PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ⦿ Semi-annual
- ◯ Annual
- ◯ Final
- ◯ Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-06-14

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Mariama Jusu-Koiyia

E-mail of person submitting the report *

mariama.jusu-koiyia@unwomen.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Setcheme Mongbo- Head of Office UNWomen

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No
- ○ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ○ Yes   ◉ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ( ) Asia and the Pacific
- ( ) Central & Southern Africa
- ( ) East Africa
- ( ) Europe and Central Asia
- ( ) Global
- ( ) Latin America and the Caribean
- ( ) Middle East and North Africa
- (●) West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ( ) Benin
- ( ) Burkina Faso
- ( ) Cote D'Ivoire
- ( ) Gambia
- ( ) Ghana
- ( ) Guinea
- ( ) Guinea-Bissau
- ( ) Liberia
- ( ) Mali
- ( ) Mauritania
- ( ) Niger
- ( ) Nigeria
- ( ) Senegal
- (●) Sierra Leone
- ( ) Togo
- ( ) Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ( ) 00130053: Women4Water&Peace: Promoting civic spaces and empowering women to address water-related disputes and provide access to water in poor communities in Freetown
- ( ) 00132863: Promote the creation of an enabling environment for the conduct of peaceful elections and the strengthening of social cohesion in Sierra Leone
- ( ) 00134257: Empowering Youth Bike Riders as Sustainable Agents of Peace in Sierra Leone
- ( ) 00140111: Youth in Politics and Peacebuilding
- (●) 00140113: Localization and Working-Together for Peace: Repositioning Women-Focused CSOs for Sustainable Peace in Sierra Leone
- ( ) 00140663: Enhance strategic direction coordination and peacebuilding impact of PBF portfolio in Sierra Leone
- ( ) 00140935: 'Uman Land En Kol At' (Women's Land for Peace): Women Lead Transformative Pathways to Peace Through Natural Resources Management.
- ( ) Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific   ☐ Central & Southern Africa   ☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia   ☐ Global   ☐ Latin America and the Caribean

☐ Middle East and North Africa   ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

---

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-03-16

Project End Date *

2025-06-15

Has this project received an extension? *

◯ YES, Cost Extension

◉ YES, No Cost Extension

◯ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

◯ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

◯ YES, Cost Extension

◯ YES, No Cost Extension

◯ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

◉ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

◯ Yes

◉ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

○ January   ○ February   ○ March
○ April   ○ May   ○ June
○ July   ○ August   ○ September
○ October   ○ November   ○ December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

○ Yes
● No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

○ Yes
● No

If yes, please select which *

○ National Trust Fund
○ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

● UN entity
○ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

○ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ○ IOM: International Organization for Migration
○ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
○ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
● UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
○ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ○ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
○ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ○ WFP: World Food Programme
○ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
○ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
○ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ○ ILO: International Labour Organization
○ WHO: World Health Organization   ○ PAHO/WHO
○ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ○ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
○ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
○ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ○ ITC: International Trade Centre
○ UNDPO   ○ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

( ) No other recipients

( ) Yes, other UN recipients only

( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only

(●) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

[✓] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration

☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme

☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization

☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO

☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre

☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- [ ] BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [x] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

8

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ⦿ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ National women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

The 50/50 Group SL

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41082.96

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41082.96

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ⦿ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ National women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Women' Forum for Human right and Democracy (WOFHRAD)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

73000.83

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

48126.83

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

24874

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

360 participants (177 males, 183 females), engaged on a post-election evaluation session: This gave participants such a security sector, female aspirants, rural women, CSOs to be able to recount events during the elections, what went well, challenges and lessons learnt. This process enhanced participants' deeper understanding of the outcome of the 2023 elections. Lessons learnt are documented to serve as a valuable resource and adapting best practices for subsequent elections.

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◉ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ National women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

WANEP-SL

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

72321.74

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

52426.74

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

19895

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The activity contributed to building the capacity of 65 (24 males 41 females) One Stop Centers and Rainbow Initiative staff on counselling, psychosocial services and medical support to Sexual Gender Based Violence survivors. This intervention enhanced their skills in providing improved services to survivors of Gender based violence.

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ● National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ National women's and youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Media Matters for Women

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

29672.31

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

29672.31

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ National women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ⦿ Other

Other, Please specify

Inter Religious group

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Mission for Salone Inter Religious Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

24985.90

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

24985.90

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ● National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ National women's and youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Women in The Media Sierra Leone (WIMSAL

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

29924

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

29924

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ( ) National youth CSO
- (●) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) National women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

MARWOPNE

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

35000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

35000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

35000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Support to conduct training for 54 CSOs as peace ambassadors 31 women, 20 men, 3 disables at community in (Karene, Bombali,Kono, Pujehun, Western area Rural and Western area Urban) on promoting peace and building national cohesion

8

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- (●) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) National women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Women's Forum SL

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

144026

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

144026

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	989813.00 *	989813.00 *	962762 *	97.27%

………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
UNDP: United Nations Development t Programme ……………………	* 560787.00 ………………………	* 560787.00 ………………………	* 236304.7 ………………………	42.14% ………………………
………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
World Vision International al ……………………	* 449400.00 ………………………	* 449400.00 ………………………	* 449142.72 ………………………	99.94% ………………………
………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	* ………………………	% ………………………
………………………	* 560787.00 ………………………	* 560787.00 ………………………	* 236304.7 ………………………	% ………………………

	*	*	*	* %
	*	*	*	* %
TOTAL	**2000000**	**2000000**	**1648209.42**	**82.41%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **82.41%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

◉ Correct   ◯ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

87.83

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1756600**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

◉ Correct   ◯ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 1447622.33**. Is this correct? *

◉ Correct   ◯ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available <u>here</u>

DRAFT CONSOLIDATED PBF REPORT @14062025-19_18_20.xlsx 📥

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ○ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ⦿ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ○ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ⦿ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ○ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ○ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ○ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ○ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ○ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ⦿ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ○ (3.1) Employment
- ○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *
Select all that apply

- ☑ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

(●) Yes

(○) No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

3

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has actively engaged stakeholders at both national and local levels. At the national level. This engagement extends to local and community levels, following the government's decentralized approach.

During the project implementation period, the Ministry of Gender and Children's Affairs played a crucial role, serving as co-chair of the project board, represented by the Minister of Gender, and as a key member of the technical committee, represented by a senior staff member in the capacity of Director. The Ministry's strategic engagement was further demonstrated through their coordination with the female parliamentary caucus to facilitate bringing them together in one room to help bridge their political divides and enhanced their capacity and knowledge in policy frameworks that protect women and girls. further engagements were demonstrated in the launching of a mentorship and information hub by the Minister of Gender and Children Affairs herself and also lead the discussion on financing for women peace security.

The Ministry continues to provide strategic oversight in planning and implementation, offering technical guidance and support to coalition members, on the rolling out of the third-generation National Action Plan (SiLNAP III) under UNSCR 1325, along with other relevant normative frameworks such as Beijing 30+, CEDAW, and the GEWE Act. Additionally, the Ministry and its partners organized a dedicated side event titled "Localizing Implementation of UNSCR 1325 – The Sierra Leone Experience" during the CSW 69 commemoration in New York. This event showcased the strategic role civil society organizations played in promoting the Women, Peace, and Security (WPS) agenda. It also highlighted the unique experience of creating an enabling platform for locally focused women-led CSOs to lead and implement community-level initiatives while leveraging best practices within the sub-region. Enhancing the role of women-focused CSOs in building peace at the community

Further engagement with the justice and security sector institutions has led to the organization of seminars and conferences in partnership with the justice sector. These events have brought together legal practitioners to educate CSOs and community stakeholders on laws designed to protect women and girls. The project has also facilitated strategic engagements with the security sector, including the Office of National Security and the Sierra Leone Police, to protect women's rights and coordinate efforts to address violence and conflict-related issues affecting women in communities. Additionally, coalition members have worked to build bridges between the community and security sector to enhance awareness and collaboration.

Coalition members have engaged the Office of National Security, the Sierra Leone Police, and the Judiciary to influence national policies and strategies addressing electoral violence against women while also assessing gaps in policies and legislative frameworks. The recommendations from these engagements have been shared with the Human Rights Commission to inform electoral reviews and reforms in the country.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ◯ Not Started
- ◯ Initiated
- ◯ Partially Completed
- ⦿ Completed
- ◯ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ◯ Not Started
- ◯ Initiated
- ◯ Partially Completed
- ⦿ Completed
- ◯ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ◯ Not Started
- ◯ Initiated
- ◯ Partially Completed
- ⦿ Completed
- ◯ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ◯ Not Started
- ◯ Initiated
- ◯ Partially Completed
- ⦿ Completed
- ◯ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

All preliminary preparatory activities, including staff recruitment and partner contracting, were successfully completed in the preparatory stage of the project including due diligence being conducted on partners including identification of partners including mapping of CSO organizations and conducting a rapid assessment to complement the missing gaps in the baseline. As part of the project's implementation, the Women-Focused CSO Coalition was officially launched, with its secretariat fully operational across the six project districts. Executive and general meetings are held regularly at both national and district levels, in accordance with the Coalition's Terms of Reference and the Working Together Manual. The coalition continues to gain visibility and recognition across various platforms—local, district, and national—on issues related to women, peace, and security.
To further strengthen its impact, the coalition has undergone a series of capacity development initiatives, covering essential areas such as the Working Together Manual, conflict transformation, resource mobilization, group dynamics, advocacy, and communication. In addition, the project has supported a range of advocacy and capacity-building activities jointly implemented by coalition members at the district level to advance the Women, Peace, and Security (WPS) agenda.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

◉ Yes

◯ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

During the implementation period, the coalition expanded from 120 to 150 members, with increased engagement from civil society organizations across six districts. A total of 72 coordination meetings, both ad-hoc and online, were conducted across the six district secretariats which tangibles such as the development of a district workplan including joint advocacy coordination on emerging WPS issues have been addressed during those meetings.

Leveraging on training and capacity-building programs, the coalition continues to undertake various advocacy initiatives. In Pujehun, 1000 community stakeholders 600 women, 400 men have increased knowledge on the land right Act. Additionally, 300 women and 200 men were trained on the three Gender Acts which includes the Domestic Violence Act, the Devolution of Estates Act, and the Registration of Customary Marriage and Divorce Act.10 radio programs reached over 3,000 people in Pujehun and 1,000 women and girls in Karene in increasing knowledge on SGBV reporting and support services. In Kono, coalition members played a vital role in justice advocacy, supporting the correctional center for women in conflict with the law and facilitating the prosecution of three sexual penetration cases. Advocacy efforts resulted in policy shifts, notably the inclusion of women in local court juries in Kamabai.

The coalition facilitated a conflict prevention and mitigation workshop for 50 cattle herders and farmers in Karene, leading to the formation of a local conflict resolution committee. Furthermore, In Bombali, over 1,000 participants joined a peace march, raising awareness about drug use and domestic violence. In Bombali, 500 stakeholder 300 women and 200 men were engaged to popularize the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act 2024, while in Western Area Urban, public education sessions were held for market women across four communities, focusing on the GEWE Act and the Child Rights Act. The coalition invested significantly in institutional capacity-building, strengthening skills in conflict transformation, resource mobilization, advocacy, financial management, movement building, digital literacy, and mentorship. Training of Trainers (ToT) sessions enabled coalition members to roll out key policy frameworks, including SiLNAP III, the GEWE Act, the Land Rights Act, the three Gender Laws, and the Cyber Security Crime Act.

Expanding beyond local influence, coalition members participated nationally, regionally, and globally in peacebuilding efforts. They contributed to a side event at CSW 69, fostering global partnerships and knowledge exchange, A key milestone was the development of a National Strategy Document, empowering coalition members to amplify their collective voice, strengthen advocacy, and enhance decision-making. The establishment of an Information Hub further supported knowledge-sharing and collaboration among CSOs, improving network accessibility, real-time information exchange, and peacebuilding documentation. The coalition also launched a Mentorship Program, systematically linking members to seasoned CSOs and INGOs to support organizational capacity-building, leadership development, and systems strengthening. By fostering peer learning and mutual growth, the mentorship initiative is expected to reinforce coalition resilience beyond the project.

To support newly elected female leaders, the project facilitated inter- and intra-party cooperation, fostering dialogue and strategic collaboration. Through engagements with the female parliamentary caucus, members participated in interactive retreats and capacity-building focused on conflict prevention, gender mainstreaming, and policy advancement. These efforts culminated in the development and launch of the Female Parliamentary Caucus Strategic Plan, solidifying their collective advocacy and leadership capacity. Following the elections, a post-election evaluation engaged 360 participants (177 males, 183 females), including security sector representatives, female aspirants, rural women, and CSOs. The evaluation identified key areas for improvement, including the experiences of female candidates who contested but did not win elections, the capacity-building needs of elected female councillors in male-dominated constituencies, and strategies to sustain female leadership and promote new female aspirants.

The project significantly enhanced support services for Sexual and Gender-Based Violence (SGBV) survivors by strengthening 65 One Stop centres and Rainbow Initiative staff (24 males, 41 females). This intervention improved counselling, psychosocial support, and medical services for survivors, ensuring greater accessibility and quality of care at the community level. Coalition members in Pujehun, Karene, Kono, Bombali, and Western Area (Urban and Rural) actively engaged community stakeholders, leading to commitments for improved women's safety and participation in local decision-making structures. These advocacy efforts resulted in the establishment of Local Peace Committees (LOPEC) in six districts, comprising traditional leaders, religious representatives, youth advocates, security sector officials, and women leaders from the Women, Peace, and Security (WPS) coalition. To reinforce these commitments, community peace agreements were publicly displayed in the homes of traditional leaders who participated in peace dialogues.

Coalition members in Kono District successfully mediated an out-of-court settlement between market women and local authorities regarding a land dispute in Kensey community, Tankoro chiefdom, ensuring improved land

ownership and access for women. Similarly, in Rokulan village, Sanda Thendarin chiefdom, eliminating historical barriers that had previously restricted women's participation in cattle rearing and land acquisition. Women can now graze cattle and own property without restrictions, marking a critical shift toward gender equity.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

During the project implementation in the 2023 election, the project contributed towards increasing female representation in politics from 14.5% to 30.4% in Parliament and 19% to 34% in local councils during the 2023 general elections. During this period the project design, planning and implementation have ensured the equal participation of women, youth, and people with disabilities by applying age and gender considerations in all activities. 3,374,258 people were reached on peacebuilding messages through both traditional and electronic media, while 573 women trained in leadership and governance.

Further impact was witnessed with 83 youth peace ambassadors 50 young women and 33 young men trained in all 6 districts on conflict prevention mitigation and community engagement; this has help capacitate young people to advocate, resolve conflict, and create awareness in their communities while acting as change markers. In addition, Media dialogue forum, such as WhatsApp groups, were created by the young peace ambassadors to communicate among themselves on shared values, lessons learnt and best practice in engaging in open dialogue and the importance of peace and reconciliation. In addition, 31 women, 20 men, 3 persons with disabilities were specifically trained to become peace ambassadors in the six project implementing districts, this have enabled women, men including PWDs to be part of the local dispute resolution structures at their communities and demand for more inclusion into conflict mitigation processes.

CSOs involvement in the consultation, development and rolling out of the National Action Plan (NAP) third generation for the full implementation of the UNSCR 1325 has been a significant achievement, their effective participation has provided a platform for greater involvement of the Coalition and CSOs in general for their initiatives to be included in every pillar of the plan. This was also followed by 120 CSOs gaining knowledge on the new plan, who have been part of the Trainers in the popularization and rolling out of the NAP. This engagement is significant as most CSOs at community level were not familiar with the previous NAPs and lacked knowledge on it implementation process. The coalition members at community level are now working in developing a civil society monitoring tool in tracking all WPS programs undertaken in their various districts.

Furthermore, Local leader's commitment to provide women with access to land to construct a market in Koidu town signifies the strengthening of women's rights to own land, particularly in rural areas. In Kamabai, the project contributed to increasing women's participation in the jury by the chiefdom authority, to have women seat on jury and actively participate in local court proceedings along with men. This has enhanced women's inclusion in local dispute resolution structures.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

⦿ Yes

◯ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

⦿ Yes

◯ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Increased knowledge in peace messages During the implementation period, the project achieved significant peacebuilding outcomes at both local and national levels. A key accomplishment was reaching 281,064 people (141,000 Female and 140,064 Male) through Radio, Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, community markets, and public spaces with increased knowledge on peace messages which promote nonviolence communities and increased in national cohesion. Additionally, the coalition of women-focused CSOs expanded from 120 to 150 members, a testament to their active engagement and advocacy across various districts. In Bombali, Karene, Pujehun, Western Urban and Rural Areas, and Kono, 600 stakeholders gained knowledge on the Third Generation National Action Plan (NAP III), strengthening their ability to implement peacebuilding initiatives. Furthermore, 60 peace ambassadors were identified and trained to lead dialogue and conflict resolution efforts in six project districts, further reinforcing local stability.

Coalition members in Pujehun played a pivotal role in coordinating and supporting the reunification of 102 survivors of sexual abuse, demonstrating the project's commitment to restoring dignity and justice for affected individuals. In Bombali, 1,000 community leaders participated in a peace march advocating against drug use and other harmful substances, particularly highlighting the impact on women. Additionally, the Women-Focused CSO Coalition conducted joint advocacy and campaigns to address potential triggers of violence in their districts. These district-level interventions promoted non-violent conflict resolution, fostering peaceful coexistence and social cohesion.

Strengthening legislative literacy and gender-responsive governance Coalition members have also played a critical role in legislative awareness, raising public understanding of pending bills in parliament such as the Child Rights Act and Safe Motherhood Act. They have actively popularized and domesticized key policies, including NAP III, the GEWE Act, the Land Rights Act, the Early Child Marriage Bill, and the Cybersecurity and Security Act, contributing to countering misinformation and preventing tensions within communities. The project significantly contributed to increasing female political representation, raising the percentage of women in Parliament from 14.5% to 30.4% and in local councils from 19% to 34% during the 2023 general elections. This advancement amplified the voices of women, creating new opportunities for leadership and policy influence.

Following the elections, further efforts focused on supporting female politicians and leaders. The Female Parliamentary Caucus benefited from inter- and intra-party cooperation and dialogue, fostering greater gender equality and women's empowerment in legislative processes. These engagements were conducted in an inclusive manner, free from political biases, and focused on advancing women's rights. As a result, there has been a positive shift in societal perceptions towards women's leadership, increasing women's participation in governance. Successful female candidates are now serving as role models, inspiring other women across districts to aspire for political leadership roles.
Strengthening peace and cohesion through high-level stakeholder engagements: The District CSO Coalition organized high-level stakeholder engagements with peace institutions, community peace promoters, and government officials, further promoting peace and cohesion at the district level. Coalition members are now collaborating with CSO peace groups and working closely with the Peace Commission at the local level. Similarly, at national and regional levels, the coalition has contributed to regional discussions on the Women, Youth, Peace, and Security agenda, where local CSO groups have been incorporated as members, further amplifying their influence on policy and peacebuilding strategies. Through strategic advocacy, coalition-building, and governance initiatives, the project continues to foster sustainable peace, promote women's political leadership, and drive inclusive governance in Sierra Leone and beyond.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Peace building result link-17_0_1.docx

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.	*

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: **Women-focused CSOs Coalition are coordinated and have the requisite capacity to promote and sustain peacebuilding and women participation in Sierra Leone**	*
Outcome 2: **Coalition of Women-focused CSOs initiate and lead conflict prevention, mitigation and promote women's participation before, during and after the 2023 elections**	*
Outcome 3:	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes *If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Women-focused CSOs Coalition are coordinated and have the requisite capacity to promote and sustain peacebuilding and women participation in Sierra Leone

OC 1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Outcome Indicator 1a: Collaboration and communication among women-focused civil society organization	59%	99%	0%	72.2%	
1.2	Outcome Indicator 1b: Increase in the number of actions taken by Coalition members (Disaggregated by National and District Level)	National - 0 District - 0	National - 5 District - 15	National - 4 District - 18	National - 5 District - 47	
1.3						
1.4						
1.5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

      1   2   3   4   5 | more than 5. |

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Output Indicator 1.1.1: Number of profiles developed

Output 1.2

Output Indicator 1.1.2: Number of functional coalitions groups established at national and district levels

Output 1.3

Output Indicator 1.1.3: Quality of the working together tool kit developed and disseminated (Rating 1 – 10)

Output 1.4

Output Indicator 1.1.4: Number of meetings conducted at national and district level by the CSO coalition

Output 1.5

Output Indicator 1.1.5: Number of women-focused CSO members trained on monitoring and advocacy techniques (disaggregated by sex, age, disability)

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

Output Indicator 1.1.6: Number of information hub setup National – 0 District - 0 National – 2 District - 6 National – 1 District – 0 National – 4 District - 7 Web-based information management system is in progress. Output Indicator 1.1.7: Number of coalitions CSO members trained in tracking, monitoring and advocating for SILNAP financing (disaggregated by sex, age, disability) Women - 0 Men – 0 Disabled - 0 Age (18 – 35) - 0 Age (36 Above) - 0 Women - 100 Men – 20 Disabled - 2 Age (18 – 35) - 80 Age (36 Above) - 40 Women - 89 Men – 41 Disabled - 3 Age (18 – 35) - 88 Age (36 Above) - 42 Women - 89 Men – 41 Disabled - 3 Age (18 – 35) - 88 Age (36 Above) – 42 Output Indicator 1.1.8: Number of women-focused CSOs actively advocating for SILNAP financing (disaggregated by National and Sub-national) National – 0 Sub-national - 0 National – 60 Sub-national - 60 National – 32 Sub-national - 55 National – 32 Sub-national - 55

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Output Indicator 1.1.1: Number of profiles developed

1.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**Output Indicator 1.1.1: Number of profiles developed**	**0**	**218**	**0**	**218**	
1.1.2	**Output Indicator 1.1.2: Number of functional coalitions groups established at national and district levels**	**National - 0 District - 0**	**National - 1 District - 6**		**National - 1 District - 6**	
1.1.3	**Output Indicator 1.1.3: Quality of the working together tool kit developed and disseminated (Rating 1 – 10)**	**0**	**Satisfactory**	**Rating not applicable**	**7 (moderately satisfactory**	
1.1.4	**Output Indicator 1.1.4: Number of meetings conducted at national and district level by the CSO coalition**	**National – 0 District - 0**	**National – 1 District - 12**	**National – 6 District - 32**	**National – 10 District - 72**	**Source: CSO coalition database**

| 1.1.5 | Output Indicator 1.1.5: Number of women-focused CSO members trained on monitoring and advocacy techniques (disaggregated by sex, age, disability) | Women - 0 Men – 0 Disabled - 0 Age (18 – 35) - 0 Age (36 Above) - 0 | Women - 100 Men – 20 Disabled - 0 Age (18 – 35) - Age (36 Above) - 0 | Women - 76 Men – 56 Disabled - 1 Age (18 – 35) - 89 Age (36 Above) - 43 | Women - 81 Men – 63 Disabled - 1 Age (18 – 35) - 91 Age (36 Above) - 53 | .... |

» Output 1.2: Output Indicator 1.1.2: Number of functional coalitions groups established at national and district levels

1.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Output Indicator 1.1.8: Number of women-focused CSOs actively advocating for SILNAP financing (disaggregated by National and Sub-national) National – 0 Sub-national - 0 National – 60 Sub-national - 60 National – 32 Sub-national - 55 National – 32 Sub-national - 55**	**Women - 0 Men - 0 Disabled - 0 Age 18 to 35 - 0 Age 36 & above - 0**	**Women - 100 Men - 20 Disabled - 2 Age 18 to 35 - 80 Age 36 & above - 40**	**No progress at this reporting period**	**Women - 77 Men - 43 Disabled - 3 Age 18 to 35 - 66 Age 36 & above - 54**	**Achieved - Source: Program Report, output tracker**
1.2.2	**Output Indicator 1.2.2: Number of coalition members trained as TOT on relevant normative frameworks; UN Resolution 1325, Beijing Declaration, Platform for Action and CEDAW (disaggregated by age, sex, disability)**	**Women - 0 Men - 0 Disabled - 0 Age 18 to 35 - 0 Age 36 & above - 0**	**Women - 90 Men - 30 Disabled - 2 Age 18 to 35 - 80 Age 36 & above - 40**	**No progress at this reporting period**	**Women - 73 Men - 56 Disabled - 2 Age 18 to 35 - 43 Age 36 & above - 86**	**Source: Program Report, output tracker**

1.2.3	**Output Indicator 1.2.3: Number of coalition members trained on CEDAW and VAW referral (disaggregated by age, sex, disability)**	**Women - 0** **Men - 0** **Disabled - 0** **Age 18 to 35 - 0** **Age 36 & above - 0**	**Women - 90** **Men - 30** **Disabled - 2** **Age 18 to 35 - 80** **Age 36 & above - 40**	**Women - 96** **Men - 43** **Disabled - 2** **Age 18 to 35 - 104** **Age 36 & above - 35**	**Women - 96** **Men - 43** **Disabled - 2** **Age 18 to 35 - 104** **Age 36 & above - 35**	**Source: Program Report, output tracker**
1.2.4	**Output Indicator 1.2.4: Number of coalition members who benefit from advocacy and use of ICT training (disaggregated by sex, age, disability, level of education)**	**Women - 0** **Men - 0** **Disabled - 0** **Age 18 to 35 - 0** **Age 36 & above - 0**	**Women - 90** **Men - 30** **Disabled - 2** **Age 18 to 35 - 80** **Age 36 & above - 40**	**Women - 110** **Men - 151** **Disabled - 5** **Age 18 to 35 - 132** **Age 36 & above - 129**	**Women - 116** **Men - 155** **Disabled - 5** **Age 18 to 35 - 134** **Age 36 & above - 137**	
1.2.5	**Output Indicator 1.2.5: Number of CSOs coalition members who benefit from the mentorship and coaching program**	**Women - 0** **Men - 0** **Disabled - 0** **Age 18 to 35 - 0** **Age 36 above - 0**	**Women - 90** **Men - 30** **Disabled - 2** **Age 18 to 35 - 50** **Age 36 above - 70**	**No progress at this reporting period**	**Women - 82** **Men - 38** **Disabled - 2** **Age 18 to 35 - 51** **Age 36 & above - 69**	**Source: Program Report, output tracker**

» Output 1.3: Output Indicator 1.1.3: Quality of the working together tool kit developed and disseminated (Rating 1 – 10)

1.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**Output Indicator 1.3.1: Number of proposals and concepts on VAWE and WPS written and submitted to prospective donors by CSOs in the Coalition**	0	5	Kono – 4	Kono – 4 Karene - 1	
1.3.2	**Output Indicator 1.3.2: Number of knowledge product generated by partners and coalition members**	0	20	4	31	**Source: Program report**
1.3.3	**Output Indicator 1.3.3: Number of women-focused CSOs linked to donors that fund VAWE/P and WPS initiatives**	0	60	35	35	**Output tracker**

1.3.4	Output Indicator 1.3.4: Quality / Number of monitoring mechanisms set up to monitor meaningful female representation	0	3	1	3	
1.3.5	Output Indicator 1.3.5: Number of women-focused CSO trained on financial literacy skills	Women - 0 Men - 0 Disabled - 0 Age (18 to 35) - 0 Age (36 above) - 0	Women - 80 Men - 40 Disabled - 2 Age (18 to 35) - 65 Age (36 above) - 55	No progress at this reporting period	Women -158 Men - 80 Disabled - 3 Age (18 to 35) - 110 Age (36 above) - 128	Source: Program report

» Output 1.4: Output Indicator 1.1.4: Number of meetings conducted at national and district level by the CSO coalition

1.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5: Output Indicator 1.1.5: Number of women-focused CSO members trained on monitoring and advocacy techniques (disaggregated by sex, age, disability)

1.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
1.5.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
1.5.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 2: Coalition of Women-focused CSOs initiate and lead conflict prevention, mitigation and promote women's participation before, during and after the 2023 elections

OC2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Outcome Indicator 2a: Number of joint actions taken by women-focused CSOs coalition to prevent conflict and promote meaningful participation of women (Disaggregated by National and District level actions)	National – 0 District - 0	National – 5 District - 30	National – 3 District - 6	National – 4 District - 35	
2.2	Outcome Indicator 2b: Proportion of seats in parliament and local councils held by women	Female Parliamentarian – 14.5% Female Local Council – 19%	Female Parliamentarian - 30% Female Local Council - 30%	No progress at this reporting period	Female Parliamentarian – 30.4% Female Local Council - 34%	
2.3	Outcome Indicator 2b: Proportion of seats in parliament and local councils held by women	0	100	No progress during this reporting period	101	

2.4	Outcome Indicator 2d: Number of women-focused CSOs participating in policy dialogue processes that seek to increase women participation and representation in decision making at local and national level	National – 0 District - 0	National – 10 District - 50	National – 1 District - 5	National – 10 District - 51	
2.5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5 | more than 5. |

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output Indicator 2.1.1: Number of people reached through mass sensitization using community and social media platforms, sign a media pack with media houses, bloggers, and influencers with messages on peaceful electioneering (disaggregated by sex) before, during and after

Output 2.2

Output Indicator 2.1.2: Number of joint advocacies undertaken by the project to promote meaningful participation.

Output 2.3

Output Indicator 2.1.3: Number of engagement forums organized by CSO coalitions to discuss women participation in election processes

Output 2.4

Output Indicator 2.2.1: Number of female candidates supported by the project to develop their manifestos and carry out effective campaigns at national and district level (disaggregated by type, age, disability)

Output 2.5

Output Indicator 2.2.2: Number of female candidates & aspirants trained and mentored at the national and local level

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

Output Indicator 2.2.6: Number of women trained in the use of media equipment Age (18 to 35) - 0 Age (36 above) - 0 Disabled - 0 Age (18 to 35) - 80 Age (36 above) - 40 Disabled - 2 No progress during this reporting period Age (18 to 35) - 98 Age (36 above) - 62 Disabled - 3 Output Indicator 2.2.7 Number of engagement meetings held to promote peaceful coexistence (Disaggregated by level) National - 0 District - 0 National - 5 District - 10 National - 1 District - 6 National - 8 District - 32 Output Indicator 2.2.8: Number of newly elected (successful) women candidates supported to settle into office through training and mentorship Parliamentarians – 0 Councilors- 0 Parliamentarians – 16 Councilors- 68 No progress during this reporting period Parliamentarians – 42 Councilors- 128 Source: Partner reports

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: Output Indicator 2.1.1: Number of people reached through mass sensitization using community and social media platforms, sign a media pack with media houses, bloggers, and influencers with messages on peaceful electioneering (disaggregated by sex) before, during and after

2.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1	**Output Indicator 2.1.1: Number of people reached through mass sensitization using community and social media platforms, sign a media pack with media houses, bloggers, and influencers with messages on peaceful electioneering (disaggregated by sex) before, during and after**	**Women - 0 Men - 0 Disabled - 0 Age 18 to 35 - 0 Age 36 above - 0**	**Women - 73500 Men - 35000 Disabled - 10 Age 18 to 35 - 65100 Age 36 above - 43400**	**Women – 49,000 Men – 21,000 Disabled - 17 Age 18 to 35 – 44,100 Age 36 above -25900**	**Women – 141,000 Men – 140,064 Disabled - 67 Age 18 to 35 – 166,423 Age 36 above – 114,641**	**Source: count form media transcript and partner reports, media links, videos**
2.1.2	**Output Indicator 2.1.2: Number of joint advocacies undertaken by the project to promote meaningful participation.**	**National - 0 Subnational - 0**	**National - 5 Subnational - 15**	**National - 1 Subnational - 6**	**National - 2 Subnational - 13**	**Source: program report**

2.1.3	Output Indicator 2.1.3: Number of engagement forums organized by CSO coalitions to discuss women participation in election processes	National - 0 Sub-national - 0	National - 10 Sub-national - 10	National - 1 Sub-national - 6	National - 8 Sub-national - 32	Source: CSO coalition database
2.1.4						
2.1.5						

» Output 2.2: Output Indicator 2.1.2: Number of joint advocacies undertaken by the project to promote meaningful participation.

2.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**Output Indicator 2.2.1: Number of female candidates supported by the project to develop their manifestos and carry out effective campaigns at national and district level (disaggregated by type, age, disability)**	**Parliamentarian - 0** **Local council - 0** **18 – 35 - 0** **36 – above - 0** **Disabled - 0**	**Parliamentarian - 20** **Local council - 30** **18 – 35 - 14** **36 – above - 36** **Disabled - 2**	**No progress during this reporting period**	**Parliamentarian - 98** **Local council - 475** **18 – 35 - 38** **36 – above - 535** **Disabled - 3**	**Achieved**
2.2.2	**Output Indicator 2.2.2: Number of female candidates & aspirants trained and mentored at the national and local level**	**Parliamentarian - 0** **Local council - 0** **18 – 35 - 0** **36 – above - 0** **Disabled - 0**	**Parliamentarian - 20** **Local council - 30** **18 – 35 - 14** **36 – above - 36** **Disabled - 2**	**No progress during this reporting period**	**Parliamentarian - 98** **Local council - 475** **18 – 35 - 38** **36 – above - 535** **Disabled - 3**	

2.2.3	Output Indicator 2.2.3: Number of people reached through wider awareness and Civic education materials to promote women's participation as voters and peaceful electioneering (disaggregated by sex)	Women – 0 Men - 0	Women – 7,350 Men - 350	No progress during this reporting period	Women – 96,795 Men – 124,342	....
2.2.4	Output Indicator 2.2.4: Number of opinion leaders, peace ambassadors, mothers' groups, youth leaders mobilized by the project to sensitize citizens on peaceful coexistence before, during and after the elections. (disaggregated by sex, Disabled)	Women - 0 Men - 0 Disabled - 0 Age (18 to 35) - 0 Age (36 above) - 0	Women – 50 Men - 50 Disabled - 2 Age (18 to 35) - 58 Age (36 above) - 32	Women - 359 Men - 231 Disabled - 3 Age (18 - 35) - 590 Age (36 above) - 0	Women – 1,398 Men - 862 Disabled - 20 Age (18 - 35) - 1533 Age (36 above) - 727	Source: Partner reports, social media links, videos.
2.2.5	Output Indicator 2.2.5: Number of elections related violence cases reported before, during and after the elections (disaggregated by cases)	0	100	No progress during this reporting period	162	

» Output 2.3: Output Indicator 2.1.3: Number of engagement forums organized by CSO coalitions to discuss women participation in election processes

2.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1	**Output Indicator 2.3.1: Number of One Stop center service providers equipped with skills and knowledge on how to provide counselling, psychosocial and medical support to victims of abuse**	0	6	6	6	
2.3.2	**Output Indicator 2.3.2: Number of actions undertaken to address post-election violence and peace building**	0	10	4	11	**Source: partner report**

2.3.3	Output Indicator 2.3.3: Number of aggrieved parties supported by coalition members to seek redress and peaceful cohesion through legal processes and alternative conflict resolution mechanisms	0	5	No progress during this reporting period	6	
2.3.4	Output Indicator 2.3.4: Number of actions taken to support women candidates to address their petition election cases	0	10	No progress during this reporting period	12	
2.3.5	Output Indicator 2.3.5: Number of actions taken to promote reconciliation and linkages to alternative dispute resolution mechanism	0	10	5	21	Source: partner report

» Output 2.4: Output Indicator 2.2.1: Number of female candidates supported by the project to develop their manifestos and carry out effective campaigns at national and district level (disaggregated by type, age, disability)

2.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						
2.4.4						
2.4.5						

» Output 2.5: Output Indicator 2.2.2: Number of female candidates & aspirants trained and mentored at the national and local level

2.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3:

OC 3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) ....
3. 1	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 2	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 3	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

      1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

....

Output 3.1

....

Output 3.2

....

Output 3.3

....

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 3.1:

3.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» Output 3.2:

3.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						
3.2.4						
3.2.5						

» Output 3.3:

3.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						
3.3.4						
3.3.5						

» Output 3.4:

3.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
3. 4. 1	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4. 2	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4. 3	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 3.5:

3.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
3.5.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.5.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.5.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.5.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.5.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 4:

OC 4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) ....
4. 1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

     1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4
....

Output 4.1
....

Output 4.2
....

Output 4.3
....

Output 4.4

....

Output 4.5

....

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

....

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 4.1:

4.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
4. 1. 1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 1. 2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 1. 3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 1. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 1. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 4.2:

4.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						
4.2.4						
4.2.5						

» Output 4.3:

4.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						
4.3.4						
4.3.5						

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						
4.4.4						
4.4.5						

» Output 4.5:

4.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
4.5.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

....

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)
If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

....

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1					
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

....

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	Community Member/Beneficiary: Mabinty Susan Conteh - a 29-year-old voter from Bombali District.	Mabinty felt anger and frustration following the 2023 election results, believing they were manipulated. This sentiment was common among opposition voters, leading to heightened tensions. The PBF Localization Project introduced the Peace Ambassador model, training 60 young people to promote peace and social cohesion. Mabinty was influenced by peace ambassador Evelyn-Peggy Simbo, who engaged the community with messages of peace, helping to defuse tensions and foster unity.	Seeing her courage and persistence, I admired her resilience and quest for peace and joined her in calming other aggrieved voters like myself." Mabinty Susan Conteh
2	Political Leader/Beneficiary: Honourable Zainab Tarawally	During the 2023 elections, Hon. Catherine faced political tensions, including conflicts with rivals and even her own sister from an opposing party. These divisions threatened community cohesion and effective governance. The PBF Localization Project provided Catherine with training that enhanced her skills in dialogue and conflict resolution. She utilized these skills to heal divisions within her community and became a stronger advocate for landmark legislation, including the Prohibition of Early Marriage Act (2024) and the implementation of the GEWE Act (2022).	"The training helped me contribute to healing divisions and fostering peace in my community before, during, and after the announcement of the 2023 elections." Honourable Zainab Tarawally

| 3 | WOFHRAD - CSO Coalition Representative | Local NGOs and CSOs focused on women's rights and peacebuilding lacked coordination and resources, limiting their impact on policy advocacy and community engagement.

The PBF Localization Project supported the formation of the CSO Coalition for Women, Peace and Security, uniting 150 local organizations across six districts. The Coalition has become a powerful advocate for women's political inclusion, legal protection against GBV, and community engagement in peace efforts. | "This Coalition is a game-changer, providing a unified platform for women's organizations to drive sustainable peace and gender equality in Sierra Leone." |
| 4 | | | |

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Women-focused CSOs struggled with limited resources, lack of advocacy tools, and minimal influence in policy-making processes, hindering their ability to effectively promote gender equality and peacebuilding. The development of an advocacy toolkit tailored for women-focused CSOs enhanced their capacity to engage in policy dialogues, advocate for gender-responsive policies, and implement community-based peace initiatives. The toolkit provided practical guidance on advocacy strategies, policy analysis, and community mobilization. "For far too long, we've faced immense challenges in making our voices heard due to limited resources and tools. This toolkit is a game-changer, providing a comprehensive guide to finding lasting solutions through strategic collaboration with key stakeholders."Aminata Kamara, Kono Civil Society Advocacy Group.

Additionally, political parties and regulatory bodies lacked strong gender-sensitive policies and faced challenges in promoting women's participation in leadership roles. The project facilitated training for women leaders of political parties, enhancing their leadership and negotiation skills. It also supported the development of gender-sensitive policies within political parties and regulatory bodies, promoting inclusivity and equal representation. The training strategically equipped participants with requisite skills to enhance their leadership and participation in the electoral process such as training in democratic governance, and leadership.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Human Impact Links-18_17_40.docx

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL
Newsletter: https://africa.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2025/05/newsletter-localizing-and-working-together-for-peace

Link 2
OPTIONAL
PBF You Tube video: https://youtu.be/XdCeHSUhVCI

Link 3
OPTIONAL
PBF Global Web story: https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/100-women-win-seats-parliament-and-local-councils-among-other-peacebuilding-achievements

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *
Please select up to 3.

- ☑ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☑ Strengthened capacities
- ☑ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☑ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

[The PBF Localization Project in Sierra Leone enhanced digitization by launching a dedicated digital hub (https://wpsasl.org), an online repository of knowledge, tools, and resources that documents the project's history, civil society coalition activities, and broader peacebuilding efforts, thereby improving access to information and fostering learning, collaboration, and visibility across stakeholders.]

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project invested a lot in capacity building involving the 150 coalition members, this includes the development of training manuals such as the working together manual -which was developed to strengthen the movement building of members in areas of conflict management, group dynamics, communication etc. Furthermore, coalition members capacities were built in conflict transformation, resource mobilization, advocacy and communication which an advocacy tool kit was developed to support advocacy efforts of women focused CSO Groups, towards enhancing gender sensitive and responsive peacebuilding in Sierra Leone both at local and community level. Additionally, a strategy for women, peace security and political participation was developed which proposed and identified ways that addresses the systemic challenges that hinders women's peace, security, and participation in politics. Moreover, CSOs capacities were further strengthened in financial literacy and fund diversification, grant writing and co-creation, polices and frameworks etc. that contributed to enhancing the skills and capabilities of CSO members in ensuring they have the necessary technical, institutional, and managerial capacity to function and deliver.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

[The project has been engaging with traditional leaders at community level including (Mamie Queens, Chiefs, youth leaders, etc) in providing awareness and advocacy through local/grassroot civil society organizations at community level especially women focused. This engagement by locally based CSOs led to the selection of a female town chief in Pujehun district, Gbanga chiefdom and the inclusion of 2 female jurors in Karene district local court.

Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will [The project supported and partnered with local grassroot civil society organization of which led to the formation of 120 local civil society coalition that has now expanded to 150 in 6 districts, the location project have for the first time supported a movement that have established a women peace security secretariat in all 6 districts with the coalition members selecting a host organization as part of a sustainability approach that can help coordinate all advocacy plans for the group while acting as pressure group within the districts to support and advocate for the inclusion of women in all leaderships positions both at community, local, and national level]

Who are we working with   *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☑ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☐ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has been effectively coordinating with UN entity (UNDP) as a co-recipient partner, this have increased and build strong partnership in promoting gender equality and women's empowerment while promoting the WPS agenda.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☑ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

High-level field visit: The United Nations Resident Coordinator of Sierra Leone (UNRC), who is the chair of the project board visited Pujehun district, where she assessed and witnessed firsthand progress, testimonies and impact of the project on the ground. The RCs engagement CSO, community leaders, and local authorities promoted inter-agency collaboration, harmonization and reinforcement of local ownership, sustainability, and gender inclusion in peacebuilding and as well reaffirmed the UN's commitment to inclusive peacebuilding and grassroots leadership.

Rapid Assessment: Joint rapid assessment in the 6 project locations to collect progress data to report on the result indicators, address critical data gaps, assess the situation of women-focused CSOs and how PBF interventions contributed to the results. The assessment highlighted key findings including 72.2% of the CSOs reported improved collaboration among CSOs. The CSOs jointly organised advocacy sessions to promote women's participation in peace building and national cohesion. 8 joint advocacies were organised by the CSOs at district and national level.

Field Monitoring visit: UNDP and CSOs conducted a monitoring field visit to gain insights into the key elements of the work halfway through implementation. The visit provided valuable information regarding the project's achievements, challenges, and areas for improvement, including identification of strengths and weaknesses in project implementation, recommendations for enhancing project effectiveness and assessment of progress against project indicators. Key findings for women representation at local level including women holding positions of Town Chiefs in both Pujehun, Kono, and Bombali Districts, women now sit on the jury committee in the local courts in Bombali and Kono, and enhanced support from stakeholders for women's rights and empowerment initiatives.

Outcome harvesting: Using UNW outcome harvesting approach, the project communication unit collected most significant change stories. Key impact areas investigated were 1) results of the increased capacity of partners on resource mobilization 2) significant change stories for the successful female parliamentarians and councillors 3) success of the wider engagement on peaceful electioneering process 4) WPS coalition.

Partner Financial Monitoring: World Vision finance unit conducted a finance monitoring using agency-level financial monitoring checklist/indicators assessing the cost effectiveness by comparing activities implemented versus cost expended. Some of the key findings were: 1) Inaccurate payment voucher and attendance sheets not capturing the required finance fields 2) The need to strengthen documentations of activity implementation with unit coding structure and align with outputs.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Outcome 1 has two measurable indicators to track the performance of the project as per the outcome area; - Outcome Indicator 1a (% Increase in the level of collaboration and communication among women- focused civil society organization) – According to the Mapping exercise, 59% of CSOs who reported participating in a women groups and networks were satisfied with the level of collaboration and communication among the CSOs which was the baseline figure for this indicator. - Outcome Indicator 1b (Increased in the number of actions taken by Coalition members) - The existing networks reported during the mapping exercise haven't implemented any action point that promotes women peace and security in SL showing 0% baseline. While Outcome 2 has four indicators, each having established baseline figures; - Outcome Indicator 2a (Number of joint actions taken by women-focused CSOs coalition to prevent conflict and promote meaningful participation of women) - The WPS CSO coalition is the first ever coalition to promote women peace and security agenda in SL. Therefore, the baseline figure was 0. - Outcome Indicator 2b: Proportion of seats in parliament and local councils held by women) 14.5% female elected as parliamentarians and 19% as councillors during the 2018 general elections as baseline for this indicator. - Outcome Indicator 2c (Number of cases of violence against girls and women reported during the elections cycle) – Data sourced from ECSL report on the 2018 general elections as baseline. Eminent women peace call centre report (women situation room) was used to report on the progress. - Outcome Indicator 2d: (Number of women-focused CSOs participating in policy dialogue processes that seek to increase women participation and representation in decision making at local and national level) – This indicator has a direct contribution from the CSO coalition. Therefore, the baseline figure was 0.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The following sources have been used to report on progress as against the indicators: 1. Results Management Framework: The project's RF includes result statements, customized and standard indicators, a data collection plan, and means of verifications to assess the project's performance. The project team regularly collects and analyses data and updates the RF. 2. Output Trackers: The output tracker is a standard progress tracking tool used by the project to collect output data (disaggregated direct reach data) per output indicator. 3. Program and partner reports: As per UN compliance, the programme team compiles activity reports for each activities implemented, documenting activity progress as against the activity objectives. These reports were used to report on majority of the output indicators and contribute to the narrative report. The partners as well as the national and district WPS CSO coalition chapters submit progress reports which form part of the donor reporting 4. WPS coalition Monitoring tool/data base: Regular tracking of joint actions implemented by the CSO coalition, meetings and engagements conducted and assess the level of participation in policy dialogues that promote WPS agenda in Sierra Leone. The tool provides progress data for outcome Indicator 1b, 2a, 2d, Output Indicator 1.1.4, 2.1.3. 5. Monitoring reports: Assessment conducted and analysis on data for key indicators especially outcome level to report on progress achieved. 6. Social Media Platforms: using transcripts, media, media links, and videos as evidence for advocacy activities.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information *link*

◉ Yes

◯ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

YES, Rapid Assessment was a monitoring initiative jointly conducted by UN Women, UNDP & WV in the 6 project locations to collect progress data for the result indicators, address critical data gaps for reporting, assess the situation of women-focused CSOs and how the PBF programme has contributed. The assessment highlighted key findings including; 72.2% of CSOs reported an improved collaboration among CSOs which has contributed to the effectiveness of the CSOs in promoting women's peace and security agenda. CSOs now jointly organise advocacy initiatives to promote WPS agenda in Sierra Leone.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. link

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project's implementing partners and some of the CSO coalition members have functional community feedback mechanisms including the use of office-line, suggestion boxes and conducting regular focus group discussions to report on issues of GBV, Women's access to resources and general project management feedback.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No
- ◯ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

50000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No
- ◯ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project's endline evaluation is on-track. International and national consultants were recruited through inclusive, transparent and competitive process to independently commission the project evaluation to assess the results of the project interventions and, to the extent, the PBF support has been effective in contributing to women's leadership and participation in peace building in Sierra Leone in line with UNPBF Evaluation guidance, UN Women evaluation policy and UNEG ethical standards and code of conduct. Following this, UN women held a successful inception meeting with the project stakeholders including ERG members, evaluability assessment /inception report produced, reviewed and validated by the Evaluation Management Group and Evaluation Reference Group members including the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Secretariat. Data collection is currently in progress as per the evaluation TOR.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Abdul Conteh	UN Women	Monitoring and Evaluation Officer	abdul.conteh@unwomen.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

○ Yes

◉ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

◉ Yes

○ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

○ Some catalytic effect

◉ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Most of the non-financial catalytic effects have already been measured in the peace building results.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

This project's overall objective is to sustain peace building impact at communities, local and national; level which can be categorised into the following: sustainability of capacity of local CSOs and women's groups, and stakeholders in Women Peace and Security, Institutional capacity sustainability, Peace building platforms and networks, and economic innovative initiatives in sustaining peace beyond the project. In the area of sustainability through CSO and community stakeholders, CSOs coalition and local stakeholders, authorities, and community members have been integral to the design and implementation of the project, ensuring ownership and continuation of the activities in the long term.

Further sustainability is ensured through building strong networks and creating peer support systems that will maintain collaboration and mutual support beyond the life of this project. The launching of the mentorship program has provided a system of fostering peer to peer learning, mutual growth and is expected to continue strengthening organisational resilience beyond the project. The mentorship programme integrates best practice and provides tools for structured leadership, and knowledge sharing by both coalition and outside coalition members. Furthermore, the capacity-building of CSO partners has been prioritized by the project through the provision of logistical equipment and the setting up of a secretariat at each district hosted by a CSO organization.

The coordination with the Government through their involvement as part of the technical steering committee and board members have been instrumental in providing both technical and capacity support to the CSO coalition. These are initiative that will continue as CSOs have been strongly included in the implementation of the NAP steering committee including being part of other established committees and platforms like the incorporation by the coalition in the peace commission civil society groups, the Office of National Security (ONS) District security structures, etc.

The establishment of the six (6) equip WPS secretariats have provided a safe space for the CSO coalition to jointly coordinate their activities without hesitation of office space closure or rental as the space is rotational based on active organizational capability and security. This is aimed to keep the secretariat operational even beyond the project life span. Further initiative jointly agreed by the CSOs coalition have been to mobilize recourses through individual organization contribution of 50 Leones which is equivalent to 2.3 dollars on a quarterly basis to serve as seed funds to jointly implement advocacy initiative at their various communities. This seed fund support costs like fuel in bikes for survivors to attend curt sitting including reunification at far to reach communities.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

| **Event 1** | .... | .... | .... |
| .... | | | |

| **Event 2** | .... | .... | .... |
| .... | | | |

| **Event 3** | .... | .... | .... |
| .... | | | |

| **Event 4** | .... | .... | .... |
| .... | | | |

| **Event 5** | .... | .... | .... |
| .... | | | |

| **Event 6** | .... | .... | .... |
| .... | | | |

| **Event 7** | .... | .... | .... |
| .... | | | |

| **Event 8** | .... | .... | .... |
| .... | | | |

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.