

PBF November 2025 Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
 Annual
 Final
 Other

Date of submission of report *

2025-11-15

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Serge Desire Lenaud (Program Support Officer)

E-mail of person submitting the report *

slenaud@iom.int

Name and title of person who approved the report *

John Dennis (PBF Coordinator)

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

Yes

No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

Yes

No

Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

Yes No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

<input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific	<input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa	<input type="radio"/> East Africa
<input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia	<input type="radio"/> Global	<input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean
<input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa	<input type="radio"/> West Africa	

Country of project implementation *

Other, please specify *

.....

Project Title *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

.....

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

- 00130614/5/6: AILP : Appui aux Initiatives Locales de promotion de la Paix
- 00128878/9: Consolider la Cohésion Sociale transfrontalière entre la Côte d'Ivoire et la Guinée pour une meilleure compréhension et anticipation des risques et le renforcement de la confiance et de la collaboration entre les acteurs locaux
- 00119702/3: Cross border engagement between Cote d'Ivoire and Liberia to reinforce social cohesion and border security – Phase II
- 00120162/4/5: Promotion d'une transhumance pacifique dans la région du Liptako-Gourma
- 00129587/8: Renforcer la gouvernance des zones frontalières pour consolider la cohésion sociale et prévenir les conflits
- 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal
- 00140260_1_2: Programme d'appui à la prévention des conflits et de l'extrémisme violent dans les zones frontalières du Bénin du Burkina Faso et du Togo Phase 2
- 00119957_8: Femmes et gestion des conflits liés aux ressources naturelles
- 00133730_1: Projet transfrontalier d'appui au renforcement de la sécurité communautaire à la gestion et la prévention des conflits liés à la transhumance et la gestion des ressources naturelles
- 00140187_8: Projet relatif à la promotion de la gouvernance transfrontalière inclusive et au renforcement de la résilience des populations en vue d'atténuer les risques sécuritaires dans les espaces frontalie
- 00140412_3_4_5: Promoting CSO-led peacebuilding initiatives in the Lake Chad Basin sub-region
- 00140380_1: PBF/IRF-532: Appui à la prévention des conflits et renforcement de la résilience économique des communautés transfrontalières de Guinée & du Mali
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

00141025 & 00141026: GOLLA-REAP – Resilience, Empowerment, Access and Peacebuilding along Liberia and Sierra Leone border

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

- Benin
- Burkina Faso
- Cote D'Ivoire
- Gambia
- Ghana
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Liberia
- Mali
- Mauritania
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Senegal
- Sierra Leone
- Togo
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2025-01-29

Project End Date *

2027-07-21

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- Yes
- No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> January | <input type="radio"/> February | <input type="radio"/> March |
| <input type="radio"/> April | <input type="radio"/> May | <input type="radio"/> June |
| <input type="radio"/> July | <input type="radio"/> August | <input type="radio"/> September |
| <input type="radio"/> October | <input type="radio"/> November | <input type="radio"/> December |

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- Yes
- No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
 Yes, other UN recipients only
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTED | <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid UK | <input type="checkbox"/> AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> AEDE | <input type="checkbox"/> African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Ayuda en Accion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network | <input type="checkbox"/> BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conexion Guatemala | <input type="checkbox"/> COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> Corporacion Sisma Mujer | <input type="checkbox"/> CRS - Catholic Relief Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> Danish Refugee Council | <input type="checkbox"/> EQUITAS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | <input type="checkbox"/> ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | <input type="checkbox"/> Integrity Watch | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> International Rescue Committee | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation | <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MLAL - ProgettoMondo | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| <input type="checkbox"/> NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy) | <input type="checkbox"/> Nonviolent Peaceforce | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti | <input type="checkbox"/> OIKOS | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul | <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct | <input type="checkbox"/> Plan International | <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | <input type="checkbox"/> ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Save the Children | <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SOS Sahel Sudan | <input type="checkbox"/> Stichting Impunity Watch | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> War Child |
| <input type="checkbox"/> War Childhood Museum (WCM) | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> blank_placeholder | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify |

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;

for November reports: January-December (anticipated);

for final reports: full project duration)

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in full US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
IOM: International Organization for Migration	* 2405602	* 1683922	* 653074.61	27.15%
	*	*	*	%

TOTAL	4000000	2800000	1343477.61	33.5 9%
--------------	----------------	----------------	-------------------	--------------------

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **33.59%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

40

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1600000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 537391.04**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF_X Border_SL_LR_Budget_Progress Report_15 November 2025-15_27_13.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

Yes

No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Over the last six months, the Committee met, on 3 October 2025 in Monrovia, Liberia, marking the third meeting since the project's launch on 27 February 2025. The session co-chaired by the Ministers of Internal Affairs of Liberia and Sierra Leone, together with the UN Resident Coordinators of both countries, with participation from the Peacebuilding Office, RCOs /PBF Secretariats, IOM, WFP, and national counterparts, including local government representatives and implementing civil society partners from both countries.

Main recommendations and action points:

- **Strengthen cross-border collaboration and joint activities between Liberia and Sierra Leone, ensuring synergy and inclusivity.**
- **Enhance communication and visibility of the project, including regular project updates (monthly or quarterly newsletters, video documentaries, and human-interest stories).**
- **Share project documents and results summaries with Steering Committee members at least one week before meetings and provide printed copies during sessions.**
- **Promote inclusivity and sustainability, with greater participation of law enforcement agencies, local peace and security infrastructures, and traditional authorities in implementation.**
- **Link the project's M&E framework with local government and council mechanisms to strengthen accountability and ownership.**
- **Explore carbon credit training opportunities as part of a long-term resource mobilization strategy.**
- **Review and present a clear exit strategy at the next Steering Committee meeting.**
- **Schedule the next JSC meeting for 10 April 2026 in Foya, Lofa County (Liberia).**

The JSC reaffirmed strong government ownership, with the Ministry of Internal Affairs of both Liberia and Sierra Leone committing to lead coordination efforts nationally and through their respective local structures.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The GOLLA-REAP project has sustained strong collaboration with government stakeholders in Liberia and Sierra Leone, ensuring national ownership, technical alignment, and community participation.

Liberia

National Level:

The Ministry of Internal Affairs (MIA) led coordination and co-chaired the Joint Steering Committee (JSC) with UN Resident Coordinators. On 3 October 2025, Liberia and Sierra Leone jointly chaired the third JSC meeting in Monrovia, reviewing progress, challenges, and sustainability measures. Other key institutions included the Forestry Development Authority (FDA), Liberia Immigration Service (LIS), Peacebuilding Office (PBO), and Ministry of Agriculture, aligning efforts with Liberia's ARREST Agenda and related policies.

Technical Level:

The Deputy Minister for Research and Development Planning at MIA, supported by FDA, LIS, and PBO, guided planning, risk assessments, and inter-agency coordination. The Ministry of Agriculture partnered with WFP to oversee livelihood and resilience activities, ensuring alignment with food security and climate priorities. In June, a peace structure mapping and capacity assessment was conducted with PBO, FDA, LIS, and local leaders, identifying gaps in community peacebuilding and improving ranger and border authority communication in Grand Cape Mount and Gbarpolu.

Local Level:

From 11–16 May 2025, IOM and WFP, with MIA, FDA, LIS, PBO, and civil society partners (SCNL and TDS Liberia), held community inception meetings in Grand Cape Mount and Gbarpolu. County superintendents, district commissioners, traditional leaders, and women/youth representatives discussed project goals and peace priorities, reinforcing local ownership.

Sierra Leone

National Level:

The Ministry of Internal Affairs (MIA-SL) provided leadership under an MoU with IOM Sierra Leone. A Senior Technical Advisor coordinated inter-ministerial engagement. On 24 September 2025, the Ministry convened the first National Technical Committee meeting, approving Terms of Reference and confirming participation of Talking Drum Studio and Conservation Society of Sierra Leone.

Technical Level:

Partnerships with the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security led to agreements in Kenema and Pujehun to support 20 farmer-based organizations. WFP and IOM introduced performance-based financing and a Community Youth Contractor scheme to enhance youth engagement and peaceful coexistence in border areas.

Local Level:

From 24–27 June 2025, inception meetings were held in Zimmi (Makpele Chiefdom, Pujehun) and Nomo Darma Chiefdom (Kenema) with district councils, paramount chiefs, and community stakeholders. On 2 October 2025, a community dialogue in Zimmi addressed cross-border issues like irregular migration and trafficking, followed by a radio outreach in Bo led by the Resident Coordinator, IOM, WFP, and partners.

Cross-Border Engagement:

The project supported joint events, including the International Peace Day celebration in Bamballa, Liberia (19 September 2025), with participation from national institutions and community stakeholders from both countries.

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Since its launch on 27 February 2025, the project has moved from preparatory activities to full implementation in Liberia and Sierra Leone, focusing on peacebuilding, environmental protection, and climate-smart agriculture.

1. Contracting of Partners

• **Liberia:** Agreements finalized with SCNL and TDS Liberia under IOM, and GERAD and WHH under WFP. Partners are fully mobilized in Grand Cape Mount and Gbarpolu counties, leading community engagement and livelihood activities.

• **Sierra Leone:** IOM partnered with TDS-SL and CSSL, and signed MoUs with the Ministry of Internal Affairs. WFP partnered with the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security (MAFS) in Kenema and Pujehun, reinforcing government ownership in agricultural planning.

2. Staff Recruitment

• **Liberia:** IOM recruited a Field Assistant and has a Programme Support Officer ensuring coordination with WFP, government, and UN offices. WFP Liberia fully onboarded its project team for oversight and technical support.

• **Sierra Leone:** IOM onboarded a Senior Project Assistant; recruitment for Project Support Officer is pending. WFP Sierra Leone has its full team in place for coordination and supervision.

3. Baseline Data Collection & Beneficiary Identification

Baseline survey fieldwork is complete; validation ends by November 2025. Preliminary data informs planning, though some indicators remain "N/A" until formal endorsement.

• Liberia:

o 30 communities mapped; 20 selected for livelihoods (10 per county).

o 1,000 youth (506 male, 494 female) registered in WFP SCOPE, organized into 20 Farmers' Organizations (50 members each).

o Cultivation underway on 100 hectares (5 ha per group).

o All 30 communities benefit from peacebuilding and forest management activities.

• Sierra Leone:

o 24 communities identified; 20 selected for livelihoods (10 per chiefdom).

o 1,000 beneficiaries (40% women, 60% men) organized into 20 Farmers' Organizations.

o 100 hectares of Inland Valley Swamps developed with MAFS for women and youth groups.

o All 24 communities engaged in peacebuilding and forest management interventions.

The project demonstrates strong inter-agency coordination, government engagement, and inclusive targeting, ensuring both livelihood and broader community resilience impacts across border and forest-edge communities in Liberia and Sierra Leone.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan?

Yes

No

If no, please provide an explanation

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project has remained integrated within government systems since its launch on 27 February 2025, with the Ministries of Internal Affairs of Liberia and Sierra Leone co-chairing alongside UN Resident Coordinators. Leadership has been maintained through three Joint Steering Committee (JSC) meetings, the latest in October 2025 in Monrovia. Both countries have operationalized technical coordination mechanisms meeting quarterly, while local committees are being established to unite authorities, border actors, rangers, women, and youth for inclusive planning. This three-tier governance structure fosters trust, coherence, and shared ownership across the Liberia–Sierra Leone border.

Following a Human Rights Due Diligence (HRDD) assessment by IOM in March 2025, the project integrated a risk-management framework into planning, requiring compliance checks before engaging with border and forest institutions. Though equipment distribution has not begun, HRDD findings shaped operational decisions, ensuring verification of mandates and accountability standards. National human-rights commissions now participate in joint field engagements and review meetings, strengthening oversight and transparency.

Through a consultative process, 54 border and forest-edge communities (30 Liberia, 24 Sierra Leone) were validated as project sites during inception meetings in May and June 2025. These meetings engaged 162 representatives (82 Liberia, 80 Sierra Leone) to align priorities and roles, reinforcing community ownership and transparency. A capacity and peace-structure assessment in June 2025 across 16 Liberian border communities revealed limited operational capacity and the need to reactivate peace mechanisms; Sierra Leone's assessment will conclude by year-end.

Between August and October 2025, a Gender Perception Survey across 15 Liberian communities engaged 366 participants (208 women, 158 men), showing increased participation of women and youth but persistent cultural and land-access barriers. Findings will inform joint gender action plans and training. A similar survey in Sierra Leone is pending. A Baseline Study covering 23 communities and 252 households established reference points for peacebuilding and resource governance, revealing moderate trust but livelihood gaps.

On 19 September 2025, over 150 community members celebrated the International Day of Peace in Bamballa—the first cross-border event of its kind—demonstrating strengthened collaboration. The project also gained visibility at Geneva Peace Week 2025, (Liberia Shines At Geneva Peace Week – The News Newspaper Liberia) where Talking Drum Studio Liberia shared lessons on translating HRDD into community-based peacebuilding.

The resilience and livelihood component, led by WFP and partners, is advancing food security and social cohesion. In Liberia, 20 communities in Gbarpolu and Grand Cape Mount Counties now work collectively on land previously disputed, following land-use agreements. 1,000 participants (506 men, 494 women) are organized into 20 Farmers-Based Organizations (FBOs), applying climate-smart practices across 68 hectares of cultivated land. Youth volunteers serve as Farmer Field School facilitators, while committees manage shared tools to ensure accountability.

In Sierra Leone, 20 communities in Pujehun and Kenema Districts are similarly mobilized into FBOs, jointly cultivating 100 hectares of inland valley swamps. 1,000 participants (400 women, 600 men) benefit from training and cash-based transfers via Ecobank, improving income stability and reinvestment in farming. Lead farmers facilitate peer learning to strengthen local expertise.

Early observations indicate growing cooperation in natural-resource management, with youth exploring alternatives to mining and hunting, and women assuming leadership roles within FBOs. Joint cultivation is fostering collective responsibility and social connection, signaling early progress toward social cohesion, local governance confidence, and peace dividends in Gola Forest border communities.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Gender equality and youth inclusion remain central to the GOLLA-REAP project's approach to peacebuilding and resilience. Building on its inception commitments, the project continues to apply a minimum 40% women's participation threshold across all activities. As of October 2025, women represent 49.4 percent of the 1,000 participants in Liberia and 40 percent of the 1,000 participants in Sierra Leone, organized through Farmers-Based Organizations (FBOs). Women now occupy leadership and committee roles in at least one-third of these groups, while youth are increasingly represented across project structures, serving as Farmer Field School facilitators in Liberia and Community Youth Contractors in Sierra Leone, actively contributing to capacity-building and local coordination.

To consolidate these gains, a Gender Sensitivity Action Plan guides sex-disaggregated data collection, participation quotas, and mitigation measures addressing barriers such as childcare responsibilities and limited time availability. Complementing this, a Gender Perception Survey conducted between August and October 2025 across 15 border and forest-edge communities in Liberia (366 respondents, 208 Females, 158 Males) established a gender and protection baseline, identifying both opportunities and persistent inequalities. Findings revealed growing recognition of women's and youth's roles in peace and governance, yet continuing gaps in access to land and decision-making. These insights are shaping Community Engagement Plans in both countries to strengthen transparency, accountability, and inclusive local leadership.

At the institutional level, the project has integrated Human Rights Due Diligence (HRDD) measures to ensure that forthcoming equipment support and cooperation with border and forest authorities comply with UN standards and embed gender- and rights-sensitive principles, promoting accountability and the protection of vulnerable and marginalized groups.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

Yes

No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

Yes

No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Strengthened cross-border collaboration between communities, rangers, and border authorities leads to improved cross-border and Gola landscape management

Outcome 2: *

Communities' resilience is strengthened through inclusive forest management and youth driven climate-smart agriculture livelihood support

Outcome 3: *

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
 - Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)
-

» Outcome 1: Strengthened cross-border collaboration between communities, rangers, and border authorities leads to improved cross-border and Gola landscape management

O C 1	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1. 1	Prevalence of illegal activities and encroachments reported along the Sierra Leone-Liberia border and within the cross-border regions of the Gola landscape as a result of improved collaboration between communities, rangers, and border authorities within the project duration	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	0	0	
1. 2	# of management objectives of the MOU between the Government of the Republic of Sierra Leone and the Government of the Republic of Liberia on the management of the Great Gola Transboundary Peace Park implemented	0	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	0	0	

1. 3	% of local community representatives, rangers and border authorities reporting increased trust and collaboration between local communities, rangers and border authorities (disaggregated by gender and youth)	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	0	0	
1. 4	% of key provisions of the Sierra Leone-Liberia MOU on the cooperation in the management of the Greater Gola Transboundary Peace Park implemented effectively within the project duration.	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	0	0	
1. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Collaboration and trust for cross border management and Gola landscape conservation is enhanced through exchanges, coordination and joint initiatives between communities, rangers, and border authorities, alongside concerted efforts at the national level.

Output 1.2

Communities, local leaders, and border authorities are empowered for peaceful conflict resolution on land access and natural resource management.

Output 1.3

Community participation and cross-border cooperation are fostered for sustainable resource management and increased social cohesion

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Collaboration and trust for cross border management and Gola landscape conservation is enhanced through exchanges, coordination and joint initiatives between communities, rangers, and border authorities, alongside concerted efforts at the national level.

1. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 1. 1	# of formal agreements signed between national and local authorities in Liberia and Sierra Leone explicitly supporting the application of the section of the ECOWAS Protocol on the Free Movement of Persons, focusing on the Free Movement of Personnel assigned to work in the forest.	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	0	0	

1. 1. 2	# of transparency and accountability mechanisms implemented by border and forest management authorities, as identified through the gender-sensitive assessment.	0	2	0	0	
1. 1. 3	# of Action plans developed to improve transparency, accountability, and community participation in border and forest management	0	2	0	0	
1. 1. 4	% of rangers and border officials that express that material support eased their mobility, communication and information sharing challenge	N/A	75%	0	0	
1. 1. 5	# of communities that started to implement community policing activities	0	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	0	0	

» **Output 1.2: Communities, local leaders, and border authorities are empowered for peaceful conflict resolution on land access and natural resource management.**

1. 2.	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 2. 1	# of trained individuals through the cascading training program by the end of the project (gender and age disaggregated)	0	120	0	0	
1. 2. 2	% of trained technical ministry staff who can provide concrete examples of how their new skills and knowledge were successfully applied in their work	0	70%	0	0	
1. 2. 3	# of dispute resolutions facilitated by the supported local peace mechanisms within the project duration	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	0	0	

1. 2. 4						
1. 2. 5						

» **Output 1.3: Community participation and cross-border cooperation are fostered for sustainable resource management and increased social cohesion**

1. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 3. 1	# of best practices, lessons learned, and experiences related to sustainable resource management implemented by stakeholders following the forums.	0	4	0	0	
1. 3. 2	% of community members perceptions and attitudes towards sustainable resource management and cross-border collaboration following the sensitization and awareness campaigns conducted via radio and educational materials, (disaggregated by country, age, gender and stakeholder groups).	0	75%	0	0	

1. 3. 3	of cultural and sport initiatives organized for cross-border community engagement. Participation rates in these initiatives from both sides of the border	0	60%	1 (Joint International Day of Peace celebration in Bamballa, Sept 2025) (53 Female, 118 Male)	1 (Joint International Day of Peace celebration in Bamballa, Sept 2025) (53 Female, 118 Male)	First joint Peace Day celebration successfully organized, providing an early milestone for community cooperation and trust-building
1. 3. 4	% of community representatives that report that CBOs-led trust-building initiatives have contributed to increase social cohesion and sustaining peace along the border area (disaggregated by gender, age and country)	0	60%	0	0	Assessment report to be conducted following the 2026 cycle of CBO-led activities and community dialogues.
1. 3. 5						

» Output 1.4:

1. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 4. 1						
1. 4. 2						
1. 4. 3						
1. 4. 4						
1. 4. 5						

» Output 1.5:

1. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1. 5. 1						
1. 5. 2						
1. 5. 3						
1. 5. 4						
1. 5. 5						

» **Outcome 2: Communities' resilience is strengthened through inclusive forest management and youth driven climate-smart agriculture livelihood support**

O C 2	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2. 1	% of disempowered young women and men with increased monetary or non-monetary benefits from climate-smart activities by the end of the project duration (disaggregated by age, gender and country)	N/A	70%	0	0	Measurement planned for 2026 following completion of initial livelihood cycles and household- income assessments.
2. 2	% of local communities expressing perceived changes in the involvement of disempowered youth in illicit activities (disaggregated by gender, age and country)	N/A	70%	0	0	Assessment scheduled for 2026 through perception surveys and participatory community monitoring.

2. 3	% reduction in conflicts within and between communities due to inclusive and sustainable management of community forests (disaggregated by country/ cross-border, women and youth involvement)	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	30%	0	0	Indicator will be measured post-baseline validation; conflict-tracking mechanisms to be activated in 2026.
---------	--	---	-----	---	---	--

2. 4						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

2. 5						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Disempowered youth are empowered, socio-economic resilience built, and natural resource management enhanced through climate-smart food production systems

Output 2.2

Communities are empowered to manage community forests more inclusively and sustainably

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 2.1: Disempowered youth are empowered, socio-economic resilience built, and natural resource management enhanced through climate-smart food production systems**

2. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 1. 1	# of disempowered youth (including 1/3 of women minimum) working on 40 IVSs within the project duration (disaggregated by age, gender and country)	0	2500	2,000 (1,000 Liberia - 494Female/506Male), 1,000 Sierra Leone - 400F/600M)	2000 (894 Female, 1106 Male)	
2. 1. 2	% of disempowered youth expressing a perceived impact of FBOs on fostering a sense of collective responsibility and social integration among them (disaggregated by gender, age and country)	0	80%	0	0	Survey planned for 2026 to capture perception changes after first production cycle.

2. 1. 3	# of disempowered youth who can provide concrete examples of how their new skills and knowledge were successfully applied over the duration of the project (disaggregated by age, gender and country) 0	0	75%	60 (26 female and 34 male) age between 21-35	60 (26 female and 34 male) age between 21-35	Measurement scheduled for 2026 through perception survey.
2. 1. 4	# of agricultural technical support and maintenance activities conducted by the 40 trained Community Youth Contractors within the targeted communities over the project duration (disaggregated by country, gender and age)	0	80	14	14	Additional activities planned for 2026 as cropping and maintenance cycles advance.
2. 1. 5	% of disempowered youth expressing perceived financial security and investment opportunities improvement by the end of the project (disaggregated by country/ community, age and gender)	0	70%	0	0	To be determined by End project survey

» Output 2.2: Communities are empowered to manage community forests more inclusively and sustainably

2. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 2. 1	% increase in community members' awareness of the environmental rights, responsibilities, and sustainable practices within Gola forest-edge communities (disaggregated by country, age, gender)	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	0	0	Implementation planned for next reporting cycle per work plan.
2. 2. 2	# of women and youth actively participating in community-based resource managements structures	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	Yet to be determined pending baseline study	0	0	Implementation planned for next reporting cycle per work plan.
2. 2. 3	# of community-driven mapping and demarcation conducted	0	40	0	0	Implementation planned for next reporting cycle per work plan.

2. 2. 4	# of successful carbon activities implemented within the project duration (disaggregated by country)	0	40	0	0	Implementation planned for next reporting cycle per work plan.
2. 2. 5	% of community representatives engaged in carbon trading, highlighting increased confidence, enhanced collaborative efforts and application of shared knowledge in inclusive forest management (disaggregated by country, age, gender)	0	70%	0	0	Implementation planned for next reporting cycle per work plan.

» Output 2.3:

2. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 3. 1						
2. 3. 2						
2. 3. 3						
2. 3. 4						
2. 3. 5						

» Output 2.4:

2. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 4. 1						
2. 4. 2						
2. 4. 3						
2. 4. 4						
2. 4. 5						

» Output 2.5:

2. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2. 5. 1						
2. 5. 2						
2. 5. 3						
2. 5. 4						
2. 5. 5						

» Outcome 3:

O C 3	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3. 1						
3. 2						
3. 3						
3. 4						
3. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 3.1:**

3. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 1. 1						
3. 1. 2						
3. 1. 3						
3. 1. 4						
3. 1. 5						

» Output 3.2:

3. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 2. 1						
3. 2. 2						
3. 2. 3						
3. 2. 4						
3. 2. 5						

» Output 3.3:

3. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 3. 1						
3. 3. 2						
3. 3. 3						
3. 3. 4						
3. 3. 5						

» Output 3.4:

3. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 4. 1						
3. 4. 2						
3. 4. 3						
3. 4. 4						
3. 4. 5						

» Output 3.5:

3. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3. 5. 1						
3. 5. 2						
3. 5. 3						
3. 5. 4						
3. 5. 5						

» Outcome 4:

O C 4	Outcome Indicator s	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4. 1						
4. 2						
4. 3						
4. 4						
4. 5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» **Output 4.1:**

4. 1	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 1. 1						
4. 1. 2						
4. 1. 3						
4. 1. 4						
4. 1. 5						

» Output 4.2:

4. 2	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 2. 1						
4. 2. 2						
4. 2. 3						
4. 2. 4						
4. 2. 5						

» Output 4.3:

4. 3	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 3. 1						
4. 3. 2						
4. 3. 3						
4. 3. 4						
4. 3. 5						

» Output 4.4:

4. 4	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 4. 1						
4. 4. 2						
4. 4. 3						
4. 4. 4						
4. 4. 5						

» Output 4.5:

4. 5	Output Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress since project's start <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4. 5. 1						
4. 5. 2						
4. 5. 3						
4. 5. 4						
4. 5. 5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	2 Peace Sports Tournaments	Nov 2025 & May 2026	Gambia Town (Grand Cape Mount) & Kumbor (Gbarpolu), Liberia	≈150 youth and community leaders from border communities of Liberia and Sierra Leone	Two youth-centered football tournaments promoting inter-community collaboration, peaceful coexistence, and cross-border friendship. The events will foster sportsmanship, reduce tensions, and showcase sport as a platform for peace and social cohesion.
Event 2	1 Peace Cultural Festival	Feb 2026	Camp Alpha, Gbarpolu County (Liberia)	≈200 participants (women's groups, cultural troupes, youth, traditional leaders)	A multi-ethnic cultural celebration to promote dialogue, showcase traditional performances, and strengthen unity across the Liberia-Sierra Leone border through shared cultural heritage and storytelling.
Event 3	1 Cross-Border Community Forum on Peacebuilding & Forest Cooperation	Feb 2026	Grand Cape Mount County (Liberia)	≈80 participants (community, local authorities, rangers, MRU, CSOs)	Facilitate dialogue and cooperation between Liberian and Sierra Leonean border communities on peacebuilding, sustainable forest management, and joint local governance.

Event 4	5 Capacity-Building Trainings	Nov 2025 – Apr 2026	Border districts of Liberia (Tewor, Porpka, Kongba) & Sierra Leone (Makpele, Nomo, Kenema)	≈200 participants (community leaders, local committees, border officials, women & youth)	Build capacities in inclusive dialogue, mediation, early-warning, and human-rights-based, gender-sensitive border management. Trainings include ToT sessions and cascading workshops to strengthen local peace structures.
----------------	--------------------------------------	----------------------------	---	---	---

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
 - ii. The impact of the project in their lives
 - iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
- This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant*

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder

<p>1</p>	<p>Community Beneficiaries – Farmers Groups in Bamballa, Grand Cape Mount County</p>	<p>Before the Gola REAP Peacebuilding Fund project, Bamballa community was polarized by political and personal conflicts. The 2019 senatorial midterm and 2023 national elections deepened mistrust between supporters of rival candidates. Two farmer groups – Hard Work (Bamballa #1) and Monpheygeh (Bamballa #2) – stopped cooperating, and tensions led to property damage and social division. Through the Gola REAP Peacebuilding Project implemented by WFP with its cooperating partner, GERAD Liberia, community members participated in peace dialogues, joint farming, and inclusive decision-making that rebuilt trust and social ties. Today, Bamballa has become a model of reconciliation, where farmers share resources, meals, and responsibilities. The project has engaged 1,000 beneficiaries (500 in Cape Mount and 500 in Gbarpolu), cultivated 68.3 hectares of farmland, and strengthened community cohesion through joint livelihoods and leadership of women in decision-making. As women representative Maita Kromah observed, “This community is now at total peace and one understanding.”</p>	<p>“My name is Musu Darblo, chairlady for Bamballa 1. I want to thank WFP for the peacebuilding project. What divided us was politics, but you brought us back together. During the 2019 and 2023 elections, our groups went into conflict that destroyed homes and cars. We stopped speaking to each other. Since GERAD-Liberia and WFP came with this peace project, it has brought us together to work in peace and harmony.”</p> <p>“My name is Musa Kanneh from Bamballa #2. For more than four years, I stopped speaking to my friend Boakai Duo because of confusion between our families. The WFP peacebuilding project helped us reconcile. Now, when we go to the farm, we eat together, drink from the same cup, and work side by side. The peace messages preached by WFP and GERAD taught us to forgive and move forward.”</p>
<p>2</p>	<p>Community Chairperson</p>	<p>Fair inclusion of youths and women in familiar asset creation activities</p>	<p>“Our chiefs do not control our voices and actions in this project. Selection of participants is fair, and we appreciate the timely resources and inputs directly delivered to us. This is one of the best projects ever in our chiefdom” Mamie Jane, Faama Community, Nomo Chiefdom, Kenema district</p>

3	Town Chief	Improved income through Incentivized labour – Cash based Transfer	This work has earned us SLL15,000 accrued from CBT individual collections, giving us the power to expand our farming activities and involve more youths through a hired labour process” Mustapha Bellay Darsalam, Makpele, Pujehun District
4	Youth Secretary	Sustained livelihood	Diamond mining can fail us and make us sad and migrate leaving our aged parents in communities. With this support, the game is changing and we can help our parents and deviate from negative coping actions” Mustapha Rogers , Gbongboma/Makpele Chiefdom, Pujehun District

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Border areas are often among the last to benefit from development initiatives and remain highly vulnerable to conflict, health crises, and other transnational challenges. This project is regarded as a potential game changer, creating pathways for the meaningful participation of marginalized youth by equipping them with income-generating opportunities, skills, and the foundations for long-term, sustainable livelihoods..

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

https://www.liberianobserver.com/news/liberia-sierra-leone-celebrate-int-l-day-of-peace/article_c1f1c4ed-f867-4164-bf79-158d17974136.html

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

WFP currently work with a digitize platform where Beneficiaries are enrolled referred to as SCOPE, this system enables transparent targeting, digital identity management, real time tracking of beneficiaries which enhance accountability and reduce risk of exclusion and duplication.

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The livelihood and resilience component support capacity strengthening of smallholder's farmers through Climate Smart Agriculture and practical farmer feed school approaches, as well as a financial literacy and Village Savings Loans Associations (VSLA) to enhance management and financial inclusion. These efforts equip youth beneficiaries with the skills and knowledge needed to increase productivity, improve livelihoods and sustain their agriculture ventures

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

GOLA-REAP collaborates with local civil society partners—Talking Drum Studio (TDS), SCNL, CSSL, and GERAD—to support implementation across both countries. Their engagement has strengthened local ownership, enhanced community participation, and promoted sustainability through capacity development, awareness-raising, and inclusive grassroots action.

Who are we working with *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

WFP maintains partestablishedith the International Organization for Migration (IOM) which leads the overall project implementation. Through our coordinated technical and field support, the partnership leverages both agencies' comparative advantages, IOM leading on the Peacebuilding and cross-border governance and WFP on the livelihood, and resilience component through agriculture supported initiatives.

2. We are currently working with two organizations as Cooperating Partners, one of which is a Local Civil Society Organization which is referred to as the Geo-Environmental Research Agency for Development (GERAD) Liberia serving as a cooperating partner in Grand Capemount and this has build strong local presence, local capacity development, and sustainability of the project gains through grassroots inclusion

3. Superintendents and traditional leaders

4.MIA, MAFS, MOA, Immigration and FDA

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Children
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring: Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period (3000 characters):

- During the reporting period, project monitoring combined joint field missions, partner oversight, and community-level engagement to ensure adaptive management and accountability.
- A joint monitoring mission by the UN Peacebuilding Support Office (PBSO), PBF Secretariat, Peacebuilding Office (PBO), and implementing partners (IOM and WFP, TDS, SCNL, WHH, GERAD) was conducted from 5–7 November 2025 across Grand Cape Mount and Gbarpolu Counties. The mission reviewed progress on livelihoods, governance, and community peacebuilding interventions, while engaging local authorities, rangers, farmer groups and community representatives.
- Preliminary findings confirmed that the project is effectively reaching remote, hard-to-reach border communities, with tangible progress under the livelihood and resilience components. However, partners and stakeholders emphasized the need to further highlight the peacebuilding dimensions, including capacity building, enhance project visibility, and maintain community confidence through regular dialogue and communication.
- Routine partner monitoring—including data verification, FBO tracking, and coordination meetings—has continued in both countries, ensuring consistency in implementation, documentation of lessons, and harmonization of approaches across the Gola landscape.
-

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

Yes

No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

A baseline study was conducted between July and August 2025 to establish benchmarks for all project outcomes and outputs across Liberia and Sierra Leone. The assessment was externally commissioned and jointly implemented by Making Enterprises Inc. (Liberia) and Community Knowledge Initiatives Partnership (Sierra Leone), ensuring methodological consistency and cross-border comparability. Baseline fieldwork has been completed and validation is pending (Nov 2025); quantitative indicator values in Part II will be updated in the next reporting cycle once the results are validated.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Baseline Study (July–August 2025): Conducted by Making Enterprises Inc. and Community Knowledge Initiatives Partnership to establish benchmarks for all project outcomes and outputs across Liberia and Sierra Leone. Gender Perception Survey (August–October 2025): Led by Talking Drum Studio Liberia, gathering insights from 366 participants to inform gender and protection baselines. Capacity and Peace-Structure Assessment (June 2025): Conducted jointly by PBO and IOM to assess institutional capacities and local peace mechanisms in border and forest-edge communities. Human Rights Due Diligence (HRDD) Assessment (March 2025): Used to guide institutional risk management and ensure rights-based implementation in project support to border and forest authorities. Joint Monitoring Missions (November 2025): Led by PBSO, PBF Secretariat, and implementing partners to verify implementation progress, community participation, and visibility of project results.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information [link](#)

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. [link](#)

Yes

No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Yes, the project has established a community feedback mechanism system to ensure transparency, accountability and adaptive management throughout the project implementation period with the below components. 1. Suggestion Boxes has been placed across all project communities to allow anonymous feedback on concerns, implementation challenges or emerging risks 2. Awareness sessions have been conducted with beneficiaries and community leaders & members to encourage the use of feedback channels as part of strengthening community ownership 3. Integration with WFP national CFM platform, which includes a toll-free hotline operational through Liberia two GSM networks where beneficiaries can directly call to report grievances, seek clarification and generally issues that affect or compliment the programme and cases are catalogue and report to WFP programme team to address timely corrective actions/measures to improve implementation quality. These mechanisms enable communities to actively participate in monitoring the project relevance, performance and conflict-sensitive delivery, ensuring issues are address promptly and building trust with beneficiaries and communities

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

Yes

No

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

60000.00

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	LENAUD Serge Desire	IOM	Programme Support Officer - OIC	slenaud@iom.int

» **Catalytic Effect**

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- Yes
- No

How many funders has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

- Yes
- No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability: Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project (3000 characters):

The GOLLA-REAP project is embedding sustainability through national ownership, strengthened local capacities, and lasting community structures that will continue to operate beyond the project's lifespan.

At the institutional level, the Ministries of Internal Affairs in Liberia and Sierra Leone co-chair the Joint Steering Committee, ensuring government leadership and policy alignment. The recent inclusion of both Ministries of Gender has deepened coordination and promoted the integration of gender and youth priorities into national peacebuilding and development plans. Technical coordination mechanisms in both countries meet regularly to sustain oversight and harmonize implementation with national systems.

At the community level, sustainability is fostered through the establishment/revitalization of local peace structures such as the Bo Waterside Peace Hut and the Damballa Community Mediation Committee, which are being equipped to manage local disputes and promote dialogue. Farmer-Based Organizations (FBOs), Youth Cooperatives, and Tool-Management Committees have become community-led platforms for resource management, accountability, and cooperation.

At the socio-economic level, the transition from subsistence to climate-smart, market-oriented agriculture is generating sustainable livelihoods that reduce reliance on extractive coping activities such as artisanal mining and forest hunting. These practices are reinforcing local resilience, strengthening social cohesion, and contributing to long-term peace dividends across the Gola landscape.

Cross-border coordination anchored in the Gola Transboundary Peace Park framework further ensures that collaboration between Liberia and Sierra Leone on peace, resource management, and governance will endure beyond the project period.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	1st Joint Steering Committee (JSC) Meeting – February 2025 (Ad Hoc)	Convened to confirm the project’s governance structure, endorse implementation arrangements, and agree on coordination mechanisms between Liberia and Sierra Leone.	Government leadership reaffirmed; cross-border coordination mechanism and reporting lines established; agreement on quarterly technical meetings.
Event 2	2nd Joint Project Steering Committee (JPSC) Meeting	The 2nd meeting was held on April 3, 2025, in Bo, Sierra Leone. It was co-chaired by the Ministers of Internal Affairs and UN Resident Coordinators from both Liberia and Sierra Leone, and included strong representation from government ministries, UN agencies, the Mano River Union, and civil society in both countries.	The meeting validated the JPSC and Technical Committee Terms of Reference, endorsed the 2025 Joint Work Plan, and agreed on immediate next steps for implementation. The next JPSC meeting is scheduled for October 4, 2025, in Monrovia, Liberia.

<p>Event 3</p>	<p>3rd Joint Steering Committee Meeting - October 3, 2025 (Monrovia, Liberia)</p>	<p>The meeting reviewed implementation progress (April–September 2025), and discussed sustainability, coordination, and visibility priorities.</p>	<p>The meeting reaffirmed strong government ownership and cross-border collaboration. Participants commended progress in reaching remote communities and advancing livelihoods but called for greater visibility of the project’s peacebuilding impact. Recommendations included improving coordination among national institutions, enhancing communication and visibility, linking M&E with local mechanisms, and supporting sustainability through stronger engagement of local peace structures and authorities. Both Ministries of Gender were endorsed and formally confirmed as new members of the Joint Steering Committee, following the recommendation made during the 2nd JSC meeting.</p>
<p>Event 4</p>	<p>Human Rights Due Diligence Assessments (HRDDP)</p>	<p>IOM HQ’s HRDD Officer joined teams in Monrovia, Freetown, and field sites across both countries to conduct a comprehensive Human Rights Due Diligence assessment. Building on the UN’s standardized approach to human rights due diligence in new projects, the mission aimed to ensure GOL-REAP adheres to international human rights principles and complies with commitments under the UN PBF commitments.</p>	<p>Consultations with national human rights commissions, OHCHR, conservation societies, legal organizations, and community advocates uncovered potential harms linked to ranger equipment, border patrols, and community policing. The assessment is finalizing a risk matrix and proposed mitigation measures to be incorporated into a Human-Rights-Sensitive Implementation Guide. Periodic rights audits and community oversight committees will ensure ongoing “do no harm” compliance.</p>

Event 5	Field Monitoring Visit – November 2025 (Grand Cape Mount and Gbarpolu)	Joint monitoring by PBSO, PBF Secretariat, Peacebuilding Office (PBO), IOM, and WFP to verify progress, review coordination with authorities, and assess community engagement.	Project effectively reaching remote communities; livelihood and resilience components advancing; need to emphasize peacebuilding visibility and joint messaging.
Event 6	Capacity and Community Peace-Structure Assessment – June 2025 (Liberia)	Implemented by IOM and PBO to assess institutional and community structures in 16 border and forest-edge communities.	Limited training, equipment, and coordination capacities; inactive peace structures identified for reactivation and support.
Event 7	Gender Perception Survey – August to October 2025 (Liberia)	Conducted by Talking Drum Studio Liberia in 15 border communities to capture gender and protection perceptions.	Women’s and youth participation in local governance improving; cultural and structural barriers to inclusion and accountability persist.
Event 8	Baseline Study – July to August 2025 (Liberia and Sierra Leone)	External assessment by Making Enterprises Inc. and Community Knowledge Initiatives Partnership to establish benchmarks for all outcomes and outputs.	Found moderate cross-border trust and strong community commitment; identified weak institutional coordination; Results validation planned for November 2025.

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.

