

# PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING**  
**FUND** 

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org](mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report \*

2025-11-15

Name and title of person submitting the report <b>EDWARD AMPRATWUM, GOVERNANCE SPECIALIST, UNDP</b>	*
E-mail of person submitting the report <b>edward.ampratwum@undp.org</b>	*
Name and title of person who approved the report <b>SHAIMA HUSSEIN, DEPUTY RESIDENT REPRESENTATIVE, UNDP</b>	*
Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?  <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	*
Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? <i>You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.</i>  <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable	*

### » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	*
--	---

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="radio"/> Global                    | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa    |   |

Country of project implementation

- |                                      |  |                                     |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin          | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso     | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia         | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Guinea        |
| <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau  | <input type="radio"/> Liberia          | <input type="radio"/> Mali          |
| <input type="radio"/> Mauritania     | <input type="radio"/> Niger            | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria       |
| <input type="radio"/> Senegal        | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone     | <input type="radio"/> Togo          |
| <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify |  |                                     |

Other, please specify

Project Title

- ☒ 00140299: Enhancing social cohesion and social contract through empowerment of women and youth in three northern regions of Ghana.
- ☐ Other, Specify
- ☐ 00087604: Appui aux Consultations Nationales sur le processus et les mecanismes de Reconciliation Nationale en Guinee (CoNARGui)

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

<p>Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented</p> <p><i>If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central &amp; Southern Africa</i></p> <div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 33%;"><input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific</div> <div style="width: 33%;"><input type="checkbox"/> Central &amp; Southern Africa</div> <div style="width: 33%;"><input type="checkbox"/> East Africa</div> <div style="width: 33%;"><input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia</div> <div style="width: 33%;"><input type="checkbox"/> Global</div> <div style="width: 33%;"><input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean</div> <div style="width: 33%;"><input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa</div> <div style="width: 33%;"><input type="checkbox"/> West Africa</div> </div>	*
<p>Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report</p>	*
<p>Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document</p> <p><i>EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal</i></p> <div style="border-bottom: 1px dotted black; height: 20px; margin-top: 10px;"></div>	
<p>Please select the countries where this project is being implemented</p>	
<p>Other, Please specify</p> <div style="border-bottom: 1px dotted black; height: 40px; margin-top: 10px;"></div>	
<p>Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)</p> <p><b>2023-09-06</b></p> <div style="border-bottom: 1px dotted black; height: 20px; margin-top: 10px;"></div>	
<p>Project End Date</p> <p><b>2026-03-01</b></p> <div style="border-bottom: 1px dotted black; height: 20px; margin-top: 10px;"></div>	
<p>Has this project received an extension?</p> <div style="margin-top: 10px;"> <input type="radio"/> YES, Cost Extension         <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES, No Cost Extension         <input type="radio"/> YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions         <input type="radio"/> NO, No Extensions       </div>	



Will this project be requesting an extension?

\*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months?

\*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request?

\*

- |                               |                                |                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March     |
| <input type="radio"/> April   | <input type="radio"/> May      | <input type="radio"/> June      |
| <input type="radio"/> July    | <input type="radio"/> August   | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December  |

Is the current project end date within 6 months?

\*

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?

\*

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If yes, please select which

\*

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient \*

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme    ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration    ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights    ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees    ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund    ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ☐ WFP: World Food Programme    ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization    ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization    ☐ WHO: World Health Organization    ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund    ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime    ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization    ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre    ☐ UNDPO
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

\*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☒ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ☐ WFP: World Food Programme ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations
- ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

\*

Please select other non-UN recipients

<input type="checkbox"/> ACTED	<input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK	<input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
<input type="checkbox"/> AEDE	<input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)	<input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières	<input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium	<input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada
<input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion	<input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network	<input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
<input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK	<input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF	<input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland
<input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN	<input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide	<input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala
<input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale	<input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi	<input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID
<input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer	<input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services	<input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid
<input type="checkbox"/> Danish Refugee Council	<input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS	<input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women
<input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)	<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)	<input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)	<input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation	<input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
<input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)	<input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)	<input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch
<input type="checkbox"/> International Alert	<input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace
<input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation	<input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI)	
<input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar	<input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps	
<input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo	<input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO	<input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
<input type="checkbox"/> Nonviolent Peaceforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)	<input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
<input type="checkbox"/> OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti	<input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS	<input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
<input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR	<input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM	<input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct
<input type="checkbox"/> Plan International	<input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund	<input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
<input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati	<input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld	<input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
<input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children	<input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)	<input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
<input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer	<input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan	<input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch
<input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund	<input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.	<input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire
<input type="checkbox"/> War Child	<input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM)	<input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International
<input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar	<input type="checkbox"/> ZOA	<input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify:		

☐ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

\*

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

16

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

*(for June reports: January-June;*

*for November reports: January-December (anticipated);*

*for final reports: full project duration)*

16

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**National Peace Council**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

360000.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

322297.46

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

30607.46

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

\*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The National Peace Council (NPC) trained existing women and youth groups, networks, and community leaders to enhance their leadership and advocacy capacities. The training aimed to empower participants to become champions for peace and advocates for inclusive local governance and peacebuilding processes. Participants were equipped with knowledge on local governance structures, effective advocacy for local development, ethical principles of community advocacy, and their roles as peace ambassadors within their communities.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**National Development Planning Commission**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

64563.08



<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>33413.51</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>33413.51</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p><b>Supported the finalization and validation of 8 district investment strategies</b></p>	*
3	
<p>Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period</p>	
<p>Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> National youth CSO  <input type="radio"/> National women's CSO  <input type="radio"/> Other National CSO  <input type="radio"/> Subnational youth CSO  <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's CSO  <input type="radio"/> Other subnational CSO  <input type="radio"/> Regional CSO  <input type="radio"/> Regional Organisation  <input type="radio"/> International NGO  <input checked="" type="radio"/> Governmental entity  <input type="radio"/> National women's and youth CSO  <input type="radio"/> Subnational women's and youth CSO  <input type="radio"/> Other         </p>	

Other, Please specify	
What is the name of the Implementing Partner	*
North East Regional Coordinating Council	
What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the <b>overall duration of the project</b> to be disbursed to this implementing partner?	*
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)	
113139.75	
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b> ?	*
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)	
72320.46	
What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b> ?	*
Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)	
39353.06	
Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period	*
Please limit your response to 1500 characters	
Since the project's inception, the North East Regional Coordinating Council (NERCC) has implemented targeted interventions to strengthen local governance and security institutions in applying rights-based and inclusive approaches. Over the reporting period, capacity-building efforts enhanced the skills of local government staff, service providers, and security personnel in Human Rights-Based Approaches (HRBA), inclusive planning, and community policing. The introduction of the PBF-Dash citizen feedback and complaints platform improved accountability and responsiveness in public service delivery. The RCC also facilitated social dialogues and town hall meetings that promoted inclusive community participation, strengthened early warning and response systems, and informed local development priorities. Civilian security campaigns conducted during the 2024 general elections contributed to maintaining peace in districts previously prone to conflict. During the reporting period, follow-up reviews with local governance bodies indicated significant progress, with approximately 70% of district medium-term development plans integrating human rights and community priorities—demonstrating the growing institutionalization of HRBA principles across the region.	

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Upper East Regional Coordinating Council**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

117358.42

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>103972.8</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>39702.28</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p><b>Throughout the reporting period, the Upper East Regional Coordinating Council (UERCC) implemented similar activities across its three districts. The RCC advanced peacebuilding and inclusive governance through a strong focus on institutional capacity strengthening and citizen engagement. Building on the project's Human Rights-Based Approach (HRBA), the RCC supported more than 230 participants, including local government officials, service providers, and security personnel, with practical training on inclusive service delivery, community policing, and referral systems that promote accountability and trust.</b></p> <p><b>To deepen citizen participation and transparency, the region operationalized the PBF-Dash platform—an innovative tool for community feedback and complaints tracking—leading to more responsive service provision and improved coordination among district-level actors. Social dialogues and town hall sessions were also convened, engaging both traditional and formal leaders to align local priorities with district development plans and to reinforce early warning and response systems.</b></p> <p><b>In the lead-up to the 2024 elections, civilian security campaigns successfully raised awareness on peaceful coexistence and civic responsibility, contributing to a calm electoral environment across the region. Endline reviews indicate that nearly 68% of district plans now incorporate community priorities and human rights considerations, signaling a steady shift toward inclusive, accountable governance frameworks in the Upper East Region.</b></p>	*

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Upper West Regional Coordinating Council**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner? \*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

92585.11

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>78407.11</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>34430.99</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p><b>In the Upper West Region, the Peacebuilding Fund project focused on deepening collaboration between communities and public institutions to build trust and strengthen inclusive governance. The Regional Coordinating Council (RCC) placed emphasis on practical, community-driven approaches—linking local authorities, service providers, and citizens in joint efforts to improve service delivery and accountability. Over the reporting period, more than 210 officials and community representatives took part in capacity-building sessions covering Human Rights-Based Approaches (HRBA), inclusive planning, and community safety. Participants reported greater confidence in applying rights-based principles in their daily work, particularly in health, justice, and social welfare services. The region also used the PBF-Dash citizen feedback system to amplify community voices and ensure timely follow-up on grievances. These efforts were complemented by social dialogues and peace awareness campaigns, which brought together youth, women’s groups, and traditional leaders to address local tensions before they escalated. Importantly, the RCC’s follow-up reviews found that human rights and citizen priorities are increasingly shaping local development planning, with most districts integrating HRBA elements into their ongoing governance processes. The Upper West experience demonstrates how inclusive engagement and sustained dialogue can translate training investments into tangible community-level impact.</b></p>	

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**Upper East Regional Peace Council**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

12000.00

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>11259.30</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>11259.30</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p><b>During the reporting period, the UPPER EAST REGIONAL PEACE COUNCIL, through Community Peace Committees, implemented a series of localized peacebuilding activities. In Kugri, women's dialogue forums addressed competition over shea-nut collection, while an additional dialogue engaged Fulbe, refugees, and host community members on conflict prevention and early warning. Farmer-herder mediation sessions were conducted in Siisi and Denugu to mitigate land-use tensions and avert violence. Security-civilian dialogues were organized in Widnaba and Sapelliga to strengthen trust and cooperation between communities and security actors. In Kopella, community leaders and Fulbe representatives engaged in a stakeholder dialogue promoting peaceful coexistence. The project also facilitated sensitization sessions on religious tolerance, and women and youth inclusion in leadership and decision-making processes in Bongo-Soe. In Feo, awareness activities focused on border security, and the risks associated with hate speech, religious intolerance, and discrimination. A youth, women, and border security dialogue was held in Namoo to reinforce trust and enhance collective security collaboration. These engagements collectively contributed to conflict prevention, strengthened community cohesion, and enhanced early warning and response mechanisms across targeted communities.</b></p>	



Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**Upper West Regional Peace Council**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

38000.00

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start?</b></p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>36124.86</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period?</b></p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>33903.21</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p><b>During the reporting period, the Implementing Partner facilitated targeted peacebuilding and governance engagements. Establishment of 6 additional Community Peace Committees. Community sensitization on hate speech and exclusion resulted in heightened awareness among 400 participants (240 men, 160 women), strengthened public commitment to tolerance, and reinforced collaboration among youth, women groups, traditional and religious leaders, and local authorities. Traditional and religious leaders committed to promoting inclusion and discouraging divisive rhetoric.</b></p> <p><b>In addition, a total of 253 participants (138 men and 115 women) took part in the natural resource governance dialogue, which strengthened mutual understanding between duty bearers and community members, enhanced awareness of legal processes for resolving land and resource grievances, and secured commitments to transparent, consultative, and collaborative resource management.</b></p>	

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☒ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**North East Regional Peace Council**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

24000.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

23804.2

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

13853.14

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

\*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The North East Reginal Peace Committee through the Community Peace Committee convened nine stakeholder engagements with religious leaders, traditional authorities, and youth and women groups to prevent hate speech and counter negative narratives. These sessions supported collaborative dialogue, strengthened community leadership roles in peace promotion, and enhanced early warning efforts.**

**In addition, the NERPC facilitated social cohesion activities, including drumming and dancing, to foster inter-ethnic tolerance and cooperation. These cultural peace initiatives were implemented across three communities by three CPCs in Nakpanduri, Yunyoo, and Gbalo, contributing to improved communal relations and mutual understanding.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**Centre for Democratic Development Ghana**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

326785.95

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

285617.71

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

92874.80

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

\*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**The capacity of local government officials, traditional authorities, and religious leaders was enhanced through targeted training sessions on gender-responsive participatory planning, inclusive local economic development, and conflict management. These sessions provided practical tools to integrate gender perspectives into district planning, promote equitable economic initiatives, and adopt conflict-sensitive approaches in community engagement. As a result, participants reported improved understanding of inclusive governance, stronger coordination with community stakeholders, and greater capacity to mediate disputes and foster social cohesion.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

West Africa Network for Peacebuilding Ghana

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)

135165.90

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>122157.07</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>49039.49</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p><b>During the reporting period, WANEP-Ghana implemented a series of peacebuilding and security sensitization activities across at-risk border communities. Two live radio discussions were aired on Scarp FM and ReCAS FM in the Bunkprugu/Nakpanduri and Bongo districts, engaging community stakeholders and security personnel as panelists. These discussions, conducted in local languages using pre-developed guides, were complemented by rebroadcasted radio jingles on six partner stations, reaching approximately 690,000 listeners in 24 project communities.</b></p> <p><b>Community peace forums combined with fun games were held in seven communities, including Nakpanduri, Yonyoo, and Jeffisi, engaging 986 participants (425 males, 561 females). These forums fostered dialogue between community members and security actors, strengthening trust and collaboration through culturally relevant engagement such as football matches.</b></p> <p><b>Bi-annual reflection meetings were conducted in eight districts, with 244 participants (160 males, 84 females) assessing project impacts. Key outcomes included improved community-security collaboration and increased minority inclusion, notably the involvement of Fulbe members in leadership.</b></p> <p><b>Additionally, town hall forums were held in 24 communities, engaging 243 participants (140 males, 103 females) to address security concerns such as smuggling and conflict triggers. These forums facilitated improved trust, inclusion of women and youth, and the development of local strategies to strengthen early warning and response systems.</b></p>	



Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**Holistic Development Organisation**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

60000.00

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>60000.00</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>49258.00</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p><b>During the reporting period, HOLIDO implemented livelihood and peacebuilding interventions in nine communities across Chereponi, Bunkpurugu-Nakpanduri, and Yunyoo-Nasuan Districts. The organization supported 15 young artisans (13 women, 2 men) with startup equipment and refresher training in dressmaking and hairdressing. In total, 65 beneficiaries (61 women, 4 men) in agro-processing and petty trading received business support and capacity building. Additional support included branding, marketing, coaching, mentorship, and financial education.</b></p> <p><b>HOLIDO formed 10 Savings with Education (SwE) groups, engaging 278 members (235 women, 43 men). The SwE model fostered both financial literacy and peace dialogue at the community level. 20 facilitators (10 women, 10 men) and 20 community volunteers (10 women, 10 men) were trained in peacebuilding, gender inclusion, and PVE awareness.</b></p> <p><b>In agriculture, 10 dry-season farmers (7 women, 3 men) were trained in climate-smart practices and supported with inputs. Furthermore, 30 farmers (16 women, 14 men) were trained in small ruminant management and received 60 goats. To ensure sustainability, veterinary services and monitoring were provided.</b></p>	

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**Meta Foundation**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

59867.48

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start?</b></p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>59867.48</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period?</b></p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>41153.36</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p><b>META Foundation implemented key activities across nine communities in Garu, Bawku West, and Bongo districts. After community sensitization that reached 486 participants, 240 beneficiaries (comprising 150 women and 90 youth) were profiled and selected for livelihood support. A total of 190 individuals (120 women, 70 youth) were trained in agro-processing, basket weaving, tailoring, hairdressing, guinea fowl and goat rearing, dry-season farming, phone repairs, and soap making. Essential start-up inputs and tools were distributed, including 30 sewing machines and 15 hair dryers to 45 women, soap-making kits to 25 women and youth, 30 women received basket weaving materials, and 25 youth received phone repair toolkits. Additionally, 50 women and youth (15 women and 10 youth in goat rearing; 25 youth in guinea fowl rearing) were targeted for livestock support. Four women and youth groups (80 members: approx. 60 women, 20 youth) received vegetable seeds and water pumps to boost dry-season farming. Signposts were erected for project visibility. Monitoring showed strong community support despite challenges such as insecurity in Bawku and high demand exceeding resources. Overall, the project advanced inclusive local development, empowered marginalized women and youth, and strengthened resilience through practical livelihood interventions.</b></p>	

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**Capacity Enhancement And Community Support**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

60000.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

60000.00

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

41050.00

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

\*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**CAPECS implemented key components of the Livelihoods for Cohesion (L4C) project across six communities in Wa West and Sissala West districts. A total of 160 beneficiaries (124 females, 36 males) were selected through a transparent process involving Community Livelihood Improvement Committees (CLICs). The selection included three female asylum seekers from the Zini Refugee Camp.**

**Beneficiaries were grouped into eight VSLAs and trained in savings principles, group management, and governance. Weekly mentoring ensured proper savings operations and record-keeping. Livelihood assets including sewing machines, hairdressing kits, weaving tools, baking and processing equipment, and livestock were distributed to beneficiaries. Local artisans supplied part of the tools, boosting community economies.**

**Business development and financial literacy training was conducted by the Ghana Enterprise Agency, with 160 beneficiaries and CLIC members participating. Additional sessions focused on branding, pricing, and registration. Most beneficiaries have begun using their tools and generating income.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☒ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**Plan Parenthood Association of Ghana (PPAG)**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

75446.28

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

61893.45

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

10868.04

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

\*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**PPAG provided technical and oversight support for the 2025 workplan development of CSO partners, ensuring alignment and synergies with project objectives as well as tracking of results for enhanced sustainability.**



Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**Youth Harvest Foundation Ghana**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

86555.68

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **since the project's start?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

105774.46

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner **during this calendar period?**

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

55898.3

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period

\*

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters*

**Through its facilitation of District Development Actors Forums (DDAF) across six project districts, Youth Harvest Foundation Ghana (YHFG) strengthened citizen participation and accountability in local governance. A total of 281 stakeholders (194 men and 87 women) participated in these sessions, including 145 in the North East Region (103 men, 42 women) and 136 in the Upper East Region (91 men, 45 women).**

**The DDAFs provided inclusive platforms for citizens, particularly women and youth, to engage directly with district assemblies and influence local development priorities. As a result, discussions led to the identification of key community concerns such as youth unemployment, poor road networks, inadequate market spaces, classroom congestion, and drug abuse.**

**These forums not only documented citizen priorities from a gender and youth perspective but also strengthened collaboration between local authorities and communities. The digitalization of Client Service Units in participating districts further enhanced transparency, enabling faster response to community feedback and improving governance accountability.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each, both since the project's start, and specifically during this calendar period

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner

\*

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ National women's and youth CSO
- ☒ Subnational women's and youth CSO
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner

\*

**ProNet North**

What is the planned total amount (in USD) for the **overall duration of the project** to be disbursed to this implementing partner?

\*

*Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)*

151598.59

<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>since the project's start</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>69313.38</p>	*
<p>What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner <b>during this calendar period</b>?</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>26921.25</p>	*
<p>Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner during this calendar period</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters</i></p> <p><b>Under the Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) project, ProNet North facilitated two women-youth-security dialogues in Wechiau (Wa West District) and Gwollu (Sissala West District), as well as District Development Actors Forum (DDAF) across the same districts, reaching over 150 participants (including women, youth, traditional leaders, and local authorities).The interventions empowered women and youth as active peacebuilders and civic actors, providing practical skills in Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) and creating platforms to engage with local governance structures. The DDAFs enabled participants to identify and prioritize community development needs, including youth unemployment, poor infrastructure, classroom congestion, and drug abuse, while promoting inclusive decision-making and accountability. The dialogues and forums strengthened collaboration between communities and district authorities, enhanced social cohesion, and increased confidence among women and youth to contribute to local governance and peacebuilding. Collectively, these activities fostered resilient communities and more responsive, accountable local institutions in previously fragile districts.</b></p>	

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

#### Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1999980	1999980	1667759.42	83.39%
				%
UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	999981	999981	999926.06	99.99%
				%
				%
				%
				%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2999961	2999961	2667685.48	88.92%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **88.92%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

95

## » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? \*

66.41

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1992274.1**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1771609.93**. Is this correct? \*

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

1708528.17

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Annex D PBF Project progress report updated for annual report 04112025-9\_43\_17.xlsx



## ***Project Markers***

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project

\*

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project

\*

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes



Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

\*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

\*

*Select all that apply*

- ☒ Gender promotion initiative
- ☒ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

## Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? \*



Yes



No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Since January 2025 to date, the Project Steering Committee (PSC) has convened twice: the first meeting was held in January 2025, and the second took place in October 2025.**

**The Project Steering Committee (PSC), held a meeting on 3 October 2025, focused on reviewing the progress of project implementation and discussing priorities for the remainder of the no-cost extension period. Members received a comprehensive update on achievements for 2025, including key progress under governance, service delivery, youth and women empowerment, and early-warning mechanisms. The Committee reviewed findings from the perception survey, financial updates, challenges encountered, and ongoing activities. Members provided strategic guidance on strengthening sustainability, improving alignment with national systems, and enhancing linkages between peacebuilding and local economic development. A key decision was the agreement for UNDP and UNFPA to immediately begin developing a Phase II concept note to consolidate gains and scale high-impact interventions. The Committee also requested the sharing of some of project knowledge material including the finalized district investment plans, called for improvements to the citizen dashboard and early-warning response mechanisms, and endorsed a field visit by members in January 2026. The meeting concluded with a call to sustain momentum, deepen institutional learning, and ensure stronger integration of women and youth in governance and peacebuilding processes. Members of the PSC present included the UN Resident Coordinator's Office (Chair), the Ministry of Local Government, Chieftaincy and Religious Affairs (Co-Chair), the National Peace Council, the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Protection, the Northern Development Authority, AfriYAN, UNFPA, and UNDP. During the session, the USAID representative on the PSC was formally replaced.**

**However, the PSC held a meeting at the beginning of the year on 29 January 2025 as a one-day hybrid (in-person/virtual) session which aimed to present progress made against project targets, seek approval for 2025 workplan, and a no-cost extension for the project. Key resolutions included the provisional approval of the 2025 workplan pending revisions; the need to integrate lessons learned, implementation challenges, mitigation measures, and an evaluation component; and a request to ensure broader access to knowledge products such as the toolkit and baseline assessment. The Committee also approved a no-cost extension in principle, subject to agreement among implementing agencies on the extension period. Members present at the PSC meeting included the UN Resident Coordinator's Office (Chair), the Ministry of Local Government, Chieftaincy and Religious Affairs (Co-Chair), the National Peace Council, USAID, the Northern Development Authority, NETRIGHT, the National Youth Authority, and AfriYAN.**

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**Over the past six months, the project has maintained robust engagement with government stakeholders at the national, regional, and district levels. These engagements have been critical in promoting local ownership, ensuring alignment with national development priorities, and reinforcing the sustainability of project interventions. At the national level, the project has continued its strategic collaboration with key institutions, including the Ministry of Local Government, Chieftaincy and Religious Affairs (MLGCRA), the Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Protection (MoGCSP), the National Peace Council (NPC), and the National Development Planning Commission (NDPC). Notably, representatives from these institutions actively participated in two Project Steering Committee Meeting held in Accra on 29th January and October 2025. During these meetings, the national partners provided policy direction, made inputs into implementation strategies, reviewed progress, and reaffirmed their commitment to supporting project outcomes related to inclusive governance, youth and women empowerment, and social cohesion. Additionally, the programme partners had a high-level briefing meeting with the Deputy Head of the Peace Building Support Office when she visited Ghana on 3rd October 2025. The briefing meeting outlined the progress of the programme, linkages with national priorities and the need for consolidation and scale up of the project's best practices. At the regional level, the project worked closely with the Regional Coordinating Councils (RCCs) of the Upper East, Upper West, and North East regions. These engagements included technical meetings and joint monitoring missions to assess the integration of Community Action Plans into district-level Medium-Term Development Plans (MTDPs). The RCCs also coordinated the capacity enhancement of all relevant staff of the various local government structures and service delivery agencies on improving public service delivery and effective referral pathways through the innovative digital feedback and complaints mechanism. They also provided oversight and ensured regional linkages through monitoring and follow-up with CSO implementing partners and district level local governance institutions. At the district level, the project strengthened partnerships with District Assemblies in all 8 targeted districts and 24 communities. The NPC at the decentralised level, in particular, has played a central role in overseeing the establishment and operationalization of 24 Community Peace Committees in selected communities across the 8 districts to strengthen local conflict prevention mechanisms. Through these multi-level engagements, the project has not only advanced its core objectives but also reinforced government capacities to deliver sustainable, inclusive, accountable, and responsive governance and public services, particularly to marginalized women and youth.**

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners	<input type="radio"/> Not Started <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed <input type="radio"/> Initiated <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed	*
Staff Recruitment	<input type="radio"/> Not Started <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed <input type="radio"/> Initiated <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed	*
Collection of baselines	<input type="radio"/> Not Started <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed <input type="radio"/> Initiated <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed	*
Identification of beneficiaries	<input type="radio"/> Not Started <input checked="" type="radio"/> Completed <input type="radio"/> Initiated <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable <input type="radio"/> Partially Completed	*
<p>Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters</i></p> <p><b>The project since inception has been progressing steadily, with all preliminary and preparatory activities successfully completed. Key milestones achieved include:</b>  <b>Human resources and partnerships:</b> All project staff to be recruited under this project, including the National UN Volunteer and Monitoring and Evaluation (M&amp;E) Officer were recruited and are actively supporting implementation since 2024.  <b>All Implementing Partners(IP) have been formally contracted and are actively implementing project activities since 2024. This IPs includes the National Peace Council, WANEP-Ghana, CDD-Ghana, the Regional Coordinating Councils of Upper East, Upper West, and North East, Holistic Development Organisation, Meta Foundation, Capacity Enhancement and Community Support, Planned Parenthood Association of Ghana, Youth Harvest Foundation, and ProNet North.</b></p> <p><b>Community targeting and alignment:</b> Community selection for project implementation has been completed in close consultation with key stakeholders, ensuring strategic alignment with complementary initiatives such as the World Bank's Gulf of Guinea Northern Regions Social Cohesion (SOCO) Project and USAID interventions in northern Ghana.</p> <p><b>The project since 2024 have been fully operational, delivering interventions across the target regions with strong collaboration between partners, communities, and government stakeholders. To ensure synergies between project partners, a two-day joint partners technical review meeting was held by UNDP and UNFPA, bringing together UNDP and UNFPA IPs and UN staff. This included various organizations such as CDD-Ghana, WANEP-Ghana, National Peace Council, regional peace councils, Regional Coordinating Councils, Meta Foundation, Youth Harvest Foundation, and others, to ensure a cohesive approach to achieve results, ensuring resource efficiency, improving financial and reporting accuracy in line with the results framework and budget, as well as documenting challenges to promote a more collaborative effort to programme implementation.</b></p>		

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

---

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please provide an explanation

\*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

---

Project progress summary

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

**Outcome 1: Strengthened Inclusive Local Governance and Service Delivery**

120 district-level stakeholders (112 men, 8 women) trained in gender-responsive participatory planning and budgeting have developed district-specific gender strategies and actionable plans to address gaps in previous development plans and inform upcoming Medium-Term Development Plans (MTDPs). Communities engaged through radio programs (24 women, 24 men, 24 youth), Community Action Plans (344 men, 314 women), and District Development Actors Forums (281 participants: 194 men, 87 women) identified priorities for integration into MTDPs.

District Planning and Coordinating Units (DPCUs), guided by the HRBA Planning Manual, reviewed and tracked integration of Human Rights-Based Approaches and gender perspectives, resulting in 70% rights-based and gender-responsive actions reflected in MTDPs.

298 service providers (80 women, 218 men) from health, social, justice, and security institutions improved service packages and referral pathways. For example, the National Health Insurance Authority established service hotlines, enhancing access and coordination. The Gender-Based Referral Pathway is reducing vulnerabilities and strengthening resilience.

Innovations such as the Service Delivery Monitoring Dashboard, Citizens' Scorecard, and digitalized client service units have improved accountability and trust. Of 132 complaints submitted via the dashboard, 65% were resolved, demonstrating responsiveness. Outreach activities engaged 1,439 community members (905 men, 534 women), increasing awareness of public services and grievance mechanisms.

Traditional and religious leaders, trained on eliminating discriminatory norms, introduced bye-laws addressing child marriage, adolescent pregnancy, female genital mutilation, and GBV. Community Peace Committees (28 members) improved land tenure governance, early warning, and dispute resolution, while discouraging hate speech.

Through neutral platforms, 253 participants (138 men, 115 women)—including farmers, herders, traditional leaders, and security agencies—built consensus on sustainable resource management and strengthened early warning systems, fostering peaceful coexistence.

**Outcome 2: Improved Livelihoods and Social Cohesion**

824 direct beneficiaries (516 women, 308 men) across 24 communities, including youth and vulnerable groups, enhanced livelihoods through skills training and tools, creating income streams and employment opportunities. Savings with Education (SwE) groups (454 members: 363 women, 91 men) enabled artisans to upgrade skills, farmers to adopt resilient practices, and traders to improve market competitiveness. SwE platforms also facilitated dialogue on peacebuilding and violent extremism prevention.

Youth engagement through Democracy and Governance Learning Cafés and networking sessions strengthened civic consciousness and inclusive governance. 285 citizens (228 men, 57 women) and 178 emerging political actors (63.3% women, 42.7% youth) now participate meaningfully in local decision-making and peacebuilding, reducing susceptibility to political manipulation.

Regional Private Sector Forums in Upper East, Upper West, and North East Regions promoted investment dialogues between government and private sector actors, including financial institutions, leveraging district investment plans developed under the project.

**Outcome 3: Strengthened Citizen–Security Collaboration for Peacebuilding**

Collaboration between citizens and security actors improved, marked by greater respect for human rights, trust in security operations, and use of ADR mechanisms. 487 stakeholders [Adults: 393; Youth: 94] applied enhanced skills in conflict prevention, management, and PVE early-warning systems through community-driven action plans. Women–Youth–Security dialogues and community-led forums engaged 986 participants, fostering shared responsibility for security and peaceful coexistence. Social Dialogue Fora in three PBF districts now serve as platforms for security personnel, traditional leaders, youth networks, women's groups, and local authorities to address tribal, land, and chieftaincy disputes and review progress on joint action plans.

A Coordination Framework clarifies roles and communication channels among security agencies, traditional authorities, and community leaders, enabling timely information sharing and joint crisis response. Practical results include averting a potential chieftaincy conflict in Nakpanduri, rapid security action against rising domestic violence in Chereponi, and preventing clashes between herders and residents in Yunyoo through early alerts.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

\*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*

**The project recognizes gender equality and youth inclusion as fundamental pillars for sustainable development, peacebuilding, and inclusive local governance. From inception, deliberate efforts have been made including targeted capacity building to ensure that women and youth are not only beneficiaries but active participants in shaping decision-making and accountability mechanisms. However, challenges in representation due to gender norms and generational hierarchies persist.**

**A clear illustration of these dynamics emerged during the training on Gender-Responsive Participatory Planning for local governance actors. Of the 120 participants drawn from the District Planning and Coordination Units (DPCUs) and leaders of community-based social accountability networks and peer learning platforms, only 8 participants (6.7%) were women, while 21 participants (17.5%) were youth. This is because, almost all of the heads of the public institutions and local governance structures are males due to the patriarchal nature of the society. For example, in the North-East region out of the 107 leadership of service providers only 23 were females. This skewed representation underscores the existing structural barriers that limit the full participation of these groups in governance and development processes.**

**To address these gaps, the project has employed several strategies aimed at promoting inclusivity. The project worked with district assemblies and traditional authorities to advocate for the nomination and inclusion of more women and youth in local level governance processes, emphasizing its importance for peacebuilding, social cohesion and sustainable development.**

**Intervention strategies continue to be rights-based and gender inclusive, focusing on integrating gender perspectives and indicators into existing/developed structures to strengthen systems to be inclusive and responsive to gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls.**

**The mapping of public services identified institutions addressing the health, social, and security needs of women and girls, forming the basis for targeted capacity strengthening. Furthermore, training for service providers improved referral pathways and service quality through the innovative citizen complaints and feedback mechanism, ensuring that service delivery reflects the priorities of women and young people. As a result, local systems are now better equipped to deliver rights-based, gender-responsive, and accountable public services.**

Is the project 1+ year in implementation?

\*

☒ Yes

☐ No

**FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:**

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results?

\*

*Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results

\*

*Please limit your response to 6000 characters*

**The enhanced capacities of stakeholders across the districts played a pivotal role in enhancing early warning and response mechanisms, contributing directly to conflict prevention and resolution. Through effective information sharing, traditional leaders and assembly members proactively engaged with security agencies, providing timely intelligence that informed interventions such as in the cases of Gberuk and Gbaingbani ultimately facilitating constructive dialogue between conflicting parties. The enactment of bye-laws emerged as a practical outcome of stakeholder collaboration, exemplified by the consensus reached at the Mambabga chief's palace. Community leaders jointly agreed on and enforced by-laws to prevent recurring disputes over a market space, resolving a persistent conflict that has existed over the years. As a result, after initial enforcement actions against violators, the market has remained peaceful, demonstrating improved local governance and conflict prevention.**

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

*Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.*

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

## PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

\*

1    2    ☒ 3    4    5    more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

\*

**Local governance institutions, actors, and customary governance structures are more inclusive, responsive, committed and accountable to deliver on their mandate for quality services.**

Outcome 2:

\*

**Women and youth are more resilient to conflict triggers and fully and meaningfully participate in peace building, local governance and economic development processes.**

Outcome 3:

\*

**State actors and non-state actors positively collaborate through the use of early warning systems to prevent conflict**



Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

## INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Local governance institutions, actors, and customary governance structures are more inclusive, responsive, committed and accountable to deliver on their mandate for quality services.

OC1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	<p><b>Indicator 1a</b> The level of public confidence in the delivery of quality basic services in the target districts disaggregated by sex and age.</p> <p>1.Not Confident 2.Moderately confident 3.Confident 4.Very confident</p>	<p>Community members Male = 2 Female = 3</p> <p>Youth Male = 2 Female = 2</p>	<p>Community members Male = 3 Female = 4</p> <p>Youth Male = 3 Female = 3</p>	<p>Community members Male = 2 Female = 4</p> <p>Youth Male = 3 Female = 4</p>		
1.2	<p><b>Indicator 1b</b> Percentage of community members (m/f) in the targeted districts expressing improvements in local government accountability, and responsiveness.</p>	<p>Accountability % of Male = 38 % of Female =50.5</p> <p>Responsiveness: % of Male = 35.8 % of Female = 50.5</p>	<p>Accountability: % of Male = 50 % of Female = 60</p> <p>Responsiveness: % of Male = 50 % of Female = 60</p>	<p>Accountability: % of Male = 61.7 % of Female = 54.2</p> <p>Responsiveness: % of Male = 73.1 % of Female = 75.1</p>		
1.3						
1.4						

1.5						
How many outputs does outcome 1 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 1						
Output 1.1 Capacity of local governance actors and traditional/religious leaders enhanced in gender-responsive participatory planning, local economic development and conflict management.						
Output 1.2 Systems and processes for dialogue enabled to foster collaboration among local government institutions, customary governance structures and community representatives for inclusive decision-making.						
Output 1.3 Accountability mechanisms developed/enhanced for local authorities' increased transparency in delivering inclusive and equitable public services.						
Output 1.4						
Output 1.5						
Other Outputs If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here						

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 1.1: Capacity of local governance actors and traditional/religious leaders enhanced in gender-responsive participatory planning, local economic development and conflict management.**

1.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	<b>Indicator 1.1.1</b> <b>Extent to which local government actors and customary governance structures demonstrate improved knowledge and skills on participatory planning and responsive governance.</b>  <b>Scale:</b> <b>1.Not at all</b> <b>2.Very little</b> <b>3.Some what</b> <b>4.Great Extent</b>	3.Some what	4.Great Extent	3.Some what	4. Great Extent	

1.1.2	Indicator 1.1.2 Number of partnership mechanisms in place for investment in targeted local communities including any direct collaboration with WB and USAID projects.	12 (At least one per district)	16 (At least 2 per district)	18	18	
1.1.3	Indicator 1.1.3 Number of Traditional Authorities (TA) and Religious Leaders (RL) implementing gender-transformative initiatives in their communities to eliminate discriminatory gender and socio-cultural norms.	TA = 2 RE = 1	TA: 8 (At least one per district) RE: 16 (At least 2 per district)	TA = 19 RE = 16	TA = 19 RE = 16	
1.1.4						
1.1.5						

» **Output 1.2: Systems and processes for dialogue enabled to foster collaboration among local government institutions, customary governance structures and community representatives for inclusive decision-making.**

1.2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	<b>Indicator 1.2.1</b> <b>Number of Peace Committees (PC) engaging stakeholders to address political vigilantism, electoral violence and reduce intergenerational tensions.</b>	<b>13 Peace Committees</b>	<b>16 Peace Committees</b>	<b>24 Peace Committees</b>	<b>24 Peace Committees</b>	
1.2.2	<b>Indicator 1.2.2</b> <b>Number of community radio stations, and religious entities that disallow the use of hate speech and other inciteful ideas and messages on their network/platform.</b>	<b># of radio stations = 26</b> <b># of religious entities = 109</b>	<b># of radio stations = 30</b> <b># of religious entities = 120</b>	<b># of radio stations = 26</b> <b># of religious entities = 113</b>	<b># of radio stations = 26</b> <b># of religious entities = 113</b>	
1.2.3	<b>Indicator 1.2.3</b> <b>Number of incidents of political violence reported and addressed in communities.</b>	<b># of incidents report = 6</b> <b># of incidents addressed = 5</b>	<b># of incidents report = 10</b> <b># of incidents addressed = 10</b>	<b># of incidents report = 10</b> <b># of incidents addressed = 6</b>	<b># of incidents report = 10</b> <b># of incidents addressed = 6</b>	

1.2.4						
1.2.5						

» **Output 1.3: Accountability mechanisms developed/enhanced for local authorities' increased transparency in delivering inclusive and equitable public services.**

1.3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	<b>Indicator 1.3.1</b>  Level of Community Members (M/F) awareness and use of compliant mechanisms put in place in beneficiary district for improved public services delivery. Scale: 1. Low (Not Aware) 2.Moderate (Aware, but not using it) 3.High (Aware and using it)	Women = 1 Youth = 1	Women = 3 Youth = 3	Women = 3 Youth = 3	Women = 3 Youth = 3	
1.3.2	<b>Indicator 1.3.2</b> Number of women and youth who are able to access information on available public services.	% women =75  % Youth = 71	% women =85  % Youth = 85	%women =74.1  % Youth = 80.1	% women =74.1  % Youth = 80.1	
1.3.3						



1.3.4						
1.3.5						

» Output 1.4:

1.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						
1.4.4						
1.4.5						

» Output 1.5:

1.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						
1.5.4						
1.5.5						

» Outcome 2: Women and youth are more resilient to conflict triggers and fully and meaningfully participate in peace building, local governance and economic development processes.

OC2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Indicator 2a Percentage of women and youth (m/f) as a proportion of the total number of people participating in local development planning.	% of women = 17.6  % of Youth (Male) = 19.54  % of Youth (Female) = 1.1	% of women = 30  % of Youth (Male) = 25  % of Youth (Female) = 15	% of women = 42.9  % of Youth (Male) =53.0  % of Youth (Female) = 47.0		
2.2	Indicator 2b Percent of women and youth who feel included in decision-making and peace building processes.	% of women = 57.89  % of Youth (Male) = 54.3  % of Youth (Female) = 30	% of women = 60  % of Youth (Male) = 60  % of Youth (Female) = 40	% of women = 59.7  % of Youth (Male) = 51.4  % of Youth (Female) = 45.2		
2.3						
2.4						
2.5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1  
Capacity of women and youth enhanced to participate and engage in critical development issues, decision-making and peace building processes.

Output 2.2  
Women and youth groups/networks enabled to access livelihood skills, employment and entrepreneurial opportunities

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs  
If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Capacity of women and youth enhanced to participate and engage in critical development issues, decision-making and peace building processes.**

2.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	<b>Indicator 2.1.1 Number of women and youth groups and CSOs leading community initiatives on peace and social cohesion enabled by the PBF project disaggregated by sex</b>	# of women's group = 0  # of youth groups = 0	# of women's group = 16  # of youth groups = 16	# of women's group = 9  # of youth groups = 7	# of women's group = 9  # of youth groups = 7	
2.1.2	<b>Indicator 2.1.2 Number of women and youth meaningfully participating in political and decision-making processes in their districts disaggregated by sex</b>	# of women = 0 # of youth male = 0 # of youth female = 0	# of women = 80 # of youth male = 80 # of youth female = 40	# of women = 98 # of youth male = 72 # of youth female = 30	# of women = 98 # of youth male = 72 # of youth female = 30	

2.1.3	Indicator 2.1.3 Proportion of women and youth candidates contesting for leadership and other decision-making positions in their Communities /Districts enabled by the PBF project.	Proportion of women = 0  Proportion of youth = 0	Proportion of women = 10  Proportion of youth = 10	Proportion of women = 7.8  Proportion of youth = 7.5	Proportion of women = 7.8  Proportion of youth = 7.5	
2.1.4						
2.1.5						

» Output 2.2: Women and youth groups/networks enabled to access livelihood skills, employment and entrepreneurial opportunities

2.2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	<b>Indicator 2.2.1</b> Number of private sector organizations engaged and providing financing options and business development support to youth and women led businesses.	# = 13	# = 18	# 11	# 11	
2.2.2	<b>Indicator 2.2.2</b> Number of women and youth accessing job and livelihood opportunities as a result of this project's intervention	# of women = 0  # of youth = 0	# of women = 150  # of youth = 150	# of women = 126  # of youth = 367 (111M, 256F)	# of women = 126  # of youth = 367 (111M, 256F)	
2.2.3						
2.2.4						

2.2.5						
-------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Output 2.3:

2.3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						
2.3.4						
2.3.5						



» Output 2.4:

2.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						
2.4.4						
2.4.5						

» Output 2.5:

2.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3: State actors and non-state actors positively collaborate through the use of early warning systems to prevent conflict

OC3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	<b>Indicator 3a</b>  Proportion of early action measures adopted to manage current and emerging conflict.	0%	30%	37.5%	37.5%	
3.2	<b>Indicator 3b</b> Level of satisfaction of community members (M/F) in the targeted communities on effectiveness of early warning and responsiveness mechanisms. Scale: 1. Not at all satisfied 2. Slightly satisfied. 3. Neutral 4. Satisfied 5. Very satisfied	Male = 3-Neutral  Female = 3-Neutral	Male = 4 – Satisfied  Female = 4 - Satisfied	Male = 4 – Satisfied  Female = 5 - Very satisfied	Male = 4 – Satisfied  Female = 5 - Very satisfied	

3.3						
3.4						
3.5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1

2

3

4

5

more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Security agencies and other relevant actors have enhanced capacities to respect human rights and foster peace and social cohesion

Output 3.2

Platforms for dialogues between relevant security agencies, justice sector actors and non-state actors (religious and traditional authorities, women and youth groups, media and civil society) enabled and facilitated for early response, peace and social cohesion.

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1: Security agencies and other relevant actors have enhanced capacities to respect human rights and foster peace and social cohesion**

3.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	<b>Indicator 3.1.1</b> <b>Number of reported peaceful engagements between security agency and target communities.</b>	# = 1	16 (At least 2 per districts)	93 engagements	93 engagements	
3.1.2						
3.1.3						
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» **Output 3.2: Platforms for dialogues between relevant security agencies, justice sector actors and non-state actors (religious and traditional authorities, women and youth groups, media and civil society) enabled and facilitated for early response, peace and social cohesion.**

3.2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1	<b>Indicator 3.2.1</b> <b>Percentage</b> <b>implementation of early</b> <b>warning action plans for</b> <b>conflict prevention per</b> <b>target community.</b>	0%	50%	58%	58%	
3.2.2	<b>Indicator 3.2.2</b> <b>Number of joint</b> <b>initiatives in the</b> <b>targeted district</b> <b>between youth</b> <b>organizations and</b> <b>security agencies per</b> <b>year to promote social</b> <b>cohesion.</b>	# = 1	16 (At least 2 per districts)	55	55	
3.2.3						
3.2.4						
3.2.5						

» Output 3.3:

3.3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						
3.3.4						
3.3.5						



» Output 3.4:

3.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						
3.4.4						
3.4.5						

» Output 3.5:

3.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						
3.5.4						
3.5.5						

» Outcome 4:

OC4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						
4.4						
4.5						
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?						
1      2      3      4      5      more than 5.						
Please list all outputs for outcome 4						
Output 4.1						
Output 4.2						

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

*If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here*

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4.1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» Output 4.2:

4.2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						
4.2.4						
4.2.5						

» Output 4.3:

4.3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						
4.3.4						
4.3.5						

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						
4.4.4						
4.4.5						



» Output 4.5:

4.5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
4.5.4						
4.5.5						
If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes						

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	3 Regional workshops on Partner and link local government institutions (Business Advisory Centers) with the private sector and CSOs to provide flexible financing options and business development support to catalyze investments for existing women and youth led businesses and identified local value chains including micro-saving initiatives (such as village savings and loan associations) to reduce vulnerability and exclusion.	June	Upper East Upper West North East	Private Sector GEPA GIPC Regional Coordinating Directors, Regional and District Planners, Directors of MMDA's, Security personnel, community members, women and youth group leaders, traditional and religious leaders	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Foster collaboration between local government authorities and the private sector to identify and capitalize on investment opportunities in the Upper East, Upper West, and North East regions.</li> <li>2. Create a platform for district assemblies to present their identified investment opportunities to private sector actors and CSOs, encouraging collaboration to unlock investment potential.</li> <li>3. Promote the investment potential of the regions to attract private sector investments in key sectors</li> <li>4. Support districts in improving resource mobilization and income generation through partnerships to unlock investment in strategic sectors at the local level.</li> </ol>
Event 2	Partner with District Assemblies to organise District Development Actors Forum (DDAF) to facilitate the inclusion of women and youth voices in the 4-Year Medium Term Development Plan for the District Assemblies	July & August	Upper East Upper West North East	Development planning officers Women leaders Youth leaders Traditional and Religious leaders	The District Development Actors Forum is intended to bring the voices, perspectives and aspirations of community members (women, youth etc.) in the development planning and budget at the local governance level. The purpose is also to ensure that development plans at the local governance level are inclusive, reflective of the aspirations of the people and ensure development within the context of peace and social cohesion.
Event 3					

<b>Event 4</b>					
----------------	--	--	--	--	--

### Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
<b>1</b>	Traditional Leader from FEO	Now a champion and advocate for civilian-security collaboration to prevent violent extremism through PBF dialogues with communities members and security agencies	'I used to hate the security personnel with passion and did not want to have anything to do with them. But due to the project objective of improving civilian-security collaboration to prevent violent extremism, I now love them because I understand their work and will continue to collaborate with them to fight crime in my community and Bongo District as a whole". —Agambolka Felicia, Queen mother, Feo Community

2	Community Beneficiary Dorimon	Community champion and advocate of peace and social cohesion	Madam Mariam, 40 year old mother of three, who is a women's group leader in Dorimon(in the Upper West region) has been an instrumental part of the PBF Project, providing support and education to the women groups after each training and capacity building session. She ensures that, the women groups now involve the Fulbes in their meetings and have encouraged them (Fulbes) to join in their numbers. This singular action has improved the peaceful coexistence between the community members and the Fulbes.
3	Community beneficiary	Early warning community vigilante	Through the vigilance of Mr. Kantam Damba, early signs of displacement in Naalong due to a farmland dispute between the Danduri and Nalong clans were promptly reported to traditional authorities. The chiefs responded swiftly by engaging both clans in dialogue, building building trust and securing a commitment to peaceful coexistence. Their continued mediation, supported by regular patrols from the Police and National Intelligence Bureau (NIB), successfully defused tensions and restored community stability.
4	Local community group (Yonyoo peace ladies)	Positive changes in gender discriminatory norms and attitudes	"The chief of the community also demonstrated his support for the young women football club. The chief on a number of occasion released the basic school football to the young women each time they needed a ball to train and their male counter parts were in possession of the football." – Sophia, a leader of the hair dressers association

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

*Please limit your response to 4000 characters.*

**“The security situation has improved significantly from 2023 to date. In 2023 the Bongo district recorded 124 criminal cases. In 2024 we recorded 484 cases. These included assault, Domestic violence. The increase in the number of cases is because civilians are becoming aware of their right and how to report crime. We now have both spouses reporting on incidence on domestic violence. Which used not to be the case. I want to thank the funder for their intervention and especially for this forum. I will communicate the concerns and recommendations to my command, and we will support to implement the proposed action” Quarshie Victor Ghana police service Bongo district command**

**“I am living a dream as owner of 3 goats. Ever since I was born, I have never had an opportunity to rear animals, though that has been my passion and vision for my future. Over the years, I have suffered the consequences of conflict here in Wenchiki. My family members often lost their farm produce, always living in fear and with no sustainable source of livelihood. As a result of the good works of HOLIDO, I received 2 pregnant goats to rear as my own. Fortunately for me, one of them delivered a female goat. I am expecting the other nanny goat to deliver in the coming weeks. I am so excited that I now own 3 nanny goats, thanks to HOLIDO and UNDP. I will commit to creating awareness on peacebuilding so that I do not lose my source of livelihood and joy through conflict again. I appreciate the support from HOLIDO and their supporters and promised to keep these animals well so that in a year time, I can give out 2 goats to someone else in the community in order for other people in the community to also gain a livelihood”. (Sulemana Hawa, 39 years old Beneficiary from Wenchiki)**

**‘Now, several women in our community are allowed to own land and undertake farming activities, unlike before when they were denied inheritance to their late husband’s farmlands if they did not have a male child’**

**‘There is improved interaction between residents and the Fulbe community, helping to demistify the myths and misconceptions surrounding the people from the migrant communities’**

**We now have bye-laws that ban child marriage, FGM and child labour. So, parents that allow their underage children to engage in illegal mining (galamsey) for intance are summoned and the laws are helping to end a lot of the problems in the community’**

**Monika John Kofi, 40 years old physically challenged man from Bunkpurugu in Bunkpurugu-Nakpanduri District. 10 dependents**

**“Disability is not Inability.” Says Mr. Kofi, the only shoe manufacturer in Bunkpurugu. “I am a physically challenged person and a shoemaker. I have been repairing faulty sandals and manufacturing new sandals at a smaller scale. I used to manufacture about 3 pair of sandals in a week due to lack of capital to buy the materials needed for production. Through this project support, I have been able to expand my production to at least 10 pairs of sandals a week in addition to repair of faulty sandals. I now have 2 apprentices who are also physically challenged. These two apprentices will be able to gain a livelihood after completion and reduce burden on their families thanks to this project. The project has empowered him not only as a business owner but also as a mentor, contributing to youth employment and skills development in his community. “Before the intervention, my boys had to wait on me when I travel to work because I go with the essential tools, but now they can work in my absence, and this has increased my income.” Thanks to the project, Linus has strengthened his business and now trains six apprentices, adding one more to his team.**

**Transition from illegal mining to sustainable livelihood: One beneficiary, formerly engaged in illegal mining (galamsey), has successfully transitioned to a legitimate trade in welding through the support of the project. He shared that he is currently the only welder in his community and surrounding areas, providing essential fabrication services and serving as a role model for other young people seeking sustainable alternatives to illegal mining.**

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1 <b>OPTIONAL</b>  CHEREPONI LEN-UNFPA PHOTO STORY-11_0_39.pdf	
File 2 <b>OPTIONAL</b>  GENDER (2) Referral Pathways-11_0_50.pdf	
File 3 <b>OPTIONAL</b>  Link to impact stories-UNDP AND UNFPA-11_1_0.docx	
You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project <b>OPTIONAL</b>	
Link 1 <b>OPTIONAL</b> <a href="https://gna.org.gh/2025/02/bongo-women-youth-receive-start-up-kits-to-build-resilience-against-extremism/">https://gna.org.gh/2025/02/bongo-women-youth-receive-start-up-kits-to-build-resilience-against-extremism/</a>	
Link 2 <b>OPTIONAL</b> <a href="https://channel1news.com/2025/06/07/n-e-un-govt-launch-peace-building-project-to-improve-service-delivery/?noamp=available">https://channel1news.com/2025/06/07/n-e-un-govt-launch-peace-building-project-to-improve-service-delivery/?noamp=available</a>	
Link 3 <b>OPTIONAL</b> <a href="https://gna.org.gh/2025/10/cdd-ghana-rise-ghana-equip-women-youth-to-participate-in-local-governance/">https://gna.org.gh/2025/10/cdd-ghana-rise-ghana-equip-women-youth-to-participate-in-local-governance/</a>	

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

\*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☒ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

### Who are we working with

\*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☒ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

### Please explain

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters*



## Leave No one Behind

---

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative

\*

### *Mandatory*

- ☒ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☒ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Children
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☒ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

## PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

### » Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**During the reporting period, the following key monitoring activities were undertaken to assess the progress and impact of the livelihood equipment handing over and training program aimed at enhancing gender-responsive participatory planning and budgeting at the local government level**

**Conducted a monitoring visit to Bumkprugu in the North-east Region: Interacted with beneficiaries of livelihood equipment projects to monitor the implementation and assess the tangible impact of gender-responsive initiatives on the ground.**

**A monitoring mission was conducted to supervise the review and finalization of Investment Development Strategies for identifying and attracting investment opportunity for revenue mobilization of Selected MMDAs in North East, Upper East and Upper West regions**

**A monitoring visit to Zini, Widnaba and Sapilliga**

**A monitoring mission was conducted in upper west, upper east and North East to monitor and assess the progress of livelihood and peacebuilding interventions including engagement with community peace committees. The team also monitored ongoing activities implemented by partners on Capacity building training for identified women and youth local political activists on local governance and issue based electoral campaign processes.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available.

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Baseline was conducted and a perception survey has been completed**

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*

**Activity reports monitoring reports and perception survey**

<p>Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys</p> <p><b>Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information <a href="#">link</a></b></p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	*
<p>Please provide a brief description</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p> <p><b>Perception survey completed</b></p>	*
<p>Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?</p> <p><b>Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. <a href="#">link</a></b></p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	*
<p>Please provide a brief description</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p> <p><b>Women and youth voices in local and customary governance structures have been strengthened through the creation of innovative civil society platforms. One key initiative is the District Development Actors Forum (DDAF), which serves as a space for community stakeholders—particularly women and youth—and local government actors to engage in dialogue. The forum addresses negative gender norms, stereotypes, and intergenerational tensions while promoting inclusive decision-making through “My District, Our Development” sessions. These sessions operate at two levels: Community spaces and youth cafés, which foster direct interaction and problem-solving. Radio programs, which amplify issues of concern across communities and districts for the attention of duty bearers. Additionally, communities have developed action plans leveraging capacities built through the project’s social accountability networks and support groups. These plans provide structured roadmaps to tackle local challenges and advance community-driven accountability initiatives.</b></p>	*

## » Evaluation

<p>Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Not Applicable</p>	*
<p>Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget:</p> <p><i>Response required</i></p> <p>60000</p>	*

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

☒ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations  
*Please limit your response to 3000 characters.*  
**TOR prepared and under review by technical teams of UNDP and UNFPA**

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	JENNIFER ASUAKO	UNDP	M&E ANALYST	jennifer.asuako@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? \*

☒ Yes  
☐ No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? \*

4

<p>If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project <b>since it started</b>, as well as specifically <b>during this reporting period</b></p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>	
<p>Name of Funder *</p> <p><b>US State Department</b></p>	
<p>Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>400000</p>	
<p>Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>200000</p>	
<p>If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project <b>since it started</b>, as well as specifically <b>during this reporting period</b></p> <p><i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i></p>	
<p>Name of Funder *</p> <p><b>Government of Japan under the Prevention facility</b></p>	
<p>Amount mobilized since project's start (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>350000</p>	
<p>Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD) *</p> <p><i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i></p> <p>150000</p>	

2

3

If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project <b>since it started</b> , as well as specifically <b>during this reporting period</b> <i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i>	
.....	
Name of Funder	*
UNDP Funding window for women funded by Denmark, Luxemborg and South Korea	
.....	
Amount mobilized since project's start (USD)	*
<i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i>	
300000	
.....	
Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD)	*
<i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i>	
300000	
.....	
4	
If yes, please indicate name of all funding agencies and respective amounts of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project <b>since it started</b> , as well as specifically <b>during this reporting period</b> <i>Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately</i>	
.....	
Name of Funder	*
SALIENT FUND	
.....	
Amount mobilized since project's start (USD)	*
<i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i>	
700000	
.....	
Amount mobilized during reporting period (USD)	*
<i>Please use a dot (.) as decimal separator, instead of a comma (,)</i>	
350000	
.....	

<p>Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information.</p> <p> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes         <input type="radio"/> No       </p>	*	
<p>If yes, please select the relevant option below:</p> <p> <input type="radio"/> Some catalytic effect         <input checked="" type="radio"/> Significant catalytic effect       </p>	*	
<p>If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p> <p><b>The PBF project continued to have catalytic effect on the ongoing national process to develop Ghana's National Action Plan for Youth Peace and Security (YPS). The process which was initiated by the Ministry of Youth and Sports then with the National Youth Authority and supported by UNFPA, has been continued. Under the new government, a new ministry for youth; Ministry of Youth Development and Empowerment, has supported the agenda to develop the YPS NAP with the new leadership of the National Youth Authority fully supporting the processes. UNFPA has continued to provide financial and technical support along with other partners such as Kofi Annan International Peace Keeping Training Center, GIZ, and the EU Commission. UNDP and UN Agencies are also providing technical support to ensure the NAP development is comprehensive and all encompassing. Resources, platforms and systems set-up, activated and strengthened through the PBF Project in Northern Ghana remain critical for supporting the YPS NAP process including engagement of security services, youth and women networks in the consultations and YPS plan implementation. The NAP development, which is scheduled to be completed November this year, will present a significant opportunity to carry forward important lessons from the PBF project, particularly in the areas of engagement of young people as peace builders and mediators of conflict in their communities.</b></p>		*

## Sustainability

<p>Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p> <p><b>The project is also leveraging on national systems and structures to ensure that the results achieved will be mainstreamed in the existing structures for long term effect. Consequently, the focus is on using more national implementing partners such as the National Peace Council, National Development Planning Commission, and Regional Coordinating Councils who are already in charge of the development agenda and peace dividend in the regions of implementation. The use of local CSOs operating in project areas for the livelihood programs in the long term will help to sustain the interventions and also monitoring together with the local government authorities.</b></p>	*
<p>Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters.</i></p>	

## Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.  
Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Steering Committee meeting	Coordination and building partnerships on the ground	Workplan 2025 was reviewed and approved, NO-COST EXTENSION was also recommended for the project.
Event 2	Steering Committee meeting	Coordination and building partnerships on the ground	2025 progress report review and the need to develop a phase II for the project to ensure sustainability on some key activities
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			



Event 8			
<b>Final Steps</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the <i>Printer</i> icon on the top right corner of the page.</li><li>• A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.</li><li>• Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.</li><li>• (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)</li><li>• After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.</li><li>• In compliance with our reporting requirements, <b>please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your <i>financial report in excel format</i> to the MPTF-O Gateway.</b></li></ul> <p><i>If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui <a href="mailto:gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org">gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org</a></i></p>			
Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.			