

# PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report



## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report	*
<input type="radio"/> Semi-annual	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Annual	
<input type="radio"/> Final	
<input type="radio"/> Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2024-11-15	
2024-11-15	
Name and Title of Person submitting the report	*
Noel Pedrola, Project Manager UNDP Philippines	
E-mail of Person submitting the report	*
noel.pedrola@undp.org	
Name and Title of Person who approved the report	*
Gustavo Gonzalez, Resident Coordinator, United Nations Philippines	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia         | <input type="radio"/> Global                    | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa    | <input type="radio"/> West Africa               |   |

Country of project implementation \*

- |                                       |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Fiji            | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati         | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands       |
| <input type="radio"/> Myanmar         | <input type="radio"/> Papua New Guinea | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Philippines |
| <input type="radio"/> Solomon Islands | <input type="radio"/> Sri Lanka        | <input type="radio"/> Tuvalu                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify  |  |  |

Other, please specify \*

Project Title \*

- ☒ 00140483: Contributing to Sustainable Peace in the BARMM by Supporting IDP Inclusion and Displacement Resolution Measures  
☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

2023-11-28

**2023-11-28**

Project End Date \*

2025-11-28

**2025-11-28**

Has this project received an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

\*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

\*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which

\*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?

\*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient

\*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme    ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees    ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization    ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme    ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization    ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund    ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization    ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO    ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

Are there other recipients for this project?

\*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

\*

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☒ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☒ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

Please select other non-UN recipients

\*

- |  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED   | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE  | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)                               |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland   | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN   | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala  | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID   | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer   | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid  | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS   | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)                         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation   | <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)           |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace  | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps   | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo  | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM   | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund   | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez                   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati  | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)   | <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer  | <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan   | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund  | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Child   | <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM)  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar  | <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA   | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify   |  |   |

Other, Please specify

\*

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☒ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Consortium of Bangsamoro Civil Society (CBCS)**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? \*

108382.23

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Policy advocacy and popularization work to fast track the enactment of the IDP Bill in BARMM and promote the development of local policies for IDPs.**



Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each during this reporting period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Philippine Legislators' Committee on Population and Development (PLCPD)**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period? \*

7137.76

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Legislative reform and lobbying support to enact rights-based legislation for IDPs at the national level.**

# Financial Reporting

## » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**  
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.  
*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1362500	953750	423923.37	31.11%
				%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	1262500	883750	312658.00	24.76%



	*	*	*	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3000000</b>	<b>2100000</b>	<b>972095.39</b>	<b>32.4%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **32.4%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

### » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

35.05

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1051500**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 340719.43**. Is this correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

2023 PBF Philippines - IDP - Interim report May312024-16\_56\_59.xlsx



## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

\*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

\*

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?

\*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project steering committee meeting originally scheduled for September 2024 has been rescheduled to November 27, 2024, due to the unavailability of a committee member.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The TWG meeting on 18 September 2024 discussed the evolving political landscape which may impact local government leadership, potential changes in the level of support of local government units (LGUs) and security risks that could exacerbate displacement.**

**At the BARMM level, the JP engaged with:**

- 1. MSSD on collaboration and integration of the Community Assessment and Profiling (CAP) to MSSD's IDP Profiling and Response Tracking (IPART) System.**
- 2. MILG, MSSD, MPOS, MIPA, Peace, Security and Reconciliation Office (PSRO), Ministry of Human Settlements and Development (MHSD), Bangsamoro Planning and Development Authority (BPDA), Bangsamoro Human Rights Commission (BHRC), Rapid Emergency Action on Disaster Incidence (READi), Bangsamoro Women Commission (BWC), Philippine Statistics Authority (PSA), Maguindanao LGUs, Marawi Compensation Board (MCB) and Office of the Presidential Assistant for Marawi Rehabilitation (OPAMR) at the CAP Tool Development in August 2024.**
- 3. Bangsamoro Transition Authority (BTA) Committee on Social Services and Development (CSSD), Deputy Floor Leader and Deputy Speaker on capacity-building to enhance provisions on durable displacement solutions and monitor deliberation of BTA Bill No. 32.**
- 4. MILG on collaboration to strengthen the Bangsamoro Task Force on Ending Local Armed Conflict (BTF-ELAC) through LGU policies and mechanisms to support displaced communities and community resilience initiatives.**
- 5. MPOS on capacity building of early warning and early response (EWER) volunteers through Training of Trainer (TOT) and roll out to community-based volunteers from June to September 2024.**
- 6. MPOS on operationalization of the EWER system through development of operations manual for the 24/7 EWER Center..**
- 7. PSRO on capacity building of Moro Islamic Liberation Front-Bangsamoro Islamic Armed Forces (MILF-BIAF) on IDP Protection and Durable Solutions in October 2024.**
- 8. MIPA to explore areas of technical assistance including advocacy, consultations and monitoring of proposed Indigenous Peoples (IP) Code which has provisions on the rights of IP IDPs and durable solutions.**
- 9. IP Representative MP Mendoza to discuss areas for technical assistance for displaced Non-Moro Indigenous Peoples (NMIP).**
- 10. PSRO, MP Mendoza and Teduray Lambangian Women's Organization Incorporated (TLWOI) on strengthening and implementation of guidelines supporting durable solutions.**

**At the national level:**

- 1. MCB on capacity building, support to information campaigns and advocacy on CAP utilization for MCB programming.**
- 2. Philippine Senate Committee on Justice and Human Rights Hearing to strengthen popularization of the IDP bill.**
- 3. National Anti-Poverty Commission (NAPC), OPAPRU, Philippine Councilors League (PCL) and Philippine Commission on Women (PCW) to mobilize support for passage of the national IDP bill, development and roll out of IDP-related local policies and inclusion of IDPs in resettlement and rehabilitation programs of the Philippine Government**

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

#### Contracting of partners \*

- ☐ Not Started ☒ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed  
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

#### Staff Recruitment \*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed  
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

#### Collection of baselines \*

- ☐ Not Started ☒ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed  
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

#### Identification of beneficiaries \*

- ☐ Not Started ☒ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed  
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable



Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**UNDP, IOM and UNHCR have completed all preparatory activities, including onboarding of core project staff and consultations and coordination meetings with key government counterparts integral to the project activities implemented during the reporting period.**

**UNDP has onboarded all six staff who provide full time implementation roles in the JP, including the JP Project Manager, Project Analyst, Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Analyst, Administrative, Finance and Logistics Assistant, and Driver. Additional support is provided by the Peace and Stabilization Programme Team Leader, Programme Analyst, Programme Associate, Programme Finance Associate, Head of Cotabato Field Office and Administrative and Finance Analyst. UNDP has also engaged partner CSOs for the conduct of the Area-Based Peace and Development (ABPD) research analysis; convening of dialogue platforms for IDP inclusion and peacebuilding engaging LGUs, IDP communities, CSOs, BARMM ministries and security sector; community organizing, design and delivery of support for socio-economic recovery, social cohesion and resilience; capacity-building on documentation of conflict-resolution cases; and formulation of conflict-prevention and peacebuilding guidelines for LGUs to integrate IDP inclusion and displacement resolution measures. UNDP is currently in the process of finalizing documentary requirements for these contracting processes.**

**IOM has onboarded 10 of 11 staff who provide full time implementation roles to this project including the National Project Officer (Peace, Transition and Recovery), two project assistants (Senior Transition and Recovery Assistants) based in Cotabato City and Marawi City, two field coordinators (Transition and Recovery Project Clerks), two project engineers, two drivers and a security focal point while the recruitment of the Project Assistant for Data and Information Management is expected to be completed by the last quarter of 2024. IOM has also onboarded the CAP Consultant and IDP Advisor. IOM staff and unit that provide further support to the project activities through administrative functions include the Head of the Sub-Office, Head of Satellite Office, Resource Management Officer and Programme Support Unit. IOM has also completed its due diligence exercise in October 2024 to one out of two target implementing partners, the Initiatives for Dialogue and Empowerment through Alternative Legal Services (IDEALS) and Teduray Lambangian Women's Organization Incorporated (TLWOI), which will be engaged in the conduct of legal missions and assessment activities in displaced and NMIP communities, respectively.**

**UNHCR did not hire additional staff for this JP instead the salary of the Assistant Protection Officer/IDP Durable Solutions Focal is fully charged under this project. UNHCR has contracted two implementing partners, CBCS and PLCPD, who play a critical role to fast track the passage of a rights-based legislation in BARMM and at the national level.**

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

\*

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

The preliminary stage of the project established institutional relationships and consultative mechanisms with government partners, LGUs, IDPs, CSOs, sectoral groups, private sector actors, and academia. These relationships have been maximized to support project initiatives. In terms of implementation, the JP is in the final stage of CAP data collection at 32 IDP sites/communities in Lanao del Sur, Maguindanao del Norte, and Maguindanao del Sur. Using family-level surveys and key informant interviews, the CAP will engage 790 evacuation centers, transitional sites, home-based, returned, and relocated IDPs, and government stakeholders. The findings will inform regional and local policy and programming for displacement prevention and solutions and will be presented in December 2024.

The JP scaled up its legislative reform and policy advocacy to expedite the IDP bill in BARMM. In September 2024, BTA Bill No. 32 was approved on final reading and signed into law by the Chief Minister on November 14, 2024, making it the first rights-based law on durable solutions for IDPs in Southeast Asia. The JP continues to promote local policies through ordinances, with four additional municipalities issuing them, bringing the total to 16 LGUs. At the national level, counterpart bills were deliberated by the Senate Committee on Justice and Human Rights in September 2024, and a TWG will be created to enhance and harmonize the bill.

The JP completed and will present the ABPD analysis on SPMS box, adjacent municipalities, and Marawi City in November 2024. The research highlighted the lack of livelihood opportunities for IDPs and showed that prolonged military operations in BARMM, unresolved rido, and increasing violence continue to contribute to displacement. The ABPD for NMIP communities is ongoing and expected to be completed in January 2025. JP also organized a private sector forum showcasing best practices of successful businesses in fragile contexts. With 17 cooperatives and social enterprises of women, youth, IDPs, former combatants, IPs, and settler communities, the forum facilitated networking and discussions on enhancing private sector engagement for economic development and sustainable livelihoods. These initiatives will inform the project's socioeconomic interventions.

Under Outcome 2, the JP supported MILG in enhancing resilience to violent conflicts and extremism by increasing their capacity in formulating LGU manuals and guidelines on conflict prevention and peacebuilding programs. These address durable solutions for IDPs and strengthen dialogue platforms between LGUs and IDP communities, ensuring additional protection and pathways to lasting solutions. The JP also provided technical assistance to MILG in strengthening initiatives under BTF-ELAC and localizing the Bangsamoro Plan of Action for Community Resilience through capacity building of target LGUs. Involving LGUs in the formulation process ensures the manuals and guidelines reflect actual experiences and lessons from the community. The participation of municipalities from the 25 conflict-affected communities in BARMM provides a more accurate basis for developing these manuals and guidelines.

In partnership with MPOS, the JP established the EWER system in 16 of the 32 municipalities covered by the CAP. To strengthen the system, the project capacitated 81 (31 F, 50 M) core volunteers through five TOT on the basic concept of EWER, mechanisms and its role in conflict prevention and response. Subsequently, the TOT completers conducted eight roll out training from August to September 2024 to a total of 409 (158 F, 251 M) community volunteers. The JP ensured inclusion of NMIP areas in clusters covered by the Conflict Reporting System and EWER mechanism given attacks on NMIP communities resulting in displacement since the establishment of BARMM in 2019. As a result of these initiatives, the EWER conflict data and reporting systems and response mechanisms are fully operational and actively supporting conflict monitoring and response efforts. The physical EWER Center will be completed in February 2025.

In partnership with PSRO, the JP provided capacity building support to PSRO through two trainings in October 2024 to 127 (14 F, 113 M) of MILF-BIAF base commanders and members and leaders on IDP protection mainstreaming, durable solutions and conflict resolution. It strategically engaged PSRO and MILF-BIAF to discuss their roles and responsibilities to IDPs, including NMIP IDPs, and in facilitating durable solutions.

The JP also supported peace dialogue and mediation missions building capacities on conflict resolution and resilience to violence. These are critical steps in increasing capacities of peace institutions towards inclusive conflict resolution and peacebuilding programming crucial in reducing and deescalating conflicts which usually result to displacement.

Engaging the marginalized and vulnerable NMIP communities, is crucial for inclusive and sustainable solutions to displacement. JP supported 60 IP youth leaders in developing a platform and action plan for Indigenous Young Professional Organizations to address IP challenges, such as ancestral domains, customary laws, governance structures, and civil and political rights in BARMM. Additionally, JP supported NMIP leaders in assessing their situation and formulating a strategic plan to guide the Consortium in addressing challenges, including conflict-induced displacement and issues affecting their meaningful involvement in the Bangsamoro Transition process and beyond 2025.

Mobilizing the youth, with their energy and numbers, is crucial for amplifying the NMIP's voice in local processes and decision-making forums. Support for the strategic plan helps NMIP communities identify and address priority issues, such as killings and disputes over ancestral domains. Without attention to these issues, the NMIP cannot focus on long-term solutions to displacement.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**In BARMM, community structures are still influenced by traditional structures where women are given secondary roles. Frequent displacement has significantly impacted women who are often left out of decision-makings and planning that affect them. Women NMIPs have an additional burden of belonging into a minority sector within a minority group. This occurs due to the existence of numerous governance systems but insufficient inclusive systems in IDP sites.**

**There are LGUs managed by the Philippine government, governance structure managed by traditional and clans whose authority is based on family lineage, and structures installed by the Moro revolutionary fronts and armed groups whose processes and priorities are based on the agenda of the group. All these impact decision-making which is usually made based on the interests of clans and traditional leaders and Moro fronts and armed groups rather than the needs of the vulnerable communities and marginalized groups such as women and youth.**

**To that end, the JP has implemented a range of measures to ensure gender equality and promote women's empowerment. The JP incorporated Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA) sessions in its capacity building activities. These trainings raised awareness on safeguarding measures, highlighted victim-survivor centred approach and emphasized zero-tolerance policy. Moreover, the CAP has captured gender-related dimensions of challenges and vulnerabilities specific to women. This will allow for nuanced understanding of their needs. The JP also ensured women's participation in the project's various capacity building activities. Their inclusion is aimed at improving women's visibility and influence in peacebuilding and mainstreaming of IDP protection and pathways towards durable solutions.**

**Along with promoting gender equality and women's empowerment, youth inclusion is also a critical focus of the project. The JP ensured women and youth's active role in contributing to efforts to address the causes and impact of conflict-induced displacement through a targeted training of youth and women leaders, including NMIP community leaders. The upcoming training will not only increase awareness on the rights and guarantees for IDPs, mechanisms to prevent conflict and pathways to durable solutions but also empower youth and women leaders to take more active roles decision-making and planning.**

**While progress has been made, the JP acknowledges ongoing challenges. Moving forward, the formulation of guidelines for IDP protection and durable solutions will advocate for women's active participation in processes and decision-making. The JP will also ensure participation of women and youth in upcoming capacity building initiatives. Moreover, the JP will collaborate with women and youth for community-led social cohesion and peacebuilding activities and partner with women-led and youth-led cooperatives for the project's socioeconomic initiatives.**

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? \*

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results \*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1 ☒ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: \*

**Target IDP communities are able to access pathways conducive for longer-term solutions to conflict-induced displacement, especially access to rights and services, socio-economic inclusion, and strengthened governance, peace and security processes**

Outcome 2: \*

**Institutions and communities in BARMM more effectively resolve and transform conflicts leading to displacement through meaningful participation and leadership of affected and vulnerable groups**

Outcome 3: \*

Outcome 4: \*

Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

# INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

**» Outcome 1: Target IDP communities are able to access pathways conducive for longer-term solutions to conflict-induced displacement, especially access to rights and services, socio-economic inclusion, and strengthened governance, peace and security processes**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	<b>Indicator 1.1</b>  <b>Number of new policies and programmes implemented by target institutions to enable pathways to displacement solutions towards addressing the impacts of conflict-induced displacements</b>	0	4	0	<p>Community-level progress will be measured following implementation of interventions targeting partner ministries and LGUs, scheduled for Q1 to Q3 2025.</p> <p>Data to be collected as part of the project endline assessment.</p>
1.2	<b>Percent of target population (IDP communities) enabled to take concrete steps towards preferred pathways to solutions</b>	TBD	40%	0%	<p>Data to be collected following community-level interventions scheduled for Q1 to Q3 2025 through the project endline assessment.</p>
1.3	<b>Percent target population (IDP communities) reporting increased social cohesion in their communities (including host communities)</b>	TBD	60%	0%	<p>Data to be collected following community-level interventions scheduled for Q1 to Q3 2025 through the project endline assessment.</p>



How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1      2      3      **4**      5      more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

**Regional and local government leaders have access to comprehensive data and information which inform policy and programming for displacement solutions and prevention**

Output 1.2

**Rights-based legal and policy frameworks for IDPs that recognize their rights, help prevent conflict and institutionalize sustainable solutions are enacted**

Output 1.3

**Duty-bearers and IDP communities effectively collaborate in addressing risks and challenges related to conflict-induced displacement at the regional and local levels**

Output 1.4

**Displaced families and their communities have access to socio-economic alternatives and community-empowerment initiatives that help build resilience and social cohesion, reduce stigma and support pathways to preferred displacement solutions**

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 1.1**

Output 1.1: Regional and local government leaders have access to comprehensive data and information which inform policy and programming for displacement solutions and prevention	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	<b>Number of institutions that demonstrate utilization/adoption of community assessment tools, indicators and processes in their own operations</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>TBD</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>Integration of CAP tools to MSSD's IPART system has commenced with finalization planned for Q1 2025.</b>

1.1.2	Percent of stakeholders reporting increased awareness on the utilization of the tool and on evidence-based peacebuilding programmes	0	80%	0	0	Increase in awareness will be measured following capacity-building sessions with LGUs, ministries and key stakeholders, which are dependent on the completion of the CAP, scheduled for Q1 to Q2 2025. Data to be collected after conduct of these capacity building sessions for Q1 2025
-------	---	---	-----	---	---	---

1.1.3	Number of peacebuilding initiatives/programmes planned or developed by government partners as the result of the assessment findings	0	TBD	0	0	<p>Indicator progress is dependent on completion of the CAP, which is ongoing. While family-level survey of IDPs is complete, key informant interviews are still underway, with results to be presented and validated in December 2024. Progress may take additional time, as government partners require a thorough process to plan and develop initiatives/programmes based on the findings. As such, CAP results will be presented to government partners in Q4 2024. Progress may take additional time, as government partners require a thorough process to plan and develop initiatives/programmes based on the findings. As such, CAP results will be</p>
-------	---	---	-----	---	---	--

						presented to government partners in Q4 2024. Progress may take additional time, as government partners require a thorough process to plan and develop initiatives/programmes based on the findings. As such, Data will be collected as part of the project endline assessment
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Rights-based legal and policy frameworks for IDPs that recognize their rights, help prevent conflict and institutionalize sustainable solutions are enacted	Performance Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.2.1	Law on IDP protection enacted at the BARMM sub-national level	0	1	0	1	
1.2.2	Law on IDP protection enacted at the national level	0	1	0	0	Approved and uncontested at the House of Representatives level and deliberated by the Senate in September 2024., the law still requires further government steps for enactment. Progress will be reflected once enactment is achieved.
1.2.3	Extent to which the Implementing Rules and Regulations for the IDP Law at the BARMM level has been formulated	TBD	draft IRR developed	0	0	BTA Bill No. 32 was signed into law on 14 November 2024 and will next be published in the BARMM official gazette and transmitted to the President and Congress, and published in a regional newspaper for 15 days before taking effect. The IRR will be issued 90 days after effectivity, with progress to be reflected once the draft IRR is developed.

## » Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Duty-bearers and IDP communities effectively collaborate in addressing risks and challenges related to conflict-induced displacement at the regional and local levels	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.3.1	Number of target LGUs with LGU platforms convening regular consultations and collaboration between IDP community leaders/representatives and government institutions	TBD	5	0	0	<p>Consultations with MILG have been conducted on operationalization of the Bangsamoro Plan of Action for Community Resilience in target municipalities, including convening of LGU Council for IDPs, based on BLGC.</p> <p>Progress will be reflected once LGU platforms are established/strengthened.</p>
1.3.2	Number of policy/legislative documents indicating agreements on roles and responsibilities of LGU and regional government on humanitarian, development and prevention aspects of displacement	TBD	5	0	0	<p>Progress will be reflected once policy/legislative documents resulting from LGU platforms for regular consultations and collaboration, where agreements on roles and responsibilities of LGU and regional government are discussed, are finalised</p>



1.3.3	Number of regional and LGU plans and budgets integrating IDP sectoral recommendations	TBD	5	0	0	Progress will be reflected after technical assistance in drafting of LGU plans and budget integrating IDP sectoral recommendations scheduled for Q1 2025
-------	---	-----	---	---	---	--

## » Output 1.4

Output 1.4: Displaced families and their communities have access to socio-economic alternatives and community-empowerment initiatives that help build resilience and social cohesion, reduce stigma and support pathways to preferred displacement solutions	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.4.1	Percent of target community members reporting inclusive and needs-driven planning process	0	70%	0	0	Progress will be reflected after establishment and utilization of local governance and accountability mechanisms scheduled for Q1 2025. Data to be collected as part of the project endline assessment
1.4.2	Number of cooperatives/CBOs reporting improved access to market and financial institutions	0	6	0	0	Target communities for socio-economic empowerment interventions will be based on results of CAP which will be available by Q4 2024. Locally-led community empowerment initiatives are scheduled for Q3 2025.  Data to be collected as part of the project endline assessment.

1.4.3	Percent of community members reporting increased access to socio-economic support	TBD	50%	0	0	Target communities for socio-economic empowerment interventions will be based on results of CAP which will be available by Q4 2024. Locally-led community empowerment initiatives are scheduled for Q3 2025. Data to be collected as part of the project endline assessment
-------	---	-----	-----	---	---	---

#### » Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

**» Outcome 2: Institutions and communities in BARMM more effectively resolve and transform conflicts leading to displacement through meaningful participation and leadership of affected and vulnerable groups**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Percent of target population (community members in project sites) reporting increased confidence and trust in local and regional conflict prevention and peacebuilding mechanisms	TBD	60%	0	Outcome-level progress will be measured following complementation of at risk communities. Data to be collected as part of the project endline assessment.
2.2	Percent of reported conflict cases successfully prevented or resolved by supported mechanisms	TBD	50%	0	Outcome-level progress will be measured following complementation of interventions targeting institutions. Data to be collected as part of the project endline assessment.

2.3	Percent of target population (community members in project sites) reporting improved participation in decision-making on planning, designing and implementing conflict prevention and peacebuilding policies and programmes	TBD	60%	0	Outcome-level progress will be measured following complementation of interventions targeting at-risk communities. Data to be collected as part of the project endline assessment.
-----	---	-----	-----	---	---

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 ☒ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

**Key formal and informal peace institutions have increased capacities and technical resources to promote inclusive conflict resolution and peacebuilding programming**

Output 2.2

**At-risk communities in conflict hotspots are able to meaningfully participate in mechanisms that enable prevention and resolution of conflicts leading to displacement**

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 2.1**

Output 2.1: Key formal and informal peace institutions have increased capacities and technical resources to promote inclusive conflict resolution and peacebuilding programming	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	Percent of key formal and informal peace stakeholders with increased knowledge, attitudes and intended practice on conflict prevention and peacebuilding programming	0	70%	0	0	Progress will be reflected after conduct of capacity building activities for operational support to key formal and informal peace actors are completed.in Q1 and Q2 2025 .Data to be collected as part of the project endline assessment.
2.1.2	Percent of community members reporting expected satisfaction rate on localized conflict resolution and peacebuilding programming	0	70%	0	0	Progress will be reflected after technical assistance, and capacity building support and in-kind support provided cheduled for Q1 2025. Data to be collected as part of the project endline assessment.
2.1.3	Number of successful cases of conflict resolution identified through monitoring missions	0	TBD	0	0	Progress will be reflected after conduct of monitoring missions..



## » Output 2.2

Output 2.2: At-risk communities in conflict hotspots are able to meaningfully participate in mechanisms that enable prevention and resolution of conflicts leading to displacement	Performance Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Percent of targeted IDPs, host communities and partners reporting expected satisfaction rate (80% or above) with the support provided by the project	0	70	0		Progress will be collected after conduct of legal missions in target communities scheduled for Q2 2025

2.2.2	Percent of community leaders and security partners with increased knowledge, attitudes and intended practice in conflict management	0	70	0	0	Progress will be collected reflected after workshops on community-oriented policing scheduled for Q1 2025.
2.2.3	Percent of CSOs with increased knowledge, attitudes and intended practice in conflict prevention and peacebuilding	0	70	0	0	Progress will be reflected after conduct of planning sessions and training scheduled delivered infor Q1 2025.

## » Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

## » Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

## » Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Perform ance Indicator s  <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

## » Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

## » Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

## » Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

## » Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here



For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

## » Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

## » Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

## » Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

## » Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

\*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Replication of the IDP ordinance training to other vulnerable LGUs in the country	September to December 2024	Metro Manila	Members of the Union of Local Authorities in the Philippines (ULAP) and Leagues of the National Anti-Poverty Commission (NAPC)	The activity aims to promote and advocate for the value of local policies for IDPs while national law for IDPs remain pending to date.

<b>Event 2</b>	Mainstreaming of IDP protection and durable solutions to the Conflict Sensitive and Peace Promotion (CSPP) capacity building of OPAPRU	September to December 2024	Metro Manila	National government agencies	The activity aims to embed IDP protection and durable solutions learning modules into OPAPRU's CSPP training and workshops and ensure the centrality of protection in the delivery of the respective mandates of target national government agencies.
<b>Event 3</b>	Roll out of the IDP ordinance development in other provinces in BARMM	November 2024	BARMM	LGUs from Lanao del Sur, Special Geographic Areas, and Island provinces of Basilan, Sulu and Tawi-Tawi	This activity will replicate the IDP ordinance training provided to the LGUs from Maguindanao provinces
<b>Event 4</b>	Capacitating youth and women community leaders on IDP Protection	November 2024	Davao City or Cagayan de Oro	Youth leaders from target areas in Maguindanao del Norte, Maguindanao del Sur and Marawi City	This activity will provide capacity building initiative to youth and women leaders on IDP Protection to enable them to integrate into their peacebuilding initiatives on the ground specifically for IDP communities.

## Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

**No human impact stories have been documented thus far as the current JP activities are related to finalizing approaches, identification of priorities and conduct of preliminary activities with the key government partners and stakeholders. The JP will start the documentation of human impacts in line with the implementation of key interventions and convergence initiatives**

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1  
**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2  
**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3  
**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project  
**OPTIONAL**

Link 1  
**OPTIONAL**

Link 2  
**OPTIONAL**

Link 3  
**OPTIONAL**

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

**[The JP extended technical assistance to gather the youth, women, and key NMIP leaders to assess their current condition and review strategies and activities related to meaningful participation in peace processes, governance, ancestral domain, traditional political organizations and systems, and to assist the PSRO in conducting its Peace Dialogue and Mediation Mission for Maguindanao target areas.]**

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

**The JP partnered with CBCS to undertake advocacy on the IDP Bill and with other local/grassroots CSOs to review socio-economic empowerment and social cohesion initiatives for communities affected by conflict-induced displacement.]**

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

**The JP partnered with the UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) who delivered key insights on displacement figures and current situation in BARMM and the UNRCO for the PSEA training sessions during the project's capacity-building activities.**



Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) \*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The JP partnered with CBCS on IDP Bill advocacy.**

**The JP partnered with the BARMM MILG, MPOS, PSRO and Office of the MP Froilyn Mendoza in designing and facilitating key initiatives to address and prevent conflict-induced displacement in the JP target areas.]**

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

*Mandatory*

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☒ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☒ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

UNDP, IOM and UNHCR created a monitoring system to check the progress, identify gaps and share project results to ensure that the activities align and is responsive to the needs of the community. Project monitoring tools such as monthly reporting template, monitoring and evaluation plan, pre- and post-tests and post-activity evaluation tools were developed. Post-activity evaluation tools and pre- and post-tests are continually facilitated in various capacity building activities.

Furthermore, the project is continuously conducting monitoring activities in the form of consultation and coordination meetings with key government and civil society partners. Lastly, performance and financial verification were conducted to implementing partners as part of the project's due diligence process.

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

\*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Baseline figures for the project are not yet available due to the sequencing of activities in the JP design. Baseline activities planned for Additionally, the project recently onboarded the Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Analyst in October 2024. The M&E Analyst will lead monitoring, evaluation, and reporting efforts and will prioritize baseline data collection to ensure timely and accurate measurement of project progress and impact. In parallel At the same time, the project is in the process of facilitating data collection of for certain outcome indicators through the conduct of ABPD research and CAP activities. These efforts will not only inform project interventions but also contribute to the refinement of baseline indicator The baseline will cover the project's two outcome areas. The identification of the baseline target communities will be facilitated through the CAP results. The data generated will provide baseline figures on several outcome and output indicators and key information on project implementation for both outcome areas. The baseline will employ a mixed-methods approach employing perception and k Knowledge, attitude and (intended) practice (KAP) surveys among affected populations to generate quantitative baseline figures. Qualitative methods such as focus group discussions and key informant interviews will complement these findings by providing context and depth to the data Q4 2024 once following the identification the project's target communities have been identified through the CAP. The project will launch outcome level data collection initiatives in December 2024 through the conduct of the JP baseline assessment. Preparatory work is already underway, with t The Terms of Reference for the baseline, including outlining the methodology, sampling and data collection tools, are expected to be scheduled for finalization in November 2024. Baseline figures will therefore be provided in the next reporting period.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

N/A during the reporting period

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project is in the process of establishing community feedback and accountability mechanisms, with the goal of system set-up within Q4 2024. The identification of the project target communities will be facilitated through the CAP results.**

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project is in the process of establishing community feedback and accountability mechanisms, with the goal of system set-up within Q4 2024. The identification of the project target communities will be facilitated through the CAP results.**

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

\*

*Response required*

45000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Karissa May Atienza	UNDP	M & E	karissa.may.atienza@undp.org

## » Catalytic Effect

<p>Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *</p> <p>.....</p>
<p>Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>
<p>If yes, please select the relevant option below: *</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Some catalytic effect</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Significant catalytic effect</p>
<p>If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p> <p>.....</p>

## Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project interventions have been designed in close consultation and coordination with partners from the BARMM government to ensure their alignment with their respective workplans and trajectories in the remaining months of the transition period. In addition, to ensure that project deliverables are strengthened and sustained, the JPSC has provided guidance to establish a TWG comprised by the technical focal points from the government partners, PUNOs, CSOs and academe. This will allow the JP to maintain its momentum and reinforce the continuity of the project considering the upcoming electoral period that might pose security risks and changes to the political landscape in the region.**

**During the JPSC meeting, the JPSC proposed the conduct of a risk assessment workshop. Initially, the workshop has identified that the upcoming elections might affect the implementation of the project where the project team recommended mitigation measures.**

**Technical support from the JP has enabled the potential integration of the CAP to the MSSD's IPART system. This provides opportunities for the project and MSSD to strengthen data integration on conflict-induced displacement that would further enhance response and programming addressing humanitarian needs and integrating the post-conflict situation of the IDPs. A technical workshop will be organized in the last quarter of 2024 to discuss the features of the CAP tools that can be integrated in the system which will contribute to enhancing data collection and monitoring of post crisis-situation and substantiate solutions planning for IDPs in BARMM. This further provides an opportunity for institutionalization of the CAP in the overall data system of the BARMM government.**

**Legislative support from the project has also helped bring the national and regional IDP bill towards enactment. Support for LGUs in developing local ordinances for IDP rights protection and welfare promotion is envisioned to strengthen institutionalization of LGU policies and programmes on displacement solutions. There are also ongoing discussions with MILG on formulation of guidance in convening LGU Council for IDPs, as stipulated in the Bangsamoro Local Governance Code.**

**n The JP supported the NMIP in assessing their condition and reviewing strategies to support peace processes. Engaging with BARMM institutions is crucial for institutionalizing these efforts. Strengthening Timuay Justice, IPOs, and IPS is vital for sustaining peace and development in their ancestral domains."**

**This holistic approach not only supports the NMIP's traditional governance and political system but also ensures their active participation in Bangsamoro transition governance and decision-making processes and in support to the legislation and passage of the IP Code in the Bangasamoro parliament.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Uncertainty surrounding the 2025 BARMM elections will impact the political landscape both in regional, provincial and municipal level. Changes in the executive leadership and management are expected, especially starting from the period of filing of candidacy including the registration of regional parliament political and parliament sectoral parties. Additionally, this may give rise to security risks including the risks of increase in incidents related to conflicts and violence as a result of political rivalries and clan feuds. The volatile political and security situation in the lead-up to the 2025 election may potentially impact the pace of coordination and implementation as well as pose the need for the project to review the priority interventions of the JP.

Further, the recent decision on 9 September 2024 of the Philippine Supreme Court excluding Sulu Province from the Bangsamoro Region caused for a recommendation to postpone the 2025 parliamentary election to provide the additional time necessary for the BTA to address the impact of the exclusion of Sulu on the parliamentary elections and other laws.

If the parliamentary elections are postponed, the Bangsamoro Transition Authority will be extended and new members appointed. The establishment of the TWG mentioned in the above section will thus play a crucial role in mitigating this risk to some extent and ensuring the continuity of the programming.

Moreover, natural disasters are on the rise increasingly affecting communities in BARMM. Last May 2024, the BARMM government declared a region-wide state of calamity due to El Niño. This is likely to be followed by rainy season from June 2024 – December 2024 according to the PAGASA forecast which can cause significant delays in project-related activities due to lack of access or because the project’s implementing partners are involved in disaster response. It is also recommended that contingency planning is mainstreamed across the capacity building initiatives of the project’s partners.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.  
Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

<b>Event 1</b>	Joint Project Steering Committee (JPSC) Meeting	The JPSC is the highest decision-making governance structure of the project. The JPSC serves as a high-level coordination and oversight platform among the members of the committee relevant to the implementation of the JP and provides strategic guidance to the overall implementation. The JPSC is co-chaired by MILG and UNRCO. Members of the JPSC include MSSD, MIPA, MPOS, OPAPRU, UNDP, IOM, UNHCR and MSU.	Convened in May 2024, the JPSC approved the governance structure of the JP, Joint Workplan 2024-2025 and recommended the establishment of a TWG that will help the JP to refine its strategies based on the evolving developments in BARMM. The next JPSC meeting is scheduled for November 2024.
<b>Event 2</b>	Regular JP team meeting with the Senior Peace and Development Adviser (SPDA)	The meeting is held monthly or as the need arises and began after the first JPSC. The provides a regular opportunity for the RUNOs and SPDA to exchange updates related to project's progress, the political and peacebuilding context and discuss strategies for synergies, and collaboration and course correction (e.g. conduct of activities, adapting activities/priorities to the evolving context, coordination with government counterparts, technical cooperation in capacity building, etc).	he platform benefits the JP by enhancing the jointness and convergence maximizing resources and individual expertise of the RUNOs, the SPDA and the RCO's partnerships officer on reporting and aspects of JPSC preparation.. Given PBSO's concerns re coherence, the quantity of activities and need for stronger linkage between Outcomes 1 and 2, these meetings provide the team the opportunity to strengthen the JP's focus as well as adjust ac
<b>Event 3</b>			
<b>Event 4</b>			
<b>Event 5</b>			

<b>Event 6</b>			
<b>Event 7</b>			
<b>Event 8</b>			

### Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

*If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)*

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.