

PBF June 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND**



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☒ Semi-annual
☐ Annual
☐ Final
☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-06-15

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Patricia Porras

E-mail of person submitting the report *

patriziaporras@gmail.com patricia.porras@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Tony Cameron, Peace and Development advisor

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ☒ Asia and the Pacific ☐ Central & Southern Africa ☐ East Africa
☐ Europe and Central Asia ☐ Global ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean
☐ Middle East and North Africa ☐ West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ☐ Fiji ☐ Kiribati ☐ Marshall Islands
☐ Myanmar ☒ Papua New Guinea ☐ Philippines
☐ Solomon Islands ☐ Sri Lanka ☐ Tuvalu
☐ Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ☒ 00134252: Sustaining Peace in Bougainville – Post Referendum Support
☐ 00140559: Empower Her-PNG Peace Initiative
☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- ☐ Asia and the Pacific
 ☐ Central & Southern Africa
 ☐ East Africa
☐ Europe and Central Asia
 ☐ Global
 ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean
☐ Middle East and North Africa
 ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-12-15

Project End Date *

2025-12-21

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
☐ YES, No Cost Extension
☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
☒ YES, No Cost Extension
☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| ☐ January | ☐ February | ☐ March |
| ☐ April | ☒ May | ☐ June |
| ☐ July | ☐ August | ☐ September |
| ☐ October | ☐ November | ☐ December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please select which *

- ☒ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☒ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☒ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

- ☐ ACTED
- ☐ AEDE
- ☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- ☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada
- ☐ Ayuda en Accion
- ☐ BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- ☐ BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- ☐ CARE International UK
- ☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- ☐ Christian Aid Ireland
- ☐ COIPRODEN
- ☐ Concern Worldwide
- ☐ Conexion Guatemala
- ☐ COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- ☐ CORD Burundi
- ☐ CORDAID
- ☐ Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- ☐ CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- ☐ DanChurchAid
- ☐ EQUITAS
- ☐ Fund for Congolese Women
- ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- ☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- ☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- ☐ Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- ☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ☐ ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- ☐ Integrity Watch
- ☐ International Alert
- ☐ International Rescue Committee
- ☐ Interpeace
- ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- ☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- ☐ MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- ☐ Mercy Corps
- ☐ MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- ☐ MSIS-TATAO
- ☐ NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ☐ Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- ☐ OIKOS
- ☐ ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- ☐ ONG AZHAR
- ☐ OXFAM
- ☐ Peace Direct
- ☐ Plan International
- ☐ PNG UN Country Fund
- ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ☐ ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- ☐ Saferworld
- ☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- ☐ Save the Children
- ☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- ☐ SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- ☐ SismaMujer
- ☐ SOS Sahel Sudan
- ☐ Stichting Impunity Watch
- ☐ Tearfund
- ☐ The Carter Center, Inc.
- ☐ Trocaire
- ☐ War Child
- ☐ War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- ☐ World Vision International
- ☐ World Vision Myanmar
- ☐ ZOA
- ☐ blank_placeholder
- ☐ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

4

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

*(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)*

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☐ Subnational youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's CSO
☒ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☐ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ National women's and youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Nazareth Centre for Rehabilitation (NCFR)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

279000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

19375

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

19375

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Community dialogues in 13 districts

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☒ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

AROB Media Bureau

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

41000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Support messaging on peacebuilding and key issues of importance for communities (including displacement affected communities) through existing and new mechanisms. Building the understanding and capacities of women and young people to understand and engage in the post-Referendum processes.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☐ Subnational youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's CSO
☒ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☐ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ National women's and youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Bougainville Women's Federation

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

30000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

30000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

30000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

Building the understanding and capacities of women and young people to understand and engage in the post-Referendum processes.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☐ Subnational youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's CSO
☐ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☒ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ National women's and youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Peace and Conflict Studies Institute of Australia

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60118

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60118

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

N/A

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1835001*	1284501*	1232691*	67.18%
	*	*	*	%
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	800002*	560001*	560001*	70%

13/68

TOTAL	3335003	2334502	2282692	68.4
				5%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **68.45%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

47.2

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1574121.42**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1077430.62**. Is this correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PNG Sustaining Peace in Bougainville_Final-19_20_59.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☒ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

28 October 2024

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project has closely collaborated with the Autonomous Bougainville Government's (ABG) Department of Bougainville Independence Mission Implementation (DIMI), the ABG Media Bureau, and the ABG Department of Community Development to coordinate, plan, and implement the Sustaining Peace in Bougainville (SPB) project. Strong partnerships have been established with the Secretary for the Department of Independence Mission Implementation (DIMI) Ms. Stephanie Elijah, and the Secretary for Community Development Mr. Medley Koito.

The project successfully engaged 29 out of 34 Community Governments to mobilize women and youth for community dialogues, which were jointly facilitated by UNFPA and the Nazareth Centre for Rehabilitation (NCFR). This engagement demonstrates government involvement from the ABG's ministerial level down to grassroots governance. Additionally, the project strengthened the capacity of Bougainville Community Governments by promoting knowledge about gender-responsive governance and inclusive economic development, both of which are essential for fostering sustainable peacebuilding in Bougainville.

Moreover, the project actively collaborated with the ABG Department of Commerce, Trade, Industry, and Economic Development by contributing to the development of the Bougainville Economic Development Plan 2025-2035 (BEDP 2025-2035). This plan includes key components such as Private Sector and Investment Growth through Special Economic Zones (SEZs) and MSME incubation hubs. UN Women played a critical role in ensuring that the BEDP is inclusive of gender and disability considerations and aligned with local economic development (LED) priorities.

To commemorate International Women's Day, the project partnered with the ABG to raise awareness about women's economic empowerment and to generate momentum for community-based livelihood activities. Additionally, the project collaborated with the ABG on Human Rights Defenders initiatives to enhance strategic planning, expand the Human Rights Defender network, and strengthen inclusive governance frameworks within the Bougainville Government.

Finally, the project supported the mediation and consultation process during the Moderators' consultations between the Government of Papua New Guinea and Bougainville, with backing from the Department of Peacebuilding and Political Affairs. Since January, three consultation meetings have been held to identify key issues requiring resolution to progress toward a mutually acceptable political settlement. The project engaged with various levels of government, including the Chief Secretary, the Secretaries and the directors of both governments to ensure that the project is aligned with the ongoing Moderation process.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☒ Partially Completed
 ☐ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☒ Partially Completed
 ☐ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The PBF/Bougainville Programme Coordinator commenced her role in January and has since played a pivotal role in enhancing coordination and collaboration among the three participating UN agencies. Under her leadership, bi-weekly coordination meetings have been established, providing a consistent platform for sharing updates and planning the successful implementation of project activities. A significant milestone was the consolidation of each agency's Annual Work Plan into a unified AWP for the project. Regular coordination meetings among the four agencies have not only strengthened inter-agency collaboration but also reaffirmed their collective commitment to advancing the peace-development-humanitarian nexus.

Recruitment efforts are ongoing, with the process to onboard the Governance and Peace Specialist (IPSA10) currently in progress. This position is expected to further strengthen the programme's operational capacity and support the delivery of key initiatives.

In addition, the contracting of an international communications and Knowledge management consultant and the national Documentation and Knowledge management consultant has expedited significantly the implementation of outcome 2 and brought additional expertise on the ground for the key activities such the community dialogues conducted in May and June.

In terms of stakeholder engagement, bilateral meetings have been held with department heads and directors of the Autonomous Bougainville Government (ABG), as well as with civil society organizations such as the Bougainville Women's Federation (BWF). These engagements have been instrumental in sharing information, providing updates on project progress, and reinforcing collaboration and coordination with local partners.

The implementing partner – NCFR - was supported to build their capacity to collect and analyze data via Kobo Toolbox to measure community sentiments on the post-referendum peace process. As a result 29 community dialogues across 13 districts were conducted.

Draft work plans with ABG Media Bureau and Bougainville Women's Federation (BWF) await finalization due to delayed PBF second-tranche disbursement, though participants from both organizations remain engaged in trainings. A draft UN-to-UN agreement with IOM for the Displacement Tracking Matrix also awaits funds. Activities under a signed work plan with ABG Community Development were completed in 2024 and payments are still in process. The partnership with OHCHR on "Education for Peace" human rights defender training has resulted in the completion of three trainings across the three regions of Bougainville.

As a result of these coordinated efforts, outreach and engagement activities have successfully reached 29 out of 34 Community Governments, along with the Bougainville Women's Federation, women members of Parliament, and Human Rights Defenders. These efforts have been particularly focused on conflict-affected communities such as Konnou, Bana, and Tonu in South Bougainville. These achievements mark a significant step forward in building inclusive and community-driven peacebuilding processes across Bougainville.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Activities related to outcome 1 have seen limited progress with the ongoing moderation process between the government of Papua New Guinea (PNG) and the Autonomous Bougainville Region taking longer than expected, during this reporting period. The political agenda is closely linked to this process, and hastening negotiations would be counterproductive, so the Project has concentrated on supporting it in a complementary way. Additionally, outcome 3 has not progressed during this period due to a lack of funding due to cash-flow issues, as the second tranche has already been allocated to the agreement with the implementing partner. As a result, the project has focused on monitoring the work completed last year and providing technical support to the Autonomous Bougainville Government (ABG) using in-house resources.

Project progress summary *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Outcome 1

Activity 1.1.1–1.1.5:

While the Joint Supervisory Body meeting and Bougainville elections are pending, preparatory efforts have laid key groundwork. The project did not support formal dialogue forums but contributed to mediation efforts between the Governments of Papua New Guinea and Bougainville through technical support to RCO and DPPA. Activities related to power transfer remain sensitive and unimplemented, with concerns over perceived readiness for independence. Recruitment of technical experts was not pursued as it was not identified as a need.

Activity 1.2.1–1.2.4:

One session was held for women election candidates on the post-Referendum process. Bilateral meetings with key stakeholders helped align perspectives and promote inclusive dialogue. The project re-engaged with the Bougainville House of Representatives and is planning support for the inactive Bipartisan Committee on Bougainville Affairs. Continued capacity-building for women MPs has equipped them to engage in post-referendum discussions, including the Enga Road Map and Era Kone Covenant.

Outcome 2

Activity 2.1.2 UNFPA and ABG Media Bureau developed information kits and high-quality printed materials in November 2024 for Sir Jerry Mataparae's (the independent Moderator) visit. Despite ABG endorsement, broader distribution remains on hold pending PNG government approval, delaying dissemination to communities. Media-skills workshops for implementing partners were completed during the June reporting period.

- Community Dialogues Training of Trainers were held on 27 June 2025 which included 7 participants (5 female, 2 male)
- Community Dialogues Training of Trainers Buka Urban Pilot were held on 28 June 2025 which included 25 participants (10 male, 11 female)
- Media Training with Implementing Partners was held from 3-4 June 2025 for 19 communication officers/focal points (14 female, 5 male)
- Media Training with Journalists was held from 5-6 June 2025 -for 6 journalists (5 female, 1 male) from NBC, Post-Courier, ABG Media and New Dawn FM.

Activity 2.1.3 UNFPA have trained BWF staff on collecting participatory monitoring data via Kobo Toolbox for the Bougainville Voices Dashboard, ensuring that women's inputs inform ABG planning and budgets and can be sustained beyond the life cycle of this project. Furthermore, UNFPA is engaging with BWF to conduct a mapping of SMEs in Bougainville and supporting them through social media marketing, including a trade show at the innovation hub.

Activity 2.1.4 In November 2024, ABG Community Development with NCFR facilitators conducted a Youth Capacity Building Workshop in Siwai (4–8 November 2024; 30 participants) and a Bougainville Youth Forum & Open Dialogue in Kieta (18–22 November 2024; 37 participants), covering themes from "Peacebuilding Education and Trauma Resilience" to mental health and community engagement. Final payments and documentation remains outstanding due to delays in submission of supporting documents but payments have finally begun.

Activity 2.1.5 Between 26–29 May 2025, UNFPA conducted a Community Dialogues Training of Trainers session in Buka, where seven facilitators from NCFR and BWF practiced participatory exercises and configured Kobo Toolbox for real-time data capture. Two teams are slated to roll out 29 community dialogues (June–July 2025), with documentation, briefs, and infographics to follow through December 2025, culminating in a "Bougainville Community Dialogues Manual" and a "Bougainville Voices Dashboard" for participatory monitoring to inform the ABG Government's budgeting, planning and decision making (June–December 2025).

Activity 2.1.6 UNFPA in collaboration with UNDP and UN Women are planning a closing showcase event at the Innovation Hub in October 2025, which will integrate a trade show to promote Bougainville made products.

Activity 2.1.7 UNFPA and OHCHR completed "Human Rights Defender" trainings in Buka (27–28 November 2024), Arawa (9–10 May 2025), and Buin (12–13 May 2025), reaching a total of 65 participants who now serve as community-level HRD focal points. Participation in the Women Peace and Security (WPS) Summit (7–8 May 2025) further reinforced connections between human-rights education and women's leadership development. setting the stage

for additional HRD sessions as staffing and schedules allow.

Activity 2.1.8 UNFPA and IOM are finalizing a UN-to-UN agreement (June 2025) to implement the Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) in Bougainville, pending transfer of the second tranche.

Outcome 3

It saw limited progress due to funding constraints; however, the project remained active in engaging government counterparts. It provided technical support to the Bougainville Government for the International Women's Day celebrations (7–8 March 2025), which gathered over 500 participants, including women leaders, CSOs, human rights defenders, and officials. The event featured awareness sessions on sustainable peace, women's economic empowerment, and the Women, Peace and Security (WPS) agenda, and strengthened the role of Community Government Chairladies in peacebuilding.

The project also supported the Department of Commerce, Trade, Industry and Economic Development in drafting Bougainville's first Economic Development Plan (2025–2035), which emphasized local governance reform, women's economic empowerment, and conflict-sensitive, sustainable development.

Additionally, peacebuilding awareness was promoted during the WPS Summit (7–8 May), which produced recommendations to enhance inclusive governance and resilience in Bougainville.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

GEWE and Youth Inclusion has been ensured across project outputs through three strategies, a) working with organizations that specifically target women and youth, b) ensuring that methodologies use a participatory approach rooted in feminist and intersectional principles, and c) specifically targeting women and youth, for example through the WPS Summit and through the community dialogues where criteria for participation include 50% women and 50% youth of mixed gender.

Additionally, the project has promoted Gender Equality by providing technical assistance to the Department of Commerce, Trade, Industry and Economic Development to develop a gender-responsive governance and economic development framework.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Partnerships with Secretary Stephanie Elijah (DIMI) and Secretary Medley Koito (Community Development) have been particularly strong, yielding joint efforts on independence dialogues at the policy level, media training at the societal level, and support for community dialogues at the local level. Individual relationships among UN focal points have further consolidated government backing for SPB.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

<https://abg.gov.pg/index.php?/news/read/third-joint-moderation-consultation-meeting-underway-in-burnham-new-zealand> <https://pmnec.gov.pg/bougainville-independent-moderator-sir-jerry-mateparae/>
<https://www.abc.net.au/pacific/programs/wantok/boug-burnham-talks/105402892>

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Continued political dialogue facilitated between the two Governments and the two Parliaments, ensuring decisions on BPA implementation and post-Referendum are progressed.

Outcome 2:

Continued dialogue and awareness raising on the BPA and post-Referendum issues, ensuring that both the population in and outside of Bougainville is well informed and feels included in the process.

Outcome 3:

Peace-supporting businesses are established in collaboration with local governments to address spoilers of the Bougainville Peace Agreement

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Continued political dialogue facilitated between the two Governments and the two Parliaments, ensuring decisions on BPA implementation and post-Referendum are progressed.**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Number of joint communiques on BPA or the post- referendum process issued by the two governments	0	3	3	3	The joint communiques on BPA took place due to the moderated consultation
1. 2	Actual transfer of powers delegated from PNG to ABG as per the agreements signed by both parties	On-going delegation of powers	2	0	0	During the reporting period two specific MoU were discussed to transfer powers; the management of Buka General Hospital, a significant step in the transfer of powers and functions from the National Department of Health (NDoH) to the ABG. And the transfer to powers related to building, and transportation. Both MoUs will be signed in July.

1. 3	Level of partnership between the National Parliament and BHOR on post-referendum arrangements reflected in joint meetings and work of the National Parliament Bougainville Committee	Parliamentary Partnerships Agreement signed by NP and BHOR	NP and BHOR officials meet at least twice a year to discuss post-referendum issues	0	0	Moderator consultations in progress
---------	--	--	--	---	---	-------------------------------------

1.
4

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

1.
5

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Joint Supervisory Body meets regularly and makes joint agreements on post-referendum processes with resolutions implemented jointly by the two parliaments and their Governments, as required.

Output 1.2

Members of Parliament in both PNG and Bougainville capacitated to make informed decisions in relation to post-referendum processes and documentation

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Joint Supervisory Body meets regularly and makes joint agreements on post-referendum processes with resolutions implemented jointly by the two parliaments and their Governments, as required.**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	Frequency of JSB meetings and joint resolutions on post-referendum arrangements	0	2	0	2	There were no outstanding matters submitted to the JSB for further resolution
1. 1. 2	Number of women leaders participating in major meetings (JSB, BHOR, National Parliament) to discuss post-referendum issues	0	1	4	9	2 women leaders in ABG Departments, 1 in NP and 2 in BHOR
1. 1. 3						
1. 1. 4						
1. 1. 5						

» **Output 1.2: Members of Parliament in both PNG and Bougainville capacitated to make informed decisions in relation to post-referendum processes and documentation**

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Senior parliamentary leaders agree on activities for implementation under Parliamentary Partnership Agreement signed by National Parliament and BHOR in 2018	0	2 statements issued	0	1	Ongoing Moderation process has captured the political agenda
1. 2. 2	Frequency of meetings with National MPs and/or the relevant Bougainville Parliamentary Committee to discuss post-referendum ratification processes	0	1 committee within national parliament and 2 committees in BHOR	0	1	Ongoing Moderation process has captured the political agenda, however, it has activated the Bipartisan Parliamentary Committee for Bougainville Affairs
1. 2. 3						
1. 2. 4						

1.						
2.						
5						

» Output 1.3:

1.	Output	Indicator	End of	Indicator	Indicator	Reasons
3	Indicators	Baseline	Project	progress	progress	for
	<i>Describe the indicator</i>	<i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	Indicator	for	since	Variance/
			Target	reporting	project's	Delay (if
			<i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	period	start	any)
				<i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	<i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	<i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.						
3.						
1						

1.						
3.						
2						

1.						
3.						
3						

1.						
3.						
4						

1.						
3.						
5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 2: Continued dialogue and awareness raising on the BPA and post-Referendum issues, ensuring that both the population in and outside of Bougainville is well informed and feels included in the process.**

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	Increase in the portion of population across AROB and PNG (disaggregated) with a sufficient understanding of post- referendum process and critical issues	TBC	25% increased (45% women and Youth)	0	0	Community Dialogues are still ongoing
2. 2	Incorporation of community feedback into the high-level decision- making arrangements	0	2 input/feedback	0	0	The Bougainville Voices dashboard is being created which will provide many recommendations to the Government. The WPS Summit recommendations are also being analyzed to feed to authorities.
2. 3						
2. 4						

2.						
5						
.....						
How many outputs does outcome 2 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 2						
.....						
Output 2.1						
.....						
Output 2.2						
.....						
Output 2.3						
.....						
Output 2.4						
.....						
Output 2.5						
.....						
Other Outputs						
<i>If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here</i>						
.....						

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1:

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1						
2. 1. 2						
2. 1. 3						
2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1						
2. 2. 2						
2. 2. 3						
2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 3: Peace-supporting businesses are established in collaboration with local governments to address spoilers of the Bougainville Peace Agreement**

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1	Indicator 3.1 ABG and Community Governments effectively pilot community- based economic livelihood activities capable of scaling up.	Indicator Baseline Limited engagement between ABG and Community Governments in target locations.	ABG and Community Government representatives from target locations meet at least 9 times to agree on and review LED strategies in target locations.	1	0	To be measured at the end of the project
3. 2	Community Governments in pilot communities demonstrate an increased understanding of conflict- sensitive planning and resourcing for sustainable development.	22 Community Government reps (8 Chairladies, 3 youths, 9 former combatants and 2 Community Government Managers) from pilot areas trained on conflict- sensitive planning)	70% of Community Government representatives in 3 pilot communities demonstrate an increased understanding of conflict- sensitive planning and resourcing for sustainable development	0	0	To be measured at the end of the project
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1
Engaging conflict-prone communities in inclusive, local economic development supports the continued implementation of an inclusive Bougainville Peace Agreement.

Output 3.2
Strengthen the capacity of Community Governments to promote social cohesion and local economic development

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1: Engaging conflict-prone communities in inclusive, local economic development supports the continued implementation of an inclusive Bougainville Peace Agreement.

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1	Joint conflict and market assessments in pilot communities are used by the ABG, Community Government and Community stakeholders to co-design LED strategies.	Limited economic analysis completed on how to most effectively drive resilient LED activities that can promote social cohesion.	3 conflict-sensitive, gender-responsive LED strategies are developed in collaboration with the ABG.			
3. 1. 2	LED strategies are used to identify and incubate peace-supporting businesses in three target locations.	No peace-supporting businesses in the target locations based on conflict-sensitive, gender-responsive LED strategies	3 peace-supporting businesses identified and incubated with a specific focus on engaging women, youth and veterans in target locations.	N/A		Action Plan/Implementation developed for Konnou, Tonu and Bana. NCFR and PDI to implement Action Plan
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						

3.						
1.						
5						
.....						

» **Output 3.2: Strengthen the capacity of Community Governments to promote social cohesion and local economic development**

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1	Community Governments in pilot communities actively engaging in the dissemination of information related to the post-referendum process	CGs elected in late 2021 and local representatives sworn in in early 2022, thus have had limited involvement in post-referendum activities.	70% of CG officials in 3 pilot communities actively sharing accurate information on the post-referendum process.	0	0	Messages/Information being developed in collaboration with ABG Media Bureau
3. 2. 2	Community Governments have an ability to initiate and support organic business growth initiatives like local cooperatives and partnerships necessary to advance economic needs at the CG level.	CGs elected in late 2021 and local representatives sworn in in early 2022, thus have had limited understanding of how to connect LED to broader ABG economic development policy and processes.	70% of Community Government representatives in target locations demonstrate an increased understanding of the role of regulatory frameworks, processes and facilities in connecting LED to broader ABG processes. Output indicator	N/A	0	To be implemented in 2025 in partnership with Nazareth Centre for Rehabilitation (NCFR) and Peace Dividend Initiative (PDI)

3. 2. 3	Proportion of community governments who develop local processes and facilities to support women and youth livelihoods.	0	At least 50% of target community Government adapt local processes or facilities to ease business for women or youth.	N/A	0	To be implemented in 2025 in partnership with Nazareth Centre for Rehabilitation (NCFR) and Peace Dividend Initiative (PDI)
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output 4.1						
Output 4.2						
Output 4.3						

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)
If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	Sports and Culture Festival	October	Arawa	Women & Youth	Disseminate peace key messages while promoting safe spaces for youth to build relationships with other community members
Event 2	Training for new elected MPs on BPA	October	Buka	Members of Parliament	Disseminate BPA and other related agreements
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

1	Human Rights Defenders	In the reporting period, the PBF “Sustaining Peace on Bougainville” Project made significant strides in supporting Human Rights Defenders (HRDs) across the region, enhancing their capacity, visibility, and protection in a complex post-referendum environment. The project strengthened grassroots peace networks, with a focus on equipping HRDs—many of them women, youth, and church leaders—with tools to document and mediate human rights issues. In conflict-prone areas like Tonu, Bana, and Konnou, HRDs received training in conflict sensitivity, human rights frameworks, and trauma-informed approaches, enabling them to address local grievances, land disputes, and gender-based violence in non-violent, rights-respecting ways. HRDs also benefited from the project’s inclusive governance approach, which promoted community dialogue and participatory decision-making. Through provincial consultations and peace summits, defenders engaged in shaping the Women, Peace and Security roadmap, integrating human rights protection into Bougainville’s evolving political and legal landscape. Crucially, the project elevated the profile of HRDs through public advocacy and storytelling, empowering defenders to safely share experiences of violence, injustice, and reconciliation. This visibility fostered stronger community trust and protective networks. Several HRDs emerged as local peacebuilders and mediators, resolving disputes once handled through retribution or silence. At a systemic level, UN	https://www.facebook.com/share/v/16wpYXNxEM/
----------	-------------------------------	--	---

agencies worked with ABG institutions to recognize the role of HRDs in advancing inclusive peace. This contributed to a safer environment for their work and increased collaboration between civil society and local government.

In sum, the project's impact on HRDs between in the reporting period in 2025 was multifaceted: building their capacity, amplifying their voices, and increasing protections, allowing them to play a vital role in Bougainville's path toward sustainable, rights-based peace.

2	Women peace mediators	Between Jan -June 2025, the project has successfully: • Amplified women's voices in Bougainville's peace and governance arenas. • Equipped women with mediation and leadership skills, enabling them to resolve conflicts in families and communities. • Granted access to economic and digital tools, empowering entrepreneurial and climate-resilient pursuits. • Sparked mindset change, steering individuals from trauma and hostility to reconciliation and peace. Enhanced Peacebuilding & Leadership Capacity • Training grassroots mediators: Dozens of Bougainvillean women received training in conflict resolution, advocacy, and human rights. For example, Elizabeth, after being trained by UN Women and Melanesian Institute, became a peace mediator in her village—resolving land disputes and earning community respect Inclusive Governance & Advocacy • Conflict-analysis tools: 22 women in Tonu, Bana, and Konnou received training in conflict sensitivity, social mapping, and financial literacy—enabling them to actively participate in post-referendum decision-making and peace processes • Shifts in attitudes and behaviours: Participants like Merceline shared that following training, they moved from cycles of violence and revenge toward forgiveness and reconciliation. Similarly, Benedicta in Buka began using soccer gatherings to address domestic violence—reporting increased harmony in families and safer community environments. Empowerment, Economic & Digital Inclusion • Innovation hubs & economic development: As part of a	
-----------------	-------------------------------------	---	--

broader post-referendum strategy, UNDP launched innovation hubs in Buka, Arawa, and Buin (though implementation is ongoing) that target women—with digital literacy, entrepreneurship training, mentorship, and business startup support.

3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.
Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☒ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☒ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has developed digital tools for the community dialogues, such as the Community Dashboard, has trained peace mediators to use free software and offline tools such Kobo tool box to collect information at the community level.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project was able to mobilize additional support to hold a moderation process to resolve the outstanding matters between the government of Papua New Guinea and Autonomous Bougainville Government.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has strengthened cooperation and collaboration across agencies, increasing knowledge of what other agencies are doing, finding entry points for maximizing resources, and ensuring complementary efforts to achieve more impactful results. This has also increased UN visibility on the ground, and increased cooperation with other agencies such OHCHR, and IOM.

Who are we working with *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters***UN women, UNFPA, UNDP, IOM, OHCHR, Nazareth Centre for rehabilitation, Bougainville Women's Federation**

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative **Mandatory*

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project has closely monitored the implementation of Community Dialogues (Outcome 2) and economic development strategies (Outcome 3). Project staff were deployed to field sites to verify activity quality and uphold implementation standards. Regular meetings with implementing partners were held to address bottlenecks and ensure timely delivery of activities.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Outcome 1 has a baseline for each indicator, however the outcome 2 indicator: Increase in the portion of population across AROB and PNG (disaggregated) with a sufficient understanding of post-referendum process and critical issues, requires a significant resources to collect this qualitative information. the Community dialogues will determine the baseline.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Primary data. Data collection. Interviews with key informants

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

☐ Yes

☒ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

No community feedback mechanism has been established to date except for community dialogues.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

70000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

ToRS are being developed. The project will use the Roster to deploy an international consultant and will advertise the ToRs for the national consultant.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	Patricia Porras	UNDP	Bougainville Programme Coordinator	patricia.porras@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from since the project started? *

4

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**
Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *
Government of New Zealand

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
280741.16

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
280741.16

2

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**
Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *
Government of Australia

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
311526.48

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
311526.48

3

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**
Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *
Government of the United Kingdom

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) * <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 121506.68	
Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) * <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 121506.68	
If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started, as well as specifically during this reporting period <i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i>	4
Name of Funder * European Union	
Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) * <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 104440.00	
Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) * <i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i> 104440.00	
Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. * ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If yes, please select the relevant option below: * ☐ Some catalytic effect ☒ Significant catalytic effect	
If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so * <i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i> The project has contributed to building the momentum for the moderated process between the two governments, supporting the external Moderator to meet stakeholders engaged in the peacebuilding process and post referendum work. Thanks to the additional resources mobilized and complementarity, the project was able to mobilize the Bipartisan Parliamentary Committee for Bougainville Affairs which was inactive before the project started.	

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

To ensure long-term impact, the project has embedded sustainability across its interventions through the following mechanisms:

1. Institutional Mechanisms and Platforms
- Bougainville Voices Dashboard: A participatory monitoring tool developed with BWF and UNFPA, enabling community feedback to inform ABG planning and budgeting beyond the project’s lifecycle.
- Community Dialogues Manual: A standardized guide to support ongoing local peacebuilding dialogues, ensuring continuity of community engagement practices.
2. Capacity Building and Local Ownership
- Training of Trainers (ToT): Local facilitators were trained to lead community dialogues and human rights education, creating a pool of skilled individuals who can continue activities independently.
- Support to Women Leaders and MPs: Targeted capacity-building has equipped women leaders to actively participate in governance and peace processes, reinforcing inclusive leadership.
3. Socio-Economic Empowerment
- Support to Women-led SMEs: Through mapping, marketing support, and trade shows, the project has strengthened women’s economic resilience, linking peacebuilding with livelihoods.
- Bougainville Economic Development Plan (2025–2035): The plan integrates conflict-sensitive, gender-responsive, and environmentally sustainable strategies, with a focus on empowering Community Governments to drive local economic development.
4. Networks and Partnerships
- Human Rights Defender (HRD) Network: Trained HRDs now serve as focal points in their communities, promoting rights-based approaches to peace and development.
- Engagement with Government and Civil Society: Regular coordination with ABG departments, CSOs, and development partners has fostered shared ownership and alignment with national priorities.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Regular meetings with IPs		

Event 2			
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			
Final Steps • Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the <i>Printer</i> icon on the top right corner of the page. • A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation. • Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF. • (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there) • After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner. • In compliance with our reporting requirements, please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your <i>financial report in excel format</i> on the MPTF-O Gateway. <i>If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</i>			
Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.			