# **PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report**



### **PROJECT OVERVIEW**

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to <a href="mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org">gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</a>

Click Next below to start

#### » Report Submission

Type of report	*
Semi-annual	
Annual	
Final	
Other Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2025-06-15	
Name and title of person submitting the report	*
Nikola Petrovski, Human Rights Officer, Project Coordinator	
E-mail of person submitting the report	*
1 E man or person sasmitting the report	
nikola.petrovski@un.org	
	*
nikola.petrovski@un.org	*

Have all fund recipients for this  Yes  No	project contributed to the report	?
Did PBF Secretariat or RCO foca  You should normally ensure that the PBI  Yes  No  Not Applicable	l point review the report? F Secretariat or the PBF focal point have ar	n opportunity to review.
» Project Information and Ge Is this a cross-border project? Yes No	ographical Scope	,
Please select the geographical re Asia and the Pacific Europe and Central Asia Middle East and North Africa	egion in which the project is imple Central & Southern Africa Global	East Africa Latin America and the Caribean
Country of project implementat Albania Kyrgyzstan North Macedonia Uzbekistan	ion  Bosnia and Herzegovina  Moldova  Serbia Other, Specify	Kosovo (As per UNSCR 1244)  Montenegro  Tajikistan
Other, please specify		<b>+</b>
Project Title  00133100: Building sustainable  Other, Specify	and inclusive peace, strengthening tru	ust and social cohesion in Moldova
	r and Project Title exactly as it app prevention of violence and social cohesion	pears in the Project Document In using innovation for young people in displaced and

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented	*
If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct reg A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa	ions. 1
Asia and the Pacific Central & Southern Africa East Africa	
Europe and Central Asia Global Latin America and the Caribear	1
Middle East and North Africa  West Africa	
Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report	*
Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gal	* mbia
Please select the countries where this project is being implemented	*
Other, Please specify	*
Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)	*
2022-08-26	
Project End Date 2025-02-28	*
Has this project received an extension?	*
YES, Cost Extension	
YES, No Cost Extension	
YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions	
NO, No Extensions	
Will this project be requesting an extension?	*
YES, Cost Extension	
YES, No Cost Extension	
YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions	
NO, No Extensions	

Will this project be subn	nitting a Fund Transfer Request (FT	R) in the next six months?	;
Yes			
No			
If so, around which mor	ith do you expect to submit the rec	quest?	
January	February	March	
April	May	June	
July	August	September	
October	November	December	
s the current project en	d date within 6 months?		
Yes			
No			
ls funding disbursed eit	her into a national or regional trust	fund?	
Yes			
No			
f yes, please select which	:h		
National Trust Fund			
Regional Trust Fund			
Recipients			
ls the convening agency	a UN agency or a non UN entity?		
O UN entity			
Non-UN Entity			

Please select the convening agency recipient	*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme OIOM: International Organization for Migration	
UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	
UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	
FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme	
UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme	
UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization	
UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization	
WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO	
UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime	
UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services	
UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ITC: International Trade Centre	
UNDPO Other, Specify	
Other, Please specify	*
Are there other recipients for this project?	*
Are there other recipients for this project?	
No other recipients	
Yes, other UN recipients only	
Yes, other non-UN recipients only	
Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients	

Please select other UN recipients
Select all that apply  UNDP: United Nations Development Programme  IOM: International Organization for Migration
UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify
Other, Please specify

Please select other non-	UN recipients
ACTED	Action Aid UK AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
AEDE	African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
Agence de Coopération	n et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
American Friends Serv	vice Committee (AFSC) Avocats Sans Frontières
Avocats Sans Frontière	es Belgium Avocats sans frontières Canada Ayuda en Accion
BIRN - Balkan Investiga	ative Reporting Network BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
CARE International UK	Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
Christian Aid Ireland	COIPRODEN Concern Worldwide
Conexion Guatemala	COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale CORD Burundi
CORDAID	Corporacion Sisma Mujer CRS - Catholic Relief Services
DanChurchAid	EQUITAS Fund for Congolese Women
Fundacion Estudios Su	uperior (FESU) Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
Fundación Nacional pa	ara el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
Geneva Centre for Sec	curity Sector Governance (DCAF) HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
Humanity & Inclusion (	(HI) ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
Instituto Holandes par	ra Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) Integrity Watch
International Alert	International Rescue Committee Interpeace
Kvinna till Kvinna Foun	ndation Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
MDG-EISA - Institut Ele	ectoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
Mercy Corps	MLAL - ProgettoMondo MSIS-TATAO
NIMD (Netherlands Ins	stitute for Multiparty Democracy) Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
Nile Sustainable Devel	lopment Organization - NSDO OIKOS
ONG Adkoul - ONG Ad	Ikoul ONG AZHAR OXFAM
Peace Direct	Plan International PNG UN Country Fund
Red de Instituciones p	or los Derechos de la Niñez ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
Saferworld	Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
Save the Children	Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
SIHA (Strategic Initiativ	ve for Women in the Horn of Africa) SismaMujer
SOS Sahel Sudan	Stichting Impunity Watch Tearfund
The Carter Center, Inc.	. Trocaire War Child
War Childhood Museu	ım (WCM) World Vision International World Vision Myanmar
ZOA	blank_placeholder Other, Please specify
Other, Please specify	

### **Implementing Partners**

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money ${f S}$	since the project's
start?	

17

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period**?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period	1,
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner  National youth CSO  National women's CSO  Other National CSO  Subnational youth CSO  Subnational women's CSO  Other subnational CSO  Regional CSO  Regional Organisation  International NGO  Governmental entity  National women's and youth CSO	*
Subnational women's and youth CSO Other Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner  Centrul de Justitie Sociala "Echitate" (NGO Social Justice Centre "Equity")	*
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disburse this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  10000	d to *
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's sta</b> ll Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  10000	<b>'t</b> ?
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  10000	*

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters  Objective: Increased knowledge, development of gender-sensitive policies, increased participation of women in the Security Zone  Main activities: Development of organizational policy, development of a strategic communication concept with an emphasis on the gender dimension. Development of a local plan in the Security Zone, Criuleni and Dubasari districts regarding the implementation of the National Program for the implementation of UN Security Council Resolution 1325 on women, peace and security for 2023-2027.  To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
National women's and youth CSO
Subnational women's and youth CSO
Other
Other, Please specify
* What is the name of the Implementing Partner
NGO "Femeia Contează" (NGO Woman Matters)
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  10000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  10000  Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar
period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters  Objective: Mentoring program "peer-to-peer" for the Roma community on the Left bank of the Nistru/Dniester rive Main activities: Capacity building in the field of promoting gender equality and institutionalizing the rules of conduct in work with Roma communities, methods of socialization and integration of Roma refugees into society, increasing organizational resilience to the consequences of a conflict situation.  To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO  Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
O Governmental entity  National women's and youth CSO

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

"Academia de Creatie si Inovații Mediatice (ACIM)" (NGO of Creativity and Media Innovation" (ACIM)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

10000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

10000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

10000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Objective: Safe environment for media platforms in risk areas.

Main Activities: Development of a gender-responsive communication strategy and a visibility plan based on the themes and areas covered. Development of an official page in social networks. Development of internal safety instructions for team members in risk areas based on existing domestic and international experience. Strengthening the mission of the organization in terms of security, human rights and gender equality. To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
National women's and youth CSO
Subnational women's and youth CSO
Other
Other, Please specify  What is the name of the Implementing Partner
Centrul de dezvoltare sociala și economica "SocioHub" (NGO Social and economic Development Center "SocioHub")
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  9812
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  9812
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  9812

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar	*
period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters	
Objective: Gender-sensitive policies as a factor in increasing the institutional capacity of organizations on the Lef	t
bank of the Nistru/Dniester.	
Main activities: To train the team in the development of project proposals, financial management, improvement relevant systems, tools and organizational processes, in order to dynamically mobilize in activities to promote	от
gender equality and non-discrimination on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester.	
To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.	!
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each,	
both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner	*
National youth CSO	
National women's CSO	
Other National CSO	
Subnational youth CSO	
Subnational women's CSO	
Other subnational CSO	
Regional CSO	
Regional Organisation	
International NGO	
Governmental entity	
National women's and youth CSO	
Subnational women's and youth CSO	
Other Other	
Other, Please specify	
What is the proper of the language parties of Double of	*
What is the name of the Implementing Partner "Clubul Femeilor Inspirație" (NGO "Women's Club Inspiration"	
	*
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to	
this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)	
9223	

l	use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
perio	t is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar</b> od?  e use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
perio Please Object Secur Main Train emplestatu	y describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar and by the Implementing Partner during this calendar are limit your response to 1500 characters active: Sustainable organization capable of developing inclusive social services in the communities of the rity Zone.  activities: Development of a gender-sensitive strategy and action plan for the organization for 2023-2028. In the personnel in transparent financial management and accounting. Further training of women and men by the system of a package of documents for obtaining the system of a public utility.  te, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.
	e list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Pleas	* se select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
	National youth CSO
	National youth C50
	National women's CSO
0	National women's CSO
	National women's CSO Other National CSO
	National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO
00000	National women's CSO  Other National CSO  Subnational youth CSO  Subnational women's CSO
000000	National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO Subnational women's CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation
0000000	National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO Subnational women's CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation International NGO
00000000	National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO Subnational women's CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation
0000000000	National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO Subnational women's CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation International NGO
00000000000	National women's CSO Other National CSO Subnational youth CSO Subnational women's CSO Other subnational CSO Regional CSO Regional Organisation International NGO Governmental entity

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Centrul de informare și resurse "PRO BONO" (NGO Information and resource centre "PRO BONO"

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

8142

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)* 

8142

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

8142

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Objective: Increased institutional capacity for more active involvement of young women and men in the development of communities on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester.

Main activities: Development and adaptation of internal policies to the perspective of gender equality. Training and accreditation of the team in project management, including the purchase of equipment to ensure the smooth functioning of the organization's processes.

To date, the implementing partner has fully completed the institutional development programme.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

16 of 113 6/16/2025, 7:05 AM

٦,

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner	*
National youth CSO	
National women's CSO	
Other National CSO	
Subnational youth CSO	
Subnational women's CSO	
Other subnational CSO	
Regional CSO	
Regional Organisation	
International NGO	
Governmental entity	
National women's and youth CSO	
Subnational women's and youth CSO	
Other	
Other, Please specify  Peacebuilding and development	*
What is the name of the Implementing Partner "Initiatives4 Peace"	
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  166580	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  166580	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  166580	*

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters  CSO "Initiatives4Peace" fully completed the implementation of the programme "Youth for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River" (Activities 1.3.2 - 1.3.3). which includes Trainings in tech/STEM for young women, Peace Accelerator Lab/Hackathon, Young Women for Peace Leadership School, Summer Camp for Young Peacebuilders.	*
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner  National youth CSO  National women's CSO  Other National CSO  Subnational youth CSO  Subnational women's CSO  Other subnational CSO  Regional CSO  Regional Organisation  International NGO	*
Governmental entity  National women's and youth CSO  Subnational women's and youth CSO  Other	
Other, Please specify  Media and journalism	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner  CSO "Initiatives4Peace" fully completed the implementation of the programme "Youth for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River" (Activities 1.3.2 - 1.3.3). which includes Trainings in tech/STEM for young women, Peace Accelerator Lab/Hackathon, Young Women for Peace Leadership School, Summer Camp for Young Peacebuilders.	*
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  77950	*

Regional Organisation  International NGO
Regional CSO
Other subnational CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Other National CSO
National women's CSO
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner  National youth CSO
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters  ISO "API" fully completed the implementation of "Media for Peace and Equality on both banks of the Nistru/  Oniester River" programme which covers Activities 2.2.1 – 2.2.2 and envisages trainings and tools on gender-sensitive and conflict-sensitive reporting, institutional support for media outlets, competition of media materials.
*Nhat is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar</b> Deriod?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  17950
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,) 7950

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

AO "National Assistance and information centre for NGOs in Moldova CONTACT"

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

98510

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

98510

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

98510

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

CSO "Centre CONTACT" has fully completed capacity building activities to local community actors from the Security Zone and supported 12 local peace initiatives (Activity 1.2.4)

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

1(

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner	*
National youth CSO	
National women's CSO	
Other National CSO	
Subnational youth CSO	
Subnational women's CSO	
Other subnational CSO	
Regional CSO	
Regional Organisation	
International NGO	
Governmental entity	
National women's and youth CSO	
Subnational women's and youth CSO	
Other .	
Other, Please specify  Political and social analytics and development	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner	*
The Institute for Strategic Initiatives (IPIS) / Institutul pentru Inițiative Strategice	
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  85735	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  85735	*
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar</b> period?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  85735	*

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters  Relevant to A.1.1.4: The activity strengthened cross-river engagement and productive interaction for thematic collaboration platforms, for experts working closely with settlement actors from both banks in the areas of agriculture, public health, environment, tourism, social protection and humanitarian aid. Experts were involved in a non-formal setting, in order to identify core issues which can be addressed through an informal, collaborative undertaking and Track 2 dialogue. Solutions and roadmaps were provided to the respective technical agencies on both banks on issues identified for targeted implementation. Advocacy took place for their inclusion in the settlement process discussions.  Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each,
both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
National women's and youth CSO
Subnational women's and youth CSO
Other
Other, Please specify Persons with Disabilities, Social services
* What is the name of the Implementing Partner
Centre for the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CDPD) / Centrul pentru Drepturile Persoanelor cu Dizabilitati
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  228243

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  228243
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  228243
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters  The purpose of the grant was to support the delivery of legal aid to people from the Left bank of Dniester/Nistru River, in order to facilitate access to public services available on the Right bank of the Nistru/Dniester River.  Objective 1: Develop and implement a roadmap for the establishment of the institution of paralegals on the Left bank of Dniester/Nistru River based on the best practices from the Right bank.  Objective 2: Build capacities of the network of paralegals to operate on the Left bank of Dniester/Nistru River to provide legal aid and facilitate the access to services available on the Right bank for residents of Transnistria region.  Objective 3. Piloting the paralegal network on the Left bank of Dniester/Nistru River to provide legal aid and facilitate the access to services available on the Right bank for residents of the Left bank.
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner  National youth CSO  National women's CSO  Other National CSO  Subnational youth CSO  Subnational women's CSO  Other subnational CSO  Regional CSO  Regional Organisation

Other, Please specify

Governance, justice.

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Transparency International – Moldova

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

70793

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start? Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

70793

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

70793

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The proposed project established online and offline tools to facilitate dialogue and cooperation among individuals from both sides of the Dniester/Nistru River and strengthen resilience against disinformation.

Objective 1: Early intervention to prevent deepening divisions between the populations on either side of the Nistru/ Dniester River developed by strengthened responses to divisive narratives and misinformation.

Objective 2: CSO, bloggers, influencers and activists have strengthened capacities and duty bearers of the Left bank have increased awareness to effectively implement international standards on tackling hate speech

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

13

24 of 113

6/16/2025, 7:05 AM

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
National women's and youth CSO
Subnational women's and youth CSO
Other
Other, Please specify  Peacebuilding
What is the name of the Implementing Partner
Federația Familiilor pentru Unificare și Pace în Lume din Moldova
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  8892
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  8892
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  8892

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters  The partner implemented eight deep listening exercises/events across Moldova, engaging communities hosting refugees from Ukraine on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River.  The deep listening exercise in communities hosting refugees from Ukraine on both banks of the Nistru River aims to facilitate meaningful and empathetic interactions between community members and refugees. This exercise involves creating a safe and open space for individuals to share their stories, experiences, and concerns. It allows local residents to listen attentively and without judgment to the refugees' narratives, fostering a sense of understanding' solidarity, and mutual support. Through this process, both refugees and host communities can gain			
insights into each other's perspectives, challenges, and strengths, ultimately promoting social cohesion and resilience within these diverse communities			
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period			
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner			
National youth CSO			
National women's CSO			
Other National CSO			
Subnational youth CSO			
Subnational women's CSO			
Other subnational CSO			
Regional CSO			
Regional Organisation			
International NGO			
Governmental entity			
National women's and youth CSO			
Subnational women's and youth CSO			
Other			
Other, Please specify			
Media, journalism.			
* What is the name of the Implementing Partner Association for Independent Press (API)			

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

34590

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)* 

34590

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

34590

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Under activity 2.2.3. the grant contributed to project outputs by supporting the development of the interactive platform "Check Your Media-Literacy Rank" (CYMLR) — a gamified digital tool aimed at improving media literacy among youth and the wider public. Through quizzes and interactive content, the platform enhances users' ability to critically assess information, identify disinformation, and recognize hate speech. The grant advanced public awareness and education efforts to build societal resilience to manipulative narratives in the online space.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

15

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
National women's and youth CSO
Subnational women's and youth CSO
Other .
Other, Please specify  Legal research and anaysis, rule of law reform
What is the name of the Implementing Partner
Legis Association (LEGIS)
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  34590
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b> ?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  34590
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar</b> period?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  34590

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar	*
period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters	
Under activity 2.2.3. the grant contributed to project outputs by enabling the creation of InfoGuard (https://infoguard.legismoldova.md/), an Al-driven platform that monitors the online information environment to detect and analyse trends in disinformation and hate speech. The platform supports evidence-based responses and enhances the capacity of stakeholders to identify and counter harmful narratives. This intervention complements broader efforts to improve Moldova's response to online threats and information pollution.	16
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period	
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner	*
National youth CSO	
National women's CSO	
Other National CSO	
Subnational youth CSO	
Subnational women's CSO	
Other subnational CSO	
Regional CSO	
Regional Organisation	
International NGO	
Governmental entity	
National women's and youth CSO	
Subnational women's and youth CSO	
Other	
Other, Please specify	
Legal culture, rule of law	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner	*
Association Henri Capitant (HP)	
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  34670	*

* What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b> ?  * Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  34670
* What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period?  Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)  34670
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period  Please limit your response to 1500 characters  Under activity 2.2.3. the grant contributed to project outputs through the development of CyberSmart (https://cybersmart.md/ro), a gamified e-learning platform targeting teenagers. Comprising 12 interactive modules, the platform builds users' skills in media literacy, fact-checking, bias recognition, and digital resilience. By engaging young people through structured, challenge-based learning, the grant supported the long-term goal of fostering critical thinking and responsible digital citizenship, contributing to a more cohesive and informed society.
Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period
Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner
National youth CSO
National women's CSO
Other National CSO
Subnational youth CSO
Subnational women's CSO
Other subnational CSO
Regional CSO
Regional Organisation
International NGO
Governmental entity
National women's and youth CSO
Subnational women's and youth CSO
Other
Other, Please specify N/A

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

N/A

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (.)

000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (.)

000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (.)

000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

### **Financial Reporting**

N/A

#### » Delivery by Recipient

#### Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in US Dollars

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project	Transfers to	Expenditure	Implementati
	Budget	date	to date	on rate as a
	(in full US \$)	(in full US \$)	(in full US \$)	percentage of
	Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars	Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars	Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars	total budget (calculated automatically)

OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commission er for Human Rights	*850000	*850000	* 840000	98.82%
	*	*	*	%
UNDP: United Nations Developmen t Programme	* 802500	*802500	740000	92.21%
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerme nt of Women	* 800000	*800000	781000	97.63%
	*	*	*	%

TOTAL	2452500	2452500	2361000	96.2 7%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*		%
	*	*		%
				%
	*	*	*	%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values  *  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  *
entered in the above matrix is <b>96.27%</b> . Can you confirm that this is correct?  Correct Incorrect
Correct Incorrect
If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %
» Gender-responsive Budgeting
Indicate what <b>percentage (%)</b> of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?  46.8
The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)  based on percentage entered above and total project budget is <b>US \$ 1147770</b> . Can you confirm that this is correct?
Correct Incorrect
If it is incorrect, please enter the <i>budget amount</i> allocated to GEWE in US Dollars
Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is  * US \$ 1104948. Is this correct?
Correct Incorrect
If it is incorrect, please enter the <i>expenditure to date</i> on GEWE in US dollars
1049000
ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.  * The templates for the budget are available <a href="here">here</a>
KOBO_Final PBF expenses est, 15 June 2025-7_2_20.xlsx

## **Project Markers**

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project	*
Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)	
Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the tot project budget to GEWE	al
Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)	
Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project	*
Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes	
Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes	
Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes	
Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project	*
(1.1) Security Sector Reform	
(1.2) Rule of Law	
(1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration	
(1.4) Political Dialogue	
(2.1) National reconciliation	
(2.2) Democratic Governance	
(2.3) Conflict prevention/management	
(3.1) Employment	
(3.2) Equitable access to social services	
(4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity	
(4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration	
(4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)	
Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?  Select all that apply	*
Gender promotion initiative	
Youth promotion initiative	
Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions	
Cross-border or regional project	
None	

# **Steering Committee and Government engagement**

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?	*
Yes	
O No	
If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?	
Please limit your response to 3000 characters	
1	
Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government	*
over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.	ļ
Please limit your response to 3000 characters	
The Technical Advisory Board met on 07 November to discuss the progress with implementation of activities and endorsed the Annual report submitted in 2024. The board has only received the draft version of this Final report over email and endorsed it with our convening a meeting.	
The composition of the Technical Advisory Board is 10 members representing Moldovan authorities, civil society organizations (CSOs) from both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River, the National Human Rights Institution, the	
Resident Coordinator Office (RCO), members of the Recipient UN organizations (RUNOs), and relevant representatives of the international community.	

### PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:				
Be as concrete as possible.	chieved in the reporting Avoid theoretical, vagu	g period, not what the project aims to do.		
Please rate the implementation s	tatus of the following pi	reliminary/preparatory activities		
Contracting of partners				
Not Started	<ul><li>Initiated</li></ul>	Partially Completed		
Completed	Not Applicable			
Staff Recruitment				
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed		
Completed	Not Applicable			

Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed					
Completed	Not Applicable						
ldentification of beneficiarie	S						
Not Started	Initiated	Partially Completed					
Completed	Not Applicable						
Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)  Please limit your response to 3000 characters  The project implementation was concluded on 28 February 2025, with most Output level activities completed. As previously reported, only Output 1.1. was not fully implemented as it depended on the political will of settlement process actors. The final evaluation has been completed in May 2025, and results are available in a report enclosed to this file. The Endline data related to five Outcome-level indicators was also completed and integrated into the final evaluation.							
achievements of the project. T officials and civil society, and i	he project was commended by par t received positive feedback from	s and the donor community on the key rtners and beneficiaries, including government donors, including key contributors to the UN PBF ations to inform upcoming peacebuilding actions in					
addressing key peacebuilding a national priorities. The project	and conflict prevention challenges significantly contributed to peace	was highly relevant in the Moldovan context, s, while it was aligned with UN mandates and ebuilding and strengthened social cohesion, also had some sustainable results and had some					
January-June; for November	reports: January-December (an	ng the reporting period (for June reports: nticipated); for final reports: full project nting to make/has made a difference at the					
outcome level, provide speci	fic evidence for the progress (q cal and peacebuilding context.	uantitative and qualitative) and explain how					
outcome level, provide speci it impacts the broader politic	, , ,	uantitative and qualitative) and explain how					
outcome level, provide speci it impacts the broader politic	cal and peacebuilding context.	uantitative and qualitative) and explain how					
outcome level, provide speci it impacts the broader politic	e timely completion of outputs	uantitative and qualitative) and explain how					

### Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project enabled cross-river activities and dialogue for CSOs, youth and community reps and experts from both banks. Five Roadmaps – on agriculture, environment, business, social assistance and services - were developed and brought to the attention of relevant line agencies from both banks. Moldovan MPs and the Bureau for Reintegration Policies were informed on relevant policies for integrating human rights and women's meaningful participation in peace processes and WPS Agenda. Two key publications were promoted: "Women's inclusion in peace processes: Global lessons to Moldova" and "Women as Agents of Peace: From Official Negotiations to Community Leaders in Times of Peace and Conflict" providing actionable recommendations highlighting women's roles, challenges and peacebuilding barriers.

The project involved over 60 civil society actors from both banks in joint capacity-building, networking and dialogue activities, and advocacy, inspiring them to develop and implement actions to promote social cohesion, joint cultural heritage, human rights of marginalised communities, and counter hate speech. CSOs from both banks adopted gender-sensitive organisational systems and increased capacities of staff to advance the WPS agenda. A Joint CSO Declaration for cross-river cooperation to promote and sustain peace has been signed by 22 civil society actors. Exchange and communication between the Ombudsperson's Office and the Focal point for Human Rights in the Left Bank was gradually enhanced and resulted in joint work on tools and awareness raising material displayed in selected mental healthcare facilities on both banks. Local community actors, empowered through capacity-building support, implemented 12 peace initiatives across 55 localities in the Security Zone on both banks, reaching an audience of 5,500 persons.

The leadership potential of 78 young women and men from both banks was enhanced, creating a Young Peacebuilders Network, inspiring interaction with the OSCE Chairpersonship and sharing visions for peaceful coexistence, conflict resolution and call for equal opportunities. Youth gained knowledge in gender-responsive peacebuilding and conflict resolution, engaged in discussion with decision makers and built long lasting connections. Two digital peacebuilding solutions, "Youth Connect" and "Tell Me" were developed. Additionally, a group of 22 university students - artists from both banks - were educated on the negative effects of hate speech and were inspired to create artwork which was later displayed at public exhibitions for awareness raising in Chisinau and Tiraspol.

A three-lingual online Human Rights Quiz (www.humanrightsquiz.md) was developed as an awareness raising tool to reinforce the promotion of human rights standards on both banks. A set of 'twin-murals' to mark the 75th anniversary of the UDHR were painted on both banks with accompanying campaigns focused on social cohesion.

Access to services available on the Right bank was promoted for residents of the Left bank, through a network of 25 paralegals, established through the project. A handbook to assist paralegals in their work was developed and legal advice and assistance were provided to over 1500 people, despite the worsening relations between the two banks, especially during the energy crisis in January 2025.

A Guide for investigating and prosecuting hate crimes and online hate speech was endorsed by the General Prosecution Office, after being developed in cooperation between law enforcement institutions, academia and civil society. In parallel, a partner NGO from the Left bank produced an analysis of the applicable legislation, identifying gaps related to the prevention of hate speech, and organised a public awareness workshop to inform local stakeholders of the relevant international standards.

A hackathon to tackle misinformation and divisive narratives convened over 50 people from both banks, resulting in the development of seven ideas for digital solutions to identify and counter disinformation. Three tools were selected and further supported to be fully developed into practical solutions to prevent and counter disinformation.

Over 170 journalists, media professionals, activists, influencers and bloggers from both banks had their capacities increased on tackling misinformation, peace journalism, gender-sensitive and conflict-sensitive reporting, and were supported to create and publish joint content to promote social cohesion and peace. Selected participants wrote

five articles that were published in a national newspaper and were added into a Bulletin of good collaboration practices of activists and journalists from both banks.

Two journalistic guidelines "The transformative power of gender and conflict-sensitive media practices in peacebuilding efforts", and "Journalism in Conflict Situations Ethics and Safety Guidelines" were published, and an ecourse on Peace Journalism was developed. Five newsrooms from both banks enhanced their resilience to psychological, physical, and cyber threats enabling safer reporting in conflict-affected areas and on social tensions. Three digital solutions were designed to tackle disinformation and hate speech.

Over 120 refugees and host communities were involved in dialogue activities discussing challenges of 'the other' community. Participants recognised the need for social cohesion, while feeling safe and open to share their challenges and good experiences. Over 50 civil servants who provide services to refugees were equipped with knowledge on non-discriminatory practices in actual situations that could cause or incite discrimination and hostility.

Non-formal peace education was piloted and promoted in 9 schools from both banks, resulting in locally led initiatives involving over 300 fellow teachers and students. A Guide on non-formal Peace Education was published and endorsed by the Ministry of Education as a resource material for teachers.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project advanced gender-responsive peacebuilding in Moldova in the line with the National Action Plan for the implementation of UNSCR 1325 on WPS for 2023-2027. Taking a bottom-up approach for localisation, it engaged with local governance and community actors in 55 localities, through the "Peace Initiatives in the Security Zone" programme. The process saw the development of 36 ideas for peace initiatives, of which 12 were implemented, engaging and raising awareness of over 5,500 people.

The programme included initiatives like "Women's Dialogues," "LIFT: Leadership and Empowerment for Young Girls," and "Peace and Security for Equality and Equity," which created platforms for women's skill development and active participation. Other projects, such as "Through Culture, With Women, Towards Peace," "Sport and Culture - Pillars of Confidence Building," and "Changing Attitudes – Making Dreams Come True," highlighted the role of culture in fostering intercommunity relationships. Initiatives like "Hora at the Well" and "Aroma of Local Dishes" focused on promoting women's entrepreneurship and enhancing local tourism and development. Additionally, efforts to create child-friendly and dialogue spaces are showcased through initiatives such as "Motherhood Doesn't Stop Us, It Motivates Us!" along with the renovation of a dance hall and a community space. Also, a collection of poems titled "Nistru that Unites Us" (see video: Nistru that Unites Us) was released, perfectly capturing the spirit of all 12 local initiatives in their efforts to forge partnerships and increase social cohesion between communities on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester River.

Through a leadership school programme, summer camps, student art exhibitions and hackathons gathering ideas from young people and involving them in advocacy, the project empowered young women and men to become active agents of peace in their communities and beyond. Two young women alumni of the Leadership School secured internship in the Moldovan Parliament.

The project established a Young Peacebuilders Network and supported them in their advocacy for peace and social cohesion. An exchange of letters with the upcoming OSCE Chairpersonship, Finnish diplomats, articulated their commitment to peace and called for equal opportunities for youth on both banks.

The project facilitated dialogue between young people and state institutions, ensuring that the concerns raised by the youth found their way into official discussions. Several of these issues, caused by legal discrepancies between the banks, were brought to the attention of decision-makers to seek appropriate solutions.

.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?	*
● Yes	
O No	
FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:	
Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?	*
Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours o institutions.	r
● Yes	
O No	

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

According to the final evaluation, 'the project significantly contributed to peacebuilding and strengthened social cohesion at macro, meso-, and micro levels, fostering collaboration, reducing divisive narratives, and increasing capacity of stakeholders. At the macro- level, it fostered collaboration with law enforcement institutions on developing a Guide for investigating and prosecuting hate crimes and online hate speech and initiated communication between de facto structures and local SCOs to improve services for vulnerable groups. At the meso-level, it strengthened cooperation among stakeholders from both banks and supported media organizations in producing content that reduces divisive narratives. At the micro- level, it enhanced social cohesion and reduced conflict risks at the community level, emphasizing the value of informal relationships and understanding the influence of propaganda. Academics and teachers benefited from the project, fostering joint efforts and sharing knowledge, while journalists and influencers increased their capacity to promote balanced content and combat misinformation.

The project contributed to a culture of dialogue and exchange among civil society and youth from both banks. Through the cross-river CSO Advocacy Network new alliances were formed, and cooperation of activists from both banks was inspired. Civil society actors are more familiar with the concept of conflict prevention and understand their role in it. A Joint Declaration was signed by 22 civil society organisations, journalists and activists, re-affirming their commitments to promote human rights, social cohesion and sustainable peace. The Declaration will serve as a basis to further the exchange and cooperation, and partnerships between civil society actors from all regions of Moldova. The Youth Peacebuilders Network created with the project connected youth activists from both banks and equipped them with knowledge relevant for future peacebuilding initiatives. Their engagement and exchange with the OSCE Chairpersonship demonstrated a clear vision and commitment for social cohesion and peacebuilding in Moldova.

The project increased awareness on the WPS agenda at individual and community levels. Increased awareness of directly involved audiences was measured at 48.3%. Additionally, a culture of cross-river cooperation in the Security Zone was inspired through 12 peace initiatives involving not only inhabitants of the implementing localities but also neighbouring communities, bridging divides and recognizing the transformative potential of grassroots efforts.

The project has created a pool of journalists and media professionals, influencers, from both banks that are more sensitised to peace journalism and human rights journalism, conflict-sensitive and gender-sensitive reporting. Their joint media products were published to raise awareness and provide a perspective on issues of concern for audiences on both sides of the conflict divide, in a balanced and nuanced manner and inspire public discourse. Through piloting non-formal peace education practices in schools and developing a related Guide for teachers, the project managed to inspire the Ministry of Education to promote non-formal peace education across all schools in Moldova. The Gude is endorsed by the MoE and will be formally promoted. Additionally, the lessons learnt will be used by MoE to integrate peace education in the formal curriculum for primary and secondary education. The Project has enhanced relations and a culture of exchange between human rights actors from the divided banks. Namely, while members of the Office of the People's Advocate and the Focal Point for Human Rights in the Left bank have been systematically involved in activities to empower their roles as local (de facto) human rights institutions, they benefitted from increased knowledge on specific human rights standards and have enhanced contacts and the ability to support each other and advocate for the promotion of human rights on both banks, including jointly. Publications and knowledge tools developed as a part of the project will remain as future guidance for accomplishing peacebuilding efforts. Namely, the publication "Women's Inclusion in Peace Processes: Global Lessons for Moldova" offers a critical analysis of women's participation in Moldova's peace processes and provides recommendations for enhancing their inclusion. It raises awareness among policymakers, international organizations, and civil society about the importance of women's inclusion, providing concrete recommendations for action and drawing on global good practices to inspire and inform Moldovan efforts. The publication Women as Agents of Peace: From Official Negotiators to Community Leaders in Times of Peace and Conflict addresses the role of women in Moldova's peacebuilding and conflict resolution efforts, particularly in the context of the Transnistrian conflict. It highlights both challenges and contributions of women on both banks of the Nistru/Dniester river, examining barriers like patriarchal views, media-driven hate speech, and limited female representation in decision-

1

making roles.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.							
Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.  A separate document/Report with active links to resources will be separately shared with PBSO.							
File attachment							
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)							
PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK							
How many OUTCOMES does this project have							
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.							
Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document							
Outcome 1:  Strengthened cross-river engagement and productive interaction through the advancement of human rights, the Women, Peace and Security agenda and improved access to social services.							
Outcome 2:  Civil society organizations from both banks, People's Advocate and human rights focal point from the Left bank, and local community actors from the Security Zone have increased capacities to JOINTLY engage in advancing human rights and WPS Agenda and foster effective cross-river dialogue and partnerships.							
Outcome 3:							
Outcome 4:							
Outcome 5:							
Outcome 6:							

Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes	*
If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here	

## INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Strengthened cross-river engagement and productive interaction through the advancement of human rights, the Women, Peace and Security agenda and improved access to social services.

O C	Outcome Indicator	Indicator Baseline	End of Project	Indicator progress	Indicator progress	Reasons for
1	S		Indicator Target	for reporting period	since project's start	Variance/ Delay (if any)

1.	The level of	49.9% positive	increase in the	•••	41.3% positive	The decrease in
1	awareness on	responses.	level of		responses	the total values
	cross-river		perceived			is mainly due to
	initiatives of		engagement/		On a scale 1-5	the decline of
	stakeholders	On a scale 1-5	Awareness		where 5.00 is	reported
	regarding	where 5.00 is			highest level,	perceptions by
	human rights	highest level,			and 1.00 is 'no	civil society
	and women's	and 1.00 is 'no			awareness'.	actors. This,
	role in the	awareness'.				however, is not
	peacebuilding				LB	in line with
	process.				Communities =	data collected
		LB			2.10	during the final
		Communities =			RB	evaluation
		2.30			Communities =	which
		RB			2.52	suggested
		Communities =			CSOs (both	awareness by
		2.88			banks) =	CSO partners.
		CSOs (both			3.37	Moreover,
		banks) =				various cross-
		4.21				river activities
						were
						implemented
						as a part of the
						project, and
						other projects
						in Moldova.
						Another
						possible reason
						for this is that
						the data was
						collected during
						the January
						2025 energy
						crisis which
						affected
						Moldova and
						the Left bank in
						particular.
						Communities
						were in general
						not satisfied
						with how the
						situation was
						handled by
						authorities on
						either bank.
						Cities balls.
-	•	•	•	•	•	•

1.	Percentage/	13 initiatives/	increase by 50%	 Additional 14	
2	number of	priorities	from baseline	initiatives	
	initiatives	submitted to		submitted, out	
	advancing	settlement		of a total of 23	
	human rights	actors (out of		in initiatives	
	including	22 initiatives		developed in	
	women's rights	developed)		2023-2024.	
	brought to the				
	attention of				
	settlement				
	process actors				
	by CSOs.				

1. % of direct beneficiaries (disaggregated by sex, age, (3.44) women 57.2 ethnicity) from the Left bank feeling enabled to access public services on the Right bank of Nistru river.  1. 39. % 1.5% (3.06) % (3.41) % (3.06) % (3.11) % (3.1			1			
beneficiaries (disagregated by sex, age, disability, ethnicity) from the Left bank feeling enabled to access public services on the Right bank of Nistru river.    Sample   Sa	1.	% of direct	Total -	increase by 50%	Total: 52.8%	Despite the
(disaggregated by sex, age, (3.44) (disability, ethnicity) from the Left bank feeling enabled to access public services on the Right bank of Nistru river.  Persons with disabilities - (49.0% (2.96) (13.39) - (60.2%(3.41) (40.59) - (61.5%(3.46) (60+y-50.0% (3.0) (3.0)  (3.0)  (3.77)	3	beneficiaries	58.8%(3.35)	_	 (3.11)	-
by sex, age, disability, ethnicity from the Left bank feeling enabled to access public services on the Right bank of Nistru river.  18.39y - 60.29(3.41) 40-59y - 46.29(3.41) 40-59y - 61.59(3.46) 60-y-50.09(3.0)  18.39y - 61.59(3.46) 60-y-50.09(3.24) 40-59y - 54.39(50-y-60.29(3.41) 40-59y - 46.79(50-y-60.29(3.41) 40-59y		(disaggregated	Men 61.0%		Men - 52.6%	success in
disability, ethnicity) from the Left bank feeling enabled to access public services on the Right bank of Nistru river.  18-39			(3.44)		(3.10)	facilitating
ethnicity) from the Left bank feeling enabled to access public services on the Right bank of Nistru river.  9.0% (2.96) 18-399 - (6.5%(3.41) 40-59y - 46.7% (3.46) 66+y -50.0% (3.0)  10.30) 18-399 - (2.87) 18-399 - (3.87) 50.0% (3.0)  10.30) 18-399 - (3.87) 50.0% (3.17) 66+y -46.7% (2.87) 52.8%, appears to reflect broader contextual challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself.  During the project period, the environment grew more polarized, heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These of the project period uncertainty and distrust. These of uncertainty and distrust. These of uncertainty and distrust. These of the project and uncertainty and distrust. These of the project period uncertainty and distrust. These of uncertainty and distrust.		_	Women 57.2		Women - 52.8%	_
the Left bank feeling enabled to access public services on the Right bank of Nistru river.  Since the Right bank of Since the Since th		_	%(3.29)		(3.11)	I I
to access public services on the Right bank of Nistru river.  8		_			-	
to access public services on the Right bank of Nistru river.  8			minorities-		minorities -	
services on the Right bank of Nistru river.    Persons with disabilities - 49,0% (2,96)   18-39y - 60.2%(3,41)   40-59y - 61.5%(3,46)   60+y - 50.0%   (3,24)   40-59y - 54.3%   (3,17)   60-by - 50.0%   (3,0)   (2,87)		_				- 1
Right bank of Nistru river.  49.0% (2.96) 18-39y - 60.2%(3.41) 40-59y - 61.5%(3.46) 60+y -50.0% (3.0)  (3.17) 60-y - 46.7% (3.87) 60-y - 50.0% (3.0)  (2.87)  61-30 - 46.7% 61-30 - 46.7% 61-40 - 46.7		=				the percentage
Nistru river. 49.0% (2.96) 18-39y - 60.2% (3.41) 40-59y - 61.5% (3.46) 60+y -50.0% (3.0) (3.0)  (3.17) 60+y -50.0% (3.0) (2.87)  (2.87)  (3.17) (3.18) 60+y -46.7% (3.17) 60+y -46.7% (3.18) 60+y -46.7% (4.18) 60+y -46.7% (4.18) 60+y -46.7% (4.18) 60+y -46.7% (4						
18-39y - 60.2%(3.41) 40-59y - 61.5%(3.46) 60+y - 50.0% (3.0)  (3.24) 40-59y - 54.3% (3.17) Right bank, 60+y - 46.7% (2.87)  (2.87)  52.8%, appears to reflect broader contextual challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the impact of the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These		_				
60.2%(3.41) 40.59y - 61.5%(3.46) 60+y - 30.0% (3.0)  (3.0)  (2.87)  (2.87)  (3.24)  40.59y - 54.3% Right bank, from 58.8% to 52.8%, appears to reflect broader contextual challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself.  During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the impact of the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
40-59y – 54.3% services on the Right bank, 60+y -50.0% (3.0) (3.0) (2.87			_		_	
61.5%(3.46) 60+y-50.0% (3.0) (2.87) Right bank, from 58.8% to 52.8%, appears to reflect broader contextual challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank.						-
60+y - 50.0% (3.0)  (2.87)  52.8%, appears to reflect broader contextual challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These			_		_	
(3.0)  (2.87)  52.8%, appears to reflect broader contextual challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself.  During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
to reflect broader contextual challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These			=		-	
broader contextual challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These					(2.07)	
contextual challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
challenges rather than a failure of the intervention itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
rather than a failure of the intervention itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
failure of the intervention itself.  During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						_
intervention itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
itself. During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
During the project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
project period, the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
the environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						_
environment grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
grew more polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
polarized. Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
Heightened political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						_
political tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						·
tensions and rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						_
rhetoric between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						-
between the two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
two banks, the impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
impact of the regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
regional gas/ energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
energy crisis, and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						I - I
and new language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
language regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
regulations on the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
the Right bank created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						
created a climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						_
climate of uncertainty and distrust. These						_
uncertainty and distrust. These						
distrust. These						
						-
i developments						
						- I
likely shaped public						
						-
perception,						perception,

						making cross- river access feel more difficult		
						or less safe,		
						regardless of actual service		
						availability.		
						Moreover,		
						increased		
						awareness		
						through legal aid may have		
						led		
						beneficiaries to		
						better		
						understand the bureaucratic		
						and		
						institutional		
						hurdles they		
						face, paradoxically		
						lowering their		
						perceived		
						ability to		
						navigate them.		
1.								
4								
1.								
5								
How m	any outputs doe	s outcome 1 have	 e?					
	,	_						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.								
Please list all outputs for outcome 1								
Output	1.1							
		e conflict settleme	nt process actors t	o integrate human	rights and gender	equality		
perspectives at all levels of the negotiation agenda, including a focus on balanced and meaningful participation of women in the process at all levels.								

## Output 1.2

Civil society organizations from both banks, People's Advocate and human rights focal point from the Left bank, and local community actors from the Security Zone have increased capacities to JOINTLY engage in advancing human rights and WPS Agenda and foster effective cross-river dialogue and partnerships.

#### Output 1.3

People from both banks, including women actors and community leaders have increased knowledge and understanding of human rights, gender equality and the WPS agenda and are increasingly enabled to access available public services and are empowered to engage in cross river interaction.

Output 1.4	
Output 1.5	
Other Outputs	
If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here	

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Improved capacities of the conflict settlement process actors to integrate human rights and gender equality perspectives at all levels of the negotiation agenda, including a focus on balanced and meaningful participation of women in the process at all levels.

1.	Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project	Indicator progress	Indicator progress	Reasons for
	<i>Describe the indicator</i>	<i>State the baseline value of the</i>	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
		indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant

1.	Number of	16 women	24 persons (at		24 persons (at	No actual
1.	settlement		least 12		least 12	settlement
1	process actors		women)		women)	actors were
'	enabled to		,		,	trained /
	advance and				1 dialogue	included in
	mainstream				event -	activities, as
	human rights-				Parliament	joint activities
	based approach				members (8	were not
	and gender in				MPs, 4	possible to
	the negotiation				Parliament	implement to
	process				Secretariat	date due to the
	•				members, 1	political
					President	situation.
					Bureau	Despite
					member) got	continued
					involved in a	efforts by the
					dialogue	Project
					session on	coordinator and
					efforts in the	UN's senior
					field of	leadership
					peacebuilding	these actions
					in Moldova with	were not
					a focus on new	achieved within
					National	this project.
					Program for the	The project
					implementation	team explored
					of UN Security	possibilities for
					Council	engaging
					Resolution 1325	separately with
					on Women,	actors from
					Peace and	both banks in
					Security for the	order to raise
					years 2023-2027	their awareness
					and the Action	on the key
					Plan regarding	findings and
					its	products
					implementation,	-
					supporting	within this
					female	project. This,
					leadership in	however, was
					the process of	only possible in
					peacebuilding	the Right bank,
					and conflict	as the January
					resolution by	2025 energy
					increasing the	crisis
					number of	predominantly
					women who	dictated the
					participate as	political 
					negotiators,	discourse.
					political .	
					representatives,	
					mediators,	
					peacemakers,	
•	•	•	. '	'		

i	1	1	1	,	l ou
					or
					ambassadors.
					1 study visit - 1
					woman
					negotiator from
					the Bureau for
					Reintegration
					Policies
					participated at
					Geneva Peace
					Week "Building
					Trust, Building
					Peace: An
					agenda for the
					future".
1.	Number of	0	Nine (9)		Five Roadmaps
1.	demonstrated		products		with
2	uses by the		(advocacy and		recommendations
_	settlement		dialogue		in the sectors of
	process actors		events; policy		Agriculture,
	of advocacy		papers).		Environment,
	tools/initiatives		In the proposal,		Tourism, Health
	on human		the target		Assistance and
			_		
	rights, human		included only 9		Social
	security,		advocacy and		Assistance
	women's role in		dialogue events		Systems were
	peacebuilding		and not policy		developed. Each
	and conflict		papers, because		roadmap
	settlement		the political		addresses the
	produced by		context may		most pressing
	the project		not make it		challenges
			possible to		within its
			write policy		respective
			papers.		sector, with a
					particular focus
					on sustainable
					development,
					the
					empowerment
1					=
1					of women, and
					the promotion
1					of human
1					rights, and
1					present the
1					specific
1					recommendations
					to address
1					sectoral issues
1					and enhance
1					cross-sectoral
					collaboration.
					These
1	I	I	Į į		illese

» Output 1.2: Civil society organizations from both banks, People's Advocate and human rights focal point from the Left bank, and local community actors from the Security Zone have increased capacities to JOINTLY engage in advancing human rights and WPS Agenda and foster effective cross-river dialogue and partnerships.

1.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
2	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	maicator	indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant

				T	
1.	Number of	18 CSOs	43 CSOs	Accomplished.	
2.	CSOs on both	10 000	.5 6565	/ recompliance	
	banks with				
1	increased			60+ CSOs were	
				involved in a	
	capacities to				
	advocate on			cross-river	
	human rights			advocacy	
	and gender			Platform/	
	equality in the			Network and	
	peacebuilding			received	
	context.			capacity-	
				building and	
				coaching for	
				joint advocacy.	
				24 CSOs co-	
				signed a Joint	
				Declaration to	
				promote	
				human rights,	
				social cohesion	
				and sustainable	
				peace. The	
				document was	
				promoted at	
				the Project's	
				closing event.	
				30 CSOs had	
				capacities	
				increased on	
				their role in	
				Conflict	
				Prevention.	
				6 CSO from	
				both banks	
				successfully	
				completed	
				institutional	
				capacity	
				development	
				programme.	
				24 CSOs had	
				strengthened	
				capacities in	
				gender-	
				responsive	
				programming.	
				20 CSOs had	
				increased	
				÷ ÷	1.0

			capacities to advocate against discrimination based on nationality, ethnic origin, language or descent, and counter hate speech.  20 CSOs had capacities increased on civic space and protection of HRDs.  23 CSOs increased capacities to advocate against Hate Speech.	
1. 2. 2	Number of initiatives advancing human rights, promoting human security and women's role in peacebuilding processes implemented by CSOs and local community actors from both banks, including towards duty bearers		8 CSOs implemented four joint advocacy activities inspired by the project.  Joint cross-river activities linking Roma communities on both banks and advocating for best practices from the Right bank to be implemented in the Left Bank.  August 2024 – January 2025, Two NGOs, member of the CSO Network, partnered up and were	

				supported to	
				organise	
				Playback	
				Theatre	
				activities.	
				F.1	
				February 2025 –	
				Cross-river	
				dialogue on	
				joint Cultural	
				Heritage for	
				countering	
				divisive	
				narratives. Two	
				events were	
				held on each	
				bank	
1				respectively –	
1				bringing	
1				audiences and	
				experts from	
				both banks.	
				Promo	
				material, with	
				postcards of	
				cultural	
				heritage were	
				printed and	
				distributed.	
				January -	
				February 2025 –	
1				-	
1.	Number of	0	at least 4	In October	
2.	human rights		initiatives	2024, both	
3	monitoring,			partner entities	
	reporting and			have conducted	
	advocacy			monitoring	
	initiatives,			visits to mental	
1	including joint			healthcare	
	ones,			institution on	
	conducted by			their respective	
	People's			banks.	
1	Advocate and				
	Human Rights			Piloted jointly	
	focal point on			developed	
	the Left bank			monitoring	
				tools, as a	
				result of joint	
				workshops.	
				workshops.	
				Both offices	
				jointly	
				-	
I	I	ľ	1	developed	ı l

1. 2.			
1.			
2.			
5			

» Output 1.3: People from both banks, including women actors and community leaders have increased knowledge and understanding of human rights, gender equality and the WPS agenda and are increasingly enabled to access available public services and are empowered to engage in cross river interaction.

1.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
3	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	<i>value of the indicator</i>	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target	period	start	any)
			value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant

1. Number of people reached through awareness raising campaigns on social cohesion, inclusive cross-river interaction and dialogue  1. Number of people reached through awareness raising campaigns on social cohesion, inclusive cross-river interaction and dialogue  1. Number of people reached with possible overlap November 2024, open-air exhibition of posters by young artists, students with messages countering hate speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  1. July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech - with interviews to media and publications in evening news, and online	
through awareness raising campaigns on social cohesion, inclusive cross- river interaction and dialogue  overlap  overlap  November 2024, open-air exhibition of posters by young artists, students with messages countering hate speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
awareness raising campaigns on social cohesion, inclusive cross- river interaction and dialogue  Speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech - with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
raising campaigns on social cohesion, inclusive cross- river interaction and dialogue  2024, open-air exhibition of posters by young artists, students with messages countering hate speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
campaigns on social cohesion, inclusive cross-river interaction and dialogue countering hate speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech - with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
social cohesion, inclusive cross-river posters by young artists, students with messages countering hate speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
inclusive cross- river interaction and dialogue  dialogue  young artists, students with messages countering hate speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
river interaction and dialogue  countering hate speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
interaction and dialogue  messages countering hate speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
dialogue  countering hate speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
speech. Parallel exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech - with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
exhibition held in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
in Tiraspol.  July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
July 2024, Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
Flashmobs in the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
the Centre of Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech - with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
Chisinau and Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech - with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
Tiraspol on countering Hate Speech - with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
countering Hate Speech - with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
Hate Speech – with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
with interviews to media and publications in evening news,	
to media and publications in evening news,	
publications in evening news,	
evening news,	
and online	
outlets.	
outiets.	
Twin/connected	
Murals	
celebrating 75	
years of the	
Universal	
Declaration of	
Human Rights	
were on both	
banks of	
Nistru/Dniester	
River.	
A Human Rights	
Quiz was	
released in	
December 2023,	
as an	
awareness	
raising tool.	
Available at	
www.humanrightsquiz.md	
A video spot	
was produced	
was produced	

PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report

1					during the	
					media forum	
					"At what we	
					aimed and	
					what we	
					achieved"	
					which marked	
					the closing of	
					_	
					the programme "Media for	
					Peace and	
					Equality"	
					A video spot	
					was produced	
					to highlight	
					insights of	
					participants	
					from Young	
					Women's	
					Leadership	
					School	
1.	Number of		5 solutions		A a a a mambia h a al	
3.		0			Accomplished.	
	innovative		identified, at			
2	peace		least 2			
	acceleration		solutions		1 Network of	
	solutions		implemented		Young	
	addressing				Peacebuilders	
	women's role				was created as	
	and				an outcome of	
	contribution in				youth	
	peacebuilding				programme.	
	jointly					
	generated and				1 joint letter	
	implemented				exchange with	
	by youth				the Finnish	
	(women and				OSCE	
	men) from both				Chairpersonship	
	banks				was initiated.	
					8 solutions	
					were identified	
					at Hackathon/	
					Peace	
					Accelerator LAB	
					and later 2	
					were developed	
					by teams from	
					Chisinau and	
					Tiraspol.	
					1. Tell Me	
	I			1	platform –	
1					piatioi iii -	1
					provides	

1. Number of advocacy altitutes oriented at promoting legal empowerment services on the Left bank of Nistru river.    A program training programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.    A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/ Nistru River.						
initiatives oriented at promoting legal empowerment services on the Left bank of Nistru river.  Nistru river.  A program training programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/	1.	Number of	0	10	2	
initiatives oriented at promoting legal empowerment services on the Left bank of Nistru river.  Intervel and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/	3.	advocacy				A Roadmap for
oriented at promoting legal empowerment services on the Left bank of the Nistru River was developed. A program training programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/	3					piloting the
promoting legal empowerment services on the Left bank of Nistru river.  A program training programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/		oriented at				-
empowerment services on the Left bank of Nistru river.  Left bank of A program training programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
services on the Left bank of Nistru river.  A program training programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
Left bank of Nistru river.  Was developed. A program training programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/		_				
Nistru river.  A program training programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
training programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						-
programme was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/		ivisti a river.				
was also developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
developed, and capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						II I
capacity building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
building activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank						=
activities were completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
completed for 25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						=
25 selected NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
NGO representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						-
representatives.  A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						25 selected
A handbook for integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						NGO
integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						representatives.
integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
integration of paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						A handbook for
paralegals on the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						integration of
the Left bank has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						_
has been developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						II I
developed and undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
undergoes active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
active usage and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
and implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						_
implementation in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						II I
in order to facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
facilitate the access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
access to services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
services on the Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
Right bank for the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
the Left bank population of the Dniester/						
population of the Dniester/						_
the Dniester/						
Nistru River.						
						Nistru River.

		1	T		
1.	Number of	0	1500		
3.	beneficiaries			The paralegals	
4	from the Left			network has	
	bank enabled			been	
	through the			established and	
	support of			is fully	
	paralegals to			operational on	
	access public			the Left bank of	
	services on the			Nistru River,	
	Right bank of			under the	
	Nistru river.			guidance and	
				support of a	
				NGO, selected	
				to pilot the	
				initiative. 25	
				paralegals from	
				the Left bank of	
				Nistru River	
				have been	
				contracted and	
				capacitated to	
				provide first	
				legal assistance	
				to over 1500	
				persons.	
1.					
3.					
5					
_					

# » Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

# » Output 1.5:

			ı		1	1
1.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
5	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline value of the	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	<i>value of the indicator</i>	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
1.						
5.						
1						
1.						
5.						
2						
_						
1.						
5.						
3						
1.						
5.						
4						
1						
1.						
5.						
5						

» Outcome 2: Civil society organizations from both banks, People's Advocate and human rights focal point from the Left bank, and local community actors from the Security Zone have increased capacities to JOINTLY engage in advancing human rights and WPS Agenda and foster effective cross-river dialogue and partnerships.

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Increase in % of CSOs and media outlets generating products tackling misinformation	69.3% of CSOs and 83.3% of media outlets have reported to have elaborated products tackling misinformation (including discrimination, hate speech) till the end of 2022. Only 15% of the products were tackling Misinformation and Fake News.	% increase		Total: 81.4% 74% (3,96) of CSOs and 88.8% (4,52) of media outlets have reported to have elaborated products tackling misinformation (including discrimination, hate speech).  Number of the products actually tackling Misinformation and Fake News increased significantly from 20(baseline) to 75 (endline)	

2.	% of rights-	Total	% increase	Total: 39.6%	The slight
2	holders	-43.2%(2.73)		(2.63) very	decrease (from
	(actively or	very		active – 12.4%	43.2% to 39.6%)
	passively)	active-14.4%		(3.7% Decrease)	evolved from
	engaged in	LB- 24.0% (1.96)			broad outreach
	tackling	RB -45.25 (2.81)		LB - 21.5%	to deeper, more
	misinformation	CSO and media		(1.91)	sustained
	and hate	-60.7% (3.43)		RB - 37.8%	engagement
	speech.	Persons with		(2.51)	with select
	opeco	disabilities-		CSO and media	actors,
		52.7% (3.1)		- 58.3% (3.33)	particularly
		Ethnic		Persons with	CSOs, media
		minorities-		disabilities –	professionals,
		52%(3.0)		34.0% (2.36)	and social
		3270(3.0)		Ethnic	media
				minorities -	influencers,
				37.1% (2.49)	resulting in
				37.170 (2.43)	fewer
					individuals
					reached, but
					stronger, more
					strategic
					actions. The
					reactions and
					feedback
					received from
					these
					engagements were positive
					and resulted in
					publications
					addressing mis-
					and
					disinformation.
					Second, the
					=
					deteriorating information
					environment
					and rising
					disinformation
					pressures,
					-
					especially in
					the contexts of
					the
					Transnistrian
					conflict and
					2024 elections
					and
					Referendum in
					Moldova, have
					created
					psychological 
					and social

					barriers to public engagement, even among previously motivated individuals. Moreover, higher engagement is happening in decentralized, informal or encrypted platforms (Telegram groups, TikTok, etc.), which may not be visible in traditional survey formats.
3 <b>e</b>	Number of law enforcement institutions	0	3	Achieved. A Guide for investigating	
t	that enact			and	
s	specific			prosecuting	
i	institutional			online hate	
	responses to			speech and	
	misinformation			hate crimes	
	and hate			was developed	
S	speech.			through a	
				cross- institutional	
				cooperation	
				involving the	
				General	
				Prosecution	
				Office, Council	
				of Magistrates,	
				<b>General Police</b>	
				Inspectorate	
				and the National	
				National Judicial	
				Institute.	
				The Guide was	
				endorsed by	
				the General	
				Prosecutor of	
				Moldova.	
				Prosecutor of	

2. 4									
2.									
How ma	How many outputs does outcome 2 have?  1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.								
Please l	list all outputs for	outcome 2							
CSOs, ju	Output 2.1  CSOs, judges, and law enforcement agents have strengthened capacities and duty bearers of the Left bank have increased awareness to effectively implement international standards on tackling hate speech.								
	2.2 an new and tradition								
Commu	Output 2.3  Community-level prevention and response in addressing and countering hate speech and discrimination in the areas with large refugee populations are strengthened								
Output	Output 2.4								
Output	Output 2.5								
1	Other Outputs  If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here								

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

# » Output 2.1: CSOs, judges, and law enforcement agents have strengthened capacities and duty bearers of the Left bank have increased awareness to effectively implement international standards on tackling hate speech.

2.	Output Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons for
'			Project	progress	progress	
	Describe the indicator	<i>State the baseline value of the</i>	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator value of the indicator		Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	period	start	any)
				State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant

2.	Number of	0	40	59	59 Law	Women in
1.	judges and law				enforcement	police force
	enforcement				and judges	underrepresente
	agents enabled				were trained on	- which affects
	to implement				Countering	the gender
	the newly				Hate Speech.	balance of
	adopted				nate specen.	training
					6 ludges 6	_
	national legal				6 Judges, 6	recipients.
	framework on				Prosecutors, 6	
	hate speech				Police Officers	
	aligned with				(9 men and 9	
	international				women) and	
	standards				Lawyers	
					received a	
					training of	
					Trainers on	
					Hate Speech.	
					National	
					Judicial	
					Institute	
					recognised the	
					ToT as official	
					credits.	
					Additionally, in	
					cooperation	
					with 41 police	
					officers (13	
					women, 28	
					men)– first	
					responders	
					were trained on	
					how to identify	
					hate speech.	
					Additionally,	
					the project	
					managed to	
					establish a	
					working group	
					of prosecutors,	
					judges and	
					police	
					representatives	
					to work on an	
					inter-	
					institutional	
					Guide for	
					prosecuting	
					-	
					and	
					investigating	
					hate crimes	
					(including hate	
					speech). Several	
					working	

				 meetings took place since October 2023, The Guide was endorsed by GPO.	
2. 1. 2	Number of CSO's initiatives, including joint ones, in monitoring and countering hate speech	0	5 initiatives, including min 2 joint initiatives	Accomplished. 6 initiatives countering hate speech were implemented on both banks.  July 2024, two parallel flashmobs - one in Chisinau and one in Tiraspol, with messages on promoting inclusion and countering hate speech implemented through cooperation of NGOs from both banks.	
				November 2024, exhibitions of posters countering hate speech held in Chisinau and Tiraspol, implemented in cooperation with CSOs and Faculty of Arts in Chisinau.  October 2024, analysis of compliance of local legal framework in the Left Bank against international standards on	

			hate speech. Analysis shared with local duty- bearers.  6 other digital solutions to tackle misinformation. • Fake Hunter Extension • SOU.md • E-stiri.md • CheckYourMedia - an interactive quiz platform • CyberSmart (https://	lLiteracyRank
2. 1. 3				
2. 1. 4				
2. 1. 5				

» Output 2.2: Moldovan new and traditional media ecosystems are empowered to produce evidence-based, human rights, gender- and conflict-sensitive media products conducive to promoting tolerance, non-discrimination, and pluralism.

2.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
2	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the indicator	State the baseline value of the	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	malcator	indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant

https://ee.kobotoolbox.org/single/fFZac3Jo

	<u> </u>				
2.	Number of	30	70 (40% young		50 media
2.	media		people; 50%		professionals
1	professionals,		women)		(39 women and
	social media				11 men)
	influencers and				enhanced their
	other non-				knowledge and
	traditional				skills as a result
	media				of training
	representatives				sessions "Words
	from both				that Matter:
	banks that				Strategies for
	have enhanced				Accurate
	knowledge and				Reporting on
	skills to				Gender and
	produce				Conflict-
	human-rights,				Sensitive
	gender,				Topics".
	conflict-				
	sensitive and				May 2024,
	social cohesion				Tiraspol, a
	compliant				Media Brunch
	media				convened 23
	materials				participants (18
					women) from
					both banks of
					Nistru/Dniester
					River,
					discussing
					media
					reporting
					related to the
					situation of
					refugees from
					Ukraine
					OKIAIIIE
					9 teams of 33
					media
					professionals
					from both banks were
					established and
					co-created joint
					media products
					25 as sial modifie
					25 social media
					influencers and
					journalists had
					their capacities
					increased on
					social and
					media literacy
					event. The
					influencers
	I	I	ı	ı l	I

PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report

1					involved have
					social media
					followers
					spanning
					between 5.000
					to 76.000
					people.
					6 other digital
					solutions to
					tackle
					misinformation.
					• Fake Hunter
					Extension
					• SOU.md
					• E-stiri.md
					•CheckYourMediaLiteracyRank
					- an interactive
					quiz platform
					• CyberSmart
					(https://
					cybersmart.md/
					ro)
					,
					• InfoGuard
					(https://
					infoguard.legismoldova.md/)
					inioguaru.iegisinoidova.inu/)
2.	Number of	0	10		1 online course
2.		0	10		
	tools developed				on Peace-
2	on prevention				Journalism was produced,
	of hate-speech, discrimination,				· •
					containing a
	promotion of				module on hate
	social cohesion				speech, and
	and gender-				conflict
	rachanciva				
	responsive				sensitive
	reporting in				sensitive reporting.
	reporting in conflict and				reporting.
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting. 1 inter-
	reporting in conflict and				reporting.  1 inter- institutional
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 inter- institutional Guide to
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 inter- institutional Guide to investigate and
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 interinstitutional Guide to investigate and prosecute
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 interinstitutional Guide to investigate and prosecute online hate
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 interinstitutional Guide to investigate and prosecute online hate speech was
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 interinstitutional Guide to investigate and prosecute online hate speech was developed and
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 interinstitutional Guide to investigate and prosecute online hate speech was
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 interinstitutional Guide to investigate and prosecute online hate speech was developed and endorsed.
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 interinstitutional Guide to investigate and prosecute online hate speech was developed and endorsed.  1 set of posters/
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 interinstitutional Guide to investigate and prosecute online hate speech was developed and endorsed.  1 set of posters/ exhibition was
	reporting in conflict and post-conflict				reporting.  1 interinstitutional Guide to investigate and prosecute online hate speech was developed and endorsed.  1 set of posters/

			-	<u> </u>	1
2.	Number of joint	0	7		As a result of
2.	media				activities in
3	materials				partnership
	developed by				with
	media				Transparency
	professionals				International –
	from both				Moldova, 5 joint
	banks on				media articles
	human rights,				were developed
	gender,				and published
	conflict-				in one of the
	sensitive and				largest printed
	social cohesion				newspapers in
	and combating				Romanian
	hate speech				language from
	nate specen				Moldova and on
					its website. In
					addition, the
					articles were
					printed in a
					- I
					bilingual Romanian/
					Russian
					newsletter,
					with 1000
					copies of each
					language
					distributed
					throughout the
					country.
					As a result of a
					Media
					Academy,
					teams of
					professionals
					developed
					three
					journalistic
					articles and one
					short
					animation
					depicting local
					context issues
					in a conflict-
					sensitive
					manner, and
					one product
					was developed
					to promote
					mutual
					understanding
					among ethno-
1	1	I	I	ı l	<sup>-</sup>

			linguistic communities. Articles were published in local media, and/or used to inform UN policy and strategies.  9 joint media products were developed by 50 media professionals (39 women and 11 men) from both banks addressing social issues and showcasing women's leadership in peacebuilding	
2.				
2. 4				
2. 2.				
5				

# » Output 2.3: Community-level prevention and response in addressing and countering hate speech and discrimination in the areas with large refugee populations are strengthened

	-					
2.	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2. 3. 1	Number of civil servants and service providers in the areas with large refugee populations enabled to counter hate speech and discrimination and contribute to social cohesion	0	30 (25% young people; 40% women)		Capacities of 49 civil servants increased. (47 Women and 2 Men)	

		1	T	
2.	Number of	0	8	After
3.	awareness			undergoing
2	raising			relevant
_	activities			training and
	implemented			experiential
	by schools from			learning,
	both banks of			teachers from
	Nistru river on			nine schools
	non-			from both
	discrimination,			banks of
	social tolerance			Nistru/Dniester
	and countering			River have
	hate speech,			designed and
	including at			implemented
	community			14 initiatives/
	level			activities within
				their respective
				school
				environments,
				involving other
				school staff,
				students and
				their parents.
				A Guide for
				teachers on
				non-formal
				peace
				education
				practices and
				tools, including
				examples on
				tackling
				stereotypes,
				biases and hate
				speech has
				been published
				and endorsed
				by the Ministry
				of Education.

					-
2.	Number of	0	4		Eight deep
3.	communities				listening
3	benefiting from				exercises were
	social cohesion				organised for
	focused				refugees and
	interventions.				host
					communities
					from both
					banks of the
					Nistru/Dniester
					River, bringing
					together 126
					people
					(including 73
					refugees).
					rerugees).
					Two NGOs (one
					from each
					riverbank) have
					joined and
					supported the
					advocacy and
					awareness 
					raising on
					preventing and
					countering hate
					speech through
					Playback
					Theatre.
					Two NGOs (one
					from each
					bank)
					implemented
					initiatives
					aimed at
					promoting and
					protecting the
					rights of
					everyone to
					take part in
					cultural life.
					The activity
					raised public
					awareness,
					including of
					young people,
					through
					information
					and education
					on the right of
					access to
					cultural
I	I	I	I	l l	(

			heritage.	
			Two Roma NGOs (one from each bank) teamed up with a Left bank media outlet to raise public awareness about the function and work of Roma Mediators and the opportunities that communities on the Left bank can benefit from.	
2.				
3. 4				
2. 3.				
5				

#### » Output 2.4:

		ı				
2.	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting	Indicator progress since project's	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if
			State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	period  State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	start  State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4	_		_	_	_	
2. 4. 5						

#### » Output 2.5:

2.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
5	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline value of the	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
2.						
5.						
1						
2.						
5.						
2						
2.						
5.						
3						
2.						
5.						
4						
2.						
5.						
5. 5						

#### » Outcome 3:

		" outcome 3.							
O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)			
3.									
3. 2									
3.									
3. 4									
3. 5									
How m	any outputs does	outcome 3 have	?	I	I				
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.									
Please list all outputs for outcome 3									
Output 3.1									
Output	Output 3.2								

Output 3.3
Output 3.4
Output 3.5
Other Outputs
Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the,	project results framework,	provide an update	e on the progre	ss made
against all output indicators				

#### » Output 3.1:

3.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
1	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	<i>value of the indicator</i>	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			<i>indicator at the</i>	State the current value of the	State the current	Explain why the indicator is off
			end of the project	<i>value of the indicator for the</i>	cummulative value of the indicator	track or has
				reporting period	since the start of the project	changed, where relevant
					the project	rerevarie
2						
3.						
1.						
1						
3.						
1.						
2						
3.						
1.						
3						
3						
3.						
1.						
4						
3.						
1.						
5						

#### » Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

#### » Output 3.3:

3.	Output Indicators  Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

#### » Output 3.4:

3.	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

#### » Output 3.5:

3.	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

#### » Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)		
4.								
4. 2								
4. 3								
4. 4								
4. 5								
How ma	any outputs does	outcome 4 have	?					
	1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.							
Please list all outputs for outcome 4								
Output 4.1								
Output	Output 4.2							

Output 4.3
Output 4.4
Output 4.5
Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update	on the progress made
against all output indicators	

#### » Output 4.1:

4.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
1	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	<i>State the baseline value of the</i>	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.						
1.						
1						
4.						
1.						
2						
4.						
1.						
3						
4.						
1.						
4						
_						
4.						
1.						
5						
						-

#### » Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

#### » Output 4.3:

-						
4.	Output Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline State the baseline value of the indicator	End of Project Indicator Target State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project	Indicator progress for reporting period State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

#### » Output 4.4:

4.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
4	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline value of the	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	<i>value of the indicator</i>	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.						
4.						
1						
4.						
4.						
2						
2						
4.						
4.						
3						
4.						
4.						
4						
1						
4.						
4.						
5						

#### » Output 4.5:

	1	ı		1		
4.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
5	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	Describe the	State the baseline	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
	indicator	value of the indicator	Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			State the target value of the	period	start	any)
			value of the indicator at the end of the project	State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period	State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project	Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant
4.						
5.						
1						
4.						
5.						
2						
4.						
5.						
3						
4.						
5.						
4						
4.						
5.						
5						
If the n	roiect has more t	han 4 outcomes	use this space to	describe progre	ss on progress or	indicators *
	remaining outco		ase tills space to	acseribe progre	55 511 PT 081 C33 01	aicacors
101 1110	. C. Hall III IS GULCOI	1103				

# **PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues**

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentat ive Date	Locati on	Target Audie nce	<b>Event Objectives</b> (900 characters)
Event 1	N/a				
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

## **Human Impact**

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group *This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1

Media Professionals from both banks. The interaction among media professionals from both banks is limited, rooted in political tensions, resulting in the emergence of distinct media spaces.

The programme "Media for Peace and Equality" strengthened newsrooms' capacities and initiated collaboration and joint media initiatives between journalists on both banks of the Nistru/ **Dniester River. Among** activities were training sessions called "Words That **Matter: Strategies for** Reporting on Gender and Conflict-Sensitive Topics," development of thematic guides were developed, as well as individual and collaborative competitions.

Five newsrooms joined an extensive institutional development programme, in which they benefited from customized training to strengthen the professional capacities of their teams, updated media product formats and integrated gendersensitive policies into their internal strategies. The programme has provided security equipment support to newsrooms and highlighted the importance of media professionals' security while maintaining high standards of information and reporting.

"Gender equality affects everyone, and through this project, we were able to collaborate with colleagues from the Transnistrian region," added Lupacescu. "We worked together on two topics. One focused on women in business, and the other on decisionmaking processes. We presented the success stories of women who have excelled in their respective fields. What was heartening about these reports is that women from both the right and Left banks share the same visions: they want peace, they want to feel comfortable, but they all talk about stereotypes. I believe such experiences add value to journalism in Moldova because, through small steps, we can unite communities on both banks and achieve social cohesion."

Journalists face challenges in addressing the topic of gender equality. Irina Şlaeva, a journalist at Lik-TV Râbnița, says the concept of gender equality is not fully understood in society. "As journalists, we had to explain, for example, that women can earn the same salaries as men," she says. "These topics emerged thanks to the training sessions from the programme and the expertise that improved our editorial policy. We introduced gender concepts. Thanks to the website monetization and the equipment we received, we will be more flexible and able to sustain our activity." Security threats revealed by Journalists:

"With the outbreak of the war in Ukraine on February 24, 2022, our team began

2	Local community actors from the Security Zone	The results of 12 peace initiatives implemented in 55 localities of the Security Zone led to joining efforts to address	and it took three weeks to recover it. We had an archive dating back to 2013 and risked losing a significant portion of our content. Fortunately, we managed to restore it, but it took considerable effort. Our Facebook page was also attacked, but with the expertise provided by API, we strengthened its security."  "We dealt with an individual who came with a (fake) grenade and threatened us," she says. "We encounter all kinds of people, which exposes us to basic risks. For instance, we don't have video surveillance in our offices, so if something happens, we won't be able to prove or identify anyone."  In the past, the well was the place where people from the neighbourhood gathered for advice, and maybe even for a
		community needs and strengthening social cohesion through establishment of childcare spaces, joint culture events promoting local tourism and gastronomy, sports competitions, and youth engagement.  159 residents of Solonceni, Rezina and Ofatiniti, Ribnitsa, demonstrated the power of local traditions to unite communities by creating community spaces for dialogue and rehabilitating wells on	hora (traditional dance). This is where our initiative started, with the goal of rehabilitating the wells in the two villages: Solonceni in the Rezina district and Ofatinți in the Rîbnița district," explains Zinaida Bogaciuc, the initiative coordinator. "We asked for help from the community, with whom we worked side by side. In the end, we managed to achieve something beautiful and to dance a "hora at the well" in the true sense of the word."

3	School staff, teachers	The Project provided a new perspective for non-formal education practices, relevant for peace education, including tackling discrimination, hate speech. Teachers were involved in training and then guided to work within their schools with students and other colleagues.	Liudmila Rusnac, a psychologist from the "Constantin Sibirschi" High School in Chisinau, led a workshop for teaching staff on preventing discrimination in educational institutions. By equipping teachers with the skills to recognize and address various forms of discrimination, the workshop fostered a more supportive and respectful environment for both students and educators. Rusnac stressed the importance of creating an inclusive school environment where everyone feels valued and respected, regardless of their background. In her words, "Regular observation of such cases (discrimination) emphasizes the importance of acquired skills for timely intervention and prevention of further incidents in the lyceum."
4	Art students and professors from both banks	A group of young artists, professionals and amateurs were brought together at a youth camp to learn more about the negative aspects of hate speech. They went through transformative experiences which were then translated into their artwork and displayed as awareness raising posters in urban areas in Moldova.	"I'll have to admit that I came with my own generation's prejudice, and I talked with my students, equal to equal, and I learned important lessons from them too." "You know, they feel the problems closer as they face hate speech and discrimination. They came in different groups who didn't know each other. But they united, found common ground, and we discovered moments of joy together." pointed Professor Gobjilă.

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Several impact stories were published as visibility products in local languages and links will be shared in a separate document.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project <pre>OPTIONAL</pre>
File 1  OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
File 2  OPTIONAL  Click have to upload file (< 10MP)
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
File 3  OPTIONAL  Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project <i>OPTIONAL</i>
Link 1  OPTIONAL  https://moldova.un.org/en/274442-youth-both-banks-reviving-peacebuilding-efforts
Link 2  OPTIONAL  https://moldova.un.org/en/279155-no-river-can-ever-separate-people-stories-peace-initiatives-security-zone-both-banks
Link 3  OPTIONAL  https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/building-unity-how-moldova-countering-hate-speech-and-addressing-social-divides
Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:
Please select up to 3.
Enhanced digitization
Innovative ways of working
Mobilized additional resources
Improved or initiated policy frameworks
✓ Strengthened capacities
✓ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.  Strengthened capacities. The Project enhanced capacities of civil society, media and journalists, youth, and duty-bearers on topics relevant for peacebuilding and social cohesion. CSOs were equipped with knowledge on human rights and gender equality, their role in conflict prevention, countering hate speech and misinformation. Journalists and media have increased capacities on conflict-sensitive reporting, peace journalism, gender-sensitive reporting. Duty bearers are more aware of the WPS Agenda, human rights.
Strengthened capacities. The Project enhanced capacities of civil society, media and journalists, youth, and duty-bearers on topics relevant for peacebuilding and social cohesion. CSOs were equipped with knowledge on human rights and gender equality, their role in conflict prevention, countering hate speech and misinformation. Journalists and media have increased capacities on conflict-sensitive reporting, peace journalism, gender-sensitive reporting.

Who are we working with
Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
Partnered with local civil society organizations
Partnered with local academia
Partnered with sub-national entities
Partnered with national entities
Partnered with local volunteers
Please explain
Please limit your response to 3000 characters
The project partnered with the General Prosecution Office to initiate the development of a Guide to tackle hate speech and increase the efficiency of law enforcement institutions. The project Partnered with the Ministry of
Education to pilot non-formal peace education in several schools. This partnership will continue to promote a
respective Guide for teachers in the future. The National Library of Moldova has continuously opened the doors for the project to organise info/awareness raising actions for young people.
The project partnered with prominent civil society organisations which contributed with ideas and know how to
implement specific activities. Several were engaged as implementing partners. Through the regular meetings of the
cross-river Network, new partnerships and collaboration with CSOs were realised.
The Project partnered with the Pedagogic university to jointly work on awareness raising activities related to tackling hate speech and promotion of the WPS Agenda.
tacking nate special and promotion of the Wis Agenda.
Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative
Mandatory  Unemployed persons
Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
Indigenous communities
Persons with Disabilities
Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
Women
✓ Youth
Children
Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
People living in and around border areas
Persons affected by natural disasters
Persons affected by armed conflicts
Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants
PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance
·
» Monitoring
<ul> <li>Monitoring</li> <li>Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period</li> </ul>
» Monitoring Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period Please limit your response to 3000 characters.
» Monitoring Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period Please limit your response to 3000 characters. The Project does not do include typical [human rights] monitoring activities in terms of developments relevant for the peacebuilding context.
» Monitoring  *  Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period  *  Please limit your response to 3000 characters.  The Project does not do include typical [human rights] monitoring activities in terms of developments relevant for the peacebuilding context.  Instead, the Project team monitored the implementation of all activities conducted through grantees and implementing partners. For example, the project team monitored the actions taken by the Network of paralegals in the Left bank, and the delivery of advice to residents about services available on the Right bank. We actively participated key conferences, hackatons and workshops, youth camps. Most activities (e.g. workshops, training,
» Monitoring Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period Please limit your response to 3000 characters. The Project does not do include typical [human rights] monitoring activities in terms of developments relevant for the peacebuilding context. Instead, the Project team monitored the implementation of all activities conducted through grantees and implementing partners. For example, the project team monitored the actions taken by the Network of paralegals in the Left bank, and the delivery of advice to residents about services available on the Right bank. We actively
**  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **
» Monitoring  **  Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period  **  Please limit your response to 3000 characters.  The Project does not do include typical [human rights] monitoring activities in terms of developments relevant for the peacebuilding context.  Instead, the Project team monitored the implementation of all activities conducted through grantees and implementing partners. For example, the project team monitored the actions taken by the Network of paralegals in the Left bank, and the delivery of advice to residents about services available on the Right bank. We actively participated key conferences, hackatons and workshops, youth camps. Most activities (e.g. workshops, training, discussions and dialogue) implemented directly by RUNOs, without the support of implementing partners, were carried out solely by the project staff who ensured quality control and visibility of UN PBF. Additionally, the team monitored the joint small-scale actions implemented by members of the CSO Network, schools, and accompanied the monitoring visits of the Ombudsperson.
**  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **
* Monitoring  Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.  Please limit your response to 3000 characters.  A baseline study was done in relation to five of the project's six Outcome indicators, which was followed by an Endline study. Both reports are available to PBSO.
Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)  Please limit your response to 3000 characters.  A thorough baseline study was conducted in cooperation with a local sociological survey company. A diverse audience was approached with approximately 600 respondents. The study focused on five of the six Outcome-level indicators, which were slightly adjusted for a more efficient survey. Consecutively, an endline study was conducted and finalised in May 2025, basing itself on the same methodology developed for the Baseline study.
Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **
Please provide a brief description  Please limit your response to 3000 characters.  The baselines and endline results were established through a comprehensive data collection including surveys and interviews conducted on both banks of Nistru/Dniester River. The methodology is presented in both the Baseline and Endoline reports which were made available to the donor. Both studies used direct interviews with randomised samples of respondents, focus groups and interviews with key interlocutors including government representatives.  Only one of the Outcome-level indicators is obtained as data from law-enforcement institutions. Output level Baseline indicators were established on triangulation of sources from government reports and civil society databases, as well as information reported to UN Treaty Bodies. The Endline data is verifiable through all the means of verification presented in this report, as well as list of participants, event agendas, final products.
Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?  **Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. Iink  Yes  No
Please provide a brief description  Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

#### » Evaluation

Is the project on trac	s the project on track to conduct its evaluation?						
Yes							
No							
Not Applicable							
Evaluation budget (in Response required 60000	n USD) included in th	e project budget:		*			
If project will end in	next six months, is yo	our upcoming evalua	ation on track?				
Yes	•						
No							
Not Applicable							
Please describe the preparations  Please limit your response to 3000 characters.  Endline study is completed and a final report is ready. It is shared with PBSO.							
Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email			
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Nikola Petrovski	OHCHR	Human Rights Officer	nikola.petrovski@un.o			
project's start?  Yes	ncial): Has the project	t mobilized additiona	al non-PBF financial resou	rces since the *			
O No							

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from <b>since the project started</b> ?	*
Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information.  Yes  No	*
If yes, please select the relevant option below:  Some catalytic effect Significant catalytic effect	*
If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so   Please limit your response to 3000 characters.  The Guide on non-formal peace education, developed for teaching staff in schools, was well perceived by the Ministry of Education and will be promoted among teachers/schools across Moldova. Moreover, the Ministry is willing to include/mainstream peace education in the formal curriculum.	*
The Project has inspired a catalytic effect on how Moldovan institutions perceive their obligation to tackle hate speech and their role to improve the human rights record by holding perpetrators accountable. At the early stages of engagement with law enforcement, through training events a joint conclusion was made that existing gaps in the procedures do not allow for an efficient response to hate speech/and hate crimes. That is why an inter-institution agreement was reached to develop a common policy to be applied in all segments of the procedure, by all relevant institutions. The Project inspired and supported the development of a Guide for investigating Hate Crimes and	ne al

speech and their role to improve the human rights record by holding perpetrators accountable. At the early stages of engagement with law enforcement, through training events a joint conclusion was made that existing gaps in the procedures do not allow for an efficient response to hate speech/and hate crimes. That is why an inter-institutional agreement was reached to develop a common policy to be applied in all segments of the procedure, by all relevant institutions. The Project inspired and supported the development of a Guide for investigating Hate Crimes and online Hate Speech, endorsed by the General Prosecution Office to be applied by all law enforcement in Moldova. The PBF project works synergistically with other gender-responsive peacebuilding efforts in Moldova, fostering an environment conducive to sustainable peace. A notable example is the establishment of the informal Women's Advisory Board for Sustainable Peacebuilding (WAB) in 2022, meant to advise the Transnistrian settlement process, ensuring that women's perspectives are integrated into the negotiation framework.

The project generated a sustained advancement in grassroot peacebuilding through initial support to women via small grants and local initiatives. After the project finalisation these WLOs continue to independently mobilize their communities and engage with development partners around solution on issues of common concern. Building on this momentum, WLOs initiated the localisation of the WPS agenda through the development of Local Action Plans, laying a foundation to strengthening WPS commitments through inclusive, locally driven strategies.

## Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

- i. Moldova will have a formal inter-institutional Guide on investigating and prosecuting hate crimes and online hate speech, which will be used as a tool in formal training of police, judges and prosecutors. Commitments for this are provided by the General Prosecution Office, the official signatory of the final Guide. The Guide will enable institutions to counter hate speech and hate crimes in a more coordinated manner, in line with Human Rights.
- ii. The e-course on Peace Journalism will be available on local platforms accessible to journalists and media workers from both banks. The course will be promoted by local partners beyond the PBF Project implementation and increase capacities of local journalists to better understand and create information which is conducive to Peace.
- iii. The guidelines "Journalism in Conflict Zones: Ethical and Security Recommendations" and "Transformative power of gender and conflict-sensitive media practices in peacebuilding" equip media professionals and outlets with practical recommendations and emphasize the importance of conveying unbiased and balanced messages.
- iv. The Guide for teachers on non-formal peace education practices and tools was endorsed by the Ministry of Education. The piloted non-formal education activities in selected school have inspired the Ministry to promote the Guide in schools across Moldova and incorporate 'education for peace' in the formal curriculum. This will happen as a part of ongoing reforms of the curriculum.
- v. The Roadmap and Handbook for Paralegals developed within the project, sets basis to institutionalise the role of paralegals a process which will require additional time and efforts. These resources provide a framework for sustaining the paralegal initiative, ensuring that the benefits of accessible legal assistance continue beyond the project's conclusion. This initiative itself has empowered communities by providing them with essential legal support, thereby contributing to long-term social stability and justice.
- vi. Through the cross-river CSO Advocacy Network, new alliances were formed, and cooperation of activists from both banks was inspired. Civil society actors are more familiar with the concept of conflict prevention and understand their role in it. A Joint Declaration was signed by 20+ civil society organisations, journalists and activists, to re-affirm their commitments to promote human rights, social cohesion and sustainable peace. The Declaration will serve as a basis to further the exchange and cooperation and partnerships between civil society actors from all regions of Moldova.
- vii. Self-Sustainable Digital Solutions. The project has implemented a set of robust, self-sustaining digital tools aimed at countering disinformation and hate speech in Moldova.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

# **Monitoring and Oversight Activities**

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

#### **Final Steps**

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui <u>gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</u>

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.