PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ⦿ Semi-annual
- ◯ Annual
- ◯ Final
- ◯ Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-06-13

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Freya Byfield, Programme Analyst, Sudan MPTF-PBF Secretariat

E-mail of person submitting the report *

freya.byfield@un.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Ulan Shabynov, Head of the Joint MPTF-PBF Secretariat

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No
- ( ) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ( ) Yes
- (•) No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ( ) Asia and the Pacific
- ( ) Central & Southern Africa
- (●) East Africa
- ( ) Europe and Central Asia
- ( ) Global
- ( ) Latin America and the Caribean
- ( ) Middle East and North Africa
- ( ) West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ( ) Ethiopia
- ( ) Kenya
- ( ) Madagascar
- ( ) Mozambique
- ( ) Somalia
- ( ) South Sudan
- (●) Sudan
- ( ) Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ○ 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur
- ○ 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.
- ○ 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding
- ○ 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur
- ○ 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence
- ⦿ 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- ○ 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur
- ○ 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- ○ 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition
- ○ 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- ○ 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods
- ○ 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur
- ○ 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur
- ○ 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur
- ○ 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan
- ○ 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan
- ○ 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders
- ○ 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.
- ○ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- [ ] Asia and the Pacific
- [ ] Central & Southern Africa
- [ ] East Africa
- [ ] Europe and Central Asia
- [ ] Global
- [ ] Latin America and the Caribean
- [ ] Middle East and North Africa
- [ ] West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2020-05-04

Project End Date *

2026-05-31

Has this project received an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- (●) YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ( ) NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- (●) NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- ○ January
- ○ February
- ○ March
- ○ April
- ○ May
- ● June
- ○ July
- ○ August
- ○ September
- ○ October
- ○ November
- ○ December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ○ Yes
- ● No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ○ Yes
- ● No

If yes, please select which *

- ○ National Trust Fund
- ○ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ● UN entity
- ○ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ● UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ○ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ○ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ○ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ○ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ○ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ○ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ○ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ○ WFP: World Food Programme
- ○ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ○ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ○ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ○ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ○ WHO: World Health Organization
- ○ PAHO/WHO
- ○ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ○ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ○ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ○ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ○ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ○ UNDPO
- ○ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

◉ No other recipients

○ Yes, other UN recipients only

○ Yes, other non-UN recipients only

○ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration

☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme

☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization

☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO

☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre

☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- [ ] BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

3

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?
(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)

0

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ● Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ National women's and youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Peace Research Institute

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

102750

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

102750

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

◉ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ National women's and youth CSO

○ Subnational women's and youth CSO

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Jassmar Organisation

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

72000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

72000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ National women's and youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ● Other

Other, Please specify

International Consultancy

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Voluntas Advisory Aps- Denmark

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

20000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

20000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	4500000 *	4200000 *	3637382.31 *	80.83%
	*	*	*	%

		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....
		*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....		....

TOTAL	4500000	4200000	3637382.31	80.83%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **80.83%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

○ Correct ● Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

86

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

36.31

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1633950**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

○ Correct ● Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1624500.22

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 1320733.52**. Is this correct? *

● Correct ○ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.
The templates for the budget are available here *

PBF Secretariat financial report_June 2025-23_43_30.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ◯ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ⦿ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ◯ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ◯ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ⦿ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ◯ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ◯ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ◯ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ◯ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ◯ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ◯ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ◯ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ◯ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ◯ (3.1) Employment
- ◯ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ◯ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ◯ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ⦿ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *
Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☑ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The United Nations has had limited engagement with the government since the military takeover of October 2021, and even less so since the outbreak of conflict between the Sudanese Armed Forces and the Rapid Support Forces on 15 April 2023. The PBF Joint Steering Committee (JSC) co-chair is a government official, so following the military takeover it was decided to wait until political context is more suitable for convening a JSC. The PBF Secretariat has been in contact with the National Peace Commissioner since the outbreak of conflict on 15 April 2023, but there has been no formal meeting or engagement in the last 20 months.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- ( ) Completed
- (●) Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ◯ Not Started
- ◯ Initiated
- ◯ Partially Completed
- ⦿ Completed
- ◯ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Outcomes 1 and 2 of the PBF Secretariat project completed implementation in 2022, having finalized all activities in support of peacebuilding strategies and infrastructures for peace (for further detail, see November 2022 Progress Report). This project report therefore only covers Outcome 3, which supports the functioning of the PBF Secretariat Sudan, which, in January 2023, merged with the Sudan Multi-Partner Trust Fund (MPTF) Secretariat to form a joint PBF-MPTF Secretariat. The merger of the two secretariats was guided by the Joint MPTF-PBF Strategic Results Framework (SRF), endorsed by the DSRSG/RC/HC in October 2022, which brings together the investments of the two funding sources under a shared strategic chapeau and with common high-level outcomes.

In this reporting period, the MPTF-PBF Secretariat continued to oversee the coordination, monitoring and evaluation, and communications of all PBF and MPTF investments in Sudan, with a view to strengthening the coherence and impact of both funding mechanisms by ensuring alignment on strategic outcomes, enhancing cohesion in funding allocation, and building thematic and geographic synergies.

The PBF Secretariat was granted a third project amendment in May 2025, which involved a 12-month no-cost extension and budget reduction of USD 300,000. This NCE was granted to ensure the continued operation of the Joint MPTF-PBF Secretariat beyond 31 May 2025, the date at which Sudan MPTF funding was intended to replace PBF support for the project. However, these funds were suspended following the US government's stop-work order. This extension has been essential in ensuring that the Secretariat can continue supporting project requirements for the nine projects (totaling $32.3m) that remain active until the end of 2025 and into 2026.

The three members of the PBF Secretariat team continue to be based in Nairobi: the Head of the Joint Secretariat and the Programme Analyst from the Kenya Resident Coordinator Office, and the Communications Specialist remotely.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

There is no progress to report in this reporting period for outcomes one and two as all activities were completed in 2022 (for further detail, see November 2022 project progress report).

Under Outcome 3, the Secretariat continued to perform its functions to ensure the quality of new and ongoing PBF-funded projects through support to project design, coordination, monitoring and evaluation, and communications.

The Secretariat continued undertaking preparations to establish a pilot micro-grant facility to provide direct and flexible funding, as well as capacity-strengthening and networking support, to small Sudanese organisations that are on the frontline of the crisis response across Sudan. Following PBSO's endorsement in December 2024, the Secretariat worked with national NGO Adeela to develop their concept note into a project proposal and facilitate a HACT Assessment, for which they received a 'moderate' risk ranking. In light of this, and at the time of reporting, the Secretariat is working with PBSO and Adeela to consider alternative funding modalities that will still ensure PBF's support to local-level and community-based processes, at a time support to civil society in Sudan is critical.

In February, the Secretariat organized a two-day in-person coordination workshop in Port Sudan for 12 projects - three of which were funded by the PBF and were selected based on their longer end-dates. With a mix of theory and practical sessions on topics including conflict sensitivity, conflict analysis, gender mainstreaming, and PBF's Catalytic Effect delivered by UN and external facilitators, the workshop was a valuable opportunity for the 43 attendees from UN Agencies, Funds and Programmes to strengthen coordination, address shared challenges, and exchange best practices. Its impact is evidenced by post-workshop evaluation forms, in which all participants rated the workshop as very relevant to their work and responsibilities.

As the conflict continued into 2025 and frontlines shifted, the Secretariat continued its engagement with the active PBF projects to understand the changing impact of the conflict on project teams and implementation, and the support they required. The Secretariat reviewed and facilitated the submission of five project amendment requests and/or no-cost extensions to ensure projects can continue implementation and remain relevant amidst new operational constraints and peacebuilding needs, capitalising on the PBF's niche as a flexible and responsive donor.

In December 2024, PBSO granted no-cost extensions to three projects to accommodate the delay in processing tranche payments due to the liquidity issues experienced by the Fund: one was a project implemented by the Carter Center to support a Youth Citizen Observer Network in Sudan. Another was a project implemented by UNHCR and IOM which re-programmed to improve social cohesion between host and displaced populations in River Nile State through community-support projects. Another was a project implemented by SOS Sahel which aims to empower youth-led and tribe-based structures in Red Sea State as positive drivers for social peace and political participation. In February 2025, Search for Common Ground requested a no-cost extension to allow for the timely completion of planned outputs for a project working to empower a foundation of women leaders in South Kordofan, Kassala and Gedaref, after access to the original target areas of Blue Nile and West Kordofan was obstructed by the conflict.

Finally, the PBF Secretariat requested a 12-month no-cost extension in May 2025, which involved a budget reduction of USD 300,000, to ensure the continued operation of the project after MPTF funding that was planned to replace PBF support was suspended following the US government's funding cuts. This extension has been essential in ensuring that the Secretariat can continue supporting project requirements for the nine projects (totaling $32.3m) that remain active until the end of 2025 and into 2026.

In this reporting period, the Secretariat started overseeing the closure of two PBF projects. The first, in April 2025, was a YPI project implemented by UNFPA, IOM and WHO which addressed the impact of militarised masculinity and the normalisation of conflict on young men and women through trauma healing and social cohesion in West Darfur (re-programmed to White Nile). The second, also in April, was a Gender Marker 3 project implemented by UNEP, UNDP and UN Women which enhanced climate resilient livelihoods, improved local governance of natural resources by ensuring the full and equal representation of women, and strengthened local conflict resolution mechanisms in Blue Nile State.

The Secretariat continued its support to conflict sensitive programming in Sudan by supporting the UN System Staff College and UNDP develop the final module of the self-paced, online learning platform focused on conflict sensitivity for humanitarian, development and peace actors in Sudan, in both English and Arabic. As of June 2025, 2,598 participants registered for the course (98% from Sudan), demonstrating a significant step toward strengthening

capacities towards more effective, inclusive, and sustainable responses to Sudan's complex challenges.

In May, the Secretariat organised a brown-bag event in collaboration with the UN System Staff College and UNDP to strengthen the ability of PBF and MPTF recipients to access and navigate the online conflict sensitivity training course, for whom it is a requirement.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Since her recruitment in January 2025, the PBF Secretariat has worked closely with the Women, Peace and Security Advisor based in the Resident Coordinator Office, funded by the re-programmed PBF Support to the Sudanese Peace Process project. Recognising that project teams often treat gender mainstreaming requirements as a formality, the Secretariat invited the WPS Advisor to lead a session at the MPTF-PBF Coordination Workshop in Port Sudan in February, where participants discussed and planned to address structural barriers to GEWE; intersectionality; and integrating the four pillars of the WPS Agenda into programming. This session was ranked by participants as among the most valuable, and a majority requested further engagement on gender issues. As a result, on behalf of the WPS Advisor, the Secretariat organised tailored gender mainstreaming sessions for four PBF project teams and implementing partners, ensuring Arabic interpretation for inclusivity of national partners. Feedback from participants will be included in the next annual report.

The Secretariat designed the pilot micro-grant facility with a focus on supporting women- and youth-led organisations and groups, which is reflected in the criteria of the call for proposals and the scorecard used by the Technical Review Committee to evaluate submissions from (I)NGOs. The Secretariat also provided technical inputs to the proposal to ensure that the conflict analysis, project approach and results framework are gender-sensitive, in collaboration with the PBF-supported Women, Peace and Security Advisor. The micro-grant facility aims to harness the unique roles that women and youth play at the forefront of the crisis response and peacebuilding efforts, while recognising their distinct vulnerabilities.

When reviewing project reporting and project amendment requests, including amendments to results frameworks, the Secretariat ensured that PBF recipients disaggregated indicators and data by gender and age, to allow for a clearer understanding of the progress and effectiveness of interventions and their differential impact on youth and women. This is part of the Secretariat's efforts to support the PBF's commitment to inclusive peacebuilding.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

◉ Yes

○ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

◉ Yes

○ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Despite the political and security challenges brought by the military takeover in August 2021 and the outbreak of national conflict in April 2023, the Secretariat project has contributed to expansion of peace infrastructure and an inclusive community-based approach to peacebuilding under Outcomes 1 and 2. With the Peacebuilding Assessments, the state-by-state and in-depth analysis equipped peacebuilding practitioners with the significant analytical capacity to lead and identify the root causes of conflicts while ensuring an inclusive, participatory and conflict sensitive approach. While the context in which the six assessments were finalised in late 2023 was distinctly different to the pre-war context in which they were developed, their documentation of the pre-war drivers of conflict remain relevant, as do the insights they offer into the humanitarian, development and peacebuilding strains that communities were grappling with before the war added additional shocks.

In partnership with UN System Staff College, the project is delivering comprehensive capacity building in conflict sensitive programming to humanitarian, development and peacebuilding practitioners in the UN System, (I)NGOs and CSOs in Sudan. Combined with access to the aforementioned peacebuilding assessments, this has contributed to the development of substantive knowledge and practical skills to design and implement peacebuilding programmes in Sudan's fluid and volatile context.

The Secretariat's support to the strategic re-programming of the 'Support to the Sudanese Peace Process' project to fund the Women, Peace and Security Advisor position in the RCO – which was identified by the UN Country Team as a gap following the withdrawal of UNITAMS - also marks the Secretariat's contribution to integrating gender perspectives across Sudan's peacebuilding architecture.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

From doing no harm to building and sustaining peace in Sudan, https://www.unssc.org/news-and-insights/news/doing-no-harm-building-and-sustaining-peace-sudan

---

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

The peace process has delivered a comprehensive peace agreement and peacebuilding strategy for Sudan, supported by the UN system, regional and continental institutions, and the international donor community

Outcome 2: *

National and sub-national infrastructure for peace and mechanisms necessary to the mission's transition strengthened, and an integrated area-based peacebuilding pilots established for scale up and expansion

Outcome 3:	*
The Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat provides effective coordination, monitoring, reporting, evaluation, and communication regarding PBF programming in Sudan.	

Outcome 4:	*

Outcome 5:	*

Outcome 6:	*

Outcome 7:	*

Outcome 8:	*

Additional Outcomes	*
If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here	

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: The peace process has delivered a comprehensive peace agreement and peacebuilding strategy for Sudan, supported by the UN system, regional and continental institutions, and the international donor community

OC 1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Comprehensive and inclusive peace agreement concluded and signed	N/A	Signed peace agreement	N/A	N/A	Political instability and uncertainty after the military takeover on the 25th of October 2021
1.2	Rights-based and gender-sensitive strategy for peacebuilding at community level established and adopted by national and sub- national institutions and communities	0	1	N/A	2100 questionnaires (with 665 women respondents) 280 FDGs, and 245 KIIs has been conducted in support of peacebuilding assessments. Literature review and 7 UNCT joint peacebuilding missions were completed in South Kordufan, Blue Nile, Red Sea and Kassla states, South, West and North Darfur	Due to the political situation, this outcome was adjusted to focus on the conduct of peacebuilding assessments rather than the development of government peacebuilding strategies.

1.3	Level (%) of progress of peace agreement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	instability and uncertainty after the military takeover on the 25th of October 2021. Most of the mechanisms and process for JPA has not be established yet beyond the Peace Commission and Permenant Ceasefire Committee
1.4						
1.5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

PBF Support to the Peace Process in Sudan (including support to the Government Secretariat for Juba peace talks) in place

Output 1.2

Peacebuilding strategic priorities for conflict-affected regions of South Kordofan, Blue Nile and East Sudan initiated and supported

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: PBF Support to the Peace Process in Sudan (including support to the Government Secretariat for Juba peace talks) in place

1.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**Shared vision for Government of Sudan and Sudan Revolutionary Front (SRF) delegations in the talks**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**Political instability and uncertainty after the military takeover on the 25th of October 2021 has affected JPA implementation**
1.1.2						
1.1.3						
1.1.4						
1.1.5						

» Output 1.2: Peacebuilding strategic priorities for conflict-affected regions of South Kordofan, Blue Nile and East Sudan initiated and supported

1.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Number of consultations**	**0**	**1 per state**	**N/A**	**7 UNCT joint peacebuilding missions were completed in South Kordofan, Blue Nile, Red Sea and Kassala states, South, West and North Darfur**	**Due to the political situation, this outcome was adjusted to focus on the conduct of peacebuilding assessments rather than the development of government peacebuilding strategies.**
1.2.2	**Level of different groups' engagement (e.g. engagement of women and youth in different kinds of consultation workshops)**	**N/A**	**Youth engagement: 30% Women's engagement: 50%**	**N/A**	**2100 questionnaires (with 665 women respondents) 280 FDGs, and 245 KIIs has been conducted in support of peacebuilding assessments. Literature review and 7 UNCT joint peacebuilding missions were completed in South Kordufan, Blue Nile, Red Sea and Kassla states, South, West and North Darfur**	**Due to the political situation, this outcome was adjusted to focus on the conduct of peacebuilding assessments rather than the development of government peacebuilding strategies.**

1. 2. 3	....	....	....	....	....	....
1. 2. 4	....	....	....	....	....	....
1. 2. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 1.3:

1.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						
1.3.4						
1.3.5						

» Output 1.4:

1.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						
1.4.4						
1.4.5						

» Output 1.5:

1.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						
1.5.4						
1.5.5						

» Outcome 2: National and sub-national infrastructure for peace and mechanisms necessary to the mission's transition strengthened, and an integrated area-based peacebuilding pilots established for scale up and expansion

OC2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)

2.1	National and sub-national Peace commissions in Darfur established and functioning ensuring women's participation.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis, imposing restrictions for the UN in Sudan and partners on government engagement. In the 2022 annual reporting period, the project had provided technical and advisory support to the Peace Commission office, held regular technical meetings with the Peace Commissioner to identify peace priorities and emerging conflict trends, and briefed the Commissioner on the PBF portfolio.

2.2	Number of area-based peacebuilding models implemented by joint Government-UN identified	N/A	5 (1 per state)	N/A	N/A	Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis, imposing restrictions for the UN in Sudan and partners on government engagement.
2.3	Peacebuilding resources mobilized in Darfur jointly by the Government and UN for the DDS refresh framework	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	In light of the political crisis and the negative local perceptions on the Juba Peace Agreement, the DDS Refresh Framework was put on hold
2.4						
2.5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1
National and state-level peace architecture functioning effectively

Output 2.2

State level inclusive and participatory consultations conducted to pave the way for the Darfur Development Strategy Refresh

Output 2.3

UN system conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding strategy strengthened

Output 2.4

UN-system wide planning, M&E and coordination for peacebuilding in Darfur strengthened

Output 2.5

Gender, peace and security and women's empowerment dimension ensured

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: National and state-level peace architecture functioning effectively

2.1	Output Indicators _Describe the indicator_	Indicator Baseline _State the baseline value of the indicator_	End of Project Indicator Target _State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project_	Indicator progress for reporting period _State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period_	Indicator progress since project's start _State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project_	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) _Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant_

2.1.1	Level of capacity of national and state-level peace architecture	TBC (established upon capacity assessment)	TBC (established upon capacity assessment)	N/A	N/A	Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis, imposing restrictions for the UN in Sudan and partners on government engagement. In the previous reporting period, the project had provided technical and advisory support to the Peace Commission office, held regular technical meetings with the Peace Commissioner to identify peace priorities and emerging conflict trends, and briefed the Commissioner on the PBF portfolio.
2.1.2						
2.1.3						

2.1.4	....	....	....	....	....	....

2.1.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.2: State level inclusive and participatory consultations conducted to pave the way for the Darfur Development Strategy Refresh

2.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**Level of different groups' engagement, e.g. women, youth in different kinds of consultation workshops.**	**N/A**	**Youth: 30% Women: 50%**	**N/A**	**2100 questionnaires (with 665 women respondents) 280 FDGs, and 245 KIIs has been conducted in support of peacebuilding assessments. Literature review and 7 UNCT joint peacebuilding missions were completed in South Kordofan, Blue Nile, Red Sea and Kassala states, South, West and North Darfur**	**Due to the political situation, this outcome was adjusted to focus on the conduct of peacebuilding assessments rather than the development of government peacebuilding strategies.**
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

2.2.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.2.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.3: UN system conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding strategy strengthened

2.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1	**Level of UNCT's capacity improved in responding to Government's needs in peacebuilding in Darfur** **The level of capacity is measured by (i) the amount of UNCT's peacebuilding programme amounts in Darfur and (ii) numbers of programme staff directly working for peacebuilding programmes**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**One training module (Conflict Sensitivity for Programming in Darfur) finalized as part of the conflict sensitivity programme developed in partnership with UNDP and UNSSC.**	**8 training modules completed as part of the conflict sensitivity programme developed in partnership with UNDP and UNSSC.** **The PBF Secretariat, in collaboration with UNSSC, UNDP, IOM and the Conflict Sensitivity Facility, held a 3-day ToT in March 2023, with 26 participants (7 F, 19 M) from the UN, CSOs and academia across Sudan.** **6 thematic seminars conducted, and 2 community of practice meetings organized.**	**N/A**
2.3.2						

2.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.4: UN-system wide planning, M&E and coordination for peacebuilding in Darfur strengthened

2.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1	**Number of information management Products developed to improve planning, M&E and coordination in Darfur**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**In complementarity with the Support Sudanese to the Peace Process project, the project has successfully developed a Crisis Risk Dashboard (CRD). The system in its current state provides a conflict monitoring tool and data related to incidents in the 18 states against political, economic, environmental, social and security dimensions.**	**N/A**
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

| 2.4.4 .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... |
| 2.4.5 .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... |

» Output 2.5: Gender, peace and security and women's empowerment dimension ensured

2.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1	**Women capacity and representation in peace talks and mediation**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis.**
2.5.2	**National peacebuilding institutions apply gender-sensitivity in all their plans**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis.**
2.5.3	**Peacebuilding documents are gender sensitive**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis.**

2.5.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.5.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Outcome 3: The Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat provides effective coordination, monitoring, reporting, evaluation, and communication regarding PBF programming in Sudan.

OC3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Number of PBF bi-annual reports submitted on time.	N/A	2 bi-annual reports (June and November reporting periods) per project, per year	In June 2025, 10 out of 10 annual reports (1 for each active PBF-funded project in Sudan) were submitted on time for the Secretariat's review.	In June 2025, 10 out of 10 annual reports (1 for each active PBF-funded project in Sudan) were submitted on time for the Secretariat's review. In November 2024, 11 out of 11 annual reports were submitted on time. In June 2024, 12 out of 12 bi-annual reports were submitted on time. In November 2023, 9 out of 12 annual Reports were submitted on time. In November 2022, 14 out of 14 reports were submitted on time. In June 2022, 10 out of 14 reports were submitted on time.	The PBF requested and was granted a waiver for June 2023 narrative and financial progress reports following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023.

3.2	% of PBF annual reports rated as acceptable by PBF review team	N/A	100%	100% of bi-annual reports reviewed by the PBF Secretariat are rated as acceptable by the PBF Secretariat team.	100% of annual reports submitted to the online platform are rated as acceptable by the PBF Secretariat team (100% in 2022, 2023, and 2024, and 2025).	N/A
3.3	Level of satisfaction of the Steering Board members with the level and timeliness of the PBF secretariat communication and coordination	N/A	Satisfactory	N/A	N/A	The Steering Committee has not convened since the military takeover in October 2021. The United Nations has had limited engagement with the government since the military takeover of October 2021, and even less so since the outbreak of conflict between the Sudanese Armed Forces and the Rapid Support Forces on 15 April 2023. The PBF Joint Steering Committee co-chair is a government official, so following the military takeover it was decided to wait until political context is more suitable for convening a JSC.

3.4	....	....	....	....	....	....

....

3.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

....

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

The PBF Secretariat effectively coordinates PBF Steering Board (Project Board) meetings and follows up on decisions taken

Output 3.2

PBF Secretariat regularly monitors joint programmes in 5 Darfur states

Output 3.3

Build capacity of PBF implementing partners on conflict sensitivity, peacebuilding programming, conflict and gender sensitivity and communication of results

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1: The PBF Secretariat effectively coordinates PBF Steering Board (Project Board) meetings and follows up on decisions taken

3.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

| 3.1.1 | Number of PBF bi-annual reports submitted on time | N/A | 2 bi-annual reports (June and November reporting periods) per project, per year | In June 2025, 10 out of 10 bi-annual reports were submitted on time for the Secretariat's review | In June 2025, 10 out of 10 bi-annual reports (1 for each active PBF-funded project in Sudan) were submitted on time for the Secretariat's review.

In November 2024, 11 out of 11 annual reports were submitted on time.

In June 2024, 12 out of 12 bi-annual reports were submitted on time.

In November 2023, 9 out of 12 annual reports were submitted on time.

In November 2022, 14 out of 14 reports were submitted on time.

In June 2022, 10 out of 14 reports were submitted on time. | The PBF requested and was granted a waiver for June 2023 narrative and financial progress reports following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023 |

3.1.2	Steering Board action points followed up on	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The United Nations has had limited engagement with the government since the military takeover of October 2021, and even less so since the outbreak of conflict between the Sudanese Armed Forces and the Rapid Support Forces on 15 April 2023. The PBF Joint Steering Committee co-chair is a government official, so following the military takeover it was decided to wait until political context is more suitable for convening a JSC.
3.1.3	Timely establishment of the PBF secretariat in ensuring gender balance in the team	N/A	N/A	As of June 2025, the Joint MPTF-PBF Secretariat comprises one male and two female staff	The PBF Secretariat became fully functional in January 2021 with two male and three female staff. As of June 2025, the Joint MPTF-PBF Secretariat comprises one male and two female staff.	N/A
3.1.4						

3. 1. 5	....	....	....	....	....	....
....						

» Output 3.2: PBF Secretariat regularly monitors joint programmes in 5 Darfur states

3.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1	**Number of M&E trainings or workshops conducted by the PBF Secretariat**	N/A	**At least two per year**	**Five** **In Q1/Q2 2025, the Secretariat held one coordination workshop and organised four gender mainstreaming trainings for PBF projects.**	**In Q1/Q2 2025, the Secretariat held one coordination workshop and organised four gender mainstreaming trainings for PBF projects (total = 5)** **In 2024, the Secretariat held one training on results reporting for PBF recipients.** **In 2023, one M&E training.** **In 2022, four M&E trainings/ workshops.**	N/A
3.2.2	**Positive result of the PBF final evaluation**	N/A	**Positive recommendations on PBF programmes effectiveness, efficiency and sustainability**	N/A	N/A	**To be determined after conclusion of portfolio evaluation at end of eligibility cycle**
3.2.3						

3.2.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
....						

3.2.5	....	....	....	....	....	....
....						

» Output 3.3: Build capacity of PBF implementing partners on conflict sensitivity, peacebuilding programming, conflict and gender sensitivity and communication of results

3.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1	**Indicator 3.3.1 % of new PBF-funded projects that are informed by high-quality and context-specific (including at locality or village level) conflict analyses**	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A

| 3.3.2 | % of new PBF projects with comprehensive M&E and data collection plans to improve reporting on outcome-level peacebuilding results (including in alignment with SRF, if developed) | N/A | N/A | 8 out of 10 active PBF projects have developed and submitted M&E and data collection plans to the PBF Secretariat. The 2 remaining projects are working on their plans and have agreed to submit these by July 2025. | 8 out of 10 active PBF projects have developed and submitted M&E and data collection plans to the PBF Secretariat. The 2 remaining projects are working on their plans and have agreed to submit these by July 2025.

In March 2023 the PBF Secretariat commenced the development of an M&E plan for the Strategic Results Framework (SRF), but this was halted following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023 and has not been resumed. | Due to the challenges brought by the conflict that broke out in April 2023, numerous projects have had to revise their M&E plans following re-programming. |

3.3.3	Indicator 3.3.3: Improved level of communication capacity (measured by the level of attraction of PBF stories in social media)	N/A	20% increase in number of re-tweeted, shared and liked stories	In Q1/Q2 2025, PBF content reached 9,679 people on Facebook, X and LinkedIn platforms. Percentage change in coverage will be calculated in annual report.	In 2022, PBF content reached 99,339 people on the UN Sudan Facebook and Twitter platforms. In 2023, PBF content reached 46,401 people, representing 53% decrease year-on-year. In 2024, PBF content reached 30,203 people on UN Sudan Facebook and Twitter platforms, reflecting a 35% decrease year-on-year.	The outbreak of conflict on 15 April hindered the PBF Secretariat's ability to communicate peacebuilding results in a conflict-sensitive way. Nevertheless the pre-conflict communication outputs were high in 2023 (84.2% of posts were shared prior to the onset of the conflict in April, representing 93.8% of the total reach) indicating a strong initial trajectory. It was not possible to calculate the engagement with stories on UN websites.
3.3.4						
3.3.5						

» Output 3.4:

3.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
3.4.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.4.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.4.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.4.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
3.4.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 3.5:

3.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						
3.5.4						
3.5.5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) ....
4.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.3	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.4	....	....	....	....	....	....
4.5	....	....	....	....	....	....

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4
....

Output 4.1
....

Output 4.2
....

Output 4.3
....

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

....

» Output 4.1:

4.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» Output 4.2:

4.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						
4.2.4						
4.2.5						

» Output 4.3:

4.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						
4.3.4						
4.3.5						

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						
4.4.4						
4.4.5						

» Output 4.5:

4.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
4.5.4						
4.5.5						

*

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)
If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	**Women, Peace and Security brownbag event in Sudan**	**July**	**Nairobi, Kenya (with virtual participation)**	**Donors to the PBF and PMTF**	**Provide space for donor partners, including Norway, and the UN to share their WPS priorities and ongoing contributions;** **Share progress and challenges in integrating WPS into peacebuilding programming;** **Foster dialogue and coordination among actors working on gender and peacebuilding across platforms**
Event 2	**Donor and monitoring visit to East Sudan (TBC depending on security situation)**	**August**	**Red Sea State, Sudan**	**Donors to the PBF and MPTF**	**Highlight the results of PBF projects in East Sudan to donors** **Monitor implementation projects** **Gather communications materials (photos, stories, quotes)**
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1			
2			
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

The PBF Secretariat in partnership with the UN System Staff College and UNDP has developed a self-paced, online learning platform focused on conflict sensitivity that is available free of charge for all HDP Nexus practitioners working on Sudan. As of June 2025, 2,598 participants registered for the course (98% from Sudan).

Participant testimonials include:
"This training program has been a transformative experience for me, It highlighted how crucial it is to listen to marginalized groups and involve them in decision-making processes. This concept really resonated with me, as I've seen firsthand how excluding certain voices can deepen divisions in conflict-affected communities.

The session not only provided practical tools for engaging diverse groups, but it also reminded me that real, lasting peace comes from building trust and collaboration at the grassroots level. It's an approach I'm eager to apply in my own work, ensuring that every community member has a seat at the table. This training has truly deepened my understanding of peacebuilding and will have a lasting impact on how I engage with the communities I serve."

"My experience in the peacebuilding training program has been transformative, especially during the session on adaptive management. Learning how to integrate real-time feedback from communities into our strategies has reshaped my approach to program implementation. The emphasis on understanding local contexts and fostering genuine dialogue has reinforced the importance of flexibility in our initiatives. This training has equipped me with practical tools to enhance our impact in Sudan, ensuring that our efforts are not only relevant but also sustainable."

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☑ Strengthened capacities
- ☑ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☑ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

In Q1 and Q2 of 2025, the Secretariat held one coordination workshop and organised four gender mainstreaming trainings for PBF projects. The Secretariat t also strengthened capacities by providing regular feedback and guidance on communications and visibility products, project amendment requests, and other documentation submitted by project teams.

The PBF Secretariat in partnership with UNSSC and UNDP has developed a self-paced, online learning platform focused on conflict sensitivity that is available free of charge for all HDP Nexus practitioners working on Sudan. As of November 2024, 2,598 participants registered for the course (98% from Sudan).

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The Secretariat worked with local NGO Adeela to develop a concept note for a micro-grant facility into a project proposal and submitted it for PBSO approval. The pilot micro-grant facility will provide direct and flexible funding, as well as targeted trainings and networking support, to small Sudanese organisations and groups that are on the frontlines of responding to the continuing crisis in Sudan.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

As part of the Humanitarian-Development-Peace Partnership (HDPP) Facility initiative, the PBF Secretariat has liaised with the RCO Economist on strengthening partnership with the World Bank. The HDDP Facility grant managed by the RCO Economist and a hired project manager aims at enhancing joint UN–WB analysis and programming on fragility and conflict in Sudan. Despite the outbreak of conflict in 2023, the initiative has continued by adapting the scope of its analytical work to the current context. Four key studies are underway, including political economy analysis of Northern and Eastern Sudan and assessments of the conflict's impact on governance, infrastructure, and the private sector. These are intended to inform future programming for both institutions. Furthermore, discussions are ongoing around establishing an informal coordination forum on fragility and conflict, with draft Terms of Reference already in place. This collaboration demonstrates a shared commitment to aligning peacebuilding efforts with broader development financing strategies and fostering collective understanding of Sudan's multidimensional risks.

Who are we working with *

- [x] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [x] Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- [x] Partnered with local civil society organizations
- [ ] Partnered with local academia
- [ ] Partnered with sub-national entities
- [ ] Partnered with national entities
- [ ] Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

As part of the Humanitarian-Development-Peace Partnership (HDPP) Facility initiative, the PBF Secretariat has liaised with the RCO Economist on strengthening partnership with the World Bank. The HDDP Facility grant managed by the RCO Economist and a hired project manager aims at enhancing joint UN–WB analysis and programming on fragility and conflict in Sudan. Despite the outbreak of conflict in 2023, the initiative has continued by adapting the scope of its analytical work to the current context. Four key studies are underway, including political economy analysis of Northern and Eastern Sudan and assessments of the conflict's impact on governance, infrastructure, and the private sector. These are intended to inform future programming for both institutions. Furthermore, discussions are ongoing around establishing an informal coordination forum on fragility and conflict, with draft Terms of Reference already in place. This collaboration demonstrates a shared commitment to aligning peacebuilding efforts with broader development financing strategies and fostering collective understanding of Sudan's multidimensional risks.

The Secretariat fosters coordination between UN agencies through regular monitoring meetings of joint programmes. This includes identifying implementation bottlenecks and challenges in inter-agency coordination, and proposing solutions.

The Secretariat worked with local NGO Adeela to develop a concept note for a micro-grant facility into a project proposal and submitted it for PBSO approval. The pilot micro-grant facility will provide direct and flexible funding, as well as targeted trainings and networking support, to small Sudanese organisations and groups that are on the frontlines of responding to the continuing crisis in Sudan.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☑ Women
- ☑ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☑ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

In-person monitoring activities have been on hold since outbreak of conflict in April 2023, as has the SRF M&E and data collection plan. However the Secretariat established regular project status update calls with each of the active projects to track progress against outputs/outcomes, which occur at a monthly or bi-monthly frequency depending on their respective stage in the project cycle.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ○ Yes
- ⦿ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

N/A

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Indicators in this reporting period are based on continuous monitoring conducted by PBF Secretariat on ongoing project progress, the preparation and submission to the PBF Secretariat of annual project reports, and PBF Secretariat's work to support the development and dissemination of project communications.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information link

○ Yes

● No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. link

○ Yes

● No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

● Yes

○ No

○ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

30000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?
○ Yes
○ No
⦿ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations
Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	**Freya Byfield**	**Sudan MPTF-PBF Secretariat**	**Programme Analyst**	**freya.byfield@un.org**

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start?	*
⦿ Yes	
○ No	

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**?	*
1	

1

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**
Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder	*
United Kingdom FCDO	

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

641785

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- ● Some catalytic effect
- ○ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The merger of the Secretariats of the Sudan Multi-Partner Trust Fund and the UN Peacebuilding Fund has been an opportunity for the Joint Secretariat to leverage resources for the MPTF. To strengthen the catalytic role of PBF, guided by a common Strategic Results Framework, the Secretariat works to harness the performance of the PBF portfolio to mobilise resources to the Sudan MPTF, by strengthening the alignment on strategic outcomes, enhancing cohesion in funding allocation, and building thematic and geographic synergies between the two pooled funds.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The PBF Secretariat developed and rolled out a Sustainability and Exit Strategy Planning template for fund recipients. The template is now a requirement of all PBF-funded projects in Sudan, to be completed within six months of project approval for all new projects. The PBF Secretariat continues to monitor their development and submission.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1			
Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* on the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.