

# PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND** 

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report \*

2025-10-29

Name and title of person submitting the report \*

**Andrea Soldo, Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Associate**

---

E-mail of person submitting the report \*

**asoldo@iom.int**

---

Name and title of person who approved the report \*

**Michael O'Mahony, Chief of Mission ai**

---

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

Yes

No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? \*

*You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.*

Yes

No

Not Applicable

### » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

Yes  No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific       Central & Southern Africa       East Africa  
 Europe and Central Asia       Global       Latin America and the Caribbean  
 Middle East and North Africa       West Africa

Country of project implementation \*

- Albania       Bosnia and Herzegovina       Kosovo (As per UNSCR 1244)  
 Kyrgyzstan       Moldova       Montenegro  
 North Macedonia       Serbia       Tajikistan  
 Uzbekistan       Other, Specify

Other, please specify \*

---

Project Title

\*

- 00134115: Sustaining peace and social cohesion in Bosnia and Herzegovina through enhanced inter-municipal and inter-entity cooperation on local services (SPSC)
- 00140352: Women lead the way towards peace and security in Bosnia and Herzegovina
- 00140395: Support to a PBF Secretariat in Bosnia and Herzegovina to enhance strategic direction coordination and peacebuilding impact
- 00140558: A more Equitable Society: Promoting Social Cohesion and Diversity in Bosnia and Herzegovina (Dialogue for Future 3 – DFF3)
- 00140815: PEACESTORY: Empowering Creative Peacebuilders & Educators
- 00140937: Building long lasting peace in BiH: Investment in the future
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

\*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

---

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) \*

**2022-12-16**

Project End Date \*

**2025-06-15**

Has this project received an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? \*

- Yes
- No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? \*

- |                               |                                |                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March     |
| <input type="radio"/> April   | <input type="radio"/> May      | <input type="radio"/> June      |
| <input type="radio"/> July    | <input type="radio"/> August   | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December  |

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

\*

Yes

No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

\*

Yes

No

If yes, please select which

\*

National Trust Fund

Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- UN entity  
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme     IOM: International Organization for Migration  
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund  
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights  
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women  
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees     UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund  
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization     WFP: World Food Programme  
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme  
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization  
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme     ILO: International Labour Organization  
 WHO: World Health Organization     PAHO/WHO  
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund     UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime  
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services  
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization     ITC: International Trade Centre  
 UNDPO     Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients \*

*Select all that apply*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme  IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees  UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization  WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme  ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization  PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund  UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization  ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations  Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Please select other non-UN recipients

\*

- ACTED
- Action Aid UK
- AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- AEDE
- African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- Avocats sans frontières Canada
- Ayuda en Accion
- BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- CARE International UK
- Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- Christian Aid Ireland
- COIPRODEN
- Concern Worldwide
- Conexion Guatemala
- COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- CORD Burundi
- CORDAID
- Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- DanChurchAid
- Danish Refugee Council
- EQUITAS
- Fund for Congolese Women
- Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- Integrity Watch
- International Alert
- International Rescue Committee
- Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
- MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- MSIS-TATAO
- NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- Nonviolent Peaceforce
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
- OIKOS
- ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- ONG AZHAR
- OXFAM
- Peace Direct
- Plan International
- PNG UN Country Fund
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ROL - Raza Otunbaya Initiati

- Red de instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- RDT - Roza Otunbayeva Initiative
- Saferworld
- Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampanandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Save the Children
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- SismaMujer
- SOS Sahel Sudan
- Stichting Impunity Watch
- Tearfund
- The Carter Center, Inc.
- Trocaire
- War Child
- War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- World Vision International
- World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
- blank\_placeholder
- Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify \*

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

24

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

*(for June reports: January-June;*

*for November reports: January-December (anticipated);*

*for final reports: full project duration)*

24

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Centre for Educational Initiatives Step by Step**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

396783.72

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

416729.60

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

416729.60

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The “Schools as Agents of Change” intervention (implemented from April 2023 to November 2024) aimed to establish participatory, school-based platforms that promote independent learning, critical thinking, social justice, and democratic values among children. It contributed to Output 1.2, focusing on youth engagement in cross-community and inter-entity activities, supporting the overall outcome of enhanced social cohesion across communities. Key activities included nine coordination meetings with a Trainers and Mentors Team (10 members), 12 Professional Learning Community sessions, and four training sessions. The partner established 15 multipurpose student rooms (“Sobičak”), formed 16 school clubs that held 77 meetings, and implemented 66 school and interschool activities. A Final School Fair showcased the results of the 18-month intervention. At least 160 students (60% female) and 100 teachers (80% female) were actively engaged and directly benefited from the project, fostering inclusive learning environments and strengthening inter-community relationships.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Citizens Association "PSIHOLUMINIS"**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

135598.85

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

145198.77

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

145198.77

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The PRESTO (Prevention of Secondary Trauma) project (implemented from May 2023 to October 2024) aimed to strengthen professional capacity in addressing war trauma through a methodology focused on transgenerational trauma. The intervention included five online workshops and one in-person training for 28 professionals(90%female), selected via public call, followed by four months of supervision through eight online group sessions. For youth, two educational modules were delivered as four events, engaging 45 participants (64% female). The second module featured 40 storytelling sessions across eight communities, each followed by individual psychotherapy. Local coordinators facilitated the sessions, and 16 youth received family psychotherapy (80 sessions total). These activities promoted healing, civic engagement, and reduced ethnic and religious divisions. Additionally, OM partnered with Psiholuminis to organize a networking event during UN BiH SDG Week 2024, convening 50 youth (64% female) and professionals to strengthen interethnic relationships and build community-based institutional knowledge.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Citizens Association "PRAVIPOŽAR" Derventa**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

34911.68

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

30855.25

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

30855.25

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Veteran Dialogues 4.0 (implemented from August 2023 to November 2024) aimed to strengthen interethnic relationships by addressing unresolved war trauma through the “Constructive Usage of Veterans Experience (CUVE)” methodology. This approach leverages veterans’ experiences to build institutional knowledge and skills for supporting veterans and their families in targeted communities. The partner successfully completed all planned activities, starting with eight introductory site visits to Mrkonjić Grad, Ribnik, Ključ, Sanski Most, Bosanski Petrovac, Livno, Drvar, and Bosansko Grahovo. These visits engaged war veterans and professionals from local mental health centers and centers for social welfare (CSWs). Following the visits, four seminars/learning modules were organized based on the CUVE methodology, developed in collaboration with the Centre for War Trauma in Novi Sad. In total, 22 individuals (14 male, 8 female) participated in preparatory visits and learning modules, including 10 veterans and 7 professionals from CSWs and mental health centers. These activities promoted healing, dialogue, and cross community understanding through shared learning and trauma-informed support.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Youth council Bosanski Petrovac**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

15356.12

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

36016.22

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

36016.22

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The “You(th) Can Build the Peace” project (implemented from April to December 2024) aimed to strengthen social cohesion in Bosanski Petrovac by creating opportunities for shared experiences among youth from Bosanski Petrovac and neighboring communities. Activities included joint celebrations of religious holidays, workshops, fieldtrips, and the creation of a shared space for youth clubs. These initiatives enabled young people to gather, collaborate, and exchange ideas, foster mutual understanding, joint learning, and active participation in community life. In addition to the grant, IOM further supported the Youth Council by procuring IT equipment, furniture, and books to ensure the full functionality of youth premises in both Bosanski Petrovac and Bravsko. The intervention promoted inclusive engagement and contributed to building trust across communities, empowering nearly 50 local youth to become agents of positive change.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**PRONI Center for youth development Brčko**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

65012.62

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

14731.93

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

14731.93

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The Youth Activism Focus project (implemented from April 2024 to February 2025) aimed to equip young people from targeted communities with the skills and support needed to establish and manage youth centers. Through a series of workshops and mentorship sessions, participants received guidance on forming youth organizations and engaging local authorities to ensure institutional support for youth-led initiatives. The partner successfully implemented all four learning modules for 20 young participants (60% female), focusing on youth NGO management at the local level. The training was based on the "Proni Academy of Youth Work" methodology, previously developed with IOM support and was followed by online mentorship sessions to support ongoing youth initiatives. Meetings with local authorities in Ribnik and Bosansko Grahovo led to the initiation of a Memorandum of Understanding to formally support the establishment of new youth organizations or spaces in these communities. The intervention strengthened youth engagement and laid the groundwork for youth activism.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Center for Peace Building Sanski Most**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

69335.61

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

19664.49

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

19664.49

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period. \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The “Invest in Youth – Invest in Peace” project (implemented from May to November 2024) aimed to empower young people in BiH through non-formal education on peacebuilding, leadership, and critical thinking. It contributed to Output 1.2 by fostering cross-community and inter-entity activities that enhance social cohesion. The first module, “How to Communicate About Peacebuilding,” engaged 21 participants (57% female) in interactive workshops covering peacebuilding theory and the eight pillars of positive peace. Two additional modules strengthened group cohesion and supported mentorship, leading to the design and implementation of local youth peace initiatives which included “PetrovACT” in Bosanski Petrovac), two initiatives in Ključ, “Intergenerational Dialogue” and “Youth and Mental Health”, awareness-raising actions in Mrkonjić Grad and Drvar , and Youth Peace Caravan. In total, more than 100 youth (approximately 60% female) participated in local youth peace initiatives. Despite efforts, the establishment of a youth NGO in Ključ was unsuccessful due to local contextual challenges. In addition to the grant, the Centre for Peacebuilding was supported through procurement of equipment and furniture to ensure full functionality of the youth space.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Youth organization Centar Mrkonjić Grad**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

15965.81

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

19825.08

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

19825.08

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The “Opportunity for Youth, Path to a Better Future” project (implemented from April to December 2024) aimed to empower youth and strengthen community ties through interethnic exchanges, educational activities, and volunteer actions. The partner organized four inter-entity youth exchanges involving 20 young people from neighboring communities with both Serb and Bosniak majorities. Five educational workshops were held in the newly renovated and reopened Youth Centre, alongside five local volunteer activities such as mural painting and eco-cleaning. The partner also successfully delivered four sessions, engaging 64 young people (56% female) from Mrkonjić Grad, Ključ, and Bosanski Petrovac in discussions on youth activism and non-formal education. These activities fostered cooperation and cross-community understanding. In addition to the grant, the youth organization was further supported by procuring furniture to ensure the full functionality of the premises.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Center for Civic Cooperation Livno**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

15282.05

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

15435.23

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

15435.23

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Within the "Together for a Better and More Tolerant Community" initiative (implemented from April to August 2024) a non-formal media group was engaged to highlight positive stories of reconciliation and tolerance. The initiative included media and peacebuilding workshops to equip young aspiring journalists with civic engagement skills. An online campaign was launched to combat hate speech and promote values such as tolerance, humanity, and volunteerism. The partner successfully implemented four seminars/learning modules for 30 high school students (70% female) in Livno, focusing on tolerance and peacebuilding. Students were encouraged to identify and share success stories from their communities, which were featured in the campaign. As a result, eight audio and eight video segments were produced and broadcast on local TV and radio stations. In addition to the grant, the initiative was supported by procuring necessary equipment to ensure its full functionality.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Center for Cultural and Media Decontamination**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

22005.70

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

23111.91

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

23111.91

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**As part of the Chain of Change project (implemented from February 2024 to February 2025), the Center for Cultural and Media Decontamination was contracted through a Service Agreement to develop the "Mi o nama/We about Us!" video social media campaign, which involved developing, recording, and promoting a minimum of nine individual success or positive change stories, captured during on-site visits and video production, and producing a final documentary highlighting the project's impact on participating communities.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Public institution - school**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Gymnasium Livno**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

1661.42

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

1796.86

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

1796.86

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The "School to Community initiative: Supporting School Sport at Gymnasium Livno" (finalized in November 2024) aimed to enrich educational experiences, promote physical and mental well-being, and strengthen community connections by providing equipment and creating venues for both school and community events.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Public institution - school**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Secondary School Bosanski Petrovac**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

1820.80

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

3861.75

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

3861.75

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The "School to community initiative: Outdoor Class(room) at Secondary vocational school Bosanski Petrovac" (finalized in November 2024) aimed to enhance educational experiences, promote well-being, and strengthen community ties by providing equipment and creating venues for school and community events.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Public institution - school**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Primary School Bosanski Petrovac**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

1326.58

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

1434.73

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

1434.73

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The "School to community initiative: Book club at Primary school Bosanski Petrovac" (finalized in November 2024) aimed to enhance educational experiences, promote well-being, and strengthen community ties by providing equipment and creating venues for school and community events.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Public institution - school**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Primary School Ključ**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

2611.73

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

2824.66

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

2824.66

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The "School to community initiative: Sports hall at Primary School Ključ" (finalized in December 2024) aimed to enhance educational experiences, promote physical and mental well-being, and strengthen community ties by providing equipment and creating venues for school and community events.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Public institution - school**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Primary School "Mahala" Sanski Most**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

2838.27

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

3069.66

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

3069.66

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**As part of the "School to Community" initiative (finalized in December 2024) Primary School Mahala in Sanski Most received equipment for its sports hall, with the goal of enriching education, supporting health, and fostering stronger connections between the school and the wider community by providing a venue for school and community events.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Public institution - school**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**First Primki School Sanski Most**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

1749.85

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

3861.75

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

3861.75

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The "School to Community" initiative: Multimedia classroom at First Primary School Sanski Most" (finalized in November 2024) aimed to enhance educational experiences and strengthen community ties by providing equipment and creating venues for school and community events.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Public institution - school**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Vocational Secondary School Livno**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

1878.01

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

2031.12

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

2031.12

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**As part of the "School to Community" initiative (finalized in December 2024), Vocational Secondary School Livno received equipment to support the development of a new sports field. This initiative aimed to enhance educational experiences, promote physical and mental well-being, and strengthen community ties by providing a venue for school and community events.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Construction company**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**FLEX INVEST**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

6980.06

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

8064.03

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

8064.03

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period. \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**This contractor was engaged for conducting the reconstruction works reconstruction of the playground in Glamoč which was finalized in January 2025.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Construction company**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**ZIDART**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

27210.24

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

24425.72

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

24425.72

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**This contractor was engaged for conducting the reconstruction works of the premises of the Youth organization Centar in Mrkonjić Grad which was finalized in May 2025.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Construction company**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**DOLA d.o.o. Cazin**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

28595.24

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

33035.98

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

33035.98

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period. \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**This contractor was engaged in conducting the reconstruction works of the Youth Centre Bosanski Petrovac which was finalized in November 2024.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Youth Educational Center "KOSMOS" Ključ**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

2025.97

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

2158.86

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

2158.86

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The Youth Centre in Ključ in April 2025 received donations of equipment and materials essential to its operations, enhancing its ability to support youth activities and programs.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Youth Organization "Mladi grade budućnost" Gornji Ribnik**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

3353.23

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

3552.83

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

3552.83

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period. \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The Youth Organization in Gornji Ribnik in April 2025 received donations of equipment and materials essential to its operations, enhancing its ability to support youth activities and programs.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Youth Organization "Bosansko Grahovo"**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

4512.52

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

4770.41

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

4770.41

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period. \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The Youth Organization in Bosansko Grahovo in May 2025 received donations of equipment and materials essential to its operations, enhancing its ability to support youth activities and programs.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**PR and Marketing Agency**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Prime Communications**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

10156.03

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

9116.73

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

9116.73

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Organizational, technical, and logistical support was provided for seven inter-municipal dialogues held in March 2025 across four municipalities (Bosanski Petrovac, Drvar, Livno, and Mrkonjić Grad), with participation from Grahovo, Glamoč, Ključ, Ribnik, and Sanski Most. A total of 78 participants (62% female), including representatives from local governments, schools, social work centers, NGOs, youth, cultural institutions, and media, engaged in discussions aimed at fostering mutual understanding and collaboration.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

---

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- National women's and youth CSO
- Subnational women's and youth CSO
- Other

Other, Please specify

**Consulting Agency**

---

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Qlity d.o.o**

---

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

10940.31

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

9350.69

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

9350.69

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period \*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Tour de la Bosnia brought young people together for a three-day journey (12 to 14 June 2025) through nine communities in Bosnia and Herzegovina, promoting peace, cooperation, and shared identity. Organized under the VOZI MIR initiative, the tour was supported by Qlity d.o.o., responsible for logistics, coordination, and media production. The initiative produced: 10 short videos (1 per community + 1 general intro) and 1 highlight video (3-5 minutes) summarizing the journey and its impact.**

# Financial Reporting

## » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

<b>Recipients</b>	<b>Total Project Budget</b> (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	<b>Transfers to date</b> (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	<b>Expenditure to date</b> (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	<b>Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget</b> (calculated automatically)
<b>IOM: International Organization for Migration</b>	*  1753053.00	*  1753053.00	*  1647042.83	93.95%



	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3500000</b>	<b>3500000</b>	<b>3065167.02</b>	<b>87.5</b> <b>8%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **87.58%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

### » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

35.23

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1233050**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

1233008.30

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1079858.34**. Is this correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

1062485.19

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

SPSC BiH\_IOM\_UNDP\_expense update 091025-10\_13\_49.xlsx



## ***Project Markers***

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*



Yes



No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The Project Steering Committee is composed of representatives from the Ministry of Civil Affairs of Bosnia and Herzegovina, the Ministry of Health and Social Protection of Republika Srpska, the Ministry of Labor, Health, Social Protection and Displaced Persons of Canton 10, the UN Resident Coordinator's Office, IOM, and UNDP. Over the course of the project, three Steering Committee meetings were held:**

- **The first meeting (23 May 2023) approved the selection of partner local governments, endorsed the project work plan, and confirmed institutional readiness to support implementation.**
- **The second meeting (13 February 2024) reviewed progress, approved proposed projects, and endorsed a revised annual work plan. The Committee also supported a potential six-month extension to ensure completion of social service improvement activities**
- **The third meeting (27 November 2024) brought together key government and UN stakeholders to review progress, approve adjustments to selected municipal infrastructure interventions, and adopt the revised 2023–2025 Annual Work Plan, confirming project completion in June 2025. The final Steering Board meeting was originally scheduled for June 2025 but was postponed to September due to scheduling constraints. However, it did not take place in September because of overlapping operational and logistical obligations that affected the availability of key participants. To ensure Steering Board members remain well-informed despite the cancellation, the project team is preparing a visual and informative summary package. This package will present key project results, evaluation findings, and lessons learned, and will be shared with all members by the end of September/beginning of October 2025 to support reflection and future planning.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**From its inception, the SPSC Project actively engaged government actors at the local, cantonal and entity levels to ensure alignment, ownership, and sustainability of social service improvements and peacebuilding efforts. Initial engagement included meetings across all levels to present project goals, gather input, and secure cooperation. These meetings also facilitated the recruitment of 18 UN Volunteers and explored their long-term integration into local institutions. Consultations with mayors and officials from targeted municipalities, including directors of Centers for Social Welfare and youth department heads, laid the foundation for community-based interventions. To formalize cooperation and ensure sustainability, a Memoranda of Understanding were signed with all nine municipalities, and relevant ministries (BiH Ministry of Civil Affairs, Ministry of Health and Social Protection of Republika Srpska, Ministry of Labor, Health, Social Protection and Exiled of Canton 10) were engaged through the Project Steering Committee. These agreements supported the development of proposals focused on enhancing social services and strengthening social cohesion. Working sessions were facilitated to co-design inclusive services, including support for people with disabilities and survivors of domestic violence. Through implementation, collaboration with authorities helped address challenges, including delays in infrastructure projects, most notably in Bosansko Grahovo, where political deadlock delayed municipal council approval due to changes in its composition. Additionally, inputs were provided to public consultations on the draft Law on Social Services in FBiH, ensuring field-based lessons and community needs were reflected in policy recommendations. In March 2025 the "World Social Workday" event brought together representatives from all levels of government, UN agencies, and civil society to discuss the role of social services in promoting peace, trust, and cohesion. In the final phase, the project focused on consolidating gains through (cross)community-level dialogues and renewed cooperation with newly elected local officials. Coordination remained strong with municipalities involved in infrastructure projects, particularly Livno, Glamoč, Sanski Most, and Mrkonjić Grad, which were effective and responsive throughout the planning and execution of infrastructure projects. The final project event in June 2025, showcased key synergies and lessons learned, including sustained cooperation among Centers for Social Welfare, cross-entity collaboration between Bosanski Petrovac, Ribnik and Ključ, and strengthened youth informal networks between youth groups from Ključ, Ribnik, Bosanski Petrovac and Mrkonjić Grad. The event highlighted participants' willingness and commitment to remaining active in their communities and continuing their engagement in peacebuilding and civic activism across Bosnia and Herzegovina.**

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

### NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Collection of baselines \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries \*

- Not Started       Initiated       Partially Completed  
 Completed       Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**All key preparations were completed, including staff recruitment and partner contracting. Activities followed the planned timeline, though some delays occurred. Hiring qualified staff took longer than expected, with the full team in place by July 2023. The selection of a service provider for mapping social services was also delayed; the first tender in March 2023 received one ineligible offer, requiring a second round that concluded in June. The contract ran from late June to mid-November 2023, following an extension to finalize the report. These delays affected the overall timeline which resulted in a no-cost extension approved in November 2024. The one of the project's key challenges was the absence of concrete, implementation-ready projects within local governments, even though local development strategies are in place. Developing concrete proposals requires adequate institutional capacity and awareness, and strengthening these capacities was a key project goal, starting with the mapping exercise shared with partners as a basis for proposal development. This process involved consultations, revisions, and field visits. With project support, 21 proposals were submitted, and 14 were selected for funding. Political developments contributed to additional delays. In anticipation of the October 2024 local elections, informal campaigning commenced early, redirecting the municipal councils' attention toward political debates, slowing down decision-making on project matters, as illustrated with the example of Bosnasko Grahovo.**

**Due to these delays, a no-cost extension of six months was requested and approved in November 2024, moving the project's end date from December 2024 to June 2025. This allowed time to complete all planned activities and project evaluation. While internal staffing changes led to some delays in implementation, transitional measures were put in place, and new project lead was appointed, to ensure continuity in operations and implementation. All partner-led activities were completed by the end of the project, although some administrative closures took longer than expected. In the final phase, service providers supported closing activities:**

- A PR and marketing agency supported the final event in June 2025, which showcased achievements, engaged stakeholders, and encouraged reflection.**
- Another provider supported the UN Youth Advisory Board's "Tour de la Bosnia" initiative, promoting unity through travel and storytelling. This included production of 8-10 short videos and a 3-5-minute highlight video. The external evaluation included:**
- A summative evaluation managed by IOM (March-June 2025), reviewing project results and contributions to peacebuilding, as per PBF guidelines.**
- An Impact Perception Survey managed by UNDP (May- June 2025), with the final report submitted by the end of June 2025.**

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

---

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? \*

Yes

No

If no, please provide an explanation \*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

---

## Project progress summary

\*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

The SPSC project, jointly implemented by IOM and UNDP, aimed to strengthen social cohesion and foster inclusive, peaceful communities in BiH, particularly across the administrative borders of the Federation of BiH (FBiH) and Republika Srpska (RS). Targeting post-conflict areas, the project engaged municipal authorities and local actors to promote dialogue, empower youth, and build community resilience through trauma-sensitive approaches and improvements to social infrastructure. Findings from the Perception Survey confirms that the project has made measurable progress toward enhancing social cohesion. Residents in project-targeted communities reported a higher level of social cohesion (2.61) compared to those in comparative communities (2.56), and lower perceived tension (3.45 vs. 3.56) and threat (3.39 vs. 3.59), indicating improved intergroup relations. While perceived responsiveness (2.12 vs. 2.06) showed no significant statistical difference, residents noted improvements in social service delivery compared to the pre-intervention period. The project enhanced trust in local institutions through participatory engagement (Output 1.1). Between November 2023 and March 2025, 34 community dialogues (27 local, 7 inter-municipal) engaged over 500 participants (65% women). Beyond gathering input on local priorities, dialogue served as platforms for exploring community values, fostering awareness and encouraging collaboration. By addressing shared concerns, such as social exclusion and insecurity, which often transcend ethnic divisions, the dialogues helped build trust and promote cooperation. While ethnic divisions persist, survey results confirm reduced tension and threat, though deeper cohesion, such as trust and belonging, requires sustained effort. Youth engagement, cultural activities, and inclusive community-building were seen as key strategies to counter emigration and promote long-term social cohesion. Based on needs identified through dialogues, CSWs and municipal departments co-developed proposals to improve access to social protection services for all residents, regardless of ethnicity, gender, or socio-economic status. The project supported partners in mapping needs and designing interventions which combined capacity-building and infrastructure investments, enhancing institutional capacity. In total, 14 new and six improved social services are now benefiting nearly 9,000 residents. Co-designed with local authorities, these services support vulnerable groups, especially children, women, and persons with disabilities. Key improvements included enhanced professional capacity of social workers and mental health professionals, better access to psychosocial support, early childhood services, and protection mechanisms, contributing to community resilience and inclusion. Infrastructure upgrades further supported these goals:

- In Bosanski Petrovac a new CSW was established
- In Livno, an inclusive playground enables children with developmental disabilities to play alongside peers, promoting early integration and reducing stigma
- In Glamoč and Ribnik, Safe Apartments for survivors of violence offer secure, dignified shelter and support, with municipal commitment to long-term maintenance
- In Sanski Most, the renovated CSW now provides legal aid, therapeutic workshops, and parental counselling
- In Mrkonjić Grad, a sensory therapy unit and parental counselling services expanded early childhood intervention and caregiver support, benefiting nearly 100 individuals.

The project also promoted cross-community cooperation through joint initiatives, such as creative workshops for oncology patients from Bosanski Petrovac and Ključ. These workshops provided psychosocial support and laid the groundwork for cross-entity collaboration through shared exhibitions and school outreach.

Plans to extend these efforts to Ribnik and Prevljaka, including school-based awareness activities, reflect sustained interest in inter-community engagement. Youth engagement (Output 1.2) was a cornerstone of the project. By intentionally partnering with both well-established CSOs and smaller, less experienced grassroots organisations and groups, the project focused on strengthening the capacities of local actors who are embedded in their communities, understand local needs, and mobilize both formal and informal networks, often through volunteering. Through these partnerships, the project supported over 500 community activities, reaching 2,200 participants (66% children and youth). In addition, more than 60 youth-focused initiatives engaged 1,500 young people, with 70% reporting increased knowledge and skills. These efforts not only fostered cross-community collaboration and leadership among youth but also laid the groundwork for sustained civic engagement and inclusive peacebuilding driven by local actors. The project empowered beneficiaries through dialogues, training, intercommunity visits, and festivals, helping them gain hands-on experience and develop personal and professional skills. These interactions encouraged youth to become “agents of change” advocating for collaboration in schools, neighborhoods, and communities, as well as initiating small-scale interventions and engaging in civic life. Cross-ethnic exchanges (e.g., youth from Ključ and Sanski Most with Ribnik and Mrkonjić Grad) challenged stereotypes and strengthen bonds across divides. Media content production and youth clubs provided further platforms for sustained activism and engagement. One of the key achievements was the creation and enhancement of 21 youth clubs and multi-purpose student/youth spaces, many located in municipal or school premises. A new Youth Centre was established in Bosanski Petrovac, two youth organizations registered in Ribnik and Bosansko Grahovo, and youth centres in Mrkonjić Grad and Ključ were equipped. These hubs became vital for intergroup and interethnic interaction and collaboration, especially in underserved areas.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project placed strong emphasis on gender equality and women's empowerment, particularly by improving access to quality social services for women in vulnerable communities. Women represented approximately 80% of social service beneficiaries, positioning them at the center of service delivery improvements.**

**Key gender-responsive interventions included the establishment of safe housing for survivors of domestic violence in Bosansko Grahovo, Glamoč, and Ribnik, small municipalities with disproportionately high rates of gender-based violence. In Sanski Most, a new social counseling service was launched to provide legal and psychosocial support to survivors, with women comprising 80% of its 2,347 beneficiaries. The project also facilitated inter-municipal cooperation to support women with cancer in Bosanski Petrovac and Ključ, improving access to care across administrative boundaries.**

**Youth inclusion was promoted through the creation of youth clubs, student corners, and youth centers integrated into school extracurricular programs. These platforms fostered leadership, civic engagement, and peacebuilding among youth from diverse backgrounds. Women and girls made up 64% of participants in cross-community activities, highlighting strong civic engagement. Joint community dialogues, youth-led initiatives, and inter-entity training sessions for social workers and educators further strengthened collaboration and professional networks. Staffing also reflected the project's gender commitment, with 72% of UN Volunteers being women, reinforcing gender responsiveness at both community and institutional levels.**

**However, the external evaluation found that while gender participation targets were met, genuine gender responsiveness was uneven. Programming often defaulted to numeric parity, counting women's participation without fully addressing structural inequalities or designing interventions around gendered experiences. For example, family therapy sessions saw low participation from fathers, indicating a lack of male-friendly formats. Women veterans were underrepresented, and some women's CSOs felt sidelined when their ideas were repurposed or not implemented as envisioned. Despite meaningful efforts, such as tailored training schedules, women-only sessions, and the empowerment of female mentors, these advances were not always systematic. The absence of formal gender analysis and consistent gender-responsive curricula meant that achievements were sometimes ad hoc rather than comprehensive.**

**The evaluation highlights the need for future programming to integrate gender-sensitive conflict analysis and design tailored interventions that elevate women's leadership and address gendered dimensions of trauma, exclusion, and caregiving.**

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

Yes

No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

---

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? \*

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

\*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

The SPSC project addressed the root causes of division, marginalization, and inequality in post-conflict and underdeveloped communities in BiH, including educational segregation, divisive narratives, unresolved trauma, youth exclusion, and weak inter-entity cooperation. By promoting inclusive services in multi-ethnic municipalities and ensuring equal access to social protection regardless of ethnic background, the project contributed to peacebuilding and enhanced social cohesion across targeted communities in western BiH, particularly those near administrative entity borders. Perception survey results indicate a measurable impact on social cohesion, defined as the current levels of tension, trust, perceived threat, sense of belonging, and empathy, with residents in project-targeted communities reporting a statistically significantly higher Social Cohesion Index (2.61) compared to those in comparative communities (2.56) reflecting the project's positive influence on community relationships and social dynamics.

A comprehensive approach combined infrastructure development (14 newly established and 6 improved social services, including 2 intermunicipal services), civil society engagement through a small-grants program (10 CSOs involved as partners or grantees), and over 300 events and activities encompassing both capacity-building and community-based social interactions. Together, these efforts enabled dialogue and interaction, fostering shared spaces that embed collaboration and coexistence into everyday life.

By constructing, reconstructing, and equipping CSWs in Bosanski Petrovac, Glamoč, Ribnik, Ključ, and Livno, the project strengthened the institutional capacity of local governments to deliver equitable services, including psychosocial support for vulnerable families, counseling and shelter for survivors of domestic violence, and referral services for children and persons with disabilities. All services were made accessible to residents regardless of ethnicity, gender, or socio-economic status, reinforcing non-discrimination and restoring trust in public institutions. Safe apartments for survivors of domestic violence were established or renovated in Glamoč and Ribnik. While the Glamoč facility still requires full furnishing, due to delays in the tendering process, it represents a critical step toward providing safe spaces. A social counselling service was also launched in Sanski Most. By addressing gender-based violence, a deeply rooted societal issue often linked to post-conflict trauma, these interventions supported both individual recovery and broader community healing.

The project also introduced inclusive and specialized services that promote equal opportunity such as an inclusive playground in Livno, a sensory integration room in Mrkonjić Grad, and targeted support services in Sanski Most. These interventions help reduce stigma, promote inclusion, and foster empathy and cooperation which are key elements of sustainable peace.

By facilitating joint initiatives across municipalities and entities, such as the shared service improvement plan between Bosanski Petrovac and Ključ, the project strengthened horizontal cooperation and built networks that transcend ethnic and administrative divisions.

Through participatory planning, community dialogues, and inclusive decision-making, residents, especially women and youth, became active contributors to change, shifting from passive service recipients to engaged community stakeholders.

The project created and supported platforms for youth engagement, exchange, and networking, particularly in remote and underserved communities. A total of 21 youth clubs and multi-purpose student/youth spaces were

established or supported across target municipalities, many integrated into schools or located in public premises allocated by local authorities, creating inclusive environments for youth from diverse backgrounds.

Teachers, students, and schools across the nine targeted communities are now better equipped to integrate core principles of peace pedagogy, such as critical thinking, cooperation, dialogue, nonviolence, and empathy, into school-led initiatives and activities.

The project also fostered stronger partnerships between local governments and youth stakeholders. Municipalities such as Ribnik, Bosanski Petrovac and Sanski Most demonstrated increased accountability and responsiveness by supporting youth-led initiatives and investing in youth-oriented infrastructure. These efforts are transforming relationships between young people and local officials, promoting mutual understanding, reinforcing inclusive governance, and empowering the next generation to actively shape their communities.

Evaluation findings confirm the project's high relevance to the peacebuilding context in BiH. It aligned closely with community needs and national/international policy frameworks, complementing ongoing efforts while addressing geographic and thematic gaps, particularly in smaller, marginalized municipalities. The findings suggest that the project contributed to long-term peacebuilding goals by facilitating cross-entity exchanges among youth who maintained friendships beyond the project's duration, encouraging teachers to adopt nonviolent communication methods that reduce classroom conflicts, supporting municipal authorities in taking ownership of dialogue outcomes, including infrastructure and social protection initiatives for marginalized groups, and normalizing intergroup contact in areas previously marked by distrust.

Perception survey results also confirm increased social cohesion, improved responsiveness of local authorities, and enhanced services for vulnerable groups. While improvements were evident within communities, relations between neighboring communities remain a challenge. The project also helped reduce tensions and feelings of threat. However, deeper aspects of cohesion, such as trust and belonging, require sustained, long-term engagement.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

PBF SPSC IOM UNDP Final Evaluation Report-10\_24\_53.pdf



## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: \*

**Enhanced social cohesion among citizens across communities and the inter-entity boundary line**

Outcome 2: \*

Outcome 3: \*

Outcome 4: \*

Outcome 5: \*

Outcome 6: \*

Outcome 7: \*

Outcome 8:

\*

Additional Outcomes

*If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*

\*

## INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Enhanced social cohesion among citizens across communities and the inter-entity boundary line

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
-------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--	---	--	--

<p>1. 1</p>	<p>Increased level of social cohesion within and across target communities [social cohesion defined as level of tension, trust, perceived threat, feeling of belonging, empathy] (disaggregated by age, gender, locations)</p>	<p>n/a</p>	<p>Increased by 20%</p>	<p>23.5% by Perception Survey 4.0% difference in pre-post administered Social Cohesion survey</p>	<p>23.5% by Perception Survey 4.0% difference in pre-post administered Social Cohesion survey</p>	<p>Two methods were used to assess impact: a Perception Survey among project targeted communities' residents showed a 23.5% increase in perceived social cohesion, exceeding the 20% target, though this measure is based on participants' self-reported perceptions of change and may be biased. The Social Cohesion Survey, conducted with youth before and after project supported community/youth initiatives, found that social cohesion increased by 4.0% (from 68.3 to 71%), youth activism by</p>
-----------------	--	------------	-------------------------	---	---	---

					<p>4.5% (not statistically significant), and inclusion by 11.2% (statistically significant). These results indicate meaningful improvement, particularly in inclusion, with moderate gains in social cohesion and youth activism.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

<p>1. 2</p>	<p>Number of people reporting increased responsiveness of local authorities in provision of social services (through accessing new services in their communities, receiving services timelier, and overall satisfaction with services provided)</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>5,400</p>	<p>32,000 (weighted estimate based on the sample of the Perception Survey 2025)</p>	<p>32,000 (weighted estimate based on the sample of the Perception Survey 2025)</p>	<p>The results of the Perception Survey indicate significant progress, with an estimation of over 32,000 residents that would report improved responsiveness (based on statistical inference) from local social service providers, far exceeding the original target of 5,400. While overall satisfaction with services did not always differ substantially between project and comparison areas, specific improvements, such as faster service delivery, were clearly linked to the project's interventions. However, these figures must be</p>
-----------------	---	----------	--------------	---	---	--

					<p>interpreted with caution, as much of the apparent impact stems from extrapolating sample data to the wider population without transparent details on weighting, confidence intervals, or potential biases. Low response rates and limited coverage in sparsely populated areas further limit the reliability of these estimates. Thus, while the results suggest broadly positive effects, the limitations of the methodology should be kept in mind.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

1. 3	Number of cross-community platforms for collaboration conceived (communication channels, joint projects/ideas, networks, cross-community services)0	0	8 at least	9 (learning communities of primary and secondary school teachers, School Clubs, Youth Associations/Clubs, Network of social care professionals, Network of veterans, Peacebuilding network, Youth Network)	9 (learning communities of primary and secondary school teachers, School Clubs, Youth Associations/Clubs, Network of social care professionals, Network of veterans, Peacebuilding network, Youth Network)	
1. 4	Number of beneficiaries of improved or newly introduced social care services targeting the most vulnerable population (disaggregated by gender, age, location and belonging to ethnic minority groups)	0	9000	8,969 (51% women)	8,969 (51% women)	

1. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   **2**   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

**Trust in local governments / social service providers is improved through responsive and participatory interaction with communities**

Output 1.2

**Young women and men engage in cross-community and inter-entity activities**

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

**» Output 1.1: Trust in local governments / social service providers is improved through responsive and participatory interaction with communities**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	<b>Availability of an assessment of social service needs, demands and priorities</b>	No	<b>Available assessment that is gender and age sensitive</b>	Yes	Yes	
1. 1. 2	<b>Number of community dialogues organized with citizens, local authorities and/or veterans (disaggregated by type, locations)</b>	0	<b>32, out of which 24 local and 8 cross-community</b>	<b>34 (27 community and 7 inter-municipal dialogues in project targeted communities)</b>	<b>34 (27 community and 7 inter-municipal dialogues in project targeted communities)</b>	

1. 1. 3	<b>Number of community dialogue participants (disaggregated by locations, gender, age, and ethnicity)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>480 (40% women)</b>	<b>539 (65% women; 29% youth)</b>	<b>539 (65% women; 29% youth)</b>	
---------------	---	----------	------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

<p>1. 1. 4</p>	<p>Number of improved or newly introduced social care services targeting the most vulnerable population (disaggregated by type, location)</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>8 local and up to 2 inter-municipal services</p>	<p>14 newly established and 6 improved social services (2 intermunicipal services)</p>	<p>14 newly established and 6 improved social services (2 intermunicipal services) 1 service for children, youth, and oncology patients, offering occupational therapy and self-help groups; 2 services for children with disabilities, including oligophrenological treatment by a defectologist and professional orientation testing for youth; 2 services targeting vulnerable groups such as persons with disabilities, the elderly, pregnant women, children from low-income</p>	
------------------------	---	----------	---	--	---	--

					<p>families, and children in social need; 2 services for victims of domestic violence; 6 services for children with developmental disabilities and their parents; 1 service for families, children at risk, and individuals in need of family counseling support.</p>	
1. 1. 5	<p>Number of youths engaged to support community outreach and service delivery through the UN Community Volunteers modality</p>	0	15 (at least 50% women)	18 (72% women)	18 (72% women)	

» **Output 1.2: Young women and men engage in cross-community and inter-entity activities**

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

<p>1. 2. 1</p>	<p>Number of youth initiatives implemented (disaggregated by locations and type of activity: community actions and initiatives, training, countering hate speech campaigns, etc.)</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>at least 16 local and at least 6 intermunicipal</p>	<p>66 (52 local and 14 intermunicipal - Bosanski Petrovac 11, Glamoč 10, Mrkonjić Grad 6, Livno 7, Ključ 7, Sanski Most 8, Šipovo 5, Jezero 4, Drvar 4, Ribnik 4)</p>	<p>66 (52 local and 14 intermunicipal - Bosanski Petrovac 11, Glamoč 10, Mrkonjić Grad 6, Livno 7, Ključ 7, Sanski Most 8, Šipovo 5, Jezero 4, Drvar 4, Ribnik 4)</p>	<p>The target was based on the initial assumption of having at least 2 youth initiatives in each of the 8 project-targeted communities and at least 6 intermunicipal initiatives. By supporting the implementing partner in the "Schools as Agents of Change" project, the number of opportunities for youth initiatives increased significantly which led to higher progress on this indicator.</p>
------------------------	---	----------	--	---	---	--

<p>1. 2. 2</p>	<p>Number of youths participating in youth-led community initiatives (disaggregated by age, gender, women leadership, locations, and type of activity: community actions and initiatives, trainings, youth camps, workshops, countering hate speech campaigns, etc.)</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>2000 out of which at least 40% women</p>	<p>1475 unique participants were recorded in the implemented initiatives (63% girls/women; 39% were aged 14 years and younger, while 61% were</p>	<p>1475 unique participants were recorded in the implemented initiatives (63% girls/women; 39% were aged 14 years and younger, while 61% were</p>	<p>According to implementing partners' and grantees' reports, more than 2,000 youth engaged in various youth-led community initiatives. However, the official figure reflects only the number of unique participants, resulting in a lower recorded number. This ensures that individuals who participated in multiple activities are counted only once, offering a more accurate representation of the program's reach. Additionally, the number is below the set target due to demographic realities in the project-</p>
------------------------	--	----------	---	---	---	--

						targeted communities, many of which are experiencing depopulation and have a very limited youth demographic to engage.
1. 2. 3	Number of activities implemented (disaggregated by locations, type of activity: community actions and initiatives, training, youth camps, workshops, countering hate speech campaigns)	0	42 [training for teachers (4), workshops for students (20), training on transgenerational trauma (6), youth camps (4), training for youth leaders (8)]	45 (training for teachers (4), workshops for students (20), training on transgenerational trauma (6), youth camps (4), training for youth leaders (11))	45 (training for teachers (4), workshops for students (20), training on transgenerational trauma (6), youth camps (4), training for youth leaders (11))	

<p>1. 2. 4</p>	<p><b>Number of participants reporting increased project relevant knowledge and skills through capacity building events</b></p>	<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>960</b></p>	<p><b>763 (63% being young women and girls)</b></p>	<p><b>763 (63% being young women and girls)</b></p>	<p><b>The variance between the target and actual progress can be attributed to the lower survey response rate (47% of the 2,231 participants responded).. Among those who did respond, 73% reported increased project-relevant knowledge and skills, indicating that the events were both relevant and effective. As such, the figure achieved is likely an underestimation of the number of participants who gained additional knowledge and skills from capacity building events.</b></p>
------------------------	---	-----------------	-------------------	---	---	---

1. 2. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 1.3:

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1						
1. 3. 2						
1. 3. 3						
1. 3. 4						

1. 3. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						

1. 4. 5 .....						
------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						

1. 5. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Outcome 2:

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1						
2. 2						
2. 3						
2. 4						
2. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1:**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1						
2. 1. 2						
2. 1. 3						

2. 1. 4						
2. 1. 5						

» Output 2.2:

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1						
2. 2. 2						
2. 2. 3						
2. 2. 4						

2. 2. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						

2.						
3.						
5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						

2. 4. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						

2. 5. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						

3. 1. 4 .....						
3. 1. 5 .....						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						

3. 2. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						

3. 3. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						

3.						
4.						
5.						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						

3. 5. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						

4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						

4. 2. 5						
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						

4.						
3.						
5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						

4.						
4.						
5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						

4.						
5.						
5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes \*

### PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)  
**If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)**

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1					
Event 2					

<b>Event 3</b>					
<b>Event 4</b>					

## Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

<b>Human Impact</b>	<b>Type of stakeholder</b>	<b>What has been the impact of the project on their lives?</b>	<b>Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder</b>

1

Centre for Social welfare  
Bosanski Petrovac and Center  
for Mental Health Ključ

As part of this inter-municipal initiative, local psychosocial support systems were strengthened by equipping the Daily Care Center in Bosanski Petrovac and the Center for Mental Health in Ključ. These centers now provide safe and inclusive environments where beneficiaries can share experiences, participate in workshops and occupational therapy, particularly for oncological patients, and offer mutual support.

In municipalities with histories of interethnic tension and wartime trauma, such as Bosanski Petrovac and Ključ, the availability of psychosocial services is especially critical due to the heightened vulnerability of residents. Demand for these services tends to increase during periods of political instability, such as rising nationalist rhetoric or threats to democratic norms, which trigger renewed feelings of insecurity and anxiety. These services not only help individuals navigate uncertainty but also foster a sense of community and contribute to rebuilding trust across societal divides, making them essential from both a mental health and

“For me, peacebuilding means freedom. I need freedom for my children, which will be a legacy passed on to future generations, so they don't live in uncertainty as we did. This project helps us connect with the community, associations, and the municipality around shared interests.” Beneficiary of Centre for social welfare and member of Association Tunel

peacebuilding perspective.

2

Social care professionals and war veterans engaged in trauma-related work

The project created meaningful opportunities for social care professionals and veterans to strengthen their skills and confidence in trauma-related work. A dedicated panel held during the 8th edition of the Psychologists Congress of Bosnia and Herzegovina in Banja Luka provided a platform for practitioners to present their methodologies for working with secondary traumatized youth. For many participants, this was the first time they had the chance to share their experiences and approaches in a professional setting. Prior to the project, social care professionals and veterans often lacked the tools and knowledge to effectively address trauma-related challenges in their communities. The project helped fill this gap, equipping them with practical strategies and peer support.

“For the first time in my life, I felt encouraged by hearing others’ life stories and was able to share my own experience of being wounded. I’m deeply grateful for this opportunity, it made me feel relieved and accepted.” Veteran, 52 years old

**3**

**CSO/ Center for peacebuilding (CIM) Sanski Most**

**The project "Invest in youth - invest in peace" aimed to empower young people in BiH through non-formal educational workshops on peacebuilding, leadership, and critical thinking.**

**"Peacebuilding is not a one-time event, it's a long-term process. That's why engaging young people is essential. They need to understand that the conflict they've inherited is not theirs to carry. They didn't participate in it, and they shouldn't bear its burden. Helping them grasp this is key to breaking the cycle of conflict and preventing it from deepening. Unfortunately, the responsibility for peacebuilding has largely fallen on civil society organizations, which often operate with limited resources. Young people rarely encounter peacebuilding narratives in schools, on television, or in their communities. That's why projects like this are so important, they fill a critical gap. We must continue to talk to young people and show them the other side of the story. Through education, exposure to diverse experiences, and practical examples, we can help them see that there are others, across different communities, who also want peace. These activities are not just relevant; they are vital for building a more resilient and understanding society."**



4

Young women and men/project beneficiaries

Young people gained new and different perspectives about those from other ethnic groups. Together, they discussed different narratives about the war in the 1990s, creating their own "truth" and vision for the future. They worked on their transgenerational trauma with their families and had the chance to start a "new life" both socially (as they became youth workers and peacebuilders) and psychologically, as therapy helped remove obstacles and build motivation. Crossing interethnic lines, experiencing life in other communities, and meeting international youth at Peace Week developed a fresh spirit that will surely bring social transformations to the targeted communities.

"Before coming here, I had some prejudice. I grew up thinking that other ethnicities just want to kill us and that they hate us. After spending a few days at the camp, I realized that all of us are just people with our flaws and insecurities. I got along just fine with everyone. „Participant at Peace Camp, Ribnik, male  
"When I arrived at the Peace Camp, I was carrying a heavy burden with me. I come from a homogenous environment, and this was my first chance to communicate with peers who have different religious beliefs and ethnic backgrounds. At first, I was afraid that I would not be accepted by them, but I made some friendships that will be long-lasting. For the first time in my life, I was able to explore different cultures and religions with an open mind. I realized that we are not so different after all. I cannot wait to attend another Peace Camp." Participant at Peace Camp, Ribnik, female  
"Stories shared by activists inspired me a lot. I used to believe that nothing in our country could change for the better and there was no point in even trying. Through personal examples, they taught me that this is not true. This

			<p>experience is very valuable to me, and I will definitely use this knowledge to initiate positive changes in my local community. Participant, Bosanski Petrovac, male</p> <p>“This is an innovative approach in working with young people. I am so inspired to go back home and start working on change. You taught me how and gave me strength and motivation. I feel so good and ready.”</p> <p>Participant from Sanski Most, female</p> <p>“Trust is key; without it, I would have a completely different opinion about different religions or nations. Through this process, I realized that almost all of us went through the same difficulties.”</p> <p>Participant from Drvar, female</p>
--	--	--	--

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

**Centers for Social Welfare and municipal departments for social protection and social service providers across targeted partner municipalities - The project significantly enhanced collaboration between municipal authorities and social welfare institutions across targeted partner municipalities. By requiring that project proposals be submitted directly by municipal authorities, project ensured that mayors and local governments were actively engaged in identifying community needs and co-developing proposals with Centers for Social Welfare and Departments for Social Protection. This approach marked a shift from previous practices, where CSWs often operated in isolation, facing challenges such as legislative constraints, understaffing, and lack of recognition in municipal budgets. By involving local leadership from the outset, the project fostered institutional support and visibility for social welfare services.**

**A clear example of this impact occurred in Sanski Most, where the mayor, following the proposal submission, introduced an agenda item to the Municipal Council to allocate two additional rooms for the local CSW. The item was adopted unanimously, and UNDP promptly began implementation.**

**UN Community Volunteers - After graduating, a social worker from Livno was unemployed and, in search of financial stability, took a job at a local retail store. After applying for the UN Community Volunteer position under the SPSC project, he started working at the Centre for Social Welfare in Livno and occasionally at the Centre for Social Welfare in Glamoč. A decision was made to extend his engagement for an additional five months after his planned 12-month engagement ended, citing his high-quality performance, empathy, and dedication to his work. Reflecting on his experience, he said:**

**“Before this UNV engagement, I worked in a retail store. Through the SPSC project, I met incredible people from all project locations and learned so much. Fieldwork at the Centre for Social Welfare in Glamoč helped me grow professionally. I’m grateful for the training, hands-on experience, and learning opportunities in social work provided by the UN and UNDP. I can’t say enough about the amazing, hardworking, and intelligent people I met, people truly committed to making this bleak and sad world a better place to live.” (Social worker, UN Community Volunteer from Livno).**

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

IMAGE1~1-10\_53\_45.JPG



File 2

**OPTIONAL**

IMAGE2~1-10\_53\_53.JPG



File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

<https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/rebuilding-trust-one-municipality-time-bosnia-and-herzegovina>

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

<https://mionama.ba/>

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?si=HFQUBDbjrLV5Qsof&v=6ec8w9XB9dk&feature=youtu.be>

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: \*

*Please select up to 3.*

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Through UNV platform, UNDP engaged 18 volunteers in communities who provided valuable support to institutions in social care, which reflects in timely and more quality provision of services. Five local communities managed to ensure continuation of these contracts after the project-funded period ended. Furthermore, the project, in collaboration with the EU-funded project "Empowering Trust and Cohesion in Bosnia and Herzegovina's Communities", implemented jointly by IOM, UNDP, OSCE, and the Council of Europe, pooled resources to complete and equip the Centre for Social Welfare in Bosanski Petrovac.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**A comprehensive approach was adopted to strengthen capacities, combining infrastructure development with targeted capacity-building and community engagement. This included the construction, reconstruction, and equipping of Centers for Social Work (CSWs), which significantly improved the ability of public service providers to deliver inclusive support services such as psychosocial assistance, counseling, and referrals. In parallel, the project supported civil society through a small-grants program and facilitated a wide range of social and networking activities—planning sessions, study visits, summer camps, and youth exchanges—that fostered dialogue and interaction. Additionally, the establishment of 21 youth clubs and multi-purpose spaces, often integrated into schools or public facilities, created safe and inclusive environments for young people, further enhancing local capacities for youth engagement and participation.**

Please explain one of the selected options

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project supported intermunicipal exchange and cooperation (joint project proposals submitted by 9 municipalities), and led to strengthening local bonds, among institutions such as social welfare centers, but also the local CSOs united around joint issues and interest, especially youth CSOs gravitating towards joint youth spaces in larger local communities.**

Who are we working with

\*

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project has had coordination meetings organized by the RCO to establish closer cooperation with other PBF funded projects, such as those focusing on WPS as well as with the DFF, but also with Možemo bolje as a joint endeavor of EU, UN, OSCE and CoE.**

**The project has supported ten local civil society organizations across nine project targeted communities.**

**The project has engaged with the local authorities from the nine project municipalities, as well as the professionals from respective centers for social welfare and mental health.**

## Leave No one Behind

---

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative \*

*Mandatory*

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

Throughout the project implementation period, a range of monitoring activities were conducted to ensure effective coordination and progress tracking.

Project team meetings were held weekly during the early phases and bi-weekly later on. These were complemented by periodic inter-agency meetings, which facilitated collaboration and alignment among project partners.

Implementation progress was tracked through various mechanisms, including reports submitted by implementing partners, event and participant registration records, field visits, monitoring meetings, and financial spot-checks.

Project staff conducted over 60 field visits. These visits included site verifications of infrastructure works, assessments of community-based and community-led initiatives, and the provision of technical, organizational, and logistical support.

Since the introduction of IOM's new policy on administering financial grants in May 2024, project staff have held at least six monitoring meetings. These meetings served as a platform to engage with implementing partners on all aspects of project performance, monitoring, and reporting. Discussions covered activity implementation, progress toward results, evidence of achievements, existing challenges, and final reporting requirements. Additionally, these meetings aimed to identify projects with evidence of success and lessons learned to inform evaluation activities.

To further strengthen financial oversight, IOM staff conducted six financial spot-checks. These internal assessments were based on Pre-financing Risk Reviews and/or Due Diligence processes developed for each partner. The spot-checks provided reasonable assurance that the financial records reported by implementing partners were accurate and aligned with project expenditures.

An ongoing online evaluation survey was administered following each training, seminar, or workshop. Participants were invited to provide feedback on the relevance, usefulness, and applicability of the knowledge and skills gained during these events.

In addition, a monitoring tool developed and provided by the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) in March 2025 was used on a quarterly basis. This tool enabled systematic data collection and tracking of key project elements, including the types of interventions implemented, the partner organizations involved, the target communities, and the number and profiles of beneficiaries reached.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

Yes

No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**For Outcome Indicator 1.1, baseline and endline data were internally collected through a Social Cohesion Survey administered to youth beneficiaries before and after participation in -supported community and youth initiatives. The survey gathered responses from 186 participants prior to the supported project/initiative and 165 afterward. The results demonstrated measurable improvements across all three dimensions assessed: • Social cohesion increased by 4.0%, rising from a baseline score of 0.683 to an endline score of 0.710. This change was marginally statistically significant (p = 0.054) • Youth activism rose by 4.5%, from 0.532 to 0.556, although this increase was not statistically significant (p = 0.189) • Inclusion showed the most substantial improvement, increasing by 11.2%, from 0.544 to 0.605, a change that was statistically significant (p = 0.004). For the remaining two outcome indicators, baseline values were set at 0.**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The following sources of evidence are used to report on final progress for the indicators: Implementing partners' (narrative and financial) reports; Event Reports and Site Visit Notes; Events and Participants Registries; Media Post Repository; Post-training evaluation survey; Submitted project proposals & evaluation supporting documentation; Tender documentation; Signed lists of participants; Photos of the events, started infrastructure works and purchased equipment; Final external evaluation report and perception survey results are also included.**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys \*

***Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)***

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**As part of the final external evaluation, an Impact Perception Survey was conducted (May-June 2025) by an external service provider contracted by UNDP. The survey was designed to assess the perceptions and opinions of project stakeholders and beneficiaries regarding the effects and outcomes of the Joint Project. It also explored broader aspects of social cohesion and peacebuilding addressed by the initiative. The survey employed a stratified random sampling method, covering nine project targeted municipalities (Bosansko Grahovo, Bosanski Petrovac, Drvar, Glamoč, Ključ, Livno, Mrkonjić Grad, Ribnik, and Sanski Most) as well as three comparison municipalities that did not receive project support. The survey measured several key project indicators, including:**

- **Outcome 1.1:** The level of social cohesion within and across target communities, defined by factors such as tension, trust, perceived threat, sense of belonging, and empathy. Data was disaggregated by age, gender, and location.
- **Outcome 1.2:** The number of individuals reporting increased responsiveness of local authorities in the provision of social services, including access to new services, timeliness of delivery, and overall satisfaction.
- **Outcome 1.3:** The number of beneficiaries receiving improved or newly introduced social care services, particularly those targeting vulnerable populations. This data was also disaggregated by gender, age, location, and ethnic minority status. In addition, the survey also examined broader metrics aligned with the United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (UNSDCF), including:

- **Indicator 5.a:** The proportion of people expressing a general level of trust in society.
- **Indicator 5.c:** Self-assessed trust and engagement levels among young people (ages 15–30), including attitudes toward inter-ethnic trust, cooperation, education, media, civic engagement, and partnerships with government representatives.
- **Indicator 5.d:** The number of young people (ages 15–30) participating in community-level initiatives that promote dialogue, social cohesion, and appreciation for diversity.

The Impact Perception Survey report is attached as a separate document to this report.

---

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? \*

***Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)***

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project implemented several feedback mechanisms to ensure community engagement and responsiveness. These included community consultations, such as community dialogue sessions and networking events, to gather input on local priorities, direct engagement through on-site visits, the use of surveys and interviews as part of internal monitoring, and active outreach via social media platforms to collect feedback and foster ongoing communication.**

## » Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

\*

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

\*

*Response required*

60000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The evaluation of the SPSC Project, as agreed with PBSO, consisted of an external summative evaluation process (managed by IOM) and a perception survey (managed by UNDP), which complement the evaluation findings. As defined by the Terms of Reference (ToR), the external evaluation has been conducted between March and June 2025. This included developing the evaluation methodology, data collection and analysis, and submission of the Inception Report, Draft Evaluation Report, and Final Evaluation Report, along with a one-page summary of key results and lessons learned, as well as a brief story for the PBF website. The Inception Report, detailing the evaluation approach and methodology, was submitted on 8 April 2025 and reviewed and approved by the PBF Secretariat Office in Sarajevo. The Draft Evaluation Report was submitted on 30 May, and the Final Evaluation Report on 30 June 2025. The purpose of the final external evaluation was to provide an impartial assessment of the project in terms of results achieved, quality of performance, and project management, with a focus on multi-partner contributions and synergies with similar projects. Specifically, the evaluation assessed the project's contributions to trust and peacebuilding efforts in BiH. The objectives of the evaluation were as follows: Assess the project intervention using the OECD/DAC evaluation criteria (relevance, coherence, efficiency, effectiveness, impact, and sustainability) as well as PBF-specific criteria, including conflict sensitivity, catalytic potential, risk tolerance, and innovation; Determine the extent to which the PBF-funded project has contributed to reducing conflict factors in BiH; Evaluate the project's efficiency, including its implementation strategy, institutional arrangements, management arrangements, and operational systems; Document good practices, innovations, and lessons emerging from the project; Provide actionable recommendations for future programming. The Evaluation Report, together with annexes, is attached as a separate document to this report. The evaluation results and lessons learnt were also shared with the PBF portfolio upon completion of the final evaluation.**

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email

Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	<b>Andrea Soldo</b>	<b>IOM</b>	<b>Senior Monitoring &amp; Evaluation Associate</b>	<b>asoldo@iom.int</b>
---	---------------------	------------	---	-----------------------

» **Catalytic Effect**

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? \*

Yes

No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? \*

2

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder \*

**EU**

1

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

230007

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

230007

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder \*

**Municipality Bosanski Petrovac**

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

27964

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

27964

2

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information.

\*

Yes

No

If yes, please select the relevant option below:

\*

Some catalytic effect

Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**The project has served as a peacebuilding initiative by addressing key political barriers that hinder cross-community cooperation such as the limited political will to support grassroots initiatives that promote reconciliation; ethno-political divisions embedded in public and governmental institutions that reinforce segregation and mistrust; the politicization of public spaces, which discourages inclusive participation; and the absence of institutional mechanisms to facilitate sustained cross-community collaboration. Most of the changes resulting from the project have occurred at the local level, where communities have seen improvements in dialogue, trust, and more inclusive participation. However, progress at the central level remains limited, as deeply rooted political barriers and divisions continue to hinder broader systemic change. Through inclusive dialogue and joint activities, the project created both formal and informal spaces where individuals from diverse backgrounds could engage constructively. These efforts have laid a strong foundation for more meaningful community engagement and long-term cooperation. This is evident through the collaboration and knowledge exchange among social workers, educators, mental health professionals, and youth activists from various local governments and entities. A total of 34 community dialogues, including seven intermunicipal sessions, engaged over 500 participants in open discussions aimed at improving the quality of community life and strengthening trust between citizens and local authorities. Nearly 1,500 children and youth participated in diverse contact-based activities such as training sessions, community initiatives, summer camps, and social events. These engagements promoted social inclusion and provided safe spaces for interaction and learning. The initiative also supported professional development and peer learning. Teachers from 16 schools, along with social workers and mental health professionals from local CSWs, actively exchanged knowledge and improved practices, contributing to more responsive and community-centered public services. The engagement and contributions of UN Community Volunteers were both valuable and relevant. Their efforts were further recognized through continued employment by one local school and one cantonal administration. The project also provided the foundation of sustainability for the Youth Centre Bosanski Petrovac by providing them with space and initial peacebuilding orientation. The project also strengthened cooperation among CSWs and services in Bosanski Petrovac, Ključ, and Ribnik through experience sharing and efforts to coordinate joint actions and mutual support. Additional synergies were fostered among CSOs and schools participating in the project, with the Peace Farm in Sanski Most (Federation of BiH) being recognized for extracurricular activities, where schools, including the ones from Republika Srpska, engage youth.**

\*

## Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**To ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding efforts, the project has established a range of mechanisms and platforms that foster continued collaboration among key stakeholders:**

- **Formal partnerships were established through the signing of Memoranda of Understanding (MoUs) between the project and each of the nine partner municipalities, represented by their respective Mayors. These agreements laid the foundation for sustained institutional collaboration. The MoUs signify the local governments' formal commitment to uphold and continually enhance the services and infrastructure delivered by the project.**
- **Capacity-building efforts targeted social welfare practitioners, equipping them with essential skills and training to enhance their professional effectiveness.**
- **Community engagement was prioritized by involving residents in the development of proposals that reflect their actual needs, ensuring relevance and ownership.**
- **Structured decision-making was promoted through municipal council decisions, which facilitated project approvals and inter-municipal agreements, thereby improving coordination and service delivery.**
- **Capacity building for CSOs in peacebuilding and youth work equipped young people in local communities with the skills to engage their peers and broader communities in peacebuilding and social cohesion activities. This led to stronger youth engagement and the registration of two new youth organizations.**
- **Networking among institutions and CSOs from communities in Una-Sana Canton, Canton 10, and neighboring areas in Republika Srpska fostered increased interaction among youth, institutions, and civil society organizations. These collaborations have created potential synergies that are expected to continue beyond the scope and duration of the project.**

The project also invested in essential equipment and infrastructure to strengthen the operational capacity of local social services. UN Community Volunteers were another important part of the effort. Many of them (61%) have since moved into formal roles in local and regional institutions, meaning their contributions will continue beyond the project. The external evaluation (the report is included as an Annex) confirmed that many of these efforts are already being sustained at the local level. Activities have been integrated into local budgets, school programs, and community networks. However, sustainability remains uneven. Structural and planning gaps, such as delays in implementation, and the absence of formal exit strategies, have affected stakeholders' ownership and diminished some partners' willingness to remain engaged. At both entity and national levels, political support has been limited; despite policy alignment, there has been no direct financial or institutional backing. Structural challenges, such as fragmented governance and the lack of funding mechanisms, further hinder the scaling of successful local models into broader systems

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**During the project implementation period, changes in the staffing structures of both implementing agencies caused delays in the final three months, affecting the overall timeline of planned activities. Despite these disruptions, the project successfully met its core deliverables. While most activities were eventually carried out with delays, some were not implemented due to procedural complexity and time constraints, including the establishment of a dental clinic for children and adults with developmental disabilities in Livno, procurement of a mammography machine for use in Bosanski Petrovac, Ključ, and Sanski Most and the training for CSW participants on "Family law" and "Domestic violence." The training sessions did not take place because, despite extending the public call three times, there were no applications from trainers with the necessary experience. Despite receiving capacity-building support, including ongoing, hands-on assistance from project staff in logistics, planning, and reporting; structured monitoring meetings to review project performance and reporting requirements; and financial spot-checks and due diligence assessments to ensure accurate financial reporting and strengthen administrative practices, many partners and beneficiary CSOs continued to face challenges. These were largely due to limited organizational capacity, unfamiliarity with reporting practices, and lack of experience with monitoring processes, particularly among newly established entities. These gaps affected both project implementation and communication with project staff. While many CSOs possess foundational operational and financial systems, these often do not fully meet the compliance standards required by institutional donors, including UN agencies. It is important to recognize that this is not a reflection of poor performance, but rather an indication that additional, tailored support is needed to strengthen the capacities of under-resourced organizations. Such support may include:**

- Clearly defined results frameworks and regularly updated workplans
- Regular communication through emails, calls, online meetings, and onsite visits
- Multiple rounds of feedback on draft financial and narrative reports
- Practical guidance on administrative tasks such as financial reporting, procurement procedures, and internal policy development

**Ongoing mentorship and hands-on monitoring can help ensure these organizations are equipped to implement projects effectively while meeting donor compliance requirements. This support is particularly critical in communities that are often underserved by international donors and UN agencies.**

---

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

---

<b>Monitoring and oversight activities</b>	<b>Name of the Event</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Key Findings</b>
--	--------------------------	----------------	---------------------

<b>Event 1</b>	<b>Steering Committee Meetings</b>	<p>The first Steering Committee meeting (23 May 2023) approved the selection of partner local governments (LGs), endorsed the project work plan, and confirmed readiness to support implementation.</p> <p>The second Steering Committee meeting (13 February 2024) reviewed implementation progress, approved proposed projects, and endorsed the revised annual work plan. The Committee also supported a potential six-month extension to ensure completion of social service improvement activities.</p> <p>The third Steering Committee meeting (27 November 2024) brought together key government and UN stakeholders to review progress, approve adjustments to selected interventions, and adopt the revised Annual Work Plan (2023–2025), confirming project completion in June 2025.</p>	<p>The relevance of project activities to local needs was emphasized, and expanding the project to additional municipalities was recommended.</p>
----------------	------------------------------------	--	---

<p><b>Event 2</b></p>	<p><b>Field monitoring and community engagement</b></p>	<p>Over the course of the project, more than 60 field visits were conducted by project staff to support the development and implementation of community initiatives, verify infrastructure works, and engage with local stakeholders. Field visits were used to provide technical and logistical support; identify implementation challenges; assess progress and outcomes of small grants and community-led interventions; harvest anecdotal evidence, which informed reporting on peacebuilding and human impact.</p>	<p>Based on the monitoring visits, several key findings emerged. Livno and Bosanski Petrovac demonstrated sufficient professional capacity to manage and implement project activities effectively. However, other communities such as Bosansko Grahovo, Drvar, Ribnik and Glamoč required additional support due to limited institutional capacity, declining populations, inadequate social services, and political challenges, including a shortage of contractors for infrastructure projects. The project's activities were seen as a positive step toward addressing these issues by improving social care and stakeholder coordination, ultimately contributing to social cohesion and conflict prevention. Youth engagement and reconciliation efforts were most successful when approached indirectly, through volunteer actions, sports, folklore, and cultural activities. These methods created opportunities for</p>
-----------------------	---	---	--

continuous contact and interaction among youth from different ethnic backgrounds, helping to break down prejudices and foster mutual understanding. However, limited mobility in rural areas, due to a lack of public transportation, restricted access to such opportunities, making project-supported initiatives the only available platform for many young people. In smaller and depopulated communities like Bosanko Grahovo, youth engagement strategies should be adapted to target younger age groups (starting from age 15), as most youth leave after high school. Without opportunities for engagement, interest in community activism diminishes. Primary and secondary schools are identified as key partners in sustaining youth involvement. Furthermore, communities responded more positively to initiatives that benefited the wider population rather than targeting specific groups, such as public space improvements

			<p>like parks and playgrounds. These insights confirm that tailored, inclusive, and community-wide approaches are important if interventions aim to ensure meaningful participation and long-term impact.</p>
--	--	--	---

<b>Event 3</b>	Financial spot-checks with implementing partners and grantees	The financial spot-checks were conducted to review financial records and provide reasonable assurance that the amounts reported by grant recipients were accurate. In addition to these checks, due diligence assessments were carried out to evaluate existing administrative and financial policies, with tailored recommendations provided to strengthen overall practices.	The spot-checks revealed that while grant recipients generally possess the basic operational and financial capacities to manage project funds, the systems and procedures, particularly among small, local or grassroots organizations, often fall short of the compliance standards required by institutional donors, such as those within the UN system. CSOs play a vital role in addressing local community needs and advancing social issues. However, they continue to require sustained support to effectively implement projects and align their administrative and financial practices with donor expectations. To address these gaps, ongoing support was provided through regular communication (emails, phone calls, and online meetings), multiple reviews of draft financial and narrative reports with constructive feedback, and guidance on key administrative tasks. This included assistance with financial reporting, procurement procedures,
----------------	---	--	---

**and the development of essential internal policies, such as procurement and communication guidelines, to help partners meet donor requirements.**

<p><b>Event 4</b></p>	<p>Ongoing internal monitoring and data collection</p>	<p>The internal monitoring system includes regular reporting from implementing partners and grantees, detailed events and participant registries maintained before and after each activity and a media repository documenting public communications related to the project. An online post-training evaluation survey was administered after each training, seminar, or workshop. This tool collects participant feedback on the relevance, usefulness, and applicability of the knowledge and skills gained, helping assess the effectiveness of capacity-building efforts. To measure outcome-level changes, tools such as Social Cohesion Questionnaires were used to assess social cohesion, youth activism, and inclusion.</p>	<p>During the implementation period, in collaboration with three implementing partners and seven grantees, the project supported over 500 activities and community events, engaging more than 2,200 participants, 66% of whom were children and youth. More than 1,000 pieces of media content were created or published (85% of which were social media posts) sharing information about project activities, community actions, results, and local stories with a total reach of 1.6 million. Among the 1,048 respondents to the post-training evaluation survey, 73% reported increased knowledge and skills relevant to the project, indicating that the events were both effective and well-targeted. The Social Cohesion Survey, conducted with youth before and after their participation in this project's initiatives, showed measurable improvements across all three indicators:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social cohesion increased</li> </ul>
-----------------------	--	---	--

by 4.0% (from 0.683 to 0.710), marginally significant (p = 0.054)

- Youth activism rose by 4.5% (from 0.532 to 0.556), not statistically significant (p = 0.189)

- Inclusion improved by 11.2% (from 0.544 to 0.605), statistically significant (p = 0.004)

These results suggest meaningful progress, particularly in inclusion, with moderate gains in social cohesion and youth engagement.

<p><b>Event 5</b></p>	<p>Perception Survey</p>	<p>The survey aimed to assess stakeholders' and beneficiaries' perceptions of the project's effects on social cohesion, peacebuilding, and service delivery. It covered a stratified random sample from nine participating municipalities and three comparison municipalities not involved in the project. Data has been collected through a combination of desk reviews, surveys (in-person and telephone), interviews, and focus group discussions, targeting residents, social service users, CSO beneficiaries, local officials, and youth representatives. The research has been conducted between May 19 and June 13, 2025, with the final report submitted by end of June 2025.</p>	<p>The results of the Impact Perception Survey indicate that the SPSC project achieved all planned objectives and was highly relevant to local communities. It contributed to increased social cohesion, with residents in project-targeted communities reporting a significantly higher Social Cohesion Index (2.61) compared to those in comparative communities (2.56), indicating a positive impact of the intervention. While the overall responsiveness of local authorities—measured through the Local Authorities' Response Index—was slightly higher in project communities (2.12 vs. 2.06), this difference was not statistically significant. The project also supported the introduction or expansion of services for vulnerable groups. Importantly, residents in project communities perceived significantly lower levels of tension (3.56 vs. 3.45) and threat (3.59 vs. 3.39) than those in comparative communities. However,</p>
-----------------------	--------------------------	--	---

deeper aspects of cohesion, such as trust and belonging, require a longer-term and more intensive approach. Improvements were more evident within communities, while relations between neighboring communities remain a challenge. Socio-demographic differences also influenced outcomes, highlighting the need for tailored approaches. Although there was significant progress in infrastructure, its full impact is not yet visible due to limited implementation time. Administrative and communication challenges were noted but could be addressed through stronger inter-municipal cooperation, more flexible planning, and increased technical support. In terms of future planning, it is recommended to continue activities in the existing communities, where progress has been demonstrated but additional support is still needed. The findings also point to remaining needs, new strategic options, and potential partnerships. At

			<p>the same time, insights from the comparison municipalities (Šipovo, Kupres, Bosanska Krupa), along with their expressed interest, suggest the possibility of expanding the project.</p>
--	--	--	--

<p><b>Event 6</b></p>	<p><b>External Final Evaluation</b></p>	<p>The evaluation was conducted by an external service provider using a theory-based, participatory methodology aligned with OECD/DAC criteria and PBF-specific standards, including conflict sensitivity, catalytic potential, risk tolerance, and innovation. Data collection methods included desk reviews, 38 key informant interviews, an online survey of youth participants, and integration of an independent perception survey. Despite some methodological limitations, such as incomplete baseline data in certain sub-components and difficulties in organizing focus groups due to youth availability, the evaluation team applied data triangulation to ensure the validity and reliability of findings. The final report presents conclusions on the project's strategic alignment, field-level performance, results, and sustainability, and offers targeted recommendations to guide future</p>	<p>The evaluation found the SPSC project to be highly relevant to the peacebuilding context in BiH, directly addressing key conflict drivers such as educational segregation, divisive narratives, unresolved trauma, youth exclusion, and weak inter-entity cooperation. The project was well aligned with community needs and national/international policy frameworks. In terms of coherence, the project complemented existing peacebuilding efforts by UN agencies and donors, strategically filling geographic and thematic gaps, particularly in smaller, often marginalized municipalities. Its activities reinforced mandates of other UN entities, including trauma support, youth engagement, and educational integration. The project demonstrated strong efficiency, delivering quality outputs with limited resources. Lean staffing and partnerships with national CSOs enabled rapid mobilization and</p>
-----------------------	---	--	---

peacebuilding interventions in BiH.

adaptability. Infrastructure upgrades were implemented in collaboration with local authorities, who provided financial or in-kind support.

Effectiveness was evident in the achievement of most intended results, especially in fostering trust, contact, and local peacebuilding.

Youth reported personal growth and increased openness, while trauma-informed counseling had transformative effects.

Municipal dialogues led to tangible outcomes, such as new social protection services and infrastructure improvements. Veterans' involvement proved effective for intergenerational reconciliation, and educators observed more inclusive classroom dynamics.

The project contributed meaningfully to long-term peacebuilding goals.

Stakeholder feedback, survey data, and institutional changes showed increased intergroup contact, adoption of non-violent communication, and municipal ownership of

			<p>dialogue outcomes. Youth engaged in cross-entity exchanges and sustained relationships beyond the project.</p> <p>Sustainability varied across locations. Where local governments were actively involved, infrastructure and dialogue mechanisms were already integrated into municipal plans and school policies, supporting continued impact.</p>
<b>Event 7</b>	Final Narrative Package for Steering Board	To ensure Steering Board members remain well-informed, the project team is preparing a visual and informative summary package.	The summary package will include key project results, evaluation findings, and lessons learned, and will be shared with all Steering Board members by the end of September/beginning of October 2025 to support reflection and future planning.
<b>Event 8</b>			

## Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

*If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)*

---

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.

---