

# PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND** 

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- ☒ Semi-annual  
☐ Annual  
☐ Final  
☐ Other

Date of submission of report \*

Name and title of person submitting the report \*

Strengthening Social Cohesion Pathways, Human Rights and Women's Civic Participation

E-mail of person submitting the report \*

raphael.asuliwonnu@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report \*

Raphael Asuliwonnu Project Manager

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Not Applicable

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ☒ Asia and the Pacific ☐ Central & Southern Africa ☐ East Africa  
☐ Europe and Central Asia ☐ Global ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean  
☐ Middle East and North Africa ☐ West Africa

Country of project implementation \*

- ☒ Fiji ☐ Kiribati ☐ Marshall Islands  
☐ Myanmar ☐ Papua New Guinea ☐ Philippines  
☐ Solomon Islands ☐ Sri Lanka ☐ Tuvalu  
☐ Other, Specify

Other, please specify \*

Project Title \*

- ☒ 00140374: Strengthening Social Cohesion Pathways Human Rights and Women's Civic Participation  
☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- ☐ Asia and the Pacific
 ☐ Central & Southern Africa
 ☐ East Africa  
☐ Europe and Central Asia
 ☐ Global
 ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean  
☐ Middle East and North Africa
 ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

Project End Date \*

Has this project received an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension  
☐ YES, No Cost Extension  
☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions  
☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension  
☒ YES, No Cost Extension  
☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions  
☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? \*

- ☐ Yes  
☒ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? \*

- |                               |                                |                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March     |
| <input type="radio"/> April   | <input type="radio"/> May      | <input type="radio"/> June      |
| <input type="radio"/> July    | <input type="radio"/> August   | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December  |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? \*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which \*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme    ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees    ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization    ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme    ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization    ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund    ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services    ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre    ☐ UNDPO    ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

## Please select other UN recipients

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☒ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☒ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients \*

- |  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED   | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE  | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)                               |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network   | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland   | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN   | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala  | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID   | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer   | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid  | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS   | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)                         |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)   | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)                |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert   | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation   | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI)                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar |  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps   | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo  | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO  | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS   |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul   | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR   | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International  | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez   | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati                                      |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld  | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)                       |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children   | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)                                     |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer  |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan   | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch  | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.   | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire  | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM)  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA   | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder   | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify          |

Other, Please specify \*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

1

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

*(for June reports: January-June;  
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);  
for final reports: full project duration)*

1



Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☒ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

Fiji Women's Right Movement (FWRM)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

170000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

117000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

17000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

FWRM led dialogues with women and developed recommendations and actions that contribute to women's civic participation and engagement in national reconciliation efforts. FWRM is also supporting strengthening and growth of YFemN, the young feminists' network.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1240300	1240300	731814.44	59%
				%
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	428000	428000	209028.00	48.84%

<b>UNWOMEN:</b> <b>United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women</b>	331700	331700	237248.37	71.52%
<b>TOTAL</b>	2000000	2000000	1178090.81	58.9%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **58.9%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

## » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

40.55

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 811000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 477715.82**. Is this correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Project Financial Report\_PBF for the period ending 31May2025-14\_57\_48.xlsx



## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☒ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

1

The Project Advisory Board (Steering Committee) met on 7th February 2025 to discuss the implementation of project activities and provide guidance on adjustments required by the evolving sociopolitical context. The board was co-chaired by the Minister for Women, Children and Social Protection and the UN Resident Coordinator. The board members also included representatives from each implementing UN agency (OHCHR, UN Women, UNDP) as well as representatives from the Fiji Police Force, the Fiji Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Commission (FHRADC), the Fiji Council of Social Services (FCOSS) and the Fiji Women's Rights Movement.

The Board reviewed the project progress report, the 2025 annual work plan, synergies between the work of the Trust and Reconciliation Commission. The board was asked to approve a request for a no cost extension. Board members unanimously endorsed the outlined elements, affirming their alignment with the project's objectives and methodologies. The Board also endorsed a proposal for project breakfast meetings with PBF donor countries with presence in Fiji and other development partners to update them on key project activities/updates and create a common platform for engagement on social cohesion and reconciliation developments. The Board further agreed to expand Project Board to include key government ministries and agencies – Ministries of iTaukei Affairs, Defense, Police, Multi-Ethnic Affairs and the Office of the Attorney General. The Board decision was informed by the role of these ministries in leading social cohesion and rule of law policies, strategies. As the project continues to engage with relevant stakeholders on the results of dialogues facilitated by the project these ministries and agencies will be key in ensuring that project results inform policy reforms and social cohesion strategies.

The next project Steering Committee Meeting is scheduled to take place in June 2025.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

In addition to the regular monthly and ad hoc meetings with the project's Board co-chairs in the Office of the Prime Minister, the project continued to engage with key government and civil society to strengthen coordination around social cohesion initiatives. Within the reporting period, the Ministry of Women, Children and Social Protection has been consulted on the dialogues that are being convened with current and prospective women peacemakers and on strategies for increasing women's political participation and supporting gender equality. The engagements have been at the senior and technical levels and have included the Minister, the Permanent Secretary, the Director of Women, and other staff

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

### Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

#### Contracting of partners \*

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

#### Staff Recruitment \*

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

#### Collection of baselines \*

- ☐ Not Started
 ☒ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☐ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

#### Identification of beneficiaries \*

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The collection of baselines has been rated partially because though the Centre for Sustainable Peace and Democratic Development and the Fiji National University have been contracted to undertake the Social Cohesion and Reconciliation SCORE Index Assessment which will provide the baseline data, the assessment is yet to be completed.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

\*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If no, please provide an explanation

\*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

The project has launched significant studies including the Social Cohesion and Reconciliation Index Assessment and National Study on Human rights relating to the rights of children and has engaged CSOs to conduct thematic dialogues on women and youth and community dialogues. These activities would not be completed within the current project timelines. The project will submit a request for a no cost extension to help us complete these activities and outputs.





## Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

5 dialogues have been conducted within the reporting period bringing the total of dialogues to 12 in total reaching over 575 people in 5 provinces. First dialogue was with youth networks from all the 14 provinces. The youth discussed social cohesion challenges including drug abuse, youth unemployment, youth violence/crimes. The youth signed a peace mural which would become an engagement of the youth on peace with the aim of launching a youth for peace movement/manifesto in September 2025 to inform youth conflict prevention and peace efforts during elections. The second dialogue with Faith leaders discussed interfaith dynamics and the role of the faith community in promoting peaceful coexistence.

Leaders of women's rights organizations including community-based women's groups and associations representing diverse ethnic, religious, socio-economic and geographical origins have stronger awareness of identity-based cleavages and drivers of conflict, as well as enhanced capacities and confidence to engage on social cohesion and peacebuilding in Fiji. This comes as a result of two dialogue workshops led by the Fiji Women's Rights Movement (FWRM), which have reached 46 participants including rural, urban, peri-urban dwellers, LGBTQ+ individuals, women with disability, middle age and elderly women of iTaukei, Indian, Rotuman, Chinese, Banaban and mixed descent, from Hindu, Muslim, and Christian faiths. These participants—primarily civil society leaders—are now better equipped to promote reconciliation and peaceful co-existence and engage communities and duty bearers on diversity and human rights, inclusive governance and other integrated peacebuilding approaches. This is expected to elevate the role of women in contributing to sustainable peace and security in Fiji. There are three additional workshops planned, one for younger women, one for girls, and a national intergenerational dialogue.

Launch of the Social Cohesion and Reconciliation (SCORE) Assessment in Fiji. The project has launched a social cohesion and reconciliation index assessment in Fiji. The SCORE Assessment which will be jointly delivered through the Centre for Sustainable Peace and Democratic Development (SeeD) and the Fiji National University (FNU) aims to provide quality and reliable assessment of social cohesion indicators to provide critical data and measure and tracking. SCORE will provide critical data analysis to inform national planning and policy design and monitoring and evaluation of key social cohesion indicators in Fiji. Availability of quality data has been a challenge in Fiji and the Pacific and the SCORE Assessment in Fiji will help fill this gap. Plans are to engage governments and international donor to extend the SCORE to other countries in the region.

The Fiji Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Commission (FHRADC) with support from the project and the Asia Pacific Forum launched its Capacity Assessment (CA) Review Report 2024. The CAR was based on the review of the 2020 CA recommendations and identified the status of implementation to develop strategies to address challenges and capacity gaps. The CAR report launch occurred in the presence of leading civil society representatives and was remarkable as civil society had previously indicated a lack of trust and confidence in the Commission for years – based on the perception that the FHRADC lacked independence and acted on behalf of the previous government. Under the leadership of newly elected Commissioners, it is now perceived as improving and does so with the support of the Attorney General (being a former Commissioner himself) to prepare the ground for the required normative changes for the FHRADC to become Paris Principles-compliant again.

Capacity building and engagement of law enforcement on institutional reforms: In 2025, the project facilitated 1 human rights training for 30 (16 Female and 14 male) officers from the Fiji Police Force and military. The officers received training on human rights standards of non-discrimination to sensitize police to the importance of good ethnic/ race relations and dealing with complaints in a fair, non discriminatory manner and a refresher on the Use of Force and related accountability under UNCAT and other human rights treaties relevant to law enforcement officials to enhance procedural justice and accountability. This training was timely and required because of the increasing acts of human rights violations by the Fiji Police in Fiji and there is a need to restore public confidence in policing and ensure accountability. The trainings are providing critical entry points for effective institutional reform discussions. OHCHR has had series of discussions with the Director Policy of the Fiji Police Force to review the Use of Force Policy and is in the process of reviewing the policy through a human rights lens to bring it in conformity with international standards.

The project through OHCHR has engaged with other key institutions such as Lawyers associations and bilateral meetings with the Attorney General's Office on Fiji's current human rights situation concerning freedom of expression, assembly and association and on recommendations from international human rights mechanisms to improve the human rights situation and social cohesion. In particular, discussions focused on the role of lawyers and the formal justice sector in improving Fiji's efforts towards national reconciliation and social cohesion.

Under the project OHCHR engaged the Office of the Attorney General, and the Law Reform Commission on legal and policy reform discussions. Thorough legal analysis and written submissions were made to 3 legislations (Information Act 2018, Code of Conduct Bill and Accountability and Transparency Commission Bill 2025). The analysis and submission were included in the final analysis presented in Fiji parliament.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

The project had technical advice from a Gender Specialist to ensure coherency and mainstreaming across the project activities and interventions

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

The project in its second year is making significant contributions to national efforts on social cohesion and reconciliation, human rights policy and legal reforms and women empowerment in line with the three outcome indicators of the project. The project's support in addition to ensuring that Fiji's Truth and Reconciliation Commission legal framework benefited from international expertise and best practices continue to provide opportunities for the TRC to engage and interact with stakeholders during project dialogue sessions and platforms where appropriate. This has ensured that key stakeholders including civil society, faith leaders and international development partners can interact with and share inputs, expectations and concerns on the national truth and reconciliation process with the Commission.

Fiji has recently presented Fiji's Human Rights Report during its Fourth Universal Periodic Review to the United Nations Human Rights Council on 22 January 2025 in Geneva, Switzerland and the delegation was led by the Attorney-General. Fiji received 209 recommendations to improve its human rights situation which impact social cohesion and peace. The project's engagements with the Office of the Attorney General and written submissions on legal and policy reforms (Information Act, 2018, Code of Conduct Bill, 2025 and Accountability and Transparency Bill, 2025) were therefore very timely and critical in ensuring that key legislations and reform discussions contribute to national social cohesion and reconciliation efforts. These bills are particularly important for the project due to their relation to the right to truth and the establishment of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission.

Following government and CSO engagements on findings of dialogues, the government has made progress in a number of areas including the decision to develop a national social cohesion policy and to develop a national social cohesion architecture including establishment of critical structures and networks like a council of elders and national network of insider mediators.

The government and civil society with the support of the project are thus making significant strides towards social cohesion, reconciliation and peaceful coexistence. Key government and civil society led initiatives like the establishment of the Fiji Truth and Reconciliation Commission, the Military reconciliation efforts have generally been received positively by citizens and believed if properly handled devoid of excessive politicisation they could contribute to national reconciliation and unity with the support of the project led dialogues and technical support.

However, these positive strides notwithstanding, the stresses and pressures exerted by political contestations for power (elections scheduled for mid 2026) and the harsh rhetoric that comes with it, divisive social media and media landscape, poverty and social inequalities continue to pose significant threat to national unity, peaceful coexistence and social cohesion in Fiji and the region at large.

National stakeholders who have discussed and engaged the results of the dialogues, therefore, generally agreed that for interventions like the Social Cohesion Project and government's social cohesion and reconciliation efforts to be successful and transformative in the long-term, there is a need to move beyond ad hoc approaches to building a more systematic, inclusive and coordinated approach to institutionalizing dialogue facilitation, social cohesion through the establishment/strengthening the national architecture for social cohesion. This approach would entail strengthening local capacities for dialogue and mediation, supporting social cohesion and human rights policy reforms/ or development. Such an architecture will enhance coordination and drive the national prevention agenda through the institutionalisation of dialogue, conflict prevention/conflict sensitivity and sustaining peace efforts in national and subnational development planning processes, elections and other processes requiring broad-based consensus building.

Evidence from the dedicated women dialogues convened by the Fiji Women's Rights Movement (FWRM) indicates emerging outcome-level shifts in both attitudes and discourse among women peacebuilders. One key observation is the recognition—by participants themselves—of deeply held biases across ethnic, religious, and socio-political lines that must be acknowledged and set aside for meaningful peacebuilding to occur. This self-awareness is a critical attitudinal change that lays the foundation for broader social transformation.

The dialogues also revealed a strong desire among participants for more consistent and visible platforms to engage with policymakers. Women peacebuilders expressed that their experiences and perspectives—particularly from rural, peri-urban, and marginalized communities—are often excluded from national peace and governance conversations. The project has started to bridge this gap by creating a safe, inclusive space where women leaders felt supported and empowered to speak freely, even on sensitive issues. The inclusive nature and high calibre of participants—many of whom are already active at the grassroots level—

helped ensure that discussions were grounded, constructive, and forward-looking.

Through this process, participants began to shift from isolated community-level actors to a more connected, collective force for peacebuilding. Their increased confidence to speak, collaborate, and engage with duty bearers signals early institutional-level impact, as they are now better positioned to influence peace and inclusion agendas from the ground up. These changes, while still unfolding, reflect the project's contribution to transforming attitudes, strengthening civic voice, and laying the groundwork for more inclusive peace processes in Fiji.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document




Outcome 1:	*
Government, CSOs and communities have an understanding of and commitment to religious and communal tolerance, human-right	
Outcome 2:	*
Outcome 3:	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes	*
If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here	

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Government, CSOs and communities have an understanding of and commitment to religious and communal tolerance, human-rights and gender equality

O C 1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	The extent to which the Fiji Government Develops a pathway/ starts to adopt concrete policy and legislative initiatives to address tensions and differences peacefully	The Government has taken   	initiatives and reforms addressing social cohesion and reconciliation priorities and linked to the concrete recommendation s from the project supported dialogues with policy makers, communities and among different communities instituted	Contribution to human rights and social cohesion related legislative reforms through review and submissions to 3 key legislations  Faith leaders commitment to peaceful coexistence	Legal Framework for National Reconciliation developed FTRC established to lead national reconciliation and healing efforts and will provide concrete policy and legislative recommendation for addressing tensions and differences peacefully. Contribution to human rights and social cohesion related legislative reforms through review and submissions to 3 key legislations	

1. 2	The percentage of people (m/f) who feel positive with Government's initiatives and engagements in social cohesions pathway	To be identified after the baseline survey. As initial adhoc actions have just been taken by the Government, people yet to be surveyed on how they have viewed the Government's effort	To be determined after the Social.....	Data for this indicator will be available after the.....	The SCORE Assessment delayed due to operational challenges including cost and availability of competent organisations both in and outside Fiji to undertake such an assessment. But the Assessment has be launched now.	
1. 3	At least 3 measures by the Government, CSOs and/or communities to commit to religious and communal tolerance, human rights and gender equality	No previously existing commitment to religious and communal tolerance, human rights and gender equality taken by Government, CSOs and communities	Government, CSOs and communities .....	1 (Inter-faith commitments to national reconciliation efforts by the FTRC; CSO partnership with Military on reconciliation; Youth commitment to peace and social cohesion; community dialogue sessions and consultations highlight the need for interfaith and communal tolerance	4 (Inter-faith commitments to national reconciliation.....)	

1. 4						
1. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Government and civil society supported to design and implement national reconciliation process and social cohesion agenda inclus

Output 1.2

Human rights legal and institutional frameworks and bodies strengthened to ensure protection of human rights in line with interna

Output 1.3

Inclusive dialogues supported to enable communities to articulate and discuss their priority concerns with policymakers

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here



For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Government and civil society supported to design and implement national reconciliation process and social cohesion agenda inclusive of women, young people, diverse religious and ethnic communities, and marginalized groups**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	The number of reconciliation initiatives by Government and civil society that promote national reconciliation in post- election Fiji	Zero instituted initiative	2 (1 per year)	2: Pacific Center for Peacebuilding collaboration with Fiji Military on reconciliation; Fiji Women's Rights Movement' strengthening women leaders in community reconciliation and social cohesion.	3: Fiji Truth and Reconciliation Commission, Pacific Center for Peacebuilding collaboration with Fiji Military on reconciliation; Fiji Women's Rights Movement' strengthening women leaders in community reconciliation and social cohesion.	

1. 1. 2	The number of institutions receiving the recommendation regarding policies on Social cohesion and/or peacebuilding issues	0	3	2 (Ministry for Women, Children and Social	5 (Ministries of Multi-Ethnic Affairs and Home Affairs and the FHRDC, Ministry for Women, Children and Social Protection; Office of the Attorney General have received recommendation s on social cohesion	
1. 1. 3						
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2: Human rights legal and institutional frameworks and bodies strengthened to ensure protection of human rights in line with international standards and best practices

1. 2.....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1.....	The level of understanding of FHRADC staff on key human rights concepts, addressing discrimination and hate speech, as well as principles of independence and .....	FHRADC's staff require refresher .....	At least 70% of the FHRADC staff have increased their knowledge on human rights and hate speech monitoring, and on outreach activities at the community level, as well as on the principles of independence and impartiality in line with the Paris Principles. ....	25 % of the FHRADC staff have received .....	50% .....	.....

1. 2. 2	Number of Police Force officers (m/f) have increased their knowledge on human rights standards and on management of cases applicable to law enforcement operations to comply with the due process guarantees.	Fiji Police Force has variable <div><div></div><div></div></div>	At least 60 police officers have <div><div></div><div></div></div>	30 police officers (16 female) in the <div><div></div><div></div></div>	106 officers increased their received training. <div><div></div><div></div></div>	Demand for the project's training as <div><div></div><div></div></div>
1. 2. 3	Indicator 1.2.3 Reforms supported to address national reconciliation efforts, regulation of freedom of speech by law, and OSC to ensure proper regulation in line with human rights	Legislation such as <div><div></div><div></div></div>	At least two laws and/or policies <div><div></div><div></div></div>	3 legal frameworks/ policies <div><div></div><div></div></div>	3 legal frameworks/ policies <div><div></div><div></div></div>	<div><div></div><div></div></div>
1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

### » Output 1.3: Inclusive dialogues supported to enable communities to articulate and discuss their priority concerns with policymakers

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	Number of community members and officials peacefully engaging in dialogues on sensitive issues (sex and age disaggregated)	Only ad hoc dialogues being supported by NGOs, but dialogue between people and officials is very limited, especially due to COVID-19	At least 30 community dialogues involving at least 1000 people (Including at least 50 officials, at least 200 women, 150 people under 30 years, and at least 50 PWDs represented.)	5 dialogues with youth, Faith Leaders and 3 dedicated Women only dialogues reached: (youth 170 youth from 15 Provinces with 60 being female; 75 Faith Leaders with 15 females; and 60 Women and young women)	12 Dialogues covering 5 provinces	
1. 3. 2	FWRM divisional forums produce dialogue outcomes report which is used to inform national and local advocacy and policy efforts	FWRM has previously held national dialogues which were used by NGOs and development partners to influence their own work with women and women's CSOs	At least 3 recommendations and actions taken	3 dialogues were completed		Outcome report with concrete recommendations

1. 3. 3	FWRM Young Feminist network produce dialogue outcomes report/s which are used to inform national and local advocacy and policy efforts	There is no current active Fiji wide feminist.....	At least 3 recommendation and actions taken as a result of dialogues and outcome report by government and other key stakeholders (this will be reported after completion of the project)	See above. FWRM has started dialogues with young women and young feminist activist towards to developing recommendation s/outcome document for advocacy engagements with government and other stakeholders		Outcome report with concrete recommendations.....
1. 3. 4						
1. 3. 5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4 .....	Output Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
1. 4. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 4. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 4. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 4. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 4. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 1.5:

1. 5 .....	Output Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
1. 5. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 5. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 5. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 5. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1. 5. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....



» Outcome 2:

O C 2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1						
2. 2						
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1:

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1						
2. 1. 2						
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2 .....	Output Indicators  Describe the indicator.....	Indicator Baseline  State the baseline value of the indicator.....	End of Project Indicator Target  State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project.....	Indicator progress for reporting period  State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period.....	Indicator progress since project's start  State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project.....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant.....
2. 2. 1 .....						
2. 2. 2 .....						
2. 2. 3 .....						
2. 2. 4 .....						
2. 2. 5 .....						

» Output 2.3:

2. 3 .....	Output Indicators  Describe the indicator.....	Indicator Baseline  State the baseline value of the indicator.....	End of Project Indicator Target  State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project.....	Indicator progress for reporting period  State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period.....	Indicator progress since project's start  State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project.....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant.....
2. 3. 1 .....						
2. 3. 2 .....						
2. 3. 3 .....						
2. 3. 4 .....						
2. 3. 5 .....						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4 .....	Output Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
2. 4. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
2. 4. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1:

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						



» Output 3.2:

3. 2 .....	Output Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
3. 2. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 2. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 2. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 2. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 2. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 3.3:

3. 3 .....	Output Indicators  Describe the indicator.....	Indicator Baseline  State the baseline value of the indicator.....	End of Project Indicator Target  State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project.....	Indicator progress for reporting period  State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period.....	Indicator progress since project's start  State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project.....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant.....
3. 3. 1 .....						
3. 3. 2 .....						
3. 3. 3 .....						
3. 3. 4 .....						
3. 3. 5 .....						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4 .....	Output Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
3. 4. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 4. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 4. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 4. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
3. 4. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						
4.4						
4.5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

12345more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2 .....	Output Indicators  <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline  <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target  <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period  <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start  <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)  <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
4. 2. 1 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 2. 2 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 2. 3 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 2. 4 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 2. 5 .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						



» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5. .....	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i> .....	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> .....	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> .....	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> .....	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> .....	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> .....
4. 5. 1.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 5. 2.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 5. 3.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 5. 4.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
4. 5. 5.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes.....*						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.) <b>If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)</b> .....					
Events.....	Event Description.....	Tentative Date.....	Location.....	Target Audience.....	Event Objectives (900 characters).....

<b>Event 1</b>	Consultation on the Review of Force Policy of the Fiji Police Force	23 June 2025	Lami	Law Enforcement Officers and senior management of Fiji Police Force	To ensure that there is effective participation and consultation on the Use of Force Policy and that the
<b>Event 2</b>	Human Rights training for Journalists	19 – 20 June 2025	Suva	Journalist and media houses	To discuss the role and impact of media on fostering social cohesion, gender equality and human rights in Fiji
<b>Event 3</b>	Dialogue on Insider Mediators Network and launch of National Council of Elders	26 June 2025	Suva	Senior statemen and women, faith leaders and leaders of civil society	Discuss and develop a road map for formalising the national Insider Mediators Network
<b>Event 4</b>	National Intergenerational Women's Dialogue	August 2025	Suva	Women participants from the dialogue workshops and other women peace advocates	This intergenerational dialogue will produce a strong outcome statement relating to women and sustainable peace that will be shared with policymakers.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant.*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Faith Leader from the Evangelical Fellowship of Fiji	Faith leaders' knowledge and capacity for dialogue enhanced and commitment to national social cohesion and reconciliation efforts reconfirmed.	"The Evangelical Fellowship of Fiji is grateful to the UN for the engagement. Our members now appreciate their role as social cohesion agents in fostering interfaith and community coexistence. We have also through engagement committed to work with and support the work of the TRC to ensure the country takes opportunity to heal and deal with its past and forge a future of unity and peaceful coexistence for all people of Fiji"
2	Youth Leader	Dedicated youth dialogue brought all youth networks and affiliates from all the 14 provinces plus Rotuman areas to discuss social cohesion and the role of the youth in fostering peaceful coexistence. A Peace Mural was signed and would be an engagement platform of spreading the youth message of peace across the country	"This is the first time youth across the country have been provided with a dedicated platform to discuss social

3	Women participants in FWRM-organized dialogue workshops	The women participants were grateful for the opportunity to work through difficult issues and past traumas, as well as to gain knowledge about peacebuilding tools. They were supported to make plans for building peace in their communities and motivated to return to their communities and organizations to share their new knowledge.	<p>“Women, women, women. We are the peacebuilders of Fiji” - woman participant from Fiji Disabled People's Federation.</p> <p>"There was a lot of information and there's a lot of things that we can take back home. A lot of things on peacebuilding. Dialogue is one thing that we need to start with. Probably just start from talking about it for now. This workshop has given us so much learning, there's so much to process, there's so much information and we look forward to more of this. For us, we will go back to our organisation and keep pushing. Keep pushing for women in leadership. Keep pushing for peace." - Women participant from the Western part of Viti Levu.</p>
---	---	--	---

4			
---	--	--	--

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

*Please select up to 3.*

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☒ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

the project supported development and consultations around the draft legislative framework for the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, Information Act, 2018, Code of Conduct Bill, 2025 and Accountability and Transparency Bill, 2025



Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

the project has provided capacity building to the Fiji Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Commission, Fiji Police Force, and the Young Feminist Network civil society in different topics including human rights, conflict prevention and mediation and negotiation]



Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

The project is partnering with the Fiji Women's Rights Movement and 5 other CSOs to deliver a series of women and young women focused



Who are we working with

\*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations [the project has partnered with civil social society, women and youth led organisations in implementing activities and intervention. These include Fiji Women's Rights Movement which is receiving funding under the project for women dialogues and leadership interventions and the National Youth Council which has partnered the project to bring youth networks across the country to engage in social cohesion and youth for peace engagements.]



## Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

### Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☒ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☒ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☒ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Monitoring of training and project events and activities

Produced Monitoring report

Captured participants observations and perception

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

There are three indicators under the project's outcome. Two out of the three indicators have a baseline. Indicator 1.1 is the extent



Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Consultant reports, government acknowledgement of support, Assessment reports, partner activity reports and project monitoring

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys \*

**Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)**

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

The project has launched the Social Cohesion and Reconciliation Index Assessment. The project through validation engagements ha

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? \*

**Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)**

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

The project administers pre and post perception surveys during dialogues and training evaluations forms

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? \*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: \*

*Response required*

20000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Contact  
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention  
the focal person  
responsible for  
sharing the final  
evaluation  
report with the  
PBF:

Raphael Asuliwonnu

UNDP

Project Manager

raphael.asuliwonnu@un

## » Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? \*

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. \*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: \*

- ☒ Some catalytic effect
- ☐ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

\*



## Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Sustainability: Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project (3000 characters):

Following the launch and inception workshop attended by a diverse range of stakeholders, including government representatives, civil society organizations, church groups, women's groups, and other key participants.

The project has maintained regular engagements with the Prime Minister's Office, to ensure that project activities and results continue to align with key government social cohesion priorities. This will help to ensure uptake of project results by key government entities thereby promoting sustainability of the project. The involvement of high-level government officials ensures that the project aligns with national priorities and secures long-term commitment.

The project is engaging with relevant government ministries with social cohesion mandates including the office of the Prime Minister, the Ministry of Multi-Ethnic Affairs and Ministry of iTaukei Affairs who have social cohesion mandates and interventions to ensure alignment and uptake of project results and institutionalization of social cohesion.

Project prioritises building capacities of partners believing that by enhancing their capacities this will translate to institutions strengthening and ensure that project impact have rippling effects on different levels of society.

Furthermore, the project benefits from strategic partnerships with organizations like UN Women and the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (UNCOHR), which can expand the scope and integrate the project's concepts into their existing and new programs. Key stakeholders, including peace institutions like the Human Rights Commission, Fiji Police, and the Fiji Military Force, emphasize that sustainable development cannot be achieved without peace. These institutions' involvement, along with the government's existing Truth and Reconciliation project, which aims to identify and address instability triggers, reinforces the project's sustainability. Over the initial two-year pilot phase, the project will continuously assess its findings and lessons learned, planning for further extension and broader implementation based on these insights. This iterative approach ensures that the project remains adaptive, resilient, and capable of achieving its long-term goals.

Through the lead agencies, discussions are being held with donors to explore funding interest and support. The project will organize joint visit to project activities for UN-Government and PBF Donors (with offices in Fiji) as part of visibility and resources mobilization drive

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

While the project was originally not meant to support the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC), there are increasing opportunities for direct engagement and support to TRC through technical support including in areas like dialogues, technical trainings and PSEA and the establishment of a gender unit/directorate to ensure that women engaging with or making submissions to the TRC are safeguarded.

A request for six months No Cost Extension for the project will be submitted together with this report. This will mean the project is proposed to run till 22 April 2026. However, existing funds can only support the Project Manager up to the end of July 2025. We would therefore like to request for additional funds to ensure the Project Manager can stay at least till the end of 2025.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Field visits	Monitored 4 dialogues, 1 training events through participant perception surveys and activity evaluations	Over 90% of participants found the dialogues very important and believe they will lead to change
Event 2	Heads of Project Implementing Agencies Meeting	Heads of Agencies Meeting	Ensured heads of Project Agencies are kept updated on project progress and prepared for Project Board Meeting.
Event 3	Monthly and adhoc project team meetings	The project team met regularly and sometimes more than twice a month to discuss emerging issues and plan interventions	Coherent project activities and implementation

Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velastegui@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velastegui@un.org)

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.