PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *
- ◉ Semi-annual
- ○ Annual
- ○ Final
- ○ Other

Date of submission of report *
2025-06-17

Name and title of person submitting the report *
Njuiri Thomas Kimaru, Project Manager

E-mail of person submitting the report *
njuiri.thomas.kimaru@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *
Evelyn Edroma, Deputy Resident Representative

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *
- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *
You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.
- ◉ Yes
- ○ No
- ○ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

( ) Yes   (●) No

Is this a cross-border project? *

( ) Yes   (●) No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

○ Asia and the Pacific   ○ Central & Southern Africa   ○ East Africa

○ Europe and Central Asia   ○ Global   ○ Latin America and the Caribean

○ Middle East and North Africa   ● West Africa

*

Country of project implementation

○ Benin   ○ Burkina Faso   ○ Cote D'Ivoire

● Gambia   ○ Ghana   ○ Guinea

○ Guinea-Bissau   ○ Liberia   ○ Mali

○ Mauritania   ○ Niger   ○ Nigeria

○ Senegal   ○ Sierra Leone   ○ Togo

○ Other, Specify

*

Other, please specify

Project Title *

- ○ 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- ○ 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- ○ 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- ○ 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- ○ 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia
- ○ 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- ○ 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- ○ 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- ○ 00134237: Strengthening women's political participation and leadership through reformed legislation community-level leadership and political parties' engagement in The Gambia
- ○ 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- ○ 00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention
- ○ 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- ○ 00140643: Empowering Communities in The Gambia to prevent mitigate and resolve land related conflicts exacerbated by climate change
- ○ 00140771: Empowering Marginalized Young People for Inclusion in Civic Space and Local Infrastructures for Peace
- ● 00141024: Sustaining The Gambia's peaceful transition by supporting implementation of the Security Sector Reform Strategy
- ○ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- ☐ Asia and the Pacific
- ☐ Central & Southern Africa
- ☐ East Africa
- ☐ Europe and Central Asia
- ☐ Global
- ☐ Latin America and the Caribean
- ☐ Middle East and North Africa
- ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2025-01-30

Project End Date *

2028-01-29

Has this project received an extension? *

- ○ YES, Cost Extension
- ○ YES, No Cost Extension
- ○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ● NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ○ YES, Cost Extension
- ○ YES, No Cost Extension
- ○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ● NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- ○ Yes
- ● No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- ◯ January
- ◯ February
- ◯ March
- ◯ April
- ◯ May
- ◯ June
- ◯ July
- ◯ August
- ◯ September
- ◯ October
- ◯ November
- ◯ December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ◯ Yes
- ⦿ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ◯ Yes
- ⦿ No

If yes, please select which *

- ◯ National Trust Fund
- ◯ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ⦿ UN entity
- ◯ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ⦿ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ◯ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ◯ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ◯ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ◯ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ◯ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ◯ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ◯ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ◯ WFP: World Food Programme
- ◯ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ◯ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ◯ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ◯ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ◯ WHO: World Health Organization
- ◯ PAHO/WHO
- ◯ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ◯ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ◯ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ◯ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ◯ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ◯ UNDPO
- ◯ Other, Specify

*

Other, Please specify

Are there other recipients for this project? *

○ No other recipients

● Yes, other UN recipients only

○ Yes, other non-UN recipients only

○ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration

☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

☑ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme

☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization

☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO

☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☑ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre

☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

---

*

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- [ ] BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

0

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?
(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars	Transfers to date (in full US $) Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars	Expenditure to date (in full US $) Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1450718 *	507751 *	36942.32 *	2.55%
	*	*	*	%

OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commission er for Human Rights	827856 *	289749 *	0 *	0%
UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime	721426 *	252499 *	0 *	0%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	827856 *	289749 *	0 *	%

		*	*	*	%
		*	*	*	%
		*	*	*	%
		*	*	*	%
TOTAL	**3000000**	**1049999**	**36942.32**		**1.23 %**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **1.23%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? ◉ Correct ○ Incorrect	*

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %	*

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? 33	*

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 990000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? ○ Correct ◉ Incorrect	*

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars 979866.99	*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 12190.97**. Is this correct? *

○ Correct   ◉ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

6200

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available <u>here</u>

pbf_SSR project_budget May 2024-12_52_20.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

◉ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

○ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

○ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

◉ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

◉ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

○ (2.2) Democratic Governance

○ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

☐ Gender promotion initiative

☐ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☐ Cross-border or regional project

☑ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

◉ Yes

○ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Project Steering Committee (PSC), chaired by the Office of National Security (ONS) with UNDP as Co-Chair, convened its inaugural meeting on 25th February 2025. One meeting has been held over the last 6 months and it brought together key stakeholders in the security sector including the Ministry of Justice; Ministry of Interior; Gambia Armed Forces (GAF); Drug Law Enforcement Agency (DLEAG); Ministry of Gender, Children and Social welfare (MoGCSW); National Himan Rights Commission (NHRC); Gambia Federation of the Disabled (GFD); Gambia Prisons Service (GPS); and CSO Coalition on SSR. During this meeting, the PSC adopted its Terms of Reference, establishing a clear framework for governance and oversight Additionally, the PSC endorsed the 2025 Joint Work Plan, setting the strategic direction and priorities for the year, ensuring alignment with project objectives and fostering collaborative implementation among stakeholders. This was the first official PSC meeting held within the last six months.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project team (UNDP, UNODC and OHCHR) engaged the Office of the National Security (ONS) as chair of the project steering committee and lead institution coordinating all security sector interventions to align implementation with national priorities. The discussion with the ONS resulted in the validation of key priority areas and agreement on a phased implementation approach of planned project activities. The collaboration established a clear roadmap for commencing project activities, reinforcing the partnership between the project team and the ONS to achieve shared objectives. The ONS, in its capacity as the Chair of the Project Steering Committee and in collaboration with UNDP, convened the 25th February 2025 PSC meeting, setting strategic direction and priorities for the effective implementation of project activities throughout 2025. The Project team has actively engaged key institutions, including s the Ministry of Justice, Judiciary, NHRC, CSOs and the media to plan implementation of project activities such as capacity building on SSR and support for legal and policy reforms. These discussions also addressed emerging challenges since the project's inception, including human rights concerns expressed by public and oversight institutions, political commitment of duty bearers, and the capacity of existing partners to implement the project effectively, alongside other national priorities priority.

The Project team has also held discussions with commissioners and senior staff of the NHRC to review project strategies on security governance, national priorities for security sector reform, and human rights concerns, particularly those affecting marginalized groups and whistle-blowers to ensure alignment with the project objectives. Additionally, the team held several meetings with the Director and senior staff of the Gambia Prisons Service reform, which led to the identification of specific legislative and capacity-building needs, including gender mainstreaming in prison reform. Furthermore, the Project team met with DECAF management and programme staff in the Gambia to identify areas of synergy and ensure complementarity in supporting security institutions. As a result, gender-focused interventions will be better aligned, reducing the risk of duplication and enhancing coherence in technical assistance across partners.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

( ) Not Started (●) Initiated ( ) Partially Completed
( ) Completed ( ) Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

( ) Not Started (●) Initiated ( ) Partially Completed
( ) Completed ( ) Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

(●) Not Started   (○) Initiated   (○) Partially Completed

(○) Completed   (○) Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

(○) Not Started   (○) Initiated   (○) Partially Completed

(●) Completed   (○) Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Staff recruitment across the three agencies is progressing. At UNDP, the positions of Project Manager (NPSA 11), Monitoring and Evaluation Analyst (NPSA 9), and Project Associate (NPSA 6) have been advertised, with final selections anticipated by the end of June 2025. In the interim, three UNDP staff are providing support to the project. For OHCHR, the terms of reference for the National Project Officer (NOB) and National Human Rights Officer (NOA) have been finalized, and vacancy advertisements are planned for completion before the end of June 2025, with two OHCHR staff currently offering interim assistance. UNODC is in the final stages of recruiting a Program Officer (NOA level) and a Driver (SB2 level), while two consultants have been engaged to support the implementation process during the recruitment period.

Key partners from both the government institutions and Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) have been actively engaged in preparing the project's rollout. These partnerships are important in ensuring the successful implementation of the project, as they bring essential expertise, community connections, and institutional support. The contracting process for partners is progressing through mechanisms such as Letters of Agreements (LOAs), Responsible Party Agreements (RPAs), and low value grants (LVGs), laying a solid foundation for collaboration and the achievement of the project's results.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

(●) Yes

(○) No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Despite initial delays in the project's implementation, progress has been made on key outputs outlined in the workplan approved by the Project Steering Committee in February 2025. To address challenges related to staff recruitment and partner contracting, ensure timely delivery of 2025 results and mitigate challenges related to the ongoing recruitment of staff and contracting of partners, a delivery acceleration plan has been initiated with clear timelines and deliverables. Notably, a National UN Volunteer Communication Expert has been deployed to the Office of the National Security (ONS) to strengthen institutional communication, build the capacity of security institutions, and enhance public engagement. These efforts directly contribute directly to achieving Output 1.2: Enhanced capacity of the ONS and relevant state institutions to effectively coordinate the implementation of the Security Sector Reform (SSR).

Diverse stakeholder consultations have established a strong foundation for project implementation by facilitating the development of concept notes for various outputs and activities, drafting Letters of Agreements and Responsible Party Agreements. These efforts aim to achieve key outputs, including strengthened legal frameworks and policies for Security Sector Strategy implementation (Output 1.1); improved data and knowledge management for evidence-based decision-making (Output 1.3); a gender-responsive security sector with increased women\u2019s participation in decision-making roles (Output 1.4); enhanced capacity of the National Human Rights Commission for oversight and stakeholder coordination in SSR (Output 2.2); and empowered civil society and the Office of the Ombudsman for effective oversight and accountability in the SSR process (Output 2.3).

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project prioritizes Gender Equality, Women's Empowerment (GEWE) and youth inclusion. Stakeholders' consultations to plan project implementation ensured at least 40% female and youth participation. This established a clear benchmark for inclusive implementation, reinforcing the project's commitment to a participatory and inclusive security sector reform.

The Project Steering Committee (PSC) demonstrates compliance with Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) principles, with women comprising 40% of its membership. Out of the 10 members, 4 are women, reflecting a deliberate effort to ensure gender balance and inclusivity in the decision-making processes. This composition enhances the committee's ability to address diverse perspectives and advocate for gender-responsive approaches in project implementation.

The inclusion GEWE in the terms of reference (TORs) for the recruitment of project staff by UNDP, UNODC and OHCHR underscores a commitment to mainstreaming gender in all aspects of the project. The TORs specifically emphasized the importance of candidates possessing expertise in gender-responsive programming and an understanding of GEWE principles, in addition to encouraging qualified female candidates to apply for the positions to promote representation. Additionally, recruitment strategies for each position prioritized diversity, ensuring gender balance in the recruitment panels. These concerted efforts aim to build an inclusive and capable project team equipped to champion gender equality and effectively drive the implementation of the project.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

○ Yes

◉ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

○ Yes

○ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Strengthened security institutions to promote implementation of gender responsive Security Sector Reform, ensuring adherence to the rule of law and human rights in The Gambia.

Outcome 2: *

Strengthened oversight mechanisms to ensure accountability and transparency in the implementation of security sector.

Outcome 3: *

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: [*]

Outcome 7: [*]

Outcome 8: [*]

Additional Outcomes [*]

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Strengthened security institutions to promote implementation of gender responsive Security Sector Reform, ensuring adherence to the rule of law and human rights in The Gambia.

OC 1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Indicator 1.1.1a: Percentage increase of security institutions implementing gender-responsive service delivery according to the newly adopted legislation, gender policy, and strategies. Target:	Baseline: 25% (2-GPF and GAF- out of 8 security institutions)	50%	No progress	No progress	Consultations have ensured women and youth participation
1.2	Indicator 1.1.1b: Level of public awareness and satisfaction with the security sector reform process	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated
1.3	Indicator 1.1.1 c: Increase in the proportion of women in the decision-making and leadership roles in the security sector.	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated
1.4						

1.5						
	....	....	....	....	....	....

....

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

....

Output 1.1

Strengthened legal frameworks, policies, and strategies to effectively support the implementation of Security Sector Strategy in The Gambia

Output 1.2

Enhanced capacity of the Office of National Security and relevant state institutions to effectively coordinate the implementation of the SSR strategy.

Output 1.3

Strengthened accountability, data and knowledge management for real-time and evidence-based decision making within the security sector.

Output 1.4

Enhanced gender responsive security sector through increased women's participation and representation in decision making roles.

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Strengthened legal frameworks, policies, and strategies to effectively support the implementation of Security Sector Strategy in The Gambia

1.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**Number of legislations, policies, strategies related to security sector reform developed/reviewed adopted and integrated into the operational practices and guidelines. policy**	**3**	**6**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
1.1.2	**Number of institutions with increased knowledge to advocate for the passage of security legislations.**	**4**	**6**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
1.1.3	**Number of SSR strategy and gender policy simplified, translated into local languages, and disseminated.**	**0**	**2**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**

1.1.4	Proportion of population with knowledge of SSR disaggregated by region, gender and age.	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated
1.1.5						

» Output 1.2: Enhanced capacity of the Office of National Security and relevant state institutions to effectively coordinate the implementation of the SSR strategy.

1.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Number of Coordination Management Centers equipped to functionality status.**	**1**	**2**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
1.2.2	**Number of curriculum and training manual developed and implemented within the security sector.**	**1**	**2**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
1.2.3	**Number of security personnel with acquired increased knowledge on leadership and strategic planning skills on SSR, disaggregated by gender and age.**	**0**	**50**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
1.2.4	**Number of security institutions reporting on the fulfilment of international treaty reporting obligations.**	**2**	**3**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**

1. 2. 5	Number of recommendations implemented by the security institutions from the international treaty report.	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated

» Output 1.3: Strengthened accountability, data and knowledge management for real-time and evidence-based decision making within the security sector.

1.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**Indicator 1.3.1: National survey on security needs and concerns of the population, including perceptions of the youth, conducted and validated.**	**0**	**1**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
1.3.2	**Indicator 1.3.2: Research study on barriers impeding recruitment, retention, and career advancement with particular attention to women conducted and validated.**	**0**	**1**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
1.3.3	**Indicator 1.3.3: Existence of a functional MEL system (frameworks, guidelines, etc.) in place within the security sector.**	**0**	**1**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**

1.3.4	Indicator 1.3.4: Operationalization of national security data reporting and knowledge management dashboard	0	1	No progress	No progress	Initiated
1.3.5	Indicator 1.3.5: Number of ONS staff and security personnel (GAF, GPF, GPS DLEAG) with acquired skills on data and knowledge management to effectively operationalise the dashboard. Disaggregated by gender and age.	0	200	No progress	No progress	Initiated

» Output 1.4: Enhanced gender responsive security sector through increased women's participation and representation in decision making roles.

1.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1	**Indicator 1.4.1a. Number of security personnel with technical knowledge on GBV to prevent and respond to SGBV related issues.**	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated
1.4.2	**Indicator 1.41.b: Number of security institutions that have institutionalized the gender policy, training manual, case management SOP to effectively prevent and respond to SGBV related issues.**	1	4	No progress	No progress	Initiated
1.4.3	**Indicator 1.4.1c: Number of female security personnel, disaggregated by age, reporting satisfaction with prevention and response measures for SGBV within the security sector.**	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated

1.4.4	Indicator 1.4.2: Gender action plan developed and prioritized recommendations implemented.	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated
1.4.5	Indicator 1.4.3a: Proportion of women in the security sector with acquired knowledge through the peer-to-peer exchange taking up leadership role by desegregated regions	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated

» Output 1.5:

1.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						
1.5.4						
1.5.5						

» Outcome 2: Strengthened oversight mechanisms to ensure accountability and transparency in the implementation of security sector.

OC2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Indicator 2ai: Percentage of reported complaints of malpractices against security sector actors through formal processes disaggregated by institutions and regions.	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated
2.2	Indicator 2aii: Percentage of complaints malpractices against security sector actors investigated through formal processes desegregated by institutions and regions.	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated
2.3	Indicator 2aiii: Percentage of complaints of malpractices against security sector actors satisfactorily addressed through formal processes disaggregated by institutions and regions.	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated

2.4	Indicator 2b: Percentage of population who express confidence in the security sector (disaggregated by gender.	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated
2.5	Indicator 2c: Proportion of the public expressing confident in the oversight institutions and their effectiveness in ensuring accountability within the security sector. Disaggregated by gender.	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Capacity of the National Assembly to engage and support the SSR processes is enhanced.

Output 2.2

Capacity of the National Human Rights Commission to carry out its oversight functions, coordinate stakeholders and contribute to SSR is enhanced.

Output 2.3

Civil society and Office of the Ombudsman Office of Ombudsman is better able to participate effectively and engage in meaningful oversight and accountability of the SSR process.

Output 2.4

Strengthened Civil-Security relation to improve trust and confidence in the Security sector.

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: Capacity of the National Assembly to engage and support the SSR processes is enhanced.

2.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1	**Indicator 2.1.1: Needs assessment on National Assembly role in the SSR processes conducted and validated.**	**0**	**1**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
2.1.2	**Indicator 2.1.2: Number of handbooks developed and in use by the national assembly members and clerks to effectively implement the SSR.**	**0**	**100**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**

2.1.3	**Indicator 2.1.3: Number of National Assembly members with acquired knowledge to effectively contribute and perform oversight function to the SSR process. Disaggregated by gender.**	0	58	No progress	No progress	Initiated
2.1.4	**Indicator 2.1.4: Number of National Assembly members with technical knowledge from peer-to-peer dialogue on SSR process.**	0	58	No progress	No progress	Initiated
2.1.5						

» Output 2.2: Capacity of the National Human Rights Commission to carry out its oversight functions, coordinate stakeholders and contribute to SSR is enhanced.

2.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**Indicator 2.2.1: Number of NHRC commissioners and staff with acquired knowledge on SSR to perform oversight function. Disaggregated by gender and age.**	**0**	**45**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
2.2.2	**Indicator 2.2.2a: Existence of an operationalized coordination mechanism between the NHRC and ONS to effectively monitor the implementation of SSR.**	**0**	**1**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
2.2.3	**Indicator: 2.2.2b: Number of CSO actors harassed and arrested by security actors for playing an oversight role in SSR.**	**TBD**	**TBD**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**

2. 2. 4	**Indicator 2.2.3: Existence of a validated study on inclusion of marginalized groups and communities in SSR**	0	1	No progress	No progress	Initiated
2. 2. 5	**Indicator 2.2.4: Number of recommendations from the study implemented.**	TBD	TBD	No progress	No progress	Initiated

» Output 2.3: Civil society and Office of the Ombudsman Office of Ombudsman is better able to participate effectively and engage in meaningful oversight and accountability of the SSR process.

2.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1	**Indicator 2.3.1a: Number of CSOs within the SSR coalition SSR that are utilising acquired knowledge to actively participate in the oversight and implementation of SSR processes.**	0	23	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
2.3.2	**Indicator 2.3.1b: Code of conduct developed integrated and implemented by CSOs.**	0	1	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
2.3.3	**Indicator 2.3.2: Number of Ombudsman personnel with relevant knowledge to investigate and respond to wrong doings by security personnel. Disaggregated by gender and age.**	0	15	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**

2.3.4	**Indicator 2.3.3: Number of complaints received and addressed through the online reporting platforms.**	0	50	No progress	No progress	Initiated
2.3.5	**Indicator 2.3.4: Number of media personnel demonstrating improved knowledge and skills in accurately and frequently reporting on SSR issues.**	0	50	No progress	No progress	Initiated

» Output 2.4: Strengthened Civil-Security relation to improve trust and confidence in the Security sector.

2.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1	**Indicator 2.4.1: Existence of functional civil security committees disaggregated by gender, age and regions.**	**0**	**3**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
2.4.2	**Indicator 2.4.2: Number of community radio personnel with the relevant knowledge on the SSR strategy to engage the community through radio programs. Disaggregated by gender.**	**0**	**20**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**
2.4.3	**Indicator 2.4.3: Number of people reached through community radio stations on SSR. Disaggregated by gender.**	**0**	**10,000**	**No progress**	**No progress**	**Initiated**

| 2.4.4 | Indicator 2.4.4: Number of community personnel within the community-based structures with knowledge on SSR. | TBD | TBD | No progress | No progress | Initiated |
| 2.4.5 | Indicator 2.4.5: Number of people with knowledge of reporting mechanisms for reporting complaints. | 0 | 3500 | No progress | No progress | Initiated |

» Output 2.5:

2.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) ....
3. 1	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 2	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 3	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

     1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

....

Output 3.1

....

Output 3.2

....

Output 3.3

....

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 3.1:

3.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» Output 3.2:

3.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						
3.2.4						
3.2.5						

» Output 3.3:

3.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
3.3.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.3.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.3.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.3.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 3.4:

3.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
3.4.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.4.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.4.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.4.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.4.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 3.5:

3.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						
3.5.4						
3.5.5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) ....
4. 1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

       1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 4.1:

4.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
4. 1. 1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 1. 2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 1. 3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 1. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4. 1. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 4.2:

4.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						
4.2.4						
4.2.5						

» Output 4.3:

4.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
4.3.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						
4.4.4						
4.4.5						

» Output 4.5:

4.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
4.5.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *
....

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)
If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	The ONS, in collaboration with security sector institutions, will host a national conference on SSR to advocate for more accountable and responsive institutions in monitoring public arrests and addressing incidents of intimidation by their personnel	16/06/2025	Banjul	Security personnel	Security personnel Strengthened accountability mechanisms to address security personnel misconduct. Ensure timely institutional response to incidents of misconduct. Promote ethical conduct and human rights awareness. Enhance coordination between ONS and security institutions to build public trust.
Event 2	In collaboration with ONS, organize a national conference to present the findings of the national crime and security survey on security needs and concerns of the population, including the perception of young Gambians toward the security forces, with the view to strengthen community-security engagements, ownership and data-driven interventions for sustainability of security sector reforms.	July 2025	Nationwide	Public	To promote data-driven programmatic and policy interventions for sustainability, strengthen community-security engagements and trust, and enhance public ownership of security initiatives.

Event 3	**Organize a stakeholder workshop to disseminate the findings of the Human Rights Due Diligence Review of the security sector to lay the basis for risk-informed policy and decision-making, and programmatic commitments based on HRDDP risk assessment criteria.**	**June 2025**	**Banjul**	**Security institutions; NHRC; CSOs; development partners; policy makers**	**To disseminate the findings of the Human Rights Due Diligence Review of the security sector and provide a platform for stakeholders to understand the identified risks and challenges, promoting informed dialogue, risk-informed decision-making and programmatic commitments.**
Event 4	**Training of male gender champions in security institutions to promote women's participation in leadership and advocate for the elimination of all forms of GBV within the security sector**	**July 2025**	**Banjul**	**Security institutions**	**Empower male security personnel as gender champions through targeted training to advocate for women's leadership, elimination of GBV, and institutional reforms that promote inclusivity.**

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	**Type of stakeholder**	**What has been the impact of the project on their lives?**	**Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder**
1			
2			

3			
	....	....	....

....

4			
	....	....	....

....

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☑ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☑ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☑ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☑ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has significantly strengthened partnerships among the three implementing UN agencies through active participation in the inaugural PSC meeting, regular technical team sessions to plan and align joint activities implementation and joint meetings with implementing partners to discuss and strategize implementation. Additionally, internal consultations and continuous communication have activated a unified approach and cohesion in planning for the implementation of project activities.

The project has ensured the participation of CSOs in PSC meetings, activated the formation of the CSOs Coalition on SSR, and held meetings with CSOs implementing partners to plan the implementation of project activities.

The project has held meetings with the Office of the National Security (ONS) to organize the inaugural PSC meeting and to plan implementation of key SSR activities in 2025.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☑ Persons with Disabilities
- ☑ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

None

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Not all have baselines. During project development, some baseline data were unavailable. However, the gap will be bridged through implementation of project activities related to surveys or research, and need assessments.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information link

- ○ Yes
- ● No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. link

- ● Yes
- ○ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has established a technical working group comprising the project team, key stakeholders, and community members to serve as a feedback mechanism, ensuring continuous input on the project's local effects and impact.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- ○ Yes
- ○ No
- ● Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

60000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ○ Yes
- ● No
- ○ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Mr. Sainey Ceesay	UNDP	Head of Governance	sainey.ceesay@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- ○ Yes
- ● No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

( ) Yes

(●) No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

( ) Some catalytic effect

( ) Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has an exit and sustainability strategy. The inclusive consultations conducted both at the project's inception and during implementation demonstrate a deliberate effort to promote national ownership and long-term sustainability beyond the project. Additionally, sustainability is detailed in the project document aiming to ensure lasting impact by integrating key initiatives into national structures and processes. The strategy focusses on strengthening institutional capacities, fostering human rights practices, and promoting gender equality within the security sector. Measures include establishing monitoring and evaluation frameworks, capacity-building for stakeholders, and supporting human rights units in security institutions. Partnerships with government entities such as ONS, development partners such as DCAF, and oversight bodies such as the National Human Rights Commission are designed to align efforts and budgets with project priorities to ensure continuity. Community engagement through Civil-Security Committees and advocacy sessions builds local ownership and trust in security institutions. The project also prioritizes institutionalization of gender policies and training male gender champions to advance women's leadership in the sector. These initiatives are complemented by integrating human rights awareness into the operations of security agencies and promoting citizen-security dialogue, ensuring sustained reform implementation and public confidence in the security sector.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	**Project Steering Committee meeting on 25th February 2025**	**The PSC, attended by members from the government and partners, adopted its Terms of Reference, establishing a clear framework for governance and oversight. Additionally, the PSC endorsed the 2025 Joint Work Plan, setting the strategic direction and priorities for the year, ensuring alignment with project objectives and fostering collaborative implementation among stakeholders.**	**- The work plan aligns closely with project objectives and promotes cohesive collaboration among the stakeholders. - The project provides a comprehensive framework for sustainability but achieving this requires all stakeholders and the project team to engage in regular reviews and strategic discussions to ensure that sustainability measures are effectively implemented and aligned with evolving needs and priorities.**
Event 2	**Joint monitoring by project team**	**To assess progress against planned timelines and objectives to ensure the project remains on track. Identify implementation gaps, challenges, and risks early, enabling prompt corrective measures.**	**No findings yet. The joint monitoring is yet to take place**
Event 3	**Conduct a comprehensive national survey on security needs and concerns to understand security challenges faced by the population and the perception of young Gambians on the security forces**	**The aim is to strengthen community-security engagements, ownership and data-driven interventions for sustainability.**	**No findings yet. The survey is yet to take place**

Event 4	Conduct in-depth research study and evidence generation on barriers impeding recruitment, retention, and career advancement with particular attention to women in security institutions	The study is to determine the current level of inclusion of the targeted groups, as well as to provide recommendations for the greater/enhanced inclusion of the targeted groups to advance a more inclusive and effective SSR process	No findings yet. The research is yet to take place
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.