

PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguiimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☒ Semi-annual
☐ Annual
☐ Final
☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-06-13

Name and title of person submitting the report *

EDWARD AMPRATWUM, PROGRAMME SPECIALIST, UNDP

E-mail of person submitting the report *

edward.ampratwum@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

SHAIMA HUSSEIN, DEPUTY RESIDENT REPRESENTATIVE, UNDP GHANA

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

*

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation

- | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea |
| <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | | |

Other, please specify

Project Title

- ☒ 00140299: Enhancing social cohesion and social contract through empowerment of women and youth in three northern regions of Ghana.
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-09-06

Project End Date *

2025-08-29

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☒ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request?

*

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which

*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☒ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

*

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM) | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify |

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

12

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

12

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

National Peace Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

360000.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

322297.46

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

30607.46

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The National Peace Council (NPC) trained existing women and youth groups, networks, and community leaders to enhance their leadership and advocacy capacities. The training aimed to empower participants to become champions for peace and advocates for inclusive local governance and peacebuilding processes. Participants were equipped with knowledge on local governance structures, effective advocacy for local development, ethical principles of community advocacy, and their roles as peace ambassadors within their communities.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

North East Regional Coordinating Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

113139.75

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

72320.46

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

39353.06

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The North East Regional Coordinating Council (NERCC) organized one-day social dialogue forums in Bunkpurugu-Nakpanduri, Chereponi, and Yunyoo-Nasuan districts, engaging 107 participants (23 females, 84 males) from security agencies, traditional authorities, and community structures. The forums assessed collaboration levels and strengthened early warning and response systems, addressing root causes of conflict—particularly tribal, land, and chieftaincy disputes. These dialogues reinforced community-based conflict resolution approaches, resulting in the peaceful resolution of tensions in Naalong, Mambaga, and Nakpanduri.

Additionally, a one-day capacity-building session was held for 108 participants (17 females, 91 males) from health, social welfare, and justice institutions. The training aimed to upgrade service delivery and establish rights-based referral pathways. It improved participants' understanding of key principles such as non-discrimination, accountability, inclusivity, and participation. The session also enhanced awareness of institutional mandates, fostered inter-agency coordination, and laid the foundation for integrated services and equitable access to justice and social support systems.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Upper East Regional Coordinating Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

117358.42

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

103972.8

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

39702.28

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Organized a one-day capacity building to upgrade service packages to establish referral pathways for rights based integrated services. 90 (30females and 60males) participants from Ghana Health Institutions, social and justice institutions. Resultantly, District leaders at the training pledged to aid public service providers with hotlines to improve service delivery, improved networking as participants from multiple districts emphasized teamwork and exchanged contact numbers to overcome operational silos. The training enabled public service providers to begin service delivery practices promoting a more inclusive and responsive system for vulnerable populations. Participants increased their awareness of the mandates and service offerings of various institutions and laid the foundation for improved coordination, service integration and establishment of effective pathways to ensure equitable access to services and strengthen social cohesion in targeted districts

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period 4

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Upper West Regional Coordinating Council

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

92585.11

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

78407.11

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

34430.99

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The training enhanced participants' knowledge of public institution's available services across Sissala West and Wa West. 100 (33females and 67males) participants increased their awareness of the mandate and service offerings of the various institutions. The outcomes laid the foundation for improved coordination, service integration and the establishment of effective pathways to ensure equitable access to service and strengthen social cohesion in the targeted districts.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☒ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Centre for Democratic Development Ghana

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

326785.95

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

273536.11

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

180678.44

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

The capacity of local government officials, traditional authorities, and religious leaders was enhanced through targeted training sessions on gender-responsive participatory planning, inclusive local economic development, and conflict management. These sessions provided practical tools to integrate gender perspectives into district planning, promote equitable economic initiatives, and adopt conflict-sensitive approaches in community engagement. As a result, participants reported improved understanding of inclusive governance, stronger coordination with community stakeholders, and greater capacity to mediate disputes and foster social cohesion.

6

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☒ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

West Africa Network for Peacebuilding Ghana

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

135165.90

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

122824.06

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

48448.95

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

During the reporting period, WANEP-Ghana implemented a series of peacebuilding and security sensitization activities across at-risk border communities. Two live radio discussions were aired on Scarp FM and ReCAS FM in the Bunkprugu/Nakpanduri and Bongo districts, engaging community stakeholders and security personnel as panelists. These discussions, conducted in local languages using pre-developed guides, were complemented by rebroadcasted radio jingles on six partner stations, reaching approximately 690,000 listeners in 24 project communities.

Community peace forums combined with fun games were held in seven communities, including Nakpanduri, Yonyoo, and Jeffisi, engaging 986 participants (425 males, 561 females). These forums fostered dialogue between community members and security actors, strengthening trust and collaboration through culturally relevant engagement such as football matches.

Bi-annual reflection meetings were conducted in eight districts, with 244 participants (160 males, 84 females) assessing project impacts. Key outcomes included improved community-security collaboration and increased minority inclusion, notably the involvement of Fulbe members in leadership.

Additionally, town hall forums were held in 24 communities, engaging 243 participants (140 males, 103 females) to address security concerns such as smuggling and conflict triggers. These forums facilitated improved trust, inclusion of women and youth, and the development of local strategies to strengthen early warning and response systems.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☒ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

META FOUNDATION

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

59867.48

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

59867.48

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41153.36

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

META Foundation implemented key activities across nine communities in Garu, Bawku West, and Bongo districts. After community sensitization that reached 486 participants, 240 beneficiaries (comprising 150 women and 90 youth) were profiled and selected for livelihood support. A total of 190 individuals (120 women, 70 youth) were trained in agro-processing, basket weaving, tailoring, hairdressing, guinea fowl and goat rearing, dry-season farming, phone repairs, and soap making.

Essential start-up inputs and tools were distributed, including 30 sewing machines and 15 hair dryers to 45 women, soap-making kits to 25 women and youth, 30 women received basket weaving materials, and 25 youth received phone repair toolkits. Additionally, 50 women and youth (15 women and 10 youth in goat rearing; 25 youth in guinea fowl rearing) were targeted for livestock support.

Four women and youth groups (80 members: approx. 60 women, 20 youth) received vegetable seeds and water pumps to boost dry-season farming. Signposts were erected for project visibility. Monitoring showed strong community support despite challenges such as insecurity in Bawku and high demand exceeding resources. Overall, the project advanced inclusive local development, empowered marginalized women and youth, and strengthened resilience through practical livelihood interventions.

8

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

CAPACITY ENHANCEMENT AND COMMUNITY SUPPORT

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41050.00

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

CAPECS implemented key components of the Livelihoods for Cohesion (L4C) project across six communities in Wa West and Sissala West districts. A total of 160 beneficiaries (124 females, 36 males) were selected through a transparent process involving Community Livelihood Improvement Committees (CLICs). The selection included three female asylum seekers from the Zini Refugee Camp.

Beneficiaries were grouped into eight VSLAs and trained in savings principles, group management, and governance. Weekly mentoring ensured proper savings operations and record-keeping. Livelihood assets including sewing machines, hairdressing kits, weaving tools, baking and processing equipment, and livestock were distributed to beneficiaries. Local artisans supplied part of the tools, boosting community economies.

Business development and financial literacy training was conducted by the Ghana Enterprise Agency, with 160 beneficiaries and CLIC members participating. Additional sessions focused on branding, pricing, and registration. Most beneficiaries have begun using their tools and generating income.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☒ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Plan Parenthood Association of Ghana (PPAG)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

75446.28

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

61893

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

10864

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Provided technical and oversight support for the 2025 workplan formation of CSO partners to ensure alignment and synergies with project objectives as well as tracking of results for enhanced sustainability.

10

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Youth Harvest Foundation Ghana

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

86555.68

*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

105774.46

*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

55898.3

*

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Follow up interactions with facilitators and champions against GBV that were trained last year has ensured that continuous partnerships work against negative gender norms

*

11

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

ProNet North

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

151598.59

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

69313.38

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

26921.25

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Monitoring reported that the principles of the HRBA that addresses power imbalances, discrimination, and inequalities, promoting social cohesion, meaningful participation, and accountability was implemented as minority tribes like the Fulbes now participate in community meetings and contribute to groups such as the village savings and loans associations.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

HOLISTIC DEVELOPMENT ORGANISATION

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

49258.00

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

During the reporting period, HOLIDO implemented livelihood and peacebuilding interventions in nine communities across Chereponi, Bunkpurugu-Nakpanduri, and Yunyoo-Nasuan Districts. The organization supported 15 young artisans (13 women, 2 men) with startup equipment and refresher training in dressmaking and hairdressing. In total, 65 beneficiaries (61 women, 4 men) in agro-processing and petty trading received business support and capacity building. Additional support included branding, marketing, coaching, mentorship, and financial education. HOLIDO formed 10 Savings with Education (SwE) groups, engaging 278 members (235 women, 43 men). The SwE model fostered both financial literacy and peace dialogue at the community level. 20 facilitators (10 women, 10 men) and 20 community volunteers (10 women, 10 men) were trained in peacebuilding, gender inclusion, and PVE awareness. In agriculture, 10 dry-season farmers (7 women, 3 men) were trained in climate-smart practices and supported with inputs. Furthermore, 30 farmers (16 women, 14 men) were trained in small ruminant management and received 60 goats. To ensure sustainability, veterinary services and monitoring were provided.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$)	Transfers to date (in full US \$)	Expenditure to date (in full US \$)	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
	<i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	<i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	<i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	

[illegible]

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2999960.42	2999961	1991083.06	66.3
				7%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **66.37%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

Correct

Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

71

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

66.4

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1991973.72**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1992273.71

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1322079.15**. Is this correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

1619433.58

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Financial Report_Copy of Copy of Annex D PBF Project progress report updated for annual report 13062025-Final-19



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

*

Select all that apply

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☒ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Within the mid-term review, a one-day in-person/virtual steering committee meeting was organized on the 29th January 2025. The meeting was purported to showcase the progress of work against targets, seek the approval of workplans and no cost extension for the PBF project. The meeting brought together the various members of the committee to include UNFPA, UNDP(Chair), Ministry of Local Government, Chieftaincy and Religious Affairs, National Peace Council, USAID, Northern Development Authority, NETRIGHT, National Youth Authority, and AfriYAN. Others including the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ministry of Gender Children and Social Protection and the World Bank were absent. the Steering Committee at the end resolved on the following:

- 1. The 2025 work plan was tentatively approved, pending the submission and review of a revised work plan for final approval. It was noted that there was a need for sustainability with regard to the ongoing activities being implemented.**
- 2. Committee members stressed that the work plan reflects lessons learned and challenges faced during implementation and a section for the mitigation should be added. Additionally, an evaluation component which would allow any Agency to have their evaluation conducted once the Agency has completed implementation should be included in the work plan.**
- 3. The co-chair requested the project team to explore ways to ensure that government structures and other development partners and CSOs can have access and effectively utilize the toolkit, baseline assessment, and other knowledge products that have been produced by the project.**
- 4. Steering Committee discussed and approved a no cost extension for the project to enable the successful completion of the project. However, the approval is contingent upon the successful negotiation and agreement between the implementing agencies regarding the duration of a no-cost extension.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Over the past six months, the project has maintained robust engagement with government stakeholders at the national, regional, and district levels. These engagements have been critical in promoting local ownership, ensuring alignment with national development priorities, and reinforcing the sustainability of project interventions.

At the national level, the project has continued its strategic collaboration with key institutions, including the Ministry of Local Government, Decentralisation and Rural Development (MLGDRD), the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Protection (MoGCSP), the National Peace Council (NPC), and the National Development Planning Commission (NDPC). Notably, representatives from these institutions actively participated in the Project Steering Committee Meeting held in Accra in March 2025. During this meeting, they provided policy direction, reviewed progress, and reaffirmed their commitment to supporting project outcomes related to inclusive governance, youth and women empowerment, and social cohesion. The NPC, in particular, has played a central role in overseeing the establishment and operationalization of Community Peace Committees in selected districts to strengthen local conflict prevention mechanisms.

At the regional level, the project worked closely with the Regional Coordinating Councils (RCCs) of the Upper East, Upper West, and North East regions. These engagements included technical meetings and joint monitoring missions to assess the integration of Community Action Plans into district-level Medium-Term Development Plans (MTDPs). In April 2025, RCC focal persons participated in a two-day regional learning workshop organized in Bolgatanga, where they shared lessons and strategies for institutionalizing gender-responsive and inclusive planning frameworks.

At the district level, the project has strengthened partnerships with District Assemblies in all 24 targeted districts. District Planning Officers, Budget Analysts, and Social Welfare Officers participated in a series of capacity-building workshops on Gender-Responsive Planning and Budgeting, organized between February and April 2025. These sessions enhanced their ability to apply Human Rights-Based Approach (HRBA) principles in development planning. Additionally, officials from the Ghana Health Service, Ghana Water Company, Department of Agriculture, and Department of Social Welfare were trained on integrating inclusive service delivery into their routine programming. These engagements culminated in the development of localized referral pathways to improve access to rights-based and gender-sensitive services.

Through these multi-level engagements, the project has not only advanced its core objectives but also reinforced government capacities to deliver inclusive, accountable, and responsive governance services, particularly to marginalized women and youth.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

<p>Contracting of partners *</p> <div> <input type="radio"/> Not Started <input type="radio"/> Initiated <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed </div> <div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable </div>
<p>Staff Recruitment *</p> <div> <input type="radio"/> Not Started <input type="radio"/> Initiated <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed </div> <div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable </div>
<p>Collection of baselines *</p> <div> <input type="radio"/> Not Started <input type="radio"/> Initiated <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed </div> <div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable </div>
<p>Identification of beneficiaries *</p> <div> <input type="radio"/> Not Started <input type="radio"/> Initiated <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed </div> <div> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable </div>
<p>Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters</i></p> <p>The project since inception has been progressing steadily, with all preliminary and preparatory activities successfully completed and has been fully operational since 2024. Key milestones achieved include:</p> <p>Human resources and partnerships: All project staff to be recruited under this project, including the National UN Volunteer and Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Officer have been recruited and are actively supporting implementation since 2024.</p> <p>All Implementing Partners (IP) have been formally contracted and are actively implementing project activities since 2024. This IPs includes the National Peace Council, WANEP-Ghana, CDD-Ghana, the Regional Coordinating Councils of Upper East, Upper West, and North East, Holistic Development Organisation, Meta Foundation, Capacity Enhancement and Community Support, Planned Parenthood Association of Ghana, Youth Harvest Foundation, and ProNet North.</p> <p>Community targeting and alignment: Community selection for project implementation has been completed in close consultation with key stakeholders, ensuring strategic alignment with complementary initiatives such as the World Bank's Gulf of Guinea Northern Regions Social Cohesion (SOCO) Project and USAID interventions in northern Ghana.</p> <p>The project since 2024 have been fully operational, delivering interventions across the target regions with strong collaboration between partners, communities, and government stakeholders. To ensure synergies between project partners, a two-day joint partners technical review meeting was held by UNDP and UNFPA, bringing together UNDP and UNFPA IPs and UN staff. This included various organizations such as CDD-Ghana, WANEP-Ghana, National Peace Council, regional peace councils, coordinating councils, Meta Foundation, Youth Harvest Foundation, and others, to ensure a cohesive approach to achieve results, ensuring resource efficiency, improving financial and reporting accuracy in line with the results framework and budget, as well as documenting challenges to promote a more collaborative effort to programme implementation.</p>

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

*

☐ Yes

☒ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The implementation of this catalytic project has encountered several challenges, prompting a request for a six-month No Cost Extension, as recommended and approved by the project Board on 29 January 2025.

First, ongoing conflicts in some targeted project areas have restricted the movement of implementing partners and UN staff. The prolonged Kussasi-Mamprusi conflict in the Bawku area of Ghana's Upper East region has impacted project districts such as Bawku West and Garu. Additionally, conflicts in the Cheriponi, Bunkpurugu, and Nankpanduri areas of the Northeast region have slowed the pace of implementation for specific project activities. Travel restrictions and curfews in conflict-affected communities, including bans on using motorbikes—often the primary mode of transport due to limited public bus services—have hindered implementing partners' ability to effectively mobilize target beneficiaries and engage with communities.

Secondly, activities outlined under Outcome 3, which focus on the relationship between security personnel and civilians, were suspended. This suspension was partly due to the reassignment of security agencies at short notice to maintain peace and order in areas outside the project communities, preventing security personnel from engaging directly with communities amid ongoing violence. This security concern also affected the selection of beneficiaries for livelihood support programs, which are crucial for building the resilience of women and youth. In communities where conflicts re-escalated, initiatives were put on hold due to disagreements among feuding factions regarding the locations of these initiatives within the project districts.

Thirdly, the 2024 General Elections in Ghana impacted the mobilization of participants in the project districts. Although elections were anticipated as a risk to the project and mitigated accordingly, we did not foresee the considerable impact these elections would have on our activities. To address this risk, the implementation teams opted to prioritize sensitive politically-related activities during the first three quarters of the year. As the elections drew near, the stakes escalated. The ruling party, having completed two terms, sought to maintain its power by adopting strategies that heightened tensions, even resulting in the suspension of Parliament. This situation increased the political climate, prompting political actors from all sides to ramp up their local activities beginning in June instead of waiting until October 2024. Many local government officials involved in our project also held positions within political parties, further complicating matters. To avoid the project being perceived as politically aligned and to ensure we effectively reached our target groups, we needed to tread carefully to maximize impact and ensure value for money. Consequently, some previously scheduled meetings with beneficiaries were canceled due to the emergence of unexpected political campaigns in the same communities. These rallies diverted beneficiaries' attention, despite early preparations and confirmed dates. For instance, training for women and youth in peacebuilding was postponed twice due to active political campaigns that shifted focus to other considerations. For more details, please refer to pages 31-32 of the proposal document under 'Risk Management'. Importantly, the election outcome, which transitioned power from the previous ruling NPP government to the opposition NDC in January 2025, further affected project implementation. Political transition was not explicitly addressed in the risk mitigation strategies because the interventions occur at the local level. Historically, when a new government takes office, it does not impact local positions until about the end of the second quarter or the third quarter of the first year. At the time of the project design, we anticipated that the project would be nearing closure, minimizing the potential impact of the transition. However, the current government moved quickly, terminating the appointments of all districts chief executives and regional ministers. Thus, all government officials including regional ministers, chief executives, and district coordinating directors overseeing the project areas previously engaged at the regional and local levels concerning activities under outcomes 1 and 3 of the project have either lost their positions or been abruptly reassigned. New regional ministers have been appointed, and district chief executives are still being selected by the new administration. The project team is actively engaging with these new public officials to familiarize them with the project, its goals, and its expected impacts. It is crucial to note that the project team and our implementing partners are prioritizing engagement with these newly appointed and acting officials to ensure sustainable implementation.

Finally, recent global shifts and associated austerity measures at the beginning of the project's second year contributed to delays in transferring the second tranche of funding. In line with project requirements, the request for the transfer of the final tranche was made in February 2025, with payment received at the end of April 2025. Additionally, the Steering Committee of the PBF project requested a re-evaluation and realignment of activities to strengthen systems and empower local structures for sustained results, reflecting the austerity measures and the suspension or termination of complementary USAID-funded projects focused on mediation and conflict resolution in the Bunkpurugu and Nankpanduri districts, as well as lessons learned during implementation. This led to the

renegotiation of interventions and activities with implementing partners, resulting in rescheduled implementation timelines.

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Outcome 1: 120 district-level stakeholders (112 Male, 8 women) have enhanced the capacity to integrate gender perspectives into local development processes through a training on gender-responsive participatory planning and budgeting. Participants demonstrated improved knowledge and practical skills in applying gender analysis tools and understanding gender-responsive budgeting frameworks after the training. The initiative led to the development of district-specific gender strategies and actionable gender plans, which address key gaps from previous development plans and will inform the next Medium-Term Development Plans.

Additionally, 298 (80females and 218males) service providers from public service institutions including health, social and justice institutions are enhancing service packages for improved service delivery and referral pathways in the 8 PBF districts after they received capacity building. Again, the training has promoted awareness among service providers, resulting in one of them; the National Health Insurance Authority to establish service hotline(s) to promote access to services by community members. Public service providers now integrate the right based approaches into their day to day activities. In addition, there is improved coordination among service providers ensuring equitable access to services, which has strengthened social cohesion in the targeted districts. In Bongo, Bawku west and Bunkpurugu for example, The District Chief Executives (DCEs) and the District Coordinating Directors (DCDs), excited about the initiative, made a commitment to support the public service providers to acquire hotlines to enhance service quality in their respective districts. Participants of North East, Upper East and Upper West regions used the platform for the training to address the issue of 'working in silos' by service providers, exchanging mobile and email contacts to facilitate the strengthening of teamwork and partnership for improved impact on communities. The introduction of the service pathways to addressing gender-based violence and SRHR cases was welcomed by participants as a novelty to replicate different service packages in their outfits. It is expected that by June 30, 2025, this development of various service pathways would be accomplished. The Gender Based Referral Pathway is reducing vulnerabilities and strengthening community resilience.

Outcome 2: To improve household income, and foster social cohesion. 824 direct beneficiaries (512 women and 305 men) across 24 communities made up of women, youth, and vulnerable groups including asylum seekers and minority groups have benefitted from livelihood support programmes. Some of these beneficiaries who have had previously received skill training but had no tools to work with, are now able to work and earn income, with some even employing additional hands with the tools they have provided. The focus areas for skills training include Artisanal and , Vocational Skills, business management and financial literacy, climate-smart agriculture, agro-processing and trading, weaving, branding and marketing, and small ruminant management. Some of the tools provided to beneficiaries include sewing machines, dressmakers and hairdressing kits, weaving sets, electrical and carpentry tools, baking and groundnut processing equipment, livestock and other raw materials, welding tools among others. In addition, financial systems support was provided to community-led Savings with Education (SwE) groups involving 454 (363 women and 91 men) beneficiaries. As a result of this intervention, artisans have sharpened their technical skills and opened viable income streams; farmers have adopted resilient agricultural practices; and traders and processors have enhanced their market competitiveness. In addition, the SwE initiative for example is being leveraged by community members to engage in dialogue around peacebuilding and the prevention of violent extremism, further reinforcing social cohesion and collective development.

OUTCOME 3: Collaboration between stakeholders and security actors is becoming stronger. With enhanced capacities of 487 community key stakeholders and security officials Adults: 393 (249 male, 144 female); Youth: 94 (51 male, 43 female) (300 male, 187 women) conflict prevention, management and PVE early-warning systems, is resulting in human rights protection, trust in security operations, and use of ADR mechanisms. To ensure sustainability of these efforts, community driven action plans have been developed and are being implemented, yielding tangible impacts by strengthening collaboration between security agencies, women, youth and traditional leaders and mitigating conflicts in some of these communities. Through strengthened community-led forums and socio-educational platforms, 986 community members involving Adults: 345 (137 men, 208 women) and Youth: 641 (288 male, 353 female) enhanced their understanding of shared responsibilities in maintaining security and peaceful co-existence. Social cohesion activities, including fun games, were held with active participation from 986 community members, fostering greater trust and collaboration between civilians and security agencies. This improved relationship has enhanced early detection and response to incidents of social conflict and violent

extremism.

Social Dialogue Fora platforms established in the 3 PBF districts in the North-East Region is being used by security personnel, traditional leaders, youth networks, women's groups, and the local governance representatives to discuss pertinent contextual issues such as tribal, land and chieftaincy disputes. In addition, a Coordination Framework developed is helping to clarify the roles and communication channels among security agencies, traditional authorities, and community leaders. This is facilitating timely information sharing and joint action during potential crises, strengthening early warning and response systems. Also, the dialogue platform led to the adoption of standardized reporting procedures for early warning signals at the community level resulted in a commitment from community leaders to provide more regular and detailed reports on emerging tensions, which are promptly communicated to security agencies for swift action.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project recognizes gender equality and youth inclusion as fundamental pillars for sustainable development, peacebuilding, and inclusive local governance. From inception, deliberate efforts have been made to ensure that women and youth are not only beneficiaries but active participants in shaping decision-making and accountability mechanisms. However, challenges in representation due to gender norms and generational hierarchies persist. A clear illustration of these dynamics emerged during the training on Gender-Responsive Participatory Planning for local governance actors. Of the 120 participants drawn from the District Planning and Coordination Units (DPCUs) and leaders of community-based social accountability networks and peer learning platforms, only 8 participants (6.7%) were women, while 21 participants (17.5%) were youth. This is because, almost all of the heads of the public institutions and local governance structures are males due to the patriarchal nature of the society. For example, in the North-East region out of the 107 leadership of service providers only 23 were females. This skewed representation underscores the existing structural barriers that limit the full participation of these groups in governance and development processes.

To address these gaps, the project has employed several strategies aimed at promoting inclusivity. The project working with district assemblies and traditional authorities advocate for the nomination and inclusion of more women and youth in local level governance processes.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results (6000 characters):

One of the most notable outcome-level changes is the transformation in the relationship between communities and security personnel in at-risk border areas. Before the intervention, interactions between civilians and security officials were characterized by mistrust, limited communication, and perceived impunity. Through 24 town hall forums and community peace and trust-building forums across 7 communities, involving 1,473 direct participants, the project facilitated open dialogue between civilians and security actors (e.g., Ghana Police, Immigration Service, National Intelligence Bureau). These platforms enabled community members to ask critical questions about police responsiveness, access to justice, and border security concerns—leading to increased transparency and mutual understanding. Community members now share information with security officials more freely. In one community, a Fulbe youth was appointed to a leadership position within a local governance committee, marking the first time a member of this ethnic group held such a role. This structural change is a major milestone in promoting social cohesion and dismantling entrenched biases in the participating districts.

The enhanced capacities of stakeholders across the districts played a pivotal role in enhancing early warning and response mechanisms, contributing directly to conflict prevention and resolution. Through effective information sharing, traditional leaders and assembly members proactively engaged with security agencies, providing timely intelligence that informed interventions such as in the cases of Gberuk and Gbaingbani ultimately facilitating constructive dialogue between conflicting parties. The enactment of bye-laws emerged as a practical outcome of stakeholder collaboration, exemplified by the consensus reached at the Mambabga chief's palace. Community leaders jointly agreed on and enforced bye-laws to prevent recurring disputes over a market space, resolving a persistent conflict that has existed over the years. As a result, after initial enforcement actions against violators, the market has remained peaceful, demonstrating improved local governance and conflict prevention.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

1. UNDP, WANEP-Ghana offer training on conflict, violent extremism early warning - Graphic Online 2. WANEP-Ghana trains border communities on illicit activities | Ghana News Agency 3. <https://gna.org.gh/2025/01/meta-foundation-supports-basket-weavers-with-straw-accessories-in-bongo/> 4. <https://gna.org.gh/2025/02/bongo-women-youth-receive-start-up-kits-to-build-resilience-against-extremism/> 5. HOLIDO, UNDP present livelihood support items to 75 women, youth in North East Region | Ghana News Agency 6. LOM TV GH - UNDP and HOLIDO Empower Women and Youth in... | Facebook 7. <https://www.facebook.com/share/v/18tizaxxDc/?mibextid=wwXlfr> 8. <https://www.dreamzfmonline.com/news/meta-foundation-provides-work-tools-to-45-dressmakers-and-hairdressers-in-bongo-district/index.html> 9. Strengthening Gender-Responsive Planning and Budgeting in Local Communities to Build Inclusive Governance | United Nations Development Programme 10. Use the UNDP livelihood enhancement equipment to benefit the communities | Ghana News Agency 11. CAPECS trains 26 SMCs in Wa West District 12. https://x.com/wanep_ghana/status/1871524333958595038?s=48 13. WANEP Ghana | WANEP_Ghana with support from the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund through UNDP and UNFPA, facilitated community Peace, Confidence and... | Instagram 14. WANEP Ghana - WANEP_Ghana, with support from the United... | Facebook 15. https://x.com/wanep_ghana/status/1871519088411079036?s=48 16. WANEP Ghana - WANEP_Ghana with support from the United... | Facebook 17. https://x.com/wanep_ghana/status/1871517319295603062?s=48 18. WANEP Ghana - WANEP_Ghana with support from the United... | Facebook 19. https://x.com/wanep_ghana/status/1867615652246434280?s=48 20. WANEP Ghana | WANEP_Ghana with support from the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund through UNDP and UNFPA, facilitated community Peace, Confidence and... | Instagram 21. WANEP Ghana | WANEP_Ghana with support from the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund through UNDP and UNFPA, facilitated a training on Early Warning... | Instagram 22. WANEP Ghana - WANEP_Ghana with support from the United... | Facebook 23. WANEP Ghana - WANEP_Ghana with support from the United... | Facebook 24. https://x.com/wanep_ghana/status/1864715801015541886?s=48 25. WANEP Ghana | WANEP_Ghana with support from the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund through UNDP and UNFPA, facilitated community Peace, Confidence and... | Instagram 26. WANEP Ghana - WANEP_Ghana with support from the United... | Facebook 27. <https://3news.com/news/ner-unfpa-trains-public-service-providers-on-quality-service-delivery/> 28. <https://channel1news.com/2025/06/07/n-e-un-govt-launch-peace-building-project-to-improve-service-delivery/?noamp=available> 29. <https://mailchi.mp/e4d7a2fbcbbbe/youth-peace-and-security-advancements-across-west-and-central-africa-34911?e=8d2f6036d7> 30. https://drive.google.com/file/d/12FfD0ytV8pFh1h-EVvQkOS_wAXlIi6KF/view?usp=sharing

File attachment

Links for Semi-annual report-20_1_37.docx



PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

*

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: Local governance institutions, actors, and customary governance structures are more inclusive, responsive, committed and accountable to deliver on their mandate for quality services.	*
Outcome 2: Women and youth are more resilient to conflict triggers and fully and meaningfully participate in peace building, local governance and economic development processes.	*
Outcome 3: State actors and non-state actors positively collaborate through the use of early warning systems to prevent conflict	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all outcome and output indicators in the table below. <ul style="list-style-type: none">Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Local governance institutions, actors, and customary governance structures are more inclusive, responsive, committed and accountable to deliver on their mandate for quality services.

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Indicator 1a The level of public confidence in the delivery of quality basic services in the target districts disaggregated by sex and age. 1.Not Confident 2.Moderately confident 3.Confident 4.Very confident	Community members Male = 2 Female = 3 Youth Male = 2 Female = 2	Community members Male = 3 Female = 4 Youth Male = 3 Female = 3	N/A		A perception Survey is underway to confirm progress
1. 2	Indicator 1b Percentage of community members (m/f) in the targeted districts expressing improvements in local government accountability, and responsiveness.	Accountability % of Male = 38 % of Female = 50.5 Responsiveness: % of Male = 35.8 % of Female = 50.5	Accountability: % of Male = 50 % of Female = 60 Responsiveness: % of Male = 50 % of Female = 60			
1. 3						

1. 4						
.....						
1. 5						
.....						
How many outputs does outcome 1 have?						
1 2 <div>3</div> 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 1						
.....						
Output 1.1 Capacity of local governance actors and traditional/religious leaders enhanced in gender-responsive participatory planning, local economic development and conflict management.						
.....						
Output 1.2 Systems and processes for dialogue enabled to foster collaboration among local government institutions, customary governance structures and community representatives for inclusive decision-making.						
.....						
Output 1.3 Accountability mechanisms developed/enhanced for local authorities' increased transparency in delivering inclusive and equitable public services.						
.....						
Output 1.4						
.....						
Output 1.5						
.....						
Other Outputs						
If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here						
.....						

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Capacity of local governance actors and traditional/religious leaders enhanced in gender-responsive participatory planning, local economic development and conflict management.**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Indicator 1.1.1 Extent to which local government actors and customary governance structures demonstrate improved knowledge and skills on participatory planning and responsive governance. Scale: 1.Not at all 2.Very little 3.Some what 4.Great Extent	3.Some what	4.Great Extent	3.Some what	3. Some What	A perception Survey is underway to confirm progress

1. 1. 2	Indicator 1.1.2 Number of partnership mechanisms in place for investment in targeted local communities including any direct collaboration with WB and USAID projects.	12 (At least one per district)	16 (At least 2 per district)	4	4
1. 1. 3	Indicator 1.1.3 Number of Traditional Authorities (TA) and Religious Leaders (RL) implementing gender-transformative initiatives in their communities to eliminate discriminatory gender and socio-cultural norms.	TA = 2 RE = 1	TA: 8 (At least one per district) RE: 16 (At least 2 per district)	TA = 14 RE = 11	TA=14 RE=11
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» **Output 1.2: Systems and processes for dialogue enabled to foster collaboration among local government institutions, customary governance structures and community representatives for inclusive decision-making.**

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Indicator 1.2.1 Number of Peace Committees (PC) engaging stakeholders to address political vigilantism, electoral violence and reduce intergenerational tensions.	13 Peace Committees	16 Peace Committees	18 Peace Committees	18 peace committees	
1. 2. 2	Indicator 1.2.2 Number of community radio stations, and religious entities that disallow the use of hate speech and other inciteful ideas and messages on their network/platform.	# of radio stations = 26 # of religious entities = 109	# of radio stations = 30 # of religious entities = 120	# of radio stations = 17 # of religious entities = 33	# of radio stations =17 #of religious =33	

1. 2. 3	Indicator 1.2.3 Number of incidents of political violence reported and addressed in communities.	# of incidents report = 6 # of incidents addressed = 5	# of incidents report = 10 # of incidents addressed = 10	# of incidents report = 3 # of incidents addressed = 1	# of incidents report = 6 # of incidents addressed = 2
1. 2. 4
1. 2. 5

» **Output 1.3: Accountability mechanisms developed/enhanced for local authorities' increased transparency in delivering inclusive and equitable public services.**

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	Indicator 1.3.1 Level of Community Members (M/F) awareness and use of compliant mechanisms put in place in beneficiary district for improved public services delivery. Scale: 1. Low (Not Aware) 2. Moderate (Aware, but not using it) 3. High (Aware and using it)	Women = 1 Youth = 1	Women = 3 Youth = 3	N/A	N/A	A perception Survey is underway to confirm progress
1. 3. 2	Indicator 1.3.2 Number of women and youth who are able to access information on available public services.	% women = 75 % Youth = 71				A perception Survey is underway to confirm progress

1.

3.

3

1.

3.

4

1.

3.

5

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» Outcome 2: Women and youth are more resilient to conflict triggers and fully and meaningfully participate in peace building, local governance and economic development processes.

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Indicator 2a Percentage of women and youth (m/f) as a proportion of the total number of people participating in local development planning.	% of women = 17.6 % of Youth (Male) = 19.54 % of Youth (Female) = 1.1	% of women = 30% of Youth (Male) = 25 % of Youth (Female) = 15	N/A	N/A	A perception Survey is underway to confirm progress
2. 2	Indicator 2b Percent of women and youth who feel included in decision- making and peace building processes.	% of women = 57.89 % of Youth (Male) = 54.3 % of Youth (Female) = 30	% of women = 60 % of Youth (Male) = 60 % of Youth (Female) = 40	N/A	N/A	A perception Survey is underway to confirm progress
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Capacity of women and youth enhanced to participate and engage in critical development issues, decision-making and peace building processes.

Output 2.2

Women and youth groups/networks enabled to access livelihood skills, employment and entrepreneurial opportunities

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Capacity of women and youth enhanced to participate and engage in critical development issues, decision-making and peace building processes.**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Indicator 2.1.1 Number of women and youth groups and CSOs leading community initiatives on peace and social cohesion enabled by the PBF project disaggregated by sex	# of women's group = 0 # of youth groups = 0	# of women's group = 16 # of youth groups = 16	# of women's group = 9 # of youth groups = 7	# of women's group = 11 # of youth groups = 9	
2.1.2	Indicator 2.1.2 Number of women and youth meaningfully participating in political and decision-making processes in their districts disaggregated by sex	# of women = 0 # of youth male = 0 # of youth female = 0	# of women = 80 # of youth male = 80 # of youth female = 40	# of women = 460 # of youth male = 208 # of youth female = 115	# of women = 460 # of youth male = 208 # of youth female = 115	The enhanced capacity of district actors is yielding positive fruits

2. 1. 3	Indicator 2.1.3 Proportion of women and youth candidates contesting for leadership and other decision-making positions in their Communities /Districts enabled by the PBF project.	Proportion of women = 0 Proportion of youth = 0	Proportion of women = 10 Proportion of youth = 10	Proportion of women = 2 Proportion of youth = 5	Proportion of women = 2 Proportion of youth = 5	Limited opportunities available
2. 1. 4
2. 1. 5

» **Output 2.2: Women and youth groups/networks enabled to access livelihood skills, employment and entrepreneurial opportunities**

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	Indicator 2.2.1 Number of private sector organizations engaged and providing financing options and business development support to youth and women led businesses.	# = 13	# = 18	N/A	N/A	
2. 2. 2	Indicator 2.2.2 Number of women and youth accessing job and livelihood opportunities as a result of this project's intervention	# of women = 0 # of youth = 0	# of women = 150 # of youth = 150	80 Women Youth 45 M, 56 F	80 Women Youth 45 M, 56 F	on-going

2.

2.

3

2.

2.

4

2.

2.

5

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1
2. 3. 2
2. 3. 3
2. 3. 4
2. 3. 5

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1
2. 5. 2
2. 5. 3
2. 5. 4
2. 5. 5

» Outcome 3: State actors and non-state actors positively collaborate through the use of early warning systems to prevent conflict

O C 3 	Outcome Indicator s 	Indicator Baseline 	End of Project Indicator Target 	Indicator progress for reporting period 	Indicator progress since project's start 	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1 	Indicator 3a Proportion of early action measures adopted to manage current and emerging conflict. 	0% 	30% 	30% 	30%

3. 2	Indicator 3b Level of satisfaction of community members (M/F) in the targeted communities on effectiveness of early warning and responsiveness mechanisms. Scale: 1. Not at all satisfied 2. Slightly satisfied. 3. Neutral 4. Satisfied 5. Very satisfied	Male = 3- Neutral Female = 3- Neutral	Male = 4 - Satisfied Female = 4 - Satisfied	N/A	N/A	A perception Survey is underway to confirm progress
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Security agencies and other relevant actors have enhanced capacities to respect human rights and foster peace and social cohesion

Output 3.2

Platforms for dialogues between relevant security agencies, justice sector actors and non-state actors (religious and traditional authorities, women and youth groups, media and civil society) enabled and facilitated for early response, peace and social cohesion.

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1: Security agencies and other relevant actors have enhanced capacities to respect human rights and foster peace and social cohesion**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1	Indicator 3.1.1 Number of reported peaceful engagements between security agency and target communities.	# = 1	16 (At least 2 per districts)	15	15	
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						

3.

1.

4

3.

1.

5

» **Output 3.2: Platforms for dialogues between relevant security agencies, justice sector actors and non-state actors (religious and traditional authorities, women and youth groups, media and civil society) enabled and facilitated for early response, peace and social cohesion.**

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1	Indicator 3.2.1 Percentage implementation of early warning action plans for conflict prevention per target community.	0%	50%	42%	N/A	
3. 2. 2	Indicator 3.2.2 Number of joint initiatives in the targeted district between youth organizations and security agencies per year to promote social cohesion.	# = 1	16 (At least 2 per districts)	10 (Youth led peace campaigns)	10 (Youth led peace campaigns)	
3. 2. 3						

3.

2.

4

3.

2.

5

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1
3. 3. 2
3. 3. 3
3. 3. 4
3. 3. 5

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output 4.1						
Output 4.2						

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1
4. 2. 2
4. 2. 3
4. 2. 4
4. 2. 5

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1
4. 3. 2
4. 3. 3
4. 3. 4
4. 3. 5

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
<p>If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes</p>						

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	3 Regional workshops on Partner and link local government institutions (Business Advisory Centers) with the private sector and CSOs to provide flexible financing options and business development support to catalyze investments for existing women and youth led businesses and identified local value chains including micro-saving initiatives (such as village savings and loan associations) to reduce vulnerability and exclusion.	June	Upper East Upper West North East	Private Sector GEPA GIPC Regional Coordinating Directors, Regional and District Planners, Directors of MMDA's, Security personnel, community members, women and youth group leaders, traditional and religious leaders	1. Foster collaboration between local government authorities and the private sector to identify and capitalize on investment opportunities in the Upper East, Upper West, and North East regions. 2. Create a platform for district assemblies to present their identified investment opportunities to private sector actors and CSOs, encouraging collaboration to unlock investment potential. 3. Promote the investment potential of the regions to attract private sector investments in key sectors 4. Support districts in improving resource mobilization and income generation through partnerships that unlock investment in strategic local sectors.

Event 2	Partner with District Assemblies to organise District Development Actors Forum (DDAF) to facilitate the inclusion of women and youth voices in the 4-Year Medium Term Development Plan for the District Assemblies	July & August	Upper East Upper West North East	Development planning officers Women leaders Youth leaders Traditional and Religious leaders	The District Development Actors Forum is intended to bring the voices, perspectives and aspirations of community members (women, youth etc.) in the development planning and budget at the local governance level. The purpose is also to ensure that development plans at the local governance level are inclusive, reflective of the aspirations of the people and ensure development within the context of peace and social cohesion.
Event 3
Event 4

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
 - The impact of the project in their lives
 - Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---	--

1	Traditional Leader from FEO	Now a champion and advocate for civilian-security collaboration to prevent violent extremism through PBF dialogues with communities members and security agencies	'I used to hate the security personnel with passion and did not want to have anything to do with them. But due to the project objective of improving civilian-security collaboration to prevent violent extremism, I now love them because I understand their work and will continue to collaborate with them to fight crime in my community and Bongo District as a whole". —Agambolka Felicia, Queen mother, Feo Community
2	Community Beneficiary Dorimon	Community champion and advocate of peace and social cohesion	Madam Mariam, 40-year-old mother of three, who is a women's group leader in Dorimon (in the Upper West region) has been an instrumental part of the PBF Project, providing support and education to the women groups after each training and capacity building session. She ensures that, the women groups now involve the Fulbes in their meetings and have encouraged them (Fulbes) to join in their numbers. This singular action has improved the peaceful coexistence between the community members and the Fulbes.

3	Community beneficiary	Early warning community vigilante	Through the vigilance of Mr. Kantam Damba, early signs of displacement in Naalong due to a farmland dispute between the Danduri and Nalong clans were promptly reported to traditional authorities. The chiefs responded swiftly by engaging both clans in dialogue, building trust and securing a commitment to peaceful coexistence. Their continued mediation, supported by regular patrols from the Police and National Intelligence Bureau (NIB), successfully defused tensions and restored community stability.
4	Ghana Police Service Bongo district command	Significant improvement of security in targeted communities of the project	“The security situation has improved significantly from 2023 to date. In 2023 the Bongo district recorded 124 criminal cases. In 2024 we recorded 484 case. These included assault, Domestic violence. The increase in the number of cases is because civilians are becoming aware of their right and how to report crime. We now have both spouses reporting on incidence on domestic violence. Which used not to be the case. I want to thank the funder for their intervention and especially for this forum. I will communicate the concerns and recommendations to my command and we will support to implement the proposed action” Quarshie Victor Ghana police service Bongo district command

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Beneficiary from Wenchiki Improved and increased production therefore providing source of livelihood “I am living a dream as owner of 3 goats. Ever since I was born, I have never had an opportunity to rear animals, though that has been my passion and vision for my future. Over the years, I have suffered the consequences of conflict here in Wenchiki. My family members often lost their farm produce, always living in fear and with no sustainable source of livelihood. As a result of the good works of HOLIDO, I received 2 pregnant goats to rear as my own. Fortunately for me, one of them delivered a female goat. I am expecting the other nanny goat to deliver in the coming weeks. I am so excited that I now own 3 nanny goats, thanks to HOLIDO and UNDP. I will commit to creating awareness on peacebuilding so that I do not lose my source of livelihood and joy through conflict again. I appreciate the support from HOLIDO and their supporters and promised to keep these animals well so that in a year time, I can give out 2 goats to someone else in the community in order for other people in the community to also gain a livelihood”.
(Sulemana Hawa, 39 years old Beneficiary from Wenchiki)

Local community group (Yonyoo peace ladies)- Positive changes in gender discriminatory norms and attitudes. “The chief of the community also demonstrated his support for the young women football club. The chief on a number of occasions released the basic school football to the young women each time they needed a ball to train, and their male counter parts were in possession of the football.” – Sophai, a leader of the hairdressers association

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Links for Semi-annual report-21_33_41.docx



File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

1. <https://channel1news.com/2025/06/07/n-e-un-govt-launch-peace-building-project-to-improve-service-delivery/?noamp=available>

Link 2

OPTIONAL

<https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-news/ghana-news-undp-wanep-ghana-offer-training-on-conflict-violent-extremism-early-warning.html>

Link 3

OPTIONAL

<https://gna.org.gh/2025/02/bongo-women-youth-receive-start-up-kits-to-build-resilience-against-extremism/>

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with

*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☒ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative

*

Mandatory

- ☒ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☒ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

During the reporting period, the following key monitoring activities were undertaken to assess the progress and impact of the livelihood equipment handing over and training program aimed at enhancing gender-responsive participatory planning and budgeting at the local government level

Conducted a monitoring visit to Bumkprugu in the North-east Region: Interacted with beneficiaries of livelihood equipment projects to monitor the implementation and assess the tangible impact of gender-responsive initiatives on the ground.

A monitoring mission was conducted to supervise the review and finalization of Investment Development Strategies for identifying and attracting investment opportunity for revenue mobilization of Selected MMDAs in North East, Upper East and Upper West regions

A monitoring visit to Zini, Widnaba and Sapilliga

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

A baseline has been completed. a perception survey on selected indicators has been launched

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

activity reports as well as monitoring reports

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Survey on selected indicators launched

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

*

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The voices of women and youth in the local and customary governance structures are enhanced through the establishment of innovative civil society platforms. These include the District Development Actors Forum (DDAF) initiated as a platform for community stakeholders (women and youth) and local government actors to interact and address negative gender norms and stereotypes, intergenerational tensions and improve decision making and "my District our Development" sessions. these sessions are in two levels, community spaces and radio and are aimed at highlighting issues of concerns in the various communities for the attention of duty bearers. additionally, communities have developed community action plans drawing on the capacities established through the project's social accountability networks support groups, offering structured roadmaps to address local challenges and enhance community driven accountability initiatives.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

*

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

*

Response required

50.000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:				

» Catalytic Effect

<p>Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start?</p> <p> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No </p>	*
<p>How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from since the project started?</p> <p>3</p>	*
<p>If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started, as well as specifically during this reporting period</p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>	
<p>Name of Funder</p> <p>UNDP</p>	*
<p>Amount mobilized since project's start (USD)</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>300.000</p>	*
<p>Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD)</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>300.000</p>	*

1

2

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder

US Department of State

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD)

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

400.000

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD)

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

400.000

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder

JAPAN

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD)

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

200.000

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD)

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

200.000

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

☐ Some catalytic effect

☒ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF project continued to have catalytic effect on the ongoing national process to develop Ghana's National Action Plan for Youth Peace and Security. The process which was initiated by the Ministry of Youth and Sports then with the National Youth Authority and supported by UNFPA, has been continued. Under the new government, a new ministry for youth, thus the Ministry of Youth Development and Empowerment, has supported the agenda to develop the YPS NAP with the new leadership of the National Youth Authority fully supporting the processes. UNFPA has continued to provide financial and technical support along other partners such as Kofi Anan International Peace Keeping Training Center, GIZ, and the EU Commission. UNDP and UN Agencies are also providing technical support to ensure the NAP development is comprehensive and all encompassing. Resources, platforms and systems set-up, activated and strengthened through the PBF Project in Northern Ghana remain critical for supporting the YPS NAP process including engagement of security services, youth and women networks in the consultations and YPS plan implementation. The NAP development, which is scheduled to be completed November this year, will present a significant opportunity to carry forward important lessons from the PBF project, particularly in the areas of engagement of young people as peace builders and mediators of conflict in their communities.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project is also leveraging on national systems and structures to ensure that the results achieved will be mainstreamed in the existing structures for long term effect. Consequently, the focus is on using more national implementing partners such as the National Peace Council, National Development Planning Commission, and Regional Coordinating Councils who are already in charge of the development agenda and peace dividend in the regions of implementation. The use of local CSOs operating in project areas for the livelihood programs in the long term will help to sustain the interventions and also monitoring together with the local government authorities.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Steering Committee meeting	Coordination and building partnerships on the ground	Workplan 2025 was reviewed and approved, NO-COST EXTENSION was also recommended for the project.
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.