

PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☒ Semi-annual
☐ Annual
☐ Final
☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-06-15

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Ravindran Velusamy, Head of Field Office

E-mail of person submitting the report *

velusamy@unhcr.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Freya Byfield

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

*

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation

*

- | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia | <input type="radio"/> Kenya | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar |
| <input type="radio"/> Mozambique | <input type="radio"/> Somalia | <input type="radio"/> South Sudan |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Sudan | <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | |

Other, please specify

*

Project Title

*

- ☐ 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur
- ☐ 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.
- ☐ 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding
- ☐ 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur
- ☐ 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence
- ☐ 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- ☒ 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur
- ☐ 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- ☐ 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition
- ☐ 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- ☐ 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods
- ☐ 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur
- ☐ 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur
- ☐ 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur
- ☐ 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan
- ☐ 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan
- ☐ 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders
- ☐ 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

*

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

.....

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-01-06

Project End Date *

2025-07-06

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☒ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request?

*

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which

*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☒ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☒ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

*

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM) | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify |

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

4

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Addition to Disaster Assistance and Development

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

468000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

468000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Design and implement community support projects aimed at benefiting all populations in the target areas

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Other

Other, Please specify

Consultancy Firm

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Social Inquiry

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Methodology and research tool design, sample development, technical support, data analysis.

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

INSEED

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

220083.87

*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

220083.87

*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

*

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Data gathering and rollout of household survey in Chad

*

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Other

Other, Please specify National NGO	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner NIDAA	*
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner? <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 286800	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start? <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 286800	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period? <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 189900	*
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period <i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i> Facilitated Community consultations & provide livelihood, income-generating activities (IGA) inputs to selected recipients.	*

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNHCR: United Nations High Commission er for Refugees	1000129	1000129	991569.00	99.14%
	*	*	*	%
IOM: Internation al Organizatio n for Migration	1000000.01	1000000.01	964365.74	96.44%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

97.7
9%

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

28.8

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 576037.15**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

576135.95

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 563309.21**. Is this correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

558993.86

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.
The templates for the budget are available [here](#) *

PBF IOM HCR Fin Report Jan-June 2025-12_24_7.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☒ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The steering committee met on two occasions in person and three times bilaterally (either IOM with the Humanitarian Aid Commission (HAC)/ Ministry of Social Welfare (MoSW) or UNHCR with HAC/MoSW) along with implementing partners in the past 6 months. At times it was not possible for all the members to meet at the same time since not everyone was permanently present and security developments restricted movement for in-person meetings.

Online meetings were not possible with government entities due to lack of usage and unavailability or poor connectivity. The Steering Committee Meetings not only included updates on progress of projects, challenges faced and requests for support to resolve issues, but also site visits to view progress with implementation.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Government engagement was initiated from the commencement as no activities can be carried out without their approval. The main government entities were the Humanitarian Aid Commission (HAC), Ministry of Social Welfare (MoSW), Ministry of Education, Ministry of Health, Water Department and local administration. HAC and MoSW worked as the main interlocutors for the line ministries. Engaging regularly without a permanent present was challenging.

Other than for approval purposes, it was also important to engage government to ensure that they understood that projects will be community driven and not a process where government identifies community needs. Consultations with community and findings of these consultations defined the project outcomes.

It was also important to ensure that the projects did not overlap or under planning for implementation by other entities, including government ex school buildings. Engaging with government entities helps to meet technical requirements, like the upgrade of health centres.

Overall, engagement with government in River Nile State was positive and they were supportive of the implemented projects. River Nile had no previous involved in peacebuilding responses in the past and it was a learning experience to understand how planning, implementing, accountability and consultations takes place.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

*

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

In October 2024, IOM partnered with the Sudanese Development Call Organization (NIDAA) to implement livelihoods and income-generating initiatives (IGA) for 433 people through 128 community-support projects. These initiatives specifically targeted host communities and internally displaced persons (IDPs) in Atbara and Ad Damer cities. The project made significant contributions to fostering community resilience, promoting economic empowerment, and advancing sustainable development. By facilitating business interactions between communities, the initiatives strengthened social cohesion and fostered positive peace within the River Nile area. Furthermore, they promoted an understanding of migration as a positive factor by enhancing market capacity and supporting economic growth.

UNHCR engaged Addition for Disaster Assistance & Development (ADD) implement six community-based support projects (CSP). Kick-off meetings took place with the Humanitarian Aid Commission and the Ministry of Social Welfare giving an overview of the project, the donor, expected timelines, and coordination requirements. A consultative workshop with government technical sectors was held to present the project, discuss priorities, targeted areas, target groups, and the budget allocated. In 2025, six CSPs (solarization, rehabilitation of school, health centres and community centres and latrine construction) were completed and handed over to stakeholders.

In addition, a “Lessons Learnt Workshop” will be held on 1 & 2 June 2025 with a cross-section of participants invited – authorities, partners, beneficiaries and implementing organisations. This exercise was approved by PBF as an alternative to the final project evaluation, due to the methodological constraints brought by the project’s re-programming from Darfur to River Nile state following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

In October 2024, Social Inquiry released three thematic briefs based on data collected in Darfur during the 2022–2023 project phase. These briefs were tailored for stakeholders working in Darfur, cross-border operations, and with Sudanese refugees in Chad. The topics included: 1) Peacebuilding and coexistence attitudes among major tribes before the 2023 conflict; 2) Perceptions of safety and security; and 3) A comparative analysis of human, social, financial, physical, and natural capital between nomadic and host communities. Finalized in November 2024, the briefs remain sensitive for public release but are valuable for guiding future IOM and UNHCR peacebuilding efforts in IDP/refugee-hosting areas and Darfur when conditions permit.

During the reporting period, 433 conflict-affected individuals (14% women, 40% youth) were supported through 128 small-scale livelihood and income-generation projects. In Atbara, 215 people benefited—60 through individual projects, 85 via community associations, and 70 through the Al-Amn Algizai cooperative. In El Damer, 218 people were supported similarly, with 98 involved in the Al-Shuna cooperative. A total of 117 individuals received agricultural inputs such as dairy goats, poultry cages, hens, feed, and prepared land. In Atbara, three women-led associations (85 members: 39 host, 46 IDPs) were registered, equipped, and trained in food production.

In El Damer, associations received livestock and agricultural support (lambs, calves, fodder inputs), enhancing economic activities. Al-Shuna benefited from solar-powered irrigation, land reclamation, and fertilizer, enabling cultivation of 45 acres. Al-Amn Algizai received lambs, feed, and fodder cultivation support. Of the association members in El Damer, 68 were women (61 host, 47 IDPs).

In 2025, UNHCR and ADD completed six infrastructure projects, handing them over to local health and school committees and community groups. These projects aimed to foster cohesion between IDPs and host communities, whose resources were strained by displacement. The infrastructure included classroom rehabilitation, water facilities, and latrines in six schools (three each in Atbara and El Damer), benefiting over 1,500 children. In one case, the community and contractor co-funded additional classroom repairs.

Three health centers, three community centers, and two hospitals were solarized, reducing referrals to major hospitals and easing tensions. The Atbara Water Pumping Station was upgraded, restoring water to Ad Damar (pop. 123,000) and reducing conflict over water access.

These interventions strengthened peacebuilding and social cohesion. Shared economic activities built trust, and equitable resource access reduced tensions. For example, 50 IDP women in poultry/goat projects lived with host relatives, sharing project outputs. Goats for 101 beneficiaries were sourced locally, reinforcing economic ties. Economic stability improved self-reliance, and community associations became platforms for inclusive dialogue. Addressing shared challenges like livestock disease fostered unity, while empowering women and youth reduced marginalization—contributing to sustainable peace and stronger community bonds.

Challenges included a poultry project in Atbara's Toga Community Association, which faced disease outbreaks despite receiving 120 hens, a battery, and six months of feed, vitamins, and vaccines. IOM and NIDAA provided technical support and guidance to mitigate losses and prevent future outbreaks. This highlighted the need for better recipient selection and communication to ensure technical capacity for poultry farming.

The Community Support Projects (CSPs) were a joint IOM-UNHCR initiative, shaped by 2024 community consultations. Despite broad needs, limited resources required prioritization. Communities identified key activities, categorized into “hard” (infrastructure) and “soft” (economic restoration) components to balance peacebuilding and social cohesion. Initially, authorities favored humanitarian aid over long-term investments, but this shifted during implementation.

IOM led economic restoration, promoting self-reliance and income generation, while UNHCR focused on infrastructure. This division ensured clarity and efficiency, aligning efforts to deliver tangible results. The integrated approach addressed immediate needs and promoted long-term sustainability, fostering social cohesion and economic resilience between IDPs and host communities.

Preliminary findings of the Lessons learned workshop showed that these projects sought to change behaviour and perceptions of IDPs as causes of common resource shortages and lack of access to basic services, without ‘giving back’. Instead the inflow of IDPs enabled the rehabilitation of key community infrastructure enabling access and provided income generating opportunities for both IDP and host communities. More details will be provided in the report to be submitted to PBF later.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Conflict and displacement exacerbated issues surrounding gender inequality and women's empowerment. Women were seen only as caregivers and homemakers. To address this imbalance, projects included strong gender components or highlighted women's needs and provided an outlet for them to have opportunities for advancement. For example, focus group discussions were held with women's groups at the Al Jubarab Community Centre where up to 100 women had taken various classes and training however had seen a reduction in participation due to deteriorating facilities and training design.

The Al Jubarab Community Centre was used as a multipurpose centre for livelihood training and computer classes. While some classes and training took place, attendance was low due in part to infrequent power but also due to insufficient infrastructure and training design e.g scheduling of trainings was important as women have dual roles of family care. The refurbishment and equipping of the centre encouraged more women to return to classes and influenced how the programmes would be designed and scheduled in the future.

The rehabilitation was also targeted to support and encourage the youth to learn new skills. During implementation, the youth became interested with the solar power system which was being installed, noting that learning this skill could result in income generating activity in the future.

The project also placed a strong emphasis on advancing Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment by prioritizing support for women-headed households through the project's selection criteria. As such, women comprised most beneficiaries (59%) under IOM's activities under this project. Livelihood and income-generation activities significantly improved access to livelihoods and basic services for 108 women leading their households. Among these women, 25% were under 20 years old, 50% were aged 20-35, 17% were between 35-50, and 8% were over 50 years. Furthermore, 120 members from eight community associations (80 women and 40 men) also reported enhanced access to livelihoods.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The selected community-support projects had peacebuilding as a critical component. The projects were formulated around supporting communities cope with the impact of conflict and displacement. The project has demonstrated clear outcome-level peace building results through its inclusive and community-driven approach. The implementation of the CSPs fostered cooperation across diverse social groups by prioritizing shared goals such as improved health services, education, and access to essential infrastructure. The consultative planning process, which involved government stakeholders, community leaders, women, and youth, created a strong foundation for trust-building and mutual understanding. By rehabilitating communal facilities such as schools and community centers, the project facilitated neutral and safe spaces where different groups including IDPs could interact, collaborate, and engage in civic activities. Moreover, the equitable delivery of services helped reduce potential sources of tension and addressed historical grievances linked to marginalization. These efforts not only strengthened social cohesion at the community level but also reinforced institutional relationships, making communities more resilient to conflict and more unified in responding to shared challenges.

For example, in Atbara and Ad Damer, competition over water created a significant source of tension between IDPs and host communities. Both localities were supplied by a single pumping station with two main pipelines. Due to the increased need for water, the main pump supplying Ad Damer broke down. This deprived the host and IDP communities from having sufficient water. Tensions arose between hosting and IDP communities around the broken pump and perception that IDPs had caused it. Communities from Ad Damer responded by going to Atbara to collect water, raising fears that the system supplying water to Atbara could also break down due to the increased demand. In this instance, tensions were running high while the need for water was increasing without a solution. The project addressed this conflict driver by purchasing and installing a new water pump to restore supply to both localities. This resulted in the reduction of tensions between the communities. Host communities recognized that the presence of IDP's was not a burden to them and that IDP's were not using up resources without return. With the new pump installed the host community were now getting increased supply as compared to before. The host community were no longer advocating for restriction of access for IDP's. The IDP's who were previously not always welcomed to collect water from host community facilities were more freely accepted compared to times when there was limited water supply. This promoted coexistence and resource sharing among the host and IDP's

In another example, 50 IDP women benefiting from goat and poultry projects were living together with their relatives . from the host community, where the products of these projects are shared, promoting unity and cooperation. Additionally, goats for 101 beneficiaries were purchased from traders within the local community, reinforcing local economic ties and community stability. Furthermore, the Al-Amn Algizai Cooperative, belonging to the host community, granted agricultural land to three displaced women, enabling them to implement their agricultural projects. This demonstrates a tangible act of solidarity and resource-sharing between the host community and IDPs. Furthermore, the associations supported by the project include both IDPs and host community members, ensuring inclusive membership that strengthens social cohesion and trust.

The implementation of the CSPs led to noticeable shifts in River Nile community attitudes and behaviours, particularly in relation to collaboration, trust in institutions, and intergroup relations in Attbara and Al-Damar localities. Initially, some communities exhibited scepticism toward organization interventions, with fragmented engagement across different social groups. However, inclusive consultations and participatory planning gradually built a culture of cooperation. Community members—especially women and youth began demonstrating increased agency during community consultation sessions, voicing priorities, and participating in monitoring activities. This shift was reflected in improved attendance and diversity at coordination meetings, where individuals previously marginalized became active contributors.

A key behavioural change was the emergence of joint problem-solving among community groups and local authorities. For instance, during project implementation, local disputes over service prioritization were resolved through dialogue rather than confrontation, signaling a move toward constructive conflict resolution. Moreover, the use of rehabilitated communal spaces such as schools and centers for shared events, trainings, and community dialogues fostered regular, peaceful interaction across tribal, ethnic, and generational divides.

Evidence of reduced tensions includes anecdotal accounts from community leaders reporting a decline in localized disputes and increased collaboration on resource management. Women's committees and youth-led groups have continued convening beyond the project's completion to address community issues, indicating sustained cohesion. Overall, the project not only improved infrastructure and provide basic services but also transformed social dynamics, laying a foundation for peaceful coexistence and collective ownership of development in River Nile communities.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

Can be provided after lessons learned workshop within the report where feedback is collected from the participants.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Sudanese authorities and stakeholders have greater access to comprehensive data and analysis on the profile of Sudanese refugees, IDPs, returnees, and non-displaced communities, as well as on the material, legal, security, and social factors in target locations that make it conducive for safe residence, and use this data and analysis to develop policies and interventions in support of the peace process that ensure the voluntary, safe, sustainable and peaceful return and (re)integration of displaced-affected populations.

Outcome 2:

Peaceful return and (re)integration processes of Sudanese refugees and IDPs enhanced by increased awareness and access to information and improved basic services.

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

<p>Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all outcome and output indicators in the table below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.• Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)
--

» **Outcome 1: Sudanese authorities and stakeholders have greater access to comprehensive data and analysis on the profile of Sudanese refugees, IDPs, returnees, and non-displaced communities, as well as on the material, legal, security, and social factors in target locations that make it conducive for safe residence, and use this data and analysis to develop policies and interventions in support of the peace process that ensure the voluntary, safe, sustainable and peaceful return and (re)integration of displaced-affected populations.**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	
1. 1	Number of key policy and locality/state and UN/donor planning strategies using the integrated profiling analysis reports to support the design of tangible Durable Solutions projects and incorporating a strong gender focus.	0	3	0	3	NA

1. 2	Number of targeted Durable Solutions or HDPN related interventions designed in coordination with local authorities and communities using findings from the integrated profiling analysis reports to help guide targeting of locations and in understanding priority programming needs to promote cohesion and peacebuilding, with a strong gender focus	0	5	134	134	UNHCR's initial target for implementation was 5 community-support projects but due to reduced overall cost, savings were used to implement another project from the prioritized list. The significant increase in IOM community-support projects delivered (128) was due to the reprogramming of the remaining balance under outcome 1.
1. 3						
1. 4						
1. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 1 have?						
<div>12345 more than 5.</div>						
Please list all outputs for outcome 1						

Output 1.1

An integrated profiling analysis report of conflict-and displacement-affected communities by areas of origin, combining data from Sudanese refugees in Chad and IDPs, returnees, and non-displaced communities in Darfur, as well as thematic summary briefs on the most significant obstacles to return and (re)integration are produced

Output 1.2

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: An integrated profiling analysis report of conflict-and displacement-affected communities by areas of origin, combining data from Sudanese refugees in Chad and IDPs, returnees, and non-displaced communities in Darfur, as well as thematic summary briefs on the most significant obstacles to return and (re)integration are produced**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Indicator framework and survey tool finalized in coordination with relevant target communities, community leaders, and local authorities.	0	1	0	1	Completed

1. 1. 2	Data collection across 3 states (34 localities) in Darfur and with Sudanese refugees in Chad	0	Data collected from Women: 50%, Men: 50%, Young women (18-34 years old): 15%, Young men (18-34 years old) 15%	0	Completed Data collection in Darfur commenced as planned in November 2022 and was completed in Early December 2022 by IOM teams. The data collection in Chad took place in January-March 2023. Women: 50%, Men: 50%, Young women (18-34 years old): 15%, Young men (18-34 years old) 15%	Completed
1. 1. 3	Integrated profiling analysis report and products produced and disseminated.	0	4 (1 integrated report and 3 thematic analysis briefs)	0	4 (1 integrated report and 3 thematic analysis briefs)	Completed
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2:

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1						
1. 2. 2						
1. 2. 3						
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» Output 1.3:

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1						
1. 3. 2						
1. 3. 3						
1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2: Peaceful return and (re)integration processes of Sudanese refugees and IDPs enhanced by increased awareness and access to information and improved basic services.

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	% of community members (disaggregated by sex, age, and community) reporting improved access to basic services in target locations	0	60	5.7	5.7	<p>The indicator progress for reporting period is calculated based on the people accessing the Ad Damar Teaching Hospital before and after the implementation of CSPs.</p> <p>As no endline survey was conducted due to the security situation, the target for this indicator is calculated based on the number of people accessing the services provided by one of the CSPs. Hence, the variances between the final target reached and the initially proposed indicator target.</p>

2. 2						
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 2 have?						
<div>12345more than 5.</div>						
Please list all outputs for outcome 2						
Output 2.1 (IOM/UNHCR) Social cohesion in target area enhanced through improved access to basic social services for all communities						
Output 2.2						
Output 2.3						
Output 2.4						
Output 2.5						
Other Outputs If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here						

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: (IOM/UNHCR) Social cohesion in target area enhanced through improved access to basic social services for all communities**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2. 1. 1	Number of inclusive planning workshops held to design gender-sensitive community support projects and action plans	0	5 (Target disaggregation of participants: 50% women, 30% youth, 20% government and community leaders (not mutually exclusive groups))	0	<p>2 workshops were held in Atbara and Ed Damar localities in September 2024.</p> <p>55% of participants (53 out of 96 total) were women, while 14% (13 out of 96) were government and community leaders.</p> <p>Approximately 28% of participants (27 out of 96 total) were youth. In addition, 4 out of the 9 community committee members (3 male, 1 female) appointed in Atbara are youth representatives, while 3 out of the 8 community committee members (2 male, 1 female) appointed in Ed Damer are youth representatives.</p>	<p>The original target of 5 workshops was based on the estimated number of CSPs to be implemented in communities at the time of project inception. However, it was subsequently decided by the project team that it would be a more effective use of time and resources to hold one workshop per locality, both to bring in a wider array of participants, and to allow more flexibility on the number of possible CSPs.</p>
---------------	--	---	---	---	--	--

2. 1. 2	Number of community support projects implemented in target locations and benefitting all communities	0	5	134	134	UNHCR's initial target was 5 projects but due to reduced overall cost, the savings were used to implement one more project from the prioritized list for a total of 6. The significant increase in IOM projects delivered (128) was due to the reprogramming of the remaining balance under outcome 1.
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1
2. 2. 2
2. 2. 3
2. 2. 4
2. 2. 5

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1
2. 3. 2
2. 3. 3
2. 3. 4
2. 3. 5

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1						
3.2						
3.3						
3.4						
3.5						
How many outputs does outcome 3 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 3						
Output 3.1						
Output 3.2						

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....
3. 1. 1
.....						
3. 1. 2
.....						
3. 1. 3
.....						
3. 1. 4
.....						
3. 1. 5
.....						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1
3. 3. 2
3. 3. 3
3. 3. 4
3. 3. 5

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output 4.1						
Output 4.2						

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1
4. 3. 2
4. 3. 3
4. 3. 4
4. 3. 5

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1
4. 4. 2
4. 4. 3
4. 4. 4
4. 4. 5

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
<p>If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes</p>						

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Lessons Learned workshop	1-2 June 2025	Atbara, River Nile State	Community members; local authorities; implementing partners	Planning meetings were held between the main stakeholders namely HAC/MoSW, UNHCR and IOM and implementing partners to plan a “Lessons Learn Workshop” as an alternative to the final evaluation, which PBF approved as part of the project’s re-programming request in August 2024. The workshop is meant to be a consultative review of the project to understand the experiences of the beneficiaries from the initial consultations to implementation of the projects, the value delivered and how things can be done better in the future. The workshop will be held on 1&2 June 2025.
Event 2					
Event 3					

Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

<p>1</p>	<p>IDPs, host communities, community leaders, local NGOs, local authorities</p>	<p>Prior to the ongoing conflict, Ad Damar Teaching Hospital used to receive 3500 patients a week. Since the start of the conflict and displacement, the number of patients has risen dramatically; an average 7000 per week and increasing. All medical resources are stretched.</p> <p>There are frequent power outages, and the backup generators cannot run all day. The lack of power drastically affects treatment, operations & emergency cases. UNHCR and partner ADD installed 6 solar systems in various parts of Ad Damar Teaching Hospital to keep vital services functioning. The solar system has supported critical treatment areas, dialysis, cold chain and laboratory testing among others.</p> <p>Overall, usage of solar power has provided the opportunity for expanded and consistent patient services, ex dialysis patients who had to wait for long hours or had to be rescheduled no longer faced this problem as the solar power enabled more consistent life sustaining service provision and reduced repeated travel to the hospital which at times could be at a high cost.</p> <p>The added and consistent services met the need of the IDP population, who among them were from Khartoum which was the main referral centre from across the country. The project ensured that those who were already receiving treatment could continue without placing added pressure of the already stretched services. Overall, the solar system provided power backup for up to 280 dialysis patients</p>	<p>“The solar system installed at the dialysis center at Ad Damar Teaching Hospital has been critical during power outages. The dialysis machines can only function for between 10-30 minutes with their own backup and failure to complete the treatment cycle means that the treatment must be redone. The solar system supports to continue treatment despite outages; vital given the prolonged power outage in the past months caused by damage to the main power station. Being able to continue treatment despite outages and having a reliable back up system gives us confidence to achieve our aim”</p> <p>Dr. Suzana, Head Doctor, Dialysis Centre, Ad Damar Teaching Hospital.</p> <p>“ I have to travel far to receive dialysis treatment, there is no such service at my local health centre, before I used to have to come and stay in Ad Damar for a few days while I waited my turn, however now, I am not forced to stay, I am able to return on the same day or the day after, this is helpful due to my old age and the expenses incurred”.</p> <p>Patient at Dialysis Centre, Ad Damar Teaching Hospital</p>
-----------------	---	--	---

per week. The dialysis center was one of the few in the state which was still operating and was sought after by patients due to lack of services in their localities.

2

3

4

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

In River Nile State, inadequate health care provision due to lack of electricity was identified as a community need. UNHCR and ADD installed a solar power back up to cover power outages at Ad Damar Teaching Hospital. This could support vital services like dialysis, emergency treatment and lab testing. Uninterrupted power ensured that transfusion services could continue even during outages, which previously resulted in treatments being repeated or resourced already being used having to be disposed. The small input through this solarization project plays a vital role in the lives of patients needing treatment. Some of those who receive treatment, like dialysis patients feel happy that they are receiving their treatment powered by the sun.

Further, the rehabilitation of schools created a platform where the community worked together to complete rehabilitation of additional classrooms with their own funds to complement the projects initiated by UNHCR. The project promoted interaction between the host and IDP communities, seeing education as a common good for their children. Having initiated their own consultations and agreeing on supporting expansion of the school with their own funds. The addition of classrooms reduced the chance of marginalization of IDP children who were previously not always welcomed at schools as they were seen as depriving a host community child or unnecessarily stretching capacity. The host community became more accepting of the presence of IDP children at the school through the joint self-organised additional project.

The solarization project has ignited thinking within the community that solar power is a viable alternative to having generators, which comes at a high cost, mostly borne by host community contributions. The host community continued to see the IDP's as using their resources and a burden without considering that the presence of IDP's has indirectly encouraged investment in the existing host community facilities. With the investments, the service providers were able to expand the type of services supported by savings made from fuel expenditure.

The above are examples of the additional human impact of the investments made under the PBF project. The project are not merely input but directly encourages the community to look beyond the impact of the conflict and the related challenges but to look forward to stability and be encouraged to look forward to a productive future.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

PBFPRO~1-12_37_5.PPT



File 2

OPTIONAL

PBF Nomads brief-12_37_12.docx



File 3

OPTIONAL

PBFPEA~1-12_37_22.PDF



You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☒ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The community-based consultation workshops were led and facilitated by Sudanese Development Call Organization (NIDAA), a Sudanese civil society organization with strong contextual knowledge and experience in River Nile State.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

UNHCR and ADD worked with the community surrounding Hai Al Arab Boys school - which was in a dilapidated condition and school attendance was dropping - to identify what rehabilitation works could be needed. There was a need to increase the number of classrooms due to arrival of a large number of IDPs. The students who were displaced had not attended school for more than a year. The plan was to rehabilitate three out of six classrooms, upgrade teacher facilities and to provide water coolers for clean drinking water. These needs were identified by both the community and students at the school. The community identified the infrastructure improvements, such as the refurbishment of classrooms, while the students identified support that would encourage attendance and improve the comfort of students especially the availability of cool drinking water.

The host community wanted to ensure that IDP children are given the same opportunity to continue their studies and have the access to facilities as their own children. While the rehabilitation works were being done, the host community, IDP's and the contractor pooled their own funds to rehabilitate the three remaining classrooms and an activity centre.

This provided a good illustration of communities working together, identifying needs and bringing in resources to support the community. This also reflected on how the IDP community, despite being in displacement and seen as using up resources, could themselves contribute to the host community for the benefit of their children.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

UNHCR and IOM coordinated closely on the organization and facilitation of the community-based planning workshops, and have planned their remaining respective interventions based on the shared community action plan (CAP) developed as an output from the consultations.

Who are we working with

*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

UNHCR and IOM coordinated closely on the organization and facilitation of the community-based planning workshops and planned their remaining respective interventions based on the shared community action plan (CAP) developed as an output from the consultations. The interventions in the form of community support projects agreed with all stakeholders including solarization, rehabilitation of schools and health centres, improvement of water supply, livelihood projects have been completed and handed over to the various stakeholders.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☒ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Meetings were held among all the stakeholders including HAC, MoSW, UNHCR, IOM, ADD and NIDAA to update on progress of the project at regular intervals.

These meetings were both online and in person when possible. The in-person meetings were coupled with onsite visits to monitor progress of implementation. In 2025, two onsite visits were made with partners and government.

Security developments punctuated regular joint field visits.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Despite the conflict and interruption of activities the implementing partners have defined baselines for new activities/indicators in the changed locations. The baseline for the reprogrammed activity is calculated based on the population that had access to certain basic services. For example, in one of the project locations, Ad Damar, where community support projects were implemented, the project team learned that 3,500 people were accessing and using the services provided by the Ad Damar Teaching Hospital from prior assessment, which constitutes part of the baseline.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Internal partner reports, meeting notes and onsite visits (where feasible) were sources to report on targets/indicators. Internal partner project work plans include deliverables and milestones for fund disbursement. These are submitted by the partner regularly and verified by UNHCR.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The community feedback was received during focus group discussions which took place during monitoring visits. Three such joint monitoring visits with the presence of implementing partners and government authorities, HAC, MoSW and line ministries took place from November 2024 – February 2025.. Feedback received was on the whole positive as the communities themselves were responsible for identifying and prioritizing the projects. Some feedback received related for example to the clearer marking of girls and boys toilets to avoid sharing and improving scheduling of training to enable a wider group of people to attend.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*

Response required

3000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Planning meetings were held between the main stakeholders namely HAC/MoSW, UNHCR and IOM and implementing partners to plan a “Lessons Learnt Workshop” as an alternative to the final evaluation, which PBF approved as part of the project’s re-programming request in August 2024. The workshop is meant to be a consultative review of the project to understand the experiences of the beneficiaries from the initial consultations to implementation of the projects, the value delivered and how things can be done better in the future. The workshop will be held on 1-2 June 2025.

Contact information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:

Ravindran Velusmay

UNHCR

Head of Field Office

Velusamy@unhcr.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- ☐ Some catalytic effect
- ☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Initially the thematic briefs developed under Outcome 1 were intended to be used by state authorities and, international and national peacebuilding actors to inform durable solutions and peacebuilding interventions and policies in the Darfur region. Following the change in the durable solutions context due to the ongoing military conflict, the thematic briefs which will be used to inform future peacebuilding efforts by UNHCR and IOM but cannot currently be shared externally due to the sensitivity of their content.

Activities under Outcome 2 were designed in consultation with communities and with relevant stakeholders including local authorities and community leaders. This helped to ensure that interventions are designed taking into account contextual factors, the wishes needs of communities, and existing peacebuilding and durable solutions programming. Sustainability was a priority for the CSPs which need longer-term commitment to ensure that the investments made will deliver over time.

E.g. For example, with the hospital solarization project, local authorities are already seeking technical training for their staff to maintain the solar system. The authorities are motivated to install more solar systems in other locations not only as back up but as a main power source especially in rural locations. The authorities see the value of solar power and want to be less reliant on generators which are expensive to install, use and maintain.

The school rehabilitation project motivated the community to take ownership of the educational needs of the community, by coming together to raise funds and rehabilitate additional classrooms. The community also developed a committee composed of the school management, Ministry of Education, and Parents & Teachers Association to ensure that student attendance is maintained.

All production inputs, like solar kits and husbandry assets were procured from local suppliers who provide support services and opportunities to expand economic activities. Working with veterinary services, for example, enables producers to sustain their animal production with expert support. Technical training tailored to specific economic activities and management training build capacities to successfully manage projects. Increased technical support has been identified as essential to mitigate risks such as disease and improper management of animals. The direct support has proven effective as recipients are motivated to succeed. Supporting a range of economic ownership models allows people explore their potential. Linking these ownership models to financial services and markets promotes expansion and sustainability. While there is a need for greater involvement in selecting recipients and improved communication with communities these initiatives provide a strong foundation for long-term peacebuilding, economic resilience and social cohesion.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The start of the conflict and interruption of the original project set back the progress to achieving peacebuilding dividends in the Darfur regions. Many of the gains of the past years thanks to a range of PBF supported projects have unfortunately been largely lost. Nevertheless the reprogramming of the project enabled the partners to build capacities and improve community relations in localities previously unaccustomed to needs of displaced while also supporting community resilience building.

The 2024 consultations produced a wide range of needs from the community. After the consultations discussions were held with communities to help them understand the need for prioritization as not all the activities were implementable within the available timeframe and allocated funds. Government entities found it challenging to understand the purpose of the funding; they suggested that the funds be allocated for emergency support in the form of direct assistance, without having the foresight that strengthening basic services was also a means to serve the whole community within the already available systems.

Improved criteria in the selection process and better communication are required to enhance targeting and engagement, e.g people with prior agriculture and animal husbandry experience. Providing additional technical support, such as monitoring animal health, conducting regular soil fertility assessments, and advising farmers on sustainable practices such as preventing soil degradation, selecting crops most suited for the environment, and supporting the design of efficient irrigation systems to optimize water use are needed. Animal husbandry projects, particularly those involving poultry, face risks due to disease and poor management. Furthermore, different types of economic activity ownership models require tailored support to meet their specific needs and unlock their potential. Lastly, linking beneficiaries to financial services and markets is essential to ensure the sustainability and expansion of their economic activities. Addressing these challenges is critical to strengthening the partnership's impact and achieving long-term success.

It is imperative that Ministry of Education plays a lead role to ensure that a) schools are rehabilitated and b) have sufficient teachers and teaching material to ensure that the interest in education created through these projects can be sustained. Similarly, with the health facilities which were improved, there needs to be commitment from the Ministry of Health to include maintenance of the solar systems as part of their care and maintenance. Overall, care and maintenance of physical infrastructure projects post-handover needs to be enhanced.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

Event 1 	2024 – 2025 Joint Monitoring Visits and Feedback sessions 	<p>Meetings were held among all the stakeholders including HAC, MoSW, UNHCR, IOM, ADD and NIDAA. These meetings were both online and in person when possible. The in-person meetings were coupled with onsite visits to monitor progress of implementation. In 2025, two onsite visits were made with partners and government.</p>	<p>Since monitoring activities were done with groups who identified and prioritised the interventions, it was found that most projects met the requirements. In some cases feedback received was that more attention should be paid to clearly mark girls and boys toilets and improve scheduling training to enable participation of a wider range of people.</p>
Event 2 	Kick-off Meetings 	<p>Review of the project overview, introduction of the donor, expected timelines, and coordination requirements HAC and the Ministry of Social Welfare.</p>	<p>Stakeholder alignment on project objectives, clear understanding of roles and responsibilities, and establishment of initial coordination channels.</p>
Event 3 	Consultative Workshop 	<p>A detailed session with government technical sectors to present project specifics, discuss community priorities, targeted areas and groups, and the budget allocation for each intervention</p>	<p>Consensus on community needs, clarity on targeted interventions, and alignment of the project budget with local priorities.</p>
Event 4 	Community Consultation Sessions 	<p>Interactive sessions held directly with local community members to collect feedback, understand local issues, and ensure that the project is aligned with the community's needs and expectations.</p>	<p>Identified ground-level needs, unearthed local challenges and suggestions for improvements, and enhanced trust and ownership of project outcomes among community stakeholders.</p>

Event 5	After Action Review (AAR) Workshop	A two-day post-completion workshop was conducted in collaboration with UNHCR, IOM, and all stakeholders, including government and local community representatives, to review outcomes and capture feedback.	Identification of best practices, valuable lessons learned, stakeholder recommendations for future projects, and reinforced commitment to sustained community engagement and oversight.
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			
Final Steps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the <i>Printer</i> icon on the top right corner of the page. • A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation. • Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF. • (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there) • After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner. • In compliance with our reporting requirements, please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O Gateway. <p><i>If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</i></p>			
<p>Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.</p>			