

# PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND**  

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- ☒ Semi-annual
- ☐ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report \*

2025-06-13

Name and title of person submitting the report \*

Pushpa Sunuwar, Monitoring and Evaluation Specialist

E-mail of person submitting the report \*

sunuwar@unfpa.org

Name and title of person who approved the report \*

Joy Michael, Gender and GBV Specialist

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="radio"/> Global                    | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa    |   |

Country of project implementation \*

- |   |                                    |                                     |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin             | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Ghana        | <input type="radio"/> Guinea        |
| <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau     | <input type="radio"/> Liberia      | <input type="radio"/> Mali          |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania        | <input type="radio"/> Niger        | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria       |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal           | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo          |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify    |                                    |                                     |

Other, please specify \*

---

Project Title \*

- ☐ 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- ☐ 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- ☐ 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- ☐ 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- ☐ 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia
- ☐ 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- ☐ 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- ☐ 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- ☐ 00134237: Strengthening women's political participation and leadership through reformed legislation community-level leadership and political parties' engagement in The Gambia
- ☐ 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- ☐ 00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention
- ☒ 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- ☐ 00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change
- ☐ 00140771: Empowering Marginalized Young People for Inclusion in Civic Space and Local Infrastructures for Peace
- ☐ 00141024: Sustaining The Gambia's peaceful transition by supporting implementation of the Security Sector Reform Strategy
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

2023-04-10

Project End Date \*

2026-04-10

Has this project received an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? \*

- |                               |                                |                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March     |
| <input type="radio"/> April   | <input type="radio"/> May      | <input type="radio"/> June      |
| <input type="radio"/> July    | <input type="radio"/> August   | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December  |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please select which \*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☒ Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☒ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- ☒ No other recipients
- ☐ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization
- ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify



## Please select other non-UN recipients \*

- |  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED   | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE  | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)                               |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network   | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland   | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN   | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala  | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID   | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer   | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid  | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS   | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)                         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)   | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)                |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation   | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI)                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps   | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo  | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO  | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR   | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International  | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez   | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld  | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)                       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children   | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan   | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch  | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire  | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM)  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA   | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder   | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify          |

Other, Please specify \*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

5

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

*(for June reports: January-June;  
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);  
for final reports: full project duration)*

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**The Association of Non-Governmental Organisation**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

344315.97

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

273783.1

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

70532.87

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Conducted a conference amongst CSOs on the theme "Strengthening Partnership for Sustainable Development: Empowering Civil Society to Address Poverty and Build a Unified Future". Conducted CSOs' strategic board meeting.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☒ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Network against Gender Based Violence**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

637807.66

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

502690.66

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

135117

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period. \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**NGBV has been fully involved in coordination, mentoring support, institutional strengthening and monitoring progress of the planned activities of CSOs under its umbrella.**

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Ministry of Gender Children and Social Welfare

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

12472

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

12472

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Jointly implemented initiatives with the above organisations. Supported International Women’s Day event and FGM zero tolerance day.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO  
☐ National women's CSO  
☐ Other National CSO  
☐ Subnational youth CSO  
☐ Subnational women's CSO  
☐ Other subnational CSO  
☐ Regional CSO  
☐ Regional Organisation  
☒ International NGO  
☐ Governmental entity  
☐ National women's and youth CSO  
☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO  
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**ActionAid International the Gambia**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

284214

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

284214

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Conducted training on human rights based approach targeting members of 22 CSOs. Trained CSO board members on governance and board formation. Provided financial grants to four CSOs under its portfolio.**

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Child fund International the Gambia**



\*

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  
*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*  
265441.06

\*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?  
*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*  
265441.06

\*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?  
*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*  
0

\*

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period  
*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*  
**Supported quality data collection and statistics on current data gaps on GEWE to promote advocacy and track progress.**  
**Strengthened coordination with the Ministry of Gender, security units and reviewed existing tools and training modules on SGBV.**  
**Developed database of donors and CSOs working on peace building.**

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization  
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.  
*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)

UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	2500000	2500000	1545791.92	61.83%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2500000</b>	<b>2500000</b>	<b>1545791.92</b>	<b>61.8</b> <b>3%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **61.83%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☐ Correct

☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

66

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?

99.9

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 2497500**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct

☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

1545791.92

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1544246.13**. Is this correct? \*

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

1545791.92

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Final\_PBF\_GPI-Project Budget\_\_Expenditure Budget\_June\_2025-9\_44\_2.xlsx



## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☐ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☒ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☒ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**During the reporting period, the project steering committee met once to take stock of project's key results, deliberate on implementation strategy, review and approve the annual work plan 2025 and make recommendations on available opportunities for leveraging resources.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The government plays a pivotal role in the implementation of the GPI 2.0 project primarily through the active participation of representatives of the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Welfare (MoGCSW). In its oversight function as chair of the Project Steering Committee (PSC), government provides guidance on the project's strategic direction, ensuring alignment with national priorities and policies, as well as offering crucial advisory functions, reviewing project progress, supporting navigation of the regulatory landscape and facilitating access to the necessary infrastructure for the project.**

**Furthermore, the Ministry has also supported the GPI Project in securing the lands where the CSO houses have been constructed. This activity has created a platform for strategic engagement and collaboration with the Governors of the three regions (WCR, LRR and URR), thereby fostering uncommon and stronger partnerships between the government and the Civil Society. These efforts reflect the government's commitment to high-level engagement and meaningful partnership.**

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

#### Contracting of partners

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

#### Staff Recruitment

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

#### Collection of baselines

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries \*

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
- ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project has laid a strong foundation by identifying and engaging 22 women-focused CSOs through a competitive selection process, formalized through signed MoUs and detailed work plans. Following the induction of technical staff in November 2023, the project conducted comprehensive capacity assessments using the OCAT tool, identifying key institutional and technical gaps. To address these, 90% of the recommended technical training had been delivered by November 2024, benefiting more than 500 participants across three regions. Institutional support included the provision of laptops, accounting software, and training in financial management, alongside the development of finance and audit policies. Each CSO was also granted D500,000 to implement Gender Transformative interventions addressing human rights issues. These initial efforts have significantly strengthened CSO capacities, positioning them to implement impactful gender and peacebuilding initiatives and to form a unified coalition advocating for the rights of women, girls, and youth in The Gambia.**

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? \*

- ☒ Yes
 ☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation \*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

As an initial intervention, the GPI 2.0 Project launched a region-wide mobilization and sensitization campaign, engaging 98 Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) across the Upper River Region (URR), Lower River Region (LRR), and West Coast Region (WCR). As a result, 52 CSOs submitted Expressions of Interest, with 20 shortlisted after a rigorous review. A comprehensive Organizational Capacity Assessment Tool (OCAT) provided insights into institutional and programmatic capacities, revealing critical gaps that shaped the project's capacity development strategy. As a result, 10 out of 11 recommended technical capacity trainings have been completed, reaching more than 500 participants across the three regions. The identified CSOs received training in Financial and Procurement Management Systems, along with laptops and accounting software, none of which they had used before. To ensure effective uptake, a finance firm provided hands-on, in-office training and ongoing mentorship.

To promote local impact, the project launched a grant-making initiative for CSOs to design Gender Transformative interventions aligned with human rights priorities in selected communities. Following a collaborative review, 20 CSOs received D500,000 to implement their initiatives. The GPI 2.0 project has achieved tangible results through targeted activities led by strengthened local partners, reaching and empowering diverse community groups. Through capacity-building efforts, technical support, and financial grants, these partners have enhanced their ability to design and implement gender-transformative interventions that advance the empowerment of women and girls. Peace Hub The Gambia through its She Leads Peace residential training built the leadership capacities of 30 young women and youth leaders, while their Kabilo Kacha initiative engaged 60 community members. Youth Power Network International (YPNI) raised gender rights awareness among over 160 participants and trained 60 youth and women in leadership, alongside 60 community leaders and policymakers. Young Advocates for Sexuality, Sexual Health & Sexual Rights (YASSS) conducted a consultation with 55 women and girls with disabilities and engaged 45 stakeholders in a policy dialogue with National Assembly Members. WASDA reached 23 communities through sensitization activities and trained 168 individuals (150 women and 18 men) in women's political leadership. Meanwhile, Sandu Youth Development Network facilitated a stakeholder dialogue with 50 participants and organized a youth empowerment camp for 30 youth.

These achievements reflect the increased capacity of civil society partners to deliver impactful programming in support of gender equality and women's empowerment across The Gambia. Likewise, monitoring visits conducted by the umbrella CSOs assessed the utilization of project grants. While commendable efforts were observed, several areas for improvement were identified, including gaps in QuickBooks usage, poor documentation, weak procurement procedures, and unauthorized reprogramming. Recommendations were made to enhance accountability and strengthen operational processes. To deepen strategic partnerships, TANGO convened a landmark CSO/NGO Engagement. Themed "Strengthening Partnership for Sustainable Development: Empowering Civil Society to Address Poverty and Build a Unified Future", the event brought together CSOs, government agencies, and development partners. The conference provided a platform to reflect on achievements, share lessons learned, and strategize collectively. Key recommendations included the need for unified action, constitutional reform, enhanced civic space, freedom of expression, gender empowerment, and economic rights advocacy.

In February 2025, TANGO, under the GPI project, held a strategic board meeting focused on strengthening governance and oversight. Discussions emphasized realigning TANGO's role within the national development landscape, reviewing the constitution, addressing membership compliance, bolstering research, ensuring financial oversight, and planning for sustainability.

In addition, the project conducted a study to assess the number of Gambian women in Government, NGOs, and International Organizations to support advocacy on Gender Equality and Women Empowerment (GEWE), aiming to identify successes, challenges, influencing factors, and recommend ways to further promote gender equality. The assessment indicated that substantial progress has been made in women's employment and leadership representation in The Gambia, with significant achievements noted and a rising emergence of young women professionals across various sectors and positions, including management and executive roles. Government ministries and departments show particularly strong female representation. However, the report also highlights persistent significant barriers such as deeply ingrained traditional beliefs, cultural norms, societal expectations, gender discrimination, biases in hiring processes, challenges in balancing work and family, and perceived limited qualifications or experience in certain fields. Overall, while progress is evident, the findings underscored the continuing necessity for advocacy, supportive policies, and targeted initiatives to address these systemic obstacles and enhance women's participation and advancement, especially into senior leadership positions.

The project also supported mapping and developing a database of donors and CSOs working on peacebuilding. GBV



and GEWE. This is a valuable tool for enhancing coordination, avoiding duplication, and fostering strategic partnerships. It supports targeted resource mobilization by aligning initiatives with donor priorities, while identifying gaps in funding and programming, enables data-driven decision-making, improves transparency and accountability, and strengthens advocacy efforts.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) and Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness** have been ensured by the project through several key mechanisms. Firstly, the project strategically selected 22 CSOs with a focus on women's issues, representing a direct commitment to empowering organizations working on GEWE. This focus is further underscored by the fact that a significant 99.9% of the project budget is allocated to contribute to gender equality or women's empowerment. Secondly, beyond general capacity building, the project provided targeted training in crucial GEWE-related areas such as the Gender Transformative Approach (GTA), which aimed to equip participants with skills for gender-responsive programming. The inclusion of topics like Gender Mainstreaming and Budgeting also ensured that CSOs can effectively integrate gender considerations into their organizational practices and project proposals. Thirdly, the active involvement of three umbrella CSOs in advocating against the repeal of the FGM Law demonstrates the project's support in amplifying the voices of civil society on critical women's rights issues, leading to tangible policy protection.

For Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness, the project deliberately engaged eight youth organizations as implementing partners, constituting 34.8% of the total CSOs. These youth-focused organizations are receiving tailored capacity building and benefitting from peer-mentorship to strengthen their ability to address women's issues, thereby ensuring youth play an active role in GEWE efforts. The project's overall strategies are also designed to support the greater participation, protection, and representation of women, girls, and youth in decision-making processes. Finally, the provision of financial grants of D500,000 to each of the 20 CSOs specifically to design and implement Gender Transformative interventions in their communities further empowers these organizations, including youth groups, to directly address gender inequality and women's empowerment on the ground.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The GPI 2.0 project has contributed to peacebuilding outcomes by fostering inclusive participation, rights awareness, and social cohesion across communities. Through targeted interventions, participants—particularly youth, women, and persons with disabilities—have gained enhanced understanding of human rights, gender equality, and the harmful effects of practices such as FGM and child marriage. This increased awareness has led to growing commitments from community and policy leaders to address gender disparities and uphold the rights of marginalized groups, indicating potential shifts in societal attitudes and local policy agendas. Efforts to promote disability inclusion have prompted institutional commitments, including resource allocation and proposed media reforms, fostering structural change and reinforcing inclusive governance. Additionally, improved coordination among stakeholders to address sexual and gender-based violence has strengthened local mechanisms for prevention and response, while youth engagement initiatives have empowered individuals to challenge stereotypes and advocate for equality in their communities. A key structural shift is the ongoing construction of the CSO house in Basse (URR), which represents a decentralization of CSO efforts and an expansion of civic space, facilitating greater collaboration and inclusion between civil society organizations and the government. Collectively, these outcomes contribute to building a more just, inclusive, and peaceful society.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

<https://www.voicegambia.com/2024/05/14/network-against-gender-based-violence-ngbvs-position-papar-on-the-female-genital-mutilation-circumscission-fgm-c-matter/> <https://www.facebook.com/share/p/19EkGeekXN/?mibextid=wwXlfr> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZF2WttVJIAE> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZF2WttVJIAE>

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Strengthened Institutional Capacity of CSOs for implementation of National Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 Recommendations.

Outcome 2:

Strengthened partnerships and improved coordination of peacebuilding/GEWE Stakeholders

Outcome 3:	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Strengthened Institutional Capacity of CSOs for implementation of National Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 Recommendations.**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Percentage of engaged CSOs (both grassroots and umbrella organization) that meet the set criteria for next level of operations	0	90%	Will be reported after completion of CSOs' mid-term assessment in the next reporting cycle.	Will be reported after completion of CSOs' mid-term assessment in the next reporting cycle.	
1. 2	Proportion of CSOs showing improved participation in conflict resolution and programme performance	0	23 Umbrella CSOs and CBOs	Will be reported after final evaluation of the project by March 2026.	Will be reported after final evaluation of the project by March 2026.	
1. 3	Protections Mechanism for the rights and wellbeing of women and girls strengthened	Weak	Strong	Will be reported after final evaluation of the project by March 2026.	Will be reported after final evaluation of the project by March 2026.	

1. 4	Indicator 1.a Percentage of the supported organisations have established processes for programme coordination, monitoring, and financial management for improved programmes.	0	80% of CSOs Engaged (both grassroots and umbrella organisation) meet the set criteria for next level of operations.	CSOs mid-term assessment ongoing for the second phase of granting.	The CSOs were provided with the grant of GMD 500,000 in 2024 to implement activities on girls/women empowerment. This year, the next phase of small grants will be provided following their mid-term assessment in the third quarter of 2025.	
---------	---	---	---	--	---	--

1. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Women focused CSOs’ identified, assessed, and targeted for improved capacity to implement gender related programmes and peacebuilding

Output 1.2

CSO’s capacity enhanced to participate in indigenous conflict prevention and resolution processes

Output 1.3

Enhanced protection mechanisms to guarantee the rights and wellbeing of women and girls.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Women focused CSOs' identified, assessed, and targeted for improved capacity to implement gender related programmes and peacebuilding**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	<b>TOR for CSOs' selections Finalized and used</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>TORs for CSOs' selection finalised and used to onboard CSOs.</b>	
1. 1. 2	<b>Number of CSOs and people that participated in the pre-selection's training conducted before the call for application.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>96 CSOs/CBOs and people (Basse- 39; Brikama- 24;Soma -33) actively participated in the 3 days pre selection training</b>	
1. 1. 3	<b>Number of applications received from CSOs for both levels.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>52 applications received. 63% Women led and Women focused; 29% Youth led and Youth focused; 8%others</b>	<b>Not all 96 CSOs submitted proposals.</b>

<https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/single/fFZac3Jo>



## » Output 1.2: CSO's capacity enhanced to participate in indigenous conflict prevention and resolution processes

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	# of umbrella CSO and CBOs' staff trained on different thematic areas	0	23 CSOs and 65 staff trained	0	500 (women: 239; PWD:15) of 25 CSOs trained on different thematic areas.	The total reach isn't a single count and the same person from 25 CSOs received various training sessions.
1. 2. 2	# of CSO's and focal persons reached through GBV/ gender orientation at both levels.	0	23 CSOs and staff	0	134 (women: 74; PWD:3) of 25 CSOs and focal persons reached through GBV/ gender orientation at both levels.	
1. 2. 3	a) Number of organisations that received and implemented financial grants on peacebuilding initiatives  b) % of grant projects effectively implemented had value for money and on time	0  0	a) 20 CSOs (Actual Grant recipients)  b) 70% of grant effectively implemented had value for money and on time	0  b) will be reported after final evaluation by March 2026	a) 20 CSOs received grants of GMD 500,000. each  b) will be reported after final evaluation by March 2026	

1. 2. 4	<b>a) Number of Umbrella CSOs capacitated to receive, disburse, and monitor small grants to CBO's</b>  <b>b) Evidence of better programme monitoring, financial management and programme coordination from Umbrella CSOs.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>a) 3 Umbrella Organizations</b>  <b>b) Better programme monitoring, financial management and programme coordination from Umbrella CSOs.</b>	<b>a) Completed</b>  <b>b) Umbrella CSOs are providing programme monitoring, financial management and coordination support to the CSOs.</b>	<b>a) 3 Umbrella CSOs capacitated to receive, disburse, and monitor small grants to 20 CBOs</b>  <b>b) Umbrella CSOs are providing programme monitoring, financial management and coordination support to the CSOs.</b>	
1. 2. 5						

» **Output 1.3: Enhanced protection mechanisms to guarantee the rights and wellbeing of women and girls.**

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	Existence of Training modules for law Office, judicial officers, Police, Drug Law Enforcement Agency, and Immigration validated and finalized and used in targeted trainings	0	1 module with 5 themes validated and 50 law enforcement officers trained on them	The module has been developed and validated and 42 (25 women) law enforcement officers were trained.	The module has been developed and validated and 42 (25 women) law enforcement officers were trained.	
1. 3. 2	Strengthened coordination at the Ministry of Gender with quarterly meeting of child welfare and gender units in the security agencies in areas of operations response to SGBV	0	3 annual meetings ,2 joint visit to facilities and follow ups made	A review meeting was conducted with all relevant stakeholders of SGBV and 30 representatives attended the meeting.	A review meeting was conducted with all relevant stakeholders of SGBV and 30 representatives attended the meeting.	

1. 3. 3	# of centers supported to scale up GBV services to survivors	0	3	12 one-stop centres are supported with periodic meetings and regular monitoring of services provided to survivors.	12 one-stop centres are supported with periodic meetings and regular monitoring of services provided to survivors.	
1. 3. 4	Number and type of grants awarded and implemented by CSOs and CBOs based on the call for proposal	0	20 CSOs and CBOs	CSOs mid-term assessment ongoing.	20 CSOs received grants of GMD 500,000 each. CSOs mid-term assessment ongoing. for second grant	
1. 3. 5	Number of frontline staff (case workers, medical, psychosocial support, law enforcement, legal aid) trained and mobilised to improve the quality-of-service provision	25 Case Workers, 15 medical, 30 psychosocial, 00 police, 00 legal aid	40 frontline staff	Not started	Not started	planned for Q3 2025

» Output 1.4:

1. 4 .....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
1. 4. 1 .....						
1. 4. 2 .....						
1. 4. 3 .....						
1. 4. 4 .....						
1. 4. 5 .....						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

## » Outcome 2: Strengthened partnerships and improved coordination of peacebuilding/GEWE Stakeholders

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Coordination mechanisms are in place in facilitating gender equality actions. Cooperation and coordination amongst stakeholder of peacebuilding and GEWE reinforced	Less cooperation and coordination	more cooperation and coordination with regular meeting/follow up	There is a coordination mechanism led by TANGO and NGBV in facilitating gender equality actions. As part of it, a CSO conference was held in December 2024 led by TANGO and NGBV is leading CSOs to address current FGM court case to prevent repeal of FGM law.	Umbrella CSOs are undertaking coordination meetings and sharing amongst stakeholders on peacebuilding and GEWE. There is a coordination mechanism led by TANGO and NGBV in facilitating gender equality actions.	
2. 2	Availability and access to GEWE data strengthened to inform advocacy and policy	0	1	Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database in place.	Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database in place.	

2. 3	Indicator 2.a Evidence of active advocacy, engagement, and partnership amongst CSOs in ensuring Gender equality and GBV elements of the TRRC white paper are fully implemented.	5 CSOs	10 CSOs	CSOs are organizing and meeting to work against recent FGM case in the supreme court for repeal of the law.	20 CSOs under the Umbrella CSOs actively participated in a coalition to address the critical national challenge of repealing the FGM Law and advancing women's rights, extending to consultative meetings with NAMs. In addition, CSOs are organizing and meeting to work against recent FGM case in the supreme court for repeal of the law.	
2. 4						
2. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 2 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 2						
Output 2.1 Strengthened cooperation of peacebuilding/GEWE stakeholders						
Output 2.2 Enhanced data collection and use for more effective advocacy and impact						



Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Strengthened cooperation of peacebuilding/GEWE stakeholders**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	Number of Collations or consortium empowered and capacitated to lead conversation and engagement on peacebuilding Gender equality and women and girl's empowerment.	0	4	A CSO coalition met three times till the reporting period to address FGM court case in Gambia with concrete action plans to prevent repeal of FGM law.	<p>A CSO coalition met twice - first before FGM repeal and second after repeal was not passed by NAM in 2024.</p> <p>A CSO coalition met three times till the reporting period to address FGM court case in Gambia with concrete action plans to prevent repeal of FGM law.</p>	

2. 1. 2	# of CSOs peer to peer linkage networks strengthened for sharing on GEWE peacebuilding processes.	0	3 peer to peer networks strengthened (3 umbrella and 20 CBOs)	4 peer to peer networks established for 22 CSOs under 2 umbrella organizations. The networks meet every quarter to discuss organizational development and GEWE issues.	4 peer to peer networks established for 22 CSOs under 2 umbrella organizations. The networks meet every quarter to discuss organizational development and GEWE issues.	
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

## » Output 2.2: Enhanced data collection and use for more effective advocacy and impact

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	GEWE data gaps filled to track progress on gender equality and GBV	GEWE data gap exists	Reduced GEWE data gaps	Ongoing	Ongoing	
2. 2. 2	A national e-portal and database for information sharing and alignment of intervention measures on peace initiatives. at place.	0	1	Not Started	Not Started	
2. 2. 3	Assessment report of the number of Gambian women in Government, NGO, and International Organizations validated and popularised	0	1	Assessment conducted on number of Gambian women in Government, NGO, and International Organizations to support advocacy on Gender Equality and Women Empowerment (GEWE).	Assessment conducted on number of Gambian women in Government, NGO, and International Organizations to support advocacy on Gender Equality and Women Empowerment (GEWE).	

2. 2. 4 .....	<b>Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database developed.</b> .....	<b>No</b> .....	<b>Yes</b> .....	<b>Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database in place.</b> .....	<b>Donors and CSOs working on Peacebuilding, GBV and GEWE mapped, and database in place.</b> .....	.....
2. 2. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
2. 3. 1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 3. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 3. 3	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 3. 4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 3. 5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 2.4:

2. 4 .....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
2. 4. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						



» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 3 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 3						
Output 3.1						
Output 3.2						

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1:

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3 1						
3. 3 2						
3. 3 3						
3. 3 4						
3. 3 5						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4 .....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
3. 4. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 4. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 4. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 4. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 4. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output 4.1						
Output 4.2						



Output 4.3
Output 4.4
Output 4.5
Other Outputs <i>If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here</i>

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
4. 3. 1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 3. 2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 3. 3	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 3. 4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 3. 5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Annual strategic institutional engagements	17th Sep 2025	Greater Banjul	100 members	To organise Annual General Meeting.
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
  - ii. The impact of the project in their lives
  - iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Hawa Sowe (Project Beneficiary)	<p>For Hawa Sowe, the PBF-funded GPI Project, carried out by Sandu Youth Development Network (SYDN), helped her understand her human rights. Before attending the program, she did not know what her rights were, which led to her parents trying to force her into a marriage she did not want, against the person she truly loved. After attending the program and learning about human rights, she stood against her parents' wishes and asserted her right to marry someone she loved. Furthermore, she is now applying her knowledge to help others in her community by informing the parents of another girl that forcing her into marriage is wrong and by mentioning that they know where to report such violations.</p>	<p>“Before, I didn’t know what my rights were, but attending the program (i.e. the SYDN, PBF funded GPI Project), has helped me. As a student, I never understood what human rights meant which made my parents violate my rights. My parents wanted to force me to marry someone that I didn’t love and leave the guy I was really in love with. It has been a struggle but I am hesitant because I prefer to marry someone that I love. Now I understand the same thing in the context of rights and I came back and stood against it. Currently, the same thing is happening to a girl in our community and I went to the parents when I came back from the Basse program and told them that what they were doing was wrong. They were shocked to hear me saying those things. I told them that I now have better knowledge and we know where to report the violations of our rights”.</p> <p>- Hawa Sowe</p>



2	Hawa K. Sowe (Project Beneficiary)	For Hawa K. Sowe, her participation in the program marked her first trip to Basse. The leadership training she received as part of the project has influenced her understanding of how to treat others, emphasizing the importance of accountability and transparency. The project also challenged her community's belief that males are superior to females, and she now has a better understanding of gender equality. Moreover, she and other girls are cooperating to form a force against early marriage in their community, based on the principle that a violation against one person is a violation against all.	"I have never been to Basse before until this program. The way I was taught leadership will help me not to violate others. I now know I should be accountable and transparent. In our community here, it is believed that males and females are not equal and that males are more superior than females. But now, I know better". - Hawa K. Sowe
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

**The project has generated a wide range of human impacts across The Gambia, primarily focusing on empowering marginalized groups, raising awareness on critical issues, and fostering collaboration for social change. Through CSOs/CBOs, the project provided education on gender rights, leadership development, and peace and security which strengthened their capacity in peacebuilding and conflict resolution and created an enabling environment for collective action towards sustainable peace.**

**Furthermore, the project fostered community-level change by addressing harmful practices and promoting human rights. Advocacy campaigns led by CSOs resulted in commitments from local leaders to address gender disparities, and support networks were recommitted to actively challenging harmful practices. CSOs organized stakeholder dialogues on SGBV, bringing together educators, local authorities, and law enforcement to foster collaboration in prevention, protection, reporting, and management of SGBV. This resulted in the development of joint action plans and improved reporting mechanisms.**

**At a broader level, TANGO's role in strengthening Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) through capacity building, resource provision, and coordination has an indirect but significant human impact. By enhancing the effectiveness of CSOs, the project contributes to a more vibrant civic space and a stronger civil society capable of addressing the diverse needs of Gambian citizens, including marginalized populations. The construction of a CSO house in Basse aims to further enhance collaboration and expand civic space, providing a hub for local organizations to work together. In addition, the CSO/NGO Engagement Conference facilitated dialogue and aimed to strengthen partnerships for sustainable development, ultimately benefiting the communities served by these organizations**

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sQPANZHsCpo>

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

*Please select up to 3.*

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☒ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☒ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project employed a range of innovative and inclusive strategies to enhance community impact and sustainability. Community-based and participatory approaches ensured local ownership, such as involving Village Development Committees in decision-making and consulting women and girls with disabilities to shape initiatives relevant to their needs. Gender-transformative methods included the active engagement of men and the use of intergenerational dialogue to challenge harmful norms like FGM and child marriage. The project drew from traditional mechanisms like the Kabilo Kacha for peacebuilding while aligning with national frameworks like the Women, Peace and Security Action Plan. Institutional coordination was strengthened through umbrella organizations, especially TANGO, which also spearheaded the construction of CSO houses to foster collaboration and decentralize civil society efforts. Capacity-building efforts were tailored using data from OCAT assessments, addressing specific gaps. Innovative use of media for advocacy and training promoted inclusivity, while collaborative frameworks and joint action plans were developed to tackle issues like SGBV. Lastly, peer learning and mentorship, including ongoing support through digital platforms, reinforced leadership and knowledge-sharing within communities.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

The project prioritized strengthening the capacity of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) in The Gambia through a comprehensive and tailored approach. It began with an assessment of 22 CSOs using the Organizational Capacity Assessment Tool (OCAT) to identify specific institutional and programmatic gaps. Based on the findings, customized training was delivered in key areas such as Gender Transformative Approaches, Human Rights Based Approaches, financial and procurement systems, and monitoring and evaluation. Practical support included the provision of laptops, accounting software, and mentorship to enhance financial reporting and governance. Each CSO was also guided in developing core financial and procurement policies. After capacity-building, the CSOs received grants to implement gender-focused interventions, applying their new skills in real-world settings. Sector-wide coordination and learning were further promoted through initiatives like the CSO/NGO Engagement Conference led by TANGO, which also strengthened its own governance structures. Ongoing monitoring continued to inform improvements in operational and financial practices across the organizations.

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

The project is expanding coalitions and galvanizing political will through CSO capacity building by focusing on strengthening the institutional capacity of civil society organizations (CSOs). This capacity building is enabling CSOs to form stronger linkages and work collaboratively, coordinating their efforts and speaking with a unified voice. These empowered coalitions are then actively engaging with political actors and addressing national challenges. For example, a CSO coalition mobilized and engaged with National Assembly Members (NAMs) to advocate against the repeal of the FGM Law, providing information and gaining attention, which eventually prevented the repeal of the FGM law in The Gambia. Engagement with government entities like the Ministry of Gender, Children, and Social Welfare, including joint participation in the Project Steering Committee and securing land for CSO Houses, also demonstrates how the project fosters collaboration and integrates civic voices into national processes. By building stronger, more coordinated CSOs, the GPI 2.0 project is effectively creating a more influential and unified civic space capable of advocating for rights and impacting political outcomes.

Who are we working with \*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

## Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project fostered strong partnerships with grassroots CSOs across The Gambia through a comprehensive and inclusive approach. Following a broad sensitization campaign, 22 CSOs with active presence in the West Coast, Upper River, and Lower River Regions were selected from 98 initially engaged. These organizations underwent institutional and technical capacity assessments, leading to tailored training in gender equality, human rights, financial management, and reporting. To enhance operational capacity, each CSO received laptops with accounting software, hands-on mentorship, and support in developing governance policies. The project also provided each organization with direct grants of D500,000 to implement community-level Gender Transformative interventions. Coordinated through the umbrella organization TANGO, the initiative promoted sector-wide collaboration, notably through the CSO/NGO Engagement Conference and the establishment of a dedicated CSO house in Basse. This integrated model of capacity building, financial support, and structural coordination aimed to empower CSOs as key actors in promoting gender equality and sustainable development in The Gambia.**

## Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

### Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☒ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Engaged the PSC, Project Team, and Partners to develop and review the annual work plan and strategy of implementation.**

**Supported the coordination of project meetings for Before and After-Action Reviews**

**Organized Pre- and Post training assessment.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Baseline data were sourced from secondary information and the project has conducted capacity assessment of all CSOs to gauge their capacity gap and based on the assessment needs, capacity development plan was developed and being implemented.**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Back to Office Reports, Meeting minutes, partner activity reports, and CSOs' capacity assessment reports are all means of verification provided to support indicator reporting.**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys \*

***Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)***

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? \*

***Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)***

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The GPI 2.0 project effectively utilized and established strong community feedback mechanisms to enhance collaboration and responsiveness. Women-led and focused Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) maintained a well-structured coordination system between umbrella CSOs and subcontracted partners, ensuring continuous monitoring and feedback integration into project activities. Additionally, peer-to-peer mentoring sessions were strategically planned among CSOs, strengthening their ability to address concerns raised through feedback. These sessions enhanced capacity-building efforts, ensuring that organizations could effectively respond to emerging issues. Quarterly reflection meetings served as a vital platform for umbrella CSOs to bring together all affiliated organizations. These meetings fostered knowledge-sharing, collaborative planning, and discussions on challenges, paving the way for a unified approach to achieving project goals. Furthermore, financial grants contributed to refining feedback processes, as subcontractors and umbrella CSOs continuously tracked group progress and provided technical support through training sessions and meetings. Lastly, the CSO coalition/network played a crucial role in improving overall communication and feedback mechanisms within the community, enabling smoother coordination and more effective responses to local needs. This integrated feedback structure ensured transparency, accountability, and adaptive learning throughout the GPI 2.0 project**

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required

58000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Joy Michael	UNFPA	Gender and GBV Specialist	jmichael@unfpa.org



## » Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? \*

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: \*

- ☒ Some catalytic effect
- ☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project has strategically focused on building the long-term capacity of CSOs to implement sustainable, community-driven initiatives that promote peace and development. A core element of this approach involves fostering transformative shifts in attitudes and behaviors towards gender equality, enabling communities to challenge and dismantle harmful norms such as gender-based violence and discrimination. At the grassroots level, GPI 2.0 strengthens community-led peacebuilding by revitalizing traditional conflict resolution practices and integrating them with modern approaches, ensuring that interventions remain culturally rooted and sustainable. The ongoing construction of a CSO house serves as a crucial step in expanding civic space and fostering collaboration among diverse organizations, allowing them to work in unison. This physical and symbolic center of coordination enhances the collective capacity of CSOs to influence national development, while promoting greater cohesion, strategic alignment, and impact within the civil society sector as a whole.**

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

**The project has taken comprehensive steps to ensure the sustainability of its peacebuilding gains through individual empowerment, community engagement, and institutional strengthening. By equipping women and youth with leadership and peacebuilding skills, the project fosters long-term advocacy and participation in community decision-making. Community-based initiatives have reinforced traditional conflict resolution methods and established collaborative platforms to address gender-based violence, creating durable mechanisms for social cohesion. At the structural level, the project has enhanced the capacity of 22 CSOs through targeted training and institutional support. The ongoing construction of CSO houses further underscores efforts to decentralize and strengthen civil society coordination, ensuring that peacebuilding efforts are sustained beyond the life of the project.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

<b>Event 1</b>	<b>CSOs activity monitoring</b>	<b>Umbrella organizations conducted monitoring of the CSOs under their respective portfolio. The purpose of monitoring by umbrella CSOs is to assess the impact of capacity-building programs on governance, program, and financial management, while identifying strengths and gaps for future support and strategy.</b>	<b>Monitoring visits conducted by the Umbrella Organizations assessed the utilization of project grants. While commendable efforts were observed, several areas for improvement were identified, including gaps in QuickBooks usage, poor documentation, weak procurement procedures, and unauthorized reprogramming. Recommendations were made to enhance accountability and strengthen operational processes.</b>
<b>Event 2</b>			
<b>Event 3</b>			
<b>Event 4</b>			
<b>Event 5</b>			
<b>Event 6</b>			
<b>Event 7</b>			
<b>Event 8</b>			

## Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

*If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)*

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.