

PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report	*
<input type="radio"/> Semi-annual	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Annual	
<input type="radio"/> Final	
<input type="radio"/> Other	
Date of submission of report	*
2025-11-19	
Name and title of person submitting the report	*
Aideen Conway, Knowledge Management and Comms	
E-mail of person submitting the report	*
aideen.conway@undp.org	
Name and title of person who approved the report	*
Elvi Agunda, Project Manager	

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report?

*

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☒ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ☐ Asia and the Pacific ☐ Central & Southern Africa ☒ East Africa
☐ Europe and Central Asia ☐ Global ☐ Latin America and the Caribbean
☐ Middle East and North Africa ☐ West Africa

Country of project implementation

*

- ☐ Ethiopia ☒ Kenya ☐ Madagascar
☐ Mozambique ☐ Somalia ☐ South Sudan
☐ Sudan ☐ Other, Specify

Project Title

*

- ☒ 00140292: Promoting Peace and Inclusive Development in Borderlands Counties in North-Eastern Kenya
☐ Other, Specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

*

2023-08-24

Project End Date

*

2025-12-31

Has this project received an extension?

*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
☒ YES, No Cost Extension
☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
☐ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension?

*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request?

*

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input checked="" type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☒ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☒ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

8

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

0

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

International Peace Support Training Centre (IPSTC)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

176000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

160000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

All activities were completed in 2024. IPSTC conducted Training Needs Assessments in Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa in March, followed by the development of tailored training packages. Strategic-level training took place in Nairobi from 29 July to 2 August, with positive feedback from participants. County-level trainings were held in Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa between August and September 2024, leading to the establishment of CISEC mechanisms in all three counties. These mechanisms have strengthened trust between communities and security actors. IPSTC will conduct follow up and documentation of success stories and lessons learned from the CISEC alumni.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

HAKI Africa

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

90000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**? *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

72000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

HAKI Africa was issued a grant in 2024 to support community dialogues on security and human rights. Dialogues were held in August across Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa, with a second round planned for November. Key issues raised included mistrust of security actors, human rights violations including enforced disappearance, cross-border smuggling, and radicalization.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Kenya National Commission on Human Rights (KNCHR)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

60000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

48000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

KNCHR was contracted to conduct a human rights baseline assessment and regular human rights risk assessments. Due to delays in issuing of the grant, the baseline report was finalized in May 2025 and human rights analysis for the March and April will soon be released by the commissioner to inform stakeholder engagement.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Semi Arid Resilience Network (SARN)

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

23000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

23000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

SARN completed all activities by March 2025 and shared a final report. SARN led stakeholder mapping and held an inclusive Project Inception Meeting to identify local conflict drivers, confirm project sites, and build community ownership. They facilitated dialogues that gave space for women, youth, and persons with disabilities to raise concerns and shape solutions.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Worthy Vision

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

23000

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner since the project's start?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>23000</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner during this calendar period?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>0</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p>All activities were concluded by November 2024 and the final report was shared.</p>	*
6	
<p>Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period</p>	
<p>Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner</p> <p><input type="radio"/> National youth CSO</p> <p><input type="radio"/> National women's CSO</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Other National CSO</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Regional CSO</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation</p> <p><input type="radio"/> International NGO</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Governmental entity</p> <p><input type="radio"/> National women's and youth CSO</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Subnational women's and youth CSO</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Other</p>	*
<p>Other, Please specify</p>	
<p>What is the name of the Implementing Partner</p> <p>Women Rights Advocacy Initiative</p>	*
<p>What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the overall duration of the project to be disbursed to this implementing partner?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>23000</p>	*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

23000

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?** *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period *

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

WRAI completed all activities on 15 January 2025. WRAI advanced inclusive governance and civic engagement in Wajir County by facilitating community dialogues, promoting women's and youth participation, and strengthening local accountability. The organization held stakeholder workshops, conducted a baseline study on participation and inclusion, and led awareness campaigns—including radio broadcasts and a community newsletter—to inform citizens about their rights and governance processes.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Relief Reconstruction and Development Organisation

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

293804

*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

293804

*

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

58761

*

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

Please limit your response to 1500 characters

RRDO were contracted to support implementation of the peace dividends project in Garissa. In the reporting period, they completed the building of the peace dividend project handed over to the community in June 2025.

*

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

8

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

Vision Corps Initiative

*

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
601479

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start**?
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
601479

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period**?
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)
120296

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period
Please limit your response to 1500 characters
VCI were contracted to support implementation of peace dividend projects in Mandera and Wajir. Both projects have been handed over to the community.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.
Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <small>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</small>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <small>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</small>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <small>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</small>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1450000.19	1450000	1081143.06	74.56 %

OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commission er for Human Rights	500000.09	437500	500000	100 %
WFP: World Food Programme	1249960.44	1112500	1249695.7	99.98 %
TOTAL	3199960.72	3000000	2830838.76	88.4
				6%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **88.46%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document?

32.47

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1039027.25**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 919173.35**. Is this correct?

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF Financial Report November 2025-15_53_22.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☒ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board?

*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Project Steering Committee (PSC) has met once in the past six months, on 27 August 2025. This was an extraordinary PSC to urgently discuss the funding delays affecting project implementation. The meeting was chaired by the UN Resident Coordinator. Since the project's inception, six PSC meetings have been held - five of which were chaired by the UN Resident Coordinator, Dr. Stephen Jackson. A final PSC meeting is anticipated on 16 December 2025 where preliminary results from the external evaluation will be presented.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Government engagement over the past six months has been limited due to project funding constraints.

At the national level, the project has maintained close collaboration with the Northeastern Development Initiative (NEDI), now under the State Department for ASALs and Regional Development. In April and May 2025, the NEDI team, with project support, led county-level consultations in Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa. These three-day sessions featured dedicated forums for youth, women, and elders, and were attended by both county and national government representatives. The Ministry of Interior was invited to participate in these consultations as well as in the Project Steering Committee (PSC). Additionally, national counterparts engaged with senior UN officials, including Ms. Awa Dabo, Director and Deputy Head of the UN Peacebuilding Support Office, during her visit in February 2025.

The project also collaborated with the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights (KNCHR). A draft human rights baseline assessment was shared in February 2025, and the contract concluded in August 2025.

At the county level, government officials actively participated in the digital peacebuilding trainings held in Wajir (November 2024), Garissa (February 2025), and Mandera (May 2025), as well as in the online eight-week training block that concluded in September 2025. County representatives were also closely engaged in the NEDI-led consultations in April and May 2025 and participated in activities implemented by local CSO grantees. These engagements contributed to stronger alignment between project interventions and county development priorities. National and county officials were further involved in community security dialogues convened by HAKI Africa, the KNCHR assessments, and the peace dividend initiatives supported by WFP.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

*

☐ Not Started

☐ Initiated

☐ Partially Completed

☒ Completed

☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

*

☐ Not Started

☐ Initiated

☐ Partially Completed

☒ Completed

☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

*

☐ Not Started

☐ Initiated

☐ Partially Completed

☒ Completed

☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

*

☐ Not Started

☐ Initiated

☐ Partially Completed

☒ Completed

☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The Office of the Deputy President initially served as the national implementing partner for the project and was engaged from its inception as the North and North Eastern Development Initiative (NEDI) previously fell under its mandate. Toward the end of 2024, NEDI was moved to the Executive Office of the President, which subsequently assumed the role of national implementing partner.

On 16 June 2025, it was announced through Executive Order No. 1 of 2025 that NEDI would be transitioned from the Executive Office of the President to the State Department for ASALs and Regional Development. While this relocation is expected to enhance institutional cohesion and long-term sustainability, it contributed to delays in project implementation during the transition period.

Grants were signed in August 2024 with AID-Afrika, Generations for Change and Growth, and the Agency for Peace and Inclusive Development (APID), each with a planned three-month implementation period. However, due to the funding challenges, these activities were cancelled in September 2025.

In 2024, OHCHR issued grants to IPSTC, the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights (KNCHR), and HAKI Africa. All CISEC trainings under IPSTC were completed in 2024. KNCHR shared its draft human rights baseline assessment in February 2025. Human rights defenders were onboarded in the three counties in April 2025, two reports were shared before their contract ended on 31 August 2025. HAKI Africa conducted a total of 44 community security dialogues across the three counties: 13 in 2025 and 31 in 2025. Final reports are pending and their contract expired on 31 August 2025.

WFP engaged two implementing partners in 2024 to support the CISEC peace dividends project: Relief Reconstruction and Development Organisation and Vision Corps Initiative. The peace dividend infrastructure projects have been completed across all three counties and community handovers were completed. Their contracts expired on 31 July 2025, and final reports have been submitted.

As of 13 May 2024, the project management unit was fully constituted. Contracts for the County Project Officers ended on 31 October 2025. The contracts for the Project Manager and Knowledge Management Officer will end on 31 December 2025. As of August 2025, the Administrative Officer was transferred to a sister project, and their contract will expire in January 2026.

Rufmo was contracted in 2024 to carry out an evaluability assessment for the project, along with three rounds of perception surveys targeting marginalized groups in Northeastern Kenya. The evaluability assessment was finalized in November 2024 and established baselines for the project's outcome-level indicators. Rufmo completed the second round of perception surveys in June 2025, and the final report was submitted in September 2025. The third and final survey was cancelled due to the ongoing funding challenges.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

☐ Yes

☒ No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project is not on track for the completion of all outputs in the workplan. Due to significant delays in tranche disbursement, the Project Steering Committee (PSC) decided to cancel remaining activities and move towards operational closure.

This has meant the cancellation of the following activities:

Procurement of a firm to build a data portal.

No extension of contract for the consultant on the NEDI project, leaving two deliverables unfinished.

Final round of low-value grants to one CSO in each of the three counties.

Cancellation of the final perception survey by Rufmo Consulting.

Cancellation of the final round of consultations in the three counties with the NEDI team.

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Funding Delays and Operational Closure

Persistent funding delays from September 2024 severely disrupted implementation across all three counties. By May 2025, UN implementing agencies had fully exhausted available resources and were forced to continue activities at their own cost, leading to significant operational strain and a marked slowdown in delivery. Although a reduced second tranche was received in December 2025, it was insufficient to cover ongoing needs, and UNDP in particular was unable to draw on alternative project funds. As a result, UNDP began accumulating salary-related debts from early 2025, while OHCHR and WFP managed to sustain only limited activities through other programmes.

By August 2025, the continued financial constraints and accumulated debts across all agencies made it untenable to continue implementation. The Project Steering Committee (PSC) therefore agreed to initiate operational closure and cancel all remaining activities to ensure responsible financial management and compliance with donor requirements.

Digital Peacebuilding Trainings

Digital peacebuilding activities progressed well before the funding challenges. In-person workshops conducted in early 2025 introduced participants to fact-checking techniques, information disorder, digital safety, and practical use of online tools for early warning and peacebuilding. Seventy-three participants were trained across Garissa, Mandera, and Wajir, with a gender balance of 35.6% female and 64.4% male. Feedback indicated strong confidence in applying the new skills, with more than 90% of participants agreeing or strongly agreeing that the training improved their ability to counter misinformation.

Following the physical workshops, an eight-week online learning block ran from July to September 2025, providing further practical exercises, peer learning, and assignments. A final in-person consolidation workshop is scheduled for the end of November in Machakos, bringing together representatives from all counties. Monitoring and evaluation of the digital peacebuilding component is ongoing, and a knowledge-change survey will be administered in November to measure learning outcomes and identify gaps for future programming.

Peace Dividend Projects

In Garissa, RRDO completed a 25m³ water pan in Eldere Village aimed at reducing conflict over scarce water resources. To promote sustainability, RRDO delivered training to community members on operation and maintenance. During a joint WFP–RRDO handover and monitoring visit in June 2025, partners confirmed installation of a fenced pan, solar pump, elevated storage tank, water points, a toilet, and separate livestock troughs. Due to limited rainfall, the unlined pan could not retain water, and partners have since initiated discussions with county authorities on potential support to line the structure to improve future storage.

In Wajir, VCI completed water infrastructure in Baragothey, including a water tank, kiosk, school water point, and livestock troughs. Local committees—including water management, rangeland management, and peace and cohesion groups—were established and trained to ensure community ownership and long-term sustainability.

In Mandera, the project supported completion of a 20,000m³ lined and fenced earth pan in Shoptoy, Banisa. The facility is expected to reduce tensions among clans by increasing access to water for both households and livestock. More than 100 community members across Mandera and Wajir were trained in water and pasture management and conflict-sensitive resource sharing. Overall, an estimated 5,000 households now benefit from improved water access, contributing to more stable livelihoods and reduced conflict risks.

Flagship initiatives such as Baragothey and Shoptoy have strengthened inter-community cooperation, expanded local peace structures, and enhanced resilience to climate-related shocks. The combination of infrastructure and capacity-building has reinforced local mechanisms for dialogue, resource management, and conflict prevention.

Community Dialogues

HAKI Africa conducted 44 community security dialogues across the three counties. These forums provided a platform for community members to raise concerns related to insecurity, government service delivery, and civil–security relations. Key issues were compiled and shared with KNCHR and County Commissioners to support coordinated responses. Final reports are pending, as HAKI Africa’s contract concluded on 31 August 2025.

Human Rights Assessments

KNCHR shared the draft human rights baseline assessment in February 2025, drawing on data collected in December 2024 from 559 respondents across the region. The assessment examined the human rights situation, priority concerns, and the nature of interactions between communities and security agencies. KNCHR plans to present the findings at a stakeholder breakfast meeting in July 2025.

Human rights defenders engaged in April 2025 continue to file daily updates, contributing to monthly analytical reports. Reports for March and April have been compiled and are undergoing internal review.

Consultations with Government and Communities

A second round of county consultations—led by NEDI with project support—took place in Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa between April and May 2025. Participants included youth, women, elders, religious leaders, security agencies, civil society, and county and national government officials. These meetings enabled joint review of peacebuilding efforts, identification of new risks, and development of locally driven action plans. A final planned consultation round in October was cancelled due to financial constraints.

North East Advisory Group (NEAG)

On 11 April 2025, the Executive Office of the President convened the inaugural NEAG meeting in Nairobi to strengthen coordination for peace and development in North East Kenya. Partners included the World Bank, FCDO, U.S. Embassy, USAID, EU, UN RCO, WFP, and UNDP. The group endorsed Terms of Reference and agreed to meet quarterly. A high-level visit to Garissa on 29 April by the UK, U.S., and EU Ambassadors and the UN Resident Coordinator demonstrated renewed coordinated commitment under EOP leadership.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

To date, the project has taken several practical steps to promote gender equality and youth inclusion. During the second round of community consultations in April and May, led by the Executive Office of the President, dedicated sessions were held specifically for women in each county. These provided a safer space for women to speak openly about trust in government, access to services, and participation in decision-making—insights that may not have surfaced in mixed-group settings.

HAKI Africa also made deliberate efforts to ensure women were included in all community dialogues conducted across Garissa, Mandera, and Wajir. Similarly, RRDO and VCI ensured the participation of women during consultations related to the design and handover of water infrastructure, helping to surface the specific priorities and needs of women in relation to resource access and conflict mitigation.

Ahead of the second perception survey, the questionnaire was revised to better capture gender equality and social inclusion dynamics. This included adapting questions to reflect the differing experiences of women, men, youth, and persons with disabilities. The firm was also advised to recruit a GESI (Gender Equality and Social Inclusion) expert from the region to address gaps in the previous assessment. Implementing partners have been encouraged to disaggregate data not only by sex and age, but also by disability status and, where possible, by clan affiliation, to ensure a more nuanced understanding of inclusion across diverse identity markers.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Yes, the project is demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results, particularly at community and institutional levels.

A midline assessment conducted by WFP in January–February 2025 in Wajir and Garissa shows notable improvements in local peace and governance dynamics in peace dividend locations. In Baragothey, 91.4 per cent of respondents reported a positive change attributed to the peace dividend initiative. In Modogashe, Garissa, 94.7 per cent of respondents reported a decrease in conflict incidents since the project's inception, and 91 per cent noted stronger coordination between communities, security agencies, and government actors.

Taken together, these findings indicate that the project is contributing to measurable improvements in community security, trust in institutions, and collaboration with state actors—key indicators of outcome-level progress in peacebuilding. Further evidence will be drawn from the second perception survey to deepen understanding of changes in attitudes, behaviours, and social cohesion across all three counties.

Although the final perception survey was cancelled due to funding constraints, comparisons between the baseline and second-round surveys still show meaningful change. Positive security perceptions, defined as rating security as "good" or "very good," increased from 77.9 per cent in September 2024 to 82.6 per cent in the second round. Mandera demonstrated the most significant improvement, with positive perceptions rising from 54.7 per cent to 69.5 per cent, while negative perceptions dropped from 44.8 per cent to 29.6 per cent. Wajir remained consistently high, with more than 90 per cent of respondents reporting good or very good security perceptions in both survey rounds (90.9 per cent compared with 90.2 per cent).

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

FINAL Revised Report_Second Perception Survey CLEAN (1)-15_55_56.docx



PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Strengthened trust and cooperation between security agencies and communities to create a conducive and collaborative environment for human security, social cohesion and sustainable development.

Outcome 2:

Institutional including (donor) coordination capacities of county and national governments strengthened to contribute to a people-centred approach to promoting peace and sustainable development.

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Strengthened trust and cooperation between security agencies and communities to create a conducive and collaborative environment for human security, social cohesion and sustainable development.**

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Proportion of people indicating improved security and community relations	Females 17% Males = 18% Youth = 16% Adults = 19% PWDs=24% Somalis= 18% Non-Somalis = 20% Total population = 17%	5% improvement		Females 11% Males = 11% Youth = 12% Adults = 11% PWDs=12% Somalis= 11% Non-Somalis = 9% Total population = 11% -6% change from first survey	

1. 2	Proportion of people indicating reduction in human rights violations by security agencies disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	Females = 26% Males = 26% Youth = 26% Adults = 27% PWDs=26% Somalis= 26% Non-Somalis = 30% Total population = 26%	5% improvement			Data unavailable, it was due to be collected in the final survey which was cancelled
---------	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

1. 3	Proportion of people indicating sustained engagements between clans to resolve emerging conflicts disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	Females = 57% Males = 59% Youth = 57% Adults = 60% PWDs=60% Somalis= 58% Non-Somalis = 74% Total population = 58%			Females = 92% Males =94% Youth = 92% Adults = 93% PWDs=93% Somalis= 93% Non-Somalis = 87% Total population = 93% 35% improvement	
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

1. 4						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

.....

1. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

.....

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

.....

Output 1.1

Enhanced civil-military coordination through training, dialogue and establishment of CIMIC structures, including women, youth and marginalised groups in the target counties, to foster dialogue and cooperation between communities and security agencies to address human security challenges.

Output 1.2

Inclusive assessment and analysis of security and human rights issues to inform project initiatives, risk mitigation and joint exploration of possible solutions to address identified issues

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Enhanced civil-military coordination through training, dialogue and establishment of CIMIC structures, including women, youth and marginalised groups in the target counties, to foster dialogue and cooperation between communities and security agencies to address human security challenges.**

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	The number of CIMIC structures established and operationalized	0	3	0	3	
1. 1. 2	Level of inclusivity of the newly established CISEC structures with focus on the LNOB (Leave No One Behind) groups	Structures do not exist	Communities are aware of the structures and know how to engage and raise concerns		Structures were established: Mandera: 37 (31 male; 6 women) Wajir: 36 (25 men; 11 women) Garissa: 45 (36 men; 9 women)	

1. 1. 3	Number of people trained on CISEC and human rights, disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	0	70		Mandera: 37 (31 male; 6 women)	
					Wajir: 36 (25 men; 11 women)	
					Garissa: 45 (36 men; 9 women)	
1. 1. 4	Number of CISEC projects identified and implemented	0	3		3	
1. 1. 5						

» Output 1.2: Inclusive assessment and analysis of security and human rights issues to inform project initiatives, risk mitigation and joint exploration of possible solutions to address identified issues

1. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	Number of human rights risk assessments and analysis reports developed and disbursed for consumption by project partners/structures	0	4		3	Delayed disbursement

1. 2. 2	Number of project initiatives, risk mitigation strategies, and collaborative solutions developed based on inclusive security and human rights assessments	0	3 initiatives, risk mitigation strategies, or collaborative solutions developed			
1. 2. 3	Number of people trained on digital peacebuilding disaggregated by gender	0	45		73 (26 male, 19 female)	
					Wajir: 24 Mandera: 26 Garissa: 23	
1. 2. 4	Percentage of participants who report increased capacity to use online tools for peacebuilding	Familiarity with digital tools for online peacebuilding	100%			Data to be collected in November
		Wajir: 21% Garissa: 33% Mandera: 7%				
1. 2. 5						

» Outcome 2: Institutional including (donor) coordination capacities of county and national governments strengthened to contribute to a people-centred approach to promoting peace and sustainable development.

O C 2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)

2. 1	Evidence of enhanced coordination capacities and active implementation of people-centered approaches by county and national governmental and non-governmental institutions to promote peace and sustainable development	Currently, there is a lack of effective coordination between development initiatives and communities in NE Kenya.	By the end of the project, peacebuilding and development initiatives in northeastern Kenya will demonstrate enhanced coordination and active implementation of people-centered approaches with improved collaboration between county and national government agencies, NGOs, and local communities.		Coordination strengthened significantly through regular inter-agency meetings, joint PSC engagement, and the reactivation of the North East Advisory Group. A people-centred approach was advanced through inclusive community dialogues, grassroots consultations, and the consistent use of LNOB data, with feedback shared with KNCHR and county officials to support follow-up action. Two perception surveys were conducted to measure shifts in attitudes, behaviours, and institutional dynamics, and a data portal is under development to consolidate and visualise these insights for future programming	
---------	---	---	---	--	--	--

2. 2	Proportion of population indicating improved coordination of development interventions disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	Very Effective Females =37% Males = 40% Youth = 37% Adults = 40% PWD=34% Somalis= 38% Non-Somalis = 39% Total population = 38%	5% improvement		Females =65% Males = 70% Youth = 67% Adults = 67% PWD=68% Somalis= 68% Non-Somalis =63% Total population = 68% 30% improvement	
---------	---	---	----------------	--	---	--

2. 3						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

2. 4						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

2. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Improved access, by governments/donors, to knowledge and relevant perspectives to incorporate innovative integrated development that addresses the needs and priorities of the communities

Output 2.2

Functional cooperation mechanisms that link national, county and ward level to maximize synergies and enhance cooperation between the different levels

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Improved access, by governments/donors, to knowledge and relevant perspectives to incorporate innovative integrated development that addresses the needs and priorities of the communities**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	Degree of improvement in inclusive data collection and management systems, focusing on Leave No One Behind (LNOB) groups, as evidenced by stakeholder feedback, system audits	Current data systems exhibit significant limitations, including limited availability of disaggregated data, fragmented and inaccessible data sources due to the absence of a central repositior	Data collection and management systems will be improved to ensure the availability of disaggregated data, with a central repository established for greater accessibility, particularly focusing on LNOB groups.		Continuous grassroots-level data collection by CSO partners such as RRDO and VCI has strengthened the inclusion of LNOB groups and helped embed more equitable, community-driven practices into local systems. While these efforts have generated valuable evidence at the county level, plans to consolidate this information into a central data repository could not be completed due to funding delays.	

2. 1. 2	Proportion of population indicating improved public participation and inclusion of community priority needs into the project implementation disaggregated by gender, age, disability, clan, location, and county	Ever participated Females = 45% Males = 52% Youth = 49% Adults = 48% PWDs=45% Somalis= 48% Non-Somalis = 65% Total population = 49%	5% improvement		Ever participated Females = 71% Males = 79% Youth = 74% Adults = 76% PWDs=69% Somalis= 75% Non-Somalis = 65% Total population = 75% 26% improvement	
2. 1. 3	Extent to which stakeholders and development partners integrate community perspectives (perception surveys) into projects and plans	Perception surveys not being conducted	Community perspectives, particularly those of LNOB groups are factored into project and plans		Two perception surveys completed and shared with NEDI	
2. 1. 4	Number of perception survey reports conducted, published and disseminated to the public, government and local partners	0	3	1	2	Final survey cancelled
2. 1. 5						

» **Output 2.2: Functional cooperation mechanisms that link national, county and ward level to maximize synergies and enhance cooperation between the different levels**

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	Level of efficiency of coordination mechanisms linking ward, subcounty, county and national levels across the peacebuilding and development spectrums	In Mandera and Wajir there are strong coordination mechanisms at county level, but the information is not feeding upwards to national level	Improved information sharing and coordination between county and national level		Two rounds of county-level consultations were carried out, with the second round held in April-May 2025 under the leadership of the Executive Office of the President. In parallel, coordination was strengthened through the inaugural NEAG meeting and the creation of a WhatsApp group that now brings together key stakeholders from the three counties.	

2. 2. 2	Digital Data Portal is created and key stakeholders (including NEDI GoK and CSOs) actively using the digital data platform for accessing and analyzing disaggregated data related to the Humanitarian-Development-Peace (HDP) nexus in target counties.	0	1		0	Activity cancelled due to funding delays
2. 2. 3	Level of engagement of the office of the DP in coordinating development activities in Northeastern Kenya	NEDI currently lacks the capacity, information, and established trust necessary for effective coordination with communities, county governments, and international development partners	NEDI will be strengthened to ensure improved coordination with communities, county governments and international development partners to build synergies, reduce duplication of efforts and ensure approaches to development interventions are harmonised		NEDI conducted a second round of consultations across the three counties, re-established the North East Advisory Group, and set up a WhatsApp group for key stakeholders. These efforts have strengthened the NEDI team's coordination role, fostered greater trust with communities and partners, and supported a more harmonised and collaborative approach to development in the region.	

2. 2. 4	Number of coordination meetings held between county and national government stakeholders	0	4		1	
2. 2. 5						
How many outputs does outcome 3 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 3						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?						
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	End term evaluation	10 November 2025	Nairobi with travel to Garissa, Mandera and Wajir	County government, national government, CSOs, communities	It is envisaged that the end term evaluation will commence in early November and run for 30 days.

Event 2	Final in-person digital peacebuilding training	25 and 26 November	Machakos	Participants from Mandera, Garissa and Wajir	To consolidate learnings from the first in-person training and the online training block and consider how participants can take the learning forward.
Event 3	Project closure meeting	27 November	Machakos	NEDI team, county government, KNCHR, HAKI Africa, IPSTC, community representatives	The purpose of this meeting is to discuss progress made through the project, identify areas for further work and map a way forward for the NEDI team
Event 4	WFP endline survey	November	Garissa, Mandera and Wajir	Communities in Garissa, Mandera and Wajir	An endline survey is planned in the three counties to measure impact of the peace dividends projects.

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
 - The impact of the project in their lives
 - Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	Mr. Shidiye, County Chief Officer, department of Peace	Greater alignment with national/county peace and development goals through joint monitoring and collaboration. Improved coordination and transparency in implementation.	"This collaboration model has helped us see better results and deeper community trust."

2	Mr. Abubakar, Eldere, Peace Committee member	Improved access to essential infrastructure (Eldere Water Pan) and increased knowledge on operations and maintenance. Strengthened sense of ownership and trust in peacebuilding.	"The water pan is not just a project, it's a lifeline, and we now know how to care for it ourselves."
3			
4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Across all counties, the project contributed to meaningful human level changes. Community members noted improved access to essential services, particularly water infrastructure that eased the daily burden of fetching water and reduced competition at scarce resources. Women and youth felt more included in decision making through targeted consultations and women only sessions that allowed them to speak openly about challenges affecting their safety, rights and participation.

Local CSO partners strengthened community voices by ensuring that feedback from groups often left behind informed county and security discussions. This contributed to more constructive engagement between communities and security actors and supported early conflict prevention.

Digital peacebuilding trainings equipped young people with practical skills to identify misinformation, navigate online risks and engage more constructively in digital spaces. Participants reported greater confidence in using these skills for early warning and community awareness.

Human rights assessments and daily reporting by local defenders improved channels for communities to raise concerns safely. This increased accountability and helped identify emerging risks more quickly.

Although funding delays prevented the establishment of a central data repository, the project still strengthened local data systems and improved collaborative problem solving between government, civil society and communities. These shifts have helped promote a culture of participation, transparency and shared responsibility for peace and development across Garissa, Wajir and Mandera.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☒ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☒ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project partnered with local and grassroots civil society organizations to ensure community-driven implementation and strengthen accountability. Low-value grants were issued to WRAI, SARN, and Worthy Vision to enhance community feedback mechanisms, enabling more inclusive monitoring and responsiveness to local priorities. In addition, RRDO and VCI played a key role in implementing peace dividend projects and conducting grassroots-level data collection, ensuring that community perspectives directly informed project design and delivery. All of these organizations are based in Northeastern Kenya and have strong local networks, contributing to the project's credibility, reach, and sustainability.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with *

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project's human impact was strengthened through close collaboration among UN agencies, local CSOs, and national institutions. UNDP, WFP, and OHCHR worked together to deliver integrated support across peacebuilding, human rights, and development. Partnering with grassroots organizations such as RRDO and VCI enabled direct implementation of peace dividend projects and inclusive data collection at the community level. Grants to WRAI, SARN, and Worthy Vision supported local feedback and accountability mechanisms, ensuring community voices shaped project interventions. Nationally, engagement with the Executive Office of the President, KNCHR, and IPSTC helped institutionalize inclusive approaches and ensure government-led coordination with local stakeholders.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☒ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project maintained strong oversight and monitoring systems throughout the reporting period. Weekly Project Management Unit (PMU) meetings and bi-weekly technical coordination meetings with the three implementing agencies supported continuous information sharing, joint planning, and timely monthly reporting. These mechanisms enabled close tracking of activity delivery despite liquidity-related delays. An internal midterm evaluation was undertaken in March–April 2025, led by the Knowledge Management Officer. The assessment reviewed progress against the results framework, identified operational challenges, and informed adjustments for the final phase of implementation. Feedback was gathered through MS Forms surveys completed by UN staff, implementing partners, and national and county authorities. Across all groups, delayed fund disbursement emerged as the most significant constraint affecting planning, timelines, and partner engagement. Findings were presented to the Project Steering Committee in April 2025.

WFP carried out midline assessments across the three counties to measure the effects of the peace dividend interventions, with endline assessments planned for November 2025. A joint monitoring mission took place in Garissa on 16 June, bringing together WFP, RRDO, and the project team. The visit included a briefing at the WFP Garissa Office, followed by field observations in Eldere, Lagadera Sub-County, to review the completed water infrastructure. The team noted the importance of strengthening human-interest reporting and ensuring contextual references, particularly regarding drought impacts, as the water pan was dry during the visit. A formal community handover was convened with county and local leadership, and a debrief session was held on 17 June. During NEDI consultations in Garissa in May 2025, the Knowledge Management Officer also conducted a monitoring visit with the Semi-Arid Resilience Network (SARN), engaging directly with implementing partners and community members to assess progress and remaining gaps at the local level. In addition, the project’s second and final perception survey was conducted by Rufmo between May and June 2025 to capture changes in attitudes, security perceptions, and social cohesion since the baseline and first-round survey. Data cleaning and preliminary analysis informed ongoing reporting and will feed into the final evaluation.

Preparations for the end-term evaluation advanced during the period, including development of the Terms of Reference, budgeting, and collaboration with UNDP’s evaluation unit to ensure compliance with UNDP evaluation standards and timely initiation of the exercise.

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

*

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes, baseline indicators were established in October 2024. Rufmo Consulting conducted an evaluability assessment and a comprehensive perception survey to collect data specific to the project’s outcomes. The perception survey, conducted with a representative sample from the targeted communities, gathered baseline data on key indicators including community trust in local governance, effectiveness of conflict resolution mechanisms, and perceived levels of community safety and inclusion. In addition, the survey assessed attitudes towards peacebuilding and community engagement in conflict prevention. This data forms the foundation for measuring progress toward outcome-level peacebuilding goals, providing a clear starting point against which future shifts in community perceptions, behaviour changes, and institutional impact can be tracked. The baseline data will be reevaluated in subsequent surveys to measure changes over time and refine our approach to achieving sustainable, community-driven peacebuilding outcomes.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The following sources of evidence have been used to report on project indicators, and are available upon request: **Baseline Assessment Report:** Conducted by Rufmo Consulting, this report established the starting conditions for key indicators, focusing on community attitudes towards peacebuilding, social cohesion, and trust in institutions. It serves as the foundation for future comparisons to track progress. **First Perception Survey:** This survey, part of the baseline assessment, provided data on community views regarding safety, governance, and peace efforts. It offers valuable insights into public trust and perceptions of local conflict dynamics, forming a baseline for future surveys. • **Human Rights Baseline Assessment:** This focuses on the initial human rights conditions in the project areas, examining rights violations, vulnerabilities, and access to justice. **Digital Peacebuilding Training Survey:** A pre-training survey was conducted before training in Wajir. It assessed participants' knowledge of digital peacebuilding before the course. **IPSTC CISEC Training Surveys:** Surveys taken after CISEC training sessions measured participants' satisfaction and their ability to apply knowledge gained, particularly in civilian-security coordination. **Second/final perception survey**

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

As part of its commitment to results-based programming and evidence-based decision-making, the project has launched several outcome-level data collection initiatives, including the use of perception surveys. These efforts are designed to assess shifts in community attitudes, trust in institutions, and perceptions of peace and security, in line with the project's intended outcomes. To date, a baseline perception survey was completed in November 2024, and a second perception survey was conducted in May/June 2025. The final perception survey planned for October/November 2025 was cancelled due to resource constraints. In parallel, WFP has carried out a midline survey with beneficiaries in the same locations as the perception surveys. This exercise aimed to measure changes in the security situation and levels of trust between security actors and communities before and after the intervention.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

☐ Yes

☒ No

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:

Response required

65000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The Terms of Reference (ToR) for the evaluation was finalised in the first week of October and the call for bids closed in early November. The UNDP Project Management Support Unit (PMSU) is supporting the process and a member of the PMSU will be the Evaluation Manager.

Contact
information

Name

Organization

Job title

Email

Please mention
the focal
person
responsible for
sharing the
final evaluation
report with the
PBF:

**Christopher Olendo
(evaluation manager)**

UNDP PMSU

**Monitoring and
Evaluation Manager**

christopher.olendo@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started?** *

1

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project **since it started**, as well as specifically **during this reporting period**

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

Norway

Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

1598578

Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

0

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

☐ Yes

☒ No

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability has been central to the PPID project's design and implementation, with a deliberate focus on community ownership, inclusive participation, and collaboration with county and national authorities. Throughout the reporting period, engagement with peace committees, religious leaders, women and youth representatives, county officials, and security actors helped ensure that interventions were grounded in local priorities and positioned to continue beyond the life of the project.

Several concrete steps were taken to support the sustainability of peacebuilding gains. Community structures such as Peace and Cohesion Committees, Water User Associations, and Rangeland Management Committees were established or strengthened to manage shared resources, lead community-level conflict resolution, and provide platforms for dialogue. In locations where peace dividends were delivered, the project facilitated targeted operation and maintenance (O&M) training for end users, equipping communities with the practical skills needed to manage and sustain the infrastructure independently in the long term.

At the institutional level, the project supported the Executive Office of the President (EOP) to convene inclusive consultations across Mandera, Wajir, and Garissa. This engagement helped strengthen feedback loops between communities and government actors and encouraged more participatory, accountable practices that can continue after the project closes. The revitalization of the North East Kenya Advisory Group (NEDI) further contributed to sustainability by reestablishing a functional coordination platform for government, civil society, and community representatives. Regular meetings held during the reporting period have helped rebuild momentum that is expected to carry forward into future programming.

As the project nears completion, a handover meeting will be conducted with the NEDI team and a structured exit strategy will be finalized to guide the responsible handover of activities, consolidate lessons learned, and ensure continued coordination with county governments, national counterparts, and community structures. This process will help safeguard progress achieved to date and support the continuation of key mechanisms established under the project.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Evaluability assessment	Rufmo consulting conducted an evaluability assessment for the project last year - this provided the project with baseline data.	FGDs KIIs and document reviews were conducted. MEAL plan was reviewed and baseline data was established.
Event 2	First and second perception survey	Rufmo consulting conducted two perception surveys targeting marginalised groups in Northeastern Kenya	Provided perception based data on peace and security in Northeastern Kenya
Event 3	WFP Midline assessment	In early 2025, WFP in partnership with RRDO and VCI collected midline perception data from communities where peace dividend projects were implemented.	The data showed a positive increase in trust among communities and security actors. Additionally, a reduction in security incidents was recorded.
Event 4	Hiring of firm for end term evaluation	In late October, a call for proposals was listed for the external evaluation for the project. It is expected that a contract will be issued during the week of 17 November.	It is expected that preliminary findings will be available in mid-December 2026.
Event 5	Project Steering Committee meetings	1 PSC was conducted in the past 6 months	This provided project oversight from senior management. Results, risks and challenges were presented.
Event 6			
Event 7			

Event 8

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.