PBF November 2024 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ( ) Semi-annual
- (●) Annual
- ( ) Final
- ( ) Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-11-15

2024-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States, Sudan

E-mail of Person submitting the report *

mubarak.haroun@undp.org

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Ali Muntasir OIC Peacebuilding Pillar

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

(•) Yes

( ) No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

(•) Yes

( ) No

( ) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

( ) Yes   (•) No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ( ) Asia and the Pacific
- ( ) Central & Southern Africa
- (●) East Africa
- ( ) Europe and Central Asia
- ( ) Global
- ( ) Latin America and the Caribean
- ( ) Middle East and North Africa
- ( ) West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ( ) Ethiopia
- ( ) Kenya
- ( ) Madagascar
- ( ) Somalia
- ( ) South Sudan
- (●) Sudan
- ( ) Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ○ 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur
- ○ 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.
- ○ 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding
- ○ 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur
- ○ 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence
- ○ 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- ○ 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur
- ○ 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- ○ 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition
- ○ 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- ○ 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods
- ○ 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur
- ○ 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur
- ○ 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur
- ◉ 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan
- ○ 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan
- ○ 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders
- ○ 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.
- ○ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- ☐ Asia and the Pacific
- ☐ Central & Southern Africa
- ☐ East Africa
- ☐ Europe and Central Asia
- ☐ Global
- ☐ Latin America and the Caribean
- ☐ Middle East and North Africa
- ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-11-18

2022-11-18

Project End Date *

2025-11-21

2025-11-21

Has this project received an extension? *

- ○ YES, Cost Extension
- ○ YES, No Cost Extension
- ○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ◉ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ○ YES, Cost Extension
- ○ YES, No Cost Extension
- ○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ◉ NO, No Extensions

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

○ Yes

◉ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

○ Yes

◉ No

If yes, please select which *

○ National Trust Fund

○ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

◉ UN entity

○ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

◉ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ○ IOM: International Organization for Migration

○ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

○ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

○ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

○ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ○ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

○ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ○ WFP: World Food Programme

○ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

○ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

○ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ○ ILO: International Labour Organization

○ WHO: World Health Organization   ○ PAHO/WHO

○ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ○ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

○ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

○ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ○ ITC: International Trade Centre

○ UNDPO   ○ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ( ) No other recipients
- (●) Yes, other UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- [ ] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [x] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [ ] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- [ ] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization
- [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations
- [ ] Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money *during this reporting period* (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration)?

1

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each *during this reporting period*

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner   *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ⦿ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

Sahari Organization for Development

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this reporting period?   *

20400

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner   *
Please limit your response to 1500 characters
The implementing Partner implemented WASH activities

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	2844168 *	2275334.42 *	2043676.76 *	71.85%
	*	*	*	%
UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	2155832 *	1724665.63 *	575672.68 *	26.7%
	*	*	*	%

		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....
		*	*	* %
....	....	....	....	....

TOTAL	5000000	4000000.05	2619349.44	**52.3 9%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **52.39%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

◉ Correct ◯ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

30.51

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1525500**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

◉ Correct ◯ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 799163.51**. Is this correct? *

◉ Correct ◯ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available <u>here</u>

UNDP UNICEF_Sudan_PBF Project Budget_Annual Report Nov 2024-8_40_37.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ( ) Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- (●) Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ( ) Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ( ) Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- (●) Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ( ) Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ( ) (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ( ) (1.2) Rule of Law
- ( ) (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ( ) (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ( ) (2.1) National reconciliation
- ( ) (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (●) (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ( ) (3.1) Employment
- ( ) (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ( ) (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ( ) (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ( ) (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- [ ] Gender promotion initiative
- [ ] Youth promotion initiative
- [✓] Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- [ ] Cross-border or regional project
- [ ] None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

◉ Yes

◯ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

State-level Coordination mechanisms have been established in the Kassala and Red Sea states, encompassing the RUNOs and all pertinent line Ministries. In Kassala, the state level coordination mechanism (steering committee) conducted Five (5) collaborative monitoring visit to the PBF project sites in Kassala, New Halfa, Aroma, Port Sudan, and Sawakin Localities, engaging with various PBF activities implemented by UNDP and UNICEF. Following the visits, the steering committee convened a meeting to assess the monitoring findings and provide recommendations for the way forward. Similarly, in the Red Sea state, the steering committee held a coordination meeting with UNDP and UNICEF, reviewing project progress and jointly addressing pertinent issues to ensure seamless project implementation. The committee plans to convene on a quarterly basis. Additionally, a locality-level coordination mechanism involving community and beneficiary participation has been agreed upon across all five localities in the 2 states, with finalized terms of reference (TOR), however, operationalization is pending. Locality-level coordination meetings are slated to occur bi-monthly

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project team engaged extensively with state and local authorities in Kassala and the Red Sea States, focusing on technical and planning-level interactions. Key stakeholders included the State Wali (Governor), the Ministry of Finance and Planning (a crucial partner for coordination), the Ministry of Health's Department of Social Welfare (a key technical counterpart for peacebuilding), various line ministries, the Humanitarian Aid Commission, Locality Executive Managers, and Peace Study Centers in both states. The primary focus of these engagements was on discussions and meetings to involve government counterparts in the implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of the project. These interactions followed consultations during the planning, design, and formulation stages. Efforts were made to ensure government participation in facilitating the registration of community structures, such as Community-Based Reconciliation Mechanisms (CBRMs) and Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPAs), granting them legal status and establishing connections with relevant government ministries. As a result, the Youth Peace Ambassadors in Sawakin were successfully registered as a legal entity with the Ministry of Social Welfare. To promote transparency and a sense of ownership, government counterparts were kept informed about all aspects of the project and actively participated in its implementation, monitoring, and evaluation. This approach significantly improved trust and confidence between the project team and government officials. Five joint monitoring and evaluation missions were conducted across the five localities (Kassala, Aroma, New Halfa, Sawakin, and Port Sudan), with the participation of relevant government representatives. These missions provided an opportunity to reflect on the progress of the PBF project. This ongoing engagement has not only fostered ownership but also aims to ensure the sustainability of the program's systems-strengthening interventions. Notably, it has improved access to target locations and reduced the usual sensitivities and political restrictions surrounding peacebuilding activities in the current politically tense environment.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- (●) Partially Completed
- ( ) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has made substantial progress since the last reporting period, with the majority of planned activities now underway and at various stages of implementation. Activities are being carried out both directly and through six contracted implementing partners—three in Kassala and three in the Red Sea States. Key achievements so far include the establishment and reactivation of Community-Based Reconciliation Mechanisms (CBRMs), the formation of Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPAs), and the creation of youth and women's networks. The project has also printed and disseminated Information, Education, and Communication (IEC) materials, organized community dialogues, and held cross-tribal sports activities. In addition, savings and lending associations with cross-tribal membership have been established, along with community asset rehabilitation through cash-for-work programs and vocational training for unemployed and marginalized cross-tribal youth. The five implementing partners across the two states received training workshops focused on monitoring and evaluation (M&E), data collection and management, reporting, and the use of relevant tools and formats. These sessions aimed to improve their capacity in data management and reporting processes. Also, the project-initiated work to improve water services in the 2 states. Additionally, national, and international staff from UNDP and UNICEF are on-site, ensuring smooth oversight of project activities with strong access to project locations across both states.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Outcome 1:

The project successfully established and reactivated 33 Community-Based Reconciliation Mechanisms (CBRMs) with a total of 202 members (124 male, 78 female) across the two states. The members of these 33 CBRMs received comprehensive training in conflict resolution, mediation, negotiation skills, incident monitoring, and documentation. This training has equipped CBRM members to effectively manage tensions between host communities and internally displaced persons (IDPs). Additionally, all CBRMs have established connections with local police stations to ensure a smooth transfer of cases that cannot be resolved through CBRM arbitration and to assist in mediating cases already referred to the police. Furthermore, during the reporting period, the CBRMs successfully resolved 35 disputes and local conflict reported cases.

Outcome 2:

In recent decades, Kassala and Red Sea States have witnessed a significant increase in hate speech and hate crimes, largely driven by escalating inter-tribal tensions. Subgroups of the Beja community, along with migrant tribes such as the Nuba, Halfaween, and Zaghawa, have been particularly affected by this discrimination. To foster trust, confidence, and reconciliation among diverse tribes, the project organized a series of 26 dialogue and town meetings across five localities. These gatherings, co-facilitated by CBRMs and Youth Peace Ambassadors, involved local communities in discussions on social cohesion, acceptance, collaboration, the impact of unemployment on conflicts, and the role of women in conflict resolution. As a result of these dialogues, community members reported improved social cohesion among diverse groups and greater participation of women in resolving conflicts. A total of 3,299 participants (1,852 male and 1,447 female) actively engaged in these discussions. Additionally, 26 cross-tribal sporting events were organized, with a total of 3,950 participants (2,990 male and 960 female). These events, primarily football matches, were coordinated by the Youth Peace Ambassadors and CBRMs. They successfully brought together individuals from various tribal backgrounds and locations. Local government authorities, CBRMs, YPAs, and native administrations used these events as platforms to promote messages of peaceful coexistence and social cohesion, contributing to peacebuilding efforts within the community. Feedback from participants indicated that these events significantly enhanced social cohesion by fostering meaningful interactions among attendees. Additionally, the project facilitated the selection, deployment, and training of 203 Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPAs), consisting of 102 males and 101 females, across the five target localities. These YPAs received comprehensive training in peacebuilding, social cohesion, mediation, and conflict resolution, empowering them to actively engage with their communities through cross-tribal forums, sports activities, peace debates, and the establishment of safe spaces for both young men and women. They utilized developed (IEC) materials that contain messages of peace, reconciliation, and countering hate speech. Their efforts led to the successful delivery of peacebuilding training sessions to 1,270 youths, comprising 671 males and 606 females, across various communities.

Outcome 3:

The project established 26 Village Saving and Lending Associations during the reporting period across the five target localities. These associations comprise a total of 524 members, including 44 males and 480 females from different tribal backgrounds, all of whom received training in small business management. The members of the groups meet regularly on weekly basis to discuss issues related to their business as well other issues that strengthen social cohesion and peacebuilding in their communities, and accordingly they allocated some of the saving money to be spent in the social relations to help the vulnerable people in their communities. Additionally, 463 youth, comprising 247 males and 216 females from different tribal background, have received training in various vocational fields, including beauty Parlor and cosmetics, electricity, food processing, phone maintenance, mechanic and auto mechanics, and local perfume making. To promote peacebuilding and social cohesion, the women who participated in the training plan to collaborate by showcasing and selling their products in a shared space, fostering meaningful interactions. Similarly, the youth intend to work together in groups, this collective approach aims to strengthen social connections and support peacebuilding efforts. Moreover, a total of 886 individuals, consisting of 511 males and 375 females from different tribal background, secured temporary employment through cash-for-work programs during the construction and rehabilitation of community assets, Positive interactions between members of different tribes have been observed during their collaboration in the construction work, particularly between the previously conflicting Noba and Ben Amir tribes. This engagement has significantly improved social relations and strengthened communal ties. The project also initiated works to improve water services across 3 localities in Kassala state, conducting 3 water source rehabilitations in Aroma locality and initiating extension of water pipeline and water networks within Kassala locality. The project also completed the extension of the water pipeline in

Althora town within New Halfa locality, benefitting 20,000 people (9,800 women; 10,200 men). For WASH Activities, funds are initially committed constituting the entirety of the budget associated with rehabilitating the various water sources and are paid out throughout the implementation period. This area had been identified during community consultations because of the tribal conflict between the Beni Amir and Nuba, originating from the inaccessibility of basic services, notably water. In Red Sea, preparations for the construction of the water desalination treatment plant in Port Sudan locality are underway. It's at the final stage to make a contract with a vendor. In addition to this, the project also started implementing Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) activities targeting 2 communities at Port Sudan and other 2 in Sawakin.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Despite the religious and cultural obstacles that hinder women's participation in East Sudan, the project is actively implementing measures to ensure that women are provided with opportunities to engage in all aspects of its initiatives. Currently, women account for 39% of the membership in the 33 established or reactivated Community-Based Reconciliation Mechanisms, which is particularly notable in these highly religious and conservative communities. This statistic reflects the project's strong commitment to gender inclusivity.

Furthermore, among the total of 203 Youth Peace Ambassadors established, as well as out of the total 55 Informal Youth and Women Community-Based Peace Mechanism and Networks 50% are female, showcasing a concerted effort to promote gender balance within the program. The project is prioritizing the provision of ample training opportunities for female members within the Community-Based Reconciliation Mechanisms (CBRMs), Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPAs) and Informal Youth and Women Community-Based Peace Mechanism and Networks.

A project-led training session on conflict resolution, mediation, peacebuilding, and negotiation skills saw 44% female participation among the 405 attendees. The training highlighted the crucial role of women in peacebuilding efforts. Feedback from the implementing partners shows a significant shift in attitudes toward involving women in meetings, conflict resolution, and decision-making processes. Once excluded from these spaces, women now feel empowered to engage actively and have their voices heard. Moreover, out of the 26 dialogues held in the five localities of the project sites, 1,447 women out of 3,299 participants, making up 44%, actively participated. Additionally, 960 women out of 3,950 participants, representing 24%, engaged in the organized cross-tribal events. Notably, 50% of the Youth Peace Ambassadors are women. Moreover, 3,760 women out of 8,580, reflecting 44%, have been reached through the dissemination of peacebuilding and social cohesion messages via ICE materials. To ensure the active involvement of women and youth, the 26 VSLA associations comprise 480 women out of a total of 526 members, representing 92% female participation. Furthermore, 216 young women out of the total 463 have undergone vocational training, accounting for 47% of the trained youth. Additionally, 375 young women out of 886, constituting 42%, secured temporary employment through cash-for-work programs during the construction and rehabilitation of community assets. Moreover, 19 small business projects established under the small grants benefiting 496 of which (149 male, 347 female) across the 5 localities.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

◉ Yes

◯ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

○ Yes

● No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Capacity of community-based peace structures and institutions strengthened, and their vertical and horizontal linkages strengthened, including with state-level peace structures.

Outcome 2: *

Trust, confidence, and reconciliation among conflicting tribes improved through effective dialogue, social justice and inclusive engagement of all tribes and groups, particularly women and youth in the Red Sea and Kassala State

Outcome 3: *

Cooperation and relations among conflicting tribes enhanced through cross-ethnic shared basic services, livelihoods assets and income generating opportunities that reduce competition over natural resource and enhances social interaction in the Kassala and Red Sea States.

Outcome 4:	*

Outcome 5:	*

Outcome 6:	*

Outcome 7:	*

Outcome 8:	*

Additional Outcomes *If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of key **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators, select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- If the outcome has more than 5 outputs, please select 5 of the most relevant outputs per outcome, and provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Capacity of community-based peace structures and institutions strengthened, and their vertical and horizontal linkages strengthened, including with state-level peace structures.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	**Indicator 1.1: % of sampled community members reporting a perceived decrease in levels of violence within and between communities and groups, disaggregated by sex and age**	**TBD**	**60%**	**0**	**This indicator will be measured through a survey at the end of the second year.**
1.2	**Indicator 1.2: % of conflicts reported to and or through CBRMs that were successfully resolved by combined efforts of CBRMs and other rule of law and justice institutions.**	**TBD**	**60%**	**100%** **Total of disputes and conflicts were reported to CBRMs during the reporting period, of which all have been successfully resolved. These include 35 disputes and local conflict reported cases. Among these were Three (3) inter-tribal conflicts, Six (6) intra-tribal disputes, Thirteen (13) land disputes, Three (3) water disputes and Thirteen (13) other conflicts.**	

1.3	Indicator 1.3: % of sampled community members reporting satisfaction with the joint efforts of CBRMs and local police in resolving conflicts.	TBD	70%	0	This indicator will be measured through a perception survey at the end of the second year.

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Community-based Peace and Reconciliation Mechanisms in place and functional.

Output 1.2

Local-level institutions, local authorities, Civil Society peace actors have requisite capacities to support peace and conflict resolution.

Output 1.3

Linkages between community-based peace structures and state level Peace and Rule of Law institutions established.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Community-based Peace and Reconciliation Mechanisms in place and functional.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

| 1.1.1 | Indicator 1.1.1: Number of Community Based Conflict Resolution Mechanisms (CBRMs) established/reactivated that are functional. | TBD | At least 30 with members drawn from all tribes of which 30% are women, 20% young women, 30% men and 20% young men | 33 CBRMs established/reactivated with 202 members (39% women, 34% young women, 35% young men) 5 CBRMs were set up in Kassala town with 24 members (14 male, 10 female), 5 CBRMs in New Halfa locality with 25 members (15 male, 10 female), and 6 CBRMs in Aroma locality, consisting of 62 members (39 male, 23 female).

Specifically, 9 CBRMs were set up in Port Sudan, comprising 45 members (33 male, 12 female), and 8 CBRMs were established in Sawakin, consisting of 47 members (23 male, 23 female). | 33 CBRMs established/reactivated with 202 members (39% women, 34% young women, 35% young men) 5 CBRMs were set up in Kassala town with 24 members (14 male, 10 female), 5 CBRMs in New Halfa locality with 25 members (15 male, 10 female), and 6 CBRMs in Aroma locality, consisting of 62 members (39 male, 23 female).

Specifically, 9 CBRMs were set up in Port Sudan, comprising 45 members (33 male, 12 female), and 8 CBRMs were established in Sawakin, consisting of 47 members (23 male, 23 female). | |

1.1.2	Indicator 1.1.2: % of sampled community members stating easy access to CBRMs to resolve conflicts.	TBD	TBD	This indicator has not been measured. However, related activities have been achieved under indicator 1.1.1	This indicator has not been measured. However, related activities have been achieved under indicator 1.1.1	This indicator will be measured quantitatively at the end of the second year through a perception survey
1.1.3	Output Indicator 1.1.4 % increase in number of women participating as members of CBRMs compared to baseline.	TBD	TBD			This indicator will be measured after having the baseline figures

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Local-level institutions, local authorities, Civil Society peace actors have requisite capacities to support peace and conflict resolution.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

| 1.2.1 | Indicator 1.2.1: % beneficiaries trained reporting increased capacity in conflict-sensitive programming by gender and sex. | TBD | 85% | Some 40 participants drawn from peace organizations in Kassala State have been trained on peace and conflict sensitivity. Initial feedback from participants through a post training survey indicates that 100% of respondents have improved their understanding of conflict-sensitivity principles and tools. Follow-up surveys will be conducted in due course. | In total, 68 participants benefitted from a conflict sensitive programming training since October 2023. Initial feedback from participants through a post training survey indicates that 100% of respondents have improved their understanding of conflict-sensitivity principles and tools | Further surveys will be conducted to measure capacity of training in terms of application of knowledge |

1.2.2	Indicator 1.2.2: Number of local institutions receiving training and material support.	TBD	At least 3 per State	Two local institutions received training and material support (1 per state). These include Two Peace Centers embedded at the University of Kassala and Red Sea States who have received training on Conflict Sensitivity. Institutional capacity assessment has been initiated after which other institutions will be supported.	Two local institutions received training and material support (1 per state). These include Two Peace Centers embedded at the University of Kassala and Red Sea States who have received training on Conflict Sensitivity. Institutional capacity assessment has been initiated after which other institutions will be supported.	Additional capacity support to be provided after the institutional capacity assessments being initiated.

| 1.2.3 | Indicator 1.2.3: Number of people trained on the management of tribal, inter-communal and ethno-cultural conflict crimes disaggregated by category and gender. | TBD | At least 32 of which 30% are women, 20% young women, 30% men and 20% young men | 202 individuals who are members of the from 33 CBRMs underwent training in conflict resolution, mediation, negotiation skills, and incident monitoring and documentation in Kassala, New Halfa, Aroma, Sawakin, and Port Sudan. (39% women, 61% men; 34% young women, 35% young men. | 202 individuals who are members of the from 33 CBRMs underwent training in conflict resolution, mediation, negotiation skills, and incident monitoring and documentation in Kassala, New Halfa, Aroma, Sawakin, and Port Sudan. (39% women, 61% men; 34% young women, 35% young men. | |

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Linkages between community-based peace structures and state level Peace and Rule of Law institutions established.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**Indicator 1.3.1: Number of Crisis Risk Dashboard (CRD) reports generated and shared**	**TBD**	**1 CRD system and monthly reports generated and disseminated;**	**CRD system established and delivered 4 monthly reports. The project is on course towards achieving this indicator. The Crisis Dashboard is currently in place, with dedicated technical expert**	**CRD system established and delivered 4 monthly reports. The project is on course towards achieving this indicator. The Crisis Dashboard is currently in place, with dedicated technical expert.**	**After the eruption of conflict, the structures for data collection and triangulation were suspended due to the sensitivities on ground. UNDP is working to restart bottom-up data collection and analysis through the CRD system.**

| 1.3.2 | Indicator 1.3.2: Number of Community Dialogue and Townhall Meetings between State and Local authorities and Local communities | TBD | 26 | 26 community dialogues and town hall meetings were held across five localities spanning two states. A total of 3,299 participants (1,852 male and 1,447 female) attendees engaging in the dialogues. 5 in New Halfa, 5 in Aroma, 5 in Kassala, 5 in Sawakin, and 6 in Port Sudan. | 26 community dialogues and town hall meetings were held across five localities spanning two states. A total of 3,299 participants (1,852 male and 1,447 female) attendees engaging in the dialogues. 5 in New Halfa, 5 in Aroma, 5 in Kassala, 5 in Sawakin, and 6 in Port Sudan. | |

| 1.3.3 | Indicator 1.3.3: Number and type of communication and referral systems in place among CBRMs and local justice institutions | TBD | 33 | During the reporting period, 33 communication and referral systems have been established and are operational. All the CBRM members has received training on dispute and conflict documentation and referral formats and tools. They have also linked to local police and cells phones have been provided to facilitate communication among CBRM members and with the local police authorities. | During the reporting period, 33 communication and referral systems have been established and are operational. All the CBRM members has received training on dispute and conflict documentation and referral formats and tools. They have also linked to local police and cells phones have been provided to facilitate communication among CBRM members and with the local police authorities. | |
| .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... |

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Trust, confidence, and reconciliation among conflicting tribes improved through effective dialogue, social justice and inclusive engagement of all tribes and groups, particularly women and youth in the Red Sea and Kassala State

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	**Indicator 2.1: % of sampled community members reporting perceived improvement in tolerance and social relations among conflicting tribes**	**TBD**	**85%**	**TBD**	**This activity is on track, however, waiting for the baseline result and this indicator will be measured by the end of this year**
2.2	**Indicator 2.2: % Community members, particularly women and youth reporting increase in participation in peace processes and decision making at the state and locality levels**	**TBD**	**TBD**	**1,447 women actively engaged in the peacebuilding dialogues out of a total of 3,299 participants across the five localities of the project sites**	**Indicator to be measured at the end of the project.**
2.3	**Indicator 2.3: % of sampled community members expressing decrease in the incidence of tribal mobilization for violent attack**	**TBD**	**85%**	**TBD**	**This indicator is on course and will be measured through a survey nest year.**

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Platforms and avenues for dialogue and inclusive engagement among different tribes and groups established and strengthened that reinforce a culture of peace and social cohesion.

Output 2.2

Participation of women and youth groups from local communities in peacebuilding and decision making increased in Red Sea and Kassala states.

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Platforms and avenues for dialogue and inclusive engagement among different tribes and groups established and strengthened that reinforce a culture of peace and social cohesion.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

| 2.1.1 | Indicator 2.1.1: Number of youth and women groups trained on peacebuilding and social cohesion disaggregated by gender and age. | TBD | TBD | During the reporting period, 78 women were engaged in the established CBRMs, and 102 Youth Peace Ambassadors have been trained on peacebuilding and social cohesion. In addition, the project also conducted two training courses on peacebuilding and conflict analysis in the two States for 50 participants of which 60% are females and 40% are males. | During the reporting period, 78 women were engaged in the established CBRMs, and 102 Youth Peace Ambassadors have been trained on peacebuilding and social cohesion. In addition, the project also conducted two training courses on peacebuilding and conflict analysis in the two States for 50 participants of which 60% are females and 40% are males. | |

2.1.2	Indicator 2.1.2: Number of community dialogue, townhalls and confidence building forums and awareness creation events organized	TBD	26	During the current reporting period, 38 community peace dialogue forums and town hall meetings were organised across the five localities. A total of 5000 participants actively engaged in these dialogues. Over 75% of these dialogues were moderated by women, promoting inclusivity, and amplifying diverse voices.	Cumulatively, 38 community peace dialogue forums and town hall meetings were organized across the five localities. A total of 5000 participants actively engaged in these dialogues. Over 75% of these dialogues were moderated by women, promoting inclusivity, and amplifying diverse voices	
2.1.3	Indicator 2.1.3: Number of inclusive youth-led initiatives designed, and implementation plans developed that incorporate peacebuilding and conflict sensitivity approaches	TBD	26	26 cross-tribal sporting initiatives were organized, in the five target localities A total of 3,950 individuals (2,990 male and 960 female) participated in these events. These events incorporate peacebuilding and conflict sensitivity approaches.	26 cross-tribal sporting initiatives were organized, in the five target localities A total of 3,950 individuals (2,990 male and 960 female) participated in these events. These events incorporate peacebuilding and conflict sensitivity approaches.	

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Participation of women and youth groups from local communities in peacebuilding and decision making increased in Red Sea and Kassala states.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**Indicator 2.2.1: Number of Youth peace ambassadors trained, equipped, and deployed disaggregated by age and sex**	**TBD**	**203**	**203 Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPAs) have been selected, trained, and deployed, comprising 101 males and 102 females, across the two states**	**203 Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPAs) have been selected, trained, and deployed, comprising 101 males and 102 females, across the two states.**

| 2.2.2 | Indicator 2.2.2: Average % of women and youth in local peacebuilding structures including CBRMs | TBD | 30% | A total of 33 CBRMs have been established/reactivated. These CBRMs have a combined membership of 202 individuals. Within this membership, 39% are women, 29% of the members are young women, while 28% are young men, highlighting a diverse and inclusive composition within the CBRMs.

Also, a total of 203 Youth Peace Ambassador have been established with 50% being women. | A total of 33 CBRMs have been established/reactivated. These CBRMs have a combined membership of 202 individuals. Within this membership, 39% are women, 29% of the members are young women, while 28% are young men, highlighting a diverse and inclusive composition within the CBRMs.

A total of 203 Youth Peace Ambassador have been established with 50% youth are women. | |
| 2.2.3 | Indicator 2.2.3: Number of social dialogue initiatives developed in consultation with Youth and women. | TBD | 26 | 26 community dialogues and town hall meetings were held across five localities spanning two states. A total of 3,299 participants (1,852 male and 1,447 female) attendees engaging in the dialogues. | 26 community dialogues and town hall meetings were held across five localities spanning two states. A total of 3,299 participants (1,852 male and 1,447 female) attendees engaging in the dialogues. | |

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.4.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.4.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.4.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3: Cooperation and relations among conflicting tribes enhanced through cross-ethnic shared basic services, livelihoods assets and income generating opportunities that reduce competition over natural resource and enhances social interaction in the Kassala and Red Sea States.

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	**Indicator 3.1: % of community members reporting improvement in their livelihoods (using income as a proxy), disaggregated by age and sex.**	**TBD**	**85%**	**TBD**	**Activities contributing towards this indicator are on-going. Several livelihood activities are currently being implemented across the 5 target localities. This indicator will be measured through a monitoring survey at the end of this year.**
3.2	**Indicator 3.2: % Community members, reporting perceived increase cooperation, social and economic relations among different tribes**	**TBD**	**90%**	**Relevant activities such as Voluntary savings, vocational training and cash for work have all created avenues for cooperation and economic interdependence among different groups**	**Activities contributing towards this indicator are on-going. Several livelihood activities are currently being implemented across the 5 target localities. This indicator will be measured through a monitoring survey at the end of this year.**

3.3	Indicator 3.3: % of community members reporting reduction in competition over basic social services with particular focus on water resources; disaggregated by gender and age	TBD	TBD	The rehabilitation of community assets and the extension of water pipelines have reduced competition over these resources for over 20,000 households in the project communities	Activities contributing towards this indicator are on-going. Several livelihood activities are currently being implemented across the 5 target localities. This indicator will be measured through a monitoring survey.

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Peace-oriented water and sanitization services delivered in a conflict-sensitive manner that reduce tensions and augment local peace.

Output 3.2

Collaborative livelihoods support provided that foster greater collaboration and solidarity among different tribes and groups.

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Peace-oriented water and sanitization services delivered in a conflict-sensitive manner that reduce tensions and augment local peace.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

| 3.1.1 | Indicator 3.1.1: Number of water yards and mini water yards built / rehabilitated | TBD | TBD | One water pipeline extension has been completed, one on-going whilst and rehabilitation of 3 water yards on-going as follows: ☐ In New Halfa locality, the extension of the water pipeline from Halfa water treatment plant to the Althora area has been completed. ☐ In Aroma locality, the rehabilitation of 3 water yards has completed. ☐ In Kassala locality, the extension of one water pipeline and water network has started and is expected to be completed by November. Related activities including latrine construction, training and awareness creation have started in November and no community has yet been declared ODF. | One water pipeline extension has been completed, one on-going whilst and rehabilitation of 3 water yards on-going as follows: ☐ In New Halfa locality, the extension of the water pipeline from Halfa water treatment plant to the Althora area has been completed. ☐ In Aroma locality, the rehabilitation of 3 water yards has reached 70% completion. ☐ In Kassala locality, the extension of one water pipeline and water network has started and is expected to be completed by August 2024. Related activities including latrine construction, training and awareness creation have just started and no community has yet been declared ODF. | |

				5 communities have been certified as open defecation free communities in Aroma locality.		
3.1.2	Indicator 3.1.2: Number of communities declared ODF	TBD	TBD	Related activities including latrine construction, training and awareness creation have started in November and no community has yet been declared ODF. 5 communities have been certified as open defecation free communities in Aroma locality.	Related activities including latrine construction, training and awareness creation have just started and no community has yet been declared ODF.	
3.1.3	Indicator 3.1.3: Number of people benefiting from the established / rehabilitated water resources disaggregated by age and gender.	TBD	TBD	25,000 people (12,750 women, 12,250 men) benefiting from the extension of the water pipe from Halfa water treatment plant to Althora town in New Halfa and rehabilitation of 3 water facilities in Aroma locality.	Delays associated with contracting works in Red Sea were experienced due to knock-on impacts of the broader conflict, including hyperinflation and price fluctuations. However, in Red Sea, UNICEF is in final stages of contracting for civil works.	

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Collaborative livelihoods support provided that foster greater collaboration and solidarity among different tribes and groups.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

| 3.2.1 | Indicator 3.2.1: Number of community members benefiting from cross-tribal joint livelihood and income generating activities disaggregated by age and gender | 10,000 (40% female) | At least 10,000 people | 426 people (92% female) are benefiting from the established 21 Voluntary Saving and Lending activities across the 5 target localities. | Cumulatively, the project has supported the livelihoods of conflict-affected communities through distribution of seeds and tools to 24,500 people including IDPs, Refugees and vulnerable host community members, of which (48%) were female. In addition, 426 people (92% female) are benefiting from the established 21 Voluntary Saving and Lending activities across the 5 target localities. | |
| .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... |

| 3.2.2 | Indicator 3.2.2: Number of community productive and social assets established/rehabilitated disaggregated by type | TBD | 11 | 13 community productive and social assets completed: ⬜ 1women and youth center constructed in Aroma locality benefiting 7,500 (3,000 M, 4,500 F) ⬜ 1 School fence constructed in Aroma.

⬜ 1 youth centre constructed in Kassala town benefiting 8,000 individuals (5,000 M, 3,000 F). ⬜ One community centre rehabilitated in Kassala benefiting 8,000 (5,000 M, 3,000 F) ⬜ One health facility rehabilitated in Kassala town. ⬜ One youth center rehabilitated in Sawakin. ⬜ 1 delivery room in Sawakin hospital rehabilitated ⬜ One grinding mill installed in Aroma locality. ⬜ 1 classroom and 4 school | 13 community productive and social assets completed: ⬜ 1women and youth center constructed in Aroma locality benefiting 7,500 (3,000 M, 4,500 F) ⬜ 1 School fence constructed in Aroma.

⬜ 1 youth centre constructed in Kassala town benefiting 8,000 individuals (5,000 M, 3,000 F). ⬜ One community centre rehabilitated in Kassala benefiting 8,000 (5,000 M, 3,000 F) ⬜ One health facility rehabilitated in Kassala town. ⬜ One youth center rehabilitated in Sawakin. ⬜ 1 delivery room in Sawakin hospital rehabilitated. ⬜ One grinding mill installed in Aroma locality. ⬜ 1 classroom and 4 school | |

				latrines constructed, 1 existing classroom refurbished in New Halfa, accommodating 543 (243 boys, 300 girls) students from various tribal backgrounds. ⬜ 1 community meeting hall, 1 classroom, 1, community office, and 1 surgery room constructed at Port Sudan.	latrines constructed, 1 existing classroom refurbished in New Halfa, accommodating 543 (243 boys, 300 girls) students from various tribal backgrounds. ⬜ 1 community meeting hall, 1 classroom, 1, community office, and 1 surgery room constructed at Port Sudan	
3.2.3	Indicator 3.2.3: Number of youths benefiting from Vocational and entrepreneurship training activities disaggregated by age and gender.	TBD	At least 850 at-risk youth of which at least 40% are young women and girls.	463 youths, including 247 males and 216 females in the 5 localities of the project sites, have been trained in vocational areas such as beauty Parlor and cosmetics, electricity, food processing, phone maintenance, mechanic and auto mechanics, and local perfume making	463 youths, including 247 males and 216 females in the 5 localities of the project sites, have been trained in vocational areas such as beauty Parlor and cosmetics, electricity, food processing, phone maintenance, mechanic and auto mechanics, and local perfume making	This indicator may not be achieved due to insufficiency of budget. Cost of training and materials have more than quadrupled since the time of planning for this project.

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)

Event 1	**Experience exchange workshop between CBRM and Youth and Women's groups (TBC) UNICEF and UNDP**	**February 2025**	**Port Sudan and Sawakin, Red Sea State**	**CBRM, Youth and Women's group members**	**This workshop will be an opportunity for community leaders, youth and women to exchange their experiences and ideas for their initiatives and projects for enhancing peace building and social cohesion in their communities**
Event 2	**Community Radio Programme with Youth and Women (TBC) UNICEF**	**February 2025**	**Red Sea and Kassala**	**Targeted communities**	**Create radio programmes with youth and women to spread key messages for peace building and social cohesion**
Event 3	**Organize local peace conference bringing together conflicting tribes, key peace actors especially women and youth, potential spoilers to facilitate peace agreements at the local, state and regional level, emphasizing on peace, inclusion and social harmony.**	**January 2024**	**Kassala, New Halfa, Port and Sawakin**	**Native administration**	**Establish platforms for dialogue and inclusive engagement among different tribal and community groups to strengthen social cohesion, peace building and conflict resolution**

Event 4	Organize Cross-tribal cultural events including arts, drama and music with key peace messaging	January 2024	Kassala, New Halfa, Port and Sawakin	Native administration, community members	Establish platforms for dialogue and inclusive engagement among different tribal and community groups to strengthen social cohesion, peace building and conflict resolution

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

| 1 | Community leader | | My name is Idrees Omer, 35 years old, a father of 5 children. I live in Dar-Alneem area where negatively affected by the conflict in Red sea state. I'm a local community leader and a member of the local peacebuilding committee (Informal Youth and Women's Community Based Network). The objective of our committee is awareness raising about the importance of peace. I want to share my experience that the conflict between Alnuba & Bani Amer start in June 2019 and had continued for three years. This conflict resulted in death of 200 persons and the damage of 1,000 houses and shops at both Dar- Alneem and Filip areas. The negative impact of this conflict was so many families lost their assets and become very poor, and some of them were forced to migrate to other areas (IDPs). This Peace Building programme organized a forum about peacebuilding and non-violence, which has taken place for the first time after the conflict, the Aldoim group was hosted by the Dar Al-Naim neighbourhood in the Santos Sports Club under fears of clashing. At the beginning there was rejection from Filip, Al-Inqaz, and Dar Al-Salam neighbourhoods, However, together with other youth members from Dar Al-Naim, I communicated with the targeted neighbourhoods about the initiatives to eliminate differences and the |

			beneficiaries at Dar Al-Naim received these initiatives with tears and joy on both sides.
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Quotes from the Youth Peace Ambassador Ms. Somia Mohamed Ismail

My name is Somia Mohamed Ismail 23 years old, from Sawakin town, Hai Alfarouq. I was a witness to the tribal conflict in Sawakin, and as youth, we were not involved in the reconciliation process, which was solely led by the native administration. However, I was selected by UNDP, along with other youth, to serve as a Youth Peace Ambassador. Through this role, I received training in peacebuilding, reconciliation, mediation, and social cohesion.

Now, equipped with skills in conflict resolution and mediation, I am able to help resolve small conflicts among community members and contribute to addressing larger tribal or intra-tribal disputes. I plan to work closely with my community to resolve any conflicts that arise and to promote peaceful living and social cohesion. Additionally, I will strive to change attitudes toward peace and train other youth in the same areas that I have learned about.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank UNDP and its implementing partner, PASED, for recognizing the importance of youth engagement and for training us in peacebuilding, reconciliation, mediation, and social coexistence. This training has empowered us to collaborate with other peace and reconciliation bodies, such as the native administration, to build a more peaceful future.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

....

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

....

Link 1
OPTIONAL

....

Link 2
OPTIONAL

....

Link 3
OPTIONAL

....

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

....

How we worked: *
Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Enhanced digitization
- [x] Innovative ways of working
- [ ] Mobilized additional resources
- [ ] Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- [x] Strengthened capacities
- [x] Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- [ ] Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

....

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☑ Partnered with local academia
- ☑ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☑ Unemployed persons
- ☑ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☑ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Five joint monitoring and evaluation visits were conducted, with three in Kassala state and two in the Red Sea state, in collaboration with UNICEF, project IPs, and relevant government counterparts. During these visits, the M&E mission delegation interacted with beneficiaries and physically inspected rehabilitated community assets. Subsequently, all participants provided constructive feedback and outlined the way forward based on the findings of the mission.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ◯ Yes
- ⬤ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Voluntas completed the quantitative data collection for the baseline survey across all five localities. Now in the process of coordinating the collection of qualitative data through in-depth Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) and Focus Group Discussions (FGDs).

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

All information stated in this report come directly from our field monitoring data which is available.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

○ Yes

◉ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

○ Yes

◉ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

○ Yes

○ No

◉ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

74649

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above USD 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

○ Yes

○ No

◉ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources to date? *

◉ Yes

○ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from? *

9.6

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? *

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- ( ) Some catalytic effect
- ( ) Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has a comprehensive sustainability strategy and exit plan, which can be provided upon request. From the outset, the project team prioritized sustainability by engaging in extensive consultations with community members, state and local authorities, and other stakeholders. This included five joint field visits and two project inception and community consultation workshops, which enabled the team to gain a deep understanding of the community's needs, sensitivities, and dynamics. Additionally, these consultations helped assess available resources, capacities, and existing structures that could either be leveraged or further developed in collaboration with communities and authorities.

This approach enhances the project's impact both programmatically and financially, by ensuring precise targeting of interventions where gaps exist and maximizing the efficient use of funds where established structures can be strengthened and expanded. The early engagement of communities and authorities through these consultations also fostered buy-in and a sense of ownership over the project.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Though the Eastern states have not directly been impacted by the armed conflict, the knock-on effects are namely economic. Levels of inflation remain high in Port Sudan and Kassala. Massive numbers of IDPs from Khartoum, Madani, and recently from Sinja, Sinar and Aldindir have placed additional strain on resources in Port Sudan and Kassala. Strikes in the public sector (teachers, government, and health workers) and demonstrations persisted as the above-mentioned groups did not receive salaries over months and did not see any political concession or improvement to socio-economic grievances. Civil society is overwhelmed by the increased needs of the community members and their capacities and operational abilities to respond to these needs have decreased significantly. The inflation and sharp cost increase might require the Agencies in the future to adjust their operations to account for the increased operational costs for both the implementing partners and the RUNOs.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	**Joint Field Visits in Kassala State**	**Involving UNDP, UNICEF key Government Counterparts at State and Locality Level, Implementing Partners and Local communities**	**Visits reveal that the project is on course; joint visit overcome communication asymmetries, ensure government ownership and enhancing effective and timely corrective action. It also built trust and confidence with the Government which has improved project access.**

Event 2	Joint Field Visits in Red Sea State	Involving UNDP, UNICEF key Government Counterparts at State and Locality Level, Implementing Partners and Local communities	Visits reveal that the project is on course; joint visit overcome communication asymmetries, ensure government ownership and enhancing effective and timely corrective action. It also built trust and confidence with the Government which has improved project access. We have learned that Government appetite for Peacebuilding activities is strong if done properly.
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your** *financial report in excel format* **on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.