorities locally	-	05	10	1	0
2.2.3						

						_
Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
2.3: :	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
Youth,	Indicator	<i>State the baseline value of</i>	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
including	S	the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
rural	<i>Describe the indicator</i>		State the target value of the	g period	value of the indicator since	(if any)
youth	"Tarcacor		indicator at the end of the	<i>State the current value of the</i>	the start of the	Explain why the indicator is off
and			project	indicator for the reporting period	project	track or has changed, where
young				reporting period		relevant
women,						
are more						
aware of						
their						
rights,						
the						
contents						
of the						
governm						
ent						
whitepa						
per and						
TRRC						
report						
recomm						
endation						
s on						
SGBV						
and						
women						
rights						
2.3.1	Number of sensitization and outreach	00	02	18	18	Completed. The Caravan visited 18
	activities conducted on TRRC					communities across the
	reparations on SGBV					country (as previously reported).
	····	<u> </u>		l		

	T	1	I		1	1
2.3.2	Estimated number of people reached through outreach activities led by the youth (adult and youth)	00	1000	1691	1691	During the caravan we engaged various people (students, businessmen and women, civic servants, security officers etc) and local authorities (governors and area counseilors) sensitized on TRRC recommendations and youth rights
2.3.3						

Output 2.4: Authoriti es sensitize	Perform ance Indicator s Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the	Indicator progress for reportin g period	Indicator progress to date State the current cummulative value of the indicator since	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
about role of youth and youth participa tion			indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1						
2.4.1	Number of roundtables on youth participation with local authorities and ICTJ	00	05	05	05	Completed
2.4.2	roundtables on youth participation with local authorities	00	05	05	05	Completed

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
2.5:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	State the	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	baseline value of the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
	Describe the		State the target value of the	g period	value of the	(if any)
indicator		<i>value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	<i>indicator since</i> <i>the start of the</i> <i>project</i>	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant	
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.2						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performanc e Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How ma	iny outp	uts do	es outc	ome 3	have?				
1	2	3	4	5	more than 5.				
Please li	st up to	5 of m	ost rele	evant o	utputs for outco	me 3			
Output	3.1								
Output	3.2								
Output	3.3								
Output	3.4								
Output	3.5								
Other O		ore than	5 output	s, please	enumerate the rem	aining outpo	uts here		

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
3.1:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	<i>State the baseline value of</i>	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
	<i>Describe the indicator</i>		State the target value of the	g period	value of the	(if any)
	mucator		indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	<i>indicator since the start of the project</i>	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
3.2:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	State the baseline value of	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
	Describe the indicator		State the target value of the	g period	value of the	(if any)
	mulcator		indicator at the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
3.3:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	<i>State the baseline value of</i>	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
	Describe the		State the target value of the	g period	value of the indicator since	(if any)
	indicator		indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

Output 3.4:	Perform ance Indicator s Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reportin g period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress to date State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
3.5:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	State the baseline value of	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
	Describe the indicator		State the target value of the	g period	value of the indicator since	(if any)
	mucator		indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performanc e Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					
How many outpu	ıts does outcome 4	l have?			
1 2	3 4 5	more than 5.			
Please list up to 5	5 of most relevant	outputs for outcor	ne 4		
Output 4.1					
Output 4.2					
Output 4.3					
Output 4.4					
Output 4.5					
Other Outputs If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here					

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
4.1:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	State the	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	baseline value of the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current	/ Delay
	Describe the		State the target value of the	g period	cummulative value of the	(if any)
	indicator		indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
4.2:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	State the	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	baseline value of the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
	Describe the indicator		State the target value of the	g period	value of the	(if any)
	mulcator		indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
4.3:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
7.5.	Indicator	State the	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	baseline value of the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current	/ Delay
	Describe the	the marcator		g period	cummulative value of the	(if any)
	indicator		State the target value of the indicator at the	State the current	indicator since the start of the	_
			end of the project	value of the indicator for the reporting period	project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
4.4:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	State the	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	baseline value of the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
	Describe the indicator		State the target value of the	g period	value of the	(if any)
	marcator		indicator at the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
<u></u>						
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output	Perform	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
4.5:	ance	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Indicator	State the baseline value of	Indicator	for	to date	Variance
	S	the indicator	Target	reportin	State the current cummulative	/ Delay
	Describe the indicator		State the target value of the	g period	value of the indicator since	(if any)
	mucator		indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3		1		1		

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes,	Event	Tentative	Location	Target	Event
please	Descriptio	Date		Audience	Objectives
state how	n				(900
many, and					characters)
for each,					
provide					
the					
approxima					
te date of					
the event					
and a brief					
descriptio					
n,					
including					
its key					
objectives,					
target					
audience					
and					
location (if					
known)					
					<u></u>

	1	1	,		
Event 1	National discussions on opportunities, challenges, and strategies for improving the protection and participation of youth in political processes and peacebuilding (Y2)	January 2025	Banjul	Young people from the various regions covered by the project and national authorities	Bring together young people from all areas covered by the project and national authorities to discuss youth policy implementation and challenges they face to participate in governance, development and the implementation of the TRRC recommendations, and recommendations regarding the way forward for the promotion of youth-sensitive policies and young people's rights.
Event 2	Regional youth conference on participation in transitional justice processes, peacebuilding and governance (Y2)	November 2024	Banjul	Young people from various areas covered by the project and young people from other African countries	Share experiences between young Gambians and young people from other countries of the African region.
Event 3					
Event 4					
i e	i e		•		

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group *This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

			1
Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1

Young women and men

The meetings between young people and local authorities have paved the ground for local accountability processes. The local authorities were delighted to have a platform to clear up misinformation, and young **Gambians had the** opportunity for the first time to hold their local authorities to account. The meetings provided both with a platform to engage in dialogue and address issues affecting their communites. In addition to providing a forum for conversations about transitional justice-related matters, the caravan gave individuals a chance to express their worries about socioeconomic conditions that have an impact on women and young people in The Gambia. Finally, this project contributed to the professional development of the 10 peer trainers selected to conduct trainings with peers in the regions. The project enabled young people in the regions to gain a broader understanding of the relevant policy frameworks protecting young people's rights. Prior to this project, young people in the regions noted that they never had the opportunity to be exposed to key government documents, such as the TRRC's report and the White Paper. Now youth know the recourse they are entitled to when their rights are violated. They are empowered and interested in holding the

"The youth's commitment and dedication to contributing to national development in any possible way should receive robust support from the state. We, the traditional leaders, maintain an open-door policy and are always prepared to support the youth." - -Chief Momodou Chatti Cham, Upper Nuimi - "This activity has equipped me a lot. I am now ready to organise my fellow youth to come up with strategies to hold duty bearers responsible in implementing the recommendations of the TRRC. It has also contributed towards my confidence level in advocacy towards the TJ process and Human Right abuses. I am ready to share the knowledge gained" -Young woman, Upper River Region. "When the issue of TRRC and Government white paper comes to our communities it is mostly discussed with the elders, but thank you for coming to discuss this with us the youths", Female participant "The training of ICTI was successfully done. I have learned a lot about the process of addressing and resolving human rights abuses in societies transitioning from conflict", Female Participant "Through this project, my advocacy for human rights has reached new heights. The project have equipped me with the knowledge to navigate every aspect of The **Gambia's Transitional** Justice Process,

government accountable for its implementation. Also, by disseminating information regarding findings of the TRRC and harms committed in the recent years, the intervention has also contributed to countering existing false narratives about the dictatorship. In addition, the school outreach activities have had a great impact on the civic education of these students. The project provided 1,400 students in remote parts of the country with information about the country's transitional justice process. They felt that the engagement enhanced their capacity and came at the right time, as the country is in a critical stage of its transitional justice process.

empowering me to understand the institution responsible for transitional justice. Thanks to this project, we are fully informed about the TRRC recommendations and their implementation processes", Peer Trainee "I can now say I am very comfortable voicing my concerns regarding issues of governance, reconciliation, and peacebuilding. This activity is very important, and I hope to receive more of this from other youths in the country so we can be united.", anonymous "Generally, the book is very reader-friendly, and it gives straight-to-the-point answers to the works of the TRRC and expecially on the sexual and gender base violence that was happing during this period", Female Student

2

Duty-bearers and local authorities

Regional authorities expressed their gratitude to the project for giving them a forum to debate governance, reconciliation, and peacebuilding with young people from their regions during the regional roundtable conversations with duty bearers. Although they stated that they are unable to finance such an event, the project gave them the chance to discuss with their young people the value of youth involvement in government and the duty bearers' role in achieving the nation's transitional justice goals. Also, our recent memorization meeting with regional duty-bearers and authorities have helped to dispel their illusions about the transitional justice process in the regions, as they pointed out that they are excluded from talks on matters linked to transitional justice. The project has a total reach of 3,540 out of which, 150 community leaders and regional local authorities.

" "This has contributed to creating a good relationship between the office of the Governor and the youth. From the engagements, we are opportune to know the problems confronting the youth of URR and we look forward to working hand in glove with them in addressing." -Representative from **Governor's Office in URR** (Male Respondent) "I task myself after today to uphold the status of youth with high esteem. Also, to be available as a rep of local gov't authorities to ensure youth activities are supported." -Male **Authority URR "I have** increased comfort due to new skills and insights gained from the discussions due to the interactive nature of the discussions and the openness of the youth. These discussions have improved mutual understanding between authorities about youth issues. There is a need for regular sessions like these and I suggest that the project should be a continuous activity, regardless of the availability of monetary resources. The project can create awareness and foster support among participants" -Director of **Education, North Bank** Region "It is crucial that we abandon the top-down approach. However, the youth Should eschew violence and adopt the proper methods when interacting with authorities." -Governor of

Basse, Upper River Region "It [the project] has equipped me with a lot of knowledge that will help me to have a conducive working relationship with the youths" – Central River **Region Local Authority "It** helped me understand that the youth need us to participate in all their development work, build understanding between duty bearers and youths, and have greater and more collaboration and understanding between my office and the youth" -**North Bank Region Local** Authority "I have learned about youth policies. It made me understand the rights of young people in NBR. This discussion can help me know some of the problems of youths and how to find a better way forward" – North Bank **Region Local Authority**

3

Youth-led organizations partners

The project positively impacted our youth-led organizations partners, technically, financially, and programmatically. Financially the subgrants provided helped our partners to extend their scope of work to regions most of them did not cover before or reinforced their presence in regions where they had already some activities. Moreover, it allows us to benefit from the assistance of the 10 youth peer trainers (developed through the project) as additional staff to support the implementation of the project activities. Programmatically and technically, the project helped to increase the understanding, knowledge, and interest in the importance of M&E as part of project implementation. Initially, our partners did not have any M&E system, but thanks to the project they systematically provided an evaluation at the end of their activities, and they are aware of data collection with a focus on gender. This allowed us to statistically collect and analyse each partners' activities results. Of course, this aspect of the work requires constant monitoring and follow-ups, but we expect that by the end of the project, they will become fully independent in implementing these approaches. Following a request from our partners, we conducted an internal capacity building meeting on M&E and reporting to still increase their

"The project helped build our capacity as staff in the process of training our beneficiaries in important aspects of the various youth policies and international frameworks. The Caravan also gave us the opportunity to expand our network to other areas in the regions" -Tijan Jow, Fantanka "The project...has greatly increased the capacity of the staff, and this has improved in service delivery in the organization. Also, the project avails the staff the opportunity to understand issue surrounding SGBV, Government white paper and how to account duty bearers and our staff were able to have face to face dialogue with duty bearers. In summary, this kind of project is good because it creates awareness and I have no iota of doubt that, it has so much impact in the organization's work, because seen after the training the staff have great understanding of the issues of SGVB, Government paper, Nation Youth Policy, AU, ECOWAS, and civic rights, and political processes" Juju Jallow, Peace Ambassadors "The project has boosted the capacity of ONOV by providing capacity building training and opened avenues for us to meet government institutions.... now we gained recognition from government institutions as a youth-led organizations. The project has also made us find other ways and approaches of

interventons and coming

1/10/24, 3.22 AW		_
	understanding and	up with new ideas to push
	capacity to collect data	the agenda of empowering
	and report on the activities	youths in the Gambia",
	implemented with a result-	Modou Mass, ONOV
	based approach. Also, the	Coordinator "This project
	guidance we provided for	has contributed
	developing the user-	substantial improvements
	friendly version of the	in my presentation,
	White paper, based on ICTJ	communication, and
	comparative experience is	networking skills, all of
	another layer of	which have contributed
	knowledge and self-	immensely to my personal
	confidence. Finally, while	and professional growth" -
	most of them had limited	Peer Trainee "When we
	participation in the first	began the discussions the
	phase of the transitional	confidence I had in myself
	justice process, they feel	was low but when I joined
	more involved in the	the discussion and my
	second phase, thanks to	understanding was
	their increased	broadened my confidence
	understanding of the	is now high. I'm so
	government's policy (White	confident of myself and l
	paper), its impact on their	know the responsibilities I
	current and future life, and	have as a youth of this
	the need for their	country" -Young person,
	participation.	Central River Region
4		

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

There are many other positive impacts and externalities that we have initial evidence of, some worth mentioning are:

- 1. Improved skills, livelihood, training, and practical experience gained by peer trainers that could contribute to the sustainability of the impact of this work down the line.
- 2. Increased awareness and demand for knowledge and discussion about SGBV, transitional justice, and civic education, which are part of this intervention's outreach strategy. For example, we originally planned to target 1,000 youths in the rural regions, and the project has reached so far a total of 3,540 people.
- 3. Through the caravan, the project engaged citizens from all segments of the society. Many of them prasied the initiatives as it helped some to have a knowledge of the government White Paper's content, the government engagement and the importance of this official document in the development of the country, promotion of human rights and peacebuilding. It also provided them the space to share their opinions and views on the accountability process in the country, governance, social and security issues.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project **OPTIONAL**

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2 OPTIONAL
Link 3 OPTIONAL
Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.
How we worked: Please select up to 3. ✓ Enhanced digitization ✓ Innovative ways of working ✓ Mobilized additional resources ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks ✓ Strengthened capacities ✓ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations ✓ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies
Please explain one of the selected options Please limit your response to 3000 characters. The project includes a digital component, through the production and online publication of podcasts via various digital platforms (X, Facebook, Spotify, Facebook, WhatsApp, etc.). Learning from the COVID-19 pandemic, we develop a user-friendly version of the government's White Paper in the format of a podcast to ensure a wide dissemination of the message while reducing physical contact. This has also allowed Gambians and other relevant stakeholders living abroad to have access to the user-friendly White Paper.
Please explain one of the selected options Please limit your response to 3000 characters. Following the numerous trainings and community dialogues, we encouraged our beneficiaries to identify activities they wish to implement in their communities. This approach will increase their self-confidence, interest, and participation in their communities' development.
Please explain one of the selected options Please limit your response to 3000 characters. We mobilized additional resources from UNDP/OHCHR to complement some initiatives inspired by the project, including a child-friendly version (cartoon format) of the government White Paper and school outreach activities in response to the request from schools that were not covered by the project.

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period Please limit your response to 3000 characters. Monitoring: Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period (3000 characters):
□ Interviews to collect data regarding young people and local authorities' perspectives on governance, transitional justice and the project contribution in building synergies between local authorities and young people. □ Survey questionnaires were distributed across to assess young people's and authorities' level of preparedness, confidence, and passion regarding youth participation in governance and peacebuilding initiatives. Also, the survey was meant to assess the quality of engagements between young people and authorities. This assessment was conducted for both the youth and local authorities. □ We roll out t-shirts, caps, wrist bands, copies of the User-Friendly Summary books etc to keep track of the total number of people reached during the caravan. □ 2 coordination meetings with all partners to report on the project's progress and plan future activities.
Do outcome indicators have baselines?
If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'
Yes
O No
If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. **Please limit your response to 3000 characters. **Some indicators were defined during the project's design phase. **For those which were not, a baseline survey of the project has been initiated through our annual survey. We developed and sent a questionnaire to be responded by our partners and various stakeholders working on transitional justice, youth, and gender issues to collect their perception on the key issues targeted by the project including the post TRRC process and government implementation of the TRRC recommendations, youth capacity and participation in governance and specifically the transitional justice process.
Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)
Please limit your response to 3000 characters. Responses from the post-activities interviews, Survey scripts and analyses, Caravan stock inventory, Minutes of Coordination meetings, Partners' reports
Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys Yes
No

Please provide a br	•			•
Has the project use Yes No	d or established (community feedback me	chanisms?	*
Please provide a br	•			*
» Evaluation				
Is the project on tra Yes No Not Applicable Evaluation budget (Response required		evaluation? in the project budget:		*
If project will end in upcoming evaluation		, and the overall project k	oudget is above U	SD 1.5 million, is your
No Not Applicable				
Please describe the				
Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
		·		·

Please mention the focal	Mateo Porciuncula	ІСТЈ	Senior Design, Monitoring, and	Mporciuncula@ictj.org
person			Evaluation Specialist	
responsible for				
sharing the				
final evaluation				
report with the				
PBF:				

» Catalytic Effect				
Catalytic Effect (finance Yes No	cial): Has the project r	nobilized additional no	on-PBF financial resou	rces to date? *
How many funders ha	as the project received	d additional non-PBF f	unding from?	*
Catalytic Effect (non-fi peacebuilding change Yes				rm *
If yes, please select th Some catalytic effect Significant catalytic	ct	ow:		*
If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so **Please limit your response to 3000 characters.** Some catalytic effects have been perceived in the North Bank Region (Kerewan and Essau), where young people and authorities have established a framework for collaboration between the Youth Committee, the drug enforcement agency, and the Gambia immigration department to reduce drug abuse and criminal activities among young people. The regional Youth Committee and the police commissioner are planning to call for a similar meeting in Barra in the coming days (after Kerewan and Essau that have been covered by the project).				

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability: Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project (3000 characters):

Although some duty-bearers flagged their financial incapacity to organize gatherings with young people as one of the key challenges they face in involving more youth in their work and activities, we believe that the opportunity to engage with the young people from their community can have a catalytic effect and encourage them to systematically involve young people, beyond political party youth wings or already politically engaged youth, each time they organize a meeting or a town hall or any gathering.

We expect that the project will contribute to establishing platforms where adult leaders as well as ordinary and marginalized youth can come together, if not encourage an open-door policy at the level of duty-bearers in the future.

The project created a conducive environment for collaboration between young people and local authorities, sharing ideas on how they can continue engaging each other, either through regular monitoring, information sharing, voluntary participation in meetings organized by local authorities, etc. It is to be noted that most the local authorities invited to the project activities were present.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
oversight activities			

Event 1

Interviews and Perception Survey

An interview session was conducted during the meetings between youth and authorities meant to continue assessing the impact of the project on beneficiaries 1. Perception surveys were shared to both youth and authorities to gauge their knowledge and passion for governance, peacebuilding and reconciliation

These findings were compared to the previous interviews conducted. Participants felt that their knowledge on TJ, human rights and peacebuilding has improve significantly. Similarly, their capability and confidence to engage local authorities also improve a lot. Ø The perception survey findings show that both youth and authorities are willing to engage each other and continue the discussions on governance, and peacebuilding initiatives even after the project phase out. Ø Youth Category Ø 241 youth from across the five regions responded to the survey questionnaires, 105 male and 134 female, 44% and 56% respectively. 18 persons 7% were identified as persons living with disabilities. Ø Statements about current situations of these youth Ø Statement 1: I feel prepared to voice my demands and discuss these with government officials in my region. 205 representing 85% youth said they agree or strongly agree to this statement. Ø Statement 2: I feel I can participate in issues of government safely and meaningfully. 202 representing 84% youth said they agree or strongly agree to this statement. Ø Statement 3: The discussions today helped me feel more confident about talking with authorities about issues important to me. 208 representing 86% youth said they agree or strongly agree to this statement. Ø

Statement 4: Right now, authorities listen to young people in my region. 98 representing 41% youth said they agree or strongly agree to this statement. Ø Statement 5: I am motivated to discuss issues important to me with authorities, if the opportunity arises. 201 representing 83% youth said they agree or strongly agree to this statement. Ø Questionnaires Ø Passion about youth participation in politics, governance and peacebuilding today is? Ø 208 representing 87% of youth said it is Better than Before after the training Ø 23 representing 10% of youth said it is Same as Before after the training Ø 6 representing 3% of youth said it is Worse than Before after the training while 1% had no response. Ø Ability to participate and engage with government authorities today is? Ø 205 representing 86% of youth said it is Better than Before after the training Ø 30 representing 13% of youth said it is Same as Before after the training Ø 1 youth said it is Worse than Before after the training while 1% had no response. Ø Authorities Category Ø 50 Local Authorities from across the five regions responded to the survey questionnaires, 39 male and 11 female, 78% and 22% respectively. 1 person 2% were identified as persons living with disabilities. Ø Statements about current situations of these local authorities Ø **Statement 1: Young people** were prepared to discuss

issues with government officials. 41 representing 82% local authorities said they agree or strongly agree to this statement. Ø Statement 2: The discussion today was meaningful. 44 representing 88% local authorities said they agree or strongly agree to this statement. Ø Statement 3: The discussions today helped me understand what young people care about. 46 representing 92% local authorities said they agree or strongly agree to this statement. Statement 4: The discussions today helped me feel more confident about talking with the youth about governance, politics and peacebuilding initiatives. 44 representing 90% local authorities said they agree or strongly agree to this statement. Ø Other Questionnaire Ø My perception about youth participation in politics, governance, and peacebuilding today is? Ø 45 representing 90% of local authorities said it is **Better than Before after** the training Ø 2 representing 4% of local authorities said it is Same as Before after the training Ø Local authorities' express gratitude to the project for providing them the space to have a conversation with youth as well enhancing their capacity on the various youth policies and the TJ process of the country.

Event 2	Project coordination meeting	2 coordination meetings with all partners to report on the project's progress and plan future activities	These coordination meetings provide the ground for learning, mentorship and as well planning for the subsequent activities under the project Challenges are discussed and solutions are proposed. For instance, the quality of their reports and data analysis that we helped them make more results oriented during the meetings (review of the partners' reports)
Event 3	Monitoring visit	A monitoring visit was conducted for one of our IP (Peace Ambassadors, The Gambia) on 24 and 25th September 2024. A member of the Steering committee monitored one of our activities (meeting between youth and local authorities) on October 14 and 15th in Basse (URR)	The visit expose ICTJ to know how activity in this region is being implemented, the success stories and the challenges our IP encountered during implementation. It also provides ICTJ the avenue for first hand info on whether our IP is improving from the various coordination meetings and trainings we had together. The steering committee member's feedback was generally positive. We are pending reception of his report.
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			

Event 8		

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report** as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui <u>gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</u>

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.