PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report   *

◉ Semi-annual

◯ Annual

◯ Final

◯ Other

Date of submission of report   *
2025-06-13

Name and title of person submitting the report   *
Aideen Conway

E-mail of person submitting the report   *
aideen.conway@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report   *
Elvi Agunda

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *****

- ◉ Yes
- ◯ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *****

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- ◯ Yes
- ◯ No
- ◉ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *****

- ◯ Yes ◉ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ◯ Asia and the Pacific
- ◯ Central & Southern Africa
- ◉ East Africa
- ◯ Europe and Central Asia
- ◯ Global
- ◯ Latin America and the Caribean
- ◯ Middle East and North Africa
- ◯ West Africa

Country of project implementation *****

- ◯ Ethiopia
- ◉ Kenya
- ◯ Madagascar
- ◯ Mozambique
- ◯ Somalia
- ◯ South Sudan
- ◯ Sudan
- ◯ Other, Specify

Other, please specify *****

Project Title *****

- ◉ 00140292: Promoting Peace and Inclusive Development in Borderlands Counties in North-Eastern Kenya
- ◯ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *****

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented   *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific   ☐ Central & Southern Africa   ☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia   ☐ Global   ☐ Latin America and the Caribean

☐ Middle East and North Africa   ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report   *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document   *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented   *

Other, Please specify   *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)   *

2023-08-24

Project End Date   *

2025-08-25

Has this project received an extension?   *

◯ YES, Cost Extension

◯ YES, No Cost Extension

◯ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

🔘 NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?   *

◯ YES, Cost Extension

🔘 YES, No Cost Extension

◯ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions

◯ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months?   *

🔘 Yes

◯ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

◯ January   ◯ February   ◯ March

◯ April   ◯ May   ⬤ June

◯ July   ◯ August   ◯ September

◯ October   ◯ November   ◯ December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

⬤ Yes

◯ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

◯ Yes

⬤ No

If yes, please select which *

◯ National Trust Fund

◯ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

⬤ UN entity

◯ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

⬤ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ◯ IOM: International Organization for Migration

◯ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

◯ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

◯ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

◯ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ◯ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

◯ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ◯ WFP: World Food Programme

◯ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

◯ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

◯ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ◯ ILO: International Labour Organization

◯ WHO: World Health Organization   ◯ PAHO/WHO

◯ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ◯ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

◯ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

◯ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ◯ ITC: International Trade Centre

◯ UNDPO   ◯ Other, Specify

*

Other, Please specify

Are there other recipients for this project?   *

- ( ) No other recipients
- (●) Yes, other UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients   *

Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   [ ] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [ ] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [✓] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   [✓] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization   [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations   [ ] Other, Specify

---

*

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients   *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- [ ] BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify   *

....

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

8

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?
(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)

0

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner   *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ● Governmental entity
- ○ National women's and youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

International Peace Support Training Centre (IPSTC)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?   *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

172000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?   *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

160000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

*

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

*

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

All activities were completed in 2024. IPSTC conducted Training Needs Assessments in Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa in March, followed by the development of tailored training packages. Strategic-level training took place in Nairobi from 29 July to 2 August, with positive feedback from participants. County-level trainings were held in Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa between August and September 2024, leading to the establishment of CISEC mechanisms in all three counties. These mechanisms have strengthened trust between communities and security actors. IPSTC will conduct follow up and documentation of success stories and lessons learned from the CISEC alumini.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

*

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ⦿ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ National women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

*

HAKI Africa

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

*

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

90000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

72000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

HAKI Africa was issued a grant in 2024 to support community dialogues on security and human rights. Dialogues were held in August across Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa, with a second round planned for November. Key issues raised included mistrust of security actors, human rights violations including enforced disappearances, cross-border smuggling, and radicalization.

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◉ Governmental entity
- ◯ National women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

KNCHR

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

48000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

KNCHR was contracted to conduct a human rights baseline assessment and regular human rights risk assessments. Due to delays in issuing of the grant, the baseline report was finalized in May 2025 and human rights analysis for the March and April will soon be released by the commissioner to inform stakeholder engagement

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ⦿ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ National women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Semi Arid Resilience Network (SARN)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

22000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

22000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

7000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

SARN completed all activities by March 2025 and shared a final report. SARN led stakeholder mapping and held an inclusive Project Inception Meeting to identify local conflict drivers, confirm project sites, and build community ownership. They facilitated dialogues that gave space for women, youth, and persons with disabilities to raise concerns and shape solutions.

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- (●) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) National women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Worthy Vision

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

22000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

22000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

All activities were concluded by November 2024 and the final report was shared.

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- (●) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) National women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

....

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

Women Rights Advocacy Initiative (WRAI)

....

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to   *
this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

22000

....

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?   *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

22000

....

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar**   *
period?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

....

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar   *
period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

WRAI completed all activities on 15 January 2025. WRAI advanced inclusive governance and civic engagement in
Wajir County by facilitating community dialogues, promoting women's and youth participation, and strengthening
local accountability. The organization held stakeholder workshops, conducted a baseline study on participation and
inclusion, and led awareness campaigns—including radio broadcasts and a community newsletter—to inform
citizens about their rights and governance processes.

....

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner   *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

◉ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ National women's and youth CSO

○ Subnational women's and youth CSO

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

Relief Reconstruction and Development Organisation

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?   *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

293804

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?   *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

235043

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

RRDO were contracted to support implementation of the peace dividends project in Garissa. In the reporting period, they completed the building of the peace dividend project and are preparing to hand over to the community in mid-June 2025.

8

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ⦿ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ National women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Vision Corps Initiative (VCI)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

601479.53

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

481183.62

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

VCI were contracted to support implementation of peace dividend projects in Mandera and Wajir. In Wajir, the project was completed iand handed over to the community. In Mandera, the project is complete and the formal handover is on hold due to ongoing clan tensions.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	2000000 *	1100000 *	971251.70 *	48.56%

	*	*	*	
....	....	....	....	% ....
OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights	* 500000	* 350000	* 452584.39	90.52% ....
WFP: World Food Programme	* 1500000	* 825000	* 1104038.30	73.6% ....
....	*	*	*	% ....
....	*	*	*	% ....
....	*	*	*	% ....
....	*	*	*	% ....
....	*	*	*	% ....
....	*	*	*	% ....
....	*	*	*	% ....
....	*	*	*	% ....

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	4000000	2275000	2527874.39	**63.2 %**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **63.2%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

◉ Correct   ○ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

32.47

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1298800**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

◉ Correct   ○ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 820800.81**. Is this correct? *

(●) Correct   (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available [here]

  PBF Financial Report June 2025-16_43_21.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

(○) Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

(●) Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

(○) Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

(○) Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

(●) Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

(○) Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project   *

○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

● (2.2) Democratic Governance

○ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?   *
Select all that apply

☐ Gender promotion initiative

☐ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☐ Cross-border or regional project

☑ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?   *

(●) Yes

(○) No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Project Steering Committee (PSC) has met once in the past six months, on 15 April 2025. This meeting was chaired by the UNDP Resident Representative a.i. Since the project's inception, five PSC meetings have been held - four of which were chaired by the UN Resident Coordinator, Dr. Stephen Jackson. The next meeting is anticipated in July 2025. Since November 2024, the PSC has also included the Peacebuilding in Refugee Management project, given its close thematic alignment with the PBF project and its integration within the broader programme framework. Combining oversight of both projects under one PSC has enabled stronger collaboration, promoted cross-learning between actors working in related fields, and enhanced the visibility of peacebuilding efforts. It also offers a valuable platform to introduce and showcase the PBF's work to new partners - particularly as agencies such as UNHCR have expressed interest in engaging with tools like the data portal.

The PSC provides a critical platform for reviewing progress and results, addressing challenges and risks, and guiding strategic direction. Meetings have included broad participation from government agencies, implementing partners, civil society, and all PBF Responsible UN Organizations (RUNOs) and UNHCR, alongside the Executive Office of the President and the State Department of Immigration, Partners provide updates, highlight achievements, and raise implementation challenges- particularly around coordination, capacity, and the need for greater alignment.

In response, the PSC has recommended and overseen concrete steps such as more frequent coordination meetings and improved communication across partners. These efforts are helping to strengthen collaboration, address bottlenecks, and keep the project on course toward its intended outcomes.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Over the past six months, the project has engaged both national and county levels of government to support inclusive governance, peacebuilding, and coordination.

At the national level, the project has worked closely with the Executive Office of the President and the National Steering Committee under the Ministry of Interior. Regular coordination meetings have been held at their respective offices. In April and May 2025, the Executive Office of the President—supported by the project—led county-level consultations across Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa. These three-day sessions included dedicated forums for youth, women, and elders, with active participation from both county governments and national representatives. The Ministry of Interior was also invited to participate in these consultations as well as in the Project Steering Committee (PSC). Furthermore, the national government counterpart engaged with senior UN officials, including Ms. Awa Dabo, Director and Deputy Head of the UN Peacebuilding Support Office, during her visit in February 2025.

The project has also collaborated with the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights (KNCHR). In February, a draft human rights baseline assessment was submitted and will be formally presented to key duty bearers in July, accompanied by a statement on recommended actions to address identified human rights issues.

On 29 April 2025, the UK High Commissioner, US Ambassador, EU Ambassador, and the UN Resident Coordinator visited Garissa. This high-level mission, conducted under the framework of the North-East Kenya Advisory Group convened by the Office of the President, signaled a renewed and coordinated commitment to peace and development in the region. Stakeholders from the Promoting Peace project were also present during this visit and associated consultations.

At the county level, government officials were actively involved in digital peacebuilding trainings held in Garissa (February 2025) and Mandera (May 2025), as well as in the consultations convened by the Executive Office of the President. County representatives also participated in activities implemented by local CSO grantees, contributing to stronger alignment between project activities and local governance and development priorities. National and county officials were further engaged through HAKI Africa's community security dialogues, the KNCHR assessments, and the peace dividend initiatives supported by WFP.

These activities have collectively contributed to improved coordination between communities and government representatives at both levels, with notable contributions from HAKI Africa and the WFP peace dividend projects in fostering trust, dialogue, and joint action.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ⚪ Not Started
- ⚪ Initiated
- 🔵 Partially Completed
- ⚪ Completed
- ⚪ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ⚪ Not Started
- ⚪ Initiated
- ⚪ Partially Completed
- 🔵 Completed
- ⚪ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ⚪ Not Started
- ⚪ Initiated
- ⚪ Partially Completed
- 🔵 Completed
- ⚪ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ⚪ Not Started
- ⚪ Initiated
- ⚪ Partially Completed
- 🔵 Completed
- ⚪ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Office of the Deputy President served as the national implementing partner for the project and was engaged from its inception, as the North and North Eastern Development Initiative (NEDI) previously fell under its mandate. However, toward the end of last year, the President moved NEDI to the Executive Office of the President, which now serves as the national implementing partner for the project.
Worthy Vision, Semi Arid Resilience Network, and the Women Rights Advocacy Initiative were provided with Low Value Grants to implement activities within a timeline of three months. These activities were completed in the first quarter of 2025 and final programmatic and financial reports have been submitted.

Grants were signed in August 2024 with AID-Afrika, Generations for Change and Growth, and the Agency for Peace and Inclusive Development (APID), each with a planned three-month implementation period. However, disbursement has been delayed due to funding constraints stemming from a reduced second tranche received at the end of December 2024 and continued delays in the release of the third tranche. As a result, implementation has not yet begun. It is anticipated that 20% of the grant funds will be disbursed in July 2025 upon receipt of the next tranche.

In 2024, OHCHR issued grants to IPSTC, the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights (KNCHR), and HAKI Africa. All CISEC trainings under IPSTC were completed in 2024. KNCHR shared its draft human rights baseline assessment in February 2025, which will be formally presented to relevant duty bearers during a breakfast meeting in July. Human rights defenders were onboarded in the three counties in April 2025 and monthly human rights assessments will be conducted until the end of the project. HAKI Africa has so far conducted 14 community dialogues this year and is expected to carry out two additional dialogues per county in the coming months to conclude its activities.

WFP engaged two implementing partners in 2024 to support the CISEC peace dividends project: Relief Reconstruction and Development Organisation and Vision Corps Initiative. The peace dividend infrastructure projects have been completed across all three counties. The CSOs are now planning to conduct operations and maintenance trainings for end users in each county.

As of 13 May 2024, the project management unit was fully constituted. Upon the approval of a No Cost Extension, all staff's contracts will be extended until the operational closure of the project, in line with the no-cost extension.

Rufmo was contracted in 2024 to carry out an evaluability assessment for the project, along with three rounds of perception surveys targeting marginalized groups in Northeastern Kenya. The evaluability assessment was finalized in November 2024 and established baselines for the project's outcome-level indicators. Rufmo is currently conducting the second round of perception surveys, and a draft report is expected by mid-June 2025.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

○ Yes

● No

If no, please provide an explanation   *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

In 2024, implementation was severely slowed between September and December following a delayed transfer of the second tranche. Although a reduced tranche was finally received at the end of December 2024, the amount was insufficient to proceed with several key activities. This included the planned disbursement of low-value grants to three implementing partners: AID-Afrika, Generations for Change and Growth, and the Agency for Peace and Inclusive Development (APID) and the establishment of the data portal.

One of the most impacted outputs is the development of the project's data portal. A firm was shortlisted in 2024, but the procurement process was cancelled due to insufficient funds. The procurement process was restarted in April 2025, with the technical evaluation completed in May. Due to insufficient resources, the procurement process has been put on hold until receipt of the third tranche.

The continued delay in launching the portal places the project's overall timeline at risk, as adequate time is needed for contracting, onboarding, design, development, and testing. To address this, a no-cost extension has been proposed until October 2025. To mitigate the impact of funding delays and ensure timely delivery of the data portal within the remaining project period, the team is undertaking all possible advance preparations.

A similar delay has affected the third tranche, which was originally expected by the end of May 2025. However, it is now unlikely to be received before the end of June 2025. This uncertainty has disrupted planning and prevented the initiation of time-sensitive activities.

*

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Peacebuilding Progress Summary (Jan–May 2025)

In-person digital peacebuilding workshops were held in Garissa (19–20 Feb) and Mandera (14–15 May). These two-day sessions introduced participants to fact-checking, information disorder, and digital tools for peacebuilding and early warning. They form part of a broader programme comprising eight online modules and two in-person cohorts focused on digital peace campaigns and social media monitoring in conflict-prone areas. The Garissa and Mandera participants will begin the online component on 18 June 2025. In Wajir, the cohort began online training in November 2024, which will conclude before August 2025.

Participants were selected for their potential to create ripple effects in their communities. Of those trained, 91.1% (41) were already engaged in peacebuilding, yet only 20% (9) had previous training in digital peacebuilding— highlighting a capacity gap. At the end of the online phase, a survey will capture knowledge shifts. Monitoring and evaluation will also document human impact stories and how participants apply their learning.

In January 2025, RRDO completed construction of a 25m³ water pan in Eldere Village, Lagdera (Garissa). This aims to reduce conflict by improving water access. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) training was provided to community members to ensure sustainable management. The handover is scheduled for 16 June 2025.

In Wajir, Vision Corps Initiative (VCI) finalized its project in Baragothey and handed over key infrastructure, including a water tank, kiosk, troughs, and a school water point. Community-based structures—Water Management, Rangeland Management, and Peace and Cohesion Committees—were established and trained to promote ownership, sustainability, and resilience.

In Mandera, construction of a 20,000m³ earth pan with a dam liner was completed. The structure is fenced and secured, but handover is on hold due to renewed inter-clan tensions. National and county authorities are leading peace efforts to create a conducive environment for handover. The intervention aims to address water scarcity and promote cohesion in a conflict-prone area.

HAKI Africa conducted 14 community dialogues over six months—two per county in January, two in February, and two each in Garissa and Wajir in April. Due to exhausted funds, no further dialogues are planned until the third tranche is received. Issues raised were shared with KNCHR and County Commissioners through security coordination mechanisms.

In February, KNCHR shared the draft human rights baseline, based on 559 respondents across Garissa, Mandera, and Wajir (58% male, 42% female). The assessment, conducted in Dec 2024 and analysed in Jan 2025, captures the human rights landscape and community-security dynamics. A stakeholder breakfast to present findings is scheduled for July 2025.

Human rights defenders have been engaged in each county since April 2025 and are submitting daily updates to KNCHR. Reports for March and April are finalised and undergoing internal review.

A second round of community consultations, led by the Executive Office of the President (EOP), was held in Mandera (14–18 April), Wajir (22–25 April), and Garissa (19–22 May). These inclusive forums brought together youth, women, elders, religious leaders, government officials, security agencies, and civil society. They helped reflect on peacebuilding efforts, identify risks and challenges, and formulate locally driven action plans. The meetings also promoted improved coordination between government and development partners at county and ward levels.

On 11 April 2025, the EOP convened the inaugural meeting of the North East Advisory Group (NEAG) in Nairobi. Participants included the World Bank, FCDO, US Embassy, USAID, EU, UN RCO, WFP, and UNDP. The Terms of Reference were endorsed, and quarterly meetings agreed. On 29 April, the UK High Commissioner, US Ambassador, EU Ambassador, and UN Resident Coordinator visited Garissa to demonstrate collective support for peace and stabilization under the NEAG platform.

Progress on the data portal remains stalled due to funding delays. A firm was nearly contracted in late 2024, but the process was cancelled when the second tranche was delayed and procurement couldn't be concluded before year-end. The process was re-advertised in April 2025, and technical evaluation concluded in May. However, the contract cannot be issued until the third tranche is received.

cannot be issued until the third tranche is received.

*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

To date, the project has taken several practical steps to promote gender equality and youth inclusion. During the second round of community consultations in April and May, led by the Executive Office of the President, dedicated sessions were held specifically for women in each county. These provided a safer space for women to speak openly about trust in government, access to services, and participation in decision-making—insights that may not have surfaced in mixed-group settings.

HAKI Africa also made deliberate efforts to ensure women were included in all community dialogues conducted across Garissa, Mandera, and Wajir. Similarly, RRDO and VCI ensured the participation of women during consultations related to the design and handover of water infrastructure, helping to surface the specific priorities and needs of women in relation to resource access and conflict mitigation.

Ahead of the second perception survey, the questionnaire was revised to better capture gender equality and social inclusion dynamics. This included adapting questions to reflect the differing experiences of women, men, youth, and persons with disabilities. The firm was also advised to recruit a GESI (Gender Equality and Social Inclusion) expert from the region to address gaps in the previous assessment. Implementing partners have been encouraged to disaggregate data not only by sex and age, but also by disability status and, where possible, by clan affiliation, to ensure a more nuanced understanding of inclusion across diverse identity markers.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?   *

- (●) Yes
- (○) No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?   *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

- (●) Yes
- (○) No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Yes, the project is demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results, particularly at the community and institutional levels. While we await the findings of the second perception survey expected in mid-June 2025 which will provide deeper evidence of shifts in attitudes and behaviours, available data already points to positive structural and social change.

A midline assessment conducted by WFP in January–February 2025 in Wajir and Garissa revealed significant improvements in local peace and governance dynamics in peace dividend locations. In Baragothey, 91.4 per cent of respondents reported a positive change attributed to the peace dividend initiative. In Modogashe, Garissa, 94.7% of those interviewed reported a decrease in conflict incidents since the project's inception. Additionally, 91% noted improved coordination between communities, security agencies, and government actors.

These findings suggest the project is contributing to tangible improvements in community security, trust in institutions, and collaboration with state actors—key indicators of outcome-level peacebuilding progress. Further evidence will be drawn from the second perception survey to better understand changes in attitudes, behaviours, and social cohesion across all three counties.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Midline Assessment- Wajir and Garissa-16_47_19.docx

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | more than 5. |

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Strengthened trust and cooperation between security agencies and communities to create a conducive and collaborative environment for human security, social cohesion and sustainable development.

Outcome 2:

Institutional including (donor) coordination capacities of county and national governments strengthened to contribute to a people-centred approach to promoting peace and sustainable development.

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:   *

Outcome 5:   *

Outcome 6:   *

Outcome 7:   *

Outcome 8:   *

Additional Outcomes   *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Strengthened trust and cooperation between security agencies and communities to create a conducive and collaborative environment for human security, social cohesion and sustainable development.

OC1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Proportion of people indicating improved security and community relations	Females 17% Males = 18% Youth = 16% Adults = 19% PWDs=24% Somalis= 18% Non-Somalis = 20%	5% improvement			Due to funding delays last year, the perception survey was postponed to late May 2025. We are currently awaiting the draft report.
1.2	Proportion of people indicating reduction in human rights violations by security agencies disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	Females = 26% Males = 26% Youth = 26% Adults = 27% PWDs=26% Somalis= 26% Non-Somalis = 30% Total population = 26%	5% improvement			Due to funding delays last year, the perception survey was postponed to late May 2025. We are currently awaiting the draft report.

1.3	Proportion of people indicating sustained engagements between clans to resolve emerging conflicts disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	Females = 57% Males = 59% Youth = 57% Adults = 60% PWDs=60% Somalis= 58% Non-Somalis = 74% Total population = 58%	5% improvement			Due to funding delays last year, the perception survey was postponed to late May 2025. We are currently awaiting the draft report.
1.4						
1.5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Enhanced civil-military coordination through training, dialogue and establishment of CIMIC structures, including women, youth and marginalised groups in the target counties, to foster dialogue and cooperation between communities and security agencies to address human security challenges.

Output 1.2

Inclusive assessment and analysis of security and human rights issues to inform project initiatives, risk mitigation and joint exploration of possible solutions to address identified issues

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Enhanced civil-military coordination through training, dialogue and establishment of CIMIC structures, including women, youth and marginalised groups in the target counties, to foster dialogue and cooperation between communities and security agencies to address human security challenges.

1.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	The number of CIMIC structures established and operationalized	0	3	N/A	3	Completed in 2024
1.1.2	Level of inclusivity of the newly established CISEC structures with focus on the LNOB (Leave No One Behind) groups	Structures do not exist	Women, youth and persons with disabilities are included	N/A	Structures were established: Mandera: 37 (31 male; 6 women) Wajir: 36 (25 men; 11 women) Garissa: 45 (36 men; 9 women)	Completed in 2024

1.1.3	Number of people trained on CISEC and human rights, disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	0	70	N.A	Mandera: 37 (31 male; 6 women) Wajir: 36 (25 men; 11 women) Garissa: 45 (36 men; 9 women)	Completed in 2024
1.1.4	Number of CISEC projects identified and implemented	0	3	2	3	
1.1.5						

» Output 1.2: Inclusive assessment and analysis of security and human rights issues to inform project initiatives, risk mitigation and joint exploration of possible solutions to address identified issues

1.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Number of human rights risk assessments and analysis reports developed and disbursed for consumption by project partners/structures**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**Delayed disbursement of grant to KNCHR and length internal approval processes**
1.2.2	**Number of project initiatives, risk mitigation strategies, and collaborative solutions developed based on inclusive security and human rights assessments**	**0**	**3 initiatives, risk mitigation strategies, or collaborative solutions developed**	**0**	**0**	**The baseline will be shared in a breakfast meeting in July. This will include a statement on recommendations on actions needed by relevant duty bearers.**
1.2.3	**Number of people trained on digital peacebuilding disaggregated by gender**	**0**	**45 (60 with an attrition rate of 5 per county)**	**49**	**73 (26 male, 19 female)** **Wajir: 24** **Mandera: 26** **Garissa: 23**	**Exceeded the target – trainings garnered a lot of interest in the three counties**

1. 2. 4	**Percentage of participants who report increased capacity to use online tools for peacebuilding**	**Familiarity with digital tools for online peacebuilding** **Wajir: 21%** **Garissa: 33%** **Mandera: 7%**	100%	**Data to be collected at the end of the online training programme**	**Data to be collected at the end of the online training programme**	**Data to be collected at the end of the online training programme**
1. 2. 5						

» Output 1.3:

1.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						
1.3.4						
1.3.5						

» Output 1.4:

1.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						
1.4.4						
1.4.5						

» Output 1.5:

1.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						
1.5.4						
1.5.5						

» Outcome 2: Institutional including (donor) coordination capacities of county and national governments strengthened to contribute to a people-centred approach to promoting peace and sustainable development.

OC2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Evidence of enhanced coordination capacities and active implementation of people-centered approaches by county and national governmental and non-governmental institutions to promote peace and sustainable development	Currently, there is a lack of effective coordination between development initiatives and communities in NE Kenya.	By the end of the project, peacebuilding and development initiatives in northeastern Kenya will demonstrate enhanced coordination and active implementation of people-centered approaches, with improved collaboration between county and national government agencies, NGOs, and local communities	Coordination improved through regular meetings, joint PSC, and reactivation of the North East Advisory Group. People-centered approaches evident in inclusive community dialogues, grassroots consultations, and use of LNOB data. Feedback shared with KNCHR and county officials. Data portal under development.	Baseline data collection has been completed, forming the foundation for future assessments. A second perception survey is expected to take place soon, which will help measure changes in attitudes, behaviors, and institutional dynamics	
2.2	Proportion of population indicating improved coordination of development interventions disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	Very Effective Females =37% Males = 40% Youth = 37% Adults = 40% PWD=34% Somalis= 38% Non-Somalis = 39% Total population = 38%	5% improvement	N/A	N/A	Due to funding delays last year, the perception survey was postponed to late May 2025. We are currently awaiting the draft report.

2.3						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

....

2.4						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

....

2.5						
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

....

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

....

Output 2.1

Improved access, by governments/donors, to knowledge and relevant perspectives to incorporate innovative integrated development that addresses the needs and priorities of the communities

Output 2.2

Functional cooperation mechanisms that link national, county and ward level to maximize synergies and enhance cooperation between the different levels

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: Improved access, by governments/donors, to knowledge and relevant perspectives to incorporate innovative integrated development that addresses the needs and priorities of the communities

2.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1	**Degree of improvement in inclusive data collection and management systems, focusing on Leave No One Behind (LNOB) groups, as evidenced by stakeholder feedback, system audits**	**Current data systems exhibit significant limitations, including limited availability of disaggregated data, fragmented and inaccessible data sources due to the absence of a central repository**	**Data collection and management systems will be improved to ensure the availability of disaggregated data, with a central repository established for greater accessibility, particularly focusing on LNOB groups.**	**Continuous grassroots-level data collection by CSO partners (e.g., RRDO and VCI) demonstrates strong inclusion of LNOB groups and is helping embed inclusive practices into local systems.**	**Data Sharing Framework has been initiated, and stakeholder consultations have informed the design of a more inclusive data system. A central digital data portal is in the process of being established to address fragmentation, and baseline data collection has been completed. Further stakeholder feedback and audits are expected to assess system effectiveness and inclusivity in the coming months.**	

2.1.2	Proportion of population indicating improved public participation and inclusion of community priority needs into the project implementation disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	Ever participated Females = 45% Males = 52% Youth = 49% Adults = 48% PWDs=45% Somalis= 48% Non-Somalis = 65% Total population = 49%	5% improvement	N/A	N/A	Due to funding delays last year, the perception survey was postponed to late May 2025. We are currently awaiting the draft report.
2.1.3	Extent to which stakeholders and development partners integrate community perspectives (perception surveys) into projects and plans	Regular perception surveys not being conducted	Community perspectives, particularly those of LNOB groups are factored into project and plans	Second perception survey report is currently being drafted. Once finalised, it will be shared with key actors/duty bearers.	First perception survey was finalised last year. This was not widely shared due challenges with quality and time constraints. Efforts have been made to improve the quality of the second survey and report.	
2.1.4	Number of perception survey reports conducted, published and disseminated to the public, government and local partners	0	3	1	2	Due to funding delays last year, the perception survey was postponed to late May 2025. We are currently awaiting the draft report.
2.1.5						

» Output 2.2: Functional cooperation mechanisms that link national, county and ward level to maximize synergies and enhance cooperation between the different levels

2.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	Level of efficiency of coordination mechanisms linking ward, subcounty, county and national levels across the peacebuilding and development spectrums	In Mandera and Wajir there are strong coordination mechanisms at county level, but the information is not feeding upwards to national level	Improved information sharing and coordination between county and national level	A second round of consultations took place in April/May 2025 led by the EOP.

One NEAG meeting and formation of a WhatsApp group bringing together stakeholders in the three counties | Two rounds of consultations have been conducted by EOP in the three counties. | |

2. 2. 2	**Digital Data Portal is created and key stakeholders (including NEDI GoK and CSOs) actively using the digital data platform for accessing and analyzing disaggregated data related to the Humanitarian-Development-Peace (HDP) nexus in target counties.**	**0**	**1**	**n/a**	**n/a**	**A firm was identified last year, but the contract was not issued due to funding constraints. The procurement was cancelled as it could not be finalized before the financial year closed. It was re-advertised in April 2025, with the technical review completed in May. However, the contract remains pending due to delays in the disbursement of the third tranche.**

2.2.3	Level of engagement of the executive office of the president (EOP) in coordinating development activities in Northeastern Kenya	The EOP currently lacks the capacity, information, and established trust necessary for effective coordination with communities, county governments, and international development partners	EOP will be strengthened to ensure improved coordination with communities, county governments and international development partners to build synergies, reduce duplication of efforts and ensure approaches to development interventions are harmonised	EOP has conducted a second round of consultations in the three counties, reestablished the North East Advisory Group and setup a WhatsApp group with key stakeholders. These efforts have enhanced EOP's coordination role, fostered trust with communities and stakeholders, and promoted a more harmonized approach to development in the region.	Two rounds of consultations held in the three counties.	
2.2.4	Number of coordination meetings held between county and national government stakeholders	0	4	1	1	In April 2025, the first North East Advisory Group meeting was held with support from the project.
2.2.5						

» Output 2.3:

2.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						
2.3.4						
2.3.5						

» Output 2.4:

2.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						
2.4.4						
2.4.5						

» Output 2.5:

2.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1:

3.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» Output 3.2:

3.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						
3.2.4						
3.2.5						

» Output 3.3:

3.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						
3.3.4						
3.3.5						

» Output 3.4:

3.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
3. 4. 1	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4. 2	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4. 3	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
3. 4. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 3.5:

3.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						
3.5.4						
3.5.5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» Output 4.2:

4.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						
4.2.4						
4.2.5						

» Output 4.3:

4.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
4.3.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
4.4.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.4.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.4.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.4.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.4.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 4.5:

4.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
4.5.4						
4.5.5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	North East Advisory Group meeting	July, Sept 2025	Nairobi	NEAG members and stakeholders	To discuss key issues facing NE Kenya and to improve coordination of development interventions
Event 2	Second round of digital peacebuilding trainings	Mid-August 2025	TBD	County stakeholders in Mandera, Garissa and Wajir	Solidify learnings from the first in-person training and the online training block. Evaluate the activity and collect human impact stories.
Event 3	KNCHR breakfast meeting	July 2025	Nairobi	National and county government stakeholders, development partners, CSOs	Presentation of the key findings from the human rights baseline assessment. This will include a statement on recommendations on actions needed by relevant duty bearers.
Event 4	High level meeting to reflect on the results of the project and launch of the data portal	October 2025	Nairobi	National and county government, developments partners, CSOs.	To reflect on the results and lessons learned from the project's implementation, and to officially launch the multi-stakeholder data portal as a tool for inclusive planning, coordination, and evidence-based decision-making in Northeastern Kenya.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Mr Hassan Osman, Chairman of the Garissa Peace Committee	Mr Osman spoke about the historically strained relationship between Somali pastoralist communities in Northeastern Kenya and the national government. He explained that trust has long been lacking, contributing to poor relations. However, he observed that this is beginning to change through a series of consultative meetings between communities and both national and county governments - including recent dialogues in Garissa facilitated by the Semi-Arid Resilience Network (SARN) with support from the project. He noted that communities now have a platform to report serious incidents directly to duty bearers, which is an important step toward improving accountability and rebuilding trust.	"The relationship between the communities and both national and county governments – it is not good, but to some extent, it is improving. It is improving through various consultative meetings organised by various actors and very recently by SARN, which have actually made a tremendous improvement on what was there before."

2	Zamzam Sirat, Programme Coordinator, Semi Arid Resilience Network (SARN) Garissa	Zamzam Sirat, a Programme Coordinator at the Semi-Arid Resilience Network (SARN) in Garissa, is a dedicated advocate for women's rights and inclusion. She explained that prior to the project, women's voices were largely absent from peace and security structures in the county. With support from the project, SARN was able to establish community feedback mechanisms that intentionally included women. Zamzam emphasized that with additional resources, she would push for women to be formally represented in local peace committees, a step she sees as critical for sustainable peace and gender-inclusive governance.	"The fact that we are mothers in the home, the fact that we get to understand, we get to see the early warnings of other signs of conflicts, we are able to mitigate that." "When we have women being part of the conversation, I believe we bring ideas, I believe we bring good recommendations to the peace committees."
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

In addition to the stakeholder-specific impacts described above, the project has contributed to some early signs of improved engagement between communities and government representatives, particularly through the series of consultative dialogues led by the Executive Office of the President.

These dialogues brought together elders, women, youth, and security actors to discuss local peace, security and development priorities. In interviews conducted with participants following the consultations in Garissa, many noted the importance of inclusion and the value of hearing diverse perspectives. Participants highlighted that different groups including women and youth have specific roles to play in promoting peace, and several appreciated the opportunity to contribute to government-led discussions on issues affecting their communities. While it is too early to assess the long-term impact of these engagements, they represent a positive step toward more participatory governance.

In addition, a midline assessment conducted by WFP on the peace dividend projects indicated that these interventions have contributed to improved relationships between communities and security actors in some areas. Communities reported feeling that the projects including the construction of water infrastructure addressed practical needs and helped reduce tensions over resources. In certain locations, there were fewer reports of insecurity incidents following implementation, though further monitoring is needed to determine whether this trend continues.

A second perception survey is currently being finalised and will offer a more detailed understanding of how community attitudes, trust in institutions, and perceptions of safety and inclusion may have shifted over the course of the project. This data will be important in capturing human impact more systematically and guiding future programming.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Wajir peace dividend project - water tank-17_7_28.jpeg

File 2
OPTIONAL

Wajir peace dividend project - water source-17_7_33.jpeg

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

☐ Enhanced digitization

☐ Innovative ways of working

☐ Mobilized additional resources

☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks

☐ Strengthened capacities

☑ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations

☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will

☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs

☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project partnered with local and grassroots civil society organizations to ensure community-driven implementation and strengthen accountability. Low-value grants were issued to WRAI, SARN, and Worthy Vision to enhance community feedback mechanisms, enabling more inclusive monitoring and responsiveness to local priorities. In addition, RRDO and VCI played a key role in implementing peace dividend projects and conducting grassroots-level data collection, ensuring that community perspectives directly informed project design and delivery. All of these organizations are based in Northeastern Kenya and have strong local networks, contributing to the project's credibility, reach, and sustainability.

Please explain one of the selected options
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain one of the selected options
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with   *

☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs

☑ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies

☑ Partnered with local civil society organizations

☐ Partnered with local academia

☐ Partnered with sub-national entities

☑ Partnered with national entities

☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain
Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project's human impact was strengthened through close collaboration among UN agencies, local CSOs, and national institutions. UNDP, WFP, and OHCHR worked together to deliver integrated support across peacebuilding, human rights, and development. Partnering with grassroots organizations such as RRDO and VCI enabled direct implementation of peace dividend projects and inclusive data collection at the community level. Grants to WRAI, SARN, and Worthy Vision supported local feedback and accountability mechanisms, ensuring community voices shaped project interventions. Nationally, engagement with the Executive Office of the President, KNCHR, and IPSTC helped institutionalize inclusive approaches and ensure government-led coordination with local stakeholders.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- [ ] Unemployed persons
- [x] Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- [x] Indigenous communities
- [ ] Persons with Disabilities
- [ ] Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- [x] Women
- [x] Youth
- [ ] Children
- [ ] Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- [x] People living in and around border areas
- [ ] Persons affected by natural disasters
- [ ] Persons affected by armed conflicts
- [ ] Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has maintained regular oversight through weekly Project Management Unit (PMU) meetings every Tuesday and bi-weekly technical meetings every Thursday with the three implementing agencies. These meetings have enabled continuous information sharing, coordination, and timely updates to support monthly reporting and activity tracking.

Due to slower implementation resulting from funding delays, project reports have been prepared and shared on a bi-monthly basis with agency heads and technical focal points to maintain transparency and alignment.

An internal midterm evaluation was conducted in March–April 2025 by the Knowledge Management and Communications Officer. The evaluation aimed to assess project progress, identify challenges, and guide the final implementation phase. Findings were presented to the Project Steering Committee in April. As part of the evaluation, a survey was disseminated via MS Forms to UN project staff, implementing partners, and both national and county government stakeholders. Across all groups, delayed disbursement of funds emerged as the most cited implementation challenge, with impacts on planning, timelines, and engagement.

WFP has also undertaken midline assessments in all three counties to evaluate the impact of peace dividends initiatives. A joint monitoring visit is planned for Garissa on 16 June 2025.

Additionally, while in Garissa for consultations with the Executive Office of the President, the Knowledge Management Officer conducted a monitoring visit with the Semi-Arid Resilience Network (SARN), meeting with the project lead and beneficiaries to assess the intervention's human impact on the ground.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes, baseline indicators have been established as of October. Rufmo Consulting conducted an evaluability assessment and a comprehensive perception survey to collect data specific to the project's outcomes. The perception survey, conducted with a representative sample from the targeted communities, gathered baseline data on key indicators including community trust in local governance, effectiveness of conflict resolution mechanisms, and perceived levels of community safety and inclusion. In addition, the survey assessed attitudes towards peacebuilding and community engagement in conflict prevention. This data forms the foundation for measuring progress toward outcome-level peacebuilding goals, providing a clear starting point against which future shifts in community perceptions, behaviour changes, and institutional impact can be tracked. The baseline data will be reevaluated in subsequent surveys to measure changes over time and refine our approach to achieving sustainable, community-driven peacebuilding outcomes.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

he following sources of evidence have been used to report on project indicators, and are available upon request: Baseline Assessment Report: Conducted by Rufmo Consulting, this report established the starting conditions for key indicators, focusing on community attitudes towards peacebuilding, social cohesion, and trust in institutions. It serves as the foundation for future comparisons to track progress. • First Perception Survey: This survey, part of the baseline assessment, provided data on community views regarding safety, governance, and peace efforts. It offers valuable insights into public trust and perceptions of local conflict dynamics, forming a baseline for future surveys. • Forthcoming Human Rights Baseline Assessment: This assessment, underway, focuses on the initial human rights conditions in the project areas, examining rights violations, vulnerabilities, and access to justice. It will complement peacebuilding efforts and help track improvements in human rights protection. • Digital Peacebuilding Training Survey: A pre-training survey was conducted before training in Wajir. It assessed participants' knowledge of digital peacebuilding before the course. • IPSTC CISEC Training Surveys: Surveys taken after CISEC training sessions measured participants' satisfaction and their ability to apply knowledge gained, particularly in civilian-security coordination. These surveys provide feedback on the effectiveness of the training

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information link

(●) Yes

(○) No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

As part of its commitment to results-based programming and evidence-based decision-making, the project has launched several outcome-level data collection initiatives, including the use of perception surveys. These efforts are designed to assess shifts in community attitudes, trust in institutions, and perceptions of peace and security, in line with the project's intended outcomes. To date, a baseline perception survey was completed in November 2024, and analysis is currently underway for the midline survey. A final perception survey will be conducted at the end of the project to assess overall progress and impact. In parallel, WFP has carried out a midline survey with beneficiaries in the same locations as the perception surveys. This exercise aims to measure changes in the security situation and levels of trust between security actors and communities before and after the intervention.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. link

(○) Yes

(●) No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- 🔘 Yes
- ⚪ No
- ⚪ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

80000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- 🔘 Yes
- ⚪ No
- ⚪ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The Terms of Reference (ToR) for the evaluation is currently being developed in line with the PBF guidelines to ensure alignment with the project's objectives and evaluation standards. The UNDP Project Management Support Unit (PMSU) will be consulted during the procurement process to support the selection of a qualified and experienced evaluation firm. In parallel, the project team is systematically documenting all monitoring activities, monthly reports, and key performance data. This includes the consolidation of perception survey findings, partner reports, field visit summaries, and outcome tracking to ensure that the evaluation team will have access to a complete and well-organized evidence base. These efforts aim to facilitate a smooth and efficient evaluation process, enabling a comprehensive assessment of project relevance, effectiveness, coordination, and sustainability.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	**Aideen Conway**	**UNDP**	**Knowledge Management and Comms**	**aideen.conway@undp.org**

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

○ ● Yes

○ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

1

1

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

Norway

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

1598578

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

● Yes

○ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

● Some catalytic effect

○ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

There is interest from stakeholders in the Northeastern region to follow up on and strengthen CISEC mechanisms after they were established by IPSTC.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has taken several practical steps to promote the sustainability of peacebuilding gains. Community structures such as Peace and Cohesion Committees, Water User Associations, and Rangeland Management Committees have been established and trained to manage resources and support local conflict resolution. In areas where peace dividends were delivered, targeted operation and maintenance (O&M) training has been conducted to equip communities to manage and sustain the infrastructure independently.

To strengthen institutional capacity, the project has supported the Executive Office of the President (EOP) to lead inclusive consultations in Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa, fostering greater trust between communities and government actors. This has helped institutionalize participatory approaches to governance that can continue beyond the project period. The project has also supported the revitalization of the North East Kenya Advisory Group, with regular meetings now reestablished. It is expected that the momentum gained will continue beyond the project cycle.

Regarding the data portal, the Terms of Reference for the selected firm explicitly include capacity-building for EOP to ensure sustainability. Once the contract is issued in July, training for government will be delivered as a core output. The platform is designed to support longer-term development coordination and evidence-based and conflict-sensitive planning.

As the project nears completion, an exit strategy will be developed to guide the responsible handover of activities, consolidate progress, and ensure continued coordination with local stakeholders.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The most significant challenge affecting project implementation has been delays in funding disbursement. These delays have not only disrupted activity timelines but have also had wider negative effects on staff morale, and the achievement of outcome-level results.

Implementing partners have voiced concern that the stop-start nature of implementation due to financial uncertainty has strained relationships with communities and stakeholders, undermining confidence in the project's ability to deliver on some of its commitments.

Internally, the funding gaps have reduced team morale and created an environment of uncertainty, affecting momentum and forward planning. Critical activities have had to be postponed or compressed into unrealistic timeframes, affecting quality and impact.

A key example is the development of the project's data portal - a central innovation intended to improve data transparency, coordination, and decision-making. Due to funding delays, we were unable to issue the contract to the selected firm last year, and while procurement was relaunched and technically reviewed in May 2025, the lack of funds has again stalled progress.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Evaluability assessment	Rufmo consulting conducted an evaluability assessment for the project last year - this provided the project with baseline data.	FGDs KIIs and document reviews were conducted. MEAL plan was reviewed and baseline data was established.
Event 2	First perception survey	Rufmo consulting conducted a perception survey last year targeting marginalised groups in Northeastern Kenya	Provided perception based data on peace and security in Northeastern Kenya
Event 3	WFP Midline assessment	In early 2025, WFP in partnership with RRDO and VCI collected midline perception data from communities where peace dividend projects were implemented.	The data showed a positive increase in trust among communities and security actors. Additionally, a reduction in security incidents was recorded.
Event 4	Project Steering Committee meetings	1 PSC was conducted in the past 6 months	This provided project oversight from senior management. Results, risks and challenges were presented.
Event 5	Grantees monitoring visits	County project officers in the three counties conducted regular monitoring visits to the CSOs in their respective counties. They shared updates in weekly PMU meetings and followed up on any challenges	These meetings/engagements provided integral oversight, ensuring activities were implemented smoothly
Event 6			

Event 7			

Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.