Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ( ) Semi-annual
- ( ) Annual
- (●) Final
- ( ) Other

Date of submission of report *

2024-03-15

2024-03-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Selina Pendo Erupe, FAO Reporting and Resource Mobilization Specialist

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Ezana Kassa, FAO Head of Programme

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

○ yes

○ no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

○ yes

○ no

○ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

○ yes   ○ no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ◯ Asia and the Pacific
- ◯ Central & Southern Africa
- ⦿ East Africa
- ◯ Europe and Central Asia
- ◯ Global
- ◯ Latin America and the Caribean
- ◯ Middle East and North Africa
- ◯ West Africa

*

Country of project implementation

- ◯ Ethiopia
- ◯ Kenya
- ◯ Madagascar
- ⦿ Somalia
- ◯ South Sudan
- ◯ Sudan
- ◯ Other, Specify

*

Other, please specify

Project Title *

○ 00130612: Bridging the "Youth Gap" – Strengthening Community-Based Reintegration Mechanisms – A IOM/UNICEF Partnership for Community Youth and Youth Formerly Associated with Al-Shabaab

○ 00118835: Improving psychosocial support and mental health care for conflict affected youth in Somalia: a socially-inclusive integrated approach for peace building

○ 00113082: Leveraging the Strength of Women in Peace Building and Promoting Gender Sensitivity in the National Programme on Disengaged Combatants

○ 00130704: Making Space for Peacebuilding: Strengthening Participation and Safety of Women Activists and Journalists in South West state and Jubaland, Somalia

○ 00113011: PBF Secretariat

○ 00118131: Prevention of child recruitment and community-based reintegration of children formerly associated with armed forces and groups

● 00129735: Promoting Inclusive Action in Peacebuilding (PIAP Initiative)

○ 00096601: Support for Somalia Reconciliation Conferences

○ 00129368: Support Political Transition in Somalia

○ 00119246: Support to mechanisms to prevent and manage conflict during elections

○ 00118635: Support to National Independent Electoral Commission (NIEC) Electoral Dispute Resolution Mechanisms

○ 00113621: Supporting reconciliation and state-building processes

○ 00129369: Women, Peace and Protection Programme

○ 00140112: Nabad: Promoting women-led grassroots peacebuilding towards social reconciliation

○ 00140124: The Somalia Women and Youth Peacebuilding Project For Your Rights Inclusive Governance Harmony Trust and Social Cohesion In Southwest State and Banadir (SWYPP FOR YOUR RIGHTS)

○ 00140251: Support and capacity development for joint analysis design and coordination of PBF interventions in Somalia

○ Other, Specify

*
Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- [ ] Asia and the Pacific
- [ ] Central & Southern Africa
- [ ] East Africa
- [ ] Europe and Central Asia
- [ ] Global
- [ ] Latin America and the Caribean
- [ ] Middle East and North Africa
- [ ] West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2021-12-16

2021-12-16

Project end Date *

2023-10-14

2023-10-14

Has this project received an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- (●) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ( ) NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- (●) NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ⦿ yes
- ◯ no

If yes, please select which *

- ⦿ National Trust Fund
- ◯ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ⦿ UN entity
- ◯ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ◯ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ◯ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ◯ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ◯ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ◯ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ◯ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ◯ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ⦿ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ◯ WFP: World Food Programme
- ◯ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ◯ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ◯ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ◯ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ◯ WHO: World Health Organization
- ◯ PAHO/WHO
- ◯ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ◯ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ◯ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ◯ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ◯ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ◯ UNDPO
- ◯ Other, Specify

*

Other, Please specify

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ( ) No other recipients
- (•) Yes, other UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- [✓] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [ ] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [ ] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- [ ] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization
- [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations
- [ ] Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

☐ Action Aid ☐ The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)

☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)

☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières

☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada ☐ Christian Aid Ireland

☐ CARE International UK ☐ The Carter Center, Inc.

☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF ☐ COIPRODEN

☐ Concern Worldwide ☐ CORDAID ☐ CORD Burundi

☐ DanChurchAid ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) ☐ Fund for Congolese Women

☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)

☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation

☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI) ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)

☐ International Alert ☐ Interpeace ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation

☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)

☐ (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar

☐ Mercy Corps ☐ MSIS-TATAO ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)

☐ ONG AZHAR ☐ OXFAM ☐ Peace Direct

☐ PNG UN Country Fund ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez

☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) ☐ Saferworld

☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG) ☐ SismaMujer ☐ Tearfund

☐ Trocaire ☐ World Vision International ☐ World Vision Myanmar

☐ ZOA ☐ blank_placeholder ☐ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ● National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Shaqodoon Organization

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

117085

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Activities carried (FAO):

- "Game day": brought the youth groups together to use/play the gamification app that was developed for trust-building and community asset selection for the rehabilitation.

- Community voting training: Youth group leaders were trained on the use of the voting system to engage the broader community in the community asset selection for rehabilitation.

- Common Social Accountability Platform established: "Play for Peace" website is an online platform depicting gamification system, project description and votes for each project from community members.

- Radio Drama Design Workshop: The community representatives collaboratively designed the content for eight radio drama episodes that promoted peaceful coexistence, community cohesion, and positive social change.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ● Governmental entity
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Ministry of Youth and Sports SWS (Government Ministry)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

65337

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

- Youth-led Teambuilding and Community planning exercises.
- Youth-led Water Project Implementation Gamification App Development.
- Smart Voting System Design development.
- Operational and Environmental Sustainability Plan development in conjunction with Marka District and State relevant stakeholders.
- Development and dispatch of peace-related messaging with Marka District youth associations via Radio Drama.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ● National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Shaqodoon Organization

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

666272

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Activities carried (IOM):

- Facilitate and organize Youth-led Teambuilding & Community Planning Exercises: Identify and engage participants (including locally residing IDPs); Develop a logistics plan and ensure security, facilitate community exchange and team building exercises, initiate community-based planning and project prioritization, incorporate representatives of canal and farmer committees.

- Link Gamification Application developed by FAO to Online Platform: Coordinate plan for rolling out competition to select participant-developed peacebuilding and infrastructure projects in target locations; link FAO-developed gamification system to online platform, potentially including a grant matching mechanism where possible; Ensure privacy and security concerns are addressed before publishing content in public domain.

- Youth-led Water Project Implementation: Coordinate and oversee the tendering and subcontracting for Priority Project Implementation identified and selected by the youth.

-Plan and facilitate Integrated Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability Meetings (6 meetings; 2 days each; 30 pax).

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*

FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization	525000 *	525000 *	468830 *	89.3%
	*	*	*	%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	975000 *	975000 *	975000 *	100%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	**1500000**	**1500000**	**1443830**	**96.26%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **96.26%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct   (○) Incorrect

*

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

32.34

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 485100**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

◉ Correct ○ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the budget amount allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 466934.62**. Is this correct? *

◉ Correct ○ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the expenditure to date on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF Final Report FAO_IOM 2024-11_42_40.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

◉ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

○ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

◉ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

○ (2.2) Democratic Governance

● (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

☐ Gender promotion initiative

☑ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☐ Cross-border or regional project

☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

● yes

○ no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Eight (8) Project Steering Committee meetings have been held over the course of the project's lifetime.

*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Government partners have been engaged across all activities throughout the project implementation, Primarily Somalia's Southwest State Ministry of Youth and Sports. FAO held regular meetings to convey project updates as well as facilitate the project steering committee meetings through the project implementation timelines. In addition to this, FAO has also:
• Conducted a Conflict Sensitivity Programme Clinic with government endorsement and PSC participation in the event.
• Signed the Letter of Understanding (LoU) with Southwest State Ministry of Youth and Sports for capacity building support, monitoring and coordinating responsibilities during the remainder of project duration.
• Held a "Verification Workshop" with FAO engineers presenting the technical review of the irrigation canals to the Southwest State (SWS) Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation.
• Held an in-person meeting with SWS Ministry of Youth and the CSO/Shaqodoon to resolve concerns about security risks arising from resource- based conflicts as well as roles and responsibilities of the two parties within this project.
• FAO hosted a follow up in person meeting with IOM and the Ministry of Youth and Sports of the Federal Government of Somalia (Minister and Director General were both in attendance) to present project updates and to ensure continued good political will. During the meeting, the Center for Humanitarian dialogue's Somalia Programme Manager was in attendance for networking reasons.
• FAO held an online lesson learning event to share promising practices from the project's engagement of young men and women in the prevention and management of resource-based conflicts.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

*

Contracting of partners

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed
◉ Completed   ○ Not Applicable

*

Staff Recruitment

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed
◉ Completed   ○ Not Applicable

*

Collection of baselines

○ Not Started   ○ Initiated   ○ Partially Completed
◉ Completed   ○ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

○ Not Started ○ Initiated ○ Partially Completed

◉ Completed ○ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

A virtual launch was held to endorse and initiate the project, with the participation of key stakeholders, including FAO, IOM, the Ministry of Youth and Sports, the Ministry of Agriculture, and the Ministry of Interior at both the federal and Southwest State levels. The project implementation relied on a strong partnership between FAO, IOM, and Shaqodoon Organization, which aimed to test a new model for encouraging collaboration on natural resource management and infrastructure development among different communities.

To ensure government support and participation in project activities, a Letter of Agreement was signed and endorsed between FAO and the Ministry of Youth and Sports of Southwest State. Various activities were carried out as part of the project, including inter-communal events, conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding activities, Project Steering Committee meetings, development of sustainability and operability plans for community-based natural resource management, and field monitoring visits to selected infrastructure sites. Additionally, radio programs were aired to raise awareness about peace, conflict resolution, and climate-smart natural resource management.

The government actively monitored the project activities and provided technical support for the rehabilitation of existing canals in collaboration with youth and women groups who advocated for the projects. This approach instilled a sense of ownership and responsibility among community members towards the sustainable management of the community-owned irrigation canals.

Furthermore, FAO conducted baseline data collection and initial assessments to identify the infrastructures that needed to be rehabilitated as part of the project.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

Structural and Institutional Changes:

Enhanced Water Resources Management- The project effectively restored communal infrastructure, such as tertiary canals, in rural areas, greatly enhancing water access and resolving conflicts over water resources. The implementation of Water/Canal Resource Management Committees played a key role in ensuring better water management, distribution, and access, leading to a significant reduction in inter-clan tensions. These committees, which have been highly recognized by 97.7% of the community, represent a substantial structural change by establishing fair and inclusive water management practices.

Youth and Women Empowerment - Emphasizing the inclusion of youth and women in decision-making processes has brought about a significant transformation in conventional governance systems. This deliberate approach has enabled these groups to feel a sense of ownership and accountability, thereby allowing them to actively contribute to the progress of communities and the promotion of peacebuilding initiatives.

Societal Level Changes:

Decrease in Inter-Clan Tensions - The project successfully lessened inter-clan tensions, as nearly all respondents (99.6%) recognized a significant reduction in conflicts related to water resources. This positive outcome was attributed to the implementation of inclusive conflict resolution strategies and trust-building initiatives that fostered understanding and collaboration among previously conflicting clans.

Horizontal trust within the community has strengthened, as evidenced by increased cooperation and reduced conflicts. Vertical trust, reflecting the relationship between the community and management institutions, has also improved, with 96.7% of community members reporting effective management of water resources. This trust is pivotal for long-term peace and stability, as it underlines the community's confidence in the established institutions and processes for resource management.

Social cohesion was enhanced by involving the community in decision-making and implementation processes, particularly in the rehabilitation of water infrastructure. Community engagement initiatives, such as youth exchange programs and sports events, played an important role and marked a pivotal shift in rebuilding relationships, encouraging a culture of cooperation and fun between the young people of Buufow and Shalambod.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration).
Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have   *

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:   *

Horizontal Trust – Inter-communal and inter-clan tensions surrounding water management are mitigated through collaborative efforts by young people in Marka district.

Outcome 2:   *

Vertical Trust - Regulatory frameworks and institutions are strengthened by young people to ensure their effective role in sustaining fair and inclusive water resource management.

Outcome 3:   *

Outcome 4:   *

Outcome 5:   *

Outcome 6:   *

Outcome 7:   *

Outcome 8:   *

Additional Outcomes   *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1: Horizontal Trust – Inter-communal and inter-clan tensions surrounding water management are mitigated through collaborative efforts by young people in Marka district.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ● 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project addressed root causes of resource-based conflicts in the two villages of Shalambod and Buufow. This is evidenced by the endline assessment conducted, demonstrating that 99.6% of community respondents perceive that water resource-based inter-clan tensions have reduced. Of that percentage, 57.4% of those respondents believe tensions have reduced through their access to dispute resolution mechanisms, 26.3% of respondents attributed the reduction in inter-clan conflicts to the increased water supply from the rehabilitated canals, benefiting domestic, animal, and farming needs.

The project focused on introducing new conflict resolution and trust building approaches initiatives by re-engaging communities with one another. These included engaging communities in activities such as prioritizing canal repairs, organizing sports events, and integrating youth association members with existing committees related to canals and farming. A key example of successful trust-building Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) is the youth exchange program. By bringing together young people from different clans, this program has helped break down barriers between different groups and fostered a sense of understanding and empathy, building familiarity and trust between the communities.

A unique strategy called "Play for Peace" successfully involved youth in the Shalambod and Buufow communities in choosing water infrastructure projects for rehabilitation. This approach featured an educational video game, a gaming tournament for youth groups, and an interactive voting system. Additionally, community-focused radio dramas increased community involvement and prompted discussions on peaceful coexistence. This was evident from feedback received from project participants and recorded comments from radio listeners, as well as the findings of the PIAP project endline assessment.

The enthusiasm of the youth was harnessed to develop a plan for more inclusive water management. Clan elders and older members of the irrigation and farmer committees were incentivized to participate by the offer of investment in the winning projects, which provided short-term employment and infrastructure development. One young woman from Buufow village is quoted: "In the past, hatred and mistrust existed between the two clans due to a longstanding conflict over the sharing of water resources. The situation has significantly improved, thanks to various platforms such as inclusive conflict resolution mechanisms and trust-building initiatives.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

PIAP was designed to ensure youth inclusion and women empowerment, by ensuring participation in decision making processes, community dialogues, and water management activities. Young women had a platform to voice their concerns and contribute their insights. This ensured a culturally sensitive approach, utilizing a "do no harm approach" which allowed for the representation of young women, hence challenging gender barriers within the traditionally male-dominated domain while protecting their rights and safety.

This project has strengthened the participation of young women through capacity building and training events including by bolstering their community advocacy efforts and communication skills. According to the endline survey 40.6% of women acknowledged that their knowledge of sustainable crop production, canal management and conflict mediation and resolution has improved.

As part of the radio drama activity, PIAP has monitored and documented listener feedback from an estimated 500,000 audience-ship in the broader Southwest state area, including from women who comprised between 30-40% percent of callers with feedback. One female radio listener said: "My name is Hodan, and I live in area number 50, lower Shabelle region. I think that the herdsmen should show kindness, and livestock should not be left [roaming unattended] in farming areas. As we understand from the radio drama episode, it is good to maintain unity and peace in the lower Shabelle region. We thank FAO and Radio Danan for continuing to educate the public [using] the drama process as a way of showing a good understanding of the [community]."

The project successfully fostered cooperation between once-feuding communities, extending beyond just water and irrigation systems. For instance, women from Buufow gained confidence to cross clan lines and access healthcare facilities in Shalambod. Additionally, the collaboration has encouraged trade between the villages, enabling both men and women to buy and sell goods in each other's markets. The youth also engaged in joint sports events. The district commissioner acknowledged this progress, stating that a new era had been ushered in where the community actively participates in natural resource management decisions. There is no going back to the old ways.

Outcome 2: Vertical Trust - Regulatory frameworks and institutions are strengthened by young people to ensure their effective role in sustaining fair and inclusive water resource management.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

- ○ 1. Off Track
- ○ 2. On Track
- ● 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

By the end of the project implementation, 98% of community members recognized the meaningful involvement of young people in decision-making about water resources. Through capacity building programs, youth gained the knowledge and skills to actively participate in water resource governance and collaborated with regulatory bodies at the district/state levels and community leaders to advocate for their rightful role in managing communal assets, like the tertiary irrigation canals. This inclusive approach ensured that diverse perspectives and voices were considered.

According to the survey, lack of access to clean water for domestic and livestock use has been a major cause of conflicts among clans in the past. An overwhelming majority (96.7%) identified water scarcity as the main reason for tensions between clans, especially during dry periods. Water-related challenges were widespread, with more than half (53.5%) of participants reporting involvement in disputes or challenges related to water access in the year prior to the survey. These prolonged dry spells strained relations as communities competed for limited resources, undermining the peace that had been achieved over time. Community perceptions regarding the reduction of inter-clan tensions over water resources have significantly improved.

PIAP fostered collaboration and support for sustainable development and natural resource management plans. This included repairing canals, implementing rainwater harvesting, establishing a volunteer care provider system, organizing fundraising committees, distributing suitable seeds, clearing canals, and providing water pumping equipment. Additionally, youth and women's associations worked with irrigation committees to gain knowledge in water and irrigation management. This empowered young people to create project proposals and compete for community votes to secure funding. This initiative also promoted trust-building and interaction across clan lines through village visits and exercises.

The Shaqodoon Organization conducted a gamification experiment involving youth, integrating peacebuilding elements into their project proposals with a focus on the advantages of water infrastructure development. Feedback from the elders who were interviewed was highly positive, with one influential elder, who had first-hand experience of interclan violence, expressing that the initiative had a transformative effect on the communities by promoting friendship and collaboration.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The collaborative engagement of both young men and women enriched the canal rehabilitation processes, resulting to a more sustainable community-driven outcomes. The multifaceted viewpoints have enhanced the decision-making procedures, and fostered a sense of ownership and responsibility which allows for substantial contributions to the development and management of water resources, as highlighted in discussions with Women's Groups during FGDs.

For Example, the Canal and Water Management Committees have indicated a level of cooperation making contributions to the broader peacebuilding initiatives in both Buufow and Shalambood villages, as reported by survey respondents. The participants underscored the reduction of tension or conflict among different groups, with all members – including young men and women – actively participating in decision-making processes related to water resource management. The collaborative and inclusive approach taken in the canal rehabilitation project has greatly reinforced social cohesion within the community. Through their active involvement in decision-making, community members have developed a sense of trust and collective responsibility. This transformation has not only enhanced the practices of resource management but has also fostered a sense of unity and collaboration among various community segments, as affirmed by key informant interview respondents.

Outcome 3:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

◯ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome   *

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Horizontal Trust – Inter-communal and inter-clan tensions surrounding water management are mitigated through collaborative efforts by young people in Marka district.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	**% of target community respondents who perceive that inter-clan tensions over water resources have reduced.**	**28%**	**38%**	**99.6%**	**The endline survey revealed a significant improvement in community perceptions regarding the reduction of inter-clan tensions over water resources, increasing from 88% in the midline to 99.6%. This was further supported by the ratings given by participants, with a vast proportion (98.9%) indicating there's prevailing peace and stability between the erstwhile conflicting communities in project areas.** **This can be attributed to several factors including heightened community awareness and community engagement initiatives throughout the project.**

| 1.2 | % of beneficiaries reporting collaboration across clans on water resource management. | 27% | 37% | 98.69% | There is a notable increase in the percentage of beneficiaries reporting collaboration across clans on water resource management. The inclusive initiatives implemented played a pivotal role in transforming perceptions and fostering collaboration on sustainable water resource management.

The engagement of community members in the rehabilitation and management of canals not only improved infrastructure but also nurtured inter-intercommunal relationships. Qualitative results showed that the youth exchange programs between formerly rival clans of Biyomal and Habargedir subclans has proved a catalyst for restoring fractured relations thus ushering in peace and cooperation. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

1.3	% of cost invested by community contributions to water infrastructures that benefit all target communities.	0	10%	98%	Although FGD respondents indicated they did not make any financial contributions due to financial constraints, they however remarked a strong willingness and commitment to make community contributions to infrastructure rehabilitation. For instance, 48 out of 50 (98%) expressed commitment to the rehabilitation of water infrastructure through their willingness to provide in-kind support, such as basic equipment, and manual labor to ensure the successful implementation the project. '

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Inter-communal teambuilding exercises and joint community-based planning conducted in Shalambod and Buufow with participation of Association members.

Output 1.2

Inter-clan collaboration on water infrastructure prioritization and realization is extended to the wider community in Shalambod and Buufow.

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Inter-communal teambuilding exercises and joint community-based planning conducted in Shalambod and Buufow with participation of Association members.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**% of target participants reporting on willingness and ability to collaborate with other team members from other communities.**	**0**	**100%**	**n/a**	**100%**	**All the participants involved expressed their readiness to engage in collaborative efforts with members from different communities.**

1.1.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.1.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Inter-clan collaboration on water infrastructure prioritization and realization is extended to the wider community in Shalambod and Buufow.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**% of community members in target areas participating in processes and/ or platforms used to rank projects based on peacebuilding criteria.**	**0**	**25%**	**n/a**	**25%**	**The indicator shows 25% participation of community members in the target areas, in processes and platforms utilized to rank projects based on the peacebuilding criteria. This signifies a growing sense of ownership and commitment to community-driven decision-making.**

1.2.2	# of youth-led water projects developed and realized jointly for collective use.	0	6	n/a	6	The targeted 6 youth-led projects have helped to change the overall horizontal trust.
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Vertical Trust - Regulatory frameworks and institutions are strengthened by young people to ensure their effective role in sustaining fair and inclusive water resource management.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....

| 2.1 | % of community members reporting effective management of water resources. | 32% | 42% | 96.7% | PIAP facilitated the creation of strong and inclusive water and canal resource management committee. The FGDs and KIIs findings showed that PIAP ensured the establishment of a robust and inclusive system in water and canal resource management committees and farmer associations in managing water usage, distribution, access, as well as addressing repairs.

The survey further reiterated reported that the water users' committees and farmer associations were effective (96.7%) in managing water usage, distribution, and access issues as well as addressing repairs ensuring the sustainability of the project gains. The results are further consistent with the FGDs and KIIs respondents in the targeted areas that |

					indicated the established committees have demonstrated leadership and commitment since they're constituted by successfully resolving disputes among farmers and pastoralists, promoting equitable water distribution, and collaborating with local communities for broader issues.

2.2	% of community members reporting participation of young people in decision making regarding water resources.	37%	47%	97.8%	The survey result showed there were high levels 97.8% of youth involvement in the PBF project activities especially in decision-making regarding water resources. FGDs with some of the youth groups indicated their active participation in various project activities, including trust building initiatives, training of some members to conduct repairs of water infrastructure, community sensitization, and conflict resolution mechanisms. The robust youth engagement in key project activities promises a sustainable approach to water management and maintenance in the longer term.
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Youth-led Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability Plans developed and implemented by Integrated Committee.

Output 2.2

Regulatory platform on water resources established and operationalized by Marka District Peace and Safety Committee.

Output 2.3

Common Social Accountability Platform (CSAP) deployed across Marka that facilitates direct engagement between community members, young people and local authorities.

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Youth-led Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability Plans developed and implemented by Integrated Committee.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

| 2.1.1 | Youth responsive Resource Management and Operational/ Environmental Sustainability Plans Developed by Integrated Committee. | 0 | 6 | n/a | 5 | Three (3) local level peace agreements which incorporated WRM management plans were developed together with youth participants. Government partners were responsible for developing the other two WRM plans – also engaging youth association members, women's groups, farmer associations and water committees.

Fourteen (14) less comprehensive plans were developed during the prioritization of canal rehabilitation selection process. However, they were not robust enough to include under this indicator. |

2.1.2	Youth responsive Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability Plans operationalized by integrated committee.	0	6	n/a	6	-
2.1.3	# of local authorities with increased understanding of the importance of processes to ensure the inclusivity of natural resource management.	0	5	n/a	6	The district administration was more involved in youth activities and directly engaged in canal and water resource management. The FGDs with the youth groups indicated that state-level authorities engaged with them on isolated instances, while the local authority frequently engage them on most local issues.

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Regulatory platform on water resources established and operationalized by Marka District Peace and Safety Committee.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	# of functional engagement/communication channels between young people and the local authorities regarding water resources.	0	6	6		Engagement between local authorities, both formally and informally, extended beyond the indicator progress figure.
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Common Social Accountability Platform (CSAP) deployed across Marka that facilitates direct engagement between community members, young people and local authorities.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

2.3.1	# of questions asked by community listeners of CSAP radio show concerning water resources and answered by local authority and young people invitees.	0	10	n/a	10	Radio feedback centered predominantly around peacebuilding and conflict resolution matters, such as: "My name is Hakimo, I am in marka district of Lower Shabelle, I greet you on RADIO DAAN, I think the elders can reconcile the communities when they fight over water and pasture, and they should be considered" Important to note here that radio signals span beyond the Lower Shabelle area and many listeners were also from outside the Marka District.
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....
3.1	....	....	....	....	....
3.2	....	....	....	....	....
3.3	....	....	....	....	....

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

....

Output 3.1

....

Output 3.2

....

Output 3.3

....

Output 3.4

....

Output 3.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

| Output 3.2: | Performance Indicators

Describe the indicator | Indicator Baseline

State the baseline value of the indicator | End of Project Indicator Target

State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project | Indicator progress for reporting period

State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period | Indicator progress to date

State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project | Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)

Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3.2.1 | | | | | | |
| 3.2.2 | | | | | | |
| 3.2.3 | | | | | | |

» Output 3.3

| Output 3.3: | Performance Indicators

Describe the indicator | Indicator Baseline

State the baseline value of the indicator | End of Project Indicator Target

State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project | Indicator progress for reporting period

State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period | Indicator progress to date

State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project | Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)

Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3.3.1 | | | | | | |
| 3.3.2 | | | | | | |
| 3.3.3 | | | | | | |

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
....	....	....	....	....	....
4.1	....	....	....	....	....
4.2	....	....	....	....	....
4.3	....	....	....	....	....

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

....

Output 4.1

....

Output 4.2

....

Output 4.3

....

Output 4.4

....

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

> If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1					
Event 2					
Event 3					

Event 4					
....	....	....	....	....	....

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)
....	....	....	....	....

| 1 | Shalambood and Buufow community member | Lack of equitable access to water, hindering farming communities in Marka District. | Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) underscored farmers' adaptability, emphasizing their ability to employ diversified cropping systems. Farmers from both village reported to have planted cereal crops and vegetables. This has enhanced their agricultural practices and optimized their production, thereby boosting income. The rehabilitated canals have received acclaim for facilitating efficient irrigation systems andenabling consistent flow of water. FGDs with Canal Management Committees further argued that the rehabilitation efforts have ensured reliable water availability, leading to increased cultivation of the surrounding land. This was consistent with the midterm assessment findings that showed how previous barren areas, that depended solely on erratic rainfalls, have now been transformed into thriving farm lands which significantly contributes to local food security. The transformation was also verified through observations made by the TPM mission, | 'With improved access to water, we've been able to plant a variety of crops. We're not just growing more crops now; we're growing smarter. We have planted both cereals and vegetables, optimizing our yields and improving our livelihoods. The rehabilitated canals have truly revitalized our agricultural practices.' KII respondent, Shalambood |

			showcasing that the construction of canal bridges has eased movements between farms hence enhansing ease transportation of agricultural produce to markets.	
2	Government Partner (Ministry of Youth and Sports, Southwest State).	Ministry of Youth and Sports in the Southwest State of Somalia were under resourced This made it hard to engage with the communities in Marka District.	Ministry of Youth and Sportsis a small and largely ignored ministry in Southwest State of Somalia. With the project's support, together with FAO, IOM and Shaqodoon's engagement, the ministry has been able to solidify it's relevance in the Marka District.	-
3				
4				

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

Over time, there has been a noticeable shift in community perceptions regarding the decrease in inter-clan tensions over water resources. This transformation has been observed when comparing the current situation to that of six months ago, signifying a positive trend. The majority of those who participated in focus group discussions and key informant interviews also acknowledged a decrease in tensions during this period.

The assessment shows that the inter-clan conflicts have shifted towards a more peaceful state. A significant proportion of the participants describe the situation as peaceful (65.3%) while the rest consider it very peaceful (33.6%). These observations affirm the effectiveness of conflict management and resolution efforts in fostering peace and reducing tensions within the community.

Several factors contributed to these significant changes. The impact of the peacebuilding project extended beyond the initial target groups in order to encompass communities from Shalambood and Buufow villages. Youth engagement, supported by local communities and leaders through trust-building initiatives, has played a pivotal role in mitigating the underlying causes of tensions and conflicts. Additionally, the rehabilitation of community infrastructure, particularly in enhancing water access, has been instrumental in fostering cooperation and collaboration, effectively putting an end to hostilities between different communities.

Observations thus far highlight that the primary reasons behind the reduction in tensions can be attributed to increased access to dispute resolution mechanisms, the presence of an adequate water supply, and fair distribution management of water resources. These factors have collectively contributed to the improved peaceful coexistence and reduced conflicts in the community.

Communication products like human interest video story on you tube was all published on the following links during the reporting period:
Conflict to Collaboration: PIAP Project is Bridging Divides & Fostering Unity in Lower Shabelle – YouTube (hyperlink).

Embracing Technology to Promote Peace in Somalia - YouTube – YouTube *(hyperlink).

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Human Interest Story_PIAP-11_19_3.pdf

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6BNmbK21d_E

Link 2
OPTIONAL
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wCiqsR-S32M

Link 3
OPTIONAL
https://www.shaqodoon.org/project-listing/promoting-inclusive-action-in-peacebuilding

---

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *
Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Enhanced digitization
- [ ] Innovative ways of working
- [ ] Mobilized additional resources
- [ ] Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- [x] Strengthened capacities
- [x] Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- [ ] Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain
Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☑ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

A monitoring assessment of flood work infrastructures was done with the aim to establish the status of all the infrastructures under rehabilitation/construction, as well as establish the status of the conflict in the project areas, while highlighting the needs and challenges of the targeted households to determine whether the project's design is suitable to address these needs.

From the assessment, a total of five infrastructures were assessed, I.e., Channel Saney, Channel Idaliyow, Channel Bartire, Channel Wart and Canal Matarikow were reached with actual measurements in terms of length, width and depth collected.

The majority of beneficiaries perceived the project as inclusive in terms of community mobilization and engagement with established management committees, which were active and provided information to the communities. 71% of the respondents reported that the canals were 'extremely important' to the communities especially their livelihoods and agricultural purposes, while 20% reported that the canals were 'very important' for their livelihoods, agriculture, water availability, or other aspects – only 9% of the respondents noted that the canals were moderately important to the local communities' interests.
Based on the observations of the TPM team and as reported by the local communities in Marka, there are no active hostilities and tensions among the communities currently.

According to the survey, majority (88%) of the respondents reported that the rehabilitation of the canals fostered community cohesion and cooperation among different communities, with 77% of the respondents indicating that there are no challenges nor factors that could potentially undermine the gains achieved through the canal rehabilitation project.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ● yes
- ○ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The 5 outcome indicators have baseline values. These were collected internally at the beginning of the project by the IOM team. All outcome indicators have a baseline figure provided in this report. These figures are derived from a baseline assessment that was conducted by the project team.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The baseline data collected was community based and included perception-based indicator questions as outlined in the baseline assessment report.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

(●) yes

( ) no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Perception surveys were used at the Baseline level. The same initiative was planned for the Endline level to allow for comparison pre and post implementation. The project did not set in place any community feedback mechanisms.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

( ) yes

(●) no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

(●) yes

( ) no

( ) Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

Response required

20290

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

An evaluation has been conducted during the reporting period. Additionally, this project has been selected by PBF for a cohort evaluation. An endline survey was done to inform the cohort evaluation.

An impact assessment was conducted to measure the success of the evaluation and to provide recommendations. The assessment evaluated the effectiveness of activities and the impact of the project. 271 respondents participated in the survey, with 59.4% male and 40.6% female. The assessment aimed to evaluate interclan tensions, water resource management, grievances, and trust in Shalambood and Buufow communities.

The assessment revealed that the majority of respondents (99.6%) in the target community have observed a decrease in inter-clan tensions related to water resources. This positive change can be attributed to improved access to dispute resolution mechanisms (57.4%), reliable water supply (26.3%), and equitable distribution and management of water (10%).

Additionally, nearly all community members (97.8%) reported that young people are actively involved in decision-making processes concerning water resources. This was confirmed through discussions with youth groups, who expressed their participation in various project activities such as trust building, infrastructure repairs, community education, and conflict resolution.

The assessment revealed that the majority of respondents (99.6%) in the target community have observed a decrease in inter-clan tensions related to water resources. This positive change can be attributed to improved access to dispute resolution mechanisms (57.4%), reliable water supply (26.3%), and equitable distribution and management of water (10%). Nearly all community members (97.8%) reported that young people are actively involved in decision-making processes concerning water resources.

Despite facing financial constraints and hardships, the FGD respondents expressed a strong commitment to contributing to the rehabilitation of community infrastructure, particularly water infrastructure. Nearly all of the respondents surveyed (98%) expressed their willingness to provide in-kind support and manual labor to ensure the success of community development projects. This robust youth engagement promises a sustainable approach to water management and maintenance in the long term.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

The endline report has been uploaded on the gateway.

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

○ yes

◉ no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- ( ) No catalytic effect
- ( ) Some catalytic effect
- (●) Significant catalytic effect
- ( ) Very Significant catalytic effect
- ( ) Don't Know
- ( ) Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Approaches from the Promoting Inclusive Action in Peacebuilding (PIAP) project have been effectively applied in the design of the Jowhar Off stream Storage Programme (JOSP), specifically the Restoring the Riverine Eco-Systems for Climate Adaptations (RESTORE) project. This effort not only mitigates drought and reduces flood risks but also prioritizes inclusivity and community engagement, ensuring that the project benefits 1.5 million people. The incorporation of peacebuilding principles enhances the sustainability and positive impact of the JOSP initiative. The project funded by Britain will be implemented by FAO, the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), the International Organization for Migration (IOM), and World Vision International-Somalia in partnership with the Somali government.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project produced an operational and environmental sustainability plan that was endorsed by key local stakeholders, including the District Peace and Safety Committee and the district and local administrations. The plans ensured conflict mitigation measures in relation to the collaborative management as well as the use of local water resources across clans in the target area.

The sustainable peacebuilding in this context involved addressing various aspects of canal resource management. It ensured the availability of water while encompassing a multifaceted approach that included efficient use of water and community involvement. This holistic approach recognized that sustainable peace is not only about mitigating tension that can lead to conflicts but also about creating a stable and resilient approach to natural, and water resource management.

One of the key elements of sustainable peacebuilding was the active engagement of the existing youth associations in the planning and management of the resources. This project emphasized on the community-based approaches by promoting the participation of both youths and women, in decision-making processes related to canal management promoting a sense of intersectional ownership and responsibility.

The project generated awareness around dispute resolution mechanisms via community mobilization events, behavior change communication platforms (radio, TV, social media) and regular engagement between stakeholders. For example: The project strengthened the existing platforms in Marka District Peace and Safety Committee for community asset management by incorporating dispute resolution strategies hence long-term stability in the Marka District (Shalambod and Buufow villagers).

Both elders and authorities have accepted the plan, as confirmed by the endline assessment and government reports, however they have expressed further time and resources would be required to realize the full scope of the developed plan. In addition, the community demonstrated a strong commitment to applying the acquired knowledge. This showcases the practical relevance of the project's interventions hence fostering a sense of ownership and responsibility for sustainable conflict sensitive canal resource management.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

CSO's and National NGOs like Shaqodoon Organization play a crucial role in the success of projects centered around peace-building activities, infrastructure development, and associated capacity building. To strengthen the capacity needs and effectiveness in managing and sustaining these initiatives, it is imperative to prioritize the following:

Capacity building in Integrated Resource Management is paramount for the relevant local NGOs i.e., Shaqodoon Organization. These practices will strengthen INRM practices. The tailored training programs should encompass topics such as sustainable water management, land use planning, resource management as well as environmental impacts assessment in project planning and execution to the long-term well-being of communities.

Community capacity building for post-project implementation is crucial to ensure the sustainability of the initiatives. Tailoring efforts to address these specific needs of the community is crucial for long-term success. Training programs should be designed to create strategies that actively engage and uplift local communities, fostering economic resilience and self-sufficiency. This involves providing focused expertise in developing and implementing vocational training programs, entrepreneurship initiatives, and education campaigns that align with the unique requirements of youth groups in different parts of Somalia.

By recognizing and addressing the distinct challenges and opportunities for young men and women, with the aim to empower them to sustain the benefits of the peacebuilding and infrastructure development project. This approach will foster a sense of ownership within the community in the journey towards sustainable development. As a result, the community becomes an integral part of the ongoing efforts to navigate the complexities of Somalia's youth development, promoting peace, and enhancing the overall wellbeing of communities as a whole.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			

Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.